New York Law Journal

VOLUME 274—NO. 5 $4.00 WWW.NYLJ.COM TUESDAY, JULY 8, 2025 Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888

ALM.

IN BRIEF

Name Change: Robert C. Gottlieb & Associates Now Gottlieb Townsend

Noted criminal defense firm Robert C. Gottlieb & Associates has changed its name to Gottlieb Townsend, founder and managing partner Robert Gottlieb announced. The change, Gottlieb said, acknowledges the "outstanding" contributions of partner Paul Townsend to the firm since he joined in 2019.

Robert C. Gottlieb

Paul Townsend

"I am proud and excited to change the name of the firm to Gottlieb Townsend," stated Gottlieb, who established the business more than 40 years ago and has since built a highly respected firm both in New York and on the national stage.

Gottlieb Townsend officially launched on June 17.

"This achievement is the culmination of years of hard work and dedication, and this recognition from a partner for whom I have such respect and admiration is worth more than words can articulate," Townsend said.

The two first met in 2016, Gottlieb said. Townsend was looking to leave the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office, where he was an assistant.

Townsend, Gottlieb said, "invited himself out of the blue to come to my office to see if I was looking for an associate."

Gottlieb wasn't, but brought on Townsend three years later when he was hiring.

In the interim, Townsend worked with criminal defense attorney Jeffrey Lichtman of The Law Offices of Jeffrey Lichtman. They represented Mexican drug lord Joaquin "El Chapo" Guzman-Loera at his drug trafficking trial in the Eastern District of New York.

Over his decades of practice, Gottlieb has handled state and federal criminal defense matters both big and small. His clients include failed NYC subway bomber and Al-Qaeda terrorist Adis Medunjanin, Ex-WFAN radio host and securities fraudster Craig Carton and Roderick Covlin, who was convicted of murdering his estranged wife despite claims his 12-year-old daughter was the real killer.

Together, the duo handled the murder case against Ulster County man Gregory Thayer, who was convicted in the killing of his best friend. Thayer is awaiting retrial.

—Emily Saul

Perspective: An Afternoon In a City Part »6

Crypto Scam Impersonates Trump-Vance Inaugural Committee

U.S. Attorney Jeanine Ferris Pirro announced that the District of Columbia is pursuing legal action against one or more perpetrators behind a scam of $250,300 worth of cryptocurrency from an intended donor to the Trump-Vance Inaugural Committee.

Pirro, a former state court judge in New York and most recently a Fox News personality before her appointment by President Donald Trump to lead the office in an interim role, advised prospective donors to "double and triple check that they are sending cryptocurrency to their intended recipient."

"It can be extremely difficult for law enforcement to recoup lost funds due to the extremely complex nature of the blockchain," Pirro said in a statement. "Nevertheless, my office and our law enforcement partners stand ready to go toe-to-toe with criminals and make victims whole."

On Dec. 24, the intended donor received an email from someone claiming to be Steve Witkoff, co-chair of the inaugural committee, court records show.

Legitimate emails from the inaugural committee end with "@t47inaugural.com" while the email received by the intended donor was from "@t47lnaugural.com."

Prosecutors observed in the complaint that the lowercase "I" was replaced by a lowercase "L," and depending on the font, the lowercase "L" can look like the uppercase "I."

The imposter Witkoff, an alleged scammer located in Nigeria, then instructed the victims to deposit funds into a crypto wallet ending in 58c52.

On Dec. 26, the » Page 4

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

First Department

WRONGFUL DEATH: **Action against TikTok for death of teenager not fully dismissed.** *Nazario v. ByteDance LTD, Supreme Court, New York.*

LANDLORD-TENANT: **Claimant awarded $9,450.** *50 for bed bug infestation. McAteer v. 40 Park Avenue LLC, Civil Court, New York.*

Second Department

CONTRACTS: **Summary judgment denied and granted in part in breach of contract action.** *Schieber v. Brown, Supreme Court, Kings*

LANDLORD-TENANT: **Government found in civil contempt after evicting respondent.** *NYCHA v. Ingram, Civil Court, Kings.*

LANDLORD-TENANT: **Summary judgments denied in breach of lease case.** *Chen v. Wu, Civil Court, Queens.*

MOTOR-VEHICLE TORTS: **Action dismissed; defendant showed that it was self-insured.** *Taylor v. The Home Depot, Inc., Supreme Court, Richmond.*

U.S. Courts

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **IFP status revoked; 'three strikes' under PLRA had, imminent danger not alleged.** *Clapp v. Vail, SDNY.*

DISCOVERY: **Race car teams' motion to compel sport leagues' compliance with subpoenas denied.** *2311 Racing LLC v. Nat'l Basketball Assn., SDNY.*

WHISTLEBLOWER LAWS: **Hospital's facilities not shown to be separate hospitals; EMTALA not violated.** *Weller v. NYU Langone Health System, EDNY.*

CRIMINAL LAW: **Early release due to child's custodial circumstances denied; compelling reasons not shown.** *U.S. v. Bien-Aime, EDNY.*

WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION: **Default judgment, damages hearing denied; FLsA violation insufficiently alleged.** *Jimenez Vega v. Fox Building Grp. Inc., NDNY.*

DECISION SUMMARIES, *PAGE 17*
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, *nylj.com*

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

'Perfect Storm': Courts See Surge in Crypto Litigation

BY MICHAEL A. MORA

IN FEBRUARY, Luan Pham Doan received an unsolicited message on WhatsApp. The sender introduced herself as "Tina." Over the next couple of weeks, their conversations deepened into something resembling friendship. Tina encouraged Doan to trade in Bitcoin, promising high returns and led him to believe he had personal control over his wallet.

It began with $100.

Doan transferred more money from his other financial accounts to a website recommended by Tina, and by the time he attempted to withdraw his gains, he had invested $10 million. He believed he now held nearly $50 million, until Tina told him a 1% fee (or $500,000) was required to unlock his funds. If he didn't pay up, she warned, the penalty would rise to $50,000 per day.

"This appears to be a straight up scam that would be of interest to criminal authorities, but it is being handled civilly," said Mark E. Bini, a partner in New York at Reed Smith's global regulatory enforcement division and an ex-prosecutor of financial and corporate crime at the U.S. Attorney's office in the Eastern District of New York and the Middle District of Florida.

This alleged scheme is an increasingly common species of financial fraud colloquially referred to as "pig butchering," named for the process of grooming victims like livestock, fattened » Page 8

BING GUAN/BLOOMBERG

NYPD officers outside the New York State Supreme Court Building in New York. The DOJ's June suit claims New York's laws create significant barriers to federal immigration enforcement and discriminate against the federal government, violating the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution.

Brownstein Lures 5-Partner Group From Crowell, Enters New York Market

Evan Chuck

Jim Flood

Anne Li

Paul Keller

Aaron Cummings

BY ABIGAIL ADCOX

BROWNSTEIN Hyatt Farber Schreck has hired a five-partner group from Crowell & Moring based in New York, Washington, D.C., and Los Angeles, including several of their practice group leaders, the firm announced Monday.

The group's move marks Brownstein's entrance in New York. Among the five-partner group, Anne Li, former co-chair of Crowell's patents group, and Paul Keller will join Brownstein's intellectual property department as shareholders, both based out of New York.

Meanwhile, Jim Flood and Aaron Cummings, former co-chairs of Crowell's government affairs practice group, join as shareholders in the firm's government relations department based in Brownstein's D.C. office. Evan Chuck also joins as a shareholder in the firm's government relations department, and will split his time between the Los Angeles and D.C. offices.

In addition to the five partners, Scott Douglas joins the firm from Crowell as a policy director in the government relations department based in D.C.

"While we have loved our time at Crowell, we just felt that the bigger platform would help us address more client needs in the Trump administration," Flood said in an interview. » Page 4

NY Responds to DOJ Lawsuit Targeting Protections for Immigrants' Arrests At State Courthouses

BY BRIAN LEE

THE U.S. Department of Justice failed to state a claim in its litigation challenging a New York law that prohibits civil immigration arrests in and around state, city and municipal courthouses fails to state a claim, a special litigator for the state government said in a recent letter to an Albany federal judge overseeing the case.

The DOJ's June 12 conflict preemption claim also attempts to resurrect enforcement policies instituted during President Donald Trump's first term that was found to be unlawful and had been enjoined in 2020 by a Manhattan federal court, at the request of the state of New York and the district attorney's office in Brooklyn, said Linda Fang, special litigation counsel for the Office of the New York State Attorney General.

Fang made the assertions in her July 3 letter to U.S. District Judge Mae D'Agostino, an appointee of former President Barack Obama in 2011.

Fang's letter requests dates for pre-motion conferences that are a prerequisite in the Albany federal court's local rules for filing dispositive motions. The special state litigator intends to file a motion to dismiss the case.

The DOJ lawsuit said the federal government wants to make civil immigration arrests at or near state courthouses because the risk of flight and safety to the public are reduced because court- » Page 4

COURTESY PHOTOS

Henry Liu, left, rejoined Covington & Burling as co-chair of its antitrust practice after leading the FTC's Bureau of Competition, while Paul Hastings hired antitrust partner **Joshua Soven** from Paul Weiss.

'Halo of Quality' Market Dominance Means Drilling Down on Industry Groups

BY DAN ROE

EVEN in a tentative economy where some firms are facing overcapacity and looking at head count reductions, competition for high-end lateral partners has hardly abated.

However, the lateral partner market remains one of the riskiest growth strategies around. "Growth through laterals and even groups, net of retirements and other departures, is slow and expensive and error-prone," said law firm adviser Kent Zimmermann of Zeughauser Group. "When we ask firms to candidly give us a sense of what percent of the time laterals are successful, the candid answer is between one in two or one in three."

For many firms, there is a better way: industry groups. They're nothing new in Big Law, but law firm strategic advisers » Page 6

Antitrust, Litigation Dominate Law Firms' Midsummer Hiring Spree

BY DAN ROE

NUMEROUS Am Law 200 firms announced lateral hires after the Fourth of July weekend, with antitrust and litigation leading firms' talent acquisitions.

In Washington, D.C., former Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Competition director Henry Liu returned to Covington & Burling to co-chair its global antitrust and competition practice after working at the agency for 18 months under former commissioner Lina Khan.

While at the FTC, Liu brought almost 20 merger and conduct challenges and oversaw hundreds of investigations, according to a Covington press release that highlighted the benefits of Liu's return under an "increasingly complex enforcement landscape."

Also in the Capital, Paul Hastings hired antitrust litigation » Page 4

New York Law Journal Inside

Federal Agencies Move To Limit Public Input in Environmental Reviews

BY DAN NOVAK
WASHINGTON, D.C.

SEVERAL federal agencies including the departments of Agriculture, Transportation and Defense moved to streamline National Environmental Policy Act reviews last week, restricting public involvement in environmental assessments central to the 55-year-old statute, environmental law experts said.

"Since CEQ [the Council on Environmental Quality] issued its first NEPA rules in 1978, public engagement has been a core component of driving reasoned, informed agency decisions," said Hannah Perls, a senior staff attorney at Harvard Law School's Environmental and Energy Law Program. "Now, those requirements have been slimmegd down to the bare minimum."

The Agriculture, Transportation, Defense, Energy and Interior departments, as well as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, engaged in a coordinated effort last week to issue new rulemaking and nonbinding guidance for conducting environmental impact assessments.

The agencies' regulatory changes ensure "that burdensome Federal environmental reviews cannot be weaponized to stall the growth of the American economy or halt energy infrastructure construction," the White House said in a statement Monday.

Since January, the Trump administration has quickly moved to limit environmental reviews, which it sees as a major impediment to infrastructure projects. Previously, each agency developed its own NEPA procedures and the CEQ set the overarching NEPA regulations and ensured compliance across the federal government.

Following a Trump executive order in January, the administration revoked CEQ's NEPA regulations and required all agencies to issue new, updated rules within one year. CEQ directed agencies to model their new rules based on 2020 regulations finalized under the first Trump administration.

However, a draft template for agencies to revise their NEPA regulations released by CEQ in April eliminated robust public engagement requirements included in the 2020 rules, Perls said.

Based on the changes agencies made last week, "most of the public engagement that is in these different procedures is phrased as a 'may' instead of a 'shall,'" consistent with CEQ guidance issued in February to expedite NEPA reviews as much as possible, she added.

The 2020 rules prioritized public engagement consistent with NEPA's statutory mandates to promote public access to and engagement with these agency decision-making processes, Perls said. Agencies still have some discretion to do more public engagement, but that is now optional instead of required.

"There is no statutory requirement or otherwise to post a draft EIS [Enviromental Impact Statement] for public comment," states the Department of Energy's interim final rule.

In May, the Supreme Court dealt another blow to NEPA, unanimously ruling that agencies do not have to consider downstream effects of infrastructure projects in environmental reviews and that agencies should receive judicial deference in how they conduct such reviews.

JASON BENNITT/ALM

The U.S. Department of Agriculture in Washington. Following a Trump executive order in January, the administration revoked CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act regulations and required all agencies to issue new, updated rules within one year.

Stanford University environmental law professor Deborah Sivas said the new agency revisions build off the *Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County*, Colorado decision to drastically reduce the statute.

The changes are "a radical, radical re-envisioning of NEPA," Sivas added. "It's one thing to say, 'Let's course-correct,' and it's another to say, 'Let's just throw out 50 years of settled policy and jurisprudence.' I think that's massively disruptive."

Other changes to the NEPA regulations and guidelines include implementing deadlines and page limits to reviews and classifying more projects as categorical exclusions, which are considered not to have a large environmental impact.

The administration likely would have moved forward with the changes in NEPA without the Seven County decision but is using it as additional justification for narrowing the scope of NEPA, said Erika Kranz, managing attorney at Harvard's Environmental and Energy Law Program.

But with some agencies conducting formal rulemaking and others issuing guidance that lacks the force of law, "that strikes me as a pretty fragmented and uncertain approach," Kranz said.

Sivas said she expects there to be a "ton of litigation" surrounding the legality of the new rules and guidelines, as well as how they are applied to each individual project.

"If someone thinks this is going to make things faster, or less controversial, I just think they're wrong," said Earth Justice attorney Jan Hasselman.

He added that community engagement allows the public to buy into projects more and improves their outcome by making the government aware of community concerns it would not be aware of otherwise.

"It's certainly not a useful review" without public involvement in the NEPA process, Hasselman said. "The lawyers will have their say about whether it's required under statute."

@ Dan Novak can be reached at dnovak@alm.com.

SCOTUS Will Weigh Validity of Bans on Transgender Student-Athletes Competing

BY STEVE LASH
WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE U.S. Supreme Court will consider the constitutionality of prohibitions on transgender girls and women participating on female teams in high school and collegiate sports.

The justices on Thursday agreed to hear Idaho's and West Virginia's appeals of lower court decisions that the states' bans violate the Constitution's equal protection clause. In the West Virginia case, the high court also agreed to weigh whether Title IX, a federal law banning gender discrimination in scholastic sports, "prevents a state from consistently designating girls and boys sports teams based on biological sex determined at birth."

The Supreme Court is expected to hear arguments in the cases during its 2025-2026 term, which begins Oct. 6 and issue its decision by next July.

Idaho is appealing the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit's decision that the state's Fairness in Women's Sports Act likely violates the Equal Protection Clause because the ban on transgender girls' and women's participation is not "substantially related" to the state's asserted "important interest" in gender equality and opportunity for women athletes.

"[T]he Act's means—categorically banning transgender women and girls from all female athletic teams and subjecting all participants in female athletics to intrusive sex verification procedures—likely are not substantially related to, and in fact undermine, those asserted objectives," the Ninth Circuit held.

KATHARINE LOTZE/GETTY IMAGES VIA BLOOMBERG

Counsel for Lindsay Hecox, a transgender female athlete at Boise State University, pressed the Supreme Court in vain not to hear Idaho's appeal.

"[T]he act's sweeping prohibition on transgender female athletes in Idaho—encompassing all students, regardless of whether they have gone through puberty or hormone therapy, without any evidence of transgender athletes displacing female athletes in Idaho, and enforced through a mechanism that subjects all participants in female athletics to the threat of an invasive physical examination—is likely too unrelated to the State's legitimate objectives to satisfy heightened [constitutional] scrutiny," the Ninth Circuit added.

Idaho, in its successful petition for Supreme Court review, stated that the ban is substantially related to "not just an important interest in providing equal athletic opportunities for women and girls [but] a compelling one."

"As the Idaho Legislature explained, separating sports teams based on sex furthers the state's interest in promoting 'equality' for women 'by providing opportunities for female athletes to demonstrate their skill, strength and athletic abilitiies' and 'to obtain recognition and accolades, college scholarships, and ... other long-term benefits," wrote Idaho Solicitor General Alan Hurst, the state's counsel of record before the high court.

"Idaho's preservation of a separate space for females to compete is also substantially related to its goals," Hurst added. "Multiple studies confirm that irreversible physiological differences exist between biological males and females that give male athletes significant advantages over their female competitors. As a result, allowing a biological male, regardless of how that individual identifies, to try out for and compete on a women's sports team significantly undermines the benefits afforded to female student athletes."

Counsel for Lindsay Hecox, a transgender female athlete at Boise State University, pressed the Supreme Court in vain not to hear Idaho's appeal.

The state seeks "to create a false sense of national emergency when nothing of the sort is presented in by this case," wrote Kathleen Hartnett, Hecox's counsel of record before the high court. "This case is about a four-year-old injunction against the application of [the state's law] with respect to one woman, which is allowing her to participate in club running and club soccer in her final year of college," added Hartnett, of Cooley. "Particluarly given the lack of any circuit split on the question presented and the interlocutory posture of this case, as well as Lindsay's upcoming completion of college, which will likely end the conflict between the parties, there is no reason for this Court to step in."

West Virginia is appealing the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit's decision that the state's Save Women's Sports Act violates Title IX by discriminating against transgender girls who want to compete on girls' teams. The challenge was brought by a transgender girl identified as B.P.J. "Because B.P.J. can show both worse treatment based on sex and resulting harm, she has established each of the disputed requirements for a Title IX claim," the Fourth Circuit held.

"For one, this Court has already held that discrimination based on gender identity is discrimination 'on the bases of sex' under Title IX," the Fourth Circuit added. "The Act also discriminates based on sex assigned at birth by forbidding transgender girls—but not transgender boys—from participating in teams consistent with their gender identity … [U]nder this Act, a transgender boy … may play on boys teams but a transgender girl like B.P.J. may not play on girls teams."

West Virginia, in its successful request for Supreme Court review, stated that the Fourth Circuit's decision "upends the Title IX and equal-protection frameworks."

"It tacitly overturns countless cases upholding sex distinctions for bathrooms, prisons, physical-fitness tests, and more," wrote West Virginia Solicitor General Michael Williams, the state's counsel of record at the high court. "It rewrites Title IX, a law designed to protect female athletes, into one that subordinates their interests to those of certain males. It dispenses with any meaningful effort to determine how males are similarly situated to females when it comes to sports. (They're not.)"

Counsel for B.P.J., in a failed request that the court not hear the appeal, wrote that the state seeks "to create a false sense of national emergency when nothing of the sort is presented by this case."

"This case is neither a facial challenge nor an effort to create national policy," wrote Joshua Block, of the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation and B.P.J.'s counsel of record at the high court. "It is an as-applied challenge by one transgender girl who is too slow to make her school's track team and who has been working hard to learn and improve in field events."

The cases before the Supreme Court are *Little v. Hecox*, No. 24-38, and *West Virginia v. B.P.J.*, No. 24-43.

@ Steve Lash can be reached at slash@alm.com.

China Deals Dominate Largest APAC M&A In H1 With US Firm Leading

BY JESSICA SEAH
HONG KONG

NINE of the 12 largest M&A transactions in the Asia-Pacific region (excluding Japan) were domestic Chinese deals, according to the London Stock Exchange Group's Global M&A Legal Advisory Report for the first half of 2025.

In total, 3,442 M&A deals were announced in Asia (excluding Japan) during that period, collectively valued at $331.2 billion.

The largest transaction was the $24 billion takeover bid for Australia's gas producer Santos from an international consortium led by the Abu Dhabi National Oil Company, with Herbert Smith Freehills Kramer, Linklaters and Allens advising.

The second largest announced deal was the consolidation of a group of Chinese banks, including the Bank of China, in an effort led by the country's Ministry of Finance. The high-profile $22.8 billion sale of CK Hutchison's ports business to a consortium led by BlackRock, a transactions advised by Weil Gotshal & Manges and Paul Hastings, was also one of the region's largest M&A deals so far this year.

Linklaters, King & Wood Mallesons, Latham & Watkins, Freshfields and Kirkland & Ellis—in that order—topped the charts by advising on the highest collective value of closed deals in the region during the first half of the year.

Linklaters shot up to first place from 10th spot in H1 2024, having helped close $69 billion worth of Asia M&A in H1 2025. Like Linklaters, King & Wood also closed 22 deals worth $47 billion. Latham closed 28 deals worth $32 billion, Freshfields counted 13 completed deals worth $28.9 billion, and Kirkland closed 11 deals at $24 billion.

Davis Polk & Wardwell, Jia Yuan Law Offices, Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, Khaitan & Co and Baker McKenzie followed, in that order, after the top five.

In H1 this year, there were a total of 694 completed deals worth $56 billion involving Southeast Asian parties. This compares to 1,191 deals worth $174.5 billion involving Chinese parties.

Of the top 20 legal advisers with the highest market share on completed M&A in China by value, nine law firms were mainland-China based and seven were based in the U.S.

American firms continue to beat out London-based legal advisers on representation for transactions involving Southeast Asia and China.

Latham, Kirkland, Davis Polk, Freshfields, and Gibson Dunn were the top five advisers on Southeast Asia deals. Latham advised on 12 deals valued at more than $8.6 billion while Kirkland and Davis Polk had two each worth $6.7 bilion and $5.3 billion, respectively.

The top five firms are followed by Weil Gotshal & Manges, New York boutique Katzke Miller & Morgenbesser, Baker McKenzie, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom and Linklaters. Only four Southeast Asian firms—Khaitan & Co, Rajah & Tann, Icon Law and Hiswara Bunjamin & Tandjung—made the list of top 25 legal advisers by deal value.

AKE1150/ADOBE STOCK

Linklaters, with offices located in Singapore's financial district, along with King & Wood Mallesons, Latham & Watkins, Freshfields, and Kirkland & Ellis, topped the charts by advising on the highest collective value of closed deals in the region during the first half of the year.

As for completed Chinese deals so far this year, King & Wood, Linklaters, Jia Yuan, Kirkland and Norton Rose Fulbright took top spots by deal value. Notably, King & Wood jumped from the 18th spot, Linklaters ascended from the 38th spot and Jia Yuan jumped from 67th place, having respectively advised on $46.9 billion, $43 billion and $20 billion worth of deals.

Japan has been a bright spot for cross-border M&A in recent months as global private equity move in for assets and Japanese holdings become more open to selling their non-core business to foreign buyers.

In the first half of 2025, six out of the 10 largest deals in Japan were domestic transactions. Big Four Japanese firms Nishimura & Asahi, Mori Hamada and Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu were the top three firms judging by value of deals. Nishimura acted on 81 deals worth more than $39.6 while Mori Hamada and Nagashima Ohno acted on 29 and 62 deals, respectively, worth $17.2 billion and $16.5 billion.

In fourth place is Ropes & Gray, followed by Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, Covington & Burling, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson and Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy. Ropes & Gray advised on five deals worth $15 billion, one of which is Bain Capital's agreement to acquire Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma in a carve-out transaction from Mitsubishi Chemical Group.

The largest deal in Japan so far this year is the $34.6 billion Toyota Industries privatization bid led by the Toyota group of companies, a deal that is being advised by Mori Hamada and Nishimura & Asahi.

The multi-billion-dollar investment into generative artificial intelligence pioneer OpenAI by an investor group led by Japanese conglomerate SoftBank Group also marked one of the country's largest deals this year. Morrison Foerster advised its long term client SoftBank on that endeavour.

@ Jessica Seah can be reached at jseah@alm.com.

Expert Analysis

RELIGION LAW

New York Religious Corporations: When Is Closing the Right Choice?

By **David de Barros**

Planning for one's future is important.

For religious organizations of all faiths, planning for the organization's next chapter is equally important as for individuals, especially in this post-pandemic era, when, unfortunately, many organizations are facing a decline in attendance at in-person weekly religious services, and a decline in employees and volunteers necessary to keep the organization's governance compliant and its house of worship operational.

As a result, a religious organization may decide that it needs to close. Making the inevitable decision to shut its doors is only the first step in an organization's journey to formally close in accordance with New York law, known as dissolution.

Although the closure of a house of worship can be an unfortunate scenario for its congregants and for the community as a whole, the dissolution of a religious organization—if thoughtfully planned—can be an opportunity to establish a legacy for the corporation beyond its closure and assure the trustees and officers that they no longer have legal obligations to the organization and have completed their duties.

The process of dissolving a religious corporation differs from the dissolution process for other New York charitable organizations which are only governed by New York Not-for Profit Corporation Law (N-PCL) and can involve both the New York State Attorney General and/or the New York Supreme Court (court).

On the other hand, the dissolution of New York religious corporations are solely subject to the approval of the court as codified in the New York Religious Corporations Law (RCL). The New York State Attorney General maintains no statutory involvement in the religious corporation dissolution process and is not a party.

In order for a New York religious corporation to formally—and legally—dissolve, the dissolving entity must submit a petition to the court requesting approval to dissolve and set forth a plan of dissolution to be approved by the court.

A plan for dissolution details the assets and the debts of the corporation, and the corporation's plan to satisfy those debts and distribute the remaining assets (such as the transfer and conveyance of real property and residual cash), if any, after the satisfaction of the debts.

This dissolution plan must first be approved by the court and then it may be carried out. The corporation would then dissolve in accordance with New York law upon completion of the approved plan.

> It is important to note that even a corporation with limited assets, or no assets, should undergo the statutory dissolution process to safeguard itself and their trustees and members.

An overview of the closure process is provided here addressing the important questions of: (i) when a religious corporation should dissolve, (ii) who may petition the court for dissolution on behalf of the dissolving entity, and (iii) what are the necessary steps to accomplish dissolution?

I. When Should a New York Religious Corporation Dissolve?

a. When can a New York religious corporation petition the court for dissolution?

In New York, a religious corporation should dissolve when the entity: (1) no longer acts in its corporate capacity (for example, it can no longer afford to employ its faith leader, the entity no longer maintains sufficient lay leaders and members that are able to volunteer their time and share their resources to keep their house of worship safe and operational), and (2) fails to keep up with religious services (for example, regular weekly services, which for most religious corporations is the primary avenue that the organization fulfills its purpose and shares its beliefs).

If the corporation finds itself in this scenario, then the entity should seriously consider legally dissolving (or at a minimum consider speaking legal counsel with expertise in this area). It is important to note that even a corporation with limited assets, or no assets, should undergo the statutory dissolution process to safeguard itself and their trustees and members.

b. When is dissolution appropriate and what risks are there for failing to dissolve?

A dissolution of a religious corporation provides a process to resolve the legal obligations of the corporation and conclude the responsibilities of the trustees and officers to the entity and its remaining members.

These legal obligations and responsibilities of the trustees continue with the last duly elected or appointed trustees and officers until all debts are satisfied and the remaining assets belonging to religious corporation are fully disbursed, and therefore, effectuating the formal legal dissolution of the incorporated religious entity in accordance with the RCL and the order of the New York Supreme Court.

In certain situations, trustees and officers could be considered in breach of their fiduciary duties—and potentially personally liable—where the trustees and officers stand idly by as a corporation fails to act in its corporate capacity (including failure to maintain minimum number of » Page 7

DAVID DE BARROS *is a partner at Capell Barnett Matalon & Schoenfeld.*

Judicial Ethics

Opinions From the Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics

The Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics responds to written inquiries from New York state's approximately 3,600 judges and justices, as well as hundreds of judicial hearing officers, support magistrates, court attorney-referees, and judicial candidates (both judges and non-judges seeking election to judicial office). The committee interprets the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR Part 100) and, to the extent applicable, the Code of Judicial Conduct. The committee consists of 28 current and retired judges, and is co-chaired by the Honorable Debra L. Givens, an acting justice of the supreme court in Erie County, and the Honorable Lillian Wan, an associate justice of the appellate division, second department.

Opinion: 25-27

Digest: A part-time judge may serve as a public safety-public health liaison for the county health department where the duties of the position are purely educational.

Rules: 22 NYCRR 100.2; 100.2(A); 100.3(A); 100.6(B)(4); Opinions 23-130; 09-238; 09-91; 91-153; 88-148.

Opinion: A part-time judge asks if outside employment with the county health department as a public safety-public health liaison is ethically compatible with judicial office. The liaison will work alongside the county health department overdose prevention program staff, public safety, harm reduction agencies, and peer agencies "to ensure best practices, person centered language, stigma reduction, and to increase knowledge, attitudes and behaviors" in addressing issues of substance use disorder and overdose prevention. The job responsibilities "will include communicating with community partners that include local public safety agencies but does not involve any enforcement of the law and is purely collaborative and educational."

A judge must always avoid even the appearance of impropriety and act to promote public confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality (see 22 NYCRR 100.2; 100.2[A]). A part-time judge may accept "public employment in a federal, state or municipal department or agency, provided that such employment is not incompatible with judicial office and does not conflict or interfere with the proper performance of the judge's duties" (22 NYCRR 100.6[B][4]). A judge's judicial duties "take precedence over all the judge's other activities" (22 NYCRR 100.3[A]).

We have advised that a part-time judge may serve as a hearing officer for the county health department (see Opinions 09-91; 88-148) and on a county health department task force whose functions are purely educational (see Opinion 91-153). A part-time judge may not, however, hold a county health department position involving law enforcement activities (see Opinion 09-238 [prohibiting judge from continuing to participate in Adolescent Tobacco Use Prevention Act enforcement]) or prosecutorial or quasi-prosecutorial matters (see e.g. Opinion 23-130). Nor may a part-time judge be employed with an "agency that might routinely be involved in, appear, and participate in proceedings in the judge's court" (id.).

In the present inquiry, the public safety-public health liaison for the county health department will work alongside various stakeholders, including public safety agencies which include state and local police. However, the duties of the position do not involve any enforcement of the law. The position is purely collaborative and educational, and the county health department does not regularly appear before the judge.

We therefore conclude the judge may serve as public safety-public health liaison for the county health department so long as the duties of the liaison position do not conflict or interfere with the proper performance of the judge's duties. The judge should disqualify him/herself in any matters affecting the county health department (see Opinions 09-91; 88-148).

Opinion: 25-30

Digest: A part-time judge who is also employed by a law firm as a bookkeeper must disqualify in cases where that law firm appears.

Rules: Judiciary Law §§ 9, 14, 212(2)(l); 22 NYCRR 100.2; 100.2(A); 100.3(E)(1); 100.3(F); Opinions 21-97; 21-22(A); 21-02; 95-80; 94-108.

Opinion: A new part-time non-lawyer judge asks if he/she may preside in a case where defense counsel is a partner at the law firm where the judge currently works as a bookkeeper. If disqualification is required, the judge further requests guidance on disqualification procedures and the scope of any necessary disclosure.

A judge must always avoid even the appearance of impropriety and act in a manner that promotes public confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality (see 22 NYCRR 100.2; 100.2[A]). A judge must disqualify in any proceeding where the judge's impartiality "might reasonably be questioned" (22 NYCRR 100.3[E][1]), including where required by rule or law (see generally id.; Judiciary Law § 14).

We have advised that a part-time judge who is employed by a law firm must disqualify in all matters where a party is represented by the judge's employer, even where the judge is employed in a non-attorney role (see Opinions 95-80 [disqualifying judge employed as investigator in law firm]; 94-108 [disqualifying judge working as paralegal at law firm]).

Accordingly, this judge must disqualify when the law firm that employs the judge as a bookkeeper appears in the judge's court.

Comment on Mechanics of Disqualification

We note that the exact procedures to effectuate disqualification or recusal may vary around the state; this is largely an administrative or legal question which may be addressed with an appropriate administrative or supervising judge, or other designated court personnel. Unless the judge wishes to offer an opportunity for remittal of disqualification (see e.g. Opinion 21-22[A]; 22 NYCRR 100.3[F]), the extent of any required disclosure is primarily a legal question under Judiciary Law § 9: » Page 8

Off the Front

Arrests

« Continued from page 1

goers are screened for weapons.

But it says that effort is hindered by New York's five-year-old Protect Our Courts Act, which prohibits arrests of parties, witnesses or any of their family or household members going to and from court.

The state government, Gov. Kathy Hochul and Attorney General Letitia James, in their official capacities, are the named defendants.

The DOJ lawsuit also challenges New York executive orders prohibiting civil immigration arrests within any state facilities, buildings leased or owned by any New York executive agency, and preventing state workers from sharing "critical" information for civil immigration enforcement with federal authorities.

Fang wrote that the Protect Our Courts Act had been "well established" when Congress enacted its federal immigration laws that are the basis of the DOJ's conflict preemption claim, and "no provision of federal law evinces a clear intent to displace these longstanding protections."

Along those same lines, Fang said courts must interpret federal statutes "not to alter the usual constitutional balance between states and the federal government unless the language of the statute is unmistakably clear to that effect."

In this case, the law's prohibition of civil arrests in and around courthouses furthers the Empire State's compelling interest protect the functioning of its judicial system, Fang wrote.

The executive orders, she continued, were "valid exercises of the state's police powers in prescribing how state officials conduct their duties and protect state facilities used for state business from undue disruption."

In an interview with the Law Journal, New York Law School Professor Lenni B. Benson said there's no expressed preemption that she could think of in the immigration law that directly addresses the key issues of the DOJ lawsuit.

Benson said that if she were "a clever federal lawyer," she might argue about the supremacy clause and undue interference with the due diligence and take care clause within the Executive Branch's authority to enforce federal law.

"The best analogies" she could think of were in the Civil Rights era when the federal government wanted to integrate schools and make polling places safe for persons of color.

"States would sometimes use their authority to argue that the federal government was unduly interfering with their trespass laws or their transportation laws or their safety in polling places laws — which was all a political ruse to try to maintain the status quo," Benson said.

Benson suggested that the DOJ pleadings might not be "the measure of the government's full argument, because I truly do read some of the latest pleadings from the DOJ as written more for the press than for the courts."

Elaborating, the professor said, "The way they write their pleadings, the way they add rhetorical flourishes, the way they parrot statements in these executive orders that may have no basis in fact or law. I read the pleadings as completely out of character with the DOJ in Trump 1 or DOJ in Bush or DOJ in the prior Bush administration, or the Reagan administration."

Meanwhile, Benson said New York has a good argument that the DOJ complaint infringes on its 10th Amendment rights governing the division of powers between states and the federal government.

"Think of all the money New York taxpayers put into our district attorney system, and into our civil law system where we can get injunctions to protect people from domestic violence, or children from allegations of abuse by family, or others," said Benson, the law school's

Distinguished Professor of Immigration and Human Rights Law.

"We have our DAs looking carefully at cases, making determinations of when they will and when they won't seek incarceration of low-level offenders," Benson said. "If you turn all that on its head and make people fearful of coming to court because of immigration arrests, you're dismantling the state's choices of its money, it's criminal law and restorative justice."

@ Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com.

Hiring Spree

« Continued from page 1

partner Joshua Soven from Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison. Soven spent more than three years at Paul Weiss and brings antitrust experience in government enforcement at the FTC, Department of Justice, and private practice, firm chair Frank Lopez noted in a press release.

"Our antitrust practice is incredibly busy, and we believe Josh's arrival will enhance our reputation as a destination antitrust platform and support our increased M&A deal volume for clients navigating a complex regulatory landscape," Lopez said.

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe also added antitrust talent in Paris with the hiring of partner Chimène Faurant from Clifford Chance. Faurant's hiring will help Orrick service clients in competition regulation in growing areas such as technology and energy and infrastructure markets, said Patrick Tardivy, partner in charge of Europe, in a news release.

In litigation, Jenner & Block appellate litigator Peter Davis from the Washington, D.C., office of Latham & Watkins. A former U.S. Supreme Court clerk with experience representing technology and entertainment companies in constitutional and regulatory matters, Davis will help Jenner continue building "the nation's premier appellate practice," co-managing partners Ishan Bhabha and Randy Mehrberg said in a press release.

King & Spalding also strengthened its litigation practice with the addition of technology-oriented litigator Neel Chatterjee from Goodwin Procter's Menlo Park office. Chatterjee joins the business litigation practice and co-leads King & Spalding's intellectual property team. His arrival brings a "meaningful regional presence for us in a critical market where we are focused on growing," said Damien Marshall, co-leader of the firm's business litigation practice group, in a press release.

The real estate practice also saw a couple of partner moves, with Cozen O'Connor adding real estate partner Thomas Wechsler from the New York office of Ropes & Gray. Meanwhile, Cozen lost real estate partner and land use and zoning practice co-chair Vivien Krieger to Akerman's New York office.

Additional practices that saw partner moves following the Independence Day weekend include restructuring, tax, executive compensation, bank regulation, intellectual property and entertainment transactions.

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck entered the New York market with the hiring of five partners from Crowell & Moring, including New York-based intellectual property shareholders Anne Elise Herold Li and Paul Keller. Brownstein's other additions included government relations shareholders Jim Flood and Aaron Cummings in Washington, D.C., and Evan Chuck, another government relations shareholder who will split time between D.C. and Los Angeles.

Other New York-centric moves included Lowenstein Sandler's hiring of former Clifford Chance partner Kevin Colan to its tax practice, as well as Sullivan & Cromwell's hiring of Weil, Gotshal & Manges executive compensation partner Regina Readling. Readling previously practiced at Sullivan & Cromwell for nine years before joining Weil in May 2022.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher added Milbank partner Eugene Park to its business restructuring and reorganization practice as well as its liability management and special situations practice groups. Park is the fourth restructuring partner to join Gibson Dunn this year amid an uptick in liability management exercises, per a firm press release.

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld also added New York talent in the form of structured finance partner Sarah Milam from Dechert, who joins Akin's capital solutions platform with a focus on asset-based finance, structured finance transactions and securitization.

In another finance move, Jones Day added partners Michael Dawson and Nicholas Podsiadly to its financial markets practice in D.C. Dawson arrives from Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, where he worked for four years after a 16-year stint at IBM's Promontory Financial Group, while Podsiadly was formerly a senior deputy general counsel and senior vice president at Fifth Third Bank in Cincinnati.

Finally, Weil bolstered its Los Angeles office with the hiring of DLA Piper entertainment transactions co-chair David Markman, who joins Weil as a partner in its entertainment, sports and media (ESM) practice.

In an interview with Law.com, Markman described the opportunity to join Weil's growing ESM practice in Los Angeles as "not just exciting, but rare," and said the role was "as advertised."

@ Dan Roe can be reached at droe@alm.com.

Brownstein

« Continued from page 1

"What Brownstein offers that I think is unique in the city is a team with experience in almost every corner of Capitol Hill, and that will allow us to offer the full menu of government affairs options to clients to engage with the Trump White House and Congress that very few firms in Washington have the ability to offer at this point in time," Flood added.

The group, composed of legal and policy professionals, "strengthens our capabilities in IP, trade and policy," said Brownstein managing partner Rich Benenson.

"The international trade component is a new area of focus for us, and we think there's a lot of opportunities there, and we're excited about the opportunity as well to grow our intellectual property practice in a way that fits in Washington, but also really fits with the rest of our firm," Benenson said. "And importantly, is adding some life science and biotech skillsets."

The group had previously worked together at Crowell. Chuck, for instance, worked as a strategist for multinational corporations and private equity firms, navigating the complexities of international trade and geopolitical conflict involving China and around the world.

The firm's entrance in the New York market will mark its 14th office location and follows on the heels of the firm opening a Tampa office this January. The firm is still looking for office space in New York, according to Benenson.

"We are looking to grow, and we think part of that growth strategy is some new markets that make sense," Benenson said.

The growth comes on a solid financial year for the firm, with its revenue up 9% last year to $289.3 million in 2024. The firm's profits per equity partner increased nearly 21% to $1.36 million.

Aside from Li and Keller, who will be New York-based, several other existing firm attorneys will spend time in the New York office. However, the firm plans to continue building out its New York presence within some of its core areas, Benenson said.

"As we look at new markets, we look to our strengths and think we'll be looking on the transactional side, some real estate and some corporate, also likely to look at some policy opportunities in the state, although those would typically be in Albany," he said.

A spokesperson for Crowell thanked the group for their contributions to the firm.

"We thank our former colleagues for their contributions to the firm, and we wish them the best moving forward," the spokesperson said.

The spokesperson declined to comment on its leadership plans for the government affairs practice group.

Crowell has announced several hires of its own, bringing on Matthew Ferraro from the Department of Homeland Security late last month. The firm also announced a combination with Faber Daeufer & Itrato, a Boston-founded boutique specializing in corporate and transactional counsel in the drug, life sciences and emerging technology sectors, last month.

@ Abigail Adcox can be reached at aadcox@alm.com.

Letters Welcome

The Law Journal welcomes letters from its readers for publication. They must contain the names and addresses of correspondents. Letters should be of reasonable length and submitted with the understanding that all correspondence is subject to the editorial judgment of the newspaper in considering duplication, length, relevancy, taste and other criteria. Letters may be e-mailed to Andrew Denney at adenney@alm.com.

Outside Counsel

Invisible Wounds: Why the Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act Matters

When the Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act was introduced in Congress this spring, it received relatively little media attention. But for the survivors of sexual violence and the attorneys who represent them, this legislation marks a crucial step toward addressing one of the most quietly devastating inequities in our legal system.

The bill, introduced by Representatives Lloyd Smucker (R-Pa.) and Gwen Moore (D-Wis.), seeks to exempt civil recoveries awarded to survivors of sexual abuse, harassment, and other forms of sexual misconduct from federal income tax, even when those recoveries are for non-physical injuries.

If passed, the legislation would codify what many of us in the legal profession have long argued: that the harm inflicted by sexual violence is not always visible, but it is real, and it deserves full recognition under the law.

The Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act is a much-needed remedy to a quiet but deeply damaging injustice. As an attorney who represents survivors of sexual abuse, harassment, and discrimination, it's important to understand what it's like for a survivor to pursue justice in a system that often treats their pain as invisible unless it leaves bruises.

For decades, survivors of sexual violence have turned to civil courts when the criminal system has failed them, which is not uncommon. Criminal prosecution is notoriously difficult in sexual assault cases, especially when the abuse is historical, the evidence is largely testimonial, or the survivor delayed reporting for reasons we now understand are entirely consistent with trauma. Civil litigation offers another path, not just to financial redress, but to public acknowledgment and a sense of agency that is often denied in criminal proceedings.

By **Ann Olivarius**

In the civil arena, the survivor drives the process. Their voice is central. They can compel accountability, confront their abuser, and, most critically, begin to rebuild their lives. Financial compensation becomes the means to achieve stability. It helps them to pay for therapy, cover medical expenses, leave unsafe living situations, or reclaim economic footing after years of trauma-induced disruption.

Yet many survivors are shocked to discover that these hard-won awards are treated as taxable income by the IRS unless they can demonstrate "observable bodily harm." If the injuries they suffered are primarily psychological, such as panic attacks, chronic anxiety, PTSD, disordered eating, or even suicidality, they must navigate an arcane and deeply flawed standard that renders their pain legally lesser.

> Many survivors are shocked to discover that these hard-won awards are treated as taxable income by the IRS unless they can demonstrate "observable bodily harm."

This is not a minor inconvenience. It is a final, demoralizing blow for many survivors who have already endured so much. They step forward, relive their trauma in depositions, endure invasive discovery processes, and face aggressive defense counsel determined to discredit them. They do all of this in pursuit of some form of justice. And then, just when they begin to reclaim a measure of control, they are told that the harm they've suffered doesn't count in the eyes of the federal tax code.

This is the context in which the Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act must be understood. On the surface, it appears to address a tax loophole. In reality, it seeks to correct the much more insidious problem of the longstanding devaluation of psychological harm in our legal infrastructure.

I've spent more than three decades representing survivors of sexual violence in civil cases. I know what it costs them to come forward, not just financially, but emotionally, socially, and professionally. I've represented women who lost jobs for speaking up, students who dropped out of university after being ignored by administrators, and individuals whose personal relationships were permanently scarred by the trauma they carried in silence.

When they do find the strength to pursue legal action, they are not doing so out of a desire for profit. They are seeking validation, accountability, and the resources to move forward. It is a profound mischaracterization to frame civil settlements in these cases as windfalls. They are more often lifelines.

A broken bone will heal, with proper treatment and with time, but the damage of non-bodily harm accumulates, in missed opportunities and severed relationships. Sexual abuse doesn't need to be physically violent to derail a life.

And yet, because of the way current tax law is written, those lifelines can be undercut by arbitrary distinctions. If a survivor has bruises, the settlement may be tax-free. If their injuries are less visible but just as debilitating, they may owe the IRS a portion of the money meant to help them heal.

It is difficult to overstate how deeply unjust this is. We are essentially telling survivors that unless their trauma is visible to the naked eye, it does not warrant full legal redress.

