

## IN BRIEF

### Judge: McKesson Waived Attorney-Client Privilege In Whistleblower Suit

The Boies, Schiller, Flexner team alleging that McKesson Corp. sold illegally-harvested cancer medication may depose the attorney consulted by an in-house lawyer on FDA issues, after a Brooklyn federal court found that the pharmaceutical giant had implicitly waived attorney-client privilege over some communications.

In ordering the additional discovery, U.S. District Judge Nina Gershon pointed out that McKesson—represented by Covington & Burling—had told U.S. Magistrate Judge Steven Tiscione “in the strongest possible language” in 2023 that it would not assert a good faith defense against a whistleblower suit.

After that, McKesson could not submit a summary judgment brief that used testimony from an in-house attorney and three other witnesses to argue that its employees believed that they were properly preparing and pricing certain products, the judge said.

“The fairness that underlies waiver dictates that McKesson cannot now act in direct contravention of its promise,” Gershon said in her Thursday order.

The order allows Boies Schiller to reopen the depositions for the four witnesses and to probe why they believed in the lawfulness of their actions. If they relied on any legal advice, McKesson must produce that information, according to the order.

The witnesses include McKesson’s in-house counsel, who testified that she would regularly contact outside counsel to assist with U.S. Food and Drug Administration issues, according to the opinion.

“Even apart from its prior agreement to not introduce subjective intent evidence, McKesson has waived privilege here over its conversations between its in-house attorney and outside counsel concerning whether its pre-filled syringe program violated the [Federal

Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act],” the judge said.

Since 2018, Boies Schiller has been representing Omni Healthcare Inc. in a suit alleging that McKesson harvested the extra—or “overflow”—cancer medication in single-use vials to repack in pre-filled syringes sold to healthcare providers.

Omni claims that the alleged practice posed a potential contamination risk and argues that McKesson violated the False Claims Act, as medical providers would then bill federal and state medical programs for reimbursement for the repackaged syringes. The FDA considers “overflow” to be free, according to Omni.

The federal government has not intervened in the case.

The case recently moved into the summary judgment stage this fall. But after McKesson served its motion for summary judgment on Omni, Omni moved Gershon to find that McKesson had implicitly waived the attorney-client privilege with four passages in its summary judgment motion.

McKesson argued that the passages didn’t constitute a good faith defense, and were meant to show that Omni couldn’t meet its burden of proof on scienter. The company also moved to withdraw the contested brief.

On Thursday, Gershon said that McKesson didn’t “merely” argue that Omni didn’t have sufficient evidence, but “rather affirmatively introduced witness testimony to show its own good faith belief in the lawfulness of its actions.” She also refused to let McKesson withdraw and resubmit its summary judgment motion.

“McKesson had a full opportunity before Judge Tiscione in 2023 to choose its litigation path,” she said.

When reached for comment on Friday, George Carpinello, a partner at Boies Schiller, said that his team would move quickly with the depositions.

“This is a major development, because it will finally open the doors to what McKesson was actually told about the legality of what they were doing,” he said.

Counsel for McKesson didn’t respond to a request for comment.

—Alyssa Aquino  
» Page 4



Brad Lander was detained earlier this year for allegedly blocking an elevator as he protested the conditions in which immigration court detainees were being held.

## NYC Comptroller Pleads ‘Not Guilty’ to Federal Summons Over Immigration Court Protest

BY EMILY SAUL

NEW YORK City Comptroller Brad Lander pleaded not guilty on Friday to a ticket alleging he obstructed an elevator while attending immigration court in June.

Lander, who is weighing a run for Congress, was detained in September during a protest at the federal building in lower Manhattan. He received a misdemeanor U.S. District Court Violation Notice for the alleged elevator blocking.

The Democrat has said he did nothing wrong and that he was there to oversee whether or not Immigration and Customs Enforcement was complying with a court order that required ICE to provide detainees with meals and sanitary products such as soap and bedding.

Federal prosecutors on Friday said they will not seek Lander’s imprisonment. The violation carries no minimum sentence but has

a maximum carceral penalty of 30 days. The maximum possible fine is \$5,000.

U.S. Magistrate Judge Henry Ricardo of the Southern District of New York on Friday said he would treat the matter as a petty offense and released Lander on the condition that he not violate any other federal, state or local law.

“I will drive very much under the speed limit, your honor,” Lander replied.

His defense attorney, Diedre von Dornum, said her client contested that he had broken any law.

“We don’t believe the facts alleged make out a crime,” von Dornum said, adding she believes her client was engaged in protected First Amendment activity.

She said the defense intends to investigate whether or not the 10th floor of 26 Federal Plaza is currently following the “usual use” portions of the regulations governing the space, as well as the meaning of “unreason- » Page 4

## Judges Suing Over Mandatory Retirement Age Seek Emergency Appeal of Dismissal

BY EMILY SAUL

ATTORNEYS for state court justices seeking to challenge the mandatory judicial retirement age on Friday took their case to a mid-level New York appeals court.

Justice Robert Miller, Justices Richard Montelione and Orlando Marrazzo on Friday filed for an interim restraining order and

injunction that would prevent Miller from being kicked off the bench at the end of the month. The filing was submitted to the Appellate Division, First Department.

The hybrid article 78 petition and special proceeding appeal an earlier decision from Manhattan Supreme Court Justice Lyle Frank, who dismissed an action challenging the New York State judicial mandatory retirement require- » Page 2



SEC Commissioner Mark Uyeda, right, sits during a fireside chat with the Asian American Bar Association of New York on Dec. 5.

## New York Court System Stresses Rule Change Aimed at Incentivizing Attorneys to Offer Installment Payments

BY BRIAN LEE

NEW YORK court administrators are reminding lawyers about a recently implemented rule change concerning Alternate Dispute Resolution, not wanting attorneys who are unaware to unintentionally run afoul of it.

Effective Nov. 10, attorneys may request arbitration or mediation of a fee, if the attorney’s services were rendered within two years before the request is filed, or the client made a payment within 12 months before the request is filed.

The change broadens access to the Attorney-Client Fee Dispute Resolution Program, expanding the time frame for parties to seek resolution of otherwise eligible fee disputes.

The program’s board of governors pursued the change to assure attorneys or offer clients installment payment options that both parties can access the program’s expedited Dispute Resolution Services, even if more than two years elapsed from the date when the client last received services from the attorney.

The board is hoping the change will incentivize attorneys to offer clients installment payment plans and thereby expand access to legal representation.

An updated version of Part 137 of Rules of the Chief Administrator is available at <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/137.shtml>

@ Brian Lee can be reached at [brian.lee@alm.com](mailto:brian.lee@alm.com).



Marcy Correctional Facility and others are part of WilmerHale’s review.

## ‘System Is Broken’: Legal Observers Weigh In On WilmerHale’s Upcoming Review of New York Prisons

BY BRIAN LEE

WILMER Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr’s review patterns and practices of New York prisons is expected to be published soon, expected to be finalized by year’s end, at which point it will be made public, a state Department of Cor-

rections and Community Supervision spokesperson said.

The WilmerHale review will come in wake of recent separate fatal beatings of two handcuffed men by large groups of correction officers in central New York.

More than a dozen prison guards fatally attacked 43-year-old Robert L. Brooks 11 months » Page 4

## SEC Commissioner Reflects on Career in Fireside Chat

BY ALYSSA AQUINO

SEC COMMISSIONER Mark Uyeda discussed his career, his relationship with SEC Chairman Paul Atkins and the advice he would give to young professionals during a fireside chat with the Asian American Bar Association of New York.

The conversation was moderated by Michael Wu, the Chief Legal Officer of Bath & Body Works, in an

event—hosted at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom’s New York City office—that attracted dozens of people, including both seasoned lawyers and recent grads who are getting their start in the legal industry.

Addressing younger people, Uyeda advised staying in private practice long enough to gain some managerial experience, emphasizing the importance of learning how to be responsible for your juniors. » Page 2

## DECISIONS OF INTEREST

### First Department

**CIVIL PROCEDURE:** Court orders plaintiff to file satisfaction of judgment; levied fines. *Capital One Bank v. Rasch*, Civil Court, New York.

### Second Department

**LANDLORD-TENANT LAW:** DOB violations prohibits landlords from collecting rent. *789 St. Marks Realty Corp v. Blakely*, Civil Court, Kings.

**CRIMINAL LAW:** Court invalidates certificate of compliance for lack of due diligence. *People v. Stinson*, Criminal Court, Kings.

**CRIMINAL LAW:** Court expunges marijuana conviction evidence under statutory scheme. *People v. Velasquez*, Supreme Court, Queens.

**LANDLORD-TENANT LAW:** Service by counsel found proper by court. *Singh v. Dixie Import and Export Inc.*, Civil Court, Queens.

**REAL ESTATE LAW:** Lack of compliance with RPAPL notice requirements allows court to dismiss action. *The Bank of New York v. Gargiulo*, Supreme Court, Nassau.

### U.S. Courts

**TAX:** IRC §6213(a) deadline is non-judicial; panel remands for equitable tolling review. *Buller v. Comm’r of Internal Revenue*, 2d. Cir.

**CLASS ACTIONS:** Court denies class certification in Keurig antitrust suit for lack of typicality. *Huckabee v. Bloomberg LP*, SDNY.

**IMMIGRATION LAW:** Immigration judge must weigh release alternatives in long-term detainee’s bond hearing. *Marroquin v. Genalo*, SDNY.

**SECURITIES LITIGATION:** Securities suit dismissed a second time for failure to plead misstatements or scienter. *In re Qiwi PLC Sec. Litig.*, EDNY.

**DISPUTE RESOLUTION:** Arbitration mandated in lawsuit alleging unpaid overtime, forced lunch deductions. *Diaz v. Wanrong Trading Corp.*, EDNY.

DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17  
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, [nylj.com](http://nylj.com)

## INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....	7
Court Calendars.....	8
Court Notes.....	8
Decisions.....	17
Disciplinary	
Proceeding.....	6
Expert Analysis.....	3
Lawyer to Lawyer.....	3
Legal Notices.....	15
Outside Counsel.....	4
Perspective.....	6
Technology Today.....	5

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

Point Your Career in The Right Direction.

[lawjobs.com](http://lawjobs.com)

Find the right position today.  
Visit [LawJobs.com](http://LawJobs.com) Your hiring partner

ALM.

Click Listen Earn

Stay compliant from the comfort of your own home or office at [CLECenter.com](http://CLECenter.com).  
With new, accredited content updated daily, seamless online tracking and 24/7 access, [CLECenter.com](http://CLECenter.com) makes compliance easy.

Visit [CLECenter.com](http://CLECenter.com) to click, listen, earn - anytime  
or Call A CLE Counselor Today at (800) 348-0466

Keep up with Verdict & Settlement Trends in Your State

Get exclusive access to news-breaking verdicts including:

- Insightful trends in your jurisdiction
- Detailed briefs
- Top weekly verdicts for your practice area

To get started, visit [VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews](http://VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews) or contact the VerdictSearch Sales Team at 1-800-445-6823

ALM.

## New York Law Journal Inside

## Medical Malpractice »3

**Families Still Wait For Change in NY's Wrongful Death Law**  
by Jeff S. Korek

Online

## Court Calendars

**Civil and Supreme Court calendars** for New York and surrounding counties are now **available weeks in advance** at [nylj.com](http://nylj.com). Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. **Only at nylj.com.**

## Employment Law »3

**The Importance of Adequate Procedures For Arbitration**  
by Nicholas J. Pappas and Olivia Roche

## Outside Counsel »4

**The Underused Potential of The Offer to Compromise**  
by Scott Taffet

Online

## Today's Tip

View the special sections online and download today's paper at the Law Journal Download Center. **Only at nylj.com.**

## TECHNOLOGY TODAY »5

**Privacy Law: Mental Health Warnings Confront the First Amendment**  
by Peter Brown and Doron Goldstein

**Why Orrick Backed The LegalTech Fund's New Investment Vehicle**  
by Benjamin Joyner

Online

More Technology columns are archived at [nylj.com](http://nylj.com).

**EvenUp Releases New Product To Monitor Personal Injury Client Medical Care**  
by Benjamin Joyner

**Harvey Receives \$160M Investment From Andreessen Horowitz**  
by Ella Sherman

## Perspective »6

**The Power of Local Roots in a Globalized Legal World**  
by Gary C. Angiuli

## Calendar of Events »7

Online

Submit a legal notice for publication on [nylj.com](http://nylj.com).

## 'Fundamental Resilience' Fueling Firm Leaders' 2026 Outlook

BY JOHN CAMPISI

DESPITE an evolving legal business model landscape, large law firm leaders remain ambitious and expect continued resiliency moving into 2026, even as concerns continue to mount over issues such as private equity incursion into legal and a need to embrace newer technologies, according to a new legal industry survey.

The 2026 "Burning Issues: Confronting Firm Leaders" survey, compiled by McKenna Associates and the BTI Consulting Group, surveyed more than 200 leaders of firms with between 200 and 2,000 lawyers on pressing issues the industry is facing and expected to face in the upcoming year.

Survey participants were asked their views on the most compelling business issues facing law firms, and aside from the typical responses of profitability and growth, the majority of respondents mentioned technology/artificial intelligence, sustainability of antiquated business models that may be impacted by



BTI president Michael B. Rynowecer

private equity, and the war for talent as being noteworthy disruptors. "The number of lateral moves for those seeking greener pastures is outpacing last year, which is both good and bad news according to law firm leaders," the survey notes on the question of talent.

In an interview, BTI president Michael B. Rynowecer said what jumped out in the survey results was the fact that there remains a "fundamental resilience... despite all these concerns and the things that managing partners and

law firm leaders worry about."

"There's still this underlying sense of resilience that they'll get through and it won't be a problem (and) there's some confidence coming from somewhere that 2026, no matter what's out there, is going to be OK," Rynowecer said. "It was surprising to see there's a lot of concern about a lot of things, but it's like they put it in context," he said, characterizing the respondents' general sentiment as, "that's what happens when you run a law firm."

Nevertheless, respondents did highlight a number of burning issues, including the need to further ignite business development and cultivating the next generation of rainmakers.

## Going All In on Innovation

For law firms with more than 800 lawyers, leaders said 2026 priorities include "going all in on innovation," and "using AI to improve the client experience."

And many leaders identified private equity and managed service organizations, the growth of litigation

funding, AI's impact on legal and alternative fee arrangements as some of the main impacts on legal business next year.

"MSOs in the legal industry are here to stay. They have created these structures for some time in the health care industry with positive outcomes and are beginning to help create them in the legal industry, primarily for (personal injury) firms," said one survey respondent. "Many Am Law firms have been approached by PE and some of the dollar figures being tossed around are staggering."

Another survey respondent mentioned the inevitability of third-party investment in law firms in the "relatively near future."

Based on years of doing this survey, Rynowecer said he typically hears common concerns about growth and profit, but what struck him this year was a general sentiment about the fragility of the law firm structure itself.

"There are so many different things coming at it," he said. "Lat-erals are just the tip of the iceberg." The embracing of artificial intel-

ligence is expected to continue into 2026, as numerous survey respondents highlighted the benefits of using AI to streamline routine legal work, driving internal efficiencies and reducing the overall number of human hours required.

Some firms are placing a high priority on better training younger associates on the responsible use of AI in legal.

"When approaching the issue of technology, we asked a general question of their personal view of the overall subject and found that most aspired to 'being a leader in the adoption of new technology, not necessarily the first, but an early adopter, as being critical for their law firm success,'" the survey states.

Issues of talent acquisition and technology upgrades are clearly two reasons why outside capital may be enticing to law firms, as mentioned in the most recent Law Firm Disrupted column.

## Billing Structure Changes

In the survey, leaders at firms with more than 800 lawyers high-

lighted another common issue: the shift away from hourly billing toward value-based pricing.

The topic of alternative fee arrangements, therefore, is one Rynowecer said he and other consultants will likely keep an eye on moving forward, even though he tempered the urgency of that issue.

"I would call it a minor push for flat fees," he said. "However, it is second or third or fourth in the list of priorities [for] corporate counsel."

Rather than putting a high priority on alternative billing methods, more firm leaders are currently more focused on making sure the business "gets where it needs to go," Rynowecer said.

"It is actually very difficult to implement an alternative fee, a fixed fee arrangement," he said. "You have to negotiate, you have to have metrics, you have to have supreme confidence in your law firm."

John Campisi can be reached at [john.campisi@alm.com](mailto:john.campisi@alm.com).

## Preventive Money Laundering and Corruption Rules Erode Under Trump, Legal Experts Say

BY DAN NOVAK

FEDERAL enforcement of laws against white-collar crime has significantly receded under the Trump administration, with regulators and prosecutors pulling back from the front-end rules meant to stop money laundering and foreign bribery before it occurs, legal experts said this week.

The administration is increasingly focused on prosecuting crimes only after the damage is done, rather than enforcing Bank Secrecy Act regulations and other compliance-based rules created to prevent illicit finance, the experts added. The shift has coincided with a retreat in enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, a removal of beneficial-ownership requirements, delays of key anti-money laundering rules, and several high-profile pardons for individuals convicted of money laundering and corruption offenses, the experts said.