Our understanding of trauma has evolved. Courts now regularly admit expert testimony about PTSD, the neurobiological effects of sexual assault, and the complexities of trauma response. Juries are increasingly educated on why survivors may

» Page 8

ANN OLIVARIUS *is senior partner and chair of the executive committee at McAllister Olivarius.*

IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

intended donor sent the money to the crypto wallet, believing the funds were going to the inaugural committee, court records show. Within two hours after receiving the funds, they were moved from the 58c52 crypto wallet to other crypto addresses. Through blockchain analysis, the FBI identified and recovered about $40,300, which is the property subject to forfeiture in this civil action.

Jared Lenow, a former federal prosecutor in the Southern District of New York's securities and commodities task force and now a partner at Friedman Kaplan Seiler Adelman & Robbins in New York, said the challenge in recovering the remaining money is that sophisticated cryptocurrency scammers have a variety of tools to try to hide their tracks, leading to more bad actors unless there is regulatory action.

"I believe we are going to see even more of this type of fraud in the coming years in light of the wide-scale adoption and substantial value of many cryptocurrencies," said Lenow, who is not involved in the case. "Fraudsters are attracted to valuable cryptocurrency wallets like moths to a flame, and if an individual or entity is investing in crypto, they need to stay up to date on best practices for keeping those assets secure."

—Michael A. Mora

Disciplinary Proceeding

The Appellate Division, First Department

Matter of Ronald Barry Weisenberg, an attorney and counselor-at-law

Motion No. 2025-02274

Appellate Division, First Department

Moulton, J.P., Friedman, Kapnick, Scarpulla, Shulman, JJ.

Decided: *July 1, 2024*

Jorge Dopico, Chief Attorney, Attorney Grievance Committee, New York (Raymond Vallejo, of counsel), for petitioner

Respondent, pro se.

Per curiam—Respondent Ronald B. Weisenberg was admitted to the practice of law in the State of New York by the Third Judicial Department on May 16, 2000, under the name Ronald Barry Weisenberg.[1] At all times relevant to this proceeding, respondent maintained a registered address in the First Judicial Department.[2]

On October 23, 2024, respondent was convicted, upon his plea of guilty, in Supreme Court, New York County, of Promoting a Sexual Performance by a Child inviolation of Penal Law §263.15, a class D felony. Subsequently, respondentwas sentenced to a 10-year term of probation and was required to register as a sexoffender. Respondent's conviction stemmed from his conduct on or about December 6, 2023, when, knowing the character and content thereof, he promoted a performance, which includes sexual contact by a child less than 17 years old, through his use of a computer program called BitTorrent.

Now, by motion dated April 18, 2025, the Attorney Grievance Committee (AGC)seeks an order, pursuant to Judiciary Law §90 (4) (a) and (b) and the Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters (22 NYCRR) §1240.12 (c) (1), striking respondent's name from the roll of attorneys and counselors-at law in the State of New York on the ground that he has been convicted of a felony as defined by Judiciary Law §90 (4) (e), that is, his October 23, 2024, conviction for promoting a sexual performance by a child, and, thus, was automatically disbarred by operation of law as of the date thereof. The AGC maintains that respondent has been automatically disbarred by operation of law because of his New York felony conviction (see e.g. *Matter of Trubitsky*, — AD3d —, 2025 NY Slip Op 02649, *1 [1st Dept 2025]; *Matter of Cohen*, 227 AD3d 18, 20 [1st Dept 2024]; *Matter of Reich*, 206 AD3d 22, 24 [1st Dept 2022]; *Matter of Samuels*, 309 AD2d 7, 8 [1st Dept 2003]).

Respondent does not oppose the motion. By his affirmation dated May 12, 2025, respondent states, inter alia, that his conviction "stemmed from the use of a filesharing method (Torrent) which automatically made illicit content accessible to others upon download" but "that [he] did not knowingly sell, share, or distribute this material to others"; his "behavior was influenced by unresolved trauma and emotional dysfunction that [he] had not previously addressed" but took steps to address after his arrest through "intensive individual therapy"; he has "also participated in family therapy, which has been vital to rebuilding trust with [his] wife and son"; he is "deeply sorry for the possibility that, by viewing such material, [he] may have contributed in any way to the continued exploitation perpetuated by its creators"; and he "hope[s] to demonstrate through sustained humility, service, and lawful conduct that [he is] worthy of a second chance."

We find that respondent should be deemed disbarred by operation of law as of the date of his conviction, as "the statutory language of Judiciary Law §90 (4) (a) clearly provides that automatic disbarment is self-executing and occurs at the time of conviction of a felony" (*Matter of Reich*, 206 AD3d at 24).

Accordingly, the AGC's motion should be granted, respondent disbarred, and his name stricken from the roll of attorneys and counselors-at-law in the State of New York, effective nunc pro tunc to October 23, 2024 (date of conviction), and until further order of this Court.

All concur.

1. Respondent appears pro se.

2. According to OCA records, respondent's attorney registration is delinquent for the 2024-25 biennial period.

Technology Today

TECHNOLOGY LAW

New York Restricts Collection And Use of Minors' Data

By **Peter Brown**

And **Doron Goldstein**

"New York families can now rest assured that companies cannot collect and share children's personal information without consent..."

—Letitia James,
New York Attorney General

ADOBE STOCK

The protection of children from online harms has become both a political talking point and an area of substantial recent federal and state legislative and regulatory activity. While federal legislation provides some protection for the personal data of minors up to age 13, many states have legislated broader restrictions in both scope and age.

In the past couple of years at least nineteen states have restricted collection and use of minors' data, mandated detailed age verification, and/or required parental consent.

New York has joined this trend with the New York Child Data Protection Act (NYCDPA), which became effective on June 20, 2025.

Given the size and influence of New York state, these new regulations have the potential to reshape the landscape of children's privacy in the digital world, imposing new requirements on companies that collect, store, or process data of minors under 18.

The New York Office of the Attorney General (OAG) has already released guidance on the law's implementation, and businesses that interact with minors online and that have not already undertaken the necessary steps to comply should do so immediately.

This article outlines key elements of the NYCDPA, requirements for businesses, and the implications for both companies and minors.

Defining the Scope of the NYCDPA: What Constitutes A 'Covered User'?

The NYCDPA casts a wide net, applying broadly to any business or online operator that processes personal data of individuals under the age of 18. Covered users include both (a) individuals the operator knows are under 18 and (b) users of websites, online services, mobile apps, or connected devices that are "primarily directed to minors."

This scope is significantly broader than the federal Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA), which only covers children under the age of 13.

The "primarily directed to minors" standard under the NYCDPA is intentionally less rigid than COPPA's "directed to children" framework. The statute does not enumerate fixed criteria to determine whether a site qualifies as primarily directed, but the attorney general's guidance clarifies that platforms with a primary audience of minors—whether based on content, marketing, or actual user demographics—fall within the statute's reach.

> This scope is significantly broader than the federal Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA), which only covers children under the age of 13.

Importantly, the OAG rejected the creation of a middle-ground "mixed audience" category akin to COPPA's 2013 amendments. Instead, services are either in or out, based on whether minors make up the primary audience. Platforms "of general interest" that incidentally serve minors are not in scope unless they have actual knowledge that users are under 18.

According to the guidance, if an operator is aware and could recognize that a particular user is a minor based on information from one service, that same user, even if logging into the same service via another system (i.e. a downstream log-in) or is connecting to another service (even one not primarily direct to minors) using an account that could be recognized as that of a minor, that user would remain a covered user throughout those interactions.

This means that downstream platforms cannot ignore the original source of their users. In the absence of formal rulemaking, operators may still look to COPPA's factors (e.g., subject matter, use of child models, or advertising targeting children) as helpful in assessing risk—but New York's interpretation remains distinct and independently enforceable.

Processing and Retention Standards: When and How Can Operators Handle Minors' Data?

A significant change under the NYCDPA is the stricter approach to parental consent for » Page 8

PETER BROWN *is the principal of Peter Brown & Associates PLLC where he concentrates on transactions and litigation relating to information technology and arbitrating technology disputes.* DORON GOLDSTEIN *is a partner at Withers.*

Seyfarth Shaw Is Turning To the Old-School Science Fair To Spur Firm-Wide Innovation

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

MANY Big Law firms are currently trying to find creative ways to get lawyers to experiment with generative AI tools and other legal tech products, including hosting hackathons, mandating new training, and more.

Seyfarth Shaw has taken a unique approach, recently launching the second annual edition of its SEYence Fair, a contest open to all firm personnel. Designed to mimic a school science fair, the contest runs across the summer as part of the firm's AI & Innovation Summer Experience, and now includes a shorter-form version for summer associates.

The competition is held virtually, allowing participation from across Seyfarth's offices. Entrants are tasked with presenting innovation solutions to business problems they identify, and compete for awards presented by a judging panel. Last year's fair saw roughly 60 entries from more than 80 participants, including attorneys, paralegals and affiliated professionals, and the firm expects a similar level of participation this year.

Submissions can include proposals for new AI tools, such as last year's winner, a data scraping and synthesization tool for client service delivery, but can also include changes to workflows or new collaborative efforts across different parts of the firm.

Finalists will be announced later this month and will present their submissions to colleagues and firm leadership in a firmwide webinar, with the winners selected in September. In addition to an overall winner, the judging panel will vote on awards including "most creative," and "best presentation."

A Broader View of Innovation

The firm added a pair of new categories for the second edition of the fair, including a non-tech innovation category designed to encourage » Page 7

RICK KOPSTEIN/ALM

The firm's subscription-based STAAR program provides AI policies and use cases, regulatory updates and insight into what other businesses are doing.

Debevoise & Plimpton Is Building a New Model For Delivering AI Adoption Advice

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

GENERAL counsel face a constant stream of novel issues, few more pressing than the myriad legal and regulatory issues raised by the adoption of new AI tools and workflows. At the same time, they're always under pressure to bring down outside counsel spending.

Debevoise & Pimpton believes it may have a solution to both issues at once.

The firm is in beta testing for a new subscription offering for AI adoption, the Suite of Tools for Assessing AI Risk (STAAR). For a flat monthly subscription fee, Debevoise provides its clients access to an online platform containing various AI adoption policies, vetted AI use cases, and continuous regulatory tracking and updates related to court decisions and laws governing the deployment of AI.

The program is designed to address AI use cases that will be broadly applicable to multiple clients. STAAR is intended to cover relatively low-risk use cases, and clients will still need to work with an attorney on an hourly basis for higher-risk use cases like those related to core business functions.

Subscribers "don't see it as an area in which they're competing [against other businesses], and they view this as being a way to get some kind of regulatory comfort, risk comfort from a legal point of view." said Avi Gesser, co-chair of the firm's data strategy and security group.

"If this is something that Debevoise, with all the experience that we have and all the visibility that we have and all the sort of regulatory background that we have, thinks is a good way to do this particular thing … that to [clients] feels pretty safe from a regulatory point of view," he added.

How It Works

STAAR contains comprehensive AI adoption policies, protocols for workflows and tasks such as data scraping and AI labeling, and risk » Page 8

Will Clio's $1B vLex Acquisition Level The Playing Field For Smaller Firms?

BY ELLA SHERMAN

CLIO and Harvey have gobbled up significant swaths of legal research data, with Clio's recent $1 billion acquisition of vLex and Harvey's new partnership with LexisNexis.

Experts told Legaltech News that legal research data is a valuable resource that small and midsize law firms need as well as something that could help legal tech companies hold a competitive edge among more general gen AI tools offering research capabilities.

VLex has stood out from other legal research companies such as Westlaw and LexisNexis as it mainly caters to small and medium-sized businesses (SMBs).

According to Ryan O'Leary, a research director in IDC's Security and Trust research program, the vLex and Clio acquisition could make legal research and practice management jointly accessible for small law firms competing with larger law firms.

"[Clio is] trying to level the playing field, and they're seeing AI and legal research as an avenue to do this in terms of being able to compete with the well-resourced and law firms and it's a smart play," he said. "Being able to augment and essentially raise the efficiency of law firms through their practice management solution with this capability … a lot of billing guidelines with corporates do not allow these firms to bill for research, so any of the time spent doing research is kind of in a way lost revenue, so being able to limit that is huge."

Clio has also made it clear that vLex is also valuable in that there are only a small number of established legal research providers holding significant data.

"We see there being really two key assets to what vLex has built … one is that foundation of a billion legal documents, and not just the underlying primary case law and the secondary sources that are encapsulated in that database, but all of the metadata and annotation that vLex has built on top of that database," Clio founder and CEO Jack Newton told Legaltech News. "Outside of the data that exists in Thomson Reuters and LexisNexis and their respective legal research databases, there is no company in the world that has the kind of data that vLex has."

In addition to the need for legal research capabilities from small and midsized firms, the strategic partnership between Harvey and LexisNexis announced last month presents an opportunity for them to win over legal professionals that may favor deep research capabilities from most common models developed by OpenAI, Google and others.

The deep research feature offered by these providers typically produces more in-depth analysis based on user queries and the output might consist of more extensive answers with sourcing and citations. » Page 8

New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888

Official Publication for the First And Second Judicial Departments

150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017

ALM.

The New York Law Journal
(ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020)
is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays by ALM,
150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level,
New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b) of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions, First and Second Departments, pursuant to authority conferred on them by Section 91[1] and [2] of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York as a newspaper of general circulation for the publication of legal notices in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the New York Law Journal, 150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request.

Quality

« *Continued from page 1*

believe that most firms aren't taking them seriously enough to dominate niche but growing industries that aren't overly rate-constrained.

Rather than taking on the most profitable firms by picking off expensive laterals in the most lucrative practice areas, becoming a market leader in a niche that isn't as competitive in Big Law (yet) can help a firm gain ground in more competitive practices.

"You might be able to get traction by differentiating yourself in private equity or M&A by starting with doing work for funds and other clients that are investing in those sectors because you know those sectors from other work you're doing," Zimmermann said.

What's more, failing to focus enough on niche industries and their specific problems and risks not only prevents a firm from getting more lucrative work from a client, but it can sour the firm's relationship with that client altogether.

"If you survey law firm clients on what is their number one selection criteria, they want someone who knows their business," said law firm adviser Patrick McKenna. "A GC said to me one day, 'If I have one more lawyer who walks into my office and says they can do it better, faster, or cheaper, I'm going to puke on their shoes.'"

The Halo of Quality

Historically more focused on practice areas than the industries they serve, law firms could look to other professional service firms for guidance. "If you look at consulting, accounting and law, law firms, largely speaking, are behind in going to market by industry," said law firm adviser Mark Masson of Lotis Blue Consulting. "I don't want to suggest that no firms have made strides, but there's a long way to go across the Am Law 200 for firms to put muscle behind going to market as an industry group rather than going as a practice group and trying to present that as an industry."

Zimmermann offers clients the example of the health care sector: If you're a person in need of a very specialized cardiac procedure as a matter of life or death, you're going to be looking for a practitioner who does that procedure all day, every day.

"That hospital is going to have much higher demand as long as people know it as a leader for that kind of procedure, and people coming out of medical school are going to be more interested in going to a program that does that procedure all the time," Zimmermann said. "So I think one of the benefits of market leadership—and why it's so smart to have a strategic plan that focuses on size and profitability but also market leadership—is you end up with outsized demand, especially if you're in an area that's not rate-constrained."

Once a firm is known to perform the best work in a specific industry, it becomes well-positioned to take advantage of the halo effect, a cognitive bias in which quality in one area signals quality across a firm's spectrum of offerings.

For a firm looking to gain ground in middle-market M&A and private equity, Zimmermann said he would advise them not to take on the Goliaths of the space. "But let's say the firm has strength in industry sectors A, B and C, even if the strength in those sectors is doing litigation or other work, we might say you do have the halo of quality because of the quality of work you do in those three industry sectors."

In one such case, a firm that formerly employed Joe Calve of Calve Communications—a former marketing leader for four Am Law 100 firms and the former publisher of ALM—parlayed employment work it did for professional sports teams into corporate work such as stadium finance. "The firm went from a firm that was lamenting where it was to being so much more profitable than it was then," Calve said.

How To Focus on Industry

The first rule of truly prioritizing growth in an industry group is to allocate resources to it. "If you're going to market with industry expertise in biotech, you need to have people with that track record and experience," Masson said. "If the industry leader in a firm isn't bringing on luminary talent to back up the claim marketing is making … marketing can only do so much when there's no substance to the things you say you're becoming."

Industry groups should have their own leaders and budgets, Calve said, and partners need to be incentivized to collaborate in accordance with a firm's strategic plan. "Like so many things in Big Law, it comes back to partner compensation," he said.

Where firms have real niche expertise and experience, they should make it readily apparent in contacts with clients and on their websites. For instance, health care and life sciences are two different industries, McKenna noted, but many firms group them as either an industry group or a practice group.

"Health care has over 90 niche areas," McKenna said. "If I'm looking to find a law firm that deals with optometry and I don't see anything on your website, I go elsewhere." Many firms will have such specific experience in attorneys' biography pages, McKenna added, but that requires an additional search that some prospective clients won't do.

Showcasing a firm's expertise in a growing industry can help it get on the front end of rapidly growing markets. "Humanoid robotics is forecasted to be a trillion-dollar industry by the end of the decade. Please name one firm that has a robotics practice," McKenna said. "Littler has one that they set up in 2013, and Littler is a firm that specializes in labor and employment of all things."

The 2013 Littler press release announcing the group was titled, "Littler Forms Robotics Practice Group to Help Employers Prepare for the Workplace of the Future."

Hitting the road can be even more helpful than a detailed website in terms of showcasing a firm's presence in an industry, Lisa Smith of Fairfax Associates said. "Industry specialization is useful because it helps firms think about who our potential clients are in this sector and how do we get exposure," Smith said. "It's much more about being known within an industry and attending industry events, as opposed to relying on websites or Chambers or things like that."

@ Dan Roe can be reached at droe@alm.com.

Perspective

COURTESY PHOTO

Former Appellate Division Judge **David Saxe** reflects on his early judicial experience managing a City Part court and the associated pressure to settle personal injury claims against New York City.

An Afternoon in a City Part

BY DAVID SAXE

The Judge had been recently promoted to Acting Supreme Court status in order to manage a City Part, a court part that had been established to deal with the proliferation of personal injury claims brought against the City of New York. He sat with his law secretary in a musty, sparsely furnished room at the converted courthouse located at 80 Centre Street in lower Manhattan.

All afternoon, plaintiffs' lawyers were ushered into the room to plead their cases before a representative of the Corporation Counsel, the legal arm of the City of New York and another from the Comptroller's office, the keeper of the city's fisc. The judge, believing that a vigorous, hands-on negotiating style would produce the most settlements, had spent the better part of the afternoon being told by the Comptroller's representative that the presented cases were respectively garbage, a lot of crap, sanctionable to the plaintiff's attorney, and in any event, dismissible as a matter of law.

Knowing how court administrators scanned settlement figures with great interest, the recently elevated judge noted with some anxiety that only three cases remained for discussion out of the original 20 on the calendar that afternoon.

The Comptroller's representative, Benny Paris, a peripatetic trained lawyer, was known to every personal injury lawyer in New York because it was he who determined how much money the City of New York would pay in settlement of a case. He cut a dashing figure—tailored Armani suits, a well-styled trim for his long silken grey hair and an occasional unlit Cuban cigar as he made his way through the city's courtrooms where he demonstrated his iron-clad control of the city's fisc.

"Listen," said the judge, "I haven't settled one case today."

"Judge, Judge," said the Comptroller's representative, "the demands are outrageous. What do you expect from us? You see it. We can't bid against these outrageous demands."

"But look," said the judge trying to mollify the representative who was reaching for the telephone to answer entreaties from judges all over the city, "we depend on settlements in this system. I can't run a part if all these cases have to be tried."

"Judge, Judge," said the representative, "you wouldn't want us to settle phony cases, would you?"

"Benny, I don't care if they are real or phony. I just want you to settle some of these."

The Judge quickly realized his own desperation and the foolishness of his last statement.

"Look," said the judge; "let's see if we can get at least one or two settlements before the day is over."

"We stand ready to cooperate with the court, your honor, you know that."

The judge nodded to his court officer, who opened the door to the courtroom and shouted out the name of the next case on the calendar, Diaz -v- City of NY.

"Here, ready," said the portly lawyer, beads of sweat appearing on his brow as he made his way to the front of the room. Plaintiff's over here, said the officer in a commanding voice, pointing to the side of the table to the judge's left.

Jack, how are you? said the Comptroller's representative. What have you got for us today?

"It's a wrongful death case, your Honor my client drowned in a City swimming pool because he couldn't swim and there was no lifeguard on duty."

The lawyer for the City handed the Comptroller's representative a type-written sheet which he read intently but he soon started to smolder.

"This is what I've been talking about Judge, it's an absolute phony."

"I resent your tone of voice Benny. This man was a devoted husband and father, "said the lawyer."

"Look Jack, what we know, what you're obviously not telling us, is that your client, this model gentleman, crawled through a hole in a fence in Central Park sometime around 1:00 AM in the morning, stoned drunk with drugs in his system also and drowned in a foot and a half of water. And, you want me to pay for this?"

> The Judge slowly got up, opened the door to the courtroom and beckoned the plaintiff's lawyer to the side. "Listen," said the judge looking intently at him. "I think they want to settle the case but your number is really too high."

"Benny, there was still a hole in the city's fence and he still is dead," said the lawyer.

"Jack, how much are you looking for? I promised the judge some settlements."

"Well Benny, today only I'm willing to make a considerable concession - $150k."

"You mean $150.00, that would be more like it," said the representative.

"Don't insult me Benny. I'm serious about my number. This is a real case."

"Real case, my ass. This is a total fraud. Your Honor, I said I would try to settle some cases and I will tell you what I'm going to do. I'll pay his burial expenses—that's fair and generous, isn't it your Honor?"

And with that the plaintiff's lawyer took his file and stormed out of the anteroom.

"Well, I guess that's not going to settle," the judge exclaimed.

The judge was unnerved at the abrupt end to this conference but was still optimistic that there were possibilities for a settlement or two.

The next case was called and the lawyer for the plaintiff nodded to Benny as he sat down and prepared for Benny's opening.

"What have you got for us today?" Benny said.

"Trip and fall on a cracked sidewalk. Torn rotator cuff. Decent recovery and before you say anything Benny," said the lawyer looking at the Comptroller's representative, "here's my Big Apple notice."

"You anticipated my question," said the representative, glancing at the papers handed to him. "What are you looking for, Joe?" said the representative. "I want to settle one for the judge here."

"Look, Benny, you and I know each other. You know I don't play the bullshit games the way other plaintiff's lawyers do".

"How much?" said the representative impatiently.

"Between you and me, I need 75, Benny, bottom line."

"Be serious counselor," said the representative. "Be serious. Look, let me talk to the judge for a moment. Would you please step out." The lawyer started to gather up his papers. "Leave your papers, we wouldn't think of looking through your file," smiled the representative.

The lawyer left the room and the judge was left to learn what the representative and his trial attorney from the Corporation Counsel's office had in mind.

"Judge, I want to give you a few settlements but his number is really too high. He knows it, too." "Probably a bit too high. I'd say 60," said the judge.

"Oh Judge," said the representative. "The case has problems." "What? Notice is good," replied the judge.

"Judge, I'm going to let you in on a little secret, but you gotta promise not to tell."

"OK, what's that?"

"This guy has a long criminal record. At least four arrests in the last five years." "OK, OK," said the judge. "How much are you offering?"

"Judge, for you and because we respect you I'm giving you 40 to settle this case but try to save us some of it."

The Judge slowly got up, opened the door to the courtroom and beckoned the plaintiff's lawyer to the side. "Listen," said the judge looking intently at him. "I think they want to settle the case but your number is really too high."

"Well, what are they prepared to pay?" said the lawyer.

"Look, before I discuss that," said the judge, "I think there are problems with your case." "Like what?" said the lawyer.

"Like your guy is a con," said the judge.

"That can't come in," Judge.

"Well, sometimes these things have a way of getting in front of a jury," warned the judge. "And, besides" said the judge trying another tact, "he made a good recovery."

"I said that at the beginning, Judge, that's why I only asked for 75."

"Look," said the judge. "They offered 25. I know it's not great but you ought to consider it."

"Judge, they're taking advantage of me. Between you and me, I'd rather not try this case. I've had trouble locating my client. And, Judge look, I don't want to be a chazza. Try to get me 50," pleaded the lawyer.

"I'll try," said the judge, "but I can't promise they'll move that high. I think there's some possibility of movement but not that much."

The judge closed the door behind him and with his back against the wall looked directly at the redoubtable representative of the City's fisc.

"Benny, I've done everything I could but I think I need a little extra." "What is he looking for?" asked the representative cautiously.

"He said he'll take 55 but I think I could press him at 45."

"Judge, 40 is a gift. That's it. Tell him take it or leave it. I'm not going to be held up by some shyster representing a felon." "Wait a minute," said the judge. "The point is to settle cases."

"It is, your honor, but it's not our obligation to be held up."

The judge went back into the courtroom and quickly acknowledged the plaintiff's lawyer. "Any luck?" asked the lawyer.

"A little," said the judge. "I've got him up to 35 but he said if I come back in and ask for a dime more, the offer is withdrawn. He said for me to tell you he's only doing it because he thinks you're a straight shooter."

"Judge, can you get me five more, please. I think there's a lien somewhere. I want my client to get something. I'm even cutting my fee."

"Look," said the judge. "I think you should get 10 more but you know Benny. If you want

» *Page 7*

DAVID B. SAXE, *a partner at Morrison Cohen, served as an Associate Justice of the Appellate Division, First Department for 19 years. The views expressed in this essay are solely those of the authors.*

Expert Analysis / Technology Today

Corporations

« Continued from page 3

trustees legally required to function as a corporation), keep up with religious services (because the corporation can no longer afford employ its religious leader), and, as a result, the assets of the corporation are not used prudently and potentially go to waste (such as, allowing a building to fall into disrepair and failing to prevent damage).

Of special note for trustees of religious corporations, each trustee holds office until the expiration of their term or their resignation, and until a successor is elected or appointed, as the case may be, and that individual assumes that position to replace the resigning trustee.

If there is no replacement trustee, the only way to free an outgoing trustee from their legal and fiduciary duties to that entity is through assuring the dissolution of the corporation in accordance with the RCL.

Therefore, it is advisable that an organization's board be proactive in discerning if dissolution is the correct path and not wait until the number of trustees dwindles.

Proper planning also prevents corporate waste and the potential accrual of liabilities including ongoing fees and taxes, and planning allows an opportunity for the remaining trustees and members to discern the orderly distribution—and transfer—of remaining assets (including any real property or personal property of the corporation).

Regrettably, an inactive corporation—a corporation that fails to legally dissolve, but is for all intents and purposes non-functioning—is vulnerable to waste and incurring unnecessary risks, including but not limited to real property tax liens and leaving the last slate of trustees and officers with insufficient director and officer insurance which makes them potentially liable for their actions (or inactions) on behalf of the religious corporation.

II. Who May Petition The Court for the Dissolution Of A New York Religious Corporation?

In New York, as discussed above, there is one avenue for a religious corporation to dissolve…that is through the court, which therefore requires that legal counsel file an application—via verified petition—with the court.However, legal counsel is a vehicle to file a petition with the court on behalf of the corporation. The individuals that can direct legal counsel to file such petition are as follows:

Trustees. If the corporation retains trustees, then a majority of the trustees of the religious organization can apply, via its legal counsel, to the court for the dissolution of the entity.

Members. In the event that there are no trustees of the corporation residing in the county in the county (where the corporation is located, i.e., the location where the primary place of worship), then the remaining corporate members of the organization residing in the county can, through a majority decision and by its legal counsel, make an application to the court for dissolution.

Ecclesiastical Governing Body. If the religious corporation is part of a larger ecclesiastical entity and that governing body determines the congregation is (1) no longer acting in its corporate capacity and (2) failing to keep up with religious services, then the governing body can request that the corporation dissolve.

In the event that the trustees, the other officers of the religious corporation, or the corporate members refuse to pursue the governing body's request for such a dissolution of the religious corporation, then the governing body, by its legal counsel, can make an application to the court for dissolution and the proceeds of the dissolution would vest in that governing body.

III. What are the Steps for the Dissolution of a Religious Corporation?

1. Planning. The first step for the group filing the application with the court—the trustees, the remaining members, or the governing body—would be to adopt a plan of action.

The dissolution plan should set forth certain basic information in connection with the organization, such as a list of assets or property (along with condition and estimated value), and a list of any debts or liabilities.

If certain property is to be sold or otherwise liquidated as a part of the dissolution process, for example, in order to cover debts, or otherwise facilitate the dissolution, then the plan of dissolution should set forth the details of the sale (including the price, the buyer and any terms for closing the transaction) and liquation process.

The plan of dissolution should also describe what will occur with the remaining assets and property (for example, the art and books of historical significance would be gifted to another entity that had a similar purpose, and all net cash would be conveyed to another religious entity or some other benevolent or charitable organization).

2. Prepare a Verified Petition. Once there is a solid plan in place, legal counsel, prepares a petition, based on the plan of dissolution and distribution of the assets, which provides the particular reason, or cause, for the religious corporation to dissolve and the need for the liquidation of assets, and requests permission from the court to dissolve in accordance with the adopted plan.

In most circumstances, the petition sets forth the background information related to the religious corporation (for example, how and for what purpose it was formed), and what led the corporation to this point of requesting to dissolve and establishing the plan of dissolution and distribution of the assets.

3. Notify the Public. Publication of a notice in a local newspaper in the same county as the corporation for four (4) consecutive weeks setting forth that the religious corporation plans to file with the court a verified petition for dissolution is a precondition for filing the petition.

4. File Verified Petition with the Court. A petition for dissolution, along with the accompanying exhibits (including but not limited to a copy of the corporate formation documents, evidence of the adoption of the plan of dissolution and distribution of assets, and certificate of publication), must be filed by a New York attorney on behalf of the relevant stakeholders of the religious corporation.

5. Effectuate the Plan. Upon receipt of an order by the court approving the plan of dissolution for the religious corporation, then the remaining stakeholders (whether trustees, members, or governing body) must effectuate the plan of dissolution, including the sale or liquidation of any real property, and the distribution (i.e., transfer or conveyance) of the remaining assets of the religious corporation.

6. Record the Dissolution. Although not a requirement of section 18 of the RCL, best practice suggests that, upon fully executing the plan of dissolution and the distribution of all the remaining assets, a certificate of dissolution accompanied by the court order, should be filed with the government entity where the religious corporation was initially incorporated.

Notably, religious corporations are unique from most other corporations formed in the state of New York, in that the laws permit religious corporations to be formed at the New York state level (with the New York Department of State, similar to all other entities, including not-for-profit corporations) or at the local (county) level with the office of the county clerk.

Insolvent Religious Corporation

It is important to note that if the dissolving religious corporation is insolvent—where the corporation's liabilities exceed its assets—then its petition to the court for dissolution must also include the procedures set forth in N-PCL article 11.

Further, if the dissolving religious corporation is insolvent, then it is recommended that the corporation seek consultation from bankruptcy counsel, in conjunction with counsel familiar with the RCL and N-PCL to review the various procedures and options (and to determine the suitability of a bankruptcy filing) and devise a plan of action.

Conclusion

As demographics change, the costs of maintaining and operating real estate increase, and the needs in local community shift, religious corporations must discern if they are able to continue to function and thrive.

If the reality is that the religious corporation can no longer operate due to the lack of resources (be it financial or lack of involvement by community members), and are not holding religious services, then the remaining trustees and members must act to prevent unnecessary waste of the organization's charitable assets and legally secure an appropriate home for the entity's remaining property.

Although a religious corporation may need to dissolve, the impact and mission of that dissolving organization can live on through proper planning.

Seyfarth

« Continued from page 5

more entrants to submit process changes that might improve the firm's work in addition to new technology tools.

"Technology is obviously incredibly important to innovation and thinking about how we deliver more value, but it's not the only way in which law firms can deliver more value," said Lorie Almon, Seyfarth's chair and managing partner. "We want to create an environment where people take a very broad view of what it means to be innovative, a very broad view of what it means to deliver value to a client and use all the resources at our disposal."

The firm also included a "Half-Baked Idea Track," designed to solicit more early-stage ideas that entrants might not be able to turn into a working prototype on their own, but could inspire a functional product or process change down the road.

"What we heard with respect to feedback after last year was people came out and said, 'You know, I had an idea. I wasn't sure if it was good enough for SEYence Fair. It wasn't perfect," said Zeynep Ersin, Seyfarth's chief innovation and strategic design officer. "That helped us wanting to create a platform for folks to be able to have a forum where they could submit what they would consider 'half-baked,' and allow others to provide comments, suggestions and either take that idea further, collaborate together and create a new theme, or see where it went from there."

A Different Model

Entries to the fair are not required to be working prototypes, but can instead be an outline or proposal for a new tool or process. The firm places a particular importance on allowing early-career attorneys to participate, encouraging them to take a broader view of legal practice than they may have received in law school.

"Legal education is not particularly encouraging sometimes of really thinking boldly outside the box, particularly when it comes to AI," Almon said. "A lot of times legal education is leading students to two mistaken beliefs. One is that using AI is cheating, or two, that AI is going to take your job. And neither of those things are really true."

While some hackathons and similar events require participants to work on problems specified by their organizers, the fair instead allows entrants to identify the problems or pain points in their own work that could be addressed through innovation.

"We wanted to allow folks to come to the table with ideas across the board, whether it be related to day-to-day operations, legal service delivery, client service delivery, client engagement," Ersin said.

While firm leaders expect this year's contest will also feature a number of AI-forward entries, they're hoping the new categories produce a broader spectrum of submissions.

Working With Seyfarth Labs

Even as the fair serves as a source of fun and team building, it also helps to involve the firm's technology innovation team, Seyfarth labs. Entrants serve as a source of ideas for Seyfarth Labs, allowing the firm's attorneys and other employees to propose solutions to the problems they actually face day to day in their practice groups and working with clients.

This includes last year's winning data-scraping tool, which is currently being developed into a permanent product. "The winner from last year, they worked directly with labs to move into execution," Ersin noted.

Beyond the potential to work with Seyfarth Labs, Ersin said that a majority of entries would be presented to firm leadership, allowing practice group leaders to see where their colleagues face challenges and how they're beginning to think about addressing them.

The possibility that their work might turn into a more formalized product also serves as an added form of motivation for participants.

"There's real pride in the submissions that people are putting together," Almon said. "It's really exciting for people not only to come up with these ideas, but then them being brought into reality and then getting to use them for their client work."

@ Benjamin Joyner can be reached at bjoyner@alm.com.

Daily columns in the Law Journal report developments in laws affecting medical malpractice, immigration, equal employment opportunity, pensions, personal-injury claims, communications and many other areas.

Calendar

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

NY City Bar
Celebrate the Summer with the Solo and Small Law Firm Community!
5:30 p.m. – 7 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SLF070925&mcode=NYLJ
42 West 44th Street
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Nassau Community College
The Impact of Robert Moses on Highways, Beaches and Much More
3 PM
WHPC Radio 90.3 FM
Voice stream or Podcast anytime
www.nccradio.org

THURSDAY, JULY 10

NY City Bar (CLE)
CLE Title: Ethics and the "Reasonable" Legal Fee
10 a.m. – 12:15 p.m.
2 CLE credits
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB071025&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, JULY 10-SATURDAY, JULY 12

NY State Bar (CLE)
Family Law Summer Meeting in Saratoga
https://nysba.org/events/family-law-section-2025-summer-meeting-in-saratoga/
6 CLE credits
Saratoga Springs

FRIDAY, JULY 11

NY State Bar
A Day at the Races: Trial Lawyers Section Member Social
https://nysba.org/events/a-day-at-the-races-trial-lawyers-section-member-social/
Saratoga Springs

NY City Bar
Senior Lawyers Chatroom
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SEN071125&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, JULY 11-SUNDAY, JULY 13

NY State Bar (CLE)
Tax Section Summer Meeting at Crystal Springs Resort, NJ
https://nysba.org/events/tax-section-2025-summer-meeting/
5 CLE credits
Hamburg, NJ

NY State Bar (CLE)
Recent Cryptocurrency Issues
https://nysba.org/events/recent-cryptocurrency-issues/
1 CLE credit
Virtual

NY City Bar (CLE)
Best Practices in Working with Court Interpreters and Ethical Issues in Court Interpreting
5 p.m. – 6:30 p.m.
1.5 CLE credits
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB071525&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NY City Bar (Non CLE)
Lessons for Lawyers and Leaders with Mark C. Fava: Author, Vice President and Ombudsperson at The Boeing Company
6:30 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=AERO071525&mcode=NYLJ
42 West 44th Street
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org
What It's Really Like to Practice Law as a Woman
6 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE071525&mcode=NYLJ&WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314
42 West 44th Street
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

WEDNESDAY, JULY 16

Nassau Community College
The Legal Issues and Other Challenges Overcome by William J Levitt in Building Levittown
3 PM
WHPC Radio 90.3 FM Radio
Voice Stream or Podcast anytime
www.nccradio.org

THURSDAY, JULY 17

NY City Bar (CLE)
ccounting for Lawyers
9:30 a.m. – 1:10 p.m.
3.5 CLE credits
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&mcode=NYLJ&EventKey=_WEB071725
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, JULY 17 SATURDAY, JULY 19

NY State Bar
Elder Law and Special Needs Section Summer Meeting in Baltimore
https://nysba.org/events/elder-law-and-special-needs-section-summer-2025-meeting/
Baltimore, MD

THURSDAY, JULY 17 SUNDAY, JULY 20

NY State Bar (CLE)
Real Property Law Section Summer Meeting at Crystal Springs Resort, NJ
https://nysba.org/events/real-property-law-section-summer-meeting-2025/
6.5 CLE credits
Hamburg, NJ

WEDNESDAY, JULY 23

NY City Bar (CLE)
Supreme Court — A Year in Review, 2024 Term
6 p.m. – 9 p.m.
3 CLE credits
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB072325&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NY City Bar (Non CLE)
Bankruptcy and the Privacy Line: When Personal Information Becomes an Asset
6 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BANK072325&mcode=NYLJ
42 West 44th Street
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, JULY 25

NY City Bar
Senior Lawyers Chatroom
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SEN072525&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, JULY 31

NY City Bar (CLE)
The "How To" of Successful Motion Practice: Practical Advice and Tips
4 p.m. - 7 p.m.
3 CLE credits
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB073125&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Have an event to list?
E-mail the details to pkane@alm.com

Have a Move to Announce?
E-mail pkane@alm.com

City Part

« Continued from page 6

a trial, I'll give you a trial but I'm tapped out with him. 35—take it or leave it." The lawyer thought for a moment and then looked up.

"Settled," said the lawyer. "Will you speak to my client when I find him? he replied to the judge."

"Sure," said the judge. "Let's go inside."

The Judge opened the door; the lawyer followed him. The Comptroller's representative cocked his head away from the telephone receiver he was holding. Settled for 35, said the judge.

"You drive a hard bargain, counselor," said the Comptroller's representative, marking the case on his sheet, settled.

"Well, Judge, how many more cases do we have?"

"One more," said the judge. Let's see if we can go two-for-two.

The judge nodded wearily to his court officer who left the room to call out the last case of the afternoon.

The officer returned to the anteroom followed by a middle-aged attorney carrying a well tattered case file. The judge took the yellow case card and looked it over trying to recollect something about the case that would help in settlement.

"Let's see," said the judge. "It's a fractured ankle." "Right," said the plaintiff's lawyer.

"Closed reduction too," said the medically astute jurist.

"Let me see your notice," broke in the Comptroller's representative. "Give me a copy of the face sheet of the hospital report too." "There was only emergency room treatment, Benny," said the lawyer.

The Comptroller's representative took the papers handed to him as well as four or five Polaroid snapshots and studied them carefully.

"This isn't our case," roared the representative.

"Look here," he said pointing at one of the photographs, the crack is right on top of the first step of the subway stairs. The TA should be on the case. Serve a third-party complaint on them right away he directed the young assistant corporation counsel assigned to try this case who was eagerly sopping up this wealth of practical experience.

"No, the crack is on the sidewalk just before it runs into the beginning of the TA's steps," said the plaintiff's lawyer.

"That's no crack at all," said Benny. "Whatever it is, it's de minimus. This is the TA's case."

"Hold on," said the judge. "This case is marked final for trial three times. There's no time left for a third-party action."

"Judge, Judge, we don't pay on phony cases or cases that are not ours," said the representative.

The judge motioned to the plaintiffs lawyer to follow him outside the room. When they were out of hearing range from the City's entourage, the judge turned to the plaintiff's lawyer and said:

"He's right you know. You screwed up. It's the TA's case. The city's not in it."

"I'm not sure about that," said the lawyer. "Look over here the cut extends to the sidewalk."

"Yeah, but that's not where he said he tripped and also you have no prior written notice," said the judge.

"I don't need it," said the lawyer. "The city had an affirmative obligation to repair." "I don't know what you're talking about," said the judge.

"Judge, look. Just get me a little and I can settle the case. I can't walk away with nothing." The judge said nothing but walked inside to confront the representative.

"I can get this case to go away for $5,000. That's a bargain," said the judge.

"Judge, Judge, how can you ask me to pay $5,000 on this case? He's got a lot of nerve to sue us on this case: a lot of nerve to tax our scarce resources."

"Look Benny, let's concentrate on getting rid of some cases. You only settled one this afternoon you know."

"Judge, look, because it's you and you know how much I respect you, I'll give you $1,500 to settle the case."

"Benny you know I can't get it to go away for that amount," said the judge.

"Beyond that, it's a hold-up" Benny replied.

"Look, Benny, I got five thousand in the bank with you from before. Let's use it now," said the judge toughening his resolve.

"Judge, Judge, there's no bank. We evaluate each case on the merits and this case has none. Listen, I've got to run but I'll call you in a month. Maybe we'll be luckier then and have a few more to settle."

And, as quickly as the Comptroller's representative darted out the door, the judge slowly put together all the 20 or so scattered yellow calendar cards on his desk, noted the figure 1 on his tally sheet which reflected his day-to-day progress in the Part and wondered how this turn of events would be viewed by the court administrators who had recently elevated him and who kept an eye on these matters.

Data

« Continued from page 5

the collection and processing of minors' data. For children under 13, the NYCDPA effectively mirrors COPPA by allowing data processing that is permitted under the federal statute. Unlike COPPA, the NYCDPA also imposes requirements for teens ages 13-17.

Data processing must be either: 1) based on "informed consent" from the minor, or 2) strictly necessary to achieve one of nine specifically enumerated purposes, such as fraud prevention, legal compliance, or providing a requested product or service.

The "strictly necessary" standard reflects a substantive data minimization approach. Operators cannot collect or process personal data beyond what is required to deliver a specific, requested service or for core operational purposes.

The OAG has emphasized that this standard also includes a "reasonable expectations" test: operators must limit their practices to what a typical teen user would reasonably expect. That means no hidden tracking, profiling for ad targeting, or unrelated data use under the guise of "personalization."

The guidance makes clear that services cannot evade this requirement simply by marketing tracking features as part of the product itself.

Alternatively, operators may rely on "informed consent," but the bar is high. Consent must be given separately from any general agreement to use the service and must not be obtained through dark patterns or manipulative interfaces.

Moreover, the NYCDPA goes further than most other state privacy laws by requiring prominence of refusal: the option to decline consent must be presented as the most prominent option. Consent must also be as easy to revoke as it is to grant.

Operators may implement "age flags" (i.e., browser extensions, device settings, or other user-input mechanisms) to help determine a user's age. These age signals—analogous to universal opt-out mechanisms in other state privacy laws—may be used to facilitate informed consent or its refusal.

Until rules are formally promulgated, the OAG has indicated that good-faith compliance efforts using age flags will weigh heavily against enforcement actions.

Additionally, the NYCDPA requires that all covered user personal data must be deleted after the end of the particular services, unless retention is otherwise required by law.

Enforcement and Penalties: The Role of the New York Attorney General

Unlike other states that allow for private causes of action, the NYCDPA limits enforcement power to the attorney general, but with significant consequences for businesses that fail to comply.

The OAG has the authority to impose monetary penalties, seek injunctive relief, and even demand the destruction of unlawfully obtained data (and any algorithms that may have been trained on the data)—a rare but impactful remedy that underscores the seriousness with which New York is treating violations of children's privacy.

The OAG has a record of aggressive enforcement actions, especially in the realm of privacy and data protection, and businesses should anticipate increased scrutiny under the new law. In its guidance, the OAG has indicated that it will consider an operator's good-faith efforts to comply with the NYCDPA when evaluating whether to pursue enforcement actions.

Businesses that proactively adjust their data collection practices and improve their consent mechanisms will be in a stronger position to avoid penalties.

What Businesses Should Do Now to Comply with the NYCDPA

In anticipation of a potential enforcement action under the NYCDPA, businesses should take a number of steps to ensure compliance. First and foremost, operators should conduct a thorough audit of their data collection practices to assess whether they are collecting data from minors or offering services primarily directed at minors.

If so, businesses will need to implement or update their consent mechanisms to meet New York's new, more stringent standards. This may involve using age verification tools, offering clear opt-in consent options, and ensuring that refusing consent is as easy as granting it.

Next, businesses should review their data retention policies to ensure that they are in line with the law's data minimization and deletion requirements. Operators should implement controls for limiting how long they will keep children's personal data and ensuring that it is deleted once it is no longer necessary for the purposes for which it was collected, unless retention is required by law.

Similarly, businesses will need to assess their third-party relationships and ensure that any partners handling minors' data are also in compliance with the NYCDPA.