"I think traditionally the U.S. has had very strong anti-corruption norms and that applies to busi-



Duke University corporate law professor Rachel Brewster

nesses doing business in the United States and doing business abroad," said Duke University corporate law professor Rachel Brewster.

But with the Trump administration, "there's just a feeling of more comfort with looser corruption norms," she added.

In March, the U.S. Treasury Department's Financial Crimes

Enforcement Network removed beneficial ownership requirements for businesses which were aimed at identifying shell companies able to shield their owners' identities. In July, FinCEN announced it would delay and reconsider a rule meant to prevent foreign money laundering through hedge funds and private equity firms.

FinCEN is also conducting a survey on the costs of anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism financing compliance for non-banks, suggesting further deregulation to come.

The banking industry has praised the moves for removing unnecessary compliance costs, especially on small and medium-sized banks.

University of Houston law professor Jessica Feinstein said the Trump administration has shifted its priorities to prosecuting crime after it's already occurred, rather than enforcing regulatory compliance violations. Anti-money laundering and know-your-customer regulations exist to prevent crimes from happening in the first place, while maintaining public trust in financial institutions, she said.

However, the administration has adopted a broad view of what constitutes a regulatory crime and "ignores the many real negative impacts on markets that flow from a failure to impose real penalties on quote-unquote regulatory crimes," including BSA and FCPA violations,

added Feinstein, a former co-chief of the U.S. Department of Justice's money laundering and transnational crime unit in the Southern District of New York.

For regulations to carry weight, "you are going to have to prosecute some regulatory-type offenses where the harm has not yet happened," Feinstein said. "Otherwise, these regulations have no teeth, really."

Brewster said requiring banks to do proper due diligence on their customers can require significant manpower and impose steep costs. Banks do not want to be in the business of laundering money, but it can be difficult to comply with anti-money laundering regulations, especially for small and medium-sized banks, she added.

"I think with anti-money laundering, it's all cost benefit," Brewster said. "And I think it's often the benefit. However, it is expensive."

Trump's many pardons of individuals, business leaders and politicians tied to corruption also complicate matters, said Feinstein.

The day after his inauguration, Trump pardoned underground

online drug marketplace founder Ross Ulbricht, who was sentenced to life in prison for narcotics and money laundering conspiracy.

Trump in March pardoned three founders of the cryptocurrency exchange BitMEX who had pleaded guilty to violating the Bank Secrecy Act by failing to maintain anti-money laundering and know-your-customer programs. And in perhaps his most high-profile move, Trump pardoned Changpeng Zhao, who violated the Bank Secrecy Act by failed to maintain proper anti-money laundering controls as the CEO of Binance.

The pardons of Zhao and BitMEX founders, as well as of former Nikola Corp. CEO Trevor Milton—who have been convicted of securities and wire fraud—go against the DOJ's stated policy of prioritizing holding people accountable for willful violations of the law, Feinstein said.

The pardons "directly contradict much of what the DOJ is saying," she added.

Dan Novak can be reached at [dan.novak@alm.com](mailto:dan.novak@alm.com).

## The Am Law 25 Pulls Away During Partner Promotion Season

BY DAN ROE

NEW partner class sizes appear to be rebounding in the Am Law 100 after four years of shrinking, according to a five-year Law.com analysis of 30 top 100 firms that announced partner promotions by the first week of December.

The 30-firm cohort saw a total of 657 new partners for the class of 2026, up 9% from last year's total of 604 new partners among the same firms.

However, most of those gains came from Am Law 25 firms: All of the eight top-quadrant firms increased their class sizes going

Big Law Partners Promotion by Year	
New partner elections at 30 Am Law 100 firms	
2021	731
2022	666
2023	613
2024	604
2025	657

into 2026, with a median increase of 19%. Meanwhile, a majority of firms in the lower three quadrants decreased class sizes.

The biggest year-over-year

increases mostly came from the Am Law 25 as well. Latham & Watkins promoted 67% more partners in 2025 compared to 2024, with a total class size of 40. Ropes

& Gray posted an even bigger increase at 75%, upping its class size to 21 partners from 12 in 2024.

Firms further down the Am Law 50 also posted big increases, with Willkie Farr & Gallagher promoting 30 partners this year, up from 19 last year. Meanwhile, Proskauer Rose increased its partner promotion class to 22 partners from 15 partners in 2024.

Five of the 30 firms posted their biggest class sizes in the past five years. McDermott, Will & Schulte recognized 74 new partners this year—its biggest class ever, per an October press release—inclusive of legacy Schulte Roth & Zabel promotions from 2021 to 2024.

Perkins Coie—a firm in merger talks with UK-based Ashurst—named 22 new partners this year, its highest tally since 2021. Other firms naming their biggest classes since 2021 include Polsinelli, Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton and Blank Rome.

Absent from this year's Law.com

analysis is Kirkland & Ellis, which opted not to publicize new partner promotions this year after years of posting the industry's biggest new partner classes. The firm named 205 new partners, a firm record, in 2023.

Dan Roe can be reached at [dan.roe@alm.com](mailto:dan.roe@alm.com).

## Correction

The story "Cornell's Donica Varner Exiting After 4 Crisis-Packed Years as GC," which appeared on page 1 on Dec. 8, inaccurately described the geopolitical events that have escalated tensions at Cornell University and universities nationwide. The updated version can be found online.

## Commissioner

« Continued from page 1

Early in your career, individual effort matters, "but going higher, it's about management," Uyeda said.

He further advised that anyone looking to be a capital markets attorney learn accounting—how to tell the difference between cash and non-cash expenditures, and how to read a balance sheet.

Currently in his second term as SEC Commissioner, Uyeda first joined the agency in 2006 as counsel to then-Commissioner Paul Atkins. That early position also had Uyeda working closely with Hester Peirce, who was also counsel to Atkins.

It's "a bit weird" that 20 years after they all met, they're still "doing the same thing," Uyeda joked.

Both Uyeda and Peirce now serve as SEC commissioners, with Uyeda being the first Asian-Pacific American to serve in such a position, and is the agency's current chairman.

Uyeda's relationship with Atkins is "very different" than with the previous chairman, Gary Gensler, Uyeda acknowledged.

Uyeda himself also briefly served as acting chairman, during the months that Atkins—appointed to the position by President Donald Trump—was waiting to be confirmed.

Uyeda said that there had been no conversations between

the agency and Atkins during that interim period. But after working with Atkins for 20 years, Uyeda had a good idea of what Atkins wanted—it was usually what Uyeda wanted as well, he said.

Atkins' tenure at the SEC has been marked by his vows to "Make IPOs Great Again!"

The topic of going public briefly came up on Thursday, when an audience member asked Uyeda about special purpose acquisition companies, or SPACs. Uyeda said he has nothing against SPACs and that he's more concerned about what has driven people towards SPACs, instead of registering with the SEC.

Have we done something wrong with IPOs? Uyeda asked.

The conversation occurred two days after Atkins delivered remarks at the New York Stock Exchange. There, he said the SEC should reform rules requiring the disclosure of executive pay.

When questioned about the topic, Uyeda noted that some measures taken to limit executive compensation have instead driven up the pay.

"Do you know who looks really closely at [disclosures]? ...other CEOs," Uyeda said.

Alyssa Aquino can be reached at [alyssa.aquino@alm.com](mailto:alyssa.aquino@alm.com).

Questions? Tips? Contact our news desk: [editorial@nylj.com](mailto:editorial@nylj.com)

## Retirement

« Continued from page 1

ments at age 70. After age 70, judges can seek certificates to remain on the bench through age 76. At the age of 76, they must step down.

Miller, who turned 76 this year, will be forced to retire on Dec. 31, his attorneys noted, if Frank's decision is not stayed pending a hearing an appeal.

In his ruling, Frank made clear he was taking no stance on the validity of mandatory retirement laws. Plaintiffs filed the action alleging that mandatory retirement based on age violated enacted portion of state civil rights law.

"There are serious public policy concerns with the current judi-

cial mandatory retirement age scheme," Frank wrote in his ruling. "However, whatever this court may believe regarding the ability of the average justice to perform the duties of their job past the age of 76, the fact remains that the judicial retirement scheme is mandated by our state's constitution, and the court has no authority to override that mandate. The court may be able to add its voice to those urging the New York legislature to reconsider the issue of mandatory judicial retirement ages, but that remains all it has the power to do."

The judges are represented by a team at Morrison Cohen and Aidala Bertuna & Kamins.

Emily Saul can be reached at [emily.saul@alm.com](mailto:emily.saul@alm.com).

Expert Analysis

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

# Families Still Wait for Change In NY's Wrongful Death Law

As New York lawmakers prepare for the 2026 legislative session, Governor Kathy Hochul faces mounting pressure to sign the Grieving Families Act—legislation she has vetoed three times despite bipartisan support and nearly 10,000 petition signatures.

By **Jeff S. Korek**



and victims' families press for fairer compensation to the aggrieved, hospitals, physicians, and economists fear a spiraling economic downturn from out-of-control jury awards.

Pro-legislation groups focus on fairness in an inherently unjust system and its implications when children, the elderly, stay-at-home parents, low wage earners or disabled people are wrongfully killed. When their deceased family members earned little or no income, the

it compensates for love, society, companionship, and protection lost when a loved one dies.

To them, the proposed legislation acknowledges the true depth of emotional loss of parents, grandparents, and others close to the deceased. While money cannot replace loved ones, it may help foster closure.

### Insurers and Health Care Associations Claim Rising Medical and Insurance Costs Threaten the Fragile Health Care Industry.

Governor Hochul has voiced objections to the law's "unintended consequences" of increased patient and consumer costs. Her concerns about earlier versions about the broadly defined "close family members" eligible for compensation and retroactivity have prompted modifications in subsequent versions. Emotional compensation is limited to spouses, domestic partners, parents, legal guardians of minors, and parents or grandparents of unmarried childless adults in the latest bill.

Powerful insurance and medical interests partially explain the popular, bipartisan legislation's vetoes. Large hospitals and physician associations voice concerns about the bill's consequential increased costs to medical malpractice insurance, which they claim will further gut New York's healthcare workforce and patient medical care access.

However, the question remains: How do 48 other states award emotional damages in wrongful death lawsuits without bankrupting the healthcare system?

Two sides of the money coin predict vastly different outcomes for the law's impact.

Those against the bill, like the Greater New York Hospital Association, argue that more damages awards would add to New Yorkers' rising healthcare costs and diminishing Medicaid reimbursements; proponents of the Grieving Families Act, legislators and the Trial Lawyers Association, counter that the current law disproportionately impacts those most

When their deceased family members earned little or no income, the survivors are more likely to suffer financially than families of higher income producers.

survivors are more likely to suffer financially than families of higher income producers. And yet, the emotional devastation of a parent's, sibling's or child's loss does not differ by income level.

### Bipartisan and Public Support for the Legislation Is Not Enough

The bill's proponents have many faces, but one couple stands out: Keri and Dan McManus, farm owners in Hillsdale, lost their three-year old son, Micah, at Albany Medical Center, to what they claim is medical malpractice. The child died of a pulmonary embolism no one diagnosed despite Micah's multiple visits and Keri's persistent test requests for the boy.

Now, the Mc Manuses are leading efforts to pass the GFA, they, like many others, claim is long overdue. They believe the Act honors all lives lost to tortious acts, when

JEFF S. KOREK is the past president of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and currently serves as Senior Trial Partner at GLK LAW.

EMPLOYMENT LAW

# The Importance of Adequate Procedures for Arbitration

Employers often must consider conflicting objectives when deciding whether to include arbitration provisions in their employment agreements. On the one hand, employers may desire to arbitrate disputes with employees in a rapid, inexpensive, and confidential manner. On the other hand, employers must consider whether a court will find the arbitration provision to be enforceable under an increasingly complex and developing body of law.



By **Nicholas J. Pappas**

And **Olivia Roche**

Flores, who has coached for multiple NFL teams, was not required to arbitrate his claims of racial discrimination in hiring against the Denver Broncos, the New York Giants, the Houston Texans, and the NFL pursuant to the NFL Constitution's arbitration provision, to which Flores assented through an employment agreement with the New England Patriots. *Flores v.*

Arbitral tribunals within an industry, or even within the larger organization against which a party seeks to bring a claim, are not in and of themselves fatal to having an enforceable arbitration clause.

*N.Y. Football Giants, Inc.*, 150 F.4th at 182-87. Although the parties did not dispute that the NFL Constitution's arbitration provision applied to Flores's discrimination claims, the Second Circuit agreed with Flores that the arbitration provision lacked protections required by Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) and was, therefore, unenforceable.

Specifically, the court reasoned that the arbitration clause "fail[ed] to guarantee that Flores can 'vindicate [his] statutory cause of action in [an] arbitral forum.'" (Quoting *Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth*, 473 U.S. 614, 637 (1985)). The court found that the arbitration provision contained in the NFL's Constitution did not meet the requirements for enforcement under the FAA because it granted the NFL Commissioner unilateral procedural and substantive discre-

tion over the arbitration proceedings, denying Flores an independent arbitral forum for bilateral dispute resolution. It also failed to specify "the procedure to be used in resolving the dispute," meaning that there would be no way for Flores to predict how the arbitration would be conducted and that he would be at the Commissioner's whim.

Separately, the court found that the arbitration provision was unenforceable under "the effective vindication doctrine," established by the Supreme Court in *Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.* The court reasoned that enforcing the arbitration clause "would require Flores to submit his statutory claims to the unilateral discretion of the executive of one of his adverse parties, without an independent arbitral forum under contract and without a process for bilateral dispute resolution." Accordingly, the Second Circuit affirmed the district court's holding that the NFL would be required to litigate against Flores in federal court. In an Oct. 2025 order, the Second Circuit declined to reconsider its decision. *Flores*, No. 23-1185, ECF No. 200 (2d Cir. Oct. 6, 2025) (Order denying petition for rehearing).

### FAA's Procedural Requirements

One concern driving the Second Circuit's analysis in *Flores* was the NFL Constitution's creation of an arbitral tribunal within the NFL itself. However, the larger and ultimately fatal problem with the NFL Constitution's arbitration provision was the lack of procedural specificity needed to ensure that Flores could effectively vindicate his rights through a bilateral dispute resolution process.

Arbitral tribunals within an industry, or even within the larger organization against which a party seeks to bring a claim, are not in and of themselves fatal to having an enforceable arbitration clause. Rather, courts have held that such arbitration requirements may be enforced when the arbitration will be conducted in a manner that can be predicted based on

### Background

In an Aug. 14, 2025 opinion, the Second Circuit held that Brian

NICHOLAS J. PAPPAS is a partner and OLIVIA ROCHE is an associate at Dorsey & Whitney, where they are members of the Firm's Trial Department.



# LAWYER TO LAWYER

## FLORIDA ATTORNEY

### LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK

Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

## CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY



**RANDY C. BOTWINICK**  
34 Years Experience





**JAY HALPERN**  
39 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Defective Products
- Slip & Falls
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Maritime
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Wrongful Death
- Construction Accidents

**Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid**

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

**MIAMI** 150 Alhambra Circle  
Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134  
P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

**PALM BEACH** 2385 NW Executive Center Drive  
Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431  
P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

**Toll Free:**  
**1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)**

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | [www.personalinjurylawyer.ws](http://www.personalinjurylawyer.ws)



## Point Your Career In The Right Direction.

Take the first step towards success with lawjobs.com. We provide:

- A free, fully customizable and searchable job database
- Expert resume and cover letter builder
- Confidential resume posting options and tracking of resume views
- Instant Job Alerts based on your preferences

**lawjobs.com**

Find the right position today. Visit [LawJobs.com](http://LawJobs.com) Your hiring partner

### OFFICE SPACE FOR RENT

#### GRAND CENTRAL / TIMES SQUARE

Furnished Large Corner Office + Associate File Cabinets + Workstation Available

2 shared conference rooms, pantry, copy room, 24/7 Access, Receptionist, WIFI with backup system

**501 Fifth Avenue at corner of 42nd Street**

Will rent offices separately

Email Inquiries to [Azrillantlaw@sezrillant.com](mailto:Azrillantlaw@sezrillant.com)

### TWO WINDOWED OFFICES

Perfect Location Third Avenue and 50<sup>th</sup> Street

Two Associate Windowed Offices, (\$2,500 each per month), available for sublease January 1, offices are fully furnished and are in our office space.

Services that are included: use of our office services department, as well as 2 conference rooms that can be reserved.

The atrium on the lower level includes a small food court.

**Contact**  
**Henry Macchiaroli**  
212-752-1000  
[hmacchiaroli@eisemanlevine.com](mailto:hmacchiaroli@eisemanlevine.com)

### JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT & COMMERCIAL COLLECTIONS

## ROSENTHAL & GOLDHABER P.C.

- NY Law Journal 4-Time Winner: Best Judicial Enforcement Provider
- Recovery Actions
- Post-Judgment Litigation
- NY, National & International

**Justice isn't Complete Until it's Collected**

**CONTACT US**

631-979-8500  
[robert@nycollections.com](mailto:robert@nycollections.com)  
[www.nycollections.com](http://www.nycollections.com)

### SCHWARTZ, FANG & KEATING, P.C.

#### Offices Available for Sublease

Two fully furnished offices are available for sublease within a small, congenial law firm. Located on Jericho Turnpike in Syosset, this office building offers a professional environment with convenient amenities. An optional workstation is also available. Tenants will have access to two shared conference rooms, a welcoming reception area, and ample on-site parking. Additionally, a deli is conveniently situated on the building's first floor. The offices are available for immediate occupancy at a rate of \$1,500 per month per office, which includes utilities. The optional workstation is available for an additional \$250 per month. For inquiries or to arrange a viewing, please contact Lisa Repanes via phone or email.

**Contact Us**  
Schwartz, Fang & Keating, P.C.  
Syosset, NY  
Two Offices: Approx 9' x 13' each  
Contact: Lisa Repanes  
Tel: 516-488-0100  
Email: [Lrepanes@sfkesq.com](mailto:Lrepanes@sfkesq.com)

**Reach your peers to generate referral business Lawyer to Lawyer For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850, or email [crobertson@alm.com](mailto:crobertson@alm.com)**




**Give Your Clients a Gift with Real Value.**

Grant your clients unlimited access to award-winning legal news coverage with an ALM Gift Subscription.

[NewYorkLawJournal.com](http://NewYorkLawJournal.com)

**Get Started**

Visit [at.law.com/gift](http://at.law.com/gift)



## IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

## Attorneys 'On the Move'

• **Matthew Bobrow** has joined **Fox Rothschild** as a partner in the firm's corporate department. Prior to joining, he was counsel at **Nixon Peabody**.



Matthew Bobrow

• **Negar Tekei** has joined **Eversheds Sutherland** as a partner and global co-lead for the firm's corporate crime & investigations practice. She joins from the **U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York**, where she served as co-chief of the National Security and International Narcotics Unit.

• **Lilia Toson** has been named president and CEO of **Access Justice Brooklyn**. Prior to her new position, she was attorney-in-charge of Civil Citywide Units at the **Legal Aid Society**.

• **Cozen O'Connor** has added international tax attorney **Oz Halabi** as a member of its tax and Israel practices. He joins from **Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer Barat**.

• **Robinson-Cole** has added **John Hunt** and **Virginia Trunkes** as partners in its construction law group. Trunkes rejoins the firm from **OHLA USA** where she was in-house counsel. The firm has also hired **Michael Holzapfel** as counsel in its managed care + ERISA litigation group.

• **Tarter Krinsky & Drogin** has hired **Terence (Terry) K. McLaughlin** as a partner in its litigation group. He was formerly a partner at **Willkie Farr and Morrison Cohen**.

• **Jacquelyn Loftin** has joined **Ruskin Moscou Faltischeck** as a partner. She joins from **LaMonica Herbst & Maniscalco** where she was also a partner.

• **Wiggin and Dana** has hired **Andrew Bauer** as outside general counsel to the firm's emerging companies and venture capital practice. Before joining, he was deputy general counsel at **Pagaya Technologies**.

• **K&L Gates LLP** has added **Tanner Honea** as of counsel to its maritime practice. He joins from **Freehill Hogan & Mahar**.

• **Wendy Heilbut** has joined **Frankfurt Kurnit Klein + Selz** as a partner in the firm's corporate & finance group. Prior to joining, she was with **Heilbut LLP**, which she founded.

• **William Komaroff** has joined the commercial bank **BHI** as general counsel and a member of its executive team. He was previously a partner in the litigation department of **Proskauer Rose**.

• **Fried Frank** has added **John J. Oberdorfer III** as a fund finance partner.

• **Greenspoon Marder** has added **Roy Masuri** as a partner in its litigation and aviation practice groups. He joins from **Arnon, Tadmor-Levy**.

• **Christopher Mendez** has joined **Akerman** as a partner in the firm's corporate practice group.

• **Frier Levitt** has appointed partner **Christopher Mayer** to general counsel of the firm. In addition, the firm has announced the relocation of its New York office to **One World Trade Center**.

• **Withers** has added senior counsel **Frank Lord** to its art and advisory practice. He joins after leading his own art law practice for over five years.

• **Goldberg Segalla** has added **William Knox** as special counsel to the firm's business and commercial group. The firm has also added **Gabrielle Breen** an associate to the firm's civil litigation and trial practice.

• **Thomas Heffernan** has joined **Troutman Pepper Locke** as a partner in its energy transactional practice group. He joins from **Kirkland & Ellis**.

• **Joseph La Ferlita**, a partner at **Rivkin Radler**, has been named a Fellow of the **American College of Trust and Estate Counsel**.

• **Michael A. Turo Jr.** has joined **Polsinelli** as a shareholder in the firm's real estate practice.

• **Rivkin Radler** has added the following associates to the firm: **Gidon Shamir**, **Stephanie Garcia Avelar**, **Emily Carrigan**, **Benjamin Guter** and **Oren Silverman**.

• **Harris Beach Murtha** has added two senior counsel: **Samantha Whitney Bryant** joins the trusts and estates practice, and **Erika Medina** joins the corporate and labor and employment practice groups. Prior to joining, she worked in ERISA compliance for the Employee Benefits Security Administration of the **DOL**.

• **Perkins Coie** has promoted **Dmitry Kagan** and **Shirin Malkani** to partners and **Alicia Matusheski Khaled** to senior counsel.

• **Barclay Damon** has hired **Rebecca Valentine** as an associate in the firm's mass & toxic torts and environmental practice areas.

• **Eversheds Sutherland** has promoted **Gary Ho**, **Jeremy Gove** and **Chelsea Marmor** to partners.

• **Ropes & Gray** has named **Justin Gaudenzi**, **Ani-Rae Lovell**, **Alexa Voskerichian**, **Young Sik Yoon** and **Jeremy Zucker** as counsel.

• **Hunton** has promoted **Danielle Dobrusin** from associate to counsel.

• **Foley Hoag** has promoted **Sarah Main** from associate to partner.

• **Shamila Kara** has joined **Blank Rome** as an associate. She joins from **Goodwin Procter**.

• **Elsberg Baker & Maruri** has elected **Brian Campbell** and **Alexandra Sadinsky** to the firm's partnership, effective Jan. 1, 2026.

—Patricia Kane

## Affirmed: \$11M Arbitration Award Against Allianz

A federal judge in the Southern District of New York affirmed an \$11 million arbitration award in a major win for policyholders against **Allianz Global Corporate & Specialty SE**.

The court also clarified **New York's** relative exposure rule in directors' and officers' insurance disputes.

Policyholder **Flextronics International Ltd.**, now known as **Flex**, is an international supply chain and manufacturing company. **Flex** maintained a \$130 million tower of insurance policies for directors and officers, commonly referred to as **D&O**, liability, according to court documents.

In 2017, **Array Technologies** brought an action against **Flex**, **NEXTracker Inc.** and four individuals for alleged misappropriation of trade secrets, and breach of non-compete and non-disclosure agreements. The parties settled on the eve of trial in 2022 for \$42.75 million.

And then in March 2023, **Flex** initiated an arbitration against its excess-insurers, **AIG**, **Chubb** and **Allianz**.

The arbitration was administered under the **Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association**.

**Flex** reached settlements with **AIG** and **Chubb** in 2024, leaving **Allianz** as the only counterparty.

Attorneys **Gregory J. Phillips**, **Trevor G. Covery** and **Matthew Brandon Fox** of **Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff** represented **Flex**. Counsel for the plaintiff did not respond to a request for comment.

The panel agreed with **Allianz** that the governing law was **New York's** "relative exposure" rule, "under which the insurer and insured allocate settlement costs between covered and non-covered parties, with the insurer bearing the burden to prove the amount that should be excluded from coverage."

Applying this rule, the panel concluded **Allianz** should bear the entire covered loss of \$10.96 million and granted **Flex** pre-award interest of 9% per year.

**Allianz** was represented by **William Joseph Brennan** and **Jason Lee Ederer** of **Kennedys**. Counsel for **Allianz** did not respond to a request for comment.

**Flex** petitioned the **Southern District of New York Court** to affirm the arbitration award.

**Allianz** pushed back, and argued that the panel improperly declined to consider settlement agreements and discussions with the other insurers as evidence for determining loss.

The insurer claimed these settlement conversations were allocation communications, and argued this meant they were not protected and necessary to show **Flex** had accepted less than 100% allocation in prior deals.

**Allianz** contended the arbitration proceeding was therefore unfair.

But the court found the distinction between "allocation" and "settlement" communications has no basis in law.

"The line the panel drew as to the permissible uses of settlement communications was therefore well within its broad discretion over evidentiary matters," the court concluded.

The court also noted it is rare to vacate an arbitration award, particularly based "on a refusal to receive evidence." In fact, the court stated that judicial review is "severely limited" and that "a court must confirm an award if there is 'even a barely colorable justification for the outcome reached.'"

"Because **Allianz** was neither unfairly surprised nor denied an opportunity to present crucial evidence, this case is far afield from those on which it relies ... that vacated awards based on the unfair or abrupt exclusion of pivotal evidence," the court concluded.

In addition, **Allianz** claimed **Flex** did not satisfy the policy's "best efforts" requirement, which the panel concluded **Flex** had done a "reasonable job" to accomplish an allocation." The court concluded **Flex** satisfied the requirement. Further, the court held that **Allianz's** expert lacked credibility and did not present a valid argument to reduce allocation below 100%.

While the panel chose the "relative exposure" rule, which the court defined as "insurer friendly," **Allianz** claimed this was in name only, and the panel actually applied the "larger settlement" rule when awarding the \$11 million.

"Although some language in the award uses terminology from the larger settlement test, the award, fairly read, applied the governing test and did so reasonably," the court concluded. "Vacatur is not warranted."

—Emily Cousins

## Outside Counsel

## The Underused Potential of The Offer To Compromise

In litigation, settlement tools are as essential as trial strategies. One of those tools includes the Offer to Compromise, which is widely used in certain jurisdictions, most notably by plaintiffs' counsel in states like California, Connecticut, and Massachusetts. Yet, it remains a rarity in New York. When it is used here, it is typically deployed by defendants. The underutilization of an Offer to Compromise is striking because when used thoughtfully, it can fundamentally alter the negotiation dynamic and push both sides towards a resolution.

Recently, when determining if an Offer to Compromise would be appropriate in one of our cases, we were reminded of its strategic value. After careful consideration, we concluded that making an Offer to Compromise was the right decision. That process reinforced a broader point: New York litigators should consider this tool. In the right circumstances, it can be not only appropriate but decisive.

What is an Offer to Compromise? An Offer to Compromise is a procedural method that allows either party, plaintiff or defendant, to make a formal written settlement proposal before trial. If the offer is rejected and the rejecting party fails to obtain a more favorable outcome at trial, the rules governing this procedure may impose financial consequences. In effect, it transforms a standard settlement proposal into a rule-driven process with real monetary implications.

When it comes to cost-shifting and fee recovery, the specifics vary by jurisdiction. In some states, the offering party may recover taxable costs, such as filing fees, deposition expenses, and expert witness fees, and in limited instances, post-offer attorneys' fees. New York's framework is narrower; attorneys' fees are generally not recoverable unless a statute or contract expressly allows it. However, New York law permits the recovery of

SCOTT D. TAFFET is a litigation partner at **Lucosky Brookmanan**. His practice focuses on insurance defense and coverage litigation.

By Scott Taffet



certain litigation costs and statutory interest from the date the offer was made, which can still create financial pressure and encourage a timely settlement.

Ultimately, understanding the mechanics of the Offer to Compromise allows New York practitioners to use it more confidently and strategically. Even within New York's narrower cost-shifting rules, it can serve as a practical tool to control litigation expenses, promote fairness, and nudge parties toward resolution before trial.

The underutilization of an Offer to Compromise is striking because when used thoughtfully, it can fundamentally alter the negotiation dynamic and push both sides toward a resolution.

## Other Jurisdictions

Offer to Compromise is a well-established settlement method used across multiple jurisdictions, but its frequency and strategic application vary significantly from state to state. In places like California, Connecticut, and Massachusetts, it is a familiar and frequently used tool, most often by plaintiffs' counsel seeking to apply pressure on defendants. However, the logic is simple: accept a reasonable settlement now, or risk facing cost-shifting consequences if the verdict equals or exceeds the offer.

But in New York, the picture is very different. Offer to Compromise exists, but it's often not used, and when it is, it's typically employed by defendants rather than plaintiffs. This contrast raises important questions about practice and per-

ception. Why do New York litigators hesitate to use a tool that their counterparts elsewhere have embraced? Are they overlooking a valuable strategic opportunity as a result?

## Underutilization in New York

Several reasons contribute to why an Offer to Compromise remains underutilized in New York:

- Lack of awareness or familiarity, as many practitioners do not consider it part of their standard settlement toolbox.
- Perception of limited effectiveness because some assume that judges or opposing counsel will view the tactic as hollow or unlikely to shift settlement dynamics.
- In New York litigation culture, there is often a reluctance to deploy methods that appear unconventional, even when the law provides for them.

These factors combined create a self-reinforcing cycle: because Offers to Compromise are not often used, they are not often considered and therefore remain overlooked.

## A Practical Example

In a recent matter, our team carefully evaluated whether an Offer to Compromise would be an effective settlement method. The case involved a damages claim where the plaintiff firmly maintained a demand of \$300,000, showing minimal willingness to negotiate downward despite ongoing discussions. After assessing the facts, the litigation posture, and the potential trial exposure, we elected to make a formal Offer to Compromise at \$180,000.

While the case ultimately settled for \$250,000, an amount higher than the offer, we believe that making the offer was a decisive turning point in the negotiations. It helped reset expectations, signaled confidence in our position, and made the plaintiff more flexible in settlement discussions. In short, even though the settlement did not land precisely at the offered amount, the Offer to

» Page 6

## Prisons

« Continued from page 1

ago, and 22-year-old **Messiah Nantwi**, in March, at **Marcy Correctional Facility** and **Mid-State Correctional Facility**, respectively.

Those facilities and others are part of **WilmerHale's** review.

"As with any external review, the Department will carefully review and assess the report's recommendations and determine the appropriate path forward within the existing oversight and legislative processes," **DOCCs** said to a question about the magnitude of recommended forms.

The contract, capped at up to \$9.3 million, encompasses the work of corrections experts, the **Falcon Group**. The contract isn't a fixed fee, according to **DOCCs**, which said it chose the elite **BigLaw** firm because of its relevant experience with law enforcement and corrections.

**WilmerHale** served as counsel to the **Working Group on Police Reform and Racial Justice** of the U.S. Conference of Mayors, which released a detailed blueprint for improving policing and promoting equal justice in America's cities.

The firm also served in public roles, including prosecuting cases involving use of force in prisons, specifically the **Southern District of New York's** investigation of **Rikers Island**.

**DOCCs** said **WilmerHale** has substantial experience conducting investigations of and recommending improvements to large institutions, including the city of **Baltimore's** police force.

That the state government is willing to spend close to eight figures highlights the significant undertaking the job entails, said **Boies Schiller Flexner** partner **Jacqueline Kelly**, a former federal prosecutor.

**Kelly** served as the inaugural **Civil Rights Chief** at the **Southern District of New York**, where she supervised and was involved in these types of reviews of state prisons.

"I know from investigating criminal cases within **DOCCs**, and bar none, they were the hardest types of cases to investigate and prosecute," she said.

"It is incredibly difficult to get witnesses to come forward, including incarcerated individuals, because they are afraid of retaliation, but especially staff members," **Kelly** said.

"For instance, where you don't have video—which in the **DOCCs** facilities, those are most because most facilities still don't have body cameras for the correction officers who are working there—there's very, very limited stationary video surveillance. The vast majority of incidents are not reported on video, and so this kind of investigative undertaking is really huge, and I think that contract cap reflects the reality of what this kind of investigation and report really entails."

**Kelly** suspects **WilmerHale** is conducting a version of civil rights investigations typically overseen by the **Department of Justice**.

"As you know, the **Civil Rights Division** and **DOJ** has been decimated, and my guess is that the governor is not comfortable relying on federal law enforcement on this particular issue," **Kelly** said. "And given the highly publicized and incredibly serious conduct that occurred at **DOCCs** facilities in the past year, she wants to make a serious attempt at corrective action."

**Kelly** spoke to the need for corrective action given the tendency for the state's investigatory body for correction officer excessive force, the **Office of Special Investigations**, to close investigations without disciplinary actions or referrals.

"In my office, we learned about the bad conduct through other means, like a private lawyer who was representing the incarcerated individual in a civil lawsuit or something like that," **Kelly** said. "So clearly, there's a lot about the system that is broken, and I think **Gov. Hochul** is doing the right thing by looking outside of state authorities, particularly with respect to excessive force within the prisons."

## No Quick Legislative Fix

There's no one legislative bill that can fix everything, but the attorney representing **Brooks** estate suggested **Hochul** sign a package of legislation meant to improve conditions and provide more oversight.