Lastly, businesses should revisit their privacy notices and disclosures. Under the NYCDPA, operators must provide clear information to parents and minors about what data is being collected, how it will be used, and who it will be shared with.

These notices must be updated to include additional details about the collection and use of minors' data, as well as to ensure compliance with the law's heightened parental consent standards.

Conclusion

The NYCDPA sets a new norm for how businesses must handle minors' data. With stricter consent mechanisms, stronger data minimization rules, and greater enforcement powers for the attorney general, businesses must act promptly to ensure they are addressing their applicable requirements.

In line with the growing public concern over the use and abuse of children's data, the NYCDPA establishes a framework that prioritizes the privacy and safety of minors while holding businesses accountable for their data practices. Operators who voluntarily comply will build trust with parents and users alike.

Crypto

« Continued from page 1

emotionally and financially before the final blow.

And experts say a combination of increased investment in crypto and decreased criminal and regulatory enforcement creates a ripe environment for litigation.

But Doan pushed back, and has since retained investigators who claimed they traced nearly $6 million of the investment to six wallets.

Doan sued three "Doe" defendants in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, alleging a global internet investment crypto fraud and conversion scheme under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. RICO is a 1970-enacted federal statute originally drafted to target the American Mafia for its dastardly crimes.

Bini, who is not involved in the case, said if the allegations in the complaint are true, authorities may be investigating the case, but are likely not confident they have sufficient evidence to bring criminal charges against the perpetrators or conclusively know their identities.

"It's consistent with what I'm seeing anecdotally," Bini said. "A combination of increased investment in crypto and decreased criminal and regulatory enforcement is a perfect storm for an explosion in crypto civil litigation."

Marshal J. Hoda of the Hoda Law Firm in Texas and Daniel J. Thornburgh of Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis, & Overholtz in Florida represent Doan and did not respond to a request for comment.

The legal terrain around digital assets remains fragmented, both domestically and internationally. President Donald J. Trump vowed to end the Biden administration's "war on crypto," leading the Securities and Exchange Commission to indicate it will bring limited enforcement actions until a regulatory agenda is established.

However, critics argue that it is the Trump family's recent digital asset investments that have led agencies, including the Department of Justice, to reduce investigations into cryptocurrency-related scams, at the same time as fraud tied to cryptocurrencies makes up the majority of U.S. internet-crime losses.

Brian Townsend, a retired Drug Enforcement Administration supervisory special agent who now teaches an introduction to cryptocurrency seminar to law enforcement, said Doan's attorneys likely filed the complaint Thursday to avoid missing certain legal deadlines, and will amend the lawsuit if they learn the Doe defendants' real identities.

"It can also open the possibility of him getting documents from crypto exchanges that require legal orders," Townsend said. "I would call this somewhat of a Hail Mary."

Darrell P. White, a partner at Kimura London & White in California, is lead counsel in one of the largest civil asset forfeiture cases, involving a wallet valued at $7 billion. White said the Doan case is part of a broader trend in which individuals, including those he represents, are solicited through messaging apps, emotionally engaged, and scammed by spoof crypto websites.

The individuals, as Doan alleged here, aim to target platforms and intermediaries who facilitated the laundering. White said he is seeing "more and more of this as victims try to sue accessible parties." But without the plaintiffs' ability to demonstrate that the Doe intermediaries knew or should have known about the fraud, White said, "these cases present serious legal hurdles."

"This case serves to highlight the growing need for courts to give guidance on digital asset jurisdiction, third-party liability, and cross-border enforcement," White said. "Civil courts will remain the first port of call for victims to pursue justice for crypto-based fraud until regulation catches up."

@ Michael A. Mora can be reached at mmora@alm.com.

Wounds

« Continued from page 4

delay reporting or behave inconsistently. The legal field has made meaningful progress in recognizing that psychological harm is both real and consequential.

But that recognition has not yet reached all corners of our system. The federal tax code, for example, still hinges on outdated notions of what constitutes "real" injury. When survivors are forced to fit their experiences into a narrow definition that privileges physical wounds over emotional and psychological trauma, we uphold the very hierarchies of harm that the law should be dismantling.

The consequences are not theoretical. When settlements are taxed, survivors often receive far less than they need to support their recovery. For those already facing financial hardship due to missed work, healthcare costs, or educational setbacks, this can be devastating. It can stall or completely derail the healing process.

We saw this play out in the high-profile case involving Dr. Larry Nassar, former physician for the USA Gymnastics Team. Survivors there faced the grim realization that their settlements, despite being rooted in profound psychological trauma, could be taxed under IRS standards that require "observable bodily harm." The injustice is clear. Much sexual abuse is not physically violent precisely because the abuser knows how to inflict grave psychological damage to their victim. Dr. Nassar didn't violently overpower his victims. He didn't need to. He abused his authority as a doctor to masquerade his abuse as therapy. In the Victims' Impact Statements at his trial, many of the victims mentioned the harm of this prolonged manipulation was worse or equal to the physical impact of his sexual abuse.

A similarly troubling example comes from attorney Shahrad Milanfar, who secured a $32 million verdict in an elder abuse case. He has described the painful conversations he's had with clients after the fact, when, instead of closure, they're met with the news that a portion of their settlement may be taxed. For many survivors, it feels like a second betrayal after finding the courage to confront their abuser and endure the grueling litigation process, they are left to reckon with the financial erosion of what was meant to be justice. It's yet another way our system diminishes the weight of psychological harm.

As a legal community, we must ask, how equal is a system that delivers different outcomes based on the visibility of harm? How just is a process that punishes survivors for the way their trauma manifests?

Fortunately, change is no longer just a hope, it's happening. While the Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act marks vital progress at the federal level, some of the most powerful momentum is coming from the states. New York has emerged as a national leader, blazing a trail with bold legislation that acknowledges the full spectrum of harm caused by sexual violence.

Under the Adult Survivors Act (ASA), the state opened a one-year lookback window allowing adult survivors of sexual assault to file civil claims, no matter how long ago the abuse occurred, an extraordinary opportunity for justice that had long been denied. Coupled with the Gender-Motivated Violence Act (GMVA), which empowers survivors of gender-based violence to seek civil remedies, these laws reflect a deeper, evolving understanding of trauma and a system that is beginning, finally, to meet survivors where they are.

What unites these efforts is a common principle that survivors should not be penalized for how their pain manifests, nor for how their abuser chose to inflict it. Whether harm is visible on the outside or imprinted on the psyche, reflected in a life lived very differently, it is no less real, no less deserving of redress. The Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act builds on that foundation, recognizing that financial recovery is often not just symbolic; it's essential to healing. And when survivors are taxed on the very compensation meant to help them rebuild their lives, we undermine everything the legal process is supposed to stand for.

New York's leadership has shown what is possible, and now the federal government is beginning to follow suit. This is no longer a niche legal reform. It is part of a broader reckoning, one that is gaining ground and reshaping the national conversation. What was once dismissed or ignored is now being confronted with overdue clarity, and psychological harm is not secondary. It is central.

As more jurisdictions embrace survivor-centered laws, they are doing more than adjusting policy, they are reasserting what justice means. Civil remedies are not merely about dollars and cents. They are declarations of dignity, agency, and truth. They help survivors reclaim power in a system that too often denies them a voice. And when our laws begin to reflect that truth, we take a meaningful step toward a more just society.

No piece of legislation can undo the past. But the Survivor Justice Tax Prevention Act can help reshape the future. It offers survivors a path to healing that is not undermined by bureaucratic injustice. It signals to the legal community that all harm matters. And it reminds us, as professionals and as people, that justice must include recognition of pain, of courage, and of the right to rebuild one's life.

For the survivors I have represented, and the many more who have never had the chance to come forward, that recognition means everything.

Clio

« Continued from page 5

According to Law360's 2025 AI Survey, out of 360 lawyers, 40% said they were using gen AI to conduct legal research and 24% said they were planning to use it in the future. A majority of respondents, 62%, said they were currently using ChatGPT to perform legal tasks excluding e-discovery.

"I've spoken with many attorneys both at law firms and legal departments who are using either OpenAI or Gemini deep research in particular and [are] finding that it is very low on hallucinations … these tools are really, really powerful," said Zach Abramowitz, founder of Killer Whale Strategies.

Harvey Chief People Officer Katie Burke told Legaltech News that LexisNexis' arsenal of data was an important aspect of moving forward with the partnership.

"Data is available in a lot of different places, but part of what you look for is the trust that people have and integrity in that data and LexisNexis offers both, so for us, that was certainly a big driver of the decision," she said.

Whether Harvey and LexisNexis will be able to successfully hold a competitive advantage over other company's deep research methods is up in the air according to Abramowitz.

"If I started seeing survey results coming back, that lawyers are no longer using deep research and they've now shifted entirely back to some of these tools, then I'll believe it, but people are very sticky and loyal when it comes to the AI tools they use," he said. "People love them and also once deep research saves you a weekend. … You really develop a certain loyalty to it."

@ Ella Sherman can be reached at elsherman@alm.com.

Debevoise

« Continued from page 5

assessments for novel AI models like DeepSeek.

It also contains vetted use cases created for clients, which are stripped of identifying details and made broad enough for use by other subscribers with similar needs. The use cases are known as DARTs, named for their passage through the Debevoise AI Risk Assessment Tool, the firm's process for vetting use cases for legal and regulatory risks.

The individual DARTs are very granular, including detailed descriptions and training guides, specifying the AI tools to be used, containing sample inputs, prompts and outputs, and providing screenshots of relevant settings within individual tools. They also include descriptions of the risks involved in a given use case, and methods for mitigating those risks.

Gesser mentioned that the DARTs are as specific as using Zoom's AI-powered summaries for job interviews conducted in the U.S.

"If you wanted to use [Microsoft] Teams instead of Zoom, it's a different DART. [If] you want to do it in the U.K., it's a different DART," he said.

In addition to the policies and use cases, STAAR provides regulatory tracking on topics such as state regulation, international laws, and law governing chat bots, as well as more overarching quarterly updates.

Why the Model Works for Clients

Gesser told Legaltech News that clients felt an acute need for some sort of standards for AI deployment in the absence of comprehensive regulation. By pooling the efforts of multiple clients, the firm can both save them money and create a de facto industry standard, mitigating risk.

"The regulatory environment is pretty complicated and uncertain, and so staying on top of it is a big burden and expense," Gesser said.

"To the extent we have visibility across a whole group of clients within an industry, and get a sense of what are best practices and what is common through this sort of subscription model, we can get a sense for when people are doing something that's out of sync with what we think is the market," he added.

The program emerged out of Debevoise's ad hoc efforts to advise clients on AI adoption and governance. While the firm initially planned on providing individual documents with customizations for flat fees for legal advice on AI use cases, they found that clients were unaccustomed to paying by the document, and were often more concerned with making sure their practices and policies aligned with other businesses than crafting unique policies.

Additionally, the granularity of many AI use cases meant that it might not be financially worth it for a single client to pay the firm on an hourly basis to go through the process of vetting a specific use case.

"Knowing that we had five or six clients that were all interested in the same thing, it made sense to find some way to pool that work," Gesser said.

What's Next

The firm is only working with a small number of clients during the beta testing phase, as it is experimenting with the best ways to deliver updates and provide regulatory tracking to subscribers.

However, the firm plans to expand access to a larger group of clients at the conclusion of phase one, which is set to end in August.

With AI regulation is particularly muddled at the moment, as states move toward a patchwork of laws in the absence of comprehensive federal rules, Gesser believes client needs for help adopting AI tools will only grow as the technology evolves.

"Most of our time is actually spent on the application of existing laws that were never designed to apply to AI being applied to AI," he said. "The level of complexity with AI adoption isn't leveling off, as far as I can tell. It's just getting more and more [complicated] with agents and all these other pieces."

The subscription format may also serve as a model for further offerings from Debevoise. STAAR was partially modeled on an internal cyber tool that the firm had previously made available to clients on a subscription basis, and Gesser said he could see the model being applied to other areas of the law.

"I think there's a lot of promise in at least exploring these kinds of models, not because you'll get it right the first time, but because there's so much demand … for some other system," he said. "Maybe what we do here isn't exactly going to be right for other clients or other practice areas and so forth, but there's something in a lot of different practice areas that could look something like this."

@ Benjamin Joyner can be reached at bjoyner@alm.com.

Opinion: 25-30

« Continued from page 3

Any judge who recuses himself or herself from sitting in or taking any part in the decision of an action, claim, matter, motion or proceeding shall provide the reason for such recusal in writing or on the record; provided, however, that no judge shall be required to provide a reason for such recusal when the reason may result in embarrassment, or is of a personal nature, affecting the judge or a person related to the judge within the sixth degree by consanguinity or affinity.

The Unified Court System provides a form for recusal in accordance with Judiciary Law § 9, which may be used by a recusing judge and filed with the clerk of the court. Again, a judge should follow any administrative procedures established for recusal by his/her local supervising judge and/or district administrative judge.

We decline to comment on the appropriate scope of disclosure under Judiciary Law § 9, as this is a legal question beyond our jurisdiction (see Judiciary Law § 212[2][1]; Opinions 21-97; 21-02).

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

CALENDAR FOR THE JUNE TERM

***

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

10 A.M.

1100/13 In the Matter of the Application of Jasmine Bullard
653371/22 67 Equities v. IM Uptown

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

10 A.M.

320348/20 Rosenblatt v. Rosenblatt
813486/24 Santos v. Mejia

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

650756/24 Cowen & Company v. ReKTGlobal Holding

12:30 P.M.

655910/24 ACM Zoomcar v. Zoomcar Holdings

1 P.M.

810914/24 Goss-Lawson v. Matco Service Corporation

3:30 P.M.

850031/25 Santander Bank v. 558 West 151st Street

TUESDAY, JULY 15

10 A.M.

650791/25 Lignel v. Butler

12 P.M.

33467/20 Garcia v. IS Sigourney Realty

2 P.M.

158632/22 Restrepo v. Costa

WEDNESDAY, JULY 16

12 P.M.

36330/17 Rodriguez v. Madison Security Group

TUESDAY, JULY 22

10 A.M.

651234/23 Midway Wind v. Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy
806657/21 Williams-Miller v. Tilden

2 P.M.

805134/24 Santiago v. NYU College of Dentistry

MONDAY, JULY 28

10 A.M.

651863/23 BFAM Asian Opportunities v. Glory Health Industry

APPELLATE TERM

60 Centre Street
Room 401

10 A.M.

The following cases are on for argument:

The following cases are on for submission. No appearance is necessary.

New York County

SUPREME COURT

Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

Ex-Parte Motions
Room 315, 9:30 A.M.

Special Term Proceedings
Unsafe Buildings
Bellevue Psychiatric Center
Kirby Psychiatric Center
Metropolitan Hospital
Manhattan Psychiatric Center
Bellevue Hospital

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)
3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)
4 Kim: 308 (80 Centre)
5 Kingo: 320 (80 Centre)
6 King: 351 (60 Centre)
7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre)
8 Kotler: 278 (80 Centre)
9 Capitti: 355 (60 Centre)
11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)
12 Stroth: 328 (80 Centre)
13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)
15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)
17 Hagler: 335 (60 Centre)
18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)
19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)
20 Kaplan: 422 (60Centre)
21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)
22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)
23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)
25 Marcus: 1254 (111 Centre)
26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)
27 Dominguez: 289 (80 Centre)
28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)
29 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)
30 McMahon: Virtual (60 Centre)
32 Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
33 Rosado: 442 (60 Centre)
34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)
35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)
36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)
37 Engoron: 418 (60 Centre)
38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)
39 Clynes: 232 (60 Centre)
41 Moyne: 327 (80 Centre)
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)
46 Latin: 210 (71 Thomas)
47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)
49 Chan: 252 (60 Centre)
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)
51 Headley: 122 (80 Centre)
52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)
53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)
54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)
55 d'Auguste: 103 (71 Thomas)
56 Kelley: 204 (71 Thomas)
57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)
58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)
59 James, D.: 331 (60 Centre)
62 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)
65 Reo: 307 (80 Centre)
MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
MMSP-1: 1127B (111 Centre)
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule:

13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante 106(80 Centre)
ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREES
60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354
75R Burzio: Room 240
80R Edelman: Room 562
82R Wohl: Room 501B
83R Sambuco: Room 528
84R Feinberg: Room 641
85R Shamahs: Room 324
88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324

JHO/SPECIAL REFEREES
80 Centre Street

81R Hewitt: Room 321
87R Burke: Room 238
89R Hoahng: Room 236

SPECIAL REFEREE
71 Thomas Street

77R Bahr: Room 300

Judicial Hearing Officers

Part 91 Hon. C. Ramos
Part 93 Hon. Marin
Part 95 Hon. Edmead

Supreme Court
Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M.
60 Centre Street

Supreme Court
Motion Dispositions from Room 130
60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).
ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.
SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.
WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.
SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.
APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.
SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.
S—Stipulation.
C—Consent.
C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.
FINAL—Adjournment date is final

Supreme Court
Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M.
60 Centre Street

Supreme Court
Motion Dispositions from Room 130
60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).
ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.
SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.
WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.
SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.
APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.
SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.
S—Stipulation.
C—Consent.
C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.
FINAL—Adjournment date is final

SUPREME COURT

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part

TUESDAY, JULY 8

Submission

1 100358/25 Giancarlo v. Board of Education of The City School District of The City of New York
2 100657/25 Hunte v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
3 100433/25 Weaver v. The NYCHA

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

Submission

1 800168/11 Mercer v. NYCH&HC
2 101226/24 Semidey v. NYC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

Submission

1 100594/24 King v. 8 Spruce Ny Owner Llc
2 100652/25 Neveloff v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
3 100539/25 Sanchez v. Richman

Paperless Judge Part

TUESDAY, JULY 8

651690/21 1650 B'way. Associates, Inc. v. Sturm
653021/22 29 East 64th St. Corp. v. King Rose of NY Inc.
656729/21 315 B'way. v. B'way. Spirits Inc. Et Al
157905/24 Affordable Sales Inc. v. Grimoire Group LLC Et Al
653434/25 Akf Inc v. K & B Food Service
150185/16 Alicea v. NYC
153613/19 Alix v. 600 Rlty. Heights LLC
159641/23 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. The Studio School of Music
155761/21 Anderson v. NYC Tranist Auth. Et Al
157360/25 Application of Enterprise Fm Trust v. NYC Et Al
152626/23 Aps Electric Inc. v. Gustam Chawla Et Al
655276/24 Ascendus Inc v. Dakouo
154797/24 Badalov v. C. A. J. 113 Ludlow Corp. Et Al
153133/20 Barajas-Andrade v. Con Ed Co.
655083/24 Benuvia Hldgs. v. Next Frontier Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
154339/24 Blackwell v. Sharipov
650823/25 Bonacum v. Vosters
150302/20 Boskovich Barreto v. Downtown NYC Owner
160619/24 Canty v. Doe
159315/17 Capital One v. Laub
151893/20 Carlisle v. One Hudson Yards Owner
158801/22 Castillo v. Central Park Tower Condominium Et Al
653017/25 Ceiba Energy Us Lp v. New Fortress Energy Inc.
655237/24 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. K-J Demo And Const. LLC Et Al
152213/25 Cinfiors Ltd v. NYC Et Al
158427/21 Clarke v. 76 Eleventh Ave. Prop. Owner LLC Et Al
653958/23 Commercial Lighting Industries, Inc. v. Equinox Hldgs. LLC
450249/23 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Vestar Inc.
152589/22 Constantin v. Brookfield Properties One Wfc Co. LLC Et Al
655665/24 Continental Casualty Co. A/s/o Lam Group v. 57 Ann St. Rlty. Associates, Inc. Et Al
158757/24 Cruz v. 1775 Houses Tp4 LLC Et Al
157058/23 Diehl And Diehl Corp. v. Shorty's Clinton LLC Et Al
950213/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
152013/25 Doe v. Combs
152015/25 Doe v. Combs
151709/18 Doe v. Our Lady of Pompeii
805226/21 Domond v. Jewish Home Lifecare Manhattan
650005/24 Fundamental Partners IV Lp v. Gajavelli
656146/18 Gong v. Savage
150309/22 Guambana v. 1114 6th Ave. Owner LLC
154208/25 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp., And Hertz Co. v. Align Wellness Chiropractic
100203/25 Hintermaier v. Hands of Hope Physical Therapy & Wellness, Inc.
850113/23 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Carlson
652371/25 Housing Consultants of America v. Fairstead Mgt.
655271/24 Hv Manco v. Arc Capital Advisors
160106/16 J.F. v. Bsrep Ua River Crossing LLC
654627/21 Jac Operating v. Hna Hew 2 Intermediate
155519/18 James v. Rcb1 Nominee LLC
659255/24 Jpmorgan Chase & Co. Et Al v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. Et Al
850209/22 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Kocak
651255/24 Kogan v. Scamperle
161293/17 Krayn v. Hotchandani
652634/22 Leslie Digital Imaging LLC D/b/a Ldi v. Empower Information Systems, Inc. Et Al
153663/25 Mallette v. Mark Propco
651955/24 McLaughlin & Stern v. Treville
653860/23 Mendonca v. Zoran Medical LLC Et Al
656114/23 Miclaw Contracting Corp. v. 964 Acquisition Group LLC. Et Al
950699/21 Mingues v. Riverside Hawks A/k/a Riverside Hawks
151999/25 Mohammad v. Tisch
652161/25 Mount Sinai Hosps. Group, Inc. v. Affinity Health Plan, Inc. Et Al
655745/23 NY Life Ins. Co. v. John Hancock Life Ins. Co. (USA) Et Al
157594/24 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon As Collateral Agent And Custodian v. 329 E34 LLC Et Al
159540/23 Palma-Castro v. Madison Plaza Apt. Corp. Et Al
150462/22 Parise v. Savcon LLC Et Al
155397/25 Peary v. Dc Comics, Inc. Et Al
153751/21 Plaza Const. LLC v. Ware Industries, Inc. D/b/a
154344/23 Polanco v. Pc Richards Et Al
154337/25 Pryce v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al
653432/25 Ralph Interactive, Inc. v. Sme Capital Ventures LLC
151520/23 Rcgt 2988-14 LLC v. Campoverde
653823/25 Reeves v. Quora Et Al
162317/19 Rizwan v. Nuwest Logistics LLC
159404/23 Rodriguez Pineda v. Djm NYC
656468/18 Rolando Mechanical Corp. v. 2701 B'way. Rlty. LLC
161960/24 Root Ins. Co. v. A And R Medical Supply Corp. Et Al
151418/23 Ryan v. Pamela Equities Corp. Et Al
190149/21 Sandra Spiegelman v. Arkema Inc. Et Al
655826/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Csp 2294 LLC Et Al
850419/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Ref 46 St. LLC Et Al
160252/21 Smith v. NYC Et Al
153652/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Bright Physical Therapy
451908/24 State of NY v. Loveless
151274/25 The Cincinnati Ins. Companies Et Al v. Gutierrez
156385/25 The Legal Aid Society v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
156484/19 Travelers Prop. v. Myrie
650575/25 Turner Const. Co. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co.
153413/24 Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. Guiracocha Zavala
655395/16 Vergara v. Bibhu
157786/19 Wang v. Xiong
850192/22 Wells Fargo Bank v. Wang
155481/24 West 92 Grq v. Swig
850470/23 Wilmington Trust v. 162 Montague St. Cc LLC Et Al
150197/22 Wilson v. Villagecare Rehabilitation & Nursing Center Et Al
154017/24 Young v. Rose

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653522/24 5w Public Relations LLC v. Springowl Asset Mgt. LLC
650323/25 Abisera Inc v. Ttc USA
651181/25 Accredited Surety And Casualty Co., Inc. v. Southwest Marine And General Ins. Co.
652800/25 Advocate's Close LLC v. Khan
653164/25 Akf Inc. v. Generators
805005/25 Alhajri v. Girardi Md
653857/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Charles
653641/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Farias
653676/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Gabriel Taveras Et Al
653678/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Jose Cepeda Nunez Et Al
850122/15 Bank of NY Mellon v. Golden
153909/22 Blandon v. Seck
157462/23 Blce v. Devack & Chester
155265/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Gatsby Condominium v. Huberman
159916/21 Brooks v. Aecom Tishman Et Al
158378/22 Brunner v. Verizon NY Inc. Et Al
155851/24 Bucey v. Perry
156132/25 Cannon Mechanical, Inc. Et Al v. Alayode
154584/22 Central Air Conditioning System Corp v. Baim Contracting Inc. Et Al
659668/24 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's v. Nu Ride, Inc.
653496/25 Chang v. Chang
651193/25 Chubb Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Berman
451899/24 NYC v. Weed World, Inc.
452859/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Jass And Sons Corp.
452863/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Taa Home Improvement Inc
160584/21 Conza v. 4ts II LLC Et Al
805335/21 Cotter v. Baghdassarian
158366/20 Cuesta v. Inwood Heights, Inc.
654488/22 Cyberbit, Inc. v. Cloud Range Cyber
652115/23 Dahan v. 605 Apt. Corp. Et Al
157354/19 De Souza v. Hudson Yards Const. II
159746/24 Dilone v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
157879/25 Dovero 2 LLC v. NYC Dept. of Bldgs. Et Al
651269/25 Drury Design Dynamics, Inc. v. Sistas in Sales, Inc. Et Al
655684/24 Energo LLC v. Jrg Gas And Service
155770/24 Ericsen v. The Tower At City Pl. Et Al
653425/18 Ferer v. Design Dev. NYC
154582/24 Fidelity Nat. Title Ins. Co. v. Tmhcr 48th St. LLC Et Al
151958/22 Flores v. Tishman Const. Corp. Et Al
151781/25 Fogccs 218 West 147th St. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
653563/22 Fondue 26 v. Bogart
158312/19 Frame v. NYC Et Al
653627/25 Galyanurov v. Galyanurov
156038/18 Gojic v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.
653148/25 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Felix
150777/23 Green v. Lenox And Pennamon Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
151680/25 Grzep v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al
100398/25 Gu v. Tisch
162245/24 Harris v. Kass
805332/23 Hatziantoniou v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al
152951/24 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Prince
653822/24 Homelink Int'l Inc. v. Law Offices of Sanjay Chaubey
656266/23 in The Matter of The Application of The Bank of NY Mellon v. N/a
651469/18 J.G. Jewlry Pte. Ltd. v. Tjc Jewelry, Inc.
155107/16 Jara v. Durst Dev.
152522/22 Jaynes v. 21 West 106 Partners
155124/22 Jimenez Hernandez v. Museum of Modern Art Et Al
150350/25 Johnson Controls Security Solutions LLC v. Union Settlement Assoc., Inc.
651700/20 Jones Law Firm v. Peck
160189/24 Jun Group Prod.ions LLC v. Mundo Hispano Digital, Inc.
157772/25 Kem Rest v. Morrison
156645/24 L & B Apparel LLC v. Amini
652469/25 L4 Bio v. Graviton Bioscience Corp. Et Al
152395/24 Lcs Capital LLC v. Bailey
159826/19 Lee v. NYC
154268/24 Lexis Nexis v. Anderson & Ochs
653430/25 Lineslip Solutions, Inc. v. Willis North America, Inc. Et Al
150906/25 Lipsky v. 417 East 72nd St. Associates De LLC Et Al
157316/21 Mark Propco LLC v. Jackson Aka Lisa M. Calicchio
160818/15 Marte v. Figueroa
155925/25 Mennella's Poultry Co, Inc. v. B'way. Hosp.ity Venture
155923/25 Mennella's Poultry Co, Inc. v. Cieli Partners
153887/23 Meth v. Nordstrom, Inc.
156387/16 Morocho v. 450 Partners LLC
651813/22 Nagori Contracting Corp. v. NYC
151377/24 Najat v. Vyas
451429/25 NYCHA v. Crews
450811/25 NYCHA v. Fowler Sr
651577/25 NY Univ. v. Abdellatif
156325/25 Nisnevich v. Capella Univ.
150271/25 Pena v. Empire City Subway Co. (ltd.) Et Al
650091/24 Pirs Capital v. Shield Roofing of Morgantown
650288/25 Plumeria Accord Hldgs. v. Cheap Apparel Shopping
451838/25 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Clark
451812/25 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Traille
653074/25 Precision Testing Laboratories Inc. v. Shane
157608/22 Procel v. Bop Se LLC Et Al
150834/25 Raysor v. NYC Et Al
155620/22 Reynoso De Francisco v. NYC Et Al
156979/20 Robinson v. NYCHA
151285/20 Rorke v. Carmel Richmond Nursing
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
152508/25 Scps v. Kind Law Et Al
150248/24 Serhant LLC v. The Karen Miner-Romanoff Living Trust
162246/23 Sharif v. East Side Auto Inc. Et Al
153353/23 Sherman v. Ostb 174 West 172nd St. LLC
153332/25 Shroff v. Colon
653771/25 Smith v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.
659051/24 Sotheby's Int'l Rlty., Inc. v. Willett
158711/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Mv Physical Therapy
650812/22 Sweetpea Ventures Inc. Et Al v. Golenbock Eiseman Assor Bell & Peskoe Llp Et Al
157943/25 Tahir v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
651927/23 The Avanza Group v. Bfg 102
451994/25 NYC Dept. of Finance v. Bank of America
155962/24 Thompson v. Farmer
651566/25 Tillis v. Zar Rlty. NY LLC Et Al
190297/24 Torres v. L'oreal USA, Inc. Et Al
150464/25 Trikam NY 940-8 v. 940 8th Ave. LLC
652825/25 Tryon St. Acquisition Trust I Reo Hldgs. v. Dawnwood Mgt. LLC Et Al
152642/21 Urena v. Legacy Yards Tenants Lp Et Al
659157/24 Viola Credit Gl I v. Landa Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
161111/14 Weissbrod v. NYC
850679/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society Fsb v. No 1 Home Buyers LLC F/k/a Nyath Properties LLC Et Al
850647/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Northward Estates LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

160200/21 150 Central Park South Inc. D/b/a Hampshire House v. Jds Dev. LLC Et Al
651456/25 1888 Hylan Blvd Rlty. LLC v. Hylan Hair Studio
651662/25 1970 Group, Inc. v. Genesis Healthcare, Inc.
653560/22 25 Tudor Owners Corp. v. U.S. Intermodal, Inc.
850025/25 40 Rector Lender LLC v. Mz Rector 1800 Owner LLC Et Al
162368/23 7 East 63rd St. v. Makkos
652167/25 809 Madison Owner v. Carlton Associates, Inc.
651300/24 Abbott Resource Services Co. v. Moore St. Bldg. Corp. Et Al
160673/23 Allied Third Ave. v. Bright Kids NYC Inc. Et Al
158341/23 Alvarez Alfaro v. Selig Sacks Et Al
659761/24 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Unltd. Communications, Inc.
153014/25 Amusa v. NYC Et Al
153077/24 Andamio Scaffolding LLC v. Cohen Brothers Rlty. Corp. Et Al
155761/21 Anderson v. NYC Tranist Auth. Et Al
656079/18 Board of Mgrs. of The St. v. Jma Consultants, Inc. D/b/a
652165/25 Boldyn Networks Transit Us LLC v. Mazzarella
805050/22 Cady v. Varma M.D.
152397/23 Cajamarca Ramon v. Pizzarotti
651344/25 Casio America, Inc. v. Craniotes
150454/25 Catlin v. NYC
153884/25 Chen v. Sushi Tomoe Inc Et Al
653041/25 Chenies Investor LLC v. Robinson Foods Inc.
452992/24 Comm'r. of The State Ins. Fund v. Jar Brothers Corp
656782/22 D & V Rlty. LLC v. Klyukin
654027/13 Davis v. Scottish Re Group Ltd.
150825/25 Depay v. C&C Mgt.
151803/21 Eliades v. Stamatakis
154246/24 Espinal v. Tavarez
153179/23 Flaim v. Kaufman Arcade Associates
850134/19 Flushing Bank v. Cabrera Rlty. Corp.
160096/23 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2021 v. Holla Athletics LLC Et Al
162475/23 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2021 v. Moore Staffing
151524/24 Fora Financial Warehouse v. Victory Transport Corp Et Al
156005/21 Forza Rlty. v. Byron House Owners Corp.

COURT NOTES

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Is Accepting Applications for Western District Bankruptcy Judge

Application Deadine is Aug. 7

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for a 14-year appointment as United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Western District of New York, with a duty station in Rochester, New York. The selection process will be confidential and competitive. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability.

The current annual salary of a United States Bankruptcy Judge is $227,608.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. All applications are screened by a Merit Selection Committee. The Committee will review applicants using the following criteria: legal competence evidenced by experience with complex legal issues; an aptitude for legal scholarship and writing; familiarity with the courts and court processes; commitment to equal justice under the law; characteristics indicative of a sound judicial temperament; a reputation for integrity, good character and ethical behavior; and physical and mental health sufficient to meet the demands and tenure of the position. The Merit Selection Committee will select a limited number of applicants for interview and will conduct appropriate due diligence inquiries into the candidates' backgrounds and qualifications. Upon a majority vote of the Second Circuit Judicial Council, the Council will forward the Merit Selection Committee's Report with any recommendations or comments to the active judges of the Court of Appeals. The selected nominee will be required to satisfy FBI and IRS background investigations prior to appointment.

Basic qualifications for consideration include:

1. Membership in good standing of at least one state bar, the District of Columbia bar, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico bar, and never other than membership in good standing of every bar of which the applicant has been a member; and

2. A minimum of five years of legal practice experience.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov.

Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than August 7, 2025.

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Is Accepting Applications for Eastern District Bankruptcy Judge

Application Deadline is Aug. 7

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for a 14-year appointment as United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Eastern District of New York. There are two vacancies in the Eastern District of New York, one in Brooklyn and one in Central Islip. Applicants should identify in their cover letter whether they wish to be considered for Brooklyn, Central Islip, or both. The selection process will be confidential and competitive. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability.

The current annual salary of a United States Bankruptcy Judge is $227,608.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. All applications are screened by a Merit Selection Committee. The Committee will review applicants using the following criteria: legal competence evidenced by experience with complex legal issues; an aptitude for legal scholarship and writing; familiarity with the courts and court processes; commitment to equal justice under the law; characteristics indicative of a sound judicial temperament; a reputation for integrity, good character and ethical behavior; and physical and mental health sufficient to meet the demands and tenure of the position. The Merit Selection Committee will select a limited number of applicants for interview and will conduct appropriate due diligence inquiries into the candidates' backgrounds and qualifications. Upon a majority vote of the Second Circuit Judicial Council, the Council will forward the Merit Selection Committee's Report with any recommendations or comments to the active judges of the Court of Appeals. The selected nominee will be required to satisfy FBI and IRS background investigations prior to appointment.

Basic qualifications for consideration include:

1. Membership in good standing of at least one state bar, the District of Columbia bar, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico bar, and never other than membership in good standing of every bar of which the applicant has been a member; and

2. A minimum of five years of legal practice experience.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov.

Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than August 7, 2025.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT

Criminal Justice Act Committee Is Accepting Applications

Deadline is Sept. 8

The Criminal Justice Act Committee of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York is accepting new applications for appointment, and applications for reappointment, to the panel of attorneys under the Criminal Justice Act of 1964, through September 8, 2025. The Court encourages highly qualified and experienced criminal defense attorneys who reflect the diversity of the community to apply for membership on the CJA Panel for the District. Assignments to the Panel will be for a three-year period, beginning January 1, 2026. Applicants must be admitted and in good standing to practice in the Eastern District of New York.

Applications may be submitted for assignment to the Brooklyn or Central Islip panels, or both. The Committee is also seeking applications from practitioners whose experience is uniquely suited to handling petitions for post-conviction relief.

All application forms, instructions, and submission information are available on the Court's website at: https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/criminal-justice-act-info

New applications and applications for reappointment, along with all supporting documents, must be submitted in one flattened PDF file, no later than September 8, 2025, by electronic submission via the Court's website.

Please contact the Clerk of Court at 718-613-2270 if you experience difficulty uploading an application.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT

Re-Appointment of Incumbent Magistrate Judge Ona T. Wang

The current term of the office of United States Magistrate Judge Ona T. Wang is due to expire on March 4, 2026. The United States District Court is required by law to establish a panel of citizens to consider the reappointment of a magistrate judge to a new eight-year term.

The duties of a magistrate judge position include the follwing: (1) conduct of most preliminary proceedings in criminal cases; (2) trial and disposition of misdemeanor cases; (3) conduct of various pretrial matters and evidentiary proceedings on delegation from the judges of the district court; and (4) trial and disposition of civil cases upon consent of the litigants.

Comments from members of the bar and the public are invited as to whether the incumbent Magistrate Judge Ona T. Wang should be recommended by the panel for reappointment by the court, and should be directed to:

Edward Friedland
District Executive
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 820
New York, NY 10007-1312

Comments must be received by 30 days from date of notice.

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions in 'Onondaga County v. State of New York'

The Court has calendared appeals in 'Onondaga County v. State of New York '(APL 2025-00088) for argument on September 8, 2025. Appellants' briefs are due by June 12, 2025. Respondents' briefs are due by July 10, 2025. Appellants' reply briefs are due by July 24, 2025.

Motions for permission to file a brief amicus curiae must be served no later than August 5, 2025 and noticed for a return date no later than August 18, 2025.

Questions may be directed to the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705.

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER OF THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Pursuant to article VI, § 28(c) of the New York State Constitution and section 211 of the Judiciary Law, upon consultation with the Administrative Board of the Courts, and with the approval of the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, I hereby amend, effective July 7, 2025, sections 24.6(g), (n) and 25.18 of the Rules of the Chief Judge, by adding the underlined material and removing the [bracketed] material, to read as follows:

PART 24. TIME AND LEAVE

Section 24.6. Other Leaves With Pay

(g) Conferences. Four days' leave per annum without charge to an employee's leave credits may be

Continued on page 9

Court Calendars

154203/24 Gaughan v. Barounis
150190/22 Gould v. NY Arena Partners
652820/25 Grossman v. Zahler
156684/25 Harlem Smoke Shop 1 Inc v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al
161341/24 Hashemi-Mousavi v. Grdzelishvili
650659/25 Hawkeye Search Group LLC v. Vintage Home Entertainment Inc
154930/24 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp. And Hertz Co. v. Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic
151967/25 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp., And Hertz Co. v. A2k NY Corp Et Al
158656/24 Ilend Advance LLC v. Rocketstar
157601/25 in The Matter of The Application of Andrew Brown v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnficiation Corp.
651191/25 Kovacs v. Audioeye Inc. Et Al
160560/23 Lakins v. Peru Leasing Ltd. Partnership Et Al
652841/24 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Davidson
154327/24 Maloney v. Crystals Garden Inc. Et Al
158816/23 Markovic v. Sarpal
155929/18 Martin v. NYCTA
153376/18 Merchant v. NYC
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
161232/19 Morrison v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
152133/23 Myrie v. NYCTA Et Al
651609/25 Ovadia Brothers v. Domus Design Center
158143/25 Patel v. NYC Dept. of Consumer And Worker Protection
157671/19 Pauliah v. Memorial Sloan Kettering
155361/24 Peralta v. Nuride Transportation Group
152004/24 Polk v. NYC
154586/24 Polkowitz v. B'way. 280 Park Fee LLC Et Al
153197/24 Ramos v. Con Ed Co. of NY
650097/21 Red Apple 86 Fleet Pl. v. Hudson Machine Works, Inc.
157138/22 Rico Florez v. Dlc Dev. Corp. Et Al
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
155434/21 Saleh v. T-C 780 Third Ave. Owner LLC
152775/22 Scott v. Yeboah
805124/22 Seltz v. Vascular Surgical Associates Pllc Et Al
850391/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Icer of 255 West 121st St. LLC Et Al
654061/22 Sing For Service v. Allianza U.S., Inc., A California Corp. Et Al
153479/24 Standard Rlty. Associates Et Al v. Cupo
158594/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Joseph
654968/24 Sutton v. Tci Acquisition Co., Inc.
651927/23 The Avanza Group v. Bfg 102
850355/14 U.S. Bank Na v. Buco
160176/23 Wyma v. B'way. Desserts
157418/22 Zarkowski v. NYC Et Al

E-Filing Submission Part

Adjourned for Working Copies Part

Part 1

Justice Adam Silvera
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, JULY 8

152407/20 Aguirre v. 111 West 57th Prop. Owner
157590/18 Vivanco Jaramillo v. Vs 125 LLC
159746/18 Vivanco v. Halletts Astoria LLC

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

313319/11 Weir v. Weir

THURSDAY, JULY 10

156818/19 Geraghty v. Zhu

Part 2

Justice Lori S. Sattler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3852
Room 212

TUESDAY, JULY 8

651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
657073/21 1450 B'way. LLC v. American Pipe & Tank Lining Co. Et Al
653454/21 230 East 53rd St. LLC v. Jinna Zhang A/k/a J1n Na Zhang
656244/20 50 East 96th St. LLC v. Prestige Salon, Inc.
655311/23 Arena Ltd. Spv v. The Chalets LLC Et Al
656384/21 Ashenberg Law Group v. Mei He
653867/23 Bh 336 Partners LLC Et Al v. Sentinel Real Estate Corp. Et Al
652127/22 Cfs Enterprises Inc. T/a Cfs Steel Co. v. Jemzn Const. Inc. Et Al
651624/20 Conzentino v. Reda
653292/20 Daniels Norelli Cecere & Tavel v. Croman
153345/21 Diamonds Direct N.Y. LLC v. Ulanoff
155528/20 E.Z.Lor Rlty. LLC Et Al v. Narkis
155714/20 E.Z.Lor Rlty. LLC Et Al v. Narkis
156935/23 Empire Hell's Kitchen Tmpl v. NY Communications Center Associates
655253/20 Entech Engineering v. NY Engineers
650833/21 Five Star Electric Corp. Et Al v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al
652451/21 Fleischer v. Lau
653655/21 Goetz Fitzpatrick Llp v. Longo Commercial Cabinets, Inc. Et Al
656566/19 Haley v. Esi Cases & Accessories, Inc.
452627/20 Int'l Business v. Nhk Cosmomedia America, Inc.
150171/23 Joseph Calcagno LLC Et Al v. Clinton Housing West 40th Partners
653603/21 Kapitus Servicing, Inc. v. Metzer
652705/23 Kavner v. Amplify Eyecare, Inc.
653828/16 Lituma Manuel v. Tycoon Const.
653003/22 Lyons Ph.D. v. Boskind
153400/20 O'Hara v. Board of Directors of
153469/22 Ortiz v. Nerves Los Tres Preservation
162439/14 Perez v. Church of The Incarnation
157409/22 Sheehan v. E.W. Howell Const. Co.
159225/21 Sherman v. Orsid Rlty. Corp Et Al
654502/22 Slsjet Mgt. Corp. v. Ichioka Ventures LLC Et Al
655739/23 Triantafillakis v. Madden

Motion

651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
655311/23 Arena Ltd. Spv v. The Chalets LLC Et Al
652127/22 Cfs Enterprises Inc. T/a Cfs Steel Co. v. Jemzn Const. Inc. Et Al
153345/21 Diamonds Direct N.Y. LLC v. Ulanoff
655253/20 Entech Engineering v. NY Engineers
652705/23 Kavner v. Amplify Eyecare, Inc.
153400/20 O'Hara v. Board of Directors of
157409/22 Sheehan v. E.W. Howell Const. Co.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653923/22 20-22 Prince LLC v. Bruner
153367/23 349 Commercial L.P. v. Soho's Sullivan Owner's Corp.
654919/22 Bruning v. Klima
151185/22 Recruiter.Com Recruiter Solutions Inc. v. Bkr Strategy Group LLC
652163/23 Saunders v. Foschi
160679/21 Singh v. Mayflower 221
654643/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Scheer Medical Wellness

Motion

153367/23 349 Commercial L.P. v. Soho's Sullivan Owner's Corp.
160679/21 Singh v. Mayflower 221
654643/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Scheer Medical Wellness

THURSDAY, JULY 10

250533/17 1053 Lexington Ave. LLC v. The Tax Commission of The City of New York
258571/19 125 Bowery Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250383/19 14 East 58th LLC v. Tax Comm. of The
257650/17 16 East 55th St. v. Tax Comm. of The
253651/16 255 W. 108th St. Corp. v. The Tax Comm.
260078/20 312 East 23 LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
263933/19 33 Greenwich Owners Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
259141/15 37 West 72nd St., Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
257760/17 38 Walker St. v. Tax Comm. of The
260058/20 384-386 Eight Ave. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
251617/18 4 Park Ave. Associates v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250424/15 50 Eldridge LLC v. The Tax Comm.
257746/18 55 East 59th LLC v. Tax Comm. of The
250037/20 60 West 57 Rlty. Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
253412/15 70 Broad LLC v. Tax Comm. of The
262047/17 716 Lexington Ave. LLC v. Tax Comm. of The
256917/21 731 Retail One LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
251563/21 83 Owners LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
251937/17 86th St. Tenants Corp. v. Tax Comm. of The
250736/17 9 West 35th St. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256533/20 Bldg 888 Lex LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
251311/19 Bldg E 53 LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
253282/22 City Urban Member LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
251193/21 David Ellis Real Estate v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
252674/19 Eldad Prime LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250699/17 Greystone Capital Group v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
260656/14 Imperial Court Mgt. LLC v. The Finance Admin.
251949/18 Old Glory Real Estate v. Tax Comm. of The
650097/21 Red Apple 86 Fleet Pl. v. Hudson Machine Works, Inc.
653646/23 The Board of Mgrs. of 25 Prince St. Condominium v. NYC Prince Hldgs. LLC Et Al
266452/22 The Hit Factory Condominium v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265675/20 The Mapama Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
262900/12 Westerly Condominium v. The Tax Comm.