## Comptroller

« Continued from page 1

ably obstruct[ing]" an egress in the context of a protest.

**Lander** maintains he will take the matter to trial.

Federal prosecutors initially offered **Lander** and others arrested alongside him adjournments in contemplation of dismissal, meaning the case would be dismissed if he followed all laws for a period of time.

**Lander** declined the offer but has not faced any additional charges.

The unusual situation leaves his case without a public docket. "I'm very seriously considering

a run for congress," **Lander** told a press gaggle outside. "These are urgent times... people are looking for leaders who will put their bodies on the line."

**Lander**, who lost a bid for **NYC Mayor** earlier this year, was initially represented by defense attorney **Ron Kuby**. He has since retained **von Dornum**, the long-time former head of the **Federal Defenders of New York** now a partner at **Sher Tremont**.

His legal team also includes **Michael Bass** of **Sher Tremont** and **Harris Trzaskoma** partners **Justine Harris** and **Anna Estevo**.

Immigration courts run as part of the **Justice Department's** Executive office for **Immigration Review**, also known as **EOIR**, where judges

oversee the cases of those living in the U.S. without documentation.

After he took office earlier this year, **President Donald Trump** has directed enhanced immigration enforcement. The court at 26 **Federal Plaza** has since become an epicenter of that effort, with advocates claiming people are detained without due process and subjected to inhumane conditions.

© Emily Saul can be reached at [emily.saul@alm.com](mailto:emily.saul@alm.com).

Have an event to list? Email the details to [kpiane@alm.com](mailto:kpiane@alm.com).

The Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics provides ethics advice to approximately 3,600 judges and justices, as well as quasi-judicial officials of the NYS Unified Court System about their own conduct. Find opinions at: [www.law.com/newyorklawjournal/judicial-ethics-opinions](http://www.law.com/newyorklawjournal/judicial-ethics-opinions)

## READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

Send decisions of interest to [decisions@alm.com](mailto:decisions@alm.com)

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 347-227-3382, e-mail [reprints@alm.com](mailto:reprints@alm.com), or visit [almreprints.com](http://almreprints.com).

© Brian Lee can be reached at [brian.lee@alm.com](mailto:brian.lee@alm.com).

# Technology Today

PRIVACY LAW

## Mental Health Warnings Confront the First Amendment

*Teens who spend more time on screens are more likely to be unhappy, lonely and depressed.*  
 Dr. Jean Twenge,  
 Professor of Psychology

Protecting children and teens from the potential dangers of excessive screen time has been widely recognized as a societal priority. Responding to widening parental concerns, state legislatures have passed laws attempting to tackle this urgent and growing problem.

Last month, a federal court in Colorado hit pause on the state's new law requiring social media platforms to deliver mental health warnings to minors. In *NetChoice v. Weiser*, No. 1:25-cv-02538 (D. Colo. Nov. 6, 2025), District Judge William J. Martínez granted a preliminary injunction against implementing Colorado HB24-1136, holding that the statute likely violates the First Amendment by forcing private platforms to promote a government-scripted message on a contested public health issue. Judge Martínez's decision stated, "Like traditional media, a social media platform is entitled to heightened First Amendment protection where it is engaged in expressive activity."

The ruling is a significant win for NetChoice, a trade association representing tech giants like Meta, YouTube, Snapchat, X, Reddit, and others. It also continues a nationwide trend of courts striking down state attempts to regulate the online activity of youths through interface design mandates, required speech, or editorial constraints.

PETER BROWN is the principal of Peter Brown & Associates PLLC. DORON GOLDSTEIN is a partner at Withers.



ADOBE STOCKS

### A Well-Intentioned Law With Constitutional Problems

Colorado passed HB24-1136 with the goal of curbing teens' excessive screen time by requiring social media companies to interrupt minor users with recurring warnings. After 60 minutes of use—and every 30 minutes thereafter—platforms would have to display full-screen messages informing the user that "excessive use of social media may negatively impact mental health," particularly between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m.

Colorado argued the law was a neutral safety measure: a time-based nudge using factual, noncontroversial language. But the court disagreed. Because the warnings delivered state-crafted opinions about adolescent psychology and screen addiction, the court found that the statute crossed the line from regulation to compulsion. The messages were not simply commercial disclosures; they were

policy-laden statements on a current public debate.

NetChoice, for its part, framed the law as unconstitutional forced speech. "Colorado is free to shout its own views from the rooftops," said NetChoice Litigation Center

And when state mandates relate to contested policy or scientific issues, even subtle nudges can cross a constitutional line.

Co-Director Paul Taske. "But forcing websites to adopt Colorado's view is blatantly unconstitutional."

### Expressive Content vs. Least Restrictive Means

At the heart of the ruling was the nature of the speech. While the court agreed that Colorado has a compelling interest in protecting minors, it stressed that the First Amendment does not permit the state to con-



By Peter Brown



And Doron Goldstein

script private platforms to deliver its preferred message about the risks of social-media use. Judge Martínez emphasized that the warnings required under the terms of HB24-1136 expressed the state's own views on contested issues—including how much screen time is harmful and why—and thus triggered heightened scrutiny. "The court is hard-pressed to conclude that such opinions do not pertain to expressive issues of significant social, political, and scientific concern—and not matters of a commercial character," he wrote.

The court also questioned whether the law was truly the least restrictive means to achieve the state's objective. It noted that Colorado could have pursued less intrusive alternatives: for example, the state itself could have provided warnings directly to minors, or it could have encouraged social-media companies to offer such disclosures on a voluntary basis—a path many platforms already take without regulatory coercion. Compelling private platforms to insert government-mandated warnings was thus not necessary to

achieve the state's interest in protecting the mental health of youth.

### Another Warning Shot Against State-Level Tech Regulation

The preliminary injunction issued against Colorado implementing the terms of HB24-1136 adds to a series of successful constitutional challenges targeting state laws that attempt to regulate minors' usage of online services. Courts are increas-

» Page 7

## Why Orrick Backed The LegalTech Fund's New Investment Vehicle

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

AMID a funding boom for legal tech, law firms and other end users have taken on increasingly prominent roles as investors in the legal tech companies that serve them.

That trend continued with the November launch of The LegalTech Fund's second investment fund, which included participation from Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe and McDermott Will & Schulte, alongside legal tech companies, service providers, and investment groups.

For Orrick, the investment represents part of a broader strategy that also includes investing directly in legal tech startups, with the aim of equipping the firm's attorneys with cutting-edge legal technology. The firm previously invested in The LegalTech Fund's initial fund and launched its own legal tech investment fund in 2018 that has backed startups including legal training platform AltaClaro and outside counsel selection and management company Priori. These efforts are complemented by the firm's internal technology development efforts, under the auspices of Orrick Labs.

"It is central to our strategy to provide best-in-class technology to our colleagues and our clients," Orrick chief innovation officer Wendy Butler Curtis told Legaltech News. "Our collaboration and investment with The LegalTech Fund allows us to do that at a scale that we couldn't do on our own."

Given its sole focus on legal technology companies and its emphasis on funding startups from early stages through Series A funding rounds, The LegalTech Fund is positioned to back a large spectrum of legal tech startups. In addition to sheer scale, Curtis added that working with The LegalTech Fund allows the firm to invest in a broader array of market segments than it has done on its own.

"When we invest in companies directly, we're focusing on technology that will directly impact the work that we do at the firm and the services that we provide our clients," she said. "The LegalTech Fund is looking at the market more broadly, including direct-to-consumer products, products that support the plaintiffs bar, so they have an expertise in the broader market."

While the firm hopes to achieve a financial return from its investment in The LegalTech Fund, its primary goal is to provide clients and attorneys with the best tools available as soon as possible. Working with startups allows Orrick to both identify emerging technologies early on and ensure that the products those startups are developing fit the firm's needs as an end user.

"Partnering with early-stage companies, either as a customer or an investor, we're empowered to see emerging technologies, to have input on the company's technology road map and to have deeper insights into really what's possible in such a constantly changing market," Curtis said.

The presence of the firm as an investor can also prove beneficial to both the fund itself and the startups it invests in. The LegalTech Fund cofounder Zach Posner told Legaltech News in November that law firm investors can help identify areas of need and perform due diligence tasks for potential investments, and Curtis noted that the firm can help with tasks such as connecting companies with potential employees in addition to advising startups about what end users need.

"It's really symbiotic, because we think the same way about The LegalTech Fund being very helpful to us in terms of our ability to diligence technology and to understand the market," Curtis said. "Together, we're supporting investors and founders and also the ever-growing need for talent in this market, and bringing the right people to the right companies at the right moment."

Curtis added that the legal tech » Page 7

## EvenUp Releases New Product To Monitor Personal Injury Client Medical Care

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

ON THURSDAY, personal injury-focused legal technology startup EvenUp announced the launch of its new Medical Management Solution, designed to help personal injury lawyers avoid treatment gaps for their clients and ensure continued progress on their cases.

The solution is being launched with a Treatment Check-In Agent, which is designed to communicate with plaintiffs to identify treatment gaps, and will include a number of additional agents that are currently in early access with EvenUp customers.

**Never Draft a Demand Again**

Learn how EvenUp can help you become one of the thousands of firms that together have:

- ✓ \$10B+ in Damages Claimed
- 10,000+ personal injury cases processed

EvenUp announced the launch of its new Medical Management Solution

**What It Is:** The Medical Management Solution is an agentic artificial intelligence system designed to help personal injury attorneys and case managers communicate with clients, medical providers and insurance companies.

The solution is launching with a Treatment Check-In Agent, which can communicate with plaintiffs by phone call and SMS messaging in English and Spanish to identify gaps in medical treatment and the reasons for missed appointments. The agent is designed to build timelines of plaintiffs' treatment histories and plans, identify treatment gaps and missing bills and medical records, and identify recommended or required treatments so advocates are aware of high-value procedures related to their cases.

EvenUp co-founder and chief of legal and product operations Saam Mashhad told Legaltech News that the agent relies on both uploads of medical records and information directly from plaintiffs to determine if they have missed planned or needed treatment.

He added that the company has built a number of additional agents, including offerings for tasks such as provider balance verification, claim opening, coverage liability and policy verification, that are currently available to EvenUp customers in early access. The company aims to roll out » Page 7

# BILLING RATES

BEAT THE COMPETITION WITH OUR SUPERIOR BILLING DATA

While your peers struggle to complete RFPs, you'll be winning business.

Billing Rates from ALM Legal Intelligence is an accurate and growing database of more than 70,000 attorney-specific rates, searchable by practice area, region and biographical information.

Arm your firm with unrivaled data to:

- Assess, benchmark and negotiate billing rates with precision and confidence
- Market strategically and successfully against the competition in your area
- Justify rates to clients using data pulled from peer-firms

GET A FREE SAMPLE REPORT TODAY  
 CONTACT: Phil Flora  
 212-457-7767 | pflora@alm.com  
 almlegalintel.com/ali/billingrates

**ALM. LEGAL INTELLIGENCE**  
 More research. More insight. More business.

## Harvey Receives \$160M Investment From Andreessen Horowitz

BY ELLA SHERMAN

LEGAL tech startup Harvey announced Thursday that it received a \$160 million investment from Andreessen Horowitz and has now reached an \$8 billion valuation. The announcement formally confirms Forbes' October report that Harvey received \$150 million from an Andreessen Horowitz investment.

According to Harvey cofounder and CEO Winston Weinberg, Harvey plans to use the investment to continue its international expansion and product development.

"This year [we] hired teams in Germany, Spain, Canada, Australia and soon to be India, and we have more to come with customers in more than 58 countries," Weinberg said in a statement. "In addition, we want to continue to invest in building products and teams that help our customers succeed, and I really liked this group of investors to help us do that."

Harvey is set to complete its first tender offer for tenured employees as part of the investment, according to a press release.

Harvey has raised at least \$800 million this year in funding. Most recently, Harvey announced in October that it received a €50 million (\$58.6 million) investment from venture group EQT Growth in an extended Series E funding round.

In August, the startup also announced its law school alliance program where its software is being deployed at NYU, Michigan, UCLA, the University of Texas and Notre Dame.

@ Ella Sherman can be reached at ella.sherman@alm.com.

# New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888



Official Publication for the First  
And Second Judicial Departments

150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017

<p>Gina Passarella, Editor-in-Chief of Global Legal Brands</p> <p>Joe Pavone, Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solutions</p> <p>Donald Chalphin, Global Director of ALM Event Sales &amp; Sponsorships</p> <p>Michael Marciano, Acting Bureau Chief</p> <p>Christine Simmons, Deputy Bureau Chief</p> <p><b>Submissions Editors:</b> Jade Lopez, Kristie Rearick</p> <p><b>Reporters:</b> Alyssa Aquino, Ryan Harroff, Brian Lee, Emily Saul</p> <p><b>Art Department:</b> Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel, Ryland West, photographer</p> <p><b>Decisions:</b> Jason Ducena</p> <p><b>Calendars:</b> Patricia Kane, editor</p> <p><b>Production:</b> Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson, Stephen Warren</p> <p><b>Web:</b> Lora Hollien</p> <p><b>Copy Desk:</b> Sean Gossard</p>	<p><b>BOARD OF EDITORS</b></p> <p>Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum, Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton, Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays, Ruth S. Hochberger, Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan, Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston, Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum, Thomas Oliva, David Schulz, Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo, Mark C. Zauderer</p> <p><b>EDITORS EMERITUS</b></p> <p>Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen, Robert B. Fiske Jr., Barry Kamins, Charles G. Moerdler, Herbert Rubin</p> <p><b>ALM:</b></p> <p>212-457-9400 800-888-8300</p> <p>New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958 Legal Notices: 866-305-3058</p>	<p><b>ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT</b></p> <p>Bill Carter, CEO</p> <p>Richard Green, Chief Commercialization Officer</p> <p>Mark Okean, Chief Financial Officer</p> <p>Patrick Fuller, Chief Legal Industry Strategist</p> <p>Erin Dziekan, Chief People Officer</p> <p>Patrice Coughlin, Chief of Staff</p> <p>Jimi Li, Chief Technology Officer</p> <p>Richard Caruso, SVP, Product, Legal</p> <p>Josh Gazes, SVP, Operations</p> <p>Gina Passarella, SVP, Content, Legal</p> <p><b>READER'S SERVICES</b></p> <p>For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.</p> <p>For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.</p> <p>Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com</p> <p>To access decisions and articles published in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com.</p>
---	---	---

## ALM.

The New York Law Journal (ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020) is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays by ALM, 150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b) of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions, First and Second Departments, pursuant to authority conferred on them by Section 91(1) and (2) of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts

of New York as a newspaper of general circulation for the publication of legal notices in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the New York Law Journal, 150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request.

The New York Law Journal® is a registered trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

## Perspective

# The Power of Local Roots In a Globalized Legal World

BY GARY C. ANGIULI

Staten Island may be New York City's smallest borough, but its lessons loom large. In this original editorial series, *The Staten Island to America Series*, Angiuli & Gentile, LLP explores how the legal, cultural, and community experiences of Staten Islanders reflect challenges and opportunities shared across the United States. From family law to public policy, resilience to reform, the series offers a distinctly local lens on national conversations—proving that sometimes, the clearest view of America starts right across the harbor.

There's a race underway in the legal world—a race to scale, to expand across states, and to saturate every social platform. National presence has become a status symbol. The more offices on the map, the more followers online, the louder the claim to prestige. Yet, in all the noise of expansion and algorithms, something essential risks getting lost: authenticity.

From our corner of Staten Island, I see it every day. Clients don't come to us because of a clever hashtag or a sleek video. They come because someone they trust told them, "Call Gary. He helped me." That's not branding—it's belonging. And belonging is the one thing that can't be automated, outsourced, or scaled.

### What Staten Island Teaches Us

Staten Island is a paradox. It's part of the biggest city in America, yet it has the intimacy of a small town. People know which block you're from, where you went to high school, who your cousin married. When you practice law in a place like this, you're not just an attorney—you're a neighbor, a participant in the life of the community. You go to the same diners, stand in the same grocery line, and, if you're lucky, argue cases before judges who once coached your kid's Little League team.

That proximity breeds accountability. When clients know where you live, when they can stop you on Forest Avenue to ask how their case is going, your reputation isn't a digital abstraction. It's your daily reality. And that reality—being known, being reachable—is the true test of integrity.

### The Danger of Distance

As firms merge, expand, and "go national," distance creeps in. Distance between lawyers and clients. Distance between marketing and meaning. Distance between what a firm says it values and how it actually behaves. It's not that growth is bad. Growth can bring opportunity, resources, and reach. But when the pursuit of scale erodes connection, something vital in the practice of law disappears.

Law is ultimately about human trust. You can have the best technology, the smartest associates, the most efficient systems—but if people don't believe you understand them, none of it matters. A client can tell, instantly, if you're listening out of obligation or out of genuine care. That instinct can't

be faked, and it can't be trained at a corporate retreat.

### Local Isn't Small—It's Strong

Too often, "local" is treated as synonymous with "limited." That's a mistake. Being local doesn't mean being parochial or out of step with modern practice. It means being rooted. And roots are what allow you to grow.