Motion

653646/23 The Board of Managers of 25 Prince Street Condominium v. NYC Prince Hldgs. LLC Et Al
653646/23 The Board of Mgrs. of 25 Prince St. Condominium v. NYC Prince Hldgs. LLC Et Al

Part 3

Justice Joel M. Cohen
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3287
Room 208

TUESDAY, JULY 8

653215/21 Bove Industries, Inc. v. NYC
654627/21 Jac Operating v. Hna Hew 2 Intermediate
659255/24 Jpmorgan Chase & Co. Et Al v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. Et Al
655876/24 Nat. Partners Pfco v. Hub Int'l Ins. Services Inc.
650131/25 Northwind Re v. Omnia Properties LLC
655250/21 Pcp Capital Partners v. First Step Trademarks
653776/24 The Doctors Co. v. Guy Carpenter & Co., LLC

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

651469/18 J.G. Jewlry Pte. Ltd. v. Tjc Jewelry, Inc.
652671/23 T&C Ip Consultants v. Poulin
157943/25 Tahir v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene

Motion

652671/23 T&C Ip Consultants v. Poulin

THURSDAY, JULY 10

656079/18 Board of Mgrs. of The St. v. Jma Consultants, Inc. D/b/a
656782/22 D & V Rlty. LLC v. Klyukin
651191/25 Kovacs v. Audioeye Inc. Et Al

Part 6

Justice Kathy J. King
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3312
Room 351

TUESDAY, JULY 8

805226/21 Domond v. Jewish Home Lifecare Manhattan
805338/17 Katsoulas v. Kampf
805316/20 Kluger v. Hertz
805086/22 Tarenzi v. Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

805005/25 Alhajri v. Girardi Md
805335/21 Cotter v. Baghdassarian
805332/23 Hatziantoniou v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

805141/22 Akilova v. Gavrilman M.D.
805400/20 Anna Palermo v. Mount Sinai Hosp.
805317/23 Barnett v. Nabatian
805224/23 Behzad Moghadasian v. Northwell Health, Inc. Et Al
805026/20 Bell Goss v. Dodell
805008/24 Brod v. Grifo M.D.
805233/22 Davis v. Efiong M.D.
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md
805234/21 Donovan v. Shen Md
805113/21 Enriquez v. Labow
805429/20 Feldman v. Ganjhu
805293/17 Flynn v. Goldenberg
100983/22 George v. Mount Sinai
805229/22 Hermy Orduna As Attorney in Fact For Clorinda Arrascue v. The Mount Sinai Hosp.
805192/22 Hernandez v. Nelson M.D.
805088/22 Hernandez-Clusan v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
805049/22 Hickman v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
152500/22 Jackson v. St. Luke's Roosevelt Hosp. Center D/b/a Mount Sinai St. Luke's Et Al

COURT NOTES

Continued from page 9

allowed to attend conferences of recognized professional organizations. Such conferences must be directly related to the employee's profession [of] or professional duties. This leave is subject to the prior approval of the administrative authority and to the staffing needs of the court or agency.

(n) The Chief Administrator of the Courts or [his or his] their designee may grant leaves with pay for reasons not itemized in this Part.

PART 25. CAREER SERVICE

Section 25.18. Establishment of a Continuing Eligible List

The Chief Administrator of the Courts may establish a continuing eligible list for any class of positions for which [inadequate numbers of qualified persons are found available for recruitment or appointment] such lists are appropriate. The Chief Administrator may only establish continuing eligible lists for any class of positions filled through open competitive examination. Names of eligibles shall be inserted in such list from time to time as applicants are tested and found qualified in examinations held at such intervals as may be prescribed by the Chief Administrator. Such successive examinations shall, so far as practicable, be constructed and rated so as to be equivalent tests of the merit and fitness of candidates. The name of any candidate who passes any such examination and who is otherwise qualified shall be placed on the continuing eligible list in the rank corresponding to his or her final rating on such examination. The period of eligibility of successful candidates for certification and appointment from such continuing eligible list, as a result of any such examination, shall be fixed by the Chief Administrator but, except as a list may reach an announced terminal date, such period shall not be less than one year; nor shall such period of eligibility exceed four years, except as provided in section 25.17 of this Part. Subject to such conditions and limitations as the Chief Administrator may prescribe, a candidate may take more than one such examination; provided, however, that no such candidate shall be certified simultaneously with more than one rank on the continuing eligible list. With respect to any candidate who applies for and is granted additional credit in any such examination as a disabled or nondisabled veteran, and for the limited purpose of granting such additional credit, the eligible list shall be deemed to be established on the date on which his or her name is added thereto.

Chief Judge of the State of New York

Dated: May 14, 2025

FIRST DEPARTMENT APPELLATE TERM

Filing Dates for the September Term

The September 2025 Term of the Court will begin on Sept. 2, 2025.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before July 8, 2025.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before July 31, 2025.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before August 8, 2025.

805156/21 Johnson v. Mount Sinai Hosp.
451334/19 Jordan v. Isabella Geriatric Center
805110/19 Judson v. NY Univ.
805417/19 Kang v. Zatorski
805384/22 Keels v. Jenkins M.D.
805188/17 Khaimov v. Washington Heights Imaging
805089/22 L.E.C. Et Al v. Gavara
805362/22 Lauria v. Mount Sinai Beth Israel Et Al
805119/24 Leban v. Gupta D.O
805083/23 Lyons v. NY Be Well Medical P.C. Et Al
805141/24 McGinty v. Frempong-Boadu
805113/22 Michalczuk v. Golfinos M.D.
805238/23 Miller v. Saad Chaudhary
805330/21 Montalvo v. Urken M.D.
805064/19 Moore v. Lenox Hill Hosp.
805095/24 N v. Miller Md
805104/22 Najarro v. Egol
805312/22 Palermo v. Richmond Univ. Medical Center Et Al
805364/21 Ramos v. Zhang M.D.
805352/21 Richiardone v. Wang
805342/23 Robinson v. Weber
805422/23 Rohlehr v. Tewari M.D.
152778/20 Rothstein v. Dewitt Rehabilitation And
805107/17 Salonga Guico v. Midtown Dental Services
805037/23 Schilowitz v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al
805124/22 Seltz v. Vascular Surgical Associates Pllc Et Al
805221/21 Shih v. Reddy M.D.
805039/24 Silva v. Sahgal
805223/20 Silverman v. Zervos
805058/23 Smith v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
805231/19 Victoria Shiraz And Michael v. Minior
805100/24 Walls v. Simkha Dds
805007/23 Weiner v. S.P.E.A.R. Physical And Occupational Therapy LLC Et Al
805282/20 Williams v. Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center Et Al
805357/24 Zavitsanou v. Foo M.D.

Part 7

Justice Gerald Lebovits
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3746
Courtroom 345

TUESDAY, JULY 8

652644/24 108-114 Wooster St. Corp. v. Pobs USA Inc. Et Al
651558/24 23rd St. Berk v. The Journey Flatiron Ltd. Liability Co.
157905/24 Affordable Sales Inc. v. Grimoire Group LLC Et Al
653958/23 Commercial Lighting Industries, Inc. v. Equinox Hldgs. LLC
452276/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Brothers Bar Corp
158962/23 Girardi v. Castle Village Owners Corp. Et Al
656146/18 Gong v. Savage
650719/22 Long Island Laser Center, Inc. D/b/a Satori Laser v. Noya
151520/23 Rcgt 2988-14 LLC v. Campoverde

Motion

452276/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Brothers Bar Corp

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

651181/25 Accredited Surety And Casualty Co., Inc. v. Southwest Marine And General Ins. Co.
157748/23 Alvarez v. Ca 5-15 West 125th LLC Et Al
157462/23 Blce v. Devack & Chester
154584/22 Central Air Conditioning System Corp v. Baim Contracting Inc. Et Al
452859/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Jass And Sons Corp.
151547/24 Dunn v. 240 Sull LLC
150350/25 Johnson Controls Security Solutions LLC v. Union Settlement Assoc., Inc.
160818/15 Marte v. Figueroa
155925/25 Mennella's Poultry Co, Inc. v. B'way. Hosp.ity Venture

THURSDAY, JULY 10

158416/21 219-229 West 144 St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. McKune
153884/25 Chen v. Sushi Tomoe Inc Et Al
152937/23 The Board of Mgrs. of The 111 Fulton St. Condominium v. Leviev Fulton Club
157336/25 Uguna Yanzaguano v. The Law Office of Joshua W. Skillman

Motion

158416/21 219-229 West 144 St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. McKune
157336/25 Uguna Yanzaguano v. The Law Office of Joshua W. Skillman

Part 9

Justice Linda M. Capitti
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3848
Room 355

TUESDAY, JULY 8

365085/23 Lee v. Lee

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

308418/19 Baxter v. Baxter
365118/19 Cody v. Cody
302021/22 Ramos v. Ramos Sanchez
365367/22 Rhu v. Tso
307232/19 Romain v. Allen
320474/21 Smith v. Smith

Motion

365118/19 Cody v. Cody

Part 11

Justice Lyle E. Frank
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3314
Room 412

TUESDAY, JULY 8

653021/22 29 East 64th St. Corp. v. King Rose of NY Inc.
653434/25 Akf Inc v. K & B Food Service
159641/23 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. The Studio School of Music
157360/25 Application of Enterprise Fm Trust v. NYC Et Al
152626/23 Aps Electric Inc. v. Gustam Chawla Et Al
160234/22 Bianculli v. NYC Office of Labor Relations Et Al
451318/20 NYC v. 114 West 86th St. Rlty.
450463/20 NYC v. Hafez Ullah
450249/23 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Vestar Inc.
655665/24 Continental Casualty Co. A/s/o Lam Group v. 57 Ann St. Rlty. Associates, Inc. Et Al
157058/23 Diehl And Diehl Corp. v. Shorty's Clinton LLC Et Al
158197/24 Escobar v. Fort Washington Ventura LLC Et Al
952334/23 Iuliano v. Franklin Bh LLC Et Al
653248/24 Sacks v. Baruch
651480/22 Stanton v. Dragonetti Brothers Landscaping Nursery & Florist Inc. Et Al
652427/23 The Children's Aid Society v. Integrated Aquatics Engineering, Inc. Et Al
155481/24 West 92 Grq v. Swig

Motion

451318/20 NYC v. 114 West 86th Street Realty
450463/20 NYC v. Hafez Ullah

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

452863/24 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Taa Home Improvement Inc
655684/24 Energo LLC v. Jrg Gas And Service
154268/24 Lexis Nexis v. Anderson & Ochs
158711/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Mv Physical Therapy
651927/23 The Avanza Group v. Bfg 102

THURSDAY, JULY 10

162368/23 7 East 63rd Street v. Makkos
653041/25 Chenies Investor LLC v. Robinson Foods Inc.
452992/24 Comm'r. of The State Ins. Fund v. Jar Brothers Corp
652820/25 Grossman v. Zahler
156684/25 Harlem Smoke Shop 1 Inc v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al
654968/24 Sutton v. Tci Acquisition Co., Inc.
651927/23 The Avanza Group v. Bfg 102
652684/23 Thomas v. Sorokin

Motion

651927/23 The Avanza Group v. Bfg 102

Part 12

Justice Leslie A. Stroth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3273
Room 232

TUESDAY, JULY 8

152665/24 10msw17d LLC v. Board of Mgrs. of 10 Madison Square West Et Al
157699/23 Aaron v. The Dorchester Towers Condominium Et Al
156774/24 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Emp Solutions, Inc.
650673/25 American Service Ins. Co. v. Liberty Care Medical
155455/23 Arguello v. Real Builders Inc Et Al
159110/21 Bank of America v. Cheng
150233/24 Bari v. John Corallo
152213/25 Cinfiors Ltd v. NYC Et Al
656221/18 Colazzo v. Diehard Exterminating Inc.
155239/21 Cutter v. Bayard's Ale House Et Al
160172/20 Davis v. Esplanade Gardens Inc Et Al
154755/23 Davis v. Vornado Rlty. Trust Et Al
155472/20 De Cespedes v. Weil
152013/25 Doe v. Combs
152015/25 Doe v. Combs
159536/23 Dorsett v. Champion Combustion Corp Et Al
150434/21 Downing v. Diamondrock Times Square Owner
151781/23 Erath v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Et Al
160362/23 Fasano v. Lewis North White Plains L.P Et Al
100401/19 H.B. And L.Z. v. Bowery Dream
160103/23 Hampton v. Triton Const. And Dev. LLC Et Al
161401/24 Haroche v. Spring Sunshine Corp. Et Al
157816/23 Hernandez v. Bsrep Ua 3333 B'way. LLC Et Al
153888/21 I.R. 136 E. 70th LLC v. Lexington Sell Rug Inc.
510001/22 in The Matter of The General Assignment For The Benefit of Creditors of Mil v. Son III
510002/22 in The Matter of The General Assignment For The Benefit of Creditors of Prodigy Shorewood Investment Mgt. v. Sodono III
155601/23 Lopez v. NYCHA
650457/18 M&T Bank Corp. v. 59 Sands Point LLC
160189/23 Minier v. 431 Audubon
161431/21 Morina v. 250 B'way. Associates Corp. Et Al
154043/23 N. v. NYCHA
100641/23 Pereira v. Ethiopian Airlines - Nyccto
161376/21 Polinski v. NYC Et Al
151924/25 Premium Merchant Funding 26 v. Sugar Bear Home Services
155759/21 Rivera v. New Face Tattoo
156979/21 S.R. v. NYCHA
153677/19 Smith v. Founders Entertainment
157827/24 Ventura v. 606 West 191st St. LLC Et Al
154789/19 Villa Caseres v. Oxford Properties
157786/19 Wang v. Xiong

THURSDAY, JULY 10

152930/25 Doe v. Alexander

Part 14

Justice Arlene P. Bluth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3219
Room 432

TUESDAY, JULY 8

150302/20 Boskovich Barreto v. Downtown Nyc Owner
160725/19 Cherizarcily v. Khan

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

850307/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Abrams
850068/11 Bank of NY Mellon v. Dasig
850050/21 Chang Hwa Commercial Bank v. Waterscape Resort II
156038/18 Gojic v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.
810148/12 Mtglq Investors v. Vazquez
653890/22 Perkins Eastman Architects v. White Plains Hosp. Medical Center, Inc.
850023/24 Piermont Bank v. 315 Manhattan Properties LLC Et Al
850395/23 Shelwol LLC v. Kayland Rlty. Ltd Et Al
850008/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Ramos

THURSDAY, JULY 10

850479/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Casale
850498/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Russell R. Webber
850480/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Tolksdorf
153376/18 Merchant v. NYC
653109/24 Nrcf 2gc LLC v. Azizov
155434/21 Saleh v. T-C 780 Third Ave. Owner LLC

Part 15

Justice Jeanine R. Johnson
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 116

TUESDAY, JULY 8

452867/21 Bonilla Vazquez v. Carrera Torres
350025/17 Bradley v. Bakal
303291/18 Carvajal-Hernandez v. Hernandez
365870/23 Quinnett v. Rist

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

365433/22 Jordan v. Jordan

THURSDAY, JULY 10

365591/23 Salizzoni v. Salizzoni

Part 17

Justice Shlomo S. Hagler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3283
Courtroom 335

TUESDAY, JULY 8

153613/19 Alix v. 600 Realty Heights Llc
150309/22 Guambana v. 1114 6th Ave. Owner LLC
150939/21 Kurbegovic v. Action Carting Environmental
153751/21 Plaza Const. LLC v. Ware Industries, Inc. D/b/a
153403/19 Ramos v. NYC
150197/22 Wilson v. Villagecare Rehabilitation & Nursing Center Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

152642/21 Urena v. Legacy Yards Tenants Lp Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

156005/21 Forza Realty v. Byron House Owners Corp.

Part 19

Justice Lisa A. Sokoloff
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3979
Room 540

Part 20 ADR

Justice Deborah A. Kaplan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3300
Courtroom 422

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

156532/22 Yee v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al

Part 24 Matrimonial Part

Justice Michael L. Katz
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3285
Courtroom 325

THURSDAY, JULY 10

322571/21 Li v. Huang

Part 26

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 438

Part 28

Justice Aija Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543

TUESDAY, JULY 8

365210/24 Bergier v. Bergier
320000/24 Depalma v. Depalma
365092/24 Ruffin v. Williams
365480/24 Schaefer Jr. v. Schaefer

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

350004/24 Bergman v. Bergman
450497/24 Davis Glover v. Davis Glover
320923/24 Newbold v. Shear Newbold
365417/22 Quilty-Lake v. Quilty-Lake
300351/25 Thompson v. Swindell-Thompson

THURSDAY, JULY 10

365214/24 Crick v. Burton
301206/21 Mason v. Colon
320876/23 Mathieu Inalegwu v. Inalegwu
313437/12 Serrant v. Serrant
451051/24 Yusuf v. Farah

Motion

320876/23 Mathieu Inalegwu v. Inalegwu

Part 30V

Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

THURSDAY, JULY 10

805235/20 Chohan v. Narendra
805218/24 Herman v. Bellevue Hosp. Center Et Al
451063/25 Mahammadou Sissoho v. Bavaro M.D.
805277/19 Sharma v. Adler
157477/24 Stumacher v. Medical Liability Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al

Part 33

Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

TUESDAY, JULY 8

156496/25 230-79 Equity, Inc. v. First Hungarian Literary Society
153133/20 Barajas-Andrade v. Con Ed Co.
152587/22 Polanco v. Six Ten Mgt. Corp. Et Al

Motion

156496/25 230-79 Equity, Inc. v. First Hungarian Literary Society
152587/22 Polanco v. Six Ten Mgt. Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

154591/23 Alava v. Gilbane Bldg. Co. Et Al
160789/21 Allstate Ins. Co. v. Yanson
155542/22 Bembenek v. Sl Green Rlty. Corp. Et Al
159916/21 Brooks v. Aecom Tishman Et Al
158378/22 Brunner v. Verizon NY Inc. Et Al
158860/21 Castro v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al
161557/24 Chubb Nat. Ins. Co. v. Perfectaire Service, Inc.
159762/16 Cullinan v. NY Univ.
157682/23 Diaz v. 12 Fordune LLC Et Al
155433/23 F v. Winston Preparatory School
154218/20 Henry v. 40 Worth St. Associates
158477/20 Hines v. 200 Mb Corp D/b/a Jimmy's
160270/22 Jjcrr Infant By His Mother And Natural Guardian Alfida M. Cordero Rodriguez And Alfida M. Cordero Rodriguez Individually v. NYCHA
158718/23 Jones v. Sea Crest At Amagansett Corp. Et Al
155554/24 Lechar 1441 LLC Et Al v. Wellbuilt Const. Enterprises
155568/23 Lucas-Falcones v. Cauldwell-Wingate Co.
158427/20 Morales v. Ahrc School Et Al
157749/22 Morante v. Mexico Leasing Corp Et Al
451454/23 Mta Long Island Rail Road Et Al v. Accredited Surety & Casualty Co. Inc.
162323/23 Nazzaro v. Citybridge
160631/22 Ochoa v. Frazier
152605/24 Parks v. 500 East 76th St. LLC Et Al
450636/24 Parks v. Granatstein
159722/23 Penaherrera v. The Forester Co. Et Al
158280/22 Perez v. NYCHA
151703/22 Phillips v. Rosewood on The Sound LLC Et Al
150974/22 Phoewhawm v. John G. Manning Irrevocable Trust
158540/23 Pna Contracting Corp. v. 150 East Tenants Corp.
153331/24 Rodriguez Velasquez v. Front Wave Const. Inc. Et Al
650439/22 Rosen & Associates v. Rothpearl Md
652789/22 Russell Tobin & Associates LLC v. Jaksich
452566/22 Smith v. NYC Et Al
153384/24 Spahia v. Pavarini McGovern LLC Et Al
161549/23 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Rich
151987/24 Taveras v. Taveras
655982/24 Tian v. 136 East 36th St. Apts. Corp.
652916/23 Varney v. Laz Parking New York/new Jersey LLC
153051/20 Waite v. Comity Rlty. Corp. Et Al
158272/21 Watson Jr. v. NYC Et Al
655698/24 Zemund Rlty. Corp. v. Quillen
153060/24 Zimouski v. Mi Park 201

THURSDAY, JULY 10

100594/24 King v. 8 Spruce Ny Owner Llc
160560/23 Lakins v. Peru Leasing Ltd. Partnership Et Al
652841/24 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Davidson
150974/22 Phoewhawm v. John G. Manning Irrevocable Trust

Motion

150974/22 Phoewhawm v. John G. Manning Irrevocable Trust

Part 34

Justice Dakota D. Ramseur
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4370
Room 341

TUESDAY, JULY 8

655009/19 37a Bedford LLC v. Lustigman
151582/22 Araujo v. 7 Hanover Fee Owner Co. LLC Et Al
155132/22 Benitez v. Upaca Site 7 Associates
151880/22 Cardona v. Gp-Uhab Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
154464/22 Cruz v. 1775 Tp4 Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
150025/23 Cruz v. 78-86 Thayer St. Corp.
155648/23 Deleon v. Eighty Eight Associates
151896/23 Diaz Sicard v. Camaway Rlty., Inc.
159536/22 Hardy v. 208 E. 13th St.
155519/18 James v. Rcb1 Nominee LLC
100351/22 Kellinger v. Fox Media LLC Et Al
150905/23 Maldonado v. The Rinaldi Group of NY
156944/22 Mendez v. Fordwash Partners LLC Et Al
150462/22 Parise v. Savcon LLC Et Al
151751/22 Perez v. 163 Chrystie Rlty.
159542/22 Quesada Robinson v. Coastal Distribution
656468/18 Rolando Mechanical Corp. v. 2701 B'way. Rlty. LLC
154749/17 Westchester Fire Ins. v. Arch Ins. Group, Inc.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

160584/21 Conza v. 4ts II LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

150190/22 Gould v. New York Arena Partners

Part 37 IAS Part

Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

TUESDAY, JULY 8

653461/24 American Empire Surplus Lines Ins. Co. v. Yukon Enterprises, Inc. Et Al
651955/24 McLaughlin & Stern v. Treville
161561/19 Rudnick v. NYC
156484/19 Travelers Prop. v. Myrie

Motion

653461/24 American Empire Surplus Lines Ins. Co. v. Yukon Enterprises, Inc. Et Al
161561/19 Rudnick v. NYC

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

805218/22 C. v. Pollack M.D.
659040/24 Fabozzi v. Callahan
651700/20 Jones Law Firm v. Peck
800168/11 Mercer v. NYCH&HC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

160447/24 Andean LLC v. Heeley
805266/24 Bailey v. NYC Et Al
656346/18 Davis v. Richmond Capital Group
159797/21 Fernandez v. Lincoln Center For The Performing Arts, Inc. Et Al
650880/19 Goodman v. Q4 Designs
651579/20 Grosseto Group Corp. v. Homepeople Corp.
154930/24 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp. And Hertz Co. v. Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic
805400/23 Juarez v. Grieco M.D.
800296/11 Lee v. Nejat
650238/19 P&Hr Solutions v. Ram Capital Funding
805280/21 Perez v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
653689/24 Pryor Cashman Llp v. Wiener
451295/19 Public Administrator of Richmond County As Administrator of The Estate of Jacinto Suarez v. NYC Et Al
805245/24 Ransom v. NYCH&HC Corp.
805178/19 Reynoso v. NYCH&HC And
159813/19 Robinson v. Pierre
805321/21 Solares Peralta v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
805156/22 Taylor v. NYCH&HC Corp.
805339/21 Williams v. Senthilkumar Md

Part 39

Justice James G. Clynes
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3619

TUESDAY, JULY 8

155761/21 Anderson v. NYC Tranist Auth. Et Al
152589/22 Constantin v. Brookfield Properties One Wfc Co. LLC Et Al
161293/17 Krayn v. Hotchandani
155427/19 Parker v. Trustees of The Spence
159404/23 Rodriguez Pineda v. Djm NYC
451908/24 State of NY v. Loveless

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653641/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Farias
152073/20 Arak v. Glessman
154197/22 Aulet v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey
652099/24 Av Queens Associates v. Verrinoldi
151659/21 Avila v. Black Pumice E 2015 LLC
155537/21 Baicea v. B'way. 340 Madison Fee
155727/23 Bldg Mgt. Co., Inc. v. Rosof
653586/24 Bldg Mgt. Co., Inc. Et Al v. Walter
155265/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Gatsby Condominium v. Huberman
154088/21 Bogusz v. Tishman Const. Corp. Et Al
160665/20 Buttacavole v. Tjx Companies, Inc.
651632/24 Charles Dsssr v. Unifor, Inc.
151680/25 Grzep v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al
100516/25 Jason Douglas-Bowers v. Four H Republic
159826/19 Lee v. NYC
652980/24 Mikhail Sorkin v. 333 East Forty-First St. Corp.
450811/25 NYCHA v. Fowler Sr
155309/22 Olivo v. New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Childrens Hosp.
155021/21 Rabin v. Aew Capital Mgt. Et Al
100899/22 Resnick v. Lenox Ave. Commons
151756/22 Saunders v. The NYCHA
101226/24 Semidey v. NYC
153709/21 Tenesaca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
158317/20 Torres Ramos v. West 107th St. Associates
156924/24 Vargas Gonzalez v. Triton Const. Co. LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

155761/21 Anderson v. NYC Tranist Auth. Et Al
151740/23 Contreras Herrera v. 142 West 81st St. LLC. Et Al
158428/20 Cruz v. Lendlease Turner
155784/20 Cruz v. Hotta
159744/18 Cuesta v. 335 Canal St. LLC
158205/21 Dluzen v. Equinox Group Et Al
160096/23 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2021 v. Holla Athletics LLC Et Al
151475/21 Jean-Baptiste v. 183 B'way. Owner LLC
105779/11 Lifschultz v. Schwartz & Fang
154509/21 Macauley v. New Line Structures & Dev. LLC Et Al
157421/20 O'Neil v. Park Hotels & Resorts Inc.
154454/18 Orellana v. 4260 B'way. Rlty. LLC
161829/18 Williams v. Park Row Rlty. Lp

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

TUESDAY, JULY 8

655015/19 Accor Mgt. Us Inc. v. M&C NY (times Square)
654361/20 Halden v. Parker
155397/25 Peary v. Dc Comics, Inc. Et Al
652277/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Mave Hotel Investors LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

651045/13 Starr Indemnity & Liability v. Monte Carlo
650812/22 Sweetpea Ventures Inc. Et Al v. Golenbock Eiseman Assor Bell & Peskoe Llp Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

651662/25 1970 Group, Inc. v. Genesis Healthcare, Inc.
654027/13 Davis v. Scottish Re Group Ltd.
656811/20 Jardine v. Landmark Health
650734/25 Kebabwala Ev v. Fawn Second Ave. LLC Et Al
650848/20 Ladder Capital Finance LLC v. 1250 North Sd Mezz LLC
652064/17 Vxi Lux Holdco S.A.R.L. v. Sic Hldgs.

Part 40TR Judicial Mediation

Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, JULY 8

154949/20 335 Madison Avenue v. Nortek Air Solutions
653323/24 380-382 E. 10th LLC v. P.A.L.R. Group LLC Et Al
653903/13 8 Jane St. LLC. v. Petrone
159840/22 Alfaro Cruz v. Regent Associates Et Al
152514/20 American Transit Ins. v. Aaamg Leasing Corp.
150984/21 Archer v. NYCHA
652050/15 Audthan LLC v. Nick & Duke
150703/17 B&M Cleanup Services Inc v. 485-497 Ninth Ave.
156789/21 Barnes v. NYCHA
805207/19 Bellefond v. NY Presbyterian
160493/17 Bentley Meeker Lighting v. Mason
152681/19 Bilyeu v. Bmw of North America LLC
154864/22 Bommarito v. Greater Hwy. Deliverance Temple, Inc.
161174/19 Bordone v. Silk & Halpern 57
157149/22 Brache-Moran v. Stf 247 Audubon Ave. Hldg. LLC
160456/22 Brown v. Christian Herald Assoc., Inc., Dba The Bowery Mission
162265/15 Brown v. NYCTA
452989/24 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Mughal General Const.
650886/24 Corporate Cabling & Av Inc. v. Top Shelf Electric
156663/22 Cruz v. Sutton 58 Hldg. Co. LLC Et Al
153658/18 Davis v. Genesis Y15 Owners LLC
154620/17 De Lobo v. Ennismore Apts. Inc.
653417/24 Digitaldesign.Nyc LLC v. Abramau
155897/22 Evans v. NYCTA Et Al
153982/20 Gaitan v. 18 East 18th St. Tenants
805291/18 Goldstein v. Berenbaum
152977/21 Harrold v. Arlo Soho LLC
654178/24 Hoffmann v. South Park Tower Mgt.
153746/17 Holley v. NYCTA
160196/16 Hyland v. Mfm Contracting Corp.
155322/21 Kontis v. Simon Prop. Group, Inc.
161293/17 Krayn v. Hotchandani
652284/24 Lancaster Rlty. v. Valme
156494/20 Loja v. 111 Wall Funding LLC
158549/22 Magramm v. Regency Towers LLC Et Al
156197/21 Martinez v. Abj Properties, Inc. Et Al
155901/22 Morrison v. Jay Rlty. Corp. Et Al
154578/21 Murray v. Calhoun
154324/21 Musso v. 55-15 Grand Ave. Prop.
153668/20 Pena v. River Crossing Owner LLC
151180/23 Powell v. 300 8th Ave. Food Corp.
150507/22 Rivera v. Con Ed, Inc.
156884/21 Rodriguez v. Group 103 LLC Et Al
150735/19 Sacta v. 350 Lafayette Owner Llp
150836/17 Santos v. NYC
151980/22 Schneider v. 154 Mansion Rlty. LLC Et Al
156263/19 Serrano v. Judlau Contracting, Inc.
109311/11 Sharpe v. Shabbat LLC
659856/24 Shepherd v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
650011/25 Small v. Molod Spitz & Desantis
160575/19 Smith v. NYC

659175/24 Ss & Bc Corp. v. Bolivar Builders LLC
151955/19 Umana Maldonado v. 998 Fifth Ave. Corp.
154649/20 Urgiles v. Flagg Court Owners Corp.
159627/16 Vega v. 1407 B'way. LLC
155304/21 Velasquez Rodriguez v. NYCTA Et Al
152313/21 Wise v. 842-844 Amsterdam Ave LLC Et Al
652020/22 Zdg v. 174-176 1st Ave. Owner LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

154621/16 Alberico v. Ldg Builders Llc
153236/18 American Transit Ins. v. Franklin
656782/16 Brodsky v. Jr's Cars, Inc.
157423/19 Butler v. NYC
160214/19 Byrnes v. Rp1185 LLC
151403/17 Campbell v. Northern Manhattan Nursing
161230/23 Carpio v. Lizblanco
650140/18 Chicho & Son Corp v. Sabatino
652752/17 Cricketwood LLC v. Cricket All Stars League
159059/20 Diaz v. 51 Hamilton Pl. Rlty. Inc.
156779/18 Dolcimascolo v. 701 7th Prop. Owner
656231/18 Eastgate Whitehouse LLC v. Gordon H. Smith Corp.
153412/19 Esposito v. Bop Ne Tower Lessee
160132/21 Fernandez v. Sukhdeep
655980/18 Fora Financial Advance v. Cibo 888 LLC
451979/19 Gamarra v. NYCH&HC And
159502/19 Gianutsos v. Vaezi
655762/18 Greuner Medical P.C. D/b/a v. Edelman
156066/18 Hanegby v. Lewis
156754/19 Harrison v. 345 Malcolm X LLC
151016/12 Hijiki Restaurant Inc. v. NYC
151872/23 Hughey v. Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. Et Al
161447/18 Jackson v. Uber Technologies, Inc.
152722/19 Jones v. Bsrep Ua Parker LLC
159357/21 Jorge v. Andzongo Awono
805329/20 Jose v. Dhar M.D.
653761/19 Kim v. Bedouet
152425/20 Leonardo v. 211 LLC
654885/20 Longside Ventures LLC v. Vidbox Mexico Inc.
653953/19 Mandracchia v. Renovate-Create Sourcing And
650078/17 Michel Mosallem Revocable v. Parrot Design Group, Inc.
155606/18 Mora Navarro v. Joy Const. Corp.
655518/18 Naclerio v. Alsaleh
155310/21 Oliva Duarte v. 530 Adler Rlty. Rl
155343/20 Palumbo v. Citigroup Tech., Inc.
154569/16 Pratts v. Wells
651926/17 Qn Rlty. v. Mrcj Inc.
158785/21 Resnick v. Equity Residential Mgt.
162308/14 Reyes v. Graham
159441/18 Rivera v. Jewish Home Life Care
805378/18 Rosell v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.
656351/17 Rosenthal v. Meza
156891/20 Schatz v. Karamitsos
156733/21 Shaladovsky v. Boulevard Leasing Ltd. Partnership Et Al
161392/18 Siguencia v. NYC
656061/17 Silvergrove Advisors v. Crosswing Hldgs. LLC
157148/17 Sosa v. 310 Group LLC
159111/22 Titone v. Peter01 Corp.
159236/21 Valerio v. 96 Dan Meat Market Inc. D/b/a Dan's Supermarket Inc.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

652520/16 4food v. Einstein Hr
651945/22 50 Murray St. Acquisition LLC v. Alston
450463/19 Abubakar-Bright v. NYCTA
155758/19 Acevedo v. Nurmamatov
654262/21 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Advanced Orthopaedics Pllc A/a/o Aubrey Paul
151970/21 Aybar-Ventura v. Mehmood
154175/21 Aziz v. NYCTA Et Al
156301/21 Beniquez v. Central Harlem Mutual Housing Ltd. Partnership Et Al
654909/22 Bonnay v. Interior Finishes Inc.
159136/21 Cabrera v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank
161301/20 Castro v. Civic Center Community Group B'way. LLC. Et Al
150773/23 Catalano v. NYC Et Al
158045/22 Christensen v. Brisam West 29 LLC Et Al
157616/21 Chuez v. Royal Charter Properties Inc. Et Al
151506/23 Cohn v. Vogel
155627/20 Connolly v. Raihan
154132/20 Correa v. 8th Ave. Deli & Grocery Inc.
150172/20 Crawford v. Ahmad Raza
805251/22 Cummings v. Perkins M.D.
154796/21 Currence v. NYCHA
157354/19 De Souza v. Hudson Yards Const. II
150238/23 Dilella v. Wayfair LLC D/b/a Joss & Main And D/b/a Wayfair Inc. Et Al
805249/20 Donabedian v. Skordeles
151875/19 Felton v. New Water St. Corp.
152080/21 Flores v. R.G. Ortiz Funeral Home Inc. Et Al
150259/17 Fuentes v. 616 First Ave. LLC And Jds
156818/19 Geraghty v. Zhu
157722/20 Gomez Mejia v. Mott Center LLC
161067/21 Hamilton v. Hanfymahmoud
159842/18 Haskins v. Victor Nomad
160251/20 Joel De Paz v. Lemx Corp.
159105/22 Kip v. McKenna Esq.
160960/20 Laureano v. Turner Const. Co.
651734/19 Lizard O'S Inc. v. Baha Lounge Corp.
157825/18 Lucente v. Usta Nat. Tennis Center
150652/17 Maldanado v. Crestwood Lake Sec. 1
153660/19 Marc Gleitman v. Kushner
156951/20 Mata Lora v. NYCTA
150272/21 McClure Sawyer v. Kassman
154299/16 Mendez v. NYC
150488/20 Merino v. Larstrand Corp.
154885/21 Mieles v. 122 Mott Rlty. Corp.
156022/21 Mora v. Gilbane Residential Const. LLC Et Al
100784/18 Mora v. Mora
805178/22 Occhigrossi v. Poon M.D.
157261/18 Ocean Prime LLC v. Morfit
156161/22 Ovalles v. The Presbyterian Hosp. in NYC Et Al
450102/18 Reyes Martinez v. NYC
159813/19 Robinson v. Pierre
156326/19 Rochdale Ins. Co. v. T.G. Nickel & Associates
159718/21 Sanchez v. Cisse
805011/22 Schmirl v. Vaezi M.D.
157470/20 Schwarz v. Avarga Contracting Corp.
154500/20 Torregrossa v. Plaza 52
156764/18 Vaiatica v. Bop Se LLC
160407/17 Vidal v. 653-657 LLC
156079/18 Vizcaino v. NYC
156316/12 Wilson v. Riverwalk Bar & Grill
151824/20 Wright v. 1229-1273 Rlty. LLC
153985/21 Zelin v. Brandt

City Cases

155758/19 Acevedo v. Nurmamatov

Part 44

Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3370
Room 321

TUESDAY, JULY 8

365465/24 Kaboulova v. Kaufman
365502/23 Santana v. Bruno
365577/23 Strulovici Barel v. Barel

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

365444/24 Querrard v. Querrard

THURSDAY, JULY 10

365124/21 Brender v. Chelcinski
321220/23 Danmole v. Danmole
301277/22 Leslie v. Leslie
306418/19 Olcott v. Fayre Olcott
320887/24 Sanematsu v. Suero Mateo
365507/21 Shiau v. Kano
365318/24 Taylor v. Hall
301385/22 Wan v. Ai
365455/24 Wen v. Ma

Motion

365507/21 Shiau v. Kano
365318/24 Taylor v. Hall

Part 45 Commercial Div.

Justice Anar Rathod Patel
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3632
Room 428

TUESDAY, JULY 8

653017/25 Ceiba Energy Us Lp v. New Fortress Energy Inc.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

650323/25 Abisera Inc v. Ttc USA
156645/24 L & B Apparel LLC v. Amini
653430/25 Lineslip Solutions, Inc. v. Willis North America, Inc. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

653650/25 G-Iii Apparel Group v. Pvh Corp. Et Al

Part 48 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrea Masley
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3265
Room 242

TUESDAY, JULY 8

655083/24 Benuvia Holdings v. Next Frontier Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
653336/24 Lukoil Pan Americas v. Phoeninca Investments LLC
659221/24 Manhattan Pizza, Inc. v. Clinton Housing West 46th Partners
652798/25 Marsh USA LLC Et Al v. Hanrahan
653130/24 Phoeninca Investments LLC v. Litasco Panamericas

Motion

659221/24 Manhattan Pizza, Inc. v. Clinton Housing West 46th Partners

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

651356/23 Abrahami v. Meir
656266/23 in The Matter of The Application of The Bank of NY Mellon v. N/a
653243/24 The Means of Prod.ion v. Pensco Trust Co. Custodian Fbo Mark Gorton Ira Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

655615/23 Metropolitan Partners Group Administration v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.

Part 49 Commercial Div.

Justice Margaret A. Chan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4033
Room 252

TUESDAY, JULY 8

655271/24 Hv Manco v. Arc Capital Advisors

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

153450/15 Butterfield House, Inc. v. NYC
160986/14 Butterfield House, Inc. v. NYC
150961/15 Con Ed Co. v. NYC
153571/15 Continental Casualty Co. v. NYC
153099/15 Dugger v. NYC
153395/15 Greater NY Mutual v. NYC And
153566/15 Harleysville Preferred v. NYC
152272/15 Judith Coven And Michael v. NYC
151514/15 Lai v. NYC
153403/15 Lexington Ins. Co. v. NYC
153524/15 Liss v. NYC
655073/24 Manhattan Motorcars, Inc. v. Ssc North America, Inc.
158076/14 New School v. NYC
450151/18 One Bryant Park v. Permasteelisa Cladding
153616/15 Patric v. NYC
153534/15 Talbot Underwriting Risk v. NYC
651566/25 Tillis v. Zar Rlty. NY LLC Et Al

Part 53 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrew S. Borrok
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3304
Room 238

TUESDAY, JULY 8

651690/21 1650 B'way. Associates, Inc. v. Sturm
659609/24 At&T Mobility LLC v. Harman Connected Services, Inc.
656816/21 Divx v. Hartman Int'l Industries, Inc.
650433/23 Jemal v. Jemal
652607/23 Oasis Investments II Master Fund Ltd. Et Al v. Mo
653389/22 One River Run Acquisition v. The Greenwich Group Int'l
152290/22 Peck v. Milbank Llp Et Al

Motion

659609/24 At&T Mobility LLC v. Harman Connected Services, Inc.
650433/23 Jemal v. Jemal

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

654488/22 Cyberbit, Inc. v. Cloud Range Cyber
653563/22 Fondue 26 v. Bogart
652469/25 L4 Bio v. Graviton Bioscience Corp. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

652721/25 A.G.P./alliance Global Partners v. Golden Heaven Group Hldgs. Ltd.
651033/23 Haruvi v. Hungerford
656912/20 Jds Const. Group LLC v. Copper Services
654556/21 Mp145 Ws Owner LLC Et Al v. The Pace Companies NY
652427/25 Universal Protection Service v. Three Park Ave. Bldg. Co., Lp

Motion

652427/25 Universal Protection Service v. Three Park Ave. Bldg. Co., Lp

Part 54 Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

TUESDAY, JULY 8

652887/23 Claver Investor LLC Et Al v. Perl Weisz Et Al
652032/21 Epac Technologies Ltd v. Interforum S.A.
650005/24 Fundamental Partners IV Lp v. Gajavelli
655745/23 NY Life Ins. Co. v. John Hancock Life Ins. Co. (USA) Et Al
651160/21 Trb Acquisitions LLC v. Jack Yedid
651828/23 Valkyrie Ai LLC D/b/a Reclassify Ai v. Pricewaterhousecoopers Us LLC Et Al

Motion

652032/21 Epac Technologies Ltd v. Interforum S.A.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

652875/22 Board of Mgrs. of 145 Americas Condominium v. Sauret
651061/25 Drip Capital, Inc. v. Sgm Socher Inc. Et Al
653126/24 Evangelista v. Sannazzaro
650787/24 I.A.T.S.E Nat. Health & Welfare Fund Et Al v. Avenu Insights & Analytics
652615/22 Jpeg LLC v. Board of Mgrs. of 145 Americas Condominium Et Al
650973/17 Talking Capital Windup LLC v. Omanoff
659157/24 Viola Credit Gl I v. Landa Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
654923/16 Young Adult Institute, Inc. v. Corporate Source, Inc.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

653222/23 Big Real Estate Capital I v. Abs Mgt. & Dev. Corp. Et Al
650167/23 Goodwin v. Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani
653515/21 Iberdrola Energy Projects v. Mufg Union Bank
650309/24 Linda Ramone, Individually, As A Trustee of The Linda Cummings-Ramone Living Trust And Survivor Trust, And Derivatively on Behalf of Ramones Prod.ions, Inc. v. Frey
659454/24 Radio Ingraham v. Rosenberg
656220/23 Radio Ingraham v. Villanova Media Inc. Et Al
654061/22 Sing For Service v. Allianza U.S., Inc., A California Corp. Et Al

Motion

650309/24 Linda Ramone, Individually, As A Trustee of The Linda Cummings-Ramone Living Trust And Survivor Trust, And Derivatively on Behalf of Ramones Prod.ions, Inc. v. Frey

Part 57

Justice Sabrina Kraus
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3195
Room 218

TUESDAY, JULY 8

951369/21 Abusaid v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950148/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950150/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950440/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950443/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950126/21 G. v. Archdiocese of NY
160956/18 Gileno v. Stalco Const. Inc.
951065/21 Grumman v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950229/20 M. v. Roman Catholic Diocese
655395/16 Vergara v. Bibhu

Motion

951369/21 Abusaid v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

950685/21 A. v. Boys & Girls Clubs of
950024/20 Aa v. Archdiocese of NY
950422/20 Addeo v. Archdiocese of NY
950097/20 Allen v. Archdiocese of NY
950038/19 Ark10 v. Archdiocese of NY
950052/19 Ark31 v. Archdiocese of NY
950043/19 Ark34 v. Archdiocese of NY
950076/19 Ark41 v. Archdiocese of NY
950083/19 Ark44 v. Archdiocese of NY
950046/19 Ark47 v. Archdiocese of NY
950047/19 Ark51 v. Archdiocese of NY
950048/19 Ark53 v. Archdiocese of NY
950050/19 Ark57 v. Archdiocese of NY
950055/19 Ark63 v. Archdiocese of NY
950082/19 Ark7 v. Archdiocese of NY
950058/19 Ark71 v. Archdiocese of NY
950059/19 Ark73 v. Archdiocese of NY
950061/19 Ark75 v. Archdiocese of NY
950066/19 Ark79 v. Archdiocese of NY
950081/19 Ark91 v. Archdiocese of NY
950067/19 Ark93 v. Archdiocese of NY
950072/19 Ark96 v. Archdiocese of NY
950080/19 Ark98 v. Archdiocese of NY
950592/20 Arroyo v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950266/20 B. v. NYC
950276/21 B. v. Archdiocese of NY
950553/20 Barilla v. Archdiocese of NY
950718/20 Batka v. St. Martin of Tours Roman
950667/20 C. v. Boys Club of NY
950257/19 C. v. Archdiocese of NY
950108/21 C. v. NYC
950018/21 C.J.M. v. NYC
950082/20 Caldwell v. NYC
950411/20 Carcone v. Archdiocese of NY
950047/20 Cf v. Archdiocese of NY
950544/20 Cjp v. Archdiocese of NY
950367/20 Curran v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950738/20 Dan v. Episcopal Diocese of New
950330/20 Doe v. Jesuit Fathers And Brothers
950333/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950286/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950289/20 Doe v. Capuchin Franciscan Friars
950290/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950292/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950294/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
951300/21 Doe v. Rice High School Et Al
950298/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950299/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950301/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950302/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950303/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950307/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950383/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950314/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950318/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950320/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950319/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950312/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950310/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950306/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950339/20 Doe v. Maryknoll
950386/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950387/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950389/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950534/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950447/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950714/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950037/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950451/20 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950452/20 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950582/20 Doe v. Boy Scouts of America
950606/20 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950028/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950607/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950603/20 Doe v. Boy Scouts of America
950591/20 Doe v. NYC
950092/19 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950699/20 Doe v. Roman Catholic Church of Our
950113/20 Doe v. Ward
950296/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950590/20 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950378/20 Douglas v. Roman Catholic
950081/21 E. v. Archdiocese of NY
950110/21 Eg v. Greater NY Councils
950078/21 Enyedi v. Schultz
950001/21 Escalante v. NYC Dept. of
950205/20 F. II v. Rockefeller Univ. A/k/a
653425/18 Ferer v. Design Dev. NYC
950255/20 Ferris v. Post
950115/20 G. v. Archdiocese of NY
950693/20 G. v. Archdiocese of NY
950024/21 Goodwin v. St. Mary's Roman Catholic
950121/21 H. v. Greater NY Councils
950293/21 H. v. NYC
950692/20 H. v. Archdiocese of NY
950006/20 H. v. NYC
950062/20 H. v. Archdiocese of NY
950076/20 Hernandez v. Archdiocese of New Yrok
950113/21 I. v. Archdiocese of NY
950565/20 John Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950566/20 John Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950572/20 John Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950573/20 John Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950562/20 John Doe 47 R.L. v. Greater NY Councils
950563/20 John Doe 50 N.S. v. Greater NY Councils
950555/20 John Doe 51 R.P. v. Greater NY Councils
950560/20 John Doe 56 Y.H. v. Greater NY Councils
950587/20 John Doe 63 J.W. v. Greater NY Councils
950561/20 John Doe 64 W.O. v. Greater NY Councils
950556/20 John Doe 76 V.G. v. Greater NY Councils
950557/20 John Doe 80 D.B. v. Greater NY Councils
950581/20 John Doe A.L. v. Greater NY Councils
950579/20 John Doe E.L. v. Greater NY Councils
950585/20 John Doe E.W. v. Greater NY Councils
950574/20 John Doe G.K. v. Greater NY Councils
950584/20 John Doe J.G. v. Greater NY Councils
950575/20 John Doe J.K. v. Greater NY Councils
950578/20 John Doe J.V. v. Greater NY Councils
950576/20 John Doe S.N. v. Greater NY Councils
950684/20 Kerins v. NYC
950153/21 Krempl v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950014/21 Lewis v. NYC
950002/21 Lewis v. Roman Catholic
950250/20 M. v. Archdiocese of NY
950041/21 M. v. NYC
950402/20 M. v. Archdiocese of NY
950287/21 M. v. NYC
950400/20 M.F. v. NYC
950280/21 M.M. v. Archdiocese of NY
950022/21 Martin v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950124/21 McCarty v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950737/21 McDuffen v. Riverside Hawks A/k/a Riverside Hawks
950410/20 McGourty v. Archdiocese of NY
950730/20 McNeil v. NYC
950414/20 Minghinelli v. Archdiocese of NY
951228/21 O'Neill v. Westchester-Putnam Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America Et Al
950283/21 O. v. NYC
950128/20 Ob3 v. NYC
950127/20 Ob6 v. NYC
950028/20 Ortiz v. NYC Dept. of Education
950254/20 Pratt v. Province of St. Mary of
950446/20 R. v. Archdiocese of NY
950256/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY
950682/20 Rj v. NYC
950554/20 Rosa v. Archdiocese of NY
950142/21 Russo v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950031/21 Rv v. Learning For Life of NY
950027/19 S. v. Archdiocese of NY
950247/21 S. v. Archdiocese of NY
950029/21 Sc v. Learning For Life of NY
950158/19 Sinclair v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950616/20 Smith v. Pleasantville Cottage School
950740/20 Survivor Two v. NYC
950741/20 Survivor v. NYC
950600/20 Tinger v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950240/20 Vajda v. Archdiocese of NY
950409/20 Vega v. Archdiocese of NY
950754/20 W. v. NYC
950633/20 Wells v. NYC Admin.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

951194/21 B.F. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950096/21 Balakitsis v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950019/19 Beale v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951044/21 Boccuzzi v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950784/21 Cartagena v. The Roman Catholilc Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950732/21 D. v. NYC
950683/20 Doe Whose Initials Are A.L. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951079/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950157/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950160/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950154/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950165/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950344/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950366/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950574/21 Doe v. Franciscan Friars of The
950576/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950597/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950598/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950599/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950601/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950603/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950604/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950605/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950606/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950609/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950639/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950641/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950643/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950710/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950719/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950722/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950761/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950762/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950763/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950765/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
951175/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951393/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951412/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951434/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950390/21 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950400/21 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950405/21 Doe v. Greater NY Councils
950794/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
951126/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950398/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950399/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
951473/21 Doe v. The Jewish Center Et Al
950544/21 Doe v. Ward
950693/21 Doe v. Jewish Board of Family
951221/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950262/19 Duval v. Archdiocese of NY
951360/21 Edmondson v. NYC Et Al
950915/21 Ferrie v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
950672/21 Foley v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950251/21 G. v. NYC
950822/21 G. v. NYC
950221/19 Gordon v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951269/21 Grady v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950661/21 H. v. NYC
950183/21 Henriquez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950056/21 Hutson v. Watts
950354/21 J. v. NYC
950331/21 J.J. v. Archdiocese of NY
950434/21 K. v. NYC
950416/21 K. v. Archdiocese of NY
950659/21 Leather v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
152075/18 Lietavova v. 127 East 101 LLC Aka 127
950112/20 Liquori v. Archdiocese of NY
951349/21 Lopez v. NYC Et Al
951347/21 M. v. NYC Et Al
950987/21 M. v. Archdiocese of New York.
951320/21 M. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950667/21 M. v. NYC
950537/21 M. v. Archdiocese of NY
155500/21 Macpherson v. Wagner College Et Al
950742/21 Maldonado v. Good Shepherd Services Et Al
160189/17 Marcondes v. Fort 710 Associates
951311/21 Marotta Sr. v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950749/20 Monk v. NYC Et Al
950642/20 Moore v. NYC Et Al
950261/19 O'Reilly v. Archdiocese of NY
950326/21 O. v. NYC
950040/20 O. v. Archdiocese of NY
950678/21 Petit v. NYC Et Al
950327/21 Q. v. NYC
950295/21 R-C. v. Archdiocese of NY
950611/21 R. v. Madison Square Boys & Girls
950374/21 R. v. NYC
950305/21 R. v. NYC
950310/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY
950854/21 Rafiq v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950916/21 Raphael Rodriguez v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951101/21 Regina Suarez v. NYC Et Al
159440/20 Rivera v. Bop Nw LLC
950872/21 Rivera v. NYC Et Al
951449/21 Rodriguez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950303/21 S. v. NYC
950530/20 Schmitt v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950891/21 Simmons v. The NYCHA Et Al
950982/21 Suarez v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950237/19 Taylor Jr. v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951164/21 Telfair v. NYC Et Al
950262/21 Tremere v. Madison Square Boys & Girls Club, Inc. (f/k/a Madison Square Boys Club, Inc.) Et Al
950084/20 Troy v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950583/21 Tucker v. NYC
950316/21 W. v. NYC
951252/21 W. v. St. Vito Parish Et Al
950320/21 W. v. NYC
950377/21 W.M. v. Archdiocese of NY
950484/21 Walz v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Part 59

Justice Debra A. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3351
Room 331

TUESDAY, JULY 8

652534/21 Apollo Electric Nyg, Inc. v. Michilli Inc.
152324/18 Grinberg v. NYC
157521/22 Hannant v. Abt
654064/20 NY Marine & General v. Hudson Excess Ins.
650297/21 Richard Pu v. Ava Chapman Moore

THURSDAY, JULY 10

161089/22 Fenton v. 509 W 34 L.L.C. Et Al
657553/19 Hester Collective LLC v. 34 Ludlow Rlty. Corp.
656253/18 Minkoff v. Executive Risk Indemnity Inc.
652642/21 Quantum Media Group v. Generex Biotech. Corp.
655881/20 Visualhouse USA v. Soho Thompson Rlty. LLC

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Melissa A. Crane
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3310
Room 248

TUESDAY, JULY 8

650200/25 Lawrence v. Levy
654834/23 Sky Chefs, Inc. v. Automatic Data Processing, Inc.
653396/23 Theometrics v. Grey

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

659668/24 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's v. Nu Ride, Inc.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

650792/25 in The Matter of An Application of Dr. Henry Bellutta v. Pilla
659499/24 Kennedy III v. Unitedhealth Group Inc. Et Al

Part 61 Commercial Div.

Justice Nancy M. Bannon
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3169
Room 232

TUESDAY, JULY 8

652634/22 Leslie Digital Imaging LLC D/b/a Ldi v. Empower Information Systems, Inc. Et Al
655826/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Csp 2294 LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

651813/22 Nagori Contracting Corp. v. NYC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

154345/23 Aaeb5 Fund 17 v. Duval & Stachenfeld
650796/25 Fifth Ave. Surgery Center v. Jjs Group Inc.
654664/24 Kassirer v. Gotlib
655757/23 New Cingular Wireless Pcs v. Grand Greene LLC
650356/25 Rcc Real Estate Acquisitions Spe v. Kia

Transit Authority Settlement Part

60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3281
Room 408

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

159230/19 Aitabdellah v. The NYCTA Et Al
162834/14 Camacho v. Romano
161613/15 Covrig v. NYCTA
156142/21 Dover v. NYCTA Et Al
153817/17 Heekin v. NYCTA
158420/23 Huang v. NYCTA Et Al
156331/19 Morillo v. NYCTA
151914/16 Nunez v. NYCTA
160722/19 Posso v. NYCTA
159390/21 Ramos v. NYCTA Et Al
160842/18 Rodriguez v. NYCTA
151789/18 Scudder v. NYCTA
159990/19 Trujillo-Diaz v. NYCTA

80 CENTRE STREET

Part 4

Justice Judy H. Kim
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3580
Room 308

TUESDAY, JULY 8

154797/24 Badalov v. C. A. J. 113 Ludlow Corp. Et Al
158801/22 Castillo v. Central Park Tower Condominium Et Al
100203/25 Hintermaier v. Hands of Hope Physical Therapy & Wellness, Inc.
160106/16 J.F. v. Bsrep Ua River Crossing LLC
652161/25 Mount Sinai Hosps. Group, Inc. v. Affinity Health Plan, Inc. Et Al
151418/23 Ryan v. Pamela Equities Corp. Et Al
153652/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Bright Physical Therapy

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

156990/25 350 East 62nd St. Associates v. Vecilla
155765/24 Ac Penguin Prestige Corp. v. Schulman
150577/25 Ac Penguin Prestige Corp. v. Schulman
150134/21 Alves v. Brookfield Properties
655052/21 Cap Call v. Cec Steel Ltd Liability Co Et Al
653496/25 Chang v. Chang
156668/25 Claremont Properties LLC v. 311 East 75th Owners Corp.
160377/16 Corazzini v. Chung
652416/25 Crous v. Korengold
156220/25 Doe v. Hand Hosp.ity LLC Et Al
155770/24 Ericsen v. The Tower At City Pl. Et Al
151958/22 Flores v. Tishman Const. Corp. Et Al
152951/24 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Prince
150643/23 Hernandez De La Cruz v. K-Lash Const. Corp. Et Al
155107/16 Jara v. Durst Dev.
150817/24 Jeremiah v. Trident General Contracting LLC
153096/20 Joanne Moccia As Proposed v. Carmel Richmond Nursing
451880/24 Libertas Funding LLC v. Palace Restaurant Inc Et Al
652839/25 Nomberg v. Rosenbaum
155210/25 Northe Group, Inc. v. Fadel
650288/25 Plumeria Accord Hldgs. v. Cheap Apparel Shopping
152715/22 Tycoon Dev. v. Weston Capital Services

THURSDAY, JULY 10

651371/24 Boutros v. State Farm Fire And Casualty Co.
151626/24 Bryan v. The NYCTA Et Al
150680/24 Carrasquillo v. Hph 164th LLC Et Al
150747/22 Christensen v. Hunt Const. Group, Inc. Et Al
158983/23 Chubb Nat. Ins. Co. v. Patel
154739/20 Colletti v. NY Univ. Et Al
452989/24 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Mughal General Const.
155784/21 Davey v. NY Univ. Et Al
157769/21 Delgado v. Boston Properties, Inc. Et Al
153179/23 Flaim v. Kaufman Arcade Associates
162475/23 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2021 v. Moore Staffing
160741/23 Gaidis v. Nat. RR. Passenger Corp. Et Al
160393/21 Galeano v. Ninhursag Properties LLC
154342/23 Geraldine Herlihy v. The Penn Central Corp. Et Al
150438/24 Guaman v. Carmel Const. East
158562/24 Hazel v. Morton Williams Supermarkets, Inc.
158656/24 Ilend Advance LLC v. Rocketstar
151481/23 Kazazian v. 213 West 23rd St. LLC Et Al
156708/24 Manzi v. Lexington Hotel Owner LLC Et Al
101186/23 Matthew v. Barrett
158630/23 Miranda v. Phoenix Sutton Str Inc. Et Al
160553/20 Murray Hill Cleaners v. Third And Thirty Four
160872/21 Musso v. 775 Washington Opco
160608/22 Ochoa-Quijije v. Universal Protection Service
160770/23 Onaler v. Elevated Technologies, Inc.
160175/22 Perez Canela v. 156-166 Bowery L.P. Et Al
156468/24 Posillico v. Simon Prop. Group, Inc. Et Al
152641/22 Rawlings v. Columbus Townhouse Associates D/b/a K B Companies, Inc. Et Al
161115/21 Rivera v. NYCHA
154943/22 Saucer v. Board of Mgrs. of 9 Barrow Condominium Et Al
154110/22 Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. A/s/o Chungly Dev. Corp. Et Al v. Utwt Inc. Et Al
160633/22 Siguencia v. Benchmark Const. Services, Inc.
650287/24 Site 2 Dsa Commercial LLC Et Al v. Nrg Grand Delancey
153928/24 Soto Soto v. Vertigo Ventures LLC Et Al
158594/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Joseph
158208/22 Stubenhaus v. Faye
152379/23 Telesco v. Young Men's Christian Assoc. of Greater NY
150106/23 Thorman v. 1 Madison Office Fee LLC Et Al
453292/23 Tymchuk v. Khedouri Ezair Corp. Et Al
153780/24 Vadi v. NYCHA Et Al
150839/22 Wells v. One Vanderbilt Owner LLC Et Al
150988/24 Williams v. The NYCHA
151296/23 Xl Ins. America, Inc. Et Al v. Iti, Inc. Et Al

Part 5 City Part

Justice Hasa A. Kingo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3374
Room 320

TUESDAY, JULY 8

150493/21 6e32 Fee Owners v. Con Ed Co.
155026/19 Adams v. NYC/new York
153547/20 Anderson v. NYC
160046/19 Apas v. NYC
158560/19 Bakehouse 32 Inc. v. Con Ed Co.
150900/23 Berry v. NYC Et Al
151782/22 Cabreja v. NYC
154224/20 Carlton Spivey v. NYC
154806/23 Curto v. Mpq 1535 Third Ave.
156939/23 David v. NYC
805016/23 Diamant Hysenaj As Administrator of The Estate of Borim Husenaj Deceased v. NYC Et Al
100328/20 Escoffier v. NYC
150137/18 Esposito v. NYC
152855/19 Ferguson v. NYC
154055/24 Flournoy v. NYC Et Al
159306/21 Fuller v. NYC Et Al
153481/21 Hernandez Vazquez v. West 38 Res L.L.C. Et Al
151232/20 Holtje v. NYC
150846/22 Irizarry v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al
153859/23 Jean-Pierre v. NYC Et Al
158202/21 Kobel v. NYC
154012/23 Legrand v. NYC
157075/20 Liberato v. NYC
154655/20 Logue v. NYC Et Al
153131/16 McCabe Rizk v. NYC
151439/22 Montalvo v. Con Ed Co. of NY Et Al
155800/21 Monts v. Kregler
154534/21 Moroni v. NYC
158093/18 Nanton v. of NY
155362/22 Napier v. NYC Et Al
159809/17 O. v. NYC
158521/22 Otero v. NYC Et Al
155275/20 Pavlakos v. NYC
160186/20 Petras v. NYC
152484/19 Pierre v. NYC
159104/16 Powell v. NYC
158575/20 Ramsay v. NYC
154688/19 Reynolds v. NYC
161941/19 Roggemann v. NYC
152552/23 Rosado v. NYC
150915/23 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
160487/21 Ruiz v. Cornell Univ. Et Al
158617/22 S. v. Success Academy Harlem School #4 Et Al
153378/21 Salgado v. NYC
150329/22 Santos v. NYC Et Al
161108/20 Santos v. NYC Et Al
157168/22 Saunders Jr v. NYC Et Al
157678/20 Schilling v. Walsh
152057/23 Seaman v. NYC Et Al
156799/19 Serna v. NYC Et Al
152298/19 Simon v. NYC
160252/21 Smith v. NYC Et Al
154595/20 Stocks v. NYC Et Al
150926/22 Tirado v. NYC Et Al
157358/19 Utschig v. Con Ed, Inc.
150331/18 Varghese v. NYC
153227/21 Veras v. NYC Et Al
160654/21 Wilson v. NYC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

150834/25 Raysor v. NYC Et Al
161111/14 Weissbrod v. NYC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

150454/25 Catlin v. NYC
157807/19 Gordon v. NYC Et Al
152004/24 Polk v. NYC

Part 8

Justice Lynn R. Kotler
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3572
Room 278

TUESDAY, JULY 8

157027/16 Koval v. St Nicholas 175 Assoc LLC

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

150048/18 Board of Mgrs. of The v. Oliver
152151/23 Diallo v. 230fa LLC A/k/a 230 Fifth Rooftop Bar Et Al
155124/22 Jimenez Hernandez v. Museum of Modern Art Et Al
160899/18 Mirza v. Boulevard Retail LLC
158556/21 Venechanos v. Battery Park City Auth. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

150480/16 Kurovskaya v. Project O.H.R., Inc.
654620/17 Nelson v. Harlem East LLC

Part 21 City Part

Justice Richard A. Tsai
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3738
Room 280

TUESDAY, JULY 8

158349/18 Alonso v. 401 East 74 Owners Corp.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

159230/19 Aitabdellah v. The NYCTA Et Al
162834/14 Camacho v. Romano
161613/15 Covrig v. NYCTA
159746/24 Dilone v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
156142/21 Dover v. NYCTA Et Al
158312/19 Frame v. NYC Et Al
153817/17 Heekin v. NYCTA
158420/23 Huang v. NYCTA Et Al
156331/19 Morillo v. NYCTA
151914/16 Nunez v. NYCTA
160722/19 Posso v. NYCTA
159390/21 Ramos v. NYCTA Et Al
160842/18 Rodriguez v. NYCTA
151789/18 Scudder v. NYCTA
159990/19 Trujillo-Diaz v. NYCTA

THURSDAY, JULY 10

160774/22 Almonte v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
153014/25 Amusa v. NYC Et Al
153636/19 Armato Properties, Inc. v. NYCTA
155182/23 Ashby v. NYCTA Et Al
450091/20 Bailey v. Rugova
159844/20 Berkowitz v. NYCTA
155604/21 Borrelli v. NYCTA
152945/23 Cadavid v. Wwp Office
151739/25 Campbell v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
153688/23 Ceballos v. The NYCTA Et Al
155024/23 Cipriani v. NYCTA
158980/24 Conyers v. NYCTA
153565/20 Cruz Vargas v. NYCTA
152937/20 Diaz v. NYCTA Et Al
151447/18 Dorado v. NYCTA
159707/23 Edwards v. Thor Gateway I & II
154673/20 Encarnacion v. NYCTA
155662/23 Fontane v. NYC Et Al
158312/19 Frame v. NYC Et Al
452438/16 Francois v. NYCTA
452589/23 Gethers v. NYCTA
153972/22 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
150875/20 Guelce v. NYCTA
162011/23 Halperin v. NYC Et Al
152263/23 Harbison III v. The NYCTA Et Al
159169/16 Harris v. NYCTA
158103/22 Harris v. NYCTA Et Al
152842/23 Holland v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
451732/19 Hosokawa v. NYCTA
160932/18 Hossain v. NYCTA
150158/21 Iken-Murphy v. NYCTA
155180/23 Islami v. NYC Et Al
153154/20 Lai Ng v. Doe
151419/21 Larkin v. NYC Et Al
154489/20 Littmann v. NYC
450007/18 Llanos v. NYCTA
160180/22 Louis v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
155372/20 Luckey v. Bass Cab Corp. Et Al
152320/23 Mahrer v. NYCTA Et Al
158195/20 Marshall-Stewart v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
155929/18 Martin v. NYCTA
152425/21 Martinez v. Jlj IV Enterprises, Inc.
160174/22 McDonald v. NYC Et Al
152987/21 Meyerowitz v. 4 Uss LLC Et Al
152133/23 Myrie v. NYCTA Et Al
160573/22 Nestor v. Shoyfer
158736/21 Palmer v. The NYCTA Et Al
151931/22 Palmer v. NYCTA Et Al
157707/21 Palmer v. NYCTA Et Al
150411/21 Panzer v. NYC
152262/22 Patterson v. NYCTA Et Al
156870/20 Pierre v. Triborough Bridge And Tunnel
152311/23 Prasad v. The NYCTA Et Al
151425/24 Ramos v. NYCTA Autority Et Al
151450/21 Remy v. NYC
157955/21 Richards v. Metro. NYCTA Et Al
159432/19 Rodriguez-Curbelo v. NYCTA Et Al
154258/24 Shapiro v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
155319/21 Silva v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
154081/14 Sumpter v. NYCTA
154536/23 Tai v. NYC Et Al
155044/22 Torres v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
150358/20 Walker v. NYCTA
150613/24 Walsh v. Us Real Estate Hldg. No. 1 Ltd. Et Al
158502/20 Watson v. NYCTA
152759/21 Webb v. NYC Et Al
155900/21 Weiner v. NYC Et Al
158112/19 Weis v. NYC
162001/23 Yung v. NYC Transportation Auth. Et Al
162052/18 Zfatman v. NYCTA

Motion

152945/23 Cadavid v. Wwp Office
451732/19 Hosokawa v. NYCTA

Part 22 Motor Vehicle

Justice Christopher Chin
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3271
Room 136

TUESDAY, JULY 8

158397/23 Basulto v. Murillo
154339/24 Blackwell v. Sharipov
160619/24 Canty v. Doe
450134/24 Comm'rs. of The NYS Ins. Fund v. Nwoha
153016/24 Dew v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
154521/24 Frazier v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
452858/23 Geico General Ins. Co. v. Barry Limo Inc. Et Al
160187/23 Kim v. Geico Ins. Co.
152820/24 Moreno Jr. v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Fire Ins. Co. Et Al
162197/23 Philadelphia Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Rodney
154344/23 Polanco v. Pc Richards Et Al
162317/19 Rizwan v. Nuwest Logistics LLC
153302/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. A/s/o Maria Vega v. Greiche
151274/25 The Cincinnati Ins. Companies Et Al v. Gutierrez
161642/23 Travelers Excess And Surplus Lines Co. A/s/o Mann Rlty. Associates And Et Al v. Genesis Prods.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

153909/22 Blandon v. Seck
155851/24 Bucey v. Perry
162245/24 Harris v. Kass
151377/24 Najat v. Vyas
155962/24 Thompson v. Farmer

THURSDAY, JULY 10

161341/24 Hashemi-Mousavi v. Grdzelishvili
157601/25 in The Matter of The Application of Andrew Brown v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnficiation Corp.
156551/19 Jonathan Saldana v. Claudia E. Amaya-Escobar
155361/24 Peralta v. Nuride Transportation Group
152775/22 Scott v. Yeboah

Motion

156551/19 Jonathan Saldana v. Claudia E. Amaya-Escobar

Part MED-2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
646-386-3689
Room 106

Early Settlement Part 1

Justice Miles J. Vigilante
80 Centre Street
Room 106

TUESDAY, JULY 8

159864/19 Armstrong v. Macklowe Properties
152240/17 Barr v. 34th St. Partnership, Inc.
155441/22 Colon v. Chesapeake Owners Corp. Et Al
159876/20 De Angelis v. 330 E. 93rd St. LLC Et Al
157440/14 Dorset v. 285 Madison Owner LLC
160179/19 Fabian Acosta Villamarin As v. Millstone586 LLC
152324/18 Grinberg v. NYC
158489/21 Hernandez Jr. v. NYCHA
156999/17 Hernandez v. 122 East 42nd St.
159221/22 Kanoute v. One Bryant Park LLC Et Al
150939/21 Kurbegovic v. Action Carting Environmental
160635/15 Liberson v. Feldman
160643/19 Lopez v. Park 55 Assets LLC
161091/17 Maliaros v. Fairway Group Hldgs.
161431/21 Morina v. 250 B'way. Associates Corp. Et Al
155427/19 Parker v. Trustees of The Spence
153403/19 Ramos v. NYC
151623/19 Rodriguez-Hernandez v. 40 East End Ave. Associates
151579/20 Rosenthal v. Rubin
155327/20 Singh v. Surfside Investment Co. Et Al
159953/19 Torres v. 281/295 Wadsworth
150505/20 Upchurch v. Marriott Int'l, Inc.
153222/17 Veloso v. Scaturro Brothers, Inc.
150620/19 Vergara v. Corp. of The Presiding

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

656973/17 99 Wall Dev., Inc. v. T.G. Nickel & Associates
159497/21 Butler v. NYCHA
160940/17 Ciavolella v. Eldad Prime
161123/21 Dominguez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
160527/20 Durso v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Inc. Et Al
150164/22 Friedman v. Shanks
150670/23 G.A. v. The Children's Pl. Retail Stores, Inc. Et Al
159346/21 Guillen v. NYC Et Al
160337/22 Huberman v. 421 East 73 St.
154386/18 Kehoe v. 76 Eleventh Ave. Partners
151984/19 Lazo v. Ab Stable LLC
153540/16 Macneal v. B'way. Storage
151426/23 Norena v. M&G 60th St. LLC Et Al
151007/13 Nunez v. 608-614 West 189th St. LLC
158639/19 Pahis v. Fairway East 86th St. LLC
157980/20 Ravelo v. Rxr 32 Old Slip Owner
156755/22 Reed v. Mexico Leasing Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
159255/19 Ruiz v. Bop 245 Park LLC
156061/19 Saquisili v. Harlem Urban Dev.
158317/20 Torres Ramos v. West 107th St. Associates
161325/19 Tung v. Bowery Presents LLC
154106/22 Verizon NY Inc. v. Alba Services Inc.
158744/19 Wagner v. 55 Tiemann Owners Corp.
155126/14 Welliver v. T-C The Colorado

THURSDAY, JULY 10

157568/19 Bastiat USA, Inc. v. Duino
153853/21 Celis v. Jewish Board of Family And
154045/22 Chen v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
156193/19 D'Antonio v. Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corp
158205/21 Dluzen v. Equinox Group Et Al
162594/19 Fontanez v. NYCHA
151991/18 Gaillard v. 149th Partners Lp
150363/22 Genest v. Winter Mgt. Corp. Et Al
157393/19 German v. 333 Rector Garage
153249/20 Gorham v. NYCHA
151475/21 Jean-Baptiste v. 183 B'way. Owner LLC
155395/20 Landwehrle v. Bianchi
151725/21 Leslie v. Linde Inc.
154509/21 Macauley v. New Line Structures & Dev. LLC Et Al
161198/21 Nieves v. Pinnacle Contracting Concrete & Masonry Corp.
157421/20 O'Neil v. Park Hotels & Resorts Inc.
154454/18 Orellana v. 4260 B'way. Rlty. LLC
157058/19 Riggi v. Vinyl Entertainment Inc. Dba
156093/20 Romero-Sotamba v. 33 Bre Inc.
154818/19 Rosario v. NY And

160949/21 Salmaggi v. 405 East 54th St. Corp. Et Al
159969/19 Sanchez v. 181st St. Medical
155191/22 Smith v. Hornblower NY
161323/18 Speechio v. Starbucks Corp.

Early Settlement Part 2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
Room 106

TUESDAY, JULY 8

154700/19 A. O. A Minor By His Mother v. NYC
158397/23 Basulto v. Murillo
159809/17 O. v. NYC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

157807/19 Gordon v. NYC Et Al
162107/19 Onefator v. NYC
159893/23 Rulli v. NYC

Part 27

Justice Denise M Dominguez
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5625
Courtroom 289

Part 41

Justice Nicholas W. Moyne
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3984
Room 327

TUESDAY, JULY 8

450405/22 Allen v. Warcholik
152417/21 Barayev v. Br Rlty. Co. L.P. Et Al
159571/24 Beaux Arts Rlty. LLC v. Koster
151709/18 Doe v. Our Lady of Pompeii
659495/24 Fuentes v. Rev Worldwide, Inc.
156787/24 John Quealy Irrevocable Life Ins. Trust v. Grayson
100569/24 Kelly v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
155034/24 Pepi Partners LLC v. The Copley Condominium And Club Et Al

Motion

450405/22 Allen v. Warcholik
152417/21 Barayev v. Br Rlty. Co. L.P. Et Al
159571/24 Beaux Arts Rlty. LLC v. Koster
659495/24 Fuentes v. Rev Worldwide, Inc.
156787/24 John Quealy Irrevocable Life Ins. Trust v. Grayson
100569/24 Kelly v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
155034/24 Pepi Partners LLC v. The Copley Condominium And Club Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al
157969/23 Corio v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
450264/23 Dept. of Environmental Protection of NYC Et Al v. Board of Mgrs. of The 337 Lenox Road Condominium Et Al
154051/23 Earl v. Honey Beauty Salon
158601/23 Flores Morales v. Dast Hldg. Corp. Et Al
158810/23 Grullon v. Maggio Rlty. LLC
654350/22 Klima v. Novitas Us, Inc.
157137/23 McBride v. Esplanade Gardens, Inc. Et Al
151486/21 Rojas v. Isabella Home Et Al
650845/24 Rpr Hosp.ity v. Swiss Re Corporate Solutions America Ins. Corp.
151834/19 Sinche v. St. Nicholas One Seven Five
160645/23 Urrea Morales v. 1021 Park Ave. Corp.
150936/18 Williams v. Rxr Const.
161585/23 Yaish v. Congregation Shearith Israel Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

656435/23 1235 5th St. LLC v. Gilman Architects NY Pllc Et Al
653560/22 25 Tudor Owners Corp. v. U.S. Intermodal, Inc.
651413/23 390 Fifth LLC v. Fialkoff
653010/24 405 E NY v. Ideal Tile of Manhattan, Inc. Et Al
154385/23 Abreu v. St. Matthew's & St. Timothy's Housing Corp. Et Al
158412/23 Bernard v. Strategic Operational Services Corp.
159082/23 Bregin v. 40 Sutton Pl. Condominium Et Al
160647/23 Burnett v. La Casa Nuestra Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
652915/24 Chef Tang LLC Et Al v. 519 Third Ave LLC
452090/21 NYC v. Singh
160622/22 Cruz v. Stuyvesant Owners Inc. Et Al
150844/23 Dumdaw v. NYC Citi Bike Share LLC Et Al
653074/24 Emc Presents Mf LLC v. Michefest 2023
153841/23 Figueroa v. New Rochelle Tower Owner LLC Et Al
157880/18 Fitzgerald v. Hovasse—2:30 P.M.
159095/23 Gonzalez v. 884 Riverside Drive Partners LLC Et Al
152391/24 Green v. NYC Police Dept.
155581/23 Greenberg v. Randall House Owners Corp. Et Al
158556/23 in & Out Welders, Inc. v. McGriff Ins. Services
151084/24 Iousoupov v. Costco Wholesale Corp.
160469/23 Joyce v. Manhattan Chelsea Market LLC.
159580/23 Jozefiak v. The Tjx Companies, Inc. D/b/a Tj Maxx Et Al
151748/24 Moore St. Bldg. Corp. v. Abbott Resource Services Co.
151038/24 Novak Jr. v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey
651171/23 Pamela Equities Corp. v. Casarona
652130/21 Politi v. Siano
158966/23 Reyes v. Bloomberg Lp Et Al
654675/23 Riley v. Eic Associates, Inc. Et Al
651507/24 Selina Hosp.ity Plc v. Yovel Group S.A.S.
152857/23 Soluri v. Vornado Two Penn Prop.
653938/21 Team 86 LLC Et Al v. Pison Stream Solutions Inc. Et Al
152065/24 Thomson v. Clune Const. Co.. L.P. Et Al
155957/23 Torres v. NY Univ. Et Al
452143/22 Traditional Waterproofing And Restoration, Inc. v. 25 Franklin Boulevard LLC Et Al
652265/23 Tremada Greene St. LLC v. Swain-Borov
654757/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Dbms Consulting, Inc. Et Al
150807/24 Velez v. Good Neighbor Apt. Houses
150493/24 Victorino v. NYCHA
651528/24 Vnb NY v. Yellow Raven Cab Corp. Et Al
157073/23 Wong v. 885 Second Ave. Owner LLC Et Al
160176/23 Wyma v. B'way. Desserts
157097/24 Yong Hong LLC Et Al v. 168 Affleck

Motion

652915/24 Chef Tang LLC Et Al v. 519 Third Ave LLC
653074/24 Emc Presents Mf LLC v. Michefest 2023
151748/24 Moore St. Bldg. Corp. v. Abbott Resource Services Co.
654757/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Dbms Consulting, Inc. Et Al
651528/24 Vnb NY v. Yellow Raven Cab Corp. Et Al

Part 50

Justice J. Machelle Sweeting
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5639
Room 279

Part 51 Matrimonial Part

Justice Lisa S. Headley
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3846
Room 122

TUESDAY, JULY 8

309188/13 Dwyer v. Dwyer
320099/22 Javier v. Romeri Javier
365413/20 Katzen v. Fahrbach
320178/24 Lewkovitch v. Elgrabli

Motion

365413/20 Katzen v. Fahrbach
320178/24 Lewkovitch v. Elgrabli

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

309807/12 Galluzzi v. Galluzzi
365522/23 Selit v. Selit
452077/22 St. Vincent v. Johnson

Motion

309807/12 Galluzzi v. Galluzzi

THURSDAY, JULY 10

365061/21 Malick v. Malick
365037/23 Moor v. Moor

Motion

365061/21 Malick v. Malick
365037/23 Moor v. Moor

Part 65

Justice Denis M. Reo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3887
Room 307

Part 73R Special Referee

Justice Diego Santiago
60 Centre Street
Room 354

Part 75R Special Referee

Justice Stephen S. Burzio
60 Centre Street
Room 240

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

365077/20 Levin v. Levin

THURSDAY, JULY 10

321242/21 Diaz-Gloster v. Gloster

Part 81R Special Referee

Justice Lancelot B. Hewitt
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3680
Room 321

THURSDAY, JULY 10

652212/23 Ba v. 145th St. Autocare, Inc.

Part 84R Special Referee

Justice Jeremy R. Feinberg
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3207
Room 641

TUESDAY, JULY 8

111407/09 32-42 B'way. LLC v. Panam Mortgage & Financial

Part 87R Special Referee

Justice Joseph P. Burke
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5541
Room 238

Part 88R Special Referee

Justice Deborah E. Edelman
60 Centre Street
Room 158

Part 89R Special Referee

Justice Sue Ann Hoahng
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3676
Room 236

71 THOMAS STREET

Part 13

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

TUESDAY, JULY 8

190095/20 Cannistra v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190050/23 Francis H. McNamara v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al
190009/20 Fried v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190302/20 Jennifer Pefanis v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190085/23 Jesse Dorazio As Administrator For The Estate of Steven W Dorazio v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al
190100/20 Lee v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
190165/22 Lisa Miller v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190123/20 Melish v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190288/22 Pichardo v. Aurora Pump Co. Et Al
190149/21 Sandra Spiegelman v. Arkema Inc. Et Al
190018/23 Scott v. Aerco Int'l
190232/20 Vollmer v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

190114/19 Aracich v. American Honda Motor Co.
190295/13 Brennan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods.
190401/18 Flanzraich v. Abb, Inc.
190211/23 Kaplan v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
190145/23 Perciavalle v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190183/22 Pittorf v. Aerco Int'l
190249/23 Prestia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
101607/07 Reis v. A.O. Smith Water Prods.
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190186/18 Sweetman v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190297/24 Torres v. L'oreal USA, Inc. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

190074/22 Andrea Mondino v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190133/22 Andrew Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Bailey Controls And Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
190069/23 Bacsin v. Avon Prod.s, Inc. Et Al
190195/21 Barroca v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190032/22 Branda v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190022/21 Campbell v. Aerco Int'l
190052/22 Jonathan B Wittlin As Executor For The Estate of Alvin Wittlin v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co. Et Al
190297/15 Mary Gail Reilly v. Air & Liquid Systems
190081/22 Mohabeer v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al

Court Calendars

190113/21 Richard M. Stanley As Personal Representative For The Estate of Clarence R. Stanley v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190093/21 Silver v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190028/21 Turner v. Aerco Int'l

Part 18

Justice Alexander M. Tisch
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3472
Room 104

TUESDAY, JULY 8

159314/21 62 Wooster v. Tml NY Inc. Et Al
100115/22 Alicea v. De La Cruz
951028/21 B. v. NYC
950213/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
101330/19 Gonzalez v. Spence-Chapin
950223/19 Hodd v. Grace Church School
157238/19 Mendez v. NYC
950699/21 Mingues v. Riverside Hawks A/k/a Riverside Hawks
950236/19 Perez v. Archdiocese of NY
951037/21 S. v. NYC
951430/21 Serra v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950898/21 Smith v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950497/21 Taylor-Diaz v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Motion

100115/22 Alicea v. De La Cruz
101330/19 Gonzalez v. Spence-Chapin

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

950480/20 B. v. Archdiocese of NY
950752/21 Heidcamp v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
157316/21 Mark Propco LLC v. Jackson Aka Lisa M. Calicchio
950363/21 Weber v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Part 23

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

TUESDAY, JULY 8

190095/20 Cannistra v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190050/23 Francis H. McNamara v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al
190009/20 Fried v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190302/20 Jennifer Pefanis v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190085/23 Jesse Dorazio As Administrator For The Estate of Steven W Dorazio v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al
190100/20 Lee v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
190165/22 Lisa Miller v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190123/20 Melish v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190288/22 Pichardo v. Aurora Pump Co. Et Al
190149/21 Sandra Spiegelman v. Arkema Inc. Et Al
190018/23 Scott v. Aerco Int'l
190232/20 Vollmer v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

190114/19 Aracich v. American Honda Motor Co.
190295/13 Brennan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods.
190401/18 Flanzraich v. Abb, Inc.
190211/23 Kaplan v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
190145/23 Perciavalle v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190183/22 Pittorf v. Aerco Int'l
190249/23 Prestia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
101607/07 Reis v. A.O. Smith Water Prods.
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190186/18 Sweetman v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190297/24 Torres v. L'oreal USA, Inc. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

190074/22 Andrea Mondino v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190133/22 Andrew Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Bailey Controls And Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
190069/23 Bacsin v. Avon Prod.s, Inc. Et Al
190195/21 Barroca v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190032/22 Branda v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190022/21 Campbell v. Aerco Int'l
190052/22 Jonathan B Wittlin As Executor For The Estate of Alvin Wittlin v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co. Et Al
190297/15 Mary Gail Reilly v. Air & Liquid Systems
190081/22 Mohabeer v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc., Et Al
190113/21 Richard M. Stanley As Personal Representative For The Estate of Clarence R. Stanley v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190093/21 Silver v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190028/21 Turner v. Aerco Int'l

Part 29

Justice Leticia M. Ramirez
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 311

TUESDAY, JULY 8

158221/19 Ibacache v. Samuel K. Danemah
159540/23 Palma-Castro v. Madison Plaza Apt. Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

155478/23 Acosta v. Pavarini McGovern
157606/23 Belliard v. 112 Dyckman Restaurant Inc. D/b/a Restaurant 809 Grill Lounge Et Al
160326/22 C. v. Easter Seals NY Inc.
150253/23 Cobos Tito v. 1165 Madison Ave Owner
159001/23 Garcia v. 50 Hymc Owner LLC Et Al
158404/23 Grossman v. Raroc, Inc. Et Al
154799/23 Isakov v. Delta Air Lines, Inc. Et Al
156007/23 Johnson v. NYCHA
161615/23 Jose v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
153713/23 Juela Chimbo v. Chelsea 23rd Rlty. LLC Et Al
160958/23 Kars v. Akam Associates, Inc. Et Al
155985/23 Lennon v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al
100077/24 Liu v. Coons
157547/23 M. v. NYC Elite Gymnastics, Inc.
159756/23 Maffei v. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.
152737/23 Maury Chacon v. Ogden Codman LLC Et Al
160575/24 McFadden v. NYC Et Al
156886/23 Melendez v. NYCHA
150251/23 Munoz v. Gilbane/ant Yapi Joint Venture LLC Et Al
152135/23 Parapi v. Chelsfield Mgt. Services, Inc. Et Al
155505/23 R.P.T. v. Andamio Scaffolding LLC Et Al
157535/23 Santilli v. Skanska USA Bldg. Inc.
153571/23 Sereno v. Smitell LLC Et Al
158550/23 Sillagana v. Fourth Dev. Hldgs. LLC Et Al
151141/23 Smith v. Urban Atelier Group L.L.C. Et Al
159864/24 Smith v. The North-Eastern Conference Corp. of Seventh-Day Adventists
452281/23 Stoute-Phillip v. 18318 LLC Et Al
158288/24 Torres v. NYCHA
154299/23 Torres v. Robert E. Hill, Inc. Et Al
152424/23 Wagner v. Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.
156821/23 Walsh v. Mob Garden City
151515/23 Weiner v. Madison Square Garden Sports Corp.
154122/23 Yunca Camargo v. Carmel Const. East

THURSDAY, JULY 10

151967/25 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp., And Hertz Co. v. A2k NY Corp Et Al
154586/24 Polkowitz v. B'way. 280 Park Fee LLC Et Al

Part 36

Justice Verna L. Saunders
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3733
Room 205

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

159739/19 500 Canal LLC v. Finn
655205/21 627 Second Corp. v. Brasero Restaurant, Inc. Et Al
653165/25 Akf Inc v. Ol Global
151850/21 Board of Mgrs. of The 233 East 70th St. Condominium v. Macarthur Properties I
153300/18 Cascarella v. Crale Rlty. LLC
651193/25 Chubb Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Berman
100590/25 Cortes v. City of New York
155561/24 Fields v. The Dept. of Education of NYC Et Al
453023/24 Houser v. Carrion Jr
151779/25 in The Matter of The Application of Hp Promenade Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc. v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal
158616/20 Karastoyanova v. 175 East 74th Corp.—11 A.M.
100521/25 Medina v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
155433/17 Mironov v. Memorial Hosp. For Cancer
160752/20 Murawski v. Bisso
162081/19 Poplawski v. 111 Wall St. LLC
451838/25 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Clark
451812/25 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Traille
653771/25 Smith v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.
654991/21 T-Seventeen LLC v. Aa Jedson Co.
159052/21 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. A/s/o Eb 223 Rlty. Inc. v. Fisco Const. Inc

Motion

655205/21 627 Second Corp. v. Brasero Restaurant, Inc. Et Al
653165/25 Akf Inc v. Ol Global
100590/25 Cortes v. City of New York
155561/24 Fields v. The Dept. of Education of NYC Et Al
453023/24 Houser v. Carrion Jr
151779/25 in The Matter of The Application of Hp Promenade Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc. v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal
100521/25 Medina v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
155433/17 Mironov v. Memorial Hosp. For Cancer

Part 46

Justice Richard Latin
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3279
Room 210

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

150777/23 Green v. Lenox And Pennamon Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
152522/22 Jaynes v. 21 West 106 Partners
153887/23 Meth v. Nordstrom, Inc.
157608/22 Procel v. Bop Se LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

152397/23 Cajamarca Ramon v. Pizzarotti
161952/23 Kehoe v. Gio Ave. C Inc. Et Al—2:15 P.M.
157138/22 Rico Florez v. Dlc Dev. Corp. Et Al
157418/22 Zarkowski v. NYC Et Al

Part 55

Justice James D'Auguste
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3289
Room 103

TUESDAY, JULY 8

100358/25 Giancarlo v. Board of Education of The City School District of The City of New York
154208/25 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp., And Hertz Co. v. Align Wellness Chiropractic
100657/25 Hunte v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
153663/25 Mallette v. Mark Propco
160724/23 Manuel G. Marinas v. Groff Studios Corp. Et Al
653432/25 Ralph Interactive, Inc. v. Sme Capital Ventures LLC
153413/24 Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. Guiracocha Zavala
100433/25 Weaver v. The NYCHA
154017/24 Young v. Rose