At Angiuli & Gentile, our work has expanded far beyond Staten Island. We handle complex cases, represent clients across New York, and navigate issues that would feel at home in any national firm's port-

folio. But we've never left behind the local lens that shapes how we see the world. Whether it's a family navigating divorce, a worker injured on a construction site, or an elder needing long-term care planning, we approach each matter with an understanding that behind every case file is a family name we probably recognize.

That kind of connection changes the way you practice law. It pushes you to slow down, to explain, to educate. It keeps you humble. It reminds you that justice isn't just something that happens in appellate briefs—it happens in living rooms and at kitchen tables, in conversations between generations.

### The National Lesson

There's a lesson here for firms everywhere. The firms that will endure in the coming decades aren't necessarily the biggest or the loudest. They'll be the ones that remain grounded in the values that drew people to them in the first place—trust, accessibility, and service. Reputation isn't built by reach. It's built by reliability.

We've entered an age when clients can find a lawyer in seconds. They can read reviews, compare fees, and scroll through credentials. What they can't easily discern online is character. That still has to be experienced. And that's where locally rooted firms have an advantage. They don't need to invent authenticity; they already live it.

The firms that forget this lesson may find themselves with impressive metrics but shallow relationships. The ones that remember it will discover that the surest way to grow nationally is to be indispensable locally.

### Technology as a Tool, Not a Substitute

This isn't an argument against technology. In fact, technology can strengthen local relationships when used wisely. At our firm, we embrace digital tools for communication, case management, and client updates. But we use them to complement—not replace—the personal connection. A video conference can save a client a trip, but it should never replace the follow-up call that says, "How are you holding up?"

Beyond negotiation dynamics, the method also aligns judicial goals by encouraging settlement and reducing docket congestion, something courts universally value.

That said, not every case is suited to this approach. The Offer to Compromise is particularly effective in matters with clear liability but disputed damages, or where opposing counsel has been unwilling to engage meaningfully in settlement discussions. It's also a thoughtful consideration when the projected cost of litigation outweighs the potential benefit of trial.

Timing and precision are crucial. An offer that is carefully calibrated, neither too low to be dismissed nor so high as to seem unrealistic, can maximize impact. Likewise, introducing it at the right stage, once both sides have a more accurate

The firms that use technology best aren't the ones that automate empathy—they're the ones that amplify it. They understand that every email, every text, every social post is an opportunity to make someone feel seen. That's the future of law: human connection, enhanced by innovation, not overshadowed by it.

### The Staten Island Standard

If you ask people in Staten Island what they value most, they'll probably tell you it's loyalty. Not blind loyalty, but the kind that's earned through consistency and care.

When we represent someone, we're not just handling a case—we're representing their trust. And in a borough where news travels fast and memories are long, that trust is everything.

It's why we still answer the phone ourselves. It's why our partners show up to community events, sponsor local causes, and make ourselves visible not just in courtrooms, but in coffee shops. You can't buy that kind of reputation. You have to live it, one conversation at a time.

### What America's Lawyers Can Learn

America's lawyers can learn something from places like Staten Island: that scale without soul doesn't last. The firms that build national reputations worth having are the ones that start by earning credibility in their own backyard.

When a lawyer knows their community—its struggles, its stories, its spirit—they're better equipped to advocate for anyone, anywhere. Local knowledge sharpens empathy, and empathy sharpens advocacy. You can't understand the big picture without understanding the small ones that make it up.

In a time when so many professions are being reshaped by globalization and technology, the law still depends on something timeless: human connection. It depends on people believing that when they call a lawyer, they're calling someone who sees them as more than a client. Someone who remembers that justice begins with understanding.

### The Future Is Rooted

The next era of the legal profession will belong to firms that can bridge both worlds—the digital and the human, the national and the local. It's not an either/or. The strongest firms will be those with deep roots and wide branches. They'll use global tools to serve local people and local insight to inform global practice.

For us, Staten Island isn't just where we practice. It's our compass. It reminds us why we became lawyers in the first place: to serve, to stand up, and to stay connected. If the legal world keeps that lesson close, it won't lose its way.

GARY C. ANGIULI is a founding partner of Angiuli & Gentile, LLP. A lifelong New Yorker with deep roots in Staten Island, he has spent more than 35 years helping families safeguard their futures.

## Disciplinary Proceeding

*The Appellate Division, First Department*

### Matter of Robert Jay Hantman, an attorney and counselor-at-law

*Motion No. 2025-05382*

### Appellate Division, First Department

*Webber, J.P., Shulman, Rodriguez III, Pitt-Burke, Higgitt, JJ.*

*Decided: November 2, 2025*

Jorge Dopico, Chief Attorney, Attorney Grievance Committee, New York (Raymond Vallejo, of counsel), for petitioner

Michael R. Perle, Esq., for respondent.

**Per curiam**—Respondent Robert Hantman was admitted to the practice of law in the State of New York by the Third Judicial Department on May 30, 1982. At all times relevant to this proceeding, he maintained a law office within the First Judicial Department.

On April 29, 2022, respondent was charged with Criminal Tax Fraud in the Third Degree, a class D felony; Repeated Failure to File Personal Income and Earnings Taxes, a class E felony; Criminal Possession of Stolen Property in the Fifth Degree, a class A misdemeanor; and Criminal Tax Fraud in the Fifth Degree, a class A misdemeanor. Pursuant to a negotiated pre-arrest agreement, respondent pleaded guilty to Criminal Possession of Stolen Property in the Fifth Degree, in violation of Penal Law §165.40, for his failure to timely file his 2019 New York State income tax return and pay the tax due. Prior to pleading guilty, respondent paid \$168,842.17, the entirety of his remaining New York tax liabilities for 2016 through 2019. After pleading guilty, the remaining charges were dismissed, and respondent was sentenced to a \$250 surcharge and a one-year conditional discharge.

On January 9, 2025, the Attorney Grievance Committee (AGC) served respondent with a petition of charges alleging that respondent violated rules 8.4(b) and 8.4(h) of the New York Rules of Professional Con-

duct (RPC) (22 NYCRR 1200.0). These charges stem from respondent's conviction and underlying conduct. On February 12, 2025, respondent submitted an answer admitting certain facts and denying all of the charges.

The parties now jointly move under Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters (22 NYCRR) §1240.8(a)(5) for discipline by consent and ask this Court to publicly censure respondent. The motion is supported by a joint affirmation containing a stipulation of facts, factors in mitigation, conditional admissions, and agreed-upon discipline, as well as respondent's affidavit acknowledging his admission to the stipulated facts, his consent to the agreed upon discipline, which he has freely and voluntarily given, and his full awareness of the consequences of such consent.

The parties stipulate to the following facts: respondent did not timely file his 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 New York State income tax returns, instead filing each in 2020, while having an outstanding tax liability of more than \$10,000 in each year; respondent did not timely file his 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 Federal income tax returns, instead filing each in 2020, while having an outstanding tax liability of more than \$10,000 in each year; for the tax years from 2016 through 2019, respondent did remit withholding taxes due on his W-2 income, but respondent did not remit amounts owed due to his partnership income (i.e. amounts related to Internal Revenue Service [IRS] Schedule K-1); respondent failed to timely pay in full the income taxes he owed for the tax years 2016 through 2019; respondent's criminal charges were resolved as noted above; prior to pleading guilty, respondent remitted a check to the DTF for \$168,842.17, which covered the entirety of his tax liabilities for the years 2016 through 2019, including tax interest and penalties; and respondent timely notified the Clerk of the Court of his conviction.

Respondent conditionally admits that his actions, as set forth above, violated the four charges alleged in the petition

for violation of RPC rules 8.4(b) (charge one) and 8.4(h) (charges two through four).

The parties cite no factors in aggravation and stipulate to the following factors, among others, in mitigation: respondent was admitted in 1982 and has no prior disciplinary history; he cooperated with both the New York County District Attorney's Office and the AGC during their respective investigations; he has accepted full responsibility for his misconduct and has never attempted to blame anyone else; he has sincerely expressed remorse and contrition; respondent is well-regarded in the legal community and has a positive reputation for honesty and integrity; his misconduct was unrelated to the practice of law; there are no allegations of professional misconduct related to his service of a client; respondent's conduct did not involve any deceptive misconduct; he has performed significant hours of pro bono activities; and there is little likelihood that respondent's misconduct will recur. The AGC received a number of letters attesting to respondent's reputation for good character as well.

Under these circumstances, the parties agree that the discipline to be imposed should be a public censure (see *Matter of Eppner*, 62 AD3d 151 [1st Dept 2009]; *Matter of Everett*, 243 AD2d 75 [1st Dept 1998]).

The parties' joint motion for discipline by consent to impose a public censure should be granted. This Court has consistently imposed public censure in comparable tax-related disciplinary matters where the respondent's conduct was nonvenal, the misconduct aberrational, and the mitigating factors compelling, as here (see e.g. *Matter of Clark*, 60 AD3d 159 [1st Dept 2009]; cf. *Matter of Bernfeld*, 117 AD3d 26 [1st Dept 2014] [suspension for failure to remit taxes warranted where conduct included aggravating factor of substantial discretionary spending during the delinquency period]).

Accordingly, the parties' joint motion should be granted and respondent publicly censured.

All concur.

## Potential

«Continued from page 4»  
Compromise served its intended purpose: it catalyzed productive dialogue and led to resolution.

### Strategic Advantages

Despite being underutilized, the Offer to Compromise provides several meaningful advantages when deployed thoughtfully in New York litigation. It can shorten the lifespan and cost of protracted disputes, bringing parties to the table sooner and creating the right kind of pressure for resolution. By formally presenting a reasonable settlement number, counsel signals confidence in their position and forces the opposition to evaluate trial exposure more realistically.

Need a smart Expert Witness?

ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

www.almexperts.com

888-809-0133

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

Expert Analysis / Technology Today

Death

Continued from page 3  
affected by economic downturns, people of color, seniors, women, and children.

The latter contend that those who need the money most and get the least compensation under the pecuniary damages measure are the historically undervalued, already victims of maternal mortality, crime, and on-the-job injuries. They are more likely to be stuck with bills, lost income, lost love, and long-term depression. Some contend the law today is an Equal Protection violation.

However, the alarm bells about rising medical costs and hard economic times in New York are not meritless. New York state's 2024 fiscal survey report indicates that three in four hospital and health-care respondents operate on margins too slim (or non-existent at 0.0%) to maintain or improve health-care access with skilled workers, technology, research, and facility modernization.

They also cite year after year labor cost increases, doubled from 2019; nursing and other staff shortages (63% seeking more staff hiring); aggressive insurer policies and requirements that erode patient care while adding unnecessary health costs (a projected \$1.3 billion in lost revenue);

and fast-rising medication prices that cut into operating revenue.

Skyrocketing health-care and health insurance costs in the U.S. is a nationwide problem, but the stark disparity in New York's urban vs. rural health-care is particularly troublesome with 58% of rural hospitals on the verge of collapse. The impact of the new legislation may significantly increase that percentage without political action, legal and budgetary changes, and other actions to stem the downfall.

Emotional Distress Damages Awards for Family Members Are Nothing New

Balancing health-care access with rising insurance and pharmaceutical costs is a long-standing, complex issue looking for a solution for decades. Fixing New York's wrongful death statute is not. Common law, case law and statutes already award emotional distress damages to close family members under the "zone of danger" doctrine in personal injury cases.

Emotional damage compensation to those who witness the death or serious bodily harm to "an immediate family member" was established in *Greene vs. Esplanade* (36 N.Y.3d 513 (2021)), when a grandmother suffered emotional distress at seeing her two-year old granddaughter injured after building pieces crashed down on her. Originally,

"immediate family" was only spouses and children (*Bovsun v. Sanperi* (1984) 61 N.Y.2d 219 (1984)).

The courts have often recognized, however, that changing the damages award criteria in wrongful death cases is up to the legislature (*Liff v. Schildkrout* 49 N.Y.2d 622 (1980)). The New York legislature has attempted to do just that.

In addition, the governor's wish for an economic evaluation of the proposed law's impact is admirable but risks speculation and bias. The

state's wrongful death law, which used to limit damages to pecuniary losses.

Since Illinois's 2007 amendment, the liability risk distribution has fallen largely on defendants, which the insurance industry absorbed in recalculated risk assessment and higher premiums. However, quantifying the impact on its health-care system is challenging with so many other contributing factors, including lower federal Medicaid and Medicare reimbursements, tariffs,

thinking from a bygone era (*Woods v. Lancton* 303 N.Y. 349, 355 (1951) surviving partner of same-sex civil union denied bringing wrongful death action, quoting *Funk v. United States*, 290 U.S. 371, 382 (1933)).

Modern families look nothing like they were in the 1800s or 1900s, especially with the prevalence of divorce. Blended families, extended families, de facto parents, relative caretakers, civil marriages and surrogates have expanded the landscape of "immediate family" with special relationships to one another. Rendering some loves worthless is the unintended consequence of keeping the old law on the books unchanged.

The Outcry of Grieving Families Should Not Fall on Deaf Ears

The Mc Manus family is not alone in suffering the double blow of needlessly losing a child to negligent medical care and then being denied just compensation for their unending grief and sorrow. For any New Yorker, this hits doubly hard and perverts the notion of the sanctity of life—all lives.

The legislation has drawn widespread attention due to cases such as the Mc Manus and that of NYPD Officer Mandy Fletcher, whose infant son died when doctors sent him home with a flu diagnosis and Tamiflu. The child later died in the

ER. Fletcher was on duty when she heard her own address for police response over the police radio.

She, like others minimized by the law, calls upon the governor to sign the legislation, citing the lingering third blow six years later—those responsible for her son's death were not held accountable.

Family members speaking out in favor of this bill range from the heirs of siblings, parents, and grandparents lost to medical malpractice to families of the victims of the Buffalo shooting, mesothelioma, and Covid 19 nursing home tragedies. They all have one thing in common—reopened wounds at each veto.

Personal injury law promotes fairness in health care quality, by holding medical providers accountable for negligence and exposing systemic inequalities. Social justice advocates, lawmakers, courts, special interest groups, and voters want fairer laws to curb those rendering essential services to all. However, pushing a troubled health-care system to the brink serves no one.

The Grieving Families Act addresses glaring inequalities, and while economic consequences of the law can be contained by further laws and judicial adjustments, the psychological, physical, and emotional harm resulting from grieving families' inhumane treatment cannot.

Arbitration

Continued from page 3  
the terms of the agreement, the proceedings are not one-sided, and they allow for substantive rights and statutory claims to be heard. *See, e.g., Hooters of Am., Inc. v. Phillips*, 173 F.3d 933, 938-40 (4th Cir. 1999).

The Eleventh Circuit's decision in *Garcia v. Church of Scientology Flag Serv. Org., Inc.*, No. 18-13452, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 32601 (11th Cir. Nov. 2, 2021) illustrates the principle. In *Garcia*, former Church of Scientology members Luis and Maria Garcia brought claims for fraud, deceptive trade practices, and breach of contract against the Church. The Church moved to compel arbitration pursuant to an arbitration agreement within Scientology applications signed by the Garcias providing that disputes would be resolved through "Scientology's Internal Ethics, Justice and binding religious arbitration procedures."

According to the arbitration agreement, this binding religious arbitration would be conducted in accordance with established arbitration procedures of Church of Scientology International, which included "procedures for submitting a request for arbitration to

the International Justice Chief of Scientology and the opposing party and for the selection of three arbitrators to hear and resolve the matter." Each party would designate one arbitrator, and those two arbitrators would select a third panel member, though all arbitrators had to be Scientologists in good standing, and if arbitrators were not appointed within a designated time, they would be appointed by the Scientology Justice Chief.

The district court held, and the Eleventh Circuit affirmed, that this arbitration agreement was enforceable—even though the very entity the Garcias were suing was conducting the arbitration—because it "included enough procedures to give the Garcias some idea of the matters to be arbitrated and the manner of effecting arbitration."

The predictability of the composition of the arbitration panel and the procedures the forum will follow distinguishes *Garcia* from *Flores*. In contrast to the arbitration provision in *Garcia*, the arbitration provision in *Flores* provided "for no independent arbitral forum, no bilateral dispute resolution, and no procedure." 150 F.4th at 183.

This emphasis on procedural predictability may at first blush appear to be a departure from the Second Circuit's prior decision regarding internal NFL arbi-

trations related to the Tom Brady "Deflategate" dispute. In *NFL Mgmt. Council v. NFL Players Ass'n*, 820 F.3d 527 (2d Cir. 2016), the Second Circuit held that the NFL's disciplinary arbitration proceedings (which are governed by the Labor Management Relations Act (LMRA), not the FAA) were permissible.

In *NFL Mgmt. Council*, the court reasoned that the NFL Commissioner properly exercised his authority to serve as the hearing officer

The court also disagreed that the exclusion of the NFL

General Counsel's testimony and denying Brady's counsel access to certain investigative files amounted to fundamental unfairness.

for Brady's arbitration proceedings, because the Commissioner was granted broad discretion to resolve intramural controversies between the League and players in the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between the League and the NFL Players Association. 820 F.3d at 532-34.