Motion

160724/23 Manuel G. Marinas v. Groff Studios Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

156720/25 5w13 Owner LLC v. 13 West 13 Apts. Corp.
155878/25 5w13 Owner LLC v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 14 West 14th St. Condominium Et Al
652800/25 Advocate's Close LLC v. Khan
161010/18 Amendano Fajardo v. Board of Mgrs. of 255
158683/23 Contreras Padilla v. Tbro's Renovation Inc. Et Al
160347/23 D. v. NYC Et Al
653627/25 Galyanurov v. Galyanurov
154177/18 Getz v. J. Thomas Const. LLC
154948/24 Hickman v. Ali Baba Hotel Corp. D/b/a Square Hotel Et Al
152489/24 King v. 200 Water Spe
153797/24 L.T. An Infant By Her Mother And Natural Guardian v. Friedman
451429/25 NYCHA v. Crews
159043/23 Padilla-Ortiz v. Nat. Restoration Group, Inc. Et Al
654426/23 Plunkett v. 1250 B'way. Associates LLC Et Al
653074/25 Precision Testing Laboratories Inc. v. Shane
155689/25 Schwarzhorn Re 430 W 15 LLC v. Wcb Hldgs.
162246/23 Sharif v. East Side Auto Inc. Et Al

Motion

156720/25 5w13 Owner LLC v. 13 West 13 Apts. Corp.
155878/25 5w13 Owner LLC v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 14 West 14th St. Condominium Et Al
161010/18 Amendano Fajardo v. Board of Mgrs. of 255
159043/23 Padilla-Ortiz v. Nat. Restoration Group, Inc. Et Al
155689/25 Schwarzhorn Re 430 W 15 LLC v. Wcb Hldgs.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

160200/21 150 Central Park South Inc. D/b/a Hampshire House v. Jds Dev. LLC Et Al
651344/25 Casio America, Inc. v. Craniotes
157287/24 Castleman v. Skm&H Corp.
100652/25 Neveloff v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene

Motion

157287/24 Castleman v. Skm&H Corporation

Part 58

Justice David B. Cohen
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-636-3347
Room 305

TUESDAY, JULY 8

156219/19 Adzemovic v. 330 Madison Co. LLC
161454/23 Allen Jr v. Unity Funeral Chapels, Inc.
154579/24 Aulicino v. Sun Lau Rlty. Corp
156933/24 Bagoly v. The Shubert Organization, Inc. Et Al
156303/24 Birouaine v. Gurney's Montauk Resort & Seawater Spa Et Al
155439/24 Burg v. 1 And 8, Inc.
159107/24 Cherry v. Yasmeh Rlty.
157711/23 Cimino v. Ruppert Yorkville Towers Condominium Et Al
151553/24 Cintron v. NYC Et Al
158427/21 Clarke v. 76 Eleventh Ave. Prop. Owner LLC Et Al
158437/19 Collaguazo Fernandez v. 27e79 Prop. LLC
158757/24 Cruz v. 1775 Houses Tp4 LLC Et Al
650773/24 Cummo v. Kerzner
159041/23 Davis v. Morton Williams Supermarket Et Al
100121/21 Doward v. Jones
162454/19 Duchimaza Angamarca v. River Tower Owner
152563/22 Estupinan v. Wallack NYC Family Ltd. Partnership Et Al
153377/21 Feliz v. H.E.L.P. USA LLC Et Al
154379/20 Flores v. Mt. Sinai Hosp.
151118/24 Garcia Hernandez v. Fsp 787 Seventh
157401/24 Gazzetti v. Pearlfisher Inc.
155900/23 Guarisco v. Vision Path, Inc. D/b/a Hubble Contacts
155318/21 Guarquila v. The Garcia Law Firm
151939/24 Himani v. Tri-Star Equities Inc.
152866/19 Hualpa v. Porven Real Estate
155827/23 Huelbig v. Irving Plaza Et Al
101033/21 Hussein v. William J Robb
155544/16 Kllogjeri v. Amb Const., Inc.
158307/23 Lucero Villacis v. P.T. Tenants Corp. A/k/a Park Terrace Gardens, Inc. Et Al
156025/24 Lyke v. 746 Rlty. Corp..
154938/19 Makeda Beckford And Makeda v. NYC
152787/20 Martin v. Guerrero
152541/22 Nunez Concepcion v. Ft. Washington Equities Ltd.
155697/24 Parler v. NYCHA
155248/24 Romano v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
152885/24 Sabbatino v. Turner Const. Et Al
152933/23 Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. A/s/o Bleecker Plaza Rlty. Corp. v. Astrea Mgt. Inc. D/b/a Uncle Ted's Restaurant Et Al
151558/20 Solis v. 111 Wall St. LLC
150028/23 Taylor v. West 135th Apts.
160438/22 Tolentino v. Philippine Nat. Bank Et Al
160549/23 Victor v. 2331 12th Ave. Corp. Et Al
155255/24 Villa v. Four Points By Sheraton Manhattan Chelsea Et Al
157588/24 Williams v. NYCHA Et Al
159957/24 Winnick v. 56th Rlty. LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

151803/21 Eliades v. Stamatakis
154327/24 Maloney v. Crystals Garden Inc. Et Al

Part 56

Justice John J. Kelley
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-5281
Room 204

TUESDAY, JULY 8

805008/25 Berky Valerio As Administrator of The Estate of Juan Valerio Urena v. Isabella Geriatric Center Inc D/b/a Mjhs Isabella Center For Rehabilitation And Nursing Care Et Al
151893/20 Carlisle v. One Hudson Yards Owner
805237/24 Greenberg v. Han M.D.
805098/24 Hope v. Love M.D.
805359/24 Johnson v. Harlem Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation
805274/24 Jong v. Riekena Md
805285/21 Matthew Blumenfeld As Executor of The Estate of Muriel Blumenfeld v. Carerite Centers
156468/22 Michael J. Kosik v. 150 Riverside Op
805349/23 Xu v. Agarwala M.D.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

805167/24 Chirico v. Simpson M.D.
805156/24 Clarissa-Ann Grier v. NY Univ. College of Dentistry
805214/24 Coleman v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp. - Columbia Presbyterian Center Et Al
805315/21 Garcia v. Volpi Md
805358/23 Gondolo v. Danovich M.D.
805280/24 Israni v. Katz M.D.
805378/21 Juliao-Mazzilli v. Mark Filstein
805093/24 Kennedy v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al
805303/24 Watson v. Archcare At Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center
805360/24 Weiss v. Lalehparvar Dpm

THURSDAY, JULY 10

805050/22 Cady v. Varma M.D.
805354/21 Chant v. Cheng Wang M.D.
805397/20 Connors v. Cushner M.D.
805364/22 Horowitz v. Marwin M.D.
805236/22 Suarez v. NY Presbyterian Lawrence Hosp. Et Al
805284/15 Thomas v. Liu

111 CENTRE STREET

Part 25 Guardianship

Justice Ilana J. Marcus
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5675
Room 1254

Part 35

Justice Phaedra F. Perry
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 684

TUESDAY, JULY 8

156824/23 Blomberg v. One Vanderbilt Owner LLC Et Al
150464/24 Blount v. Circle 1 LLC Et Al
158316/23 Camacho v. Sada One LLC D/b/a Empellon Et Al
155691/23 Carrasquillo v. The NYCHA
159517/23 Cullum v. 122 Fifth Associates
152840/23 Dawson v. NYCHA Et Al
158713/23 Djibril v. 125 West 125th Jv LLC Et Al
160706/22 E.M. v. Franklin Plaza Apts., Inc. Et Al
157388/23 Ea An Infant By His Mother And Natural Guardian v. NYCHA Et Al
158884/23 Escalante Isidro v. 249 W 28th St. Properties
159827/23 Gonzalez Villanueva v. Asn Murray Hill LLC Et Al
162477/23 Guebla Chafla v. Board of Mgrs. of The Gregory Towers Et Al
160163/23 Guzman v. Lions Group II LLC Et Al
155069/22 H. v. Ee 57th St. North Hldgs. LLC. Et Al
158565/23 Handlin v. Wfp Tower D Co
652371/25 Housing Consultants of America v. Fairstead Mgt.
159677/23 Madden v. Dance Theatre of Harlem, Inc.
162161/23 Marks v. The American Musical And Dramatic Academy A/k/a Amda Et Al
156014/23 Martinez v. Lex 47th Prop. Owner LLC. Et Al
154740/23 Mendoza v. Hp Savoy Park II Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
100655/25 Paul v. Randall
162305/23 Peguero v. Jep Hldg. Corp.
161915/23 Pinto v. Smyrna Ready Mix Concrete
152317/23 Reihl v. NYC Citi Bike Share LLC Et Al
162556/23 Rodriguez v. Rojas Lopez
161960/24 Root Ins. Co. v. A And R Medical Supply Corp. Et Al
161788/23 Ruiz v. Rally Restoration Corp. Et Al
155864/23 S v. Scan-Harbor, Inc. Et Al
160084/23 Sanchez v. Billiard Balls Mgt. LLC D/b/a Slate Et Al
160120/22 Slome v. Tribeca Medspa Flatiron
154504/23 Socha v. West End Ave. Equities
150718/24 Sunbelt Rentals Inc v. Captivate Marketing Group LLC
155499/23 Terrazo & Marble Supply Co. of Illinois v. Wilkstone
156385/25 The Legal Aid Society v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
159902/21 Thompson v. NYCHA
153871/23 Toro Vasquez v. Manhattanville Re Partners LLC Et Al
162308/23 Torre v. Gregory Towers
162469/23 Wesco Ins. Co. v. 2277 Third Ave. LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653678/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Jose Cepeda Nunez Et Al
451899/24 NYC v. Weed World, Inc.
157879/25 Dovero 2 LLC v. NYC Dept. of Bldgs. Et Al
152508/25 Scps v. Kind Law Et Al
153332/25 Shroff v. Colon

THURSDAY, JULY 10

652165/25 Boldyn Networks Transit Us LLC v. Mazzarella
650659/25 Hawkeye Search Group LLC v. Vintage Home Entertainment Inc
152578/23 Johnson v. The Riverside Church of NYC
158143/25 Patel v. NYC Dept. of Consumer And Worker Protection
850126/20 Wilmington Savings Fund v. Marrero

Part 31

Justice Kathleen C. Waterman-Marshall
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4296
Room 623

TUESDAY, JULY 8

154927/24 Cardozo v. Cvs, Inc. Et Al
653414/23 Gs Capital Partners v. Basanite Inc.—10 A.M.
152781/18 Kear v. Metlife, Inc.
656114/23 Miclaw Contracting Corp. v. 964 Acquisition Group LLC. Et Al
151999/25 Mohammad v. Tisch
452035/21 NYCHA v. Liro Architects And Planners
652358/22 Paulson v. Paulson—2:15 P.M.
157196/24 Roosevelt Lee 38 LLC v. Gadol
150185/24 Woodtech Line Inc. v. Lemesos Inc. Et Al

Motion

154927/24 Cardozo v. Cvs, Inc. Et Al
152781/18 Kear v. Metlife, Inc.
452035/21 NYCHA v. Liro Architects And Planners
157196/24 Roosevelt Lee 38 LLC v. Gadol

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

656567/22 36 West 35th Apt. Corp. v. Oliveira—3:30 P.M.
158511/23 Allure Metal Works, Inc. v. Profair Corp. Et Al—10 A.M.
157489/23 Aspen American Ins. Co. As Subrogee of v. Metro Fire Inspection And Maint., Inc. Et Al—10 A.M.
655256/24 Brannon v. Zero Below Trucking Corp. Et Al—10 A.M.
451430/22 NYC v. Marcia Howden—10 A.M.
655306/23 Commonwealth Land Title Ins. Co. v. Salucci
652115/23 Dahan v. 605 Apt. Corp. Et Al
158721/22 Dolak v. Aci Vi Denizen LLC—10 A.M.
155624/24 Estrella v. Ejs 1303 Third
154582/24 Fidelity Nat. Title Ins. Co. v. Tmhcr 48th St. LLC Et Al
158211/20 Fireman's Fund Ins. Co. v. 3m Co. Et Al—10 A.M.
158362/17 Hawkins v. Manhattan Nursing Home—2:30 P.M.
653822/24 Homelink Int'l Inc. v. Law Offices of Sanjay Chaubey
651954/23 Hou v. The Board of Mgrs. of The Belaire Condominium
653644/24 Itria Ventures LLC v. Westech Security And Investigation Inc Et Al—10 A.M.
157772/25 Kem Rest v. Morrison
152395/24 Lcs Capital LLC v. Bailey
655111/23 Lone Oak Oak Beach Corp. v. Taylor Group Plumbing, Heating & Mechanical Inc. Et Al—10 A.M.
162547/23 Mahalick v. Uno Restaurant Hldgs. Corp. Et Al—10 A.M.
161917/23 Morales v. George Washington Bridge Bus Station Dev. Venture LLC Et Al—10 A.M.
158070/23 Pena v. Go Convenant LLC Et Al—10 A.M.
151503/24 Rivera v. NYC Et Al—10 A.M.
161778/23 Scott v. Jrm Access Mgt.—10 A.M.
150248/24 Serhant LLC v. The Karen Miner-Romanoff Living Trust—10 A.M.
150248/24 Serhant LLC v. The Karen Miner-Romanoff Living Trust
153353/23 Sherman v. Ostb 174 West 172nd St. LLC
659051/24 Sotheby's Int'l Rlty., Inc. v. Willett
451994/25 NYC Dept. of Finance v. Bank of America
652825/25 Tryon St. Acquisition Trust I Reo Hldgs. v. Dawnwood Mgt. LLC Et Al
152946/25 Weintraub M.D. v. 791 Park Ave. Corp.
652970/22 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC—10 A.M.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

651456/25 1888 Hylan Blvd Rlty. LLC v. Hylan Hair Studio
652167/25 809 Madison Owner v. Carlton Associates, Inc.
160673/23 Allied Third Ave. v. Bright Kids NYC Inc. Et Al
160032/24 Bradbury v. East 62nd St. Townhouse LLC Et Al—10 A.M.
160032/24 Bradbury v. East 62nd St. Townhouse LLC Et Al

Motion

160032/24 Bradbury v. East 62nd St. Townhouse LLC Et Al

32 Mortgage Foreclosure Part

Justice Francis A. Kahn, III
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5607
Room 1127B

TUESDAY, JULY 8

159315/17 Capital One v. Laub
850657/23 Ci Notes LLC v. 7th Rlty. Hldgs.
850131/25 Eastern Garnet Hldgs. v. 475 Wash Owner LLC Et Al
850113/23 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Carlson
850209/22 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Kocak
810052/11 Marie Hldgs., Inc. v. Biclyn Corp.
113197/09 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust v. Bethelite Community Baptist
157594/24 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon As Collateral Agent And Custodian v. 329 E34 LLC Et Al
158758/20 NYCTL 2019-A Trust v. 196 East 7th St. LLC
158170/22 NYCTL 2021-A Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon v. Ansary
151579/20 Rosenthal v. Rubin
850419/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Ref 46 St. LLC Et Al
850352/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Residential Industries II LLC Et Al
850192/22 Wells Fargo Bank v. Wang
850470/23 Wilmington Trust v. 162 Montague St. Cc LLC Et Al

Motion

850131/25 Eastern Garnet Holdings v. 475 Wash Owner LLC Et Al
113197/09 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust v. Bethelite Community Baptist
158758/20 NYCTL 2019-A Trust v. 196 East 7th St. LLC
158170/22 NYCTL 2021-A Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon v. Ansary
850352/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Residential Industries II LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

850307/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Abrams
850282/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Miller
850249/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Stone
850068/11 Bank of NY Mellon v. Dasig
850122/15 Bank of NY Mellon v. Golden
850157/20 Cascade Funding Mortgage v. Niles
850050/21 Chang Hwa Commercial Bank v. Waterscape Resort II
850387/24 Citimortgage, Inc. v. One57 36b LLC Et Al
850039/24 Citimortgage, Inc. v. West
850435/23 Citizens Bank v. Martin
850177/24 Citizens Bank v. Satsky
850351/24 First Horizon Bank v. Jankelovits
850109/25 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Horiuchi
850362/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Mor
850317/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Scollar
850086/25 Morgan Stanley Private Bank v. Kavovit
156387/16 Morocho v. 450 Partners LLC
810148/12 Mtglq Investors v. Vazquez
850386/24 Peapack-Gladstone Bank v. 524 E 119 LLC Et Al
850375/24 Peapack-Gladstone Bank v. 88 E 111 LLC Et Al
850023/24 Piermont Bank v. 315 Manhattan Properties LLC Et Al
850470/24 Rocket Mortgage, LLC F/k/a Quicken Loans, LLC F/k/a Quicken Loans Inc. v. Nakiyaa Ryans As Administratrix of The Estate of Ann Davis Aka Ann M. Davis And Individually Et Al
850395/23 Shelwol LLC v. Kayland Rlty. Ltd Et Al
850496/23 Specialized Loan Servicing LLC v. Neilson
850415/24 The Bank of NY Mellon Fka The Bank of NY Successor Indenture Trustee To Jpmorgan Chase Bank, N.A., As Indenture Trustee on Behalf of The Noteholders of The Cwheq Inc., Cwheq Revolving Home Equity Loan Trust, Series 2006-B v. Fareed
850207/24 The Bank of NY Mellon Trust Co., N.A. F/k/a The Bank of NY Trust Co., N.A. As Trustee For Chase Mortgage Finance Trust Multi-Class Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Series 2007-A2 v. William Lynch III A/k/a William Lynch A/k/a William J. Lynch A/k/a Bill J. Lynch A/k/a William J. Lynch III A/k/a W. Lynch A/k/a William J. Lynch Junior Et Al
850536/23 US. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. As Trustee of Tiki Series IV Trust v. Gwinn
850226/24 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Choe
850252/19 U.S. Bank Trust v. Schwartz
850679/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society Fsb v. No 1 Home Buyers LLC F/k/a Nyath Properties LLC Et Al
850432/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Thukral
850647/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Northward Estates LLC Et Al
850668/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Leri
850008/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Ramos
850092/24 Wilmington Trust v. Vosters

Motion

850386/24 Peapack-Gladstone Bank v. 524 E 119 LLC Et Al
850375/24 Peapack-Gladstone Bank v. 88 E 111 LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

850025/25 40 Rector Lender LLC v. Mz Rector 1800 Owner LLC Et Al
153077/24 Andamio Scaffolding LLC v. Cohen Brothers Rlty. Corp. Et Al
850447/23 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. v. Salgado
850134/19 Flushing Bank v. Cabrera Rlty. Corp.
850479/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Casale
850262/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Gray Iv
850467/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Marrapodi
850498/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Russell R. Webber
850480/24 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Tolksdorf
850094/23 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Brittain
850255/23 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Doyle
850552/23 Loan Funder LLC v. Ibarra LLC Et Al
157594/24 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon As Collateral Agent And Custodian v. 329 E34 LLC Et Al
850391/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Icer of 255 West 121st St. LLC Et Al
850355/14 U.S. Bank Na v. Buco
850451/23 Wilmington Trust v. Mills

Part 38

Justice Ashlee Crawford
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3235
Room 1166

TUESDAY, JULY 8

653860/23 Mendonca v. Zoran Medical LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

160940/17 Ciavolella v. Eldad Prime
151781/25 Fogccs 218 West 147th St. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
160837/21 Kings Capital LLC v. Ocasio Rlty. LLC

THURSDAY, JULY 10

651300/24 Abbott Resource Services Co. v. Moore St. Bldg. Corp. Et Al
157568/19 Bastiat USA, Inc. v. Duino
158816/23 Markovic v. Sarpal

Part 42

Justice Emily Morales-Minerva
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3237
Room 574

TUESDAY, JULY 8

656729/21 315 Broadway v. B'way. Spirits Inc. Et Al
650823/25 Bonacum v. Vosters
655237/24 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. K-J Demo And Const. LLC Et Al
155441/22 Colon v. Chesapeake Owners Corp. Et Al
651255/24 Kogan v. Scamperle
154337/25 Pryce v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al
650575/25 Turner Const. Co. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653857/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Charles
653676/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Gabriel Taveras Et Al
656070/23 Bell v. 523-25 West 152 St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
650823/25 Bonacum v. Vosters
651269/25 Drury Design Dynamics, Inc. v. Sistas in Sales, Inc. Et Al
100356/25 Garnes v. NYC Dept. of Finance Adjudication Div.
160189/24 Jun Group Prod.ions LLC v. Mundo Hispano Digital, Inc.
161507/23 Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. Et Al v. Nat. Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburg
150464/25 Trikam NY 940-8 v. 940 8th Ave. LLC

Motion

100356/25 Garnes v. NYC Dept. of Finance Adjudication Div.

THURSDAY, JULY 10

659761/24 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Unltd. Communications, Inc.
151524/24 Fora Financial Warehouse v. Victory Transport Corp Et Al
154684/22 Grant v. Centerlight Certified Home Health Agency Et Al
651609/25 Ovadia Brothers v. Domus Design Center
100539/25 Sanchez v. Richman
153479/24 Standard Rlty. Associates Et Al v. Cupo

Part 47

Justice Paul A. Goetz
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3743
Room 1021

TUESDAY, JULY 8

150185/16 Alicea v. NYC
655276/24 Ascendus Inc v. Dakouo
653823/25 Reeves v. Quora Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

653522/24 5w Public Relations LLC v. Springowl Asset Mgt. LLC
653164/25 Akf Inc. v. Generators
156132/25 Cannon Mechanical, Inc. Et Al v. Alayode
158366/20 Cuesta v. Inwood Heights, Inc.
157354/19 De Souza v. Hudson Yards Const. II
653148/25 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Felix
155923/25 Mennella's Poultry Co, Inc. v. Cieli Partners
651577/25 NY Univ. v. Abdellatif
156325/25 Nisnevich v. Capella Univ.
650091/24 Pirs Capital v. Shield Roofing of Morgantown
156979/20 Robinson v. NYCHA
151285/20 Rorke v. Carmel Richmond Nursing

THURSDAY, JULY 10

160329/21 154 E. 62 LLC v. Normanus Rlty. LLC
654735/19 520 East 14 LLC v. East Village 14 LLC
157611/24 Ahsan v. Tozzer Ltd D/b/a Niagara
158341/23 Alvarez Alfaro v. Selig Sacks Et Al
650738/25 Arlus Owner LLC Et Al v. Michail & Utarefson, Inc.
155980/23 B. v. 575-599 West 181 LLC Et Al
154665/24 Bevan v. Msg Arena
161510/24 Boyd v. 266 West 135th Lotus LLC
160883/23 C. v. Global Community Charter School Et Al
158128/23 Cavalry Spv I v. Merrit
150868/22 Chor v. Chase
153523/20 Delgado v. Srpska Istocno Pravoslavna
150825/25 Depay v. C&C Mgt.
161350/23 Edwards v. Blondies Treehouse, Inc. Et Al
154766/21 Elizabeth A. Eden v. Sutton House, Inc.
159607/17 Elizabeth Kennedy v. New York City Health And
157247/24 Espinal v. NYC Et Al
160622/23 Farrugia v. Pollack
159479/24 Gale v. Abramowitz Esquire
159533/23 Garcia v. 23-30 Borden Owner LLC Et Al

Court Calendars Continued On Page 15

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NAIK LAW & ADVOCACY GROUP PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/06/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 200 Broadhollow Road Suite 207, Melville, NY 11747. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Law.
10324 ju17-Tu jy22

NAOMI ROSENBACH PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/07/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 104 HARDS LN, LAWRENCE, NY 11559. Purpose: Psychology
9807 ju3-Tu jy8

JOSEPH PHAM NURSE PRACTITIONER IN ACUTE CARE NY PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/07/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 46 BARNES ST, LONG BEACH, NY 11561. Purpose: NP IN ACUTE CARE
11092 jy1-Tu au5

TALK TIME SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/10/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 16 FOREST ROW, GREAT NECK, NY 11023. Purpose: SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGYjy1
11094 jy1-Tu au5

TALK TIME SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/10/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 16 FOREST ROW, GREAT NECK, NY 11023. Purpose: SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY
11087 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of DOMUS PRIMARY CARE, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, New York, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful act.
9836 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MARINA GABRIELA BRINK ARCHITECTS PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 200 Rector Place, 8N, New York, NY 10280. Purpose: any lawful act.
9150 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Hummingbird Speech Therapy PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 235 E 95th St, Apt 32 J, New York, NY 10128. Purpose: any lawful act.
9962 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE TOWNSEND LAW FIRM, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/27/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 1350 Ave of The Americas, Fl 2 #1068, New York, NY 10019. Purpose: any lawful act.
10235 Jy01 T Au05

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WEST ATLANTIC LAW FIRM, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/8/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 104 West 40th St, Ste 400, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful act.
9585 Jy01 T Au05

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Upwards Mental Health Counseling NYC, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/25/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 435 Central Park West, Apt 2C, New York, NY 10025. Purpose: any lawful act.
11361 Jy08 T Au12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

FAMILY OFFICES GLOBAL CAPITAL LLC Art of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/12/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY is designated as the agent of the LLC for service of process. Any legal documents served to the LLC through SSNY will be forwarded to LEGALCORP SOLUTIONS, LLC 11 BROADWAY SUITE 615, NEW YORK, NY 10004. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
9496 J03 T Jy08

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

1086 ARNOW AVENUE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 80 East St, Hicksville, NY 11801. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10159 j10-Tu jy15

245 DEPEW STREET LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/06/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 245 Depew Street, Peekskill, NY 10567. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10160 ju10-Tu jy15

860 EVERGREEN DR, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/09/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Mordecai Pollock, 860 Evergreen Drive, West Hempstead, NY 11552. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10162 ju10-Tu jy15

BG NYC MGMT LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/09/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 333 Jericho Tpk, Ste 122, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10173 ju10-Tu jy15

C&G TRAINING SOLUTIONS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/12/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 1768 Seaman Drive, Merrick, NY 11566. Reg Agent: Susan Cronin, 1768 Seaman Drive, Merrick, NY 11566. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10161 ju10-Tu jy15

OCR 534 LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 109 N Broadway, Hicksville, NY 11801. Reg Agent: Chris Coiro, 330 Motor Parkway, Suite 300 Hauppauge, NY 11788. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10174 ju10-Tu jy15

SGLI OF NY CONTRACTING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/02/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 53 Clearland Avenue, Carle Place, NY 11514. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10166 ju10-Tu jy15

CAI'S HOLDING PERSON STREET, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/10/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 748 Hunt Ln, Manhasset, NY 11030. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10492 ju17-Tu jy22

COMMERCE STREET 1983 LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/16/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Rui C Cunha, 324 Eastern Close, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
10515 ju17-Tu jy22

KLEINBERG 520 LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 11/6/24. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 520 West 19th Street, Unit No. PHB, NY, NY 10011, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10497 ju17-Tu jy22

MANFRED RECHTSCHAFFEN, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/27/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Steve Kahn, CPA, 602 Merrick Avenue, East Meadow, NY 11554. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10322 ju17-Tu jy22

NOTICE OF FORMATION of RIZZO RESTORATION, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/28/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Angelo Rizzo, Esq., Barshay, Rizzo & Lopez, PLLC, 445 Broadhollow Rd., Ste. CL18, Melville, NY 11747. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11085 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

POTENTE PROPERTIES LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 6/12/25. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to PO Box 610126, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. Principal business loc: 2065 Boston Post Rd., 2 ND fl., Bronx, NY 10461.
10491 ju17-Tu jy22

SAIJ LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/23/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Lassar & Cowhey LLP, 730 Third Avenue, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10017. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10325 ju17-Tu jy22

EVERGROVE HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/02/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 473 Von Elm Avenue, East Meadow, NY 11554. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10323 ju17-Ty jy22

BITCOIN HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/22/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3000 Marcus Avenue, Suite 3W07, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
9740 ju3-M jy8

1443 OAKLEY ASSETS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/04/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 16 MIDDLE NECK RD, STE 150, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful
9804 ju3-Tu jy8

201W NY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/29/2025. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Chandan Sengupta, 39-46 65th Place, Woodside, NY 11377. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
9773 ju3-Tu jy8

2682 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/31/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 27 HICKORY DR, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful
9803 ju3-Tu jy8

348 NASSAU BLVD. LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/16/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 348 NASSAU BLVD, WEST HEMPSTEAD, NY 11552. Purpose: Any Lawful
9816 ju3-Tu jy8

36 WEST VIEW LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful
9817 ju3-Tu jy8

46TH STREET UNIT 1802 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful
9809 ju3-Tu jy8

46TH STREET UNIT 1803 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful
9810 ju3-Tu jy8

99-26 41ST LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/15/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 10 GLORIA DR, WOODBURY, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful
9802 ju3-Tu jy8

ARETE PROPERTIES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/15/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 299 PARK AVE 16 FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10171. Purpose: Any Lawful
9820 ju3-Tu jy8

FAVORITE HOME GROUP LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/13/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 67A CUTTERMILL RD, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful
9815 ju3-Tu jy8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 711 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9574 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

HPNY 327 E 10TH STREET LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/14/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 358 FIFTH AVE, STE 1101, NEW YORK, NY 10001. Purpose: Any Lawful
9801 ju3-Tu jy8

KNDESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/14/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 60 RIVERSIDE BLVD, STE 1211, NEW YORK, NY 10069. Purpose: Any Lawful
9819 ju3-Tu jy8

L&M 248 EAST 62 STREET LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/27/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 337 E 41ST ST, NEW YORK, NY 10017. Purpose: Any Lawful
9821 ju3-Tu jy8

NASSAU PARKWAY LI LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/01/2023. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 14 DEERING LN, EAST ROCKAWAY, NY 11518. Purpose: Any Lawful
9812 ju3-Tu jy8

PHILLIP PETZOLD LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/22/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 2331 Adolfo Pl, Westbury, NY 11590. Reg Agent: Phillip David Petzold, 2331 Adolfo Pl, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
9771 ju3-Tu jy8

RC ROYAL COURT LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful
9811 ju3-Tu jy8

ROSLYN STRATEGIC INSIGHTS LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 5/28/2025. Off. Loc.: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to United Corporate Services, Inc., 10 Bank Street, Suite 560, White Plains, NY 10606, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity.
9828 ju3-Tu jy8

SKOLRED CAP, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/28/2019. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2064 KIRKWOOD AVE, MERRICK, NY 11566. Purpose: Any Lawful
9814 ju3-Tu jy8

SKOLRED VENTURES, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/26/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2064 KIRKWOOD AVE, MERRICK, NY 11566. Purpose: Any Lawful
9813 ju3-Tu jy8

THIRD PLACE BROOKLYN LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/20/25. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP, Attn: Jason P. Reska, Esq., 800 Third Ave., 38th Fl., NY, NY 10022, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
9822 ju3-Tu jy8

VVMYD LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/28/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1 HOLLY LN, LAWRENCE, NY 11559. Purpose: Any Lawful
9806 ju3-Tu jy8

DEAN'S PROTECTIVE SERVICES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/21/25. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 1223 E 233rd Street, #1012, Bronx, NY 10466. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
9742 ju3-W jy8

IBTAEA LLC. Filed 5/23/2025. Office: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 111 WILLOW RD, WOODMERE, NY 11598. Purpose: General.
9538 Jun3 tu Jul8

505-2G GREENWICH STREET LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/07/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1581 FRANKLIN AVE, MINEOLA, NY 11501. Purpose: Any Lawful
11091 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Two Dragons Productions, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/17/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Two Dragons Productions, LLC, 26 Broadway, Ste 1301, New York, NY 10004. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11081 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

A Notice of Formation of 139 Sheridan Holding LLC, Art. of Org. filed Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/27/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY Designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to: The LLC, 86 Elizabeth St, 5th FL, New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful activity.
10799 jy1-Tu au5

EKOKO PAULINE SKIN LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/22/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1224 PACIFIC ST, APT #1B, BROOKLYN, NY 11216. Purpose: Any Lawful
11105 jy1-Tu au5

EMOR EQUITY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/13/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 4 CRICKET LN, GREAT NECK, NY 11024. Purpose: Any Lawful
11093 jy1-Tu au5

GV118 HOLDING LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/23/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: C/O COHEN & FRANKEL, LLP, 11 EAST 44TH ST, #1800, NEW YORK, NY 10017. Purpose: Any Lawful
11100 jy1-Tu au5

MPAD 4 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/02/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: C/O COHEN & FRANKEL, LLP, 11 EAST 44TH ST, #1800, NEW YORK, NY 10017. Purpose: Any Lawful
11099 jy1-Tu au5

MUNTER KOENIG STRATEGY GROUP LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/2/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 35 Hillary Ln, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: any lawful act
11110 jy1-Tu au5

OAKSET PARTNERS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/18/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 767 FIFTH AVE FLR 12, NEW YORK, NY 10153. Purpose: Any Lawful
11104 jy1-Tu au5

PROUD GROUP LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/05/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 67A CUTTERMILL RD, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful
11088 jy1-Tu au5

SGIA LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/02/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 42 SANDY LANE, MASSAPEQUA, NY 11758. Purpose: Any Lawful
11089 jy1-Tu au5

WHITTMAN 65, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/17/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 60 HORATIO ST, NEW YORK, NY 10014. Purpose: Any Lawful
11102 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ARIBGLOBALCONSULTING LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 7/4/2024. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 418 Broadway, Ste N, Albany, NY 12207. P/B/A: 130 W 86th St, Apt 4B, New York, NY 10024. Purpose: any lawful act.
9494 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Bum Bum Bhole LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/29/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 650 W 42nd St, 712, New York, NY 10036. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
9833 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Clay Bridges LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/17/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 601 W 149th St, Unit #67, New York, NY 10031. Purpose: any lawful act.
9831 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Foam Fairies LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/14/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 24 Elves Ln, Levittown, NY 11756. Purpose: any lawful act.
9830 J03 T Jy08

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Forty Whiskers LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/7/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 223 W 38th St, Box 493, New York, NY 10018-9998. P/B/A: 352 7th Ave, Fl 12A, Ofc 18, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act.
9722 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of GNG STRATEGIC ADVISORS, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/14/2025. Office location: Westchester County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 988 Orienta Ave, Mamaroneck, NY 10543. Purpose: any lawful act.
9756 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of East Coast VEA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/18/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 16 Middle Neck Road, #160, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful act.
10034 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Ridgewood Flow Yoga Studio LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/19/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 65-16 78th St, Middle Village, NY 11379. P/B/A: 310 Meserole St, Brooklyn, NY 11206. Purpose: any lawful act.
10048 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Shimmer Communications LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/21/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2248 Broadway, #1094, New York, NY 10024. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
10020 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WILLIAM HUGH LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/25/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 19 Commerce St, Apt 8, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act.
10176 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WithJaz, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/4/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1223 E 233rd St, Unit 136, Bronx, NY 10466. Purpose: any lawful act.
9128 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HYBRID ILLUISTRATIONS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/15/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1925 Hering Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: any lawful act.
10272 J17 T Jy22

NOTICE OF FORMATION of The Support Collective LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/25/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1751 2nd Ave, 34F, New York, NY 10128. Purpose: any lawful act.
10292 J17 T Jy22

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Atelier Ote HOME LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/25/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #365018, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
10809 J24 T Jy29

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JMJ Brooklyn Designs LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/14/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 447 Broadway 2nd Fl - #3000, New York, NY 10013. P/B/A: 211 East 43rd St, Ste 6th Fl, PMB 70069, New York, NY 10017. Purpose: any lawful act.
10749 J24 T Jy29

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JOULERA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/28/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to Marcia Emile-Thompson PC, 55 Maple Ave, #512, Rockville Centre, NY 11570. Purpose: any lawful act.
10484 J24 T Jy29

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WILD CAUGHT COOKIES LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/6/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 400 Park Avenue S, Apt 23C, New York, NY 10016. Purpose: any lawful act.
10792 J24 T Jy29

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 15 WEST 43RD STREET OWNER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9549 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CYPRESS SKY, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 222 Fifth Ave., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Consulting services.
9568 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LIFE UNLOCKED LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 79 Laight St., NY, NY 10013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9566 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of RESCUE CESSPOOL LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/25/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 2 Gold St., Ste. 3609, NY, NY 10038. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9750 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of TETRA DESIGN & CONSULTING LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/20/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 1825 Riverside Dr., Apt. 4D, NY, NY 10034. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207, regd. agent upon whom and at which process may be served. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9567 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE CURATED BREAST LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 515 Marin Blvd., Jersey City, NJ 07302. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9556 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WEST 126 REALTY LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 201 W. 79th St., NY, NY 10024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9559 Jun3 tu Jul8

GOLDEN KEY SD LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/07/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 31 Strathmore Road, Great Neck, NY 11023. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
9739 ju3-Tu jy8

ANCHOR STONE PROPERTIES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/02/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1581 FRANKLIN AVE, MINEOLA, NY 11501. Purpose: Any Lawful
11090 jy1-Tu au5

CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

New York Law Journal

www.nylj.com

ALM. An Integrated Media Company

TO PLACE, CORRECT OR CANCEL CLASSIFIED ADS:
Contact: Carol Robertson
Phone: 212 457 7850
E-mail: crobertson@alm.com
Monday thru Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM
A sales representative will confirm receipt.

ERROR RESPONSIBILITY NOTE
Please check your ad the first day it appears. All ads placed by telephone are read back for verification of copy content. In the event of **New York Law Journal** error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We assume no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.
New York Law Journal reserves the right to edit, reject, cancel or correctly classify any ad.

DEADLINES:
Line Ads: Tuesday through Friday editions:
11:00 AM one day prior to publication
Monday edition: Friday 12:00 Noon
Display Ads: 11:00 AM two days prior to publication
CONFIDENTIAL BOX NUMBER REPLIES:
You may respond to ads with Box numbers using any method below:
E-mail your resume to:
NYLJobs@alm.com (indicate box# in subject)
Fax your resume to:
646-822-5028 (indicate box # on cover sheet)
Please do not enclose writing samples unless specifically requested.

SUMMONS

SUPPLEMENTAL SUMMONS WITH NOTICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF RICHMOND NYCTL 1998-2 TRUST AND THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, AS COLLATERAL AGENT AND CUSTODIAN, Plaintiffs, V. The heirs-at-law, next of kin, distributees, executors, administrators, assignees, lienors, creditors, successors-in-interest and generally all persons having or claiming under, by or through MORRIS SERCARZ, by purchase, inheritance, lien or otherwise of any right, title or interest in and to the premises described in the complaint herein, and all creditors thereof, and the respective wives, or widows of his, if any, all of whose names and addresses are unknown to Plaintiffs; The heirs-at-law, next of kin, distributees, executors, administrators, assignees, lienors, creditors, successors-in-interest and generally all persons having or claiming under, by or through AIDA LIBERSON A/K/A AIDA LIBERSON SERCARZ, by purchase, inheritance, lien or otherwise of any right, title or interest in and to the premises described in the complaint herein, and all creditors thereof, and the respective husbands, or widows of hers, if any, all of whose names and addresses are unknown to Plaintiffs; The heirs-at-law, next of kin, distributees, executors, administrators, assignees, lienors, creditors, successors-in-interest and generally all persons having or claiming under, by, through, or against the said defendants named as a class, of any right, title or interest in or lien upon the premises described in the complaint herein, Defendants. Date Filed: Index No.: 150982/2024 Tax Parcel Address: Lipsett Avenue, Staten Island, New York. Borough: Staten Island Block: 6402 Lot: 15 Building Class: V0 Vacant Land Residential. Tax Lien Servicer: MTAG Services, LLC Tax Lien Servicer Phone #: (800) 750-9210 TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS: YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the amended complaint in the above-entitled foreclosure action, and to serve a copy of your answer on Plaintiffs' attorney within thirty (30) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service or within thirty (30) days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner than by personal service within the State. The United States of America, if designated as a defendant in this action, may answer or appear within sixty (60) days of service hereof. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the amended complaint. Richmond County is designated as the place of trial. The basis of venue is the location of the subject premises. Dated: June 27, 2025 TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS: The foregoing summons is served upon you by publication, pursuant to an Order of Honorable Wayne M. Ozzi, a Justice of the Supreme Court, dated June 12, 2025, and filed with supporting papers in the Richmond County Clerk's Office. The object of the above action is to foreclose a right or rights of redemption which the Defendants may claim to certain real property in connection with the foreclosure of certain real property tax liens covering the property known as Lipsett Avenue, Staten Island, New York, bearing tax map designation Block: 6402, Lot: 15 ("Tax Parcel"). The relief sought is the sale of the Tax Parcel at public auction in satisfaction of the tax liens. In case of your failure to appear, judgment may be taken against you in the sum of $17,756.10, together with interest, costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees of this action, and directing the public sale of the Tax Parcel. Dated: June 27, 2025 PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP By: /s/ Anthony J. Iacchetta Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 100 South Clinton Avenue, Suite 2900, Rochester, NY 14604 Telephone No. (585) 758-2110
11188 jy8-Tu jy29

SALES

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT: NEW YORK COUNTY DOF NPL III LLC v. JOSHUA MANAGEMENT LLC et al. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale dated October 10, 2024 and filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court, New York County on January 27, 2025, bearing Index No. 850019/2022, I will sell at public auction on Wednesday, July 16, 2025 at 2:15 pm in Room 130 of the New York County Supreme Courthouse, 60 Centre Street, New York, New York 10007 the premises known as 270 West 153rd Street, a/k/a 2866 Frederick Douglass Blvd., New York, NY 10039 (Block: 2038, Lot: 61). Premises sold subject to filed Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale and Terms of Sale. Judgment amount $5,917,348.95 plus interest and costs. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with the New York County Supreme Court Policies. All parties attending are requested to practice social distancing whenever possible. Auction location and time are subject to revision based on Court policy, current protocols and health conditions. Lawrence B. Goodman, Esq., Referee. Harry Zubli, Esq., attorney for plaintiff (516) 487-5777.
5883 ju17-Tu jy8

FOUNDATIONS

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF Jacques & Emy Cohenca Foundation, Inc. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at Rothenberg & Peters, PLLC 1 Linden Place Suite 211 Great Neck, NY 11021 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Mr. Philip Cohenca.
11375 jy8

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF LM Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/24 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Michael Ryan.
9087 jy8

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF R&M Goldberg Family Foundation Inc. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 3333 New Hyde Park Road, Suite 411, New Hyde Park, NY 11042-1205 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Richard Goldberg.
11380 jy8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

PICKWICK HOSPITALITY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/09/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, P.O. Box 320195, Brooklyn, NY 11232. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
10326 ju17-Tu jy22

67 HAZEL REALTY LLC Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 6/11/2025. Off. Loc.: NASSAU Co. SSNY desig. As agt. upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 3 Barbara Lane, Glen Cove, NY 11542. General Purposes
11393 jy8-Tu au12

BLUEAWE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 07/03/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: BlueAwe LLC, 201 Washington Avenue, Bellmore, NY 11710. Reg Agent: Mary Denise Coleman, 201 Washington Avenue, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
11409 jy8-Tu au12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 701 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9572 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of VOTIVE ADVISORY LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/16/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., P.O. Box 898, Dover, DE 19903. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9562 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 777 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at then addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9573 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Design by KMM LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 6/12/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1280 Lexington Ave, Frnt 2, #1379 New York, NY 10028. Purpose: any lawful act.
10881 Jy01 T Au05

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Uwabideli Brands LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 5680 Broadway, #1039, Bronx, NY 10463. Purpose: any lawful act.
8204 Jy01 T Au05

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JEB Creations LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/24/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Business Filings Incorporated, 187 Wolf Rd, Ste 101, Albany, NY, 12205. Purpose: any lawful act.
11141 Jy08 T Au12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Leslie M. Faerstein LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 6/20/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 237 East 20 St, Ste 4AB, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
11218 Jy08 T Au12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CAPT NYC LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/12/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: the Company, 35 Fox Run, Roslyn Hts., NY 11577, Attn: Dana Kossoy. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11083 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CENTRAL-MATTITUCK LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/16/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 425 NORTHERN BLVD., GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11084 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of The Treasury LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/17/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 235 Blackheath Rd., Lido Beach, NY 11561. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11086 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