While the relevant CBA article governing arbitration may appear to be at odds with the Second Circuit's reasoning in *Flores*, in *Flores* the Second Circuit reconciled this apparent inconsistency by noting that in *NFL Mgmt. Council* it had

conducted only a "very limited" post-arbitration-award review that concerned contractual, not federal statutory, rights. *Flores*, 150 F.4th 172, 186 n.72 (2d Cir. 2025). Although the relevant CBA article in *NFL Mgmt. Council* "[did] not articulate rules of procedure for the hearing, except to provide that 'the parties shall exchange copies of any exhibits upon which they intend to rely no later than three (3) calendar days prior to the hear-

ing,'" *NFL Mgmt. Council*, 820 F.3d at 537, the court held that the NFL provided Brady sufficient notice of the prohibited conduct and potential discipline.

Practical Considerations

Within the Second Circuit and New York state case law, there are many examples of organization-specific and industry-specific arbitration bodies that provide for sufficient procedures and thus maximize the likelihood that a court will find that such arbitration clauses comport with either the FAA or LMRA. These examples include arbitrations according to the Rules and Constitution of the New York Stock Exchange, *e.g., Salvano v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.*, 85 N.Y.2d 173 (1995), arbitrations under the National Association of Securities Dealers Code of Arbitration Procedure, *e.g., Thomas James Assocs. v. Jameson*, 102 F.3d 60 (2d Cir. 1996), and various arbitration procedures set

forth in collective bargaining agreements, *e.g., Germosen v. ABM Indus. Corp.*, No. 13-cv-1978 (ER), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 119092 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 26, 2014).

Post-*Flores*, employers operating within organizations or industries with arbitration requirements analogous to those of the NFL may wish to clarify the procedures that will govern their arbitrations. For example, employers may spell out in as much detail as practicable how the arbitration will proceed such that an arbitrator can simply read the agreement and know how to manage the arbitration proceedings. To the extent feasible, employers may consider providing for an arbitration panel, rather than a single arbitrator, as was the case in *Garcia*.

In *Garcia*, each party appointed an arbitrator and then the two appointed arbitrators selected the third panel member. Having a multi-arbitrator panel may mitigate allegations of arbitrator partiality such as the claims made about the NFL Commissioner in *Flores*. Of course, employers may seek to opt out of such organization or industry arbitration regimes and instead agree upon the procedural rules of an arbitral institution like AAA or JAMS, which have well established procedures and rules to govern arbitration.

Health

Continued from page 5  
ingly skeptical of state legislation seeking to impose speech-related obligations on tech platforms, particularly when those obligations intersect with the First Amendment.

For example, in Ohio, *NetChoice, LLC v. Yost*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00047 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 16, 2025) a law requiring parental consent

wide because the statute failed the strict scrutiny test at every stage of the analysis, and the violations of First Amendment rights constitute irreparable harm per se.

Together, both cases show that even well-intentioned laws can be vulnerable when they compel private platforms to adopt and deliver government messaging or controls. The First Amendment does not just protect against censorship—it also limits the government's ability to script speech. And when state man-

makers may need to rethink how they regulate the mental health risks to young people, shifting from compelled messaging to structural incentives or non-speech-based reforms.

Conclusion

While the context was unique, the Colorado decision reinforces a core principle: the First Amendment prohibits the state from using private companies as the messenger for government-mandated ideas. Just as courts rejected efforts to force platforms to carry certain political content or design their interfaces to steer users, they are now rejecting compelled wellness warnings that embed moral or scientific judgments.

For state legislatures seeking to respond to concerns about teen mental health and social media, this case offers a cautionary tale. Laws that cross into expressive territory—even with the best of intent—are likely to be struck down unless they respect the constitutional limits on mandated government speech.

Orrick

Continued from page 5  
market has continued to grow at a rapid pace and has become increasingly crowded as both new startups and investors from outside the industry enter the field. "It's just extraordinary to see the amount of growth and activity in the space," she said.

Benjamin Joyner can be reached at benjamin.joyner@alm.com.

EvenUp

Continued from page 5  
the additional agents for general availability around January.

**Why It's Needed:** The new offering is designed to address the substantial share of personal injury cases in which the plaintiff suffers a major interruption to their medical treatment. In addition to slowing down physical recovery, such interruptions can hurt the credibility of a plaintiff's legal arguments and can create confusion and friction with plaintiffs' insurance companies.

"Case managers in most personal injury law firms, at least large size ones, will be managing upwards of 100 cases in active management," Mashhad said. "That volume means that follow ups with customers become incredibly painful. Trying to schedule time with them every one or two weeks becomes very, very hard."

By automating these communications, the company hopes to allow attorneys to become aware of issues like missed treatments more quickly, and to make it easier for clients to let their firm know when

they want a status update.

**Under the Hood:** The Medical Management Solution's agents are designed to leverage Piai, EvenUp's proprietary AI system, which was developed with a multi-model approach and trained on millions of medical records and legal documents.

"The way to think about our Piai capability is it's a set of tools and data that we're orchestrating, and every solution will have a different combination of these things," Mashhad said. "As we gather more data and build more capabilities, the system naturally gets better over time."

He added that the new system benefits from work the company had done to refine its process of generating medical chronologies while building other products.

"For this medical management solution, and specifically the medical summaries, what helped us a lot was we've been writing medical chronologies for a very long time, where we had humans review that output, and that data made our medical chronology manifestation of Piai very good," he said.

**The Competition:** A number of

legal tech companies are currently producing tools designed to help plaintiffs firms. Personal injury startup Supio's Document Intelligence platform includes medical document analysis functionality, and Eve's Reasoning Mode is designed to perform litigation analysis and document evaluation.

Mashhad said EvenUp's is set apart by its experience in the space, the size of its case repository and its approach to product development and quality control.

"What really differentiates us is, No. 1, we've been doing this the longest. We are the ones that created the concept of an automated demand," he said. He added that the company was distinguished by "our access to data, the scale of our evaluation infrastructure, the number of features that we have relative to our competitors, the solutions that we offer throughout the life-cycle case, and finally our general attitude towards the application of AI and making sure that we're careful and being good stewards to the work that we're being trusted with."

Benjamin Joyner can be reached at benjamin.joyner@alm.com.

Calendar

TUESDAY, DEC. 9

**NY State Bar (CLE)**  
Charitable Planning for Artists and Creators  
<https://nysba.org/events/charitable-planning-for-artists-and-creators-part-1-a-two-part-series-for-attorneys-and-advisors/>  
1 CLE credit, Virtual

TUESDAY, DEC. 9  
WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

**NY State Bar (CLE)**  
Bridging the Gap  
<https://nysba.org/events/bridging-the-gap-december-2025/>  
16 CLE credits, Virtual

TUESDAYS, DEC. 9, 16

**NY State Bar (Non CLE)**  
Finding Focus: Co-Working Group  
<https://nysba.org/events/12-9-25-finding-focus-co-working-group/>  
Virtual

WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

**NY State Bar (Non CLE)**  
Building Balance: Dec. Self-Care Group  
<https://nysba.org/events/building-balance-december-self-care-group/>  
Virtual

TUESDAY, DEC. 16

**NY State Bar (Non CLE)**  
vLex Fastcase Benefit Overview  
<https://nysba.org/events/12-16-25-vlex-fastcase-benefit-overview-new-york-state-bar-association/>  
Virtual

THURSDAY, DEC. 18

**NY State Bar (Non CLE)**  
Creating Calm with Emotional Freedom Techniques  
<https://nysba.org/events/creating-calm-with-emotional-freedom-techniques-session-16/>  
Virtual

**LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES**  
To advertise Litigation Support Services and to receive advertising information, please contact: **Shawn Phillips**  
**Phone: 215-557-2340 • sphillips@alm.com**

# Court Calendars

## First Department

### APPELLATE DIVISION CALENDAR FOR THE DECEMBER TERM TUESDAY, DEC. 9

**2 P.M.**  
 24/03060 People v. Charlie Casillas  
 24/04352 Pander v. Guildnet, Inc.  
 24/03499 M., Mayra v. Raoul G.  
 24/01596 olan v. State of New York  
 23/03137 People v. Jose Monserrate  
 24/04522 People v. Ali Hijazi  
 24/06451 Russell v. Lenox Hill Hospital  
 23/04248 People v. Everett Gausney  
 24/07361 Korpenn LLC v. One Penn Plaza  
 24/07809 Alphahense, Inc. v. Financial Technology  
 23/02005 People v. Jose Perez  
 24/03898 Wells Fargo Bank v. UBS AG  
 24/06793 Kola v. City of NY  
 22/04871 People v. Jay Smith  
 24/05068 Manhattan Organization v. City of NY  
 25/02669 Vassilev v. Vassilev  
 25/00145 Collazo v. Calvert Lancaster Housing  
 24/01542 People v. Reinaldo Barada  
 25/02876(1) Bowman v. Cosby  
 24/07377(1) N. Bowman v. Cosby

### WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

**2 P.M.**  
 20/02148 People v. Horaceo Gayle  
 24/05643 Perez v. Norman's Cay Group  
 24/06584 W., Gail v. Jasmine C.  
 24/04710 Mendez v. City of NY  
 24/05783 Richardson v. City of NY  
 24/06116 Leon v. Plaza Construction  
 24/06774(1) People v. Kyhree Page  
 24/06788(1) N. People v. Kyhree Page  
 24/01158 Michael Gross Diamonds v. Vaknin  
 25/00277 n.rris v. Integral Yoga Institute  
 24/06574 Avi and Co. NY v. Certain Underwriters  
 23/06131 People v. Carlos Gonzalez  
 24/07743(2) Cohen v. Cohen  
 24/04247(1) Brown v. Hossain  
 21/04239 Century First Credit v. Priority Capital  
 24/04593 People v. Sean Morton  
 20/01850 People v. Manuel Cuevas  
 24/04963 Brittany W. v. Miles-Gustave  
 24/06295 Callan v. RCB3 Nominee  
 24/05366 People v. Jorge L. Andujar  
 24/06872 Brown v. City of NY

### THURSDAY, DEC. 11

**2 P.M.**  
 23/03397 People v. Mata Balogh  
 24/03967 Garcia v. Citymeals-on-Wheels  
 25/00283 S., Jeremy v. Frasley P.  
 24/06462 Medina v. 217 LLC  
 24/04857 Rodriguez v. CB Developers  
 24/04524 N. ational Community v. Midtown Coalition  
 25/03325 E.E. an Infant v. Ebrahim  
 24/07667 People v. Aaron Garcia  
 24/03401 People v. Kingsley A. Subonteng  
 25/03668 McGough v. Phillips & Associates  
 25/01100 Villarreal Garza v. Ramirez  
 24/04591 People v. Jhowali S.  
 25/02914 BH 336 Partners v. Sentinel Real Estate  
 24/04590 Madourie v. Montefiore Medical Center  
 24/07714 Palma v. Woodside Ventures  
 24/00609 People v. Travain Hillaire  
 25/02825 Johnson v. Montefiore Medical Center  
 24/05016 People v. Akim Massie  
 20/04947 People v. Jorge Lazaro  
 25/00205(2) N. Ellison v. Schulte  
 25/02010 N. Wallace v. Fundation Group

\*\*\*\*\*

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

**Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ.**

### WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

**10 A.M.**  
 65864/17 Al International Holdings v. TWC Borrower  
**2 P.M.**  
 81325/23 Enriquez v. Pritchard  
**MONDAY, DEC. 15**  
**9 A.M.**  
 820354/23 Wright v. Thomas

## New York County

### SUPREME COURT Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

**Ex-Parte Motions Room 315, 9:30 A.M.**  
**Special Term Proceedings Unsafe Buildings Bellevue Psychiatric Center Kirby Psychiatric Center Metropolitan Hospital Manhattan Psychiatric Center Bellevue Hospital**

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

### IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)  
 2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)  
 3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)  
 4 Kim: 308 (80 Centre)  
 5 Kingo: 320 (80 Centre)  
 6 King: 351 (60 Centre)  
 7 Lebovitz: 345 (60 Centre)  
 8 Koller: 278 (80 Centre)  
 9 Capitli: 355 (60 Centre)  
 11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)  
 12 Stroth: 328 (80 Centre)  
 13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)  
 14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)  
 15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)  
 17 Hagler: 335 (60 Centre)  
 18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)  
 19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)  
 20 Kaplan: 422 (60 Centre)  
 21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)  
 22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)  
 23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)  
 24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)  
 25 Marcus: 1254 (111 Centre)  
 26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)  
 27 Dominguez: 289 (80 Centre)  
 28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)  
 29 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)  
 30 McMahon: Virtual (60 Centre)  
 32 Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre)  
 33 Rosado: 442 (60 Centre)  
 34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)  
 35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)  
 36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)  
 37 Engoron: 418 (60 Centre)  
 38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)  
 39 Clynes: 232 (60 Centre)  
 41 Moyné: 327 (80 Centre)  
 42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)  
 43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)  
 44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)  
 45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)  
 46 Latin: 210 (71 Thomas)  
 47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)  
 48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)  
 49 Chan: 252 (60 Centre)  
 50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)  
 51 Headley: 122 (80 Centre)  
 52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)  
 53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)  
 54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)  
 55 d'Auguste: 103 (71 Thomas)  
 56 Kelley: 294 (71 Thomas)  
 57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)  
 58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)  
 60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)  
 61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)  
 59 James, D.: 331 (60 Centre)  
 62 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)  
 65 Reo: 307 (80 Centre)  
 MFP/Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre)  
 MMSP-1: 1127B (111 Centre)  
 IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

### PART 40TR JUDICIAL MEDIATION

#### On Rotating Schedule:

13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)  
 13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

#### EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante 106 (80 Centre)  
 ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)

#### SPECIAL REFEREES 60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354  
 75R Burzio: Room 240  
 80R Edelman: Room 562  
 82R Wohl: Room 501B  
 83R Sambuco: Room 528  
 84R Feinberg: Room 641  
 88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324

#### JHO/SPECIAL REFEREES 80 Centre Street

81R Hewitt: Room 321  
 87R Burke: Room 238  
 89R Soching: Room 236

#### SPECIAL REFEREE 71 Thomas Street

#### Judicial Hearing Officers

Part 91 Hon. C. Ramos  
 Part 93 Hon. Marin

#### Supreme Court Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M.  
 60 Centre Street

#### Supreme Court Motion Dispositions

from Room 130  
 60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By) Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

#### Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).  
 ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.  
 SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.  
 WD—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.  
 SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.  
 APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.  
 SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.  
 S—Stipulation.  
 C—Consent.  
 C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.  
 FINAL—Adjournment date is final

### 60 CENTRE STREET

#### Submissions Part TUESDAY, DEC. 9

**Submission**  
 1 100928/24 Cardenas v. Walgreens  
 2 101138/25 Davis v. NYS  
 3 101238/25 Garcia v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene  
 4 101839/25 McCord v. Nypd  
 5 100830/23 Reid v. 1460 Second Rly.

#### WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

**Submission**  
 1 100965/19 Beloved Superstar v. Victor J. Perez

## COURT NOTES

### NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

#### Amendment To Rules of Practice: Amicus Curiae

The Court of Appeals has amended its Rules of Practice relating to amicus curiae relief, effective December 10, 2025 (22 NYCRR 500.23). The Rule has been reorganized for clarity and a provision has been added that provides potential amici with guidance on permissible and impermissible amici arguments concerning legislative intent. That provision states: In matters that involve statutory construction and legislative intent, proposed amici may address the statutory language and canons of statutory construction, including publicly available contemporaneous legislative history. Proposed amici shall not present the views of individual lawmaker(s) outside of the publicly available contemporaneous legislative history to address legislative intent.

Cross-references to the amicus rule in Rules 500.11 and 500.12 have also been updated. A copy of the order amending the Rules is attached. Questions may be directed to the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7700.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Court To Hear Arguments in the Bronx in March

The Court will be hearing argument away from Court of Appeals Hall in Albany for its upcoming March 2026 Session.

On March 10, 11 and 12, the Court will hear argument at the Bronx Hall of Justice, 265 East 161 Street, Bronx, New York. Arguments will commence at 9:30AM. A live webcast of the argument may be accessed through the Court of Appeals website.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions: February 2026 and March 2026 Sessions

The Court has calendared the appeals in *People v. Gaffney* (Luke J.) (APL 2025-00077), *People v. Curry* (Eugene) (APL 2025-00076), and *People v. Billups* (Ricky) (APL 2025-00108) for argument during its February 2026 Session. The Court has set a special deadline for motions seeking to participate as amicus curiae in these appeals. Motions for permission to file a brief amicus curiae in these appeals must be served no later than December 22, 2025 and noticed for a return date no later than January 5, 2026.

The Court has calendared the appeal in *Matter of Bi-Coastal Properties v. Soliman* (APL 2025-00136) for argument during its March 2026 Session. The Court has set a special deadline for motions seeking to participate as amicus curiae in this appeal. Motions for permission to file a brief amicus curiae in the Matter of Bi-Coastal Properties appeal must be served no later than January 27, 2026 and noticed for a return date no later than February 9, 2026.

Questions may be directed to the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705.

## FIRST DEPARTMENT Appellate Term

#### Filing Dates for the January Term

The January 2026 Term of the Court will commence on January 5, 2025.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows: The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before November 13, 2025.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before December 4, 2025.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before December 11, 2025.

## NEW YORK CIVIL COURT

### Housing Part

#### Advisory Council to the Housing Part Seeks Applicants for Housing Court Judgeships Application Deadline Extended to Dec. 10

Hon. Douglas Hoffman (Ret.), Chairperson of the Advisory Council for the Housing Part of the Civil Court of the City of New York, today announced that the Advisory Council has begun the process of soliciting applications for Housing Court Judge positions. In order to encourage interest in applying and

to provide sufficient time for a full review of candidates, **application submission has been extended to December 10, 2025, at 5:00 p.m.**

Housing Court Judges are appointed to five-year terms. They are required to have been admitted to the New York State Bar for at least five years, two of which must have been in an active and relevant practice. In addition, they must be qualified by training, interest, experience and judicial temperament and knowledge of federal, state, and local housing laws and programs. The present salary for Housing Court Judge is \$216,400 per year.

Persons interested in applying to become a Housing Court Judge may obtain a questionnaire from the courts website, Advisory Council - NY Housing | NYCOURTS.GOV. In as much as December 10, 2025, has been established as the deadline date for submission of such applications, Judge Hoffman encourages all applicants to obtain, complete and submit the original questionnaire as soon as possible. Applications can be emailed to [dcajnyhousing@nycourts.gov](mailto:dcajnyhousing@nycourts.gov) and the original mailed to the Office of the Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Adam Silvera, 111 Centre Street, Room 1240, New York, New York 10013.

Dated: November 10, 2025

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

#### Applications Being Accepted for Position of Bankruptcy Judge for the Southern District Deadline is Jan. 5

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for a 14-year appointment as United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Southern District of New York, with a duty station in Manhattan, New York. Applicants should identify in their cover letter whether, in addition to applying for this vacancy, they wish to be considered for either or both of the two vacancies in the Eastern District of New York, one in Brooklyn and one in Central Islip. Applicants who applied for one of the current Eastern District of New York vacancies should email [bankruptcy\\_judge\\_application@ca2.uscourts.gov](mailto:bankruptcy_judge_application@ca2.uscourts.gov) if they wish to also be considered for the Southern District of New York vacancy (these applicants need not submit a separate application for the Southern District of New York vacancy).

The selection process will be confidential and competitive. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability.

The current annual salary of a United States Bankruptcy Judge is \$227,608.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. All applications are screened by a Merit Selection Committee. The Committee will review applicants using the following criteria: legal competence evidenced by experience with complex legal issues; an aptitude for legal scholarship and writing; familiarity with the courts and court processes; commitment to equal justice under the law; characteristics indicative of a sound judicial temperament; a reputation for integrity, good character and ethical behavior; and physical and mental health sufficient to meet the demands and tenure of the position. The Merit Selection Committee will select a limited number of applicants for interview and will conduct appropriate due diligence inquiries into the candidates' backgrounds and qualifications. Upon a majority vote of the Second Circuit Judicial Council, the Council will forward the Merit Selection Committee's Report with any recommendations or comments to the active judges of the Court of Appeals. The selected nominee will be required to satisfy FBI and IRS background investigations prior to appointment.

Basic qualifications for consideration include:

1. Membership in good standing of at least one state bar, the District of Columbia bar, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico bar, and never other than membership in good standing of every bar of which the applicant has been a member; and
2. A minimum of five years of legal practice experience.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at <http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov>.

Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than January 5, 2026.

2 100743/25 Sanchez Cordero v. Traffic Enforcement Dist.  
 3 100909/25 Soares v. Legal Aid Society  
 4 100636/25 Squirewell v. NYC Dept. of Corrections  
**THURSDAY, DEC. 11**

#### Submission Paperless Judge Part TUESDAY, DEC. 9

850260/2430 Broad Owner LLC v. 30 Broad St. Venture LLC Et Al  
 162446/2540 X Owner LLC v. Careandwear II Inc. Et Al  
 655727/25500-512 Seventh Ave. Ltd. Partnership v. The Chetrit Group LLC  
 850239/2357th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Melebrink  
 655503/2161 West 62 Owners Corp. v. Pastena  
 654411/25777 Washington LLC v. 775 Washington Opco LLC  
 653212/23A & L 444 LLC Et Al v. Ankura Trust Co.  
 160167/25 Abney v. Odyssey House, Inc. Et Al  
 152402/18Alexopoulos v. 2 Rector St. (NY)  
 150841/25 Alvarez Rodriguez v. 55 Broad St. Owner LLC Et Al  
 650236/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Arnthia Henriques Et Al  
 650384/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Mohammed Kaba Et Al  
 655906/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Nicot  
 655899/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Phillips  
 650239/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Ramone Parker Et Al  
 650385/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Rodney Augustin Et Al  
 162499/25 Ayinde v. Coronet Cyber Security, Inc. D/b/a Coro Cyber Security Et Al  
 157412/21 Bach v. Columbia Univ. Et Al  
 652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking

152068/22Fraundorfer v. Con Ed. Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al  
 154252/22 Genius v. Alighieri Care Mgt. Inc. Et Al  
 155339/25 Gertner v. Wam Equity Partners  
 152763/22 Giabourani v. Girasole  
 659116/24 Gill v. Kore Ai, Inc. Et Al  
 659452/25 Goldman v. Kurate Music Ltd Et Al  
 152794/25 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. 5th Ave. Wellness Medicine  
 164541/25 Hamway v. Landau  
 164541/25 Hamway v. Sutton  
 850105/23 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Dearborn  
 850201/20 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Ebrahimzadeh  
 850202/20 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Ebrahimzadeh  
 850209/16 Hsb Bank USA v. Wu  
 653531/24 Hub Int'l Northeast Ltd. v. O'Hara  
 653401/22 Jackson v. Major World Chevrolet  
 805046/25 Jeffrey v. Hazel The Beauty Rn  
 160112/22 Jkar Enterprise LLC v. Mir Const. Group  
 156074/22 Kamal v. Muss Dev. LLC Et Al  
 152781/18 Kear v. Metlife, Inc.  
 655350/25 Kuning Inc. v. McElroy  
 157682/25 Law Office of Jack Jaskaran v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al  
 453288/23 Lee v. Saroshan M.D.  
 654615/25 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Hurtado Gamboa  
 154512/23 Lyle v. NYCTA Et Al  
 152912/23 McCoy v. Wallace  
 190154/23 McClure v. Barretts Minerals Inc. Et Al  
 850018/17 Mortgage Stanley Private v. Ceccarelli  
 154500/24 Nieves v. Triumph Const. Corp.  
 654241/25 Null Ph.D. v. Wbai Et Al

157907/22 NYCTL 2021-A Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon As Collateral Agent And Custodian v. 2021 Seventh Properties Corp. Et Al  
 154574/24 Kahny v. Uptown Fitness Partners  
 190261/24 Kay v. Pfizer Inc. Et Al  
 651654/23 Kleddaras v. Atreyu Group LLC Et Al  
 161374/20 Kleinplatz v. 253 West 16 Owners, Inc. Et Al  
 158005/23 Kler v. Riaz  
 158376/22 Kozhukhar v. Gramercy Park Residence Corp. Et Al  
 190083/24 Lang v. Amchem Prod., Inc., N/A/Rhona Pouleang Ag Co., N/A/R Bayer Cropsience Inc Et Al  
 655294/25 Perrella Weinberg Partners Lp v. Aspen Tech., Inc.  
 655858/23 Platinum Maint. Services Corp. v. Cohen Brothers Rly. Corp.  
 659568/25 Rga Gigi LLC Et Al v. Wiedner And Friedman Enterprises Inc. Et Al  
 158632/21 Roach v. NYC Et Al  
 162434/25 Roadway Moving And Storage, Inc. Et Al v. Vox Media  
 157863/24 Rodriguez v. Albanese  
 161442/25 Rodriguez-Rodriguez v. Champagne  
 159862/21 Rondon Jr. v. 25 Park Row Condominium Et Al  
 157777/23 Scarr Pizza v. Hofmann

450517/20 Seggos v. Ancona  
 633510/24 Shahfar v. Franchise 659464/25 Siff Networks Rancho Cordova LLC v. Allstate Sales Group Inc.  
 158055/23 Simpson v. Chassen  
 159032/22 Simpson v. Palm NY Downtown  
 100871/16 Smiry Rly. Corp. v. Bishop  
 159566/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Sahadeo  
 653818/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Treker Medical Supplies, Inc.  
 152083/24 Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Briggs  
 655845/24 Stout Risius Ross v. R-1, Inc.  
 152327/25 Thompson Refined Prods. v. Thornton  
 158563/23 Thoen v. 2211 Rly. Hldgs.

154224/24 Travelers Excess And Surplus Lines Co. As Subrogee of 25 Park Row Condominium v. Rael Maint. Corp. Et Al  
 850201/22 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Zhu  
 159466/25 Victoria Cohen As Executor of The Estate of Antoinette Cohen Aka Toni Cohen Et Al v. Chre Et Al  
 164391/25 Vox Funding LLC v. Pnc Bank  
 152068/19 Welsh v. Showtime on The Piers  
 650080/25 Wilmington Trust v. Walsam New 29 LLC Et Al  
 653874/25 Wsk Renovation Inc v. Centerline Group LLC  
 157089/22 Yang v. Con Ed, Inc. Et Al  
 159243/25 Zazula v. 880 Fifth Ave. Corp. Et Al  
 655922/25 Zhang v. The NY Athletic Club of NYC

### WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10

100334/22 Elenowitz v. Brixmor Prop. Group Inc  
 650318/24150 Amsterdam Ave. Hldgs. LLC v. Siffin  
 651326/17920 Fifth Ave. Corp. v. Zoomtion Fitness LLC  
 157365/23 A&H Installation Inc. v. Milestone Const. Corp. Et Al  
 190349/25 Abrey v. Sumitomo Corp. of Americas Et Al  
 152966/25 Agne v. NYC Educational Const. Fund Et Al  
 652766/24 Afk Inc. v. Steel City Dance & Gymnastics LLC Et Al  
 157753/25 Afk Inc. v. Wells Fargo Bank Et Al  
 659104/25 Alexander Park Mezz v. Kore Fund  
 159127/20 Almonte v. NYU Langone Hosps.  
 655885/25 Arepiti Mvts v. Nonghyup Bank  
 655145/24 Atalaya Capital Mgt. Lp v. Ballard  
 653010/25 Bagelogy, Inc. Et Al v. Kaplan  
 154425/23 Balestriere Plic v. Ray  
 655240/18 Bank of India v. Anaya Gens, Inc.  
 653280/25 Bcp Spe I. v. Synergy Payments Corp. Et Al  
 157375/24 Benitez v. The Howard Hughes Corp. Et Al  
 155584/24 Berry v. Legends Lounge Et Al  
 652197/25 Billd Exch. v. Bottom Line Const. & Dev. LLC Et Al  
 659146/25 Bonme v. H.E.S.C. Co.  
 161473/25 Brown v. NYS Office of Children And Family Services Et Al  
 452416/22 Callahan v. Board of Mgrs. of Int'l Plaza Condominium Et Al  
 160460/25 Campbell v. Pharmicare Us. Inc.  
 158399/22 Cheick Konate And Awa Konate As Administrators of The Estates of Alistata Kante And Adiaratou Kourouma Et Al v. 1829-1835 7 LLC  
 850018/24 Citizens Bank Na v. Li  
 655016/23 Colonial Surety Co. v. Gaytan  
 653371/19 Commercial Tenant Services v. Seiu  
 152715/19 Cordoba v. Justice Ave Tower LLC  
 655461/25 Davidoff Hutter & Citron Lp v. Severinsen  
 651301/19 Davidoff Hutter & Citron Lp v. Siegel  
 164239/25 Dbd Partners LLC Et Al v. Steelhead Acquisition Ee, Inc.  
 160873/21 Desir v. Seck  
 850087/25 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. v. SilverSmith  
 805160/25 Eisman v. Revels M.D.  
 659254/25 Empire State Rly. Op v. Schindler Elevator Corp.  
 160622/23 Farrugia v. Pollack  
 160770/24 Feliz v. Ahmed  
 153438/24 Fernandez Macano v. Kaczowski  
 155413/20 Fernandez v. Planetary Movers



80524921 Frazier v. NYCH&HC And Hospitals Corp. Et Al  
65157920 Grosseto Group Corp. v. Homepeople Corp.  
16185123 Gunther v. 37-39 West 8 Associates L.P.  
65634618 James Davis II v. Richmond Capital Group  
80536021 Juan Carlos Licona-Rubio v. Co 200 E 34th LLC  
80520420 Lea v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al  
80508422 Lin v. NYCH&HC Corp.  
65383024 Ludwig Psv. v. Bizcredit, Inc.  
45048224 Marium Akter v. NYCH&HC Corp.  
80521920 Miles v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al  
16068422 Montrose v. 23rd And 11th Associates  
80523620 Rodriguez v. NYCH&HC  
80522024 Rodriguez v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al  
15356013 Ros v. Allen  
65291123 Shaikh v. West Side Investors LLC Et Al  
15497422 Shashi K.B. Chaddha 2012 Irrevocable Trust Et Al v. Armada  
80508121 Stent v. Greenman Dds  
16065722 Vega Arana v. B'way. Const. Group LLC Et Al  
80503123 White-Gouldbourne v. NYCH&HC Corp.

**Part 39**

**Justice James G. Clynes**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3619

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
15737020 Javier-Burgos v. 545 West 162 Prop. LLC  
15425021 Pagano v. 335 Madison Ave. LLC Et Al  
15943918 Paulino v. Shangri-La Astoria, Inc.  
65437924 Vallabhaneni v. Manna Capital Solutions LLC Et Al

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
15965223 Ariza v. Archstone Builders LLC Et Al  
15458521 Ashley v. Hilton Worldwide Hldgs. Inc. Et Al  
65384323 Biston v. Tvt Capital LLC Et Al  
15929623 Castillo v. C & E Associates  
15544122 Colon v. Chesapeake Owners Corp. Et Al  
15032723 Dolores-Soriano v. NYC Bldg. Mgt. LLC  
15860917 Dorrell v. Za Restaurant Mgt. LLC  
16080720 Dune Road Beach Shack v. Denon  
15875118 Fontana v. 3601 Turnpike Associates LLC  
15607624 Fox v. Hph Chosen  
15135420 Jose v. Axiom Software LLC Et Al  
10052325 Liu v. Hui  
15569924 Luza v. The Future Tenth Associates  
15386922 Meza Caro v. Ditmars 22-11 LLC Et Al  
15538217 Moncho v. Miller  
15127221 Mora v. Hirstan Associates LLC  
15301917 Moronta v. Ziad Food Corp.  
15975721 Optimum Electrical Contracting v. Work of Art Loft Corp. Et Al  
65457221 P1 Finance v. Evergreen Builders & Const. Services, Inc.  
15064725 Payano v. St. Matthew's & St. Timothy's Housing Corp. Et Al  
15168024 Portilla Munoz v. 177th Upper B'way. Hldgs. LLC  
15614220 Posey v. Curran  
15095421 Reith v. Youngwoo & Co., LLC Et Al  
15571224 Smalling v. Rfmch Huguonot Prop. Owners II LLC Et Al  
15752725 Third Church Christ v. Con Ed, Inc. Et Al  
15831720 Torres Ramos v. West 107th St. Associates

**THURSDAY, DEC. 11**  
16048625200 West 58th St. LLC v. Ahmed  
16229925 Ashford v. Khashani  
15376223 B'way. Sky v. Walia  
65952924 Cardy & Edgar Llp v. Mazzara  
15131821 Lorsuro v. Tutor Perini Corp. Et Al  
15772120 Torres Ramos v. 49-51 Chambers LLC

**Part 43**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65321223A & L444 LLC Et Al v. Ankura Trust Company  
65695120 Arad 2 LLC v. Hamo  
65590820 Chen v. 215 Chrystie Venture LLC  
15052020 Lee v. Zeitlin  
65532824 Linda A. Laceywell v. Rocky Mountain Int'l  
65463522 Terra Driggs v. Bernstein

**Motion**

65532819 Linda A. Laceywell v. Rocky Mountain Int'l  
65463522 Terra Driggs v. Bernstein

**THURSDAY, DEC. 11**  
65358725 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Vertical Development  
15514318 Ellington Owners Corp. v. 200 Bradhurst Developers LLC  
65436120 Halden v. Parker  
65419419 Lastinc v. Aecom USA  
15539725 Peary v. De Comics, Inc. Et Al  
15509922 Perra-Campbell Jv v. Amcs Services LLC D/b/a Amcs Environmental Et Al  
65206417 Vxi Lux Holdco S.A.R.L. v. Sic Hldgs.