133 AVENUE D, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/15/2019. Formed in DE on 09/21/2018. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 4 E 27TH ST, PO BOX 20410, NEW YORK, NY 10001. DE SOS: 401 Federal St #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful
9818 ju3-Tu jy8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Owls Head Group, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/28/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/02/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o eResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plaza, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020, also the registered agent upon whom process may be served. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1013 Centre Rd., Ste. 403S, Wilmington, DE 19805. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11080 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of THE TRADING CARD TABLE LLC. Authority filed with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/25. Office in Nassau County. Formed in RI on 02/03/25. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 596 CHARLES ST #57 PROVIDENCE, RI, 02904. Purpose: Any lawful purpose
9554 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUAL. of CURALTA MEDICAL LLC, Authority filed with the SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office loc: NY County. LLC formed in NJ on 06/14/2021. SSNY is designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Address required to be maintained in NJ: 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Cert of Formation filed with NJ Dept. of Treasury, Div. of Revenue and Enterprise Services, 33 W. State St., #5th, Trenton, NJ 08608. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
9772 ju3-Tu jy8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 183 ENERGY STORAGE 2 LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 01/29/24. Princ. office of LLC: 7 Times Sq., Ste. 3504, NY, NY 10036. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19801. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9542 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of US OUTLET STORES EMPIRE OUTLETS LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/05/25. Princ. office of LLC: Empire Outlets, 35 Richmond Terrace, Ste. 0322A, Staten Island, NY 10301. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Retail store.
9541 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PRIVATE EQUITY VII (E&F) GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments.
9555 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of NORTH EAGLE STREET LAND OWNER LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/29/21. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9571 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NYL CDS HOLDCO LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/24/2025. Formed in DE on 04/23/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 99 WASHINGTON AVE STE 700, ALBANY, NY 12260. DE SOS: 401 Federal St #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful
11097 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments.
9545 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 190 Berry (Brooklyn) Owner, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/18/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/24/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Paracorp Incorporated, 2804 Gateway Oaks Dr. #100, Sacramento, CA 95883-3509. Address required to be maintained in DE: 2140 S. Dupont Hwy., Camden, DE 19934. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11072 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BAQKIRZ LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/18/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/12/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: GKL Registered Agents, Inc., 71 Orchard St., Auburn, NY 13021. Address required to be maintained in DE: GKL Registered Agents of DE, Inc., 9 East Loockerman St., Ste. 311, Dover, DE 19901. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11074 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Fundomo ET001 GP, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/05/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/04/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Registered Agents Inc., 418 Broadway, STE R, Albany, NY 12207. Address required to be maintained in DE: c/o Resident Agents Inc., 8 The Green, STE R, Dover, DE 19901. Arts of Org. filed with Charuni Patibanda-Sanchez, DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations - 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11077 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Concord Capital LLC, Fict. name: Concord Capital NYC LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/06/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/05/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Incorporating Services, Ltd., 3500 South Dupont Hwy., Dover, DE 19901, also the address required to be maintained in DE. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11075 jy1-Tu au5

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of JMAG ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/20/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/03/2024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Registered Agents Inc., 418 Broadway, STE R, Albany, NY 12207. Address required to be maintained in DE: 16192 Coastal Hwy., Lewes, DE 19958. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities.
11078 jy1-Tu au5

DEMAKES ENTERPRISES, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 06/24/2025. Formed in DE on 12/07/2023. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 99 WASHINGTON AVE, STE 700, ALBANY, NY 12260. DE SOS: 401 Federal St #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful
11098 jy1-Tu au5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 707-709 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9570 Jun3 tu Jul8

PUBLIC NOTICES

Borenstein McConnell & Calpin, PC 3 Werner Way, Suite 230 Lebanon, NJ 08833 908-236-6457 908-236-7457 fax Erin M. Calpin, Esq. #024712007 Attorney for the Executors of the Estate IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY : HUNTERDON COUNTY/PROBATE PART OF : DOCKET NUMBER 56191 JOHN P. SCHLEY : : NOTICE OF PROBATE PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Last Will and Testament of John P. Schley was probated by the Surrogate of Hunterdon County, New Jersey on January 28, 2025. A copy of the Will and Codicils will be furnished upon request. The personal representatives are Reeve Schley, III, 16 Island Road, Whitehouse, New Jersey 08888, Marie Schley, 2014 Canyon Drive, Los Angeles, California 90068, and Reeve Terry Schley, 920 Manzanita Street, Los Angeles, California 90029.
11243 jy8-Tu 1x

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 205 MONTAGUE MEZZ INVESTORS, L.P. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. Latest date on which the LP may dissolve is 12/31/2099. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Lorimer Capital, 41 Madison Ave., Ste. #3122, NY, NY 10010. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9548 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BREP AMIDALA CO-INVESTMENT PARTNERS L.P. Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/05/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/29/24. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the Partnership, c/o Blackstone Inc., 345 Park Ave., NY, NY 10154. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of the State of DE, Jeffrey W. Bullock, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
9569 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) L.P. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LP. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St. - Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments.
9558 Jun3 tu Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII LP Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII L.P. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LP. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St. - Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments.
9557 Jun3 tu Jul8

Court Calendars

New York County

Court Calendars Continued From Page 12

Court Calendars Continued From Page 12

152612/24 Gerding v. Dog Beach, Inc. Et Al
153699/22 Gupta v. Rbnb 20 Owner LLC
160867/23 Haggerty v. NYC Et Al
157335/24 Haskel v. Lt-Hosp.ity Mgt. Inc., Et Al
161261/23 Henry v. Peru Leasing Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
159965/19 Honor v. Gilbane Bldg. Co.
159966/19 Honor v. Gilbane Bldg. Co.
159048/24 Hubert-Ross v. Lane
155014/24 Jones v. NYC Et Al
156969/24 Kassemi v. Martin
157849/24 Kilfoil v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al
100622/25 Kim v. NYC Dept. of Education
157324/23 Laura Byerson v. Assistcare Home Health Services
153156/22 Long Island Tinsmith Supply Corp v. U.S. Specialty Ins. Co. Et Al
451463/21 Mangold v. Board of Mgrs. of Meadow
160761/21 Manhattan Const. & Renovations LLC v. 300 West 22 Rlty. LLC
161142/21 Manhattan Const. & Renovations LLC v. 353 6th Ave Rlty.
150958/20 Martinez v. Emmanouilidis
153290/24 Medina v. Cvs Pharmacy, Inc.
162322/23 Morel v. 177 Associates LLC Et Al
150088/24 Novas Reinoso v. Phillips & Huyler Associates
157671/19 Pauliah v. Memorial Sloan Kettering
158950/23 Perez-Bernal v. The Nrp Group LLC Et Al
160252/19 Pina v. NYCHA
153197/24 Ramos v. Con Ed Co. of NY
155545/22 Rivera v. 292 Madison NY LLC
156979/20 Robinson v. NYCHA
154090/21 Sawyer v. 1120 Fifth Ave. Corp. Et Al
152936/24 Silva Guilcapi v. Div West 29th St. LLC Et Al
157243/25 Smart Step Funding LLC v. We Tech Collision Shop Inc Et Al
156087/19 Smith v. Global Contact Hldg.
160645/24 Sosa v. Island House Tenants Corp. Et Al
160395/24 Soto v. Sensa Rlty. LLC Et Al
151270/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Aptcheka Rx, Inc. Et Al
651550/23 Streamstown Const./ren, Inc. v. G Builders
452203/18 Thuku v. 324 E. 93 LLC
653784/19 Tully Const. Co. Inc. Et Al v. Harleysville Preferred Ins. Co. Et Al
156461/24 Tyndal v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
153029/22 Vanita Fine Art & Antiques, Inc. v. Falu LLC Et Al
154093/24 Villamil v. Harlem Dowling Alembic LLC Et Al
159556/21 Weiss Jr. v. Board of Mgrs. of The Hardenbrook House Condominium Assoc. Et Al
150268/22 Weiss Jr. v. Board of Mgrs. of The Hardenbrook House Et Al

Motion

160329/21 154 E. 62 LLC v. Normanus Rlty. LLC
650738/25 Arlus Owner LLC Et Al v. Michail & Utarefson, Inc.
158128/23 Cavalry Spv I v. Merrit
159479/24 Gale v. Abramowitz Esquire
159048/24 Hubert-Ross v. Lane
100622/25 Kim v. NYC Dept. of Education
157671/19 Pauliah v. Memorial Sloan Kettering
156979/20 Robinson v. NYCHA
157243/25 Smart Step Funding LLC v. We Tech Collision Shop Inc Et Al
452203/18 Thuku v. 324 E. 93 LLC
153029/22 Vanita Fine Art & Antiques, Inc. v. Falu LLC Et Al

Part 52 City Part

Justice Carol Sharpe
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3742
Room 1045

TUESDAY, JULY 8

154700/19 A. O. A Minor By His Mother v. NYC

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

151388/21 Ana Mercedes Felipe v. NYC
151811/22 Ancrum v. NYC Et Al
158508/24 Anderson v. NYC Et Al
157900/22 Aydiner v. NYC Et Al
155586/16 Bhattacharjee v. NYC
157038/23 Bradshaw v. NYC Et Al
452084/20 Brown v. Esposito
155007/24 Bryan v. NYC Et Al
656773/21 Cannizzaro v. NYC Et Al
150166/23 Cherry Velvet Inc. v. NYC Et Al
451473/20 NYC v. Two Fulton Square LLC
160769/17 Clarice Pantoja And Jaquan Cintron v. NYC
161658/24 Clover v. NYC Et Al
151565/23 Dent v. NYC Et Al
152796/21 E.S. An Infant By Her Mother And Natural Guardian v. NYC Et Al
156050/20 Edwards v. NYC
151415/21 Fares Toapanta v. NYC
100398/25 Gu v. Tisch
159346/21 Guillen v. NYC Et Al
151124/22 Gutierrez v. NYC Et Al
161179/19 Hahner v. NYC
153172/21 Hogan v. NYC Et Al
158484/18 J. W. Infant Under The Age v. Sisulu-Walker Charter
155825/21 Jacob Auslander As Administrator of The Estate of Gary Auslander v. NYC Et Al
161243/21 Johnson v. NYC Et Al
157390/22 Jones v. NYC Et Al
654663/24 Lopez v. NYC Et Al
154401/21 Martinez Jimenez v. NYC
151442/21 Ogurtsov v. NYC
159393/20 Ortiz v. NYC
150271/25 Pena v. Empire City Subway Co. (ltd.) Et Al
155772/22 Phillips v. NYC Et Al
154991/21 Pierides v. NYC Et Al
155620/22 Reynoso De Francisco v. NYC Et Al
452308/23 Richardson v. Nailes
155208/21 Rivera v. NYC
159622/18 Rouf v. NYC
805249/18 Ryan v. NYC
157134/20 S v. NYCHA Et Al
150458/16 Sako v. NYC
157053/23 Santiago v. NYC Et Al
159528/20 Santiago v. The Board of Mgrs. of The Residences of Worldwide Plaza Et Al
154769/21 Shirley v. NYC Et Al
160458/18 Sledge v. NYC
150028/24 Steele v. NYC Et Al
161137/21 Taylor v. NYC
153627/20 Tendar v. NYC Et Al
155235/18 Thompson v. NYC
154547/20 Thrasher v. Perfetto Contracting
162714/15 Unicorn Const. v. NYC
150973/22 Valerie Dominguez v. NYC Et Al
152899/22 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
150838/21 Wald v. NYC Et Al
159425/22 Watt v. NYC Et Al
159633/20 Williams v. NYC
156310/18 Woody v. NYC
156293/19 Wright v. NYC
100882/20 Zanders v. NYC Dept. of Homeless Services

THURSDAY, JULY 10

162107/19 Onefator v. NYC

Part 62 City Part

Justice Ariel D. Chesler
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3274
Room 1127A

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

150906/25 Lipsky v. 417 East 72nd St. Associates De LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

151423/21 Almonte v. NYC
151018/22 Arnold v. Flessas Family Partnership
155085/24 Asitimbay Valente v. NYC Et Al
153423/22 Ayende v. NYC Et Al
155174/18 Bautista v. NYC
157985/21 Bernard v. NYC
156263/21 Boyle v. NYC Et Al
151200/23 Cabrera v. NYC Et Al
153443/20 Camargo v. NYC Et Al
150294/20 Canedy v. NYC
150575/16 Chisholm v. NYC
153281/22 Chong v. Brunei Darussalam Mission To The United Nations Et Al
157180/20 Cox-Douglas v. NYC
160627/22 Croft v. NYC Et Al
156631/16 Deberardine v. NYC
155787/21 Elias v. NYC Et Al
160070/22 Embree v. Bpp St Owner LLC Et Al
154246/24 Espinal v. Tavarez
154554/23 Fields v. NYC Et Al
153972/20 Fox v. Bremen House, Inc.
154203/24 Gaughan v. Barounis
450401/18 Gelley v. NYC Et Al
154442/19 Gonzalez v. Con Ed Co.
152576/21 Green v. NYC
450880/19 Greene v. Sabodacha
156261/22 Hooks v. NYC Et Al
159362/20 James v. Salas
153399/21 K.D. An Infant By Her Mother And Natural Guardian Paula Dubose v. NYC Et Al
157589/22 Lanzetta v. NYC Et Al
151119/22 Lewis v. NYC Et Al
158865/22 Lin v. Fire Dept. of NYC Et Al
159930/17 Lopez v. NYC
159646/18 Lynch v. NYC
452379/22 M v. NYC
106710/10 Marcano v. NYC
155642/23 Margold v. Tully Const. Co. Inc. Et Al
154494/18 Martin v. St. John Court Owners Corp.
153032/21 Medina v. NYC Et Al
154783/17 Melo v. Nypd Police Officer Estefany
451065/25 Mitchell v. NYC Et Al
156840/22 Morrero v. NYC Et Al
161232/19 Morrison v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
153533/22 Munoz v. NYC Et Al
156185/22 Nappi v. NYC Et Al
159906/22 Ng v. NYC
451855/19 Olaniyi v. Westbury Rlty. Associates
152360/22 Park v. NYC
162453/19 Parker v. McDonalds Et Al
159656/22 Pena v. NYC Et Al
157944/19 Pistolesi v. NYC
150002/23 Police Officer Dana Harge v. NYC Et Al
452925/22 Quijano v. NYC Et Al
158271/16 R v. NYC
158672/18 Randolph v. NYC
154439/22 Reeth v. The NYCHA Et Al
153516/18 Reyes v. Sarex Setai LLC.
151033/22 Robinson v. NYC Et Al
159893/23 Rulli v. NYC
157124/17 Sacks v. NYC
155148/23 Sanchez v. NYC Et Al
451298/22 Santiago v. NYC
152681/21 Shang v. 231 W 15 Rlty. LLC Et Al
162282/14 Sharif v. NYC
157677/17 Sosa v. NYC
150220/22 Staneski v. NYC
155800/23 Sullivan v. NYC Et Al
100099/21 Todie v. NYC
152106/22 Valdez v. NYC Et Al

CIVIL COURT

Special Term Part 1 and 2

111 Centre Street

Effective Oct. 14, 2014, in New York County Civil Court, in the Special Term Part there will only be one calendar call at 10:00 a.m. and only one calendar call at 10:15 a.m. for Parts 11, 11c, 14, 34, 34c, 35 & 35c. The calendar calls will be heard in Room 325 for all scheduled cases in Parts 11, 11c, 14, 34, 34c, 35 & 35c and in Room 428 for Sspecial Term and name change cases. Special Term and name change cases will be heard in Room 428 (4th floor) and all cases scheduled for Parts 11, 11c, 14, 34, 34c, 35 & 35c will be heard in room 325 (3rd floor). There will also be a new Trial Part for ready cases that will be heard in Room 950.

Part 11 City

THURSDAY, JULY 10

11 A.M.

2931/22 New York City Housing Authority v. Rachel Meyers
20874/24 New York City Housing Authority v. Bibi Khan

Part 11 Srl

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

6473/23 229 Cherry Street LLC v. Jennifer Jaramillo
23048/23 Taneisha Uptegrow v. Madison Street Housing Development
14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.

11 A.M.

19024/24 Maritza Matos v. Zara USA Inc

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

367/24 165 East 35th Street, LLC v. Sara R. Gross

11 A.M.

1843/25 Thayer 35 LLC v. David Suriel
2817/25 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Andrea Lizarraga

THURSDAY, JULY 10

9:30 A.M.

9343/24 Michelle J. Carr v. 210 W. 107th Street LLC
18205/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Regina Wilkins
3830/25 Michael Poston v. United Parcel Service General Service Co.

11 A.M.

4831/25 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Z & G Limo LLC

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

1238/23 Jesenia Ramirez v. Edwin Grajales
15703/24 Richardene Scipio v. Rocket Mortgage LLC
20071/24 Christopher Imerti v. Chloe Patellis
20855/24 Anthony Ramos v. Stone Point Capital, LLC
20856/24 Anthony Ramos v. Andrew Reutter

11 A.M.

19045/24 Sarah Perez v. Peter B. Realty LLC

Part 11c

TUESDAY, JULY 8

11 A.M.

19588/24 American Express National Bank v. Peter Preziosa
786/25 Lvnv Funding LLC v. Laura Caballero
6021/25 Barclays Bank Delaware v. Gregory Stanislaus
7806/25 Lvnv Funding LLC v. Vanesa Monzon

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

15141/24 Citibank, N.A. v. Sonia C Verderosa
17022/24 Citibank, N.A. v. Sonia Verderos

11 A.M.

101/25 American Express National Bank v. Johnny Lee
2413/25 Capital One, N.A. v. Jose Paulino
5975/25 Citibank, N.A. v. John Barilla

THURSDAY, JULY 10

11 A.M.

2720/25 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Roberto Ramos
6126/25 Velocity Investments, LLC v. Carol Julissa Mota Aquino De Sosa

FRIDAY, JULY 11

11 A.M.

18236/24 Synchrony Bank v. Andrea Francis
1302/25 Barclays Bank Delaware v. Gladys Hernandez
1303/25 Barclays Bank Delaware v. Gladys Hernandez
3518/25 Crown Asset Management, LLC v. Defl Tressa Fisher
5000/25 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Anthony Malabed

Part 11t

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

1030/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Patrice Neil
17691/24 Ryan Wan v. Fedex Corporation

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

10966/22 James M. Curry v. Amir Shuja
10575/23 Trinsha Matthew v. James H Barrett
10576/23 Trinsha Matthew v. James H Barrett

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

10359/22 Erin Grace v. Bennett Apartments, LLC
5417/24 Ein Argalles v. Eleiona Johnson
10307/24 Zheng Cao v. Eileen N Nadelson
181/25 Eric O. Ligan v. Carlos R. Arcentales Padilla

Part 14

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

11698/23 Brittnie L Johnson v. Junior Vipent
20352/24 Mandy Chen v. Bpp St Owner LLC
22676/24 Roberto Ortega v. William F. Kahn
4035/25 Christopher Mccoy v. Nyc Doe General Counsel (Department of Education)
5652/25 Shavine S. Green v. Steven Gottlieb
6782/25 Joshua Tyson v. Walmart

11 A.M.

21478/24 Azael Vargas v. Estela Chauca
6904/25 Grace De Libero v. Pro Realty Services

Part 30

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

7437/23 Lisa Elena Taxi Inc. v. Michelle A Chiriani

THURSDAY, JULY 10

9:30 A.M.

19133/24 New York City Housing Authority v. Elvia Prescott

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

1425/23 Fifth Avenue Hills LLC v. Lifespire Inc.
20610/24 Morwin Schmookler v. Allan Boomer
4472/25 In The Matter of The Application of The City of New York For A Turnover Order Pursuant To Cplr 5225(B) v. Santos Bakery Inc
7554/25 Biltmore Towers LLC v. Brad Pamnani

Part 32

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

19044/24 Sunil Ab Kayal v. Karen Strauss

Part 34

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

2322/20 Allen Chukwuhdi v. Luisa Brown
12882/23 April Jones-Washington v. Jay Salpeter
23048/23 Taneisha Uptegrow v. Madison Street Housing Development
1030/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Patrice Neil
1030/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Patrice Neil
2494/24 Doris Obinna v. Hiedi-Jo Spiegel
14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.
14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.
14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.
22188/24 Agnissan Achi v. Global Holdings

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

17731/24 33-39 East 65th Street LLC v. Theodore Murphy
8848/25 Miss Elegant v. Delariza Maria Legaspi

THURSDAY, JULY 10

9:30 A.M.

24904/23 3489 Broadway LLC v. Shannon Devine
6533/24 Esam Mansoor Ali v. Hyundai Motor America
18205/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Regina Wilkins
8527/25 Andrew Dawson v. Dalan Real Estate LLC, Attn: The Paxton

11 A.M.

2931/22 New York City Housing Authority v. Rachel Meyers

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

10359/22 Erin Grace v. Bennett Apartments, LLC
10359/22 Erin Grace v. Bennett Apartments, LLC
1238/23 Jesenia Ramirez v. Edwin Grajales
3033/23 Armenta N Jones v. Ronald Richardson
26286/23 Karen Elizabeth Eubanks v. Justin Dennis
26286/23 Karen Elizabeth Eubanks v. Justin Dennis
4837/24 Synchrony Bank v. Danelly Vinasco Rincon
17683/24 Shirley Adrakor v. Mv Public Transportation
20856/24 Anthony Ramos v. Andrew Reutter
22705/24 Fanta Brown v. Hcz Promise Academy Afterschool
22706/24 Fanta Brown v. Hcz Promise Academy 11 Elementary
3792/25 Sharon Trebowski v. Douglas Elliman Realty LLC
12671/25 Scott Lechky v. Laz Parkway New York/ New Jersey LLC

Part 34c

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

19777/24 Lvnv Funding LLC v. Antonio Addeo

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

14848/24 Velocity Investments, LLC v. Adia Njie

Part 35

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.
14887/24 Carman Williams v. Carl Wilkins M.D.

11 A.M.

7357/25 Grace De Libero v. Tapestry Inc.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

9:30 A.M.

8848/25 Miss Elegant v. Delariza Maria Legaspi

THURSDAY, JULY 10

9:30 A.M.

18205/24 Port Authority of New York & New Jersey v. Regina Wilkins

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

20855/24 Anthony Ramos v. Stone Point Capital, LLC

Part 35c

TUESDAY, JULY 8

9:30 A.M.

2695/24 American Express National Bank v. Jhonatan Tapia Guevara

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

16245/24 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. David S Damiecki

Bronx County

SUPREME COURT

EX PARTE AND URGENT MOTIONS PART

The Following is the List of Sittings in the Ex Parte Urgent Motions Part on the Dates Specified:

-

TRIAL TERM
718-618-1248

Day Calendar

Court Notices
Key to Submission Motion Calendar

FS = Fully submitted.

FSN = Fully Submitted, No Opposition

ADJ=adjourned to the marked date for oral argument in the above calendar part. Answering papers are to be submitted on the original return date in Room 217.

* * *

MENTAL HYGIENE PART

Justice TBA

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every Wednesday, commencing at a time TBA.

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted in person at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx NY 10451, Room TBA, every Thursday, commencing at a time TBA.

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually for the Community Assisted Outpatient Treatment Calendar at Bronx Supreme Court- Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every 2nd and 4th Friday of each month, commencing at a time TBA.

MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE SALES

Mortgage foreclosure sales in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Bronx, are conducted at the Bronx County Courthouse, located at 851 Grand Concourse, Courtroom 711, commencing at 2:15 p.m.

Auction information is available at the following link: https://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/12jd/bronx/civil/civil_Foreclosure_Information.shtml

Contact Information:

Email: bxforeclosure@nycourts.gov

Phone: 718-618-1322.

SUPREME COURT

Mortgage Foreclosure Sales in Supreme Court, Bronx County are no longer conducted in Room 118M. All Mortgage Foreclosure Sales in Supreme Court, Bronx County are conducted in Room B-129 (Ground Floor). Sales will be conducted on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Fridays at 2 P.M. No Mortgage Foreclosure Sales shall be conducted in Bronx County on Thursdays

Trial Assignment Part

Justice Joseph E. Capella
Phone 718-618-1201
Room 711, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

809640/24 5660 B'way. LLC v. Liranzo
28551/17 Arcas v. Fieldston Operating LLC
819647/23 Bello-Osagie v. Matarazzo
30404/20 Bowie v. Edwards
303008/16 Brooks v. Pimentel
31834/17 Cintron v. Krasniqi Rlty. Corp
30688/20 Cipriani v. 2-20 East Fordham Road
800392/24 Clayton v. Bissor
28259/18 Cruz v. Crotona Pkwy. Apts.
22664/15 Delamota v. Fordham Hill Garage Owners
35012/19 Duley v. S & N Rugova Properties
816326/21 Echavarria Tejeda v. Mercado
809756/23 Emerald Sea Food Co. Inc. v. Pura Vida Fisheries Inc. D/b/a Pura Vida Fish Et Al
25177/18 Gonzalez v. Bah
808371/22 H. v. NYCTA Et Al
21603/19 Lara-Diaz v. Mta
27574/16 Lima v. Lincoln Medical And Mental
22205/17 M v. Sharma
22716/20 Mascia v. Pinar M.D.
815699/22 Michael Arache As Proposed Administrator of The Estate of Francisco Arache v. Isabella Geriatric Center, Inc. D/b/a Isabella Center For Rehabilitation And Nursing Care
30388/20 Miranda v. Saturnia Inc.
34240/20 Morales v. Khan
810198/22 Morgan v. Rivera
70018/21 Moton v. NYC Et Al
26641/20 Nedd v. Kuang
26864/19 Nieves v. NYCH&HC
819504/23 Nwokocha v. Sugar Factory B'way.
804007/23 Olivo v. NYCTA Et Al
21690/19 Pena Pichardo v. Irizarry
23769/17 Ragusa v. St. Barnabas Hosp.
815226/21 Rivas v. Riverbay Corp.
29284/18 Rodriguez v. 85 McClellan St. Owners
35830/20 Rojas v. Miami Wireless LLC
811012/21 Rosario v. Moreno
22203/18 Santos v. Riverbay Corp.
30852/18 Shenery v. Niola
23418/19 Slater v. Mallett
811054/23 St1 Rlty. LLC v. Carroll
812587/21 Washington v. Lopez
24972/17 Willis v. St. Barnabas Hosp.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

23201/20 Abreu v. Hunts Point Terminal Produce
807488/22 Adames v. The Salvation Army Family Store Et Al
811983/21 Ahmed v. Guzman
22003/19 Arevalo-Pastuizaca v. Ofori
806188/21 Bailey v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
814110/21 Barrientos v. Key Food Supermarket Et Al
21602/17 Belton v. Fosu
33764/19 Bermudez v. Howard
810844/21 Cruz v. Sewdarsan
802350/21 Cuello-Fulcar v. Maher
804119/22 De La Rose-Puello v. Lumber Trans. Corp. Et Al
21132/12 Delgado v. NYCTA
23584/17 Dong v. Cruz-Marte
22768/20 Duhon v. Dilone
33651/19 Franco v. Abad
803994/23 Gutierrez v. Zumba Zhinn
23913/17 Henry v. Doe
42002/21 Hidais v. Wood
815424/21 Hightower v. Rodriguez Villianue
805774/22 Konteh v. Walker-Neal
26024/16 Lablanc v. Smith
29240/18 Maldonado v. Lifeline Ambulance Service
20671/20 Miranda v. 1710 Partners
26834/19 Moya v. 521 W 156 LLC
804979/21 Nuamah v. Dalesandro
300661/14 Oputa v. NYCTA
818785/22 Pabon-Vargas v. Burns
24235/16 Parris v. NYCHA
815464/22 Payamps v. Msga V111 LLC
28615/20 Payne v. Child & Family Support
814222/21 Perez v. Ecdc Taxi LLC
23067/16 Quiles v. 363 Prospect Pl.
350378/09 R.H An Infant v. St.Barnabas Hosp.
22772/18 Ramirez Reguli v. Sessoms
27805/17 Ramirez v. 1665 Gc LLC.
804949/21 Rhoden v. Kingsbridge Optometric Eye Care
22212/15 Robinson v. Richardson
809540/21 Sinclair v. Action Ambulette Inc Et Al
22499/20 Urena Mora v. Gohagan
809802/21 Williams v. NYCHA Et Al
801761/23 Wilson v. Kinstel

ADR Part

Phone 718-618-3081
Room 701A

Part 2

Justice Elizabeth A. Taylor
Phone 718-618-1275
Room 710, 9:30 A.M.

Part 3

Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

810877/25 Abad v. NYC
815072/24 Armstrong v. NYC Et Al
23152/19 Bamba v. Con Ed of NY Inc Et Al
805165/25 Brown v. NYC Et Al
806693/25 C. v. Friends of Emblaze Academy Charter School
809453/23 Dotel v. NYC Et Al
810318/23 Fernandez v. NYCHA Et Al
802966/25 Garcia v. NYC
814150/22 Gina v. NYC Et Al
815947/23 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
807560/25 Gregory v. NYC
820490/24 Gyasi v. NYC Et Al
814028/24 Henriques v. NYC Et Al
803447/25 Kelly v. NYC Et Al
807982/25 Khan v. NYC Et Al
816974/24 King v. NYC Et Al
804896/25 Lucas v. NYC Et Al
812499/24 Matos v. NYC Et Al
800095/25 Matos-Otero v. NYC Et Al
807761/25 Miller v. NYC Et Al
815777/24 Nayotl v. NYC Et Al
819361/23 Nunez v. NYC Et Al
820863/24 Nunez-Duran v. NYC Et Al
811365/24 Owens v. NYC Et Al
808104/25 Padilla v. NYC Et Al
806993/23 Paige v. NYC Et Al
800788/25 Parsley v. NYC Et Al
805196/25 Parsley v. NYC Et Al
810365/25 Perez v. NYC
807010/25 Peters v. NYC
802630/23 Phillips v. NYC Et Al
821140/24 Reyes Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
813023/24 Rodriguez v. 248 Fordham Road LLC Et Al
803473/23 Santizo Garcia v. NYC Et Al
820171/24 Sullivan v. NYC Et Al
817707/24 Taylor v. NYC Et Al
805816/25 Toole v. NYC
803815/25 Torres v. NYC
819695/24 Torres v. NYC Et Al
811397/24 Vaughan v. NYC Et Al
800869/24 Williams v. NYC Et Al
805527/22 Wilson v. NYC

Part 4

Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

3033/25 Acosta Payano v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
820081/24 Allen v. Pena
813212/24 Bonifacio-Lopez v. Urban-Scape
3547/24 Cadell v. Messina
800801/25 Carmona Mena v. Charles
816730/22 Carter v. NYCTA
804499/25 Concepcion v. Glickman
21995/19 Corbett v. Skanska USA, Inc.
25419/17 Diaz v. John Catsimatidis
814660/23 English v. NYCTA Et Al
303831/11 Garcia v. West 170th Rlty.
814658/24 Gonzalez v. Guzman
35467/20 Gonzalez v. Leon Harary, Inc.
813196/24 Guillen v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
803499/24 Hernandez v. Fly Rosary Corp. Et Al
817077/24 Hugee v. Dunham
803107/24 Leach v. McBride
801509/25 Lucero v. Hazell
802944/25 M.M. v. Antonio
805287/24 Mutakabbir v. Lewis
818695/24 Nunez-Deleon v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
805788/25 Perez Castro v. Moronta
814454/23 Pilgrim v. Valley Framers LLC Et Al
805583/24 Robles v. Wfha King Boulevard Lp Et Al
818492/24 Savoca v. 370 Seventh Ave. Fee Owner
807090/23 Smith-Abram v. Boston Bryant Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
804396/22 Solano v. 3091 Rlty. LLC Et Al
803594/24 NYC v. 4137 White Plains Road
802774/25 Validum v. Venture Leasing LLC Et Al
818652/23 Ventura v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
817192/23 Ward v. Milea Leasing Corp.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

819500/23 Abreu v. Bp Assets 62 LLC Et Al
813814/23 Adger v. Lewis
819310/23 Amancha Chango v. 2530 Gc LLC Et Al
800589/24 Amaro v. Ram Rlty. Group
819180/22 Barrios v. Illkm Uptown LLC
810714/23 Bay Park Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation v. Cummings
817848/22 Benjamin v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey
809443/23 Berganzo Romero v. 1225 Sheridan Tic 83 LLC Et Al
812712/23 Betances Castillo v. Parkash 2115 LLC
801151/24 Brown v. Roberts
23962/19 Butler v. Miller
800523/23 Cabral v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
813921/23 Camara v. 1359 Find LLC Et Al
802520/23 Carlos v. Waste Connections of New York, Inc. Et Al
812200/22 Celic v. Barone Restoration Corp
815077/23 Clavel v. Mayle Rlty.
816649/23 Espinoza Galeano v. Longwood Commons Condominium Homeowners Assoc., Inc.
807991/23 Gambardella v. Jewish Assoc. Serving The Aged Et Al
816293/22 Garriga v. NYCHA
819645/23 Guzman v. Walgreens Pharmacy Inc.
810505/24 H. v. 35 LLC Et Al
806640/24 Heredia v. Begaum
819497/23 Jeffrey v. Layla Associates LLC
800280/24 Jimenez v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al
809002/22 Jordan Capital Group LLC v. Davis
807475/23 Lopez v. 1387 Jessup L.L.C. Et Al
801052/23 Marrero v. Pej Grand Rlty. Corp. Et Al
804250/23 Mendoza Ruiz v. El-Kam Rlty. Co
810770/24 Ortiz v. Dot Foods Inc. Et Al
818651/22 Pinckney v. C&N Liquor Corp. D/b/a C&N Wine And Liquor Et Al
811775/24 Ponder v. Battle
815348/22 Quinones v. Today's Woman Salon Et Al
805261/24 Reyes v. Bj's Wholesale Club, Inc.
34567/18 Riccardi v. Consigli Const. NY LLC
818685/24 Rodriguez v. Coulibaly
802035/24 Salas v. 711-715 E 231st St.
804820/23 Saliu v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corp. Et Al
25995/20 Samuel v. De La Nuez Hernandez
802935/24 Sanchez Gonza v. Domino Rme LLC Et Al
807455/21 Seward Housing LLC A/a/o Steward 2025 LLC v. Dominiquez
809214/22 Simpson v. 364 M & F Mgt. LLC
802318/22 Singh v. Forrest Equities LLC Et Al
25837/17 Skelton v. Int'l Union of
803876/24 Thomas v. Boston Road Housing L.P. Et Al
815520/24 Thompson v. Green Team Transportation, Inc. Et Al
807641/24 Ugwunali v. NYCHA
816034/23 Ungvarsky v. Elener Associates
807997/23 Vega v. 1665 Gc LLC
803819/23 Waters v. Belmont Arthur Ave. Local Dev. Corp. Et Al
800652/24 Williams v. Arco Design/build Industrial NY

Part 5

Justice Alison Y. Tuitt
Phone 718-618-1224
Room 415, 9:30 A.M.

Part 6

Justice Laura G. Douglas
Phone 718-618-1246
Room 811, 9:30 A.M.

Part 7

Justice Wilma Guzman
Phone 718-618-1288
Room 624, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

803037/25 Mariano v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification
807835/24 Torres v. Al-Jo Real Estate Inc. Et Al

Part 8

Justice Bianka Perez
Phone 718-618-1205
Room 704, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

27220/18 Benavides Represa v. Silva
807192/22 Grant v. Surrey Co-Op. Apts. Inc. Et Al
24073/19 Kam v. Archbishopric of NY
801626/24 Lopez-Ruperto v. New Day Housing Corp.
807279/22 Munoz v. Rbd Rlty. Consultants, Inc.
806574/24 Pena v. New Jai Ganesh Rlty. LLC
1576/04 People of The S.O.N.Y. v. Rivera
810194/22 Perez v. East Burnside Associates
20414/18 Polygenis v. Stone Lounge Press Inc.
821459/24 Romero v. L & Y Rlty. Corp. Et Al
811604/21 Sanchez v. Bp Prods. North America, Inc. Et Al
23910/14 Saxton v. Mount Hope Associates

Part 9/33

Justice Myrna Socorro
Phone 718-618-1625
Room 708, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

807587/25 Afriyie v. NYC Et Al
807991/25 Bah v. NYC Et Al
812520/24 Cardenas v. NYC Et Al
818167/24 Clarke v. NYC Et Al
811448/24 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
809281/25 Drummond v. NYC Et Al
811793/24 Franklin v. NYC Et Al
809355/25 Griffith v. NYC Et Al
807618/25 Guzman v. NYC Et Al
813311/24 Harris v. NYC Et Al
820396/24 Johnson v. NYC Et Al
805489/23 Johnson v. NYC Et Al
804198/24 Johnson v. NYC Et Al
803026/25 Lindo v. NYC Et Al
812428/24 Manso v. NYC Et Al
807663/25 More v. NYC Et Al
814873/23 Muldrow v. NYC Et Al
812076/24 Rogers v. NYC Et Al
809278/25 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
808347/25 Sanchez v. NYC Et Al
817145/23 Shoendale v. NYC Et Al
820224/24 Texidor v. NYC Et Al
811139/24 West v. NYC Et Al
802826/25 Williams v. NYC Et Al
811570/24 Willoughby v. NYC Et Al

Part 13

Justice Patsy Gouldborne
Phone 718-618-1236
Room 401, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

20846/20 Alberts v. NYCTA
803565/23 James v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
810138/23 Silas v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

812747/23 Almonte v. R & L Transfer Inc. Et Al
804421/23 Amegnizi v. Singh
811702/21 Bailey v. Yougbare
27511/19 Burgos v. Burns
810179/23 Collado-Lopez v. R&L Transfer Inc. Et Al
805626/21 Custodio v. Reyes
817079/23 Diez v. Geel Webster Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
23720/18 Gibbs v. Mv Transportation Inc.
28967/20 Lawrence v. Camas
31940/20 Liu v. Hermany, Inc.
819648/23 Lopez v. Lopez
26849/18 Martinez-Santiago v. Espejo
801102/23 McDonald v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
811125/21 Peguero v. Manatial Auto Center, Inc. Et Al
807839/23 Pichardo v. Nordquist
808683/22 Roberts v. Hernandez Jorge
800806/23 Smith v. Primo Water Corp. Et Al
27189/19 Smith v. Traore
806709/23 Stinson v. Mariano Hernandez
813455/22 Stolfi v. Monastra
814042/23 Veloz Olivo v. R & L Transfer, Inc. Et Al
804353/24 Vicioso Lopez v. Lewis

Part 12

Justice Kim A. Wilson
Phone 718-618-1396
Room 414, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

804440/23 Adamu v. Western Beef Inc., Et Al
812514/23 Bachas v. L&F Service Station
813360/24 Bailey v. 1555 Grand Concourse LLC
809505/23 Baker v. Parkash
816881/21 Banegas Landi v. NYC
809276/22 Beniquez v. Starco Maint. Supplies Inc. Et Al
803466/22 Britt v. Bronx Sharp Rlty. LLC
811518/21 Chaplin v. Davita Kidney Care Contracting
801564/24 Cordero v. Arkima Enterprises, Inc.
32103/20 Farres v. 2001 Story Tower A LLC.
810107/23 Farres v. Lineage Ventures LLC
812994/22 Gonzalez v. Prc Westchester Ave.
814081/22 Hart v. NYCTA Et Al
33765/20 Hernandez Hernandez v. NYC
811635/24 Mills v. Hassell
818312/23 Montes v. Tirado Enterprises Inc Et Al
22855/18 Perez v. NYCTA
815584/22 Polanco v. Napa Rlty. Corp. Et Al
818933/22 Quevedo v. Lebron
21641/15 Radparvar v. Vitucci
805689/23 Rafael v. The Canuck 202 Ninth Ave. LLC Et Al
808350/24 Ray-Chaudhuri v. Pepushaj
813215/23 Reynolds v. Caparelli
808140/24 Rivera v. Hahn
816712/23 Santana v. The Cooper Union For The Advancement of Science And Art Et Al
815918/23 Savinon v. Local Express LLC Et Al
818415/23 Soto v. Tiago Hldgs.
809977/24 Transit General Ins. Co. of NY v. Rigo-Limo-Auto Corp. Et Al
806217/24 Walsh v. Paradise Towing And Recovery LLC Et Al

Part 14

Justice John A. Howard
Phone 718-618-1244
Room 607, 9:30 A.M.

Part 15 (MV)

Justice Ben R. Barbato
Phone 718-618-1395
Room 702, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

24686/19 Krasowski v. Castro

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

31468/17 Ilboudo v. Rigo Limo-Auto Corp.

Part 16

Justice Fernando Tapia
Phone 718-618-1691
Room 706, 9:30 A.M.

Part 18

Justice Wanda Y. Negron
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 19

Justice Alicia Gerez
Phone 718-618-1377
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

809022/23 Almanzar As Administrator of The Estate Of v. Tcprnc
815886/22 Bowen v. Regeis Care Center Et Al
812493/21 Cardona Reyes v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
816554/23 Dupree v. Newyork-Presbyterian Columbia Univ. Irving Medical Centre
27628/17 Fernandez v. Patel
28440/17 Hinnant v. Williamsbridge Manor Nursing
27347/18 Lincoln v. Satsky
808868/23 Passanisi And v. Biagiotti M.D.
34026/18 Perez v. Holy Name Medical Center
818832/22 Pina v. Providence M.D.
803920/22 Thompson v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
804538/22 Torres v. Medford Multicare Center For Living Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

803721/22 Antonia Feliz v. Rapid Care Solutions Et Al
809531/22 Ayala v. Morningside Nursing & Rehabilitation Center Et Al
28939/17 Barquet v. Mount Sinai Health System
33034/18 Garcia v. Solomon
22211/17 Iris A. Maisonet By Anibal v. St. Vincent De Paul Residence
20861/17 Jackson v. Herbsman
20182/18 Lewis v. Alagkiozidis
20864/19 Liranzo v. Caputo Md
32363/20 N v. Charles C.N.M.
810927/22 Nazar v. NY Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
804423/21 Pratt v. Abraham Operations
800313/22 Real v. Nazir M.D.
307227/10 Resto v. Regeis Care Center
808275/22 Rodriguez v. Fordham Nursing And Rehabilitation Center Et Al
20036/14 Vargas v. Bhalodkar

Part 20

Justice Veronica G. Hummel
Phone 718-618-1240
Room 408, 9:30 A.M.

Part 21

Justice Matthew Parker-Raso
Phone 718-618-1435
Room 405, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22

Justice Marissa Soto
Phone 718-618-1193
Room 709, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

813203/24 Aboyoun v. Rockaway Nissan Et Al
810090/21 Advanced Orthopedics And Sports Medicine Institute v. Ellis
811637/24 Alva Melendez v. 501 Lexington Dev. Co.
812521/22 Antonio v. H.E.L.P.-Bronx Et Al
815468/23 Blandon Sandoval v. Gerebuzza Const. Inc Et Al
816591/24 Brioso v. Davidson Apts. LLC
810985/24 Bulnes v. Verizon Corp Service Group Et Al
803270/24 Byers v. Mmf 1212 Assoc. LLC.
811912/24 Castillo Diaz v. Yakubu
803976/24 Chilpe v. Shadi Dev. LLC Et Al
804623/24 Cotto v. Ruth Ehrlich Foundation Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
814680/24 Cruz Torres v. Buna Rlty. LLC
811232/24 Cuascut v. American United Transportation Inc
816263/24 Dataram v. Sarabjeet
811446/24 De La Cruz v. G&G Expedite Transport LLC Et Al
808839/23 Diaz v. Redel Hldg. Corp
810261/24 Diaz v. Ulster Velasquez
814154/24 Done v. 2750 Boston Road
814082/24 Dortch-Lloyd v. Arteferro LLC Et Al
818030/24 Durity v. Hees
806732/24 Elezaj v. Phelps Professional Bldg. Co.Lp Et Al
816444/24 Fernandez v. Kourouma
817075/24 Figueroa v. 1541 Williamsbridge Rlty.
809646/24 Garcia v. Genao
817465/24 Gayle v. Kone
815808/24 Goodwin v. Parkash 2185 LLC
809425/24 Goodwin v. East Bronx Wine And Liquor Inc. Et Al
812635/24 Gueye v. Phillips
818813/24 Herdia v. Castillo Dominguez
813083/23 Hunter v. Cummins Inc Et Al
813703/24 James v. NYCHA
800962/24 Jefferson v. Eastwood Manor, Inc. Et Al
807798/24 Jimenez v. Marin
800289/24 Kyei v. NYCTA Et Al
803751/24 Lane v. Senior Living Options, Inc. Et Al
810740/24 Law v. Soto
814819/24 Lawver v. E-Z Trans Corp. Et Al
802797/25 Lequerique v. Hartman
811704/24 Lora Collado v. 62 Rlty.
805001/24 Lucena v. Figueroa Machuca
820037/24 Paez v. Brook-Sharp Rlty. LLC Et Al
805348/24 Pineda Valdez v. 274 East 194 St Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
23865/19 R. v. Gleen Rock Inc. Et Al
814164/24 Reinoso v. 2800 Webster Ave. LLC Et Al
819690/24 Rivadeneyra Aguilar v. Anziani
802756/24 Rivera v. Five J's Automotive Ltd. Et Al
810988/24 Rodriguez Hidalgo v. Nebraskaland, Inc. Et Al
813554/24 Sapp v. Jacobs
814065/23 Saulnier v. Navillus/mlj
815307/24 Seda Garcia v. Myriad Solutions Inc. Et Al
815267/24 Solano v. Ramdass
807685/24 Spraus Pineda v. Romero
812733/24 Tapia v. Esposito
814169/24 Tapia v. Tapia
819010/24 Tramble Poindexter v. Sinapi
811345/24 Ulloa v. 272 Associates
814815/24 Whyte v. Doherty
812730/24 Xhafa v. Perez Vargas
801360/25 Yang v. Hickman
817340/23 Ynoa Rodriguez v. Belliard

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

5572/23 Breeden v. Jaime Towers Housing Co. Et Al
804193/24 Toliver v. NYCHA

Part 24

Justice Shawn T. Kelly
Phone 718-618-1248
Room 623, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

807067/24 Almonte Dominguez v. Elmwood Estates
814534/22 Benitez v. Cucs Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Vi Et Al
818944/24 Brito v. Calabro
804281/21 Camejo v. Crown 730 Invest LLC
817932/24 Cascione v. Caron
817504/22 Castellanos Morillo v. 126 East 86 St. Dev. LLC Et Al
818518/24 Castro v. Rivera
804942/24 Chabrier v. West Farms Estates Co. L.P. Et Al
23397/20 Cuevas v. Trinidad Leal
819090/23 Fittipaldi v. Gecaj Properties Co., LLC
32206/18 Garcia v. Savanna Housing Dev.
816283/22 Guerrero Fermin v. Acacia Sendero Verde II Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
802830/24 Guzman v. Acevedo
814097/23 Guzman v. L & A Developers Group Inc Et Al
1300/24 Hayes v. NYCHA Parkside Houses
809830/24 Jones v. Eastchester Heights Prop. Owner LLC
818514/22 Lala v. Roman Catholic Church of Saint Anselm And Saint Roch
814204/24 Lee v. Hearne
31144/20 Lemus Cedillos v. 223 West 46th St. LLC
816662/22 Macas Cuenca v. Eegp 139 Owner
813412/23 Martinez v. Bronx Park Gardens LLC
815130/22 Mazara v. Rcpi Landmark Properties LLC Et Al
803101/24 Medina Geron v. Ortiz
805730/22 Morales v. Vno 225 West 58th St. LLC Et Al
815112/23 Munoz v. 1025 Boynton Ave. Rlty.
814510/22 Paredes v. Acacia Sendero Verde Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al

Court Calendars

816137/24 Paulino-Salazar v. Cam 995 East 173rd St Associates LLC Et Al
28976/18 Perez v. Parkside Terrace
818647/22 Person v. S&E Bridge & Scaffold
810703/24 Pierre v. Aabr, Inc.
812696/24 Polanco v. NYCHA
802799/23 Ramon v. 2710 Bainbridge LLC Et Al
814786/21 Rendon Palamino v. 1010 Pacific Owner LLC Et Al
818371/24 Roman v. Finger Mgt. Corp. Et Al
816166/21 Rosario v. C.C. Controlled Combustion Co. Inc. Et Al
818768/22 Ruiz Cuevas v. Cf East 72 Owner LLC Et Al
29333/19 Saula v. Harlem Urban Dev.
32793/18 Scrubb v. 589 11th Mgt. Corp.
820134/23 Speid v. 3391 Boston Road Corp. Et Al
27156/19 Thomas v. Stulzer
816601/24 Thomas v. Vaughn
801696/25 Torres v. Doe
817216/22 Vasquez Torres v. 333 Park Slope Condos LLC Et Al
815298/24 Velazquez v. Gutierrez
803744/24 Wilson v. Consol. Bus Transit, Inc. Et Al
804854/24 Zahid v. Nealous

Part 25

Justice Mary Ann Brigantti
Phone 718-618-1252
Room 407, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

813828/21 Aguasvivas v. 1225 Sherman Ave.
28615/18 O v. Garrido

Part 26

Justice Paul L. Alpert
Phone 718-618-1617
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 27

Justice Naita A. Semaj
Phone 718-618-1226
Room 622 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

805801/25 Brito v. Knight
817907/24 Darkwah v. Kom-Teye
816532/24 Longton v. Mgsa V LLC Et Al
815616/23 Matamoros-Sierra v. Bernard
801972/25 Santos v. Jolly Transportation Inc Et Al
808517/24 Shakes v. Claremon-Lee 161st LLC Et Al

Part 28

Justice Sarah P. Cooper
Phone 718-618-1254
Room 402, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

814978/23 Azeez v. Azeez
800977/24 Contreras v. Contreras
3671/14 Lisanti v. Lisanti
802923/25 Velez v. Velez

Part 29

Justice Veronica Romero Guerrero
Phone 718-618-1479
Room 701, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

803064/25 Islam v. Hafsa
804294/25 Martini v. Martini
1305/25 Mora Mercado v. Gonzalez Dominguez
811344/23 Pena v. Rosario Mercado
808005/23 Walters v. Walters
42057/25 Wethington v. Wethington

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

4740/21 Barzey v.
6731/18 Calleja-Merced v. Merced
807761/22 Colon-Torres v. Piantinis-Colon
5319/23 Guillermo v. Guillermo
5109/23 Hernriquez Tejada v. Ramirez Diaz
5879/23 Molla v. Yesmin
2155/21 Paulino v. Liz Ortiz
816171/22 Ruas v. Casanova

Part 30

Justice Erik L. Gray
Phone 718-618-1320
Room 703, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31/32

Justice Fidel E. Gomez
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 403, 9:30 A.M.

Part 34

Justice Michael A. Frishman
Phone 718-618-1349
Room 705, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

808054/21 Agomas v. Sumon M.D.
23033/20 Almanzar De Varga v. Bronxcare Health System Et Al
801689/24 B. v. Bigby C.N.M.
805239/21 Baez v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
815904/21 Bautista-Salazar v. Nolan D.D.S.
23173/19 Beck v. Koleilat
807723/22 Bock v. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Et Al
26179/20 Brannock v. Memorial Sloan Kettering
810599/23 Brea v. Amin M.D.
812257/21 Cause v. Radcliffe Md
807690/21 Coriolan v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
805174/23 Dejesus v. Bronxcare Hosp. Center F/k/a Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center Et Al
819973/23 Falcon v. Essen Medical Associates
800032/25 Font Phipps v. Piccorelli
803998/21 Garcia v. Nachmann Dpm
800384/21 Gonzalez v. Musheyev Dds
819365/23 Gorzko v. Epstein Md
805588/24 Graham v. Natalie Semenyuk
801062/23 Harnett v. Bon Secours Charity Health System, Inc. Et Al
807714/22 Herrera v. Brizuela M.D. Aka Alberto Brizuela Ducharne M.D.
814812/24 Kanseler-Mehu v. Hovanisyan
21225/18 Lakita Eason v. Rochford
28415/20 Lewis v. Retina Group
816937/24 Madera v. Seeraj Md
813812/24 Madonna Adams As Proposed Administrator of The Estate of William Adams Et Al v. Kabak Md
805509/22 McIntyre III v. Shah M.D.
816345/24 Miller v. Udo M.D.
806529/21 Paredes v. Yager M.D.
29603/18 Ramos v. Sbh Health System Et Al
804773/23 Roman v. Cruz R.N.
35526/20 Salcedo v. Bronshtein Md
810007/24 Schwartzman v. Hebrew Home For The Aged At Riverdale
803854/23 Sterling v. St Barnabas Hosp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

819382/22 Aiezza v. Campbell D.M.D.
816293/21 Alston v. Gentile Md
802834/21 Buckle v. St. Barnabas Hosp. Et Al
814696/23 Burnett v. Park Gardens Rehabilitation And Nursing Center
814615/21 Calloway v. Choi M.D.
816601/21 Cherry v. Vazzana M.D.
24963/16 D'Aremtta v. Chau
25124/20 Degorge v. Bronx Gardens Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al
803057/23 E.R.D. v. Russman D.O.
26484/19 Gonzalez v. Medikids Pediatric Medicine
806593/23 Gonzalez v. Gill
801357/21 Harris v. Mount Sinai Queens Hosp.
810499/22 Hung v. Vega Rn
817088/23 John-Ancrum v. Badawy
304870/09 Kotraight v. Montefiore Medical Center
806071/23 L E v. Bonilla-Martir Md
808874/21 Lora v. Sachs M.D.
802102/23 Madera v. Arya
803136/22 Mago v. Bainbridge Nursing Home
35194/20 Murphy v. Vynnyk
801685/23 Nieradka v. Jasicki Do
812043/21 Pichardo v. Levy
24450/16 Rahhal v. Downing
26665/20 Rodriguez v. Shah M.D.
816031/21 Rodriguez v. Cines P.A.
30317/19 Rosario v. Montefiore Medical Center
806697/22 Ruiz v. Croll M.D.
25115/18 S. v. Dudek
809818/22 Singh v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
805472/24 Singletary v. Robles M.D.
803791/23 Torres v. Gruson M.D.
29519/19 Torres v. Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center
25637/14 Velez v. St. Barnabas Nursing Home
808499/24 Washington v. Krystal M.D.
805867/24 Wilder v. Walter Dpm
25280/20 Williams v. Aboumohamed Md

Part 35

Justice Raymond P. Fernandez
Phone 718-618-1216
Room 625, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, JULY 8

815181/24 Awad v. La Mia Food Market Corp Et Al
803187/25 Gilliard v. 3033 Wallace LLC Et Al
809353/25 Gladden v. Brown
801060/24 Hossain v. Jeffers
810090/25 Jimenez-Cuello v. Martin Electric LLC Et Al
801108/25 Khrapunov v. East Side Auto Transport
808658/25 Lamour v. Gil
819979/24 Liu v. Montero
801552/25 McLean v. Azarchs
817658/24 Ortiz v. Hp Bronx Park East Housing Dvlp Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
820949/24 Rolon v. Parkchester Preservation Co.
807841/25 Skhulukhia v. Lerakis
815937/23 Tate v. Urban Renaissance Collaboration, Inc. Et Al
817425/24 Tran v. Taxi Tours Inc. Et Al
810222/24 Vazquez Ciron v. Heidenberg Properties Group Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

819889/23 Beras v. NY Cross Docking LLC Et Al
818870/23 Gonzalez v. Doe
812925/24 J. v. Wilson
816784/24 Mamun v. Zelaya
800225/25 Mattei v. 1939 W Farms Rd Parking Corp Et Al
811879/24 Murrell v. Delva
801710/24 Ramirez v. First Playhouse of Great Neck Corp. Et Al

Second Department

APPELLATE TERM

2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

* * *

STATEN ISLAND, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

LONG ISLAND CITY, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

BROOKLYN, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

Dismissal Calendar

MONDAY, JULY 21

Toussaint, P.J., Buggs, Ottley

The Following Cases Have Been Scheduled By The Clerk For Dismissal For Lack Of Prosecution. Enlargements Of Time May Be Requested Either Via Stipulation Between The Parties, Or By Letter Stating The Reason For Such Request, Addressed To The Clerk of the Court, With A Copy Sent To The Other Parties To The Appeal.

24/1044 148 Avenue 2018 Property, LLC V Ogan Williams, Alain Palmer, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
24/01051 Newmont Properties, Lp v. Yvonne Callender, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
24/01245 Dr. Alexander Berenblit, M.d., aao Bashria Osman v. Allstate Insurance Company
24/01247 Health Value Med, P.C., aao Akeel Tasheed v. Allstate Insurance Company
24/01376 Sara E. Molina v. Blackdiam Properties, LLC
24/01394 Stelios Kraniotakis v. South Shore Autoplex, LLC, d/b/a South Shore Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram
24/01404 Chon Gee Chan v. Yu Hua Construction Corp.
24/01414 Esco Medical Supply Corp., aao Melissa Sosa v. Geico Indemnity Company
24/01416 Anukware Ketosugbo, M.d., P.C., aao Bryan Logan v. Palisades Ins. Co.
25/00001 Kevin Oreckinto v. Linda Kpaka a/k/a Linda Annette Kpaka, Lahai Kpaka, Nile Kpaka, Lahal Kpaka, Timmy Jackson, Timmothy Jackson, "John" Jackson, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00037 Sanford Equities Corp. v. Robert M. Cushing and Noan-Huey Wu
25/00043 Sanford Equities Corp. v. Noan-Huey Wu and Robert Cushing
25/00084 Mid Island Lp v. Nan Li
25/00115 Erick Williams v. Alexandra L.P.ra
25/00139 Sandy Bergen LLC v. Chiaka Nedd and "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00147 In The Matter of the Application Of Isabel L.P.z, Raul L.P.z, and Carmen Galindo v. for a pursuant to article 7A of the Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law, Appointing A Court-Designated Administrator For The Premises Known As 374 Wallabout Street, Brooklyn, New York, Block 2266, Lot 1
25/00148 In The Matter of the Application Of Isabel L.P.z, Raul L.P.z, and Carmen Galindo v. for a pursuant to article 7A of the Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law, Appointing A Court-Designated Administrator For The Premises Known As 374 Wallabout Street, Brooklyn, Ny 11206 and Department Of
25/00175 1334 B, LLC v. Nora Pritchard and "John Doe" and "Jane Doe" and Benjamin Z. Epstein, Esq.
25/00176 1334 B, LLC v. Nora Pritchard and "John Doe" and "Jane Doe." Nissan Shapiro, Esq.
25/00206 Linden Martense Equities LLC v. Michelle Hammel
25/00212 Burke Physical Therapy, P.C., aao Henley, Monasia v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins., Co.
25/00230 Englewood Orthopedics Group, aao Argenis Hernandez v. Geico General Ins. Co.
25/00244 Alexandros I. Likiardopoulos v. Mohamed Hajabed
25/00249 Cicely Johnson v. City Of New York
25/00265 170 Ny Properties, LLC v. Ella Edwards and Dwight Edwards
25/00397 Merlene Smith-Percival v. 287 Clarkson Ave., LLC, Stillwater Realty Mgmt. and Matt Bader and Department Of Housing Preservation and Development of the City Of New York
25/00404 Dov Land Usa, LLC v. Carrie Henrichson and "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00418 Alex Haselkorn, M.d., P.C., aao Francisco Gonzalez v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co.
25/00438 Marie Mondelius, As Administratrix of the Estate Of Antoine Jean v. Chantale Leon and Dieula Leon and "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00443 Glen-Marie David v. Drucilla Mcleod and Department Of Housing Preservation and Development of the City Of New York
25/00468 Elder Quinn v. Sentry Credit, Inc.
25/00490 Healthwise Medical Associates, P.C., aao Guy, Jean Michel v. Permanent General Assurance Corporation
25/00492 Erf Physical Therapy, Pc, aao Mary, Scotland v. Nationwide Insurance Company
25/00494 New Image Chiropractic, P.C., aao Krystal Hayes v. Kemper Insurance Company
25/00683 15 Bpd Owner LLC v. Quanda Francis, Todd Francis and Aaron Francis, "John Doe", "Jane Doe"
25/00685 Rxr 810 Fulton Li Owner, LLC v. Elizabeth Clarke-Robinson and Devin Robinson
25/00696 Cach, LLC v. Lizit Faena A/K/A Livit Faena
25/00756 Bank Of America, N.a. v. Dimitrios Kourouklis
25/00896 Anukware Ketosugbo Md, Pc aao Monique Espinal v. Affirmative Direct Insurance Company

* * *

9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

* * *

Mineola, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

Central Islip, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

White Plains, NY

Day Calendar

* * *

Dismissal Calendar

MONDAY, JULY 14

Garguilo, P.J., Driscoll, Goldberg-Velazquez, JJ.

The Following Cases Have Been Scheduled By The Clerk For Dismissal For Lack Of Prosecution. Enlargements Of Time May Be Requested Either Via Stipulation Between The Parties, Or By Letter Stating The Reason For Such Request, Addressed To The Clerk of the Court, With A Copy Sent To The Other Parties To The Appeal.

23/00760 People v. Ramiro Linares
23/01052 People v. Bryan Gershen
24/00214 People v. John Marky
24/00903 People v. Juan Miguel Mariotti
24/01118 People v. Cubby Gibson
24/01342 People v. Veronica Sabbag
25/00097 People v. Sean O'donnell
25/00120 People v. Stone Equities, LLC
25/00186 People v. Richard Clinton
25/00517 People v. Ronald Devaughn
24/00478 Alexa Pata v. Mahmuda Yildiz
24/01029 Woodlands Apartment Corp., v. Patricia Lorelli, "John" "Doe" and "Jane" "Doe"
24/01037 Mauro Spinal Chiropractic, P.C., aao Annett Shay-Gladston, Shane Igbinovia and Cristian Matute v. Geico General Insurance Company
24/01077 Primus Automotive Financial Services, Inc. v. Roberta L. Whitfield
24/01371 John N. Mandas v. Salvador Carmelino
24/01388 Mohammad Ali and Sofia Ali v. Mariam Sana Ahmed
24/01395 Crane Enterprises, LLC v. Michael Crane, "John Doe 1" and "Jane Doe 1", "John Doe 2" and "Jane Doe 2"
24/01439 Infinity Solutions Ny, LLC and Todd Roberts v. Mynheer Carpenter and Mynheer Carpenter, d/b/a Love U
25/00008 Apple Bank v. Contemporary Dental Implants Scarsdale, L.P.and Xyz Corp
25/00048 Diamond Development Properties Corp. v. Lisa Purzak, Edward Bundock, "John Doe 2" and "Jane Doe 1-2"
25/00055 Lg Other Associates, LLC v. Synergy Fitness Merrick, Inc.
25/00057 147 Garden Street, LLC v. Troy Dancy, John Doe Or Jane Doe
25/00060 482 Front Street v. Keisha Adams, Tiara Adams, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00061 Erica D. Harris v. Papa Faye
25/00064 Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic, P.C. aao Cerinea Shirley v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
25/00065 James Seganti, Iii and Melissa Seganti v. Ralph Castellanos and Deidre Castellanos and Thomas v. Pillari, Esq.
25/00066 Veterinary Medical Center Of Li, LLC v. Walter Vilkas
25/00079 Gerrard J. Blake v. Apr. Mcduffy
25/00088 Elizabeth Denihan v. Michael Gigliotti
25/00228 Marie Arcoleo v. Perfect Body Image, LLC, Alexa "Doe", Taylor Roesel, "John Doe", and Patrick Scomello
25/00473 Diamond Development Properties Corp. v. Edward Bundock, Lisa Purzak, "John" "Doe 2" and "Jane" "Doe 1-2"
25/00628 State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, As Subrogee Of Radhames Delacruz-Infante v. Elvin Loveras-Reyes, a/k/a Elvin Loverasreyes

Kings County

SUPREME COURT

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions.

Please see the Justices' information sheets for further instruction regarding Uniform IAS practices and procedures.

SUPREME COURT

Part Assignments/RJI

Intake Part

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1592
Room 282

TUESDAY, JULY 8

534858/24 Afful v. American United Transportation, Inc. Et Al
503851/24 Ali v. East Coast Petroleum Inc. Et Al
501668/25 Aponte v. Harley
506747/25 Beaton v. Dr. Susan Smith McKinney Nursing And Rehabilitation Center
510580/24 Bell v. NYCHA
505508/25 Brown v. Thomas
510976/25 Bruno v. Kingstone Ins. Co.
504237/25 Campbell v. Castro
501270/25 Clark v. Pasquale Quaratino, Inc. Et Al
501994/25 Coston v. Dua Md
530934/24 Crosdale v. Royal Coach Lines, Inc. Et Al
522323/23 Deleg v. Coney Island Associates Phase 2 LLC Et Al
508718/25 Deng v. Hartman
509088/25 Desilvio v. Just Consulting Engineering Pllc Et Al
528112/23 Doblas v. All-Ways Elevator, Inc. Et Al
516024/25 Donetzkaya v. The Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
500921/25 Dorgely v. Kuchkarov
506460/25 Elfatrany v. Bueno Sosa
505608/15 Espinal v. NYC
522114/24 Farquharson v. Saidov
518813/24 Fleming v. American Airlines, Inc.
525852/24 Fuji West Funding LLC v. Moreno Valley Lawn Maint D/b/a Professional Landscape Services Et Al
514473/25 Gonzalez v. Y & C Lumber Bldg. Supply Inc Et Al
360/23 Hassan v. Ramiro
505262/25 Holder v. Azbel
513939/24 Jenkins v. Sapkota
505392/25 Kinch v. Singh
505509/25 Kirlew v. Robinson
274/25 Kornits v. Lamm
530864/24 Lage Industries Corp. v. Statewide Bldg. & Const. Corp. Et Al
507421/25 Lee v. Barricella
532691/24 Lin v. Lin
511117/25 Lopez v. Mammadov
510100/25 Louis v. Mussaleen
502890/25 Louz v. Butler
537215/23 Marrero v. NYC Et Al
535206/24 McPherson v. John Doe #1-5
508694/25 Mendes v. Hoda
533000/24 Mironova v. Trump Village Section 3, Inc.
530729/24 Ng v. Rosca Md
518212/24 Nicorob Corp. v. Thrasher
506684/25 Ozdemir v. Wahba
500262/25 Petryshyn v. The Kathleen M. Cuomo-Ficenes Revocable Trust Et Al
511048/25 Philogene v. Paul
515642/24 Pritchard v. Samms
500206/24 Radushinsky v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
504304/23 Rivera v. Livonia Discount Variety Corp. Et Al
513590/25 Roc Funding Group LLC v. K-C Produce
504360/25 Rodriguez v. Elegance Event Space LLC Et Al
532381/24 Sabbagh v. Gotham Ready Mix LLC Et Al
518875/24 Sanchez v. 25c LLC Et Al
505078/25 Schwartz v. Schwartz
509705/24 Sherwinter v. Brooks
513041/25 Silva v. Williams
501423/25 Simpson v. Darkwa
502080/25 Steede v. Kurland
501203/25 Tkemaladze v. Abdulaziz
534830/24 Ungar v. Henderson
521138/24 Vargas v. Uniware Houseware Corp. Et Al
511692/22 Williams v. Clarke
511715/24 Williams v. Tek Beverage Distributors Inc. Et Al
535455/24 Zhang v. Dierks Heating Co., Inc. Et Al
527801/24 Zhong Xing Produce Inc v. Liu

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

525121/22 1110-1130 Stadium Owners Corp. v. Bronx 1 LLC
519757/23 93 Ralph L.L.C. v. 91 Ralph LLC Et Al
503338/25 Abdelmeguid v. Nkrumah
525047/24 Ameno v. Diocese of Bklyn. Et Al
516399/24 Anderson v. Seregina
500355/25 Antonsanti v. Cactus Hldgs.
527503/24 Awonike v. Ortiz
527517/24 Balestra v. Wonderfield LLC Et Al
503240/25 Bravo-Gil v. Edge Auto Inc. Et Al
509827/25 Burrus v. Stanley Ave. Preservation LLC Et Al
529610/24 Cabral v. Ye
865/24 Carbone v. Hsbc Bank USA
509276/25 Cianciotti v. Awad
514095/25 Claudel v. Roach
531340/24 Connell v. Lil' Anthony's Pizza Corp. Et Al
535770/22 Cooper v. Bright Start Corp. Et Al
532780/24 Del Angel v. 18 St. Rlty. Co., LLC
514199/24 Deliso v. Chen
504747/25 Diaz v. 3260 Tenants Corp
502632/25 Elhadad v. East 82nd St. Rlty. LLC Et Al
508535/25 Ford v. NYCHA Et Al
504329/25 Gannett Co. Inc v. Roberts Sc Inc
534999/24 Gibbs v. Doe
510090/25 Goodison v. Zeylikman D.M.D
514429/24 Guity v. Coleman
535151/23 Guzman v. Principle Merchants Leasing
509976/24 Haile v. 566 Nostrand Ave. Inc. Et Al
506436/24 Hama v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
523720/24 Hill v. Ortiz
526836/24 Hills-Wilson v. Broomfield
530165/24 Holliday v. Tiban Moposita
516234/23 Jean Senat v. Waterton
529222/24 Jean-Louis v. Crescent Car & Limo Inc Et Al
502189/25 Jeffery v. Allen
527113/22 Jerome v. Derissaint
513072/24 Juan Alberto Sicajau Guarcax A/k/a Alberto Mendoza v. Elenani
500599/25 Juca Matute v. 888 Atlantic Prop. Owner
508397/25 Karta v. A. R. Fuels, Inc. Et Al
531361/24 Khorbaladze v. Kuang
528341/24 Lambert v. Wright
531669/24 Lee v. Paris Jr
512190/25 Liburd v. Castro
511510/25 London v. Flatbush Gardens Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
513435/25 Margolis v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
518138/24 Martin v. Saput Cumes
505933/25 Martinez Ortiz v. Ramsaroop
501518/24 Martinez v. Jean-Jacques
502610/24 McBride v. Atlantis Operating LLC Et Al
500927/25 Mejia v. Vernton
519915/24 Morales Munoz v. Dweck
506094/24 Muja v. Verizon Services Corp. Et Al
504331/24 Odom v. Bedera
511254/25 Padovano v. Cartelli
519883/24 Palmer v. American Family Connect Ins. Co.
525122/24 Paucar Sicha v. Classic Builders Corp Et Al
522647/24 Paucar v. Herber
507454/25 Peralta v. Haki
501274/25 Petrosyan v. Jack Studios Inc. Et Al
515052/23 Pointdujour v. Metro Livery Leasing LLC Et Al
508267/25 Roa v. Chambers
504801/25 Silverline Services, Inc. v. Bern Rlty.
519919/23 Smith v. Ramirez
509519/25 Thomas v. Hansen
528532/24 Uddin v. Orion Contruction Painting
524938/24 Uvaydov v. Paukman
507893/25 Williams v. Catalano
509952/25 Yakubova v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
533533/24 Zokirov v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

530845/24 1133 St. Johns Place v. Horowitz
524495/24 Alexander v. Linden Plaza Preservation L.P. Et Al
504272/25 Billah v. Jo
527674/24 Board of Mgrs. of The 280 Metro. Ave. Condominium v. 280 Metro. Partners LLC Et Al
504659/25 Campoverde Gonzalez v. Croteau
518307/23 Capytal.Com v. Cajun Gypsy Trading Inc D/b/a The Container Guys Et Al
537864/23 Carragher v. Wu
517747/24 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Best Pools & Spas of Florida Inc Et Al
514864/25 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Int'l Institute For Learning Inc. Et Al
531284/23 Chapman v. Romulus
535163/23 Community Mutual Ins. Co. v. Kowalewski
517185/22 Congregation Machne Ger Et Al v. Cohen
520653/24 Crawford v. Samaritan Daytop Village Et Al
501433/25 Doe v. M.S. Sunshine Day Care Center Et Al
506249/24 Duhaney v. Blount
527862/24 Duminika v. Amazon Logistics, Inc. Et Al
534057/24 Escano v. 416 C LLC Et Al
502165/25 Farez Coroneal v. Tseluiko
521501/24 Franceschi v. J. Pizzirusso Landscaping Corp.
505119/25 Galer v. Rosado
504523/24 Ginsberg v. Hammer
516669/24 Gogitidze v. Aliyev
505042/20 Gonzalez v. Samosh
529607/24 Gordon-Green v. Singh
537779/23 Guaman Guncay v. 725 4th Owner LLC
526140/24 Guarcax Saloj v. Cy Empire Corp. Et Al
503141/25 Keybank Nat. Assoc. v. Stakz Party Supplies Corp. Et Al
533741/24 Khujakulova v. Collier
522646/24 Kitsis v. Harway Terrace Inc. Et Al
523691/24 Kitsis v. Krichevsky
510197/24 Lewis v. Infiniti Home Care Inc.
511671/24 Liverpool v. Kilpatrick Md
523493/24 Manse v. Ramirez
532844/24 Meling Yeng v. Target Corp. Et Al
527947/19 Mendez v. Aka Madelon Weider
527463/24 Mercado-Ayala v. 465 East 7th St. L.L.C. Et Al
514083/24 Moore v. Bykovskiy
515294/24 Moseley v. Townhouse Builders, Inc. Et Al
506058/25 Myrtle Mixed Use LLC v. 1157 Myrtle LLC Et Al
510942/19 Nat. Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-3 v. Theogene
518991/24 Newton v. 1045 Flatbush Ave. LLC Et Al
514429/25 Okoth v. Klein
503145/24 Parkview Advance LLC v. Overlay Office
523849/24 Phillips v. Blackson
517530/24 Polish-Jones v. Black Maroon LLC Et Al
520695/24 Pranov v. A1 Towing & Collision Inc Et Al
528326/24 Restivo v. Cbj, Inc.
535145/24 Retraido Rodriguez v. Silberstein
509690/25 Reynoso v. Community Care Ems
532898/24 Riviere-Benjamin v. Quinn
534752/24 Samson v. Rosen
516189/24 Santiago-Trinidad v. Beaubrun
510086/25 Saracino Marotto v. Walgreens Boots Alliance
515448/23 Silverline Services, Inc. v. Delta Hvacr Services
525569/24 Siverne v. Wang
511908/24 Smith v. Ava Truck Leasing, Inc., Et Al
505012/24 Spada v. Spada
511596/25 Stein v. Rosenblatt
527464/24 Torres Astudillo v. Board of Mgrs. of President Plaza Condominiums Et Al
512495/25 Ullauri v. Lauros
506931/25 Vergez v. All Star Transportation Services Inc. Et Al
502609/25 Waldon v. Teque
533928/24 Whidbee v. Parekh
507129/23 White Cap v. Rise Concrete LLC Et Al
507095/23 Willis v. Remzi Md
506572/25 Zeng v. Pollack

Part ADR-COMM

Justice Richard Montelione
360 Adams Street
Phone 718-500-4012
Courtroom 574

TUESDAY, JULY 8

517613/23 Tinson v. High Grade Coffee Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

521858/24 Weisshaus v. Maxon Furniture Inc. Et Al

Commercial Division Part 4

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

TUESDAY, JULY 8

537330/22 1900 Capital Trust II v. Phillip
8013/06 Cedeno v. Gonzalez
515326/24 Columbia Capital Co. v. Ll & L Real Estate Dev. LLC Et Al
15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
516846/25 Finnie v. Mamarizayev
528677/24 Golden Bridge v. Macon Nostrand Mgt. Corp Et Al
505038/25 Kai Funding LLC v. Horowitz
532830/22 Pfss 180 Nassau v. Bklyn. Warehouse 180 LLC Et Al
535227/24 Premium Merchant Funding 26 v. Marian Homes, Inc. Et Al
511524/20 Shelwol LLC v. Koidesh
508416/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. 982 Myrtle Gardens LLC Et Al
514605/25 Tarantul v. Tarantul
507484/21 Tra Studio Architecture v. Kpl Projex Inc. Et Al
509990/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 377 Milford Corp. Et Al

Motion

516846/25 Finnie v. Mamarizayev
505038/25 Kai Funding LLC v. Horowitz
514605/25 Tarantul v. Tarantul
507484/21 Tra Studio Architecture v. Kpl Projex Inc. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

511444/23 4924 13th Ave. Funding LLC v. 4924 13th Ave. Corp. Et Al
532343/22 Yellow Jacket Ventures LLC v. Pioneer Projects 887 LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

502383/22 1sharpe Opportunity Intermediate Trust v. 537 Knickerbocker Enterprises LLC Et Al
513303/24 Dan Aizer Et Al v. 99a Somers LLC Et Al
37396/07 Hsbc Bank USA v. Sanderson
511309/15 Neptune v. Bakst
537955/23 Palm Ave. Hialeah Trust v. 525 Sma Owner LLC Et Al
502545/23 Rosenblum v. Cb 60 LLC Et Al
529916/21 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. G&N Legacy Et Al
511972/22 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. 577 Decatur Rlty. Corp. Et Al
522119/24 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. B&Dh Ventures LLC Et Al
7401/09 U.S.Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Morris
504065/19 Velocity Commercial Capital v. Barrett
537586/22 Velocity Commercial Capital v. Rsk Flips 1
522415/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Dayo Properties LLC Et Al
507559/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1113 Jefferson LLC Et Al
535127/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1524 East 12 LLC Et Al
7981/07 Wilmington Trust v. Walker

Commercial Division Part 6

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

TUESDAY, JULY 8

537330/22 1900 Capital Trust II v. Phillip
8013/06 Cedeno v. Gonzalez
515326/24 Columbia Capital Co. v. Ll & L Real Estate Dev. LLC Et Al
15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
516846/25 Finnie v. Mamarizayev
528677/24 Golden Bridge v. Macon Nostrand Mgt. Corp Et Al
505038/25 Kai Funding LLC v. Horowitz
532830/22 Pfss 180 Nassau v. Bklyn. Warehouse 180 LLC Et Al
535227/24 Premium Merchant Funding 26 v. Marian Homes, Inc. Et Al
511524/20 Shelwol LLC v. Koidesh
508416/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. 982 Myrtle Gardens LLC Et Al
514605/25 Tarantul v. Tarantul
507484/21 Tra Studio Architecture v. Kpl Projex Inc. Et Al
509990/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 377 Milford Corp. Et Al

Motion

515326/24 Columbia Capital Co. v. Ll & L Real Estate Dev. LLC Et Al
528677/24 Golden Bridge v. Macon Nostrand Mgt. Corp Et Al
532830/22 Pfss 180 Nassau v. Bklyn. Warehouse 180 LLC Et Al
535227/24 Premium Merchant Funding 26 v. Marian Homes, Inc. Et Al
511524/20 Shelwol LLC v. Koidesh
509346/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. 783 B'way. LLC Et Al
508416/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. 982 Myrtle Gardens LLC Et Al
509990/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 377 Milford Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

511444/23 4924 13th Ave. Funding LLC v. 4924 13th Ave. Corp. Et Al
532343/22 Yellow Jacket Ventures LLC v. Pioneer Projects 887 LLC Et Al

Motion

511444/23 4924 13th Ave. Funding LLC v. 4924 13th Ave. Corp. Et Al
532732/24 Bayport Funding v. Vidanova
513410/25 Dcmz Capital LLC v. 1688 54th LLC Et Al
520670/24 Global Bank v. 420 74th St. LLC Et Al
535316/24 Golden Bridge R2 LLC v. 502 Midwood Inc. Et Al
506368/24 Hof I Grantor Trust 5 v. 142-14 Acquisition Inc. Et Al
532909/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. 423 Flatbush
502129/25 Nmu Securities, Inc. Et Al v. Flushing Rlty. LLC Et Al
527054/23 Shc-Et Funding Ix LLC v. 138 Utica, Inc. Et Al
500762/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Dumont Assets LLC Et Al
503477/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture v. 575 Bedford Ave Hldgs.
516497/22 Signature Roofing, Inc. v. Intemetric Const., Inc. Et Al
522912/24 Td Bank v. 5904 Rlty. LLC Et Al
521410/21 Toorak Capital Partners v. 1476 Myrtle Ave New LLC Et Al
535019/24 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Camrich
508755/25 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Adef Int'l Inc. Et Al
524992/23 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Wright
519604/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 109 Bay 10th St. LLC Et Al
536309/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 8614 Rlty. LLC Et Al
509367/24 Wilmington Trust v. Atereth Equities LLC Et Al
532343/22 Yellow Jacket Ventures LLC v. Pioneer Projects 887 LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JULY 10

502383/22 1sharpe Opportunity Intermediate Trust v. 537 Knickerbocker Enterprises LLC Et Al
513303/24 Dan Aizer Et Al v. 99a Somers LLC Et Al
37396/07 Hsbc Bank USA v. Sanderson
511309/15 Neptune v. Bakst
537955/23 Palm Ave. Hialeah Trust v. 525 Sma Owner LLC Et Al
502545/23 Rosenblum v. Cb 60 LLC Et Al
529916/21 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. G&N Legacy Et Al
511972/22 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. 577 Decatur Rlty. Corp. Et Al
522119/24 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. B&Dh Ventures LLC Et Al
7401/09 U.S.Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Morris
504065/19 Velocity Commercial Capital v. Barrett
537586/22 Velocity Commercial Capital v. Rsk Flips 1
522415/24 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Dayo Properties LLC Et Al
507559/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1113 Jefferson LLC Et Al
535127/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1524 East 12 LLC Et Al
7981/07 Wilmington Trust v. Walker

Motion

535127/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1524 East 12 LLC Et Al

Commercial Division Part 8

Justice Leon Ruchelsman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1604
Room 276

TUESDAY, JULY 8

505255/21 A.T.S. Mechanical Contractors, Inc. v. Fulton Park Site 4 Houses, Inc. Et Al
532555/22 Alberto Dominguez Cedeno v. Three Five Associates LLC
516896/23 Batista Lamar v. NYC Et Al
514477/21 Castillo v. NYC Et Al
508060/20 Charleus v. NYC Et Al
511318/22 Choudri v. R & S Properties Corp. Et Al
508907/20 D'Engelo v. Bklyn. Urban Retail
512065/19 D. v. NYC
535700/23 Dekhtyar v. Zlita
513524/17 Delgado v. NYC
515745/21 Dematteo v. Alexander
523939/17 Dewar v. NYC
511832/21 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
511209/20 Doe v. NYC
534925/23 Doyle v. Cesar
505608/15 Espinal v. NYC
526965/23 Francis v. Varcianna
522408/21 Goddard v. NYC Et Al
507523/20 Graham v. NYC
530131/23 Hughes v. Malek
529304/21 James Marol v. Promesa Residential Health Care Facility, Inc.
517533/21 Jno-Charles v. NYC Et Al
523725/18 Kim v. NYC
505496/21 Leben v. NYC Et Al
502580/22 Lisova v. Munoz
519049/22 Loja Zhicay v. Preserv Bldg. Restoration Mgt. Inc.
519732/23 M v. NYC Et Al
519500/20 M. v. NYC Et Al
532721/22 Mercado v. Tsadilas
511548/22 Molina v. Ray Builders, Inc. Et Al
525213/23 Morla v. NYC Et Al
536315/22 Nolan v. Smartt
527528/19 P. v. Success Academy Charter
536225/22 Phillips v. NYC Et Al
530106/21 Pinheiro v. NYC Et Al
517755/22 Poe v. Igwe
525808/19 Rivera v. NYC
27364/07 Robles v. NYC
516629/21 Rodney v. NYCTA Et Al
506352/20 Romelus v. NYC Fire
507732/22 Schwartz v. 4301 14th Ave. LLC Et Al
508262/22 Senat v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
508989/21 Sender v. 556 Sixth LLC Et Al
504483/20 Sims v. NYC
533575/23 Smith v. Putman M.D.
511839/19 Stewart v. NYC
510482/22 Stewart v. NYC Et Al
520881/21 Sulme v. NYC Et Al
508619/19 Tlaseca v. NYC
529180/23 Tobay Tixi v. Brp Companies Et Al
501270/20 Top Grade Services v. 57 Caton Partners
521760/23 Vargas v. 69-08 Eliot Ave LLC Et Al
510429/23 Walker v. 72 Quincy LLC Et Al
526309/23 Yaglidere v. Cb Livery Leasing LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

533223/22 435 Jefrferson Ave. Corp. v. Bynam
501928/23 Antonio Flores v. Hernandez
501274/20 Aridio v. Bennett
515669/22 Cabanas v. Consigli & Associates
533093/21 Casamayouret v. Bassett III
522940/22 Cruz v. 53 Irving Rlty. Corp.
515728/21 Dobusovskaja v. Bershadsky
509479/23 Encarnacion v. 3235 Emmons Ave. Corp.
505502/22 Figueroa v. Hassan
528025/21 Gifford v. Cb Mother Gaston LLC Et Al
524531/20 Hubert v. 397 E 49th St LLC Et Al
517571/23 Iskandarmena v. Calvachabla
523604/19 Johnson v. Vington
515915/20 Jones v. Heavy Transport LLC. Et Al
500733/22 Kleslin v. Graham
525331/21 Kostiv v. Alon Baruch LLC
509579/21 L. v. Ollech
526936/19 Mendez v. One Sunset Park Condominium
502187/18 Moncion v. 793-801 Bedford Rlty. LLC
525394/18 Outler v. Jaber
505234/23 Rodriguez Vinces v. 219jsp LLC Et Al
500229/25 Salbi v. 304 Flatbush Ave. LLC Et Al
520145/16 Susan Hackshaw v. Sarfraz
522678/22 United Greene LLC v. Go Greene Dev. LLC Et Al
515446/23 Yashaev v. Simon Lichtenstadter And Rachel Kolman

THURSDAY, JULY 10

525700/20 A.L. v. Vinegar Hill Asset
513341/23 Adams v. NYCTA Et Al
503138/24 Akins v. Quiroz
519488/19 Alexander v. Samaritan Village Shelter
507295/18 Alexandre v. NYC
524582/18 Almonte v. NYC
514519/23 Amedee v. American Info Systems
502976/18 Atkinson v. Federation of Multicultural
521296/21 Azard v. Lopez-Vasquez
506309/21 Bennett v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al
520276/18 Bua v. NYC
506047/22 Charles v. Louis
501521/22 Charles v. Renaissance Equity Hldgs. LLC D Et Al
517072/20 Crosby v. Diferdinando
525750/20 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
506001/20 De La Cruz v. Padilla
527850/22 Desilvio v. Zheng
518754/22 Di Nicola v. Clark
522683/21 Dorsey v. Pratt Towers Inc Et Al
533945/22 Etienne v. O'Melia
517944/21 G.T. An Infant v. Ortiz-Tapia
515145/19 Garcia v. Petrosino
534726/23 Greenidge v. Scott Jordan Furniture, Inc. Et Al
521952/24 Guido v. NYC Et Al
510364/22 Hayslett v. Melmo Trans LLC Et Al
511090/22 Heredia v. NYCHA
509519/20 Kopeykis v. Kopeikis
517565/20 Leaneau v. Reliant Transportation Inc. Et Al
520376/18 Lewis v. Davis
504826/23 Lopez v. Lefferts Rlty. Co. Et Al
526543/19 Mann v. Kao
518181/20 Mercado v. NYC
507797/21 Miller v. NYC Et Al
502046/22 Muthiah v. Abreu
533884/22 Navarro v. Flatbush Tower One LLC Et Al
507704/20 Penn v. Mendiola-Llanos
502531/23 Pietryzk v. NY - Presbyterian / Bklyn. Methodist D/b/a NY - Presbyterian Bklyn. Methodist Hosp.
531501/21 Reeder v. Reliant Transportation Inc. Et Al
504274/19 Robinson v. All Around Trans Inc.
531073/21 Sanders v. Workable Ovington LLC Et Al
508770/23 Shafiqul v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al

Commercial Division Part 10

Justice Larry D. Martin
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1634
Room 741

Commercial Division Part 12

Justice Reginald Boddie
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9127-1594
Room 366

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

509839/15 Rosario v. Cummings

THURSDAY, JULY 10

521977/16 2649 E. 23 LLC v. NYC Dept. of
513110/21 465 Atkins LLC Et Al v. Abdur-Rahman
523589/22 Bukobza v. Buzhaker
503331/19 Chang v. Living Space Design
518775/25 Georgiades Brothers Rlty. v. Ellis Equities
517361/25 Linden Boulevard Fitness Group LLC v. Linden Terrace 1 LLC Et Al
502608/25 Newlight Funding LLC v. Hilltop Capital Hldgs. LLC Et Al
502430/25 Newlight Funding LLC v. Hilltop Capital Hldgs. LLC
537241/23 Newtek Small Business Finance v. Torchmark Enterprises Inc. Et Al
534690/24 Ojalvo v. Mathis
507085/24 Oliveira Contracting, Inc. v. Plaza Const. LLC Et Al
516905/25 Park Nat. Capital Funding v. Riverside Abstract
521040/24 Star Water, Inc. v. Jz Investments, Inc. Et Al
527257/23 Twilio Ireland Ltd. v. Sms Consortium LLC And Sms Telecomm LLC

Motion

521977/16 2649 E. 23 LLC v. NYC Dept. of
513110/21 465 Atkins LLC Et Al v. Abdur-Rahman
523589/22 Bukobza v. Buzhaker
503331/19 Chang v. Living Space Design
518775/25 Georgiades Brothers Rlty. v. Ellis Equities
517361/25 Linden Boulevard Fitness Group LLC v. Linden Terrace 1 LLC Et Al
502608/25 Newlight Funding LLC v. Hilltop Capital Hldgs. LLC Et Al
502430/25 Newlight Funding LLC v. Hilltop Capital Hldgs. LLC
537241/23 Newtek Small Business Finance v. Torchmark Enterprises Inc. Et Al
534690/24 Ojalvo v. Mathis
507085/24 Oliveira Contracting, Inc. v. Plaza Const. LLC Et Al
516905/25 Park Nat. Capital Funding v. Riverside Abstract
521040/24 Star Water, Inc. v. Jz Investments, Inc. Et Al
527257/23 Twilio Ireland Ltd. v. Sms Consortium LLC And Sms Telecomm LLC

Med Mal Trial Readiness Part

Justice Ellen M. Spodek
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1620
Room 723

THURSDAY, JULY 10

500327/22 Henry v. Han Y. Paul
525617/19 J. v. Boro Park Ob/gyn
531335/21 Joyner-Taylor v. Grinker M.D.
515781/21 M. v. Duggan
512953/20 Pearson v. Goyal
500411/22 Reynoso v. Gopi M.D.
514900/19 Santana v. Hamilton Park Multicare
515827/22 Sobanski v. Kaufman

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 5

320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 6

Justice Genine D. Edwards
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9799
Courtroom 775

TUESDAY, JULY 8

518098/19 Fenner v. Lutheran Augustana Center

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9

530345/22 Thomas v. Maimonides

THURSDAY, JULY 10

510868/19 617 Brighton LLC v. Intelecom 777, Inc. Et Al
501658/22 822 Greene Ave LLC v. Dubois
508130/20 Bernardez v. Clean Rlty. LLC
362/25 Carrasquillo v. NYCHA - Section 8 Unit
522880/23 Catanzaro v. I Have A Light Inc.
507871/19 Chopra v. Narang
14729/11 NYC v. Arlene M. Tropp 2002 Revocable
994/23 Germain v. NY Erap
526063/20 Gondry v. Lorenzana
524743/19 Hayatt v. Mahbubur Rahman
530716/21 in The Matter of The Application For A Stay of Arbitration of Progressive Casualty Ins. Co. S/h/a Progressive Ins. Co. v. Henvill
512668/23 in The Matter of The Application For An Order Staying The Arbitration Between Hereford Ins. Co. v. Ouaziz
507491/22 in The Matter of The Application of Esurance Ins. Co. v. Burdeynyy
524101/21 in The Matter of The Application of Govt. Employees Ins. Co. To Stay Arbitration v. B.

Court Calendars Continued On Page 18