**Motion**

65358725 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Vertical Development  
15539725 Peary v. De Comics, Inc. Et Al

**Part 40TR**

**Justice Suzanne J. Adams**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3722  
Room 300

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
655436252 West 45th St. LLC v. Alimade  
65369224 Big Real Estate Finance I v. Terra Lake Heights  
15059319 Burks v. Hrces D/b/a C & R  
16123023 Carpio v. Lizblanco  
16163618 Carson v. Stell  
15455623 Cemd Elevator Corp. v. Toll Ge LLC Et Al  
15836823 Cespedes v. Solow Bldg. Corp. Et Al  
65151224 Copper Services v. Pizzarrillo-bc  
15342818 Cover Shipers v. 89th St. Dev.  
65283525 Dcs Liburnus Project Srl v. Gruppo Eft Tecnologie Usa  
15975723 Eastman v. Your Vet 1  
16210424 Edwards v. Rising Ground, Inc. Et Al  
16053123 Fayetteville v. Lacayo  
15851723 Falow v. Perera  
16156321 Feilz v. Manhattan Restorative Health Sciences, Inc. Et Al  
65573825 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2024 LLC v. Bluesea Marine Yacht Refinishing LLC Et Al  
65491425 Fora Financial Asset Securitization v. Beach Towne Const.  
65537225 Fora Financial Asset Securitization v. Mahonec Enterprises LLC Et Al

**Part 44**

**Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3370  
Room 3210

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
36577723 Fasolino v. Falkenstein

15529015 Francisco Rosario v. Gentry Tenants Co-Op  
16033821 Garcia v. The NYCHA  
15479719 Hamm v. Memorial Sloan Kettering  
65965024 Huang v. Chi Restaurant & Bar, Inc. Et Al  
15368916 Kennedy v. Ware  
15597316 Kerr v. Garcia  
16045819 Knight v. Bop Mw Residential Market LLC  
65968024 Levitan v. Bodden Bites LLC D/b/a S'noody's& Foods Et Al  
65085824 Liu v. Liu  
65393125 Marie Plumbing & Heating, Inc. v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al  
15636718 McCormick v. Dipersia  
15960320 Morrilo v. Eustace  
65079425 Mugarbi v. Empire Chesapeake Hldgs.  
15445418 Orellana v. 4260 B'way. Rty. LLC  
15963919 Pierre-Jerome v. Con Ed Co.  
15456916 Pratts v. Wells  
45148817 Quindao Kingking Applied v. Thompson Related Mgt.  
15781419 Raskin v. Ferret Mgt.  
15087024 Republic Scaffold & Hoist Corp. v. Flintlock Const. LLC Et Al  
15257325 Rh 100 West 143 St. v. Thompson  
15108121 Ruben v. Juno Usa  
15073519 Sacta v. 350 Lafayette Owner Lp  
16076321 Sherman v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al

**Part 45**

**Justice Anar Rathod Patel**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3632  
Room 428

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65289419 Bigfoot Ventures LLC v. Seevens  
15715519 Chineseppod LLC v. Seevens  
65084921 Finkelstein v. U.S. Nat. 65358919 Gleissner Family Trust LLC v. Seevens  
65328919 Gleissner v. Ivan Johannes Josefina Maria  
65333819 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65376419 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65319820 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65353124 Hub Int'l Northeast Ltd. v. O'Hara  
65244225 One World Brands v. Project 45 LLC Et Al  
65417223 Saviano v. Rtx Hldgs., Inc. Et Al

**Part 46**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
15744722 Smith v. Luo  
15201820 Solano v. Gramercy 128 West  
15714817 Sosa v. 310 Group LLC  
16100918 Torres v. Civic Center Community Group  
65668321 Transperfect Translations Int'l Inc v. Kraus & Zuchlewski Llp  
16110218 Ucita v. All Services Leasing, Inc.  
15138524 Upstate Hldgs. NY LLC v. Loeffler  
15556625 Ventura v. Maslikhova  
15759018 Villano Jaramillo v. Vs 125 LLC  
65907924 Wang v. Min (mindy) Lu Et Al  
65546025 Whitney v. Jaguar Land Rover North America  
15989720 Zaruma v. 85 Jay St.(bklyn.)

**Part 47**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65205120 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking  
65294225 Perella Weinberg Partners Lp v. Aspen Tech., Inc.  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 48**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 49**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 50**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 51**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 52**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 53**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

# Court Calendars

36586423 Garriot De Cayeux v. Garriot De Cayeux  
36553723 Meehan v. Meehan

**Motion**  
36586423 Garriot De Cayeux v. Garriot De Cayeux

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
65997225 Legum v. Encore Jets  
16318225 Parker v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al

**Part 54**

**Justice Jennifer G. Schecter**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3362  
Room 228

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
8026002430 Broad Owner LLC v. 30 Broad St. Venture LLC Et Al  
65011824 Change Lending v. 777 Partners LLC Et Al  
65671316 Ks Trade LLC v. Int'l Gemological  
65571525 Tremblay v. Wollner

**Motion**  
65011824 Change Lending v. 777 Partners LLC Et Al  
65671316 Ks Trade LLC v. Int'l Gemological  
65571525 Tremblay v. Wollner

**Part 55**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65289419 Bigfoot Ventures LLC v. Seevens  
15715519 Chineseppod LLC v. Seevens  
65084921 Finkelstein v. U.S. Nat. 65358919 Gleissner Family Trust LLC v. Seevens  
65328919 Gleissner v. Ivan Johannes Josefina Maria  
65333819 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65376419 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65319820 Gleissner v. Seevens  
65353124 Hub Int'l Northeast Ltd. v. O'Hara  
65244225 One World Brands v. Project 45 LLC Et Al  
65417223 Saviano v. Rtx Hldgs., Inc. Et Al

**Part 56**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 57**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 58**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 59**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 60**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 61**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 62**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 63**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 64**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
16214224 Assad v. Processa Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et Al  
65519224 Emc Presents Delphi LLC v. Delphi Studios LLC Et Al  
65391024 Ink Real Estate Partners, Inc. v. Goncharov  
65144325 Spring Estates Pm LLC v. Inman

**Motion**

16214224 Assad v. Processa Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et Al  
65519224 Emc Presents Delphi LLC v. Delphi Studios LLC Et Al  
65391024 Ink Real Estate Partners, Inc. v. Goncharov  
65144325 Spring Estates Pm LLC v. Inman

**Part 65**

**Justice Nancy M. Bannon**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3169  
Room 232

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
65922325 Merchant Factors Corp. v. Haddad  
65517525 Rezolve Ai Pte v. Ya II Pn

**THURSDAY, DEC. 11**  
65428524 Gold Wynn Asset Management v. Titanium Asset Mgt. LLC Et Al  
65421724 Halgene Watch Ltd. Et Al v. Alex Capital Fund  
65234225 Krajczewski v. Bolivar Builders LLC Et Al  
15163725 Markland 766 v. 34 East 29 Hldg.  
65167825 Molberg v. Phoenix Cayman Ltd. Et Al  
65121923 Ray Builders Inc. v. Ppg Maiden Lane  
45373924 Skyline Business Capital LLC v. Tech Ready Mix Inc. Et Al  
65300023 Yivan Trading Co. Ltd. v. Sotbehy's Financial Services California, Inc.

**Motion**

15163725 Markland 766 v. 34 East 29 Holding  
65167825 Molberg v. Phoenix Cayman Ltd. Et Al

**Transit Authority Settlement Part**

**60 Centre Street**  
Phone 646-386-3281  
Room 408

**Part 4**  
**Justice Judy H. Kim**  
80 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3580  
Room 308

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
15553925 Gertner v. Wam Equity Partners  
65564523 Palladium Window Solutions v. Cohen Brothers Rlty. Corp.  
**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
65142825 Akf Inc. v. Syndikos Investments LLC Et Al  
15936822 Almeida v. Bordenave  
15200720 Avila Yumbala v. William Paster, Inc.  
15000725 Camacho v. Zef LLC  
65371119 Commercial Tenant Services v. Seiu  
15630325 Doe v. Combs  
45248925 Drake v. NYCHA Et Al  
15090720 Dwyer v. 99 Canal Rlty. Inc.  
15553925 Gertner v. Wam Equity Partners  
65631122 Northe Group, Inc. v. City of New York  
10090925 Soares v. Legal Aid Society  
15578222 Tobon v. Skanska-Ecco III  
15656625 Vallejo v. 4113 B'way. Owner LLC Et Al

**THURSDAY, DEC. 11**

6510082247071 Bayside Parkway v. Jadian Mgr. LLC Et Al  
6510082247071 Bayside Pkwy. v. Jadian Mgr. LLC Et Al  
15557322 Aguilar v. Walsh  
15420525 Albertario v. J Hill Associates  
15250420 American Transit Ins. v. Harden  
65377324 Amir Salem v. K&H Medical Pllc D/b/a Mobile Vascular Physicians  
15107125 Bankers Standard Ins. Co. v. Michael Campbell Et Al  
15338525 Beausoleil v. Turner & Townsend Heery  
15056924 Beighlie v. White Walker LLC Et Al  
15509625 Brown v. NYC Et Al  
16091924 Buestan v. Bsd 370 Lexington  
15740524 Casarez v. Nat. September 11 Memorial And Museum At The World Trade Center Foundation, Inc.  
15124324 Castellano v. Eo 160 Water LLC Et Al  
16016224 Castro v. The Atlantic Terminal Mall Et Al  
65212425 Choice NY Prop. Mgt. LLC v. Fc Manhattan Ave Gp  
16197124 Community Mutual Ins. Co. A/s/o Olga Klein Living Trust v. Rabinowitz  
1544125 Correa v. Rabina Properties  
15636424 Deloach v. The 305 West 150th St. Condominium Et Al  
45229524 Espinal v. Allegro B'way. Corp. Et Al  
65028125 Esses v. Cf E 86 LLC Et Al  
15947919 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration  
15686725 Garcia v. Uphold Const. Corp. Et Al  
15253824 Gibbs v. The NY Racing Assoc., Inc.  
1548621 Gonzalez Correa v. Jrm Const. Mgt.  
15937722 Guaman v. Usm Asset Trust-Series 7 Et Al  
16089424 Hsu v. Tarpley  
15510824 Huaman v. Macy's, Inc. Et Al  
15125825 Husain v. The Riverbridge Court Condominium Corp Et Al  
15470023 Ins. Co. of Greater NY As Subrogee of 1035 Park Ave. Corp. v. Park Ave. United Methodist Church  
15616822 Kindrachuk v. 150 West 82nd St. Owner  
15624925 Lowman v. 100 West 142nd St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
15320924 Mendoza Bravo v. 27 Park Pl.  
6549021 Mihalow v. Rane  
16142223 Mitchell v. 1657 Madison Ave. LLC Et Al  
15057921 Osorio v. Farrington Rlty.  
16147624 Pagan v. Oxford Gardens Owners Corp. Et Al  
65564523 Palladium Window Solutions v. Cohen Brothers Rlty. Corp.  
15697121 Pd Kopasis Flp v. 215 West 28th St.  
15083024 Pena v. Isabella Geriatric Center, Inc. Et Al  
1526725 Pichardo v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.

**Part 66**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 67**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 68**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 69**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 70**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.  
65524225 Ashtram Renewable Energy Dev. (onpeak) LLC Et Al v. Onpeak Power LLC Et Al  
65178924 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

**Part 71**

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**  
655242



150828/23 Delgado v. 110 Post LLC Et Al  
152085/23 Delnevo-Petry v. NYC Et Al  
154637/22 Dihullo v. NYC  
158498/24 Dodard v. One 9 Three 9 Corp.  
159357/22 Drake v. NYC Et Al  
155787/21 Elias v. NYC Et Al  
451900/23 Ford v. NYC Et Al  
151552/22 Garcia v. NYC Et Al  
155217/20 Gomez v. Black Slate F 2013 LLC Et Al  
156364/21 Gomez v. Black Slate Gtrs 2014 LLC Et Al  
156667/18 Guillaume v. NYC Et Al  
150766/23 Guillaume v. NYC Et Al  
154136/16 Jasko v. NYC  
160621/20 Javier v. NYC Et Al  
155751/21 Kleemola v. NYC Et Al  
158865/22 Lin v. Fire Dept of NYC Et Al  
158602/21 Lopez v. NYC Et Al  
159646/21 Lynch v. NYC  
158636/21 Matos v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al  
161876/19 McBlain v. NYC Et Al  
152815/21 McFadden v. NYC Et Al  
155382/18 McLaughlin v. NYC  
150736/22 McMahon v. 132 Delancey St. Rly. Corp. Et Al  
155621/20 Mendoza v. NYC Et Al  
158607/23 Muba v. NYC  
155732/18 Mullings v. NYC Dept. of 151942/23 Ozuna v. NYC  
151049/21 Peikes v. NYC  
156800/22 Pena v. NYC Et Al  
160484/24 Quintero v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al  
158271/16R v. NYC  
157877/19 Ramirez v. NYC Et Al  
155621/20 Ramos v. NYC Et Al  
157358/21 Reyes v. NYC Et Al  
160192/22 Romero v. NYC Et Al  
160897/21 Roodman v. 311 West 20th St. Housing Corp. Et Al  
450429/23 Rosas Lopez v. NYC Et Al  
151900/21 Rosa v. NYC Et Al  
152703/22 Rothenberg v. NYC Et Al  
157124/17 Sacks v. NYC  
158748/23 Sarr-Jean v. NYC Et Al  
154028/19 Santavoo v. NYC Bike Share  
159527/21 Sedeno v. 3599 B'way. Condominium Et Al  
155800/23 Sullivan v. NYC Et Al  
160797/18T.L. An Infant By His Mother v. NYC  
153576/21 Taveras v. NYC Et Al  
157322/20 Thies v. NYC Et Al  
160099/21 Trade v. NYC  
155793/21 Trinidad v. Verizon NY Inc. Et Al  
155113/17 Watson v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al  
152995/20 Williams v. NYC Et Al  
451984/22 Williamson v. NYC Et Al  
151229/19 Windkelman v. NYC  
161109/22 Woo v. NYC Et Al  
159809/23 Woodfield v. NYC Et Al

### Integrated Domestic Violence Part

Justice Tandra L. Dawson  
100 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3868  
Room 1604

### THURSDAY, DEC. 11

365434/24 Blumberg v. Blumberg

### CRIMINAL TERM

#### Part Tap A

Justice Biben  
Phone 646-386-4107  
100 Centre St.  
Room 1100, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part Tap B

Justice Statsinger  
Phone 646-346-4044  
100 Centre St.  
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 22

Justice Mennin  
Phone 646-386-4022  
Fax 212-295-4890  
111 Centre Street  
Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 23

Justice N. Ross  
Phone 646-386-4023  
Fax 212-295-4891  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 31

Justice D. Kiesel  
Phone 646-386-4031  
Fax 212-401-9260  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 32

Justice Carro  
Phone 646-386-4032  
Fax 212-401-9261  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part JHO/Part 37

Justice Adlerberg  
Phone 646-386-4037  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 41

Justice Dwyer  
Phone 646-386-4028  
Fax 212-401-9262  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 42

Justice Wiley  
Phone 646-386-4042  
Fax 212-401-9263  
111 Centre Street  
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 51

Justice Edwards  
Phone 646-386-4051  
Fax 212-401-9264  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 52

Justice T. Farber  
Phone 646-386-4052  
Fax 212-401-9265  
111 Centre Street  
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 53

Justice Rodney  
Phone 646-386-4053  
Fax 212-401-9266  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 54

Justice Antignani  
Phone 646-386-4054  
111 Centre Street  
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 56

Justice Drysdale  
Phone 646-386-4056  
111 Centre Street  
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 59

Justice J. Merchan  
Phone 646-386-4059  
Fax 212-295-4932  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 61

Justice Clott  
Phone 646-386-4061  
Fax 212-401-9266  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 62

Justice M. Jackson  
Phone 646-386-4062  
Fax 212-401-9267  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 63

Justice Hong  
Phone 646-386-4063  
111 Centre Street  
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 66

Justice Pickholz  
Phone 646-386-4066  
Fax 212-401-9097  
111 Centre Street  
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 71

Justice L. Ward  
Phone 646-386-4071  
Fax 212-401-9268  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 72

Justice R. Stolz  
Phone 646-386-4072  
Fax 212-401-9269  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1123, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 73

Justice Roberts  
Phone 646-386-4073  
Fax 212-401-9116  
111 Centre Street  
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 75

Justice Mandelbaum  
Phone 646-386-4075  
111 Centre Street  
Room 583, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 77

Justice Obus  
Phone 646-386-4077  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1536, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 81

Justice C. Farber  
Phone 646-386-4081  
Fax 212-401-9270  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1317, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 85

Justice Hayes  
Phone 646-386-4085  
Fax 212-401-9113  
111 Centre Street  
Room 1523, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 92

Justice Mitchell  
Phone 646-386-4092  
Fax 212-295-4914  
111 Centre Street  
Room 1234, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 95

Justice D. Conviser  
Phone 646-386-4095  
Fax 212-401-9137  
111 Centre Street  
Room 687, 9:30 A.M.

### Part 99

Justice Burke  
Phone 646-386-4099  
Fax 212-401-9270  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1530, 9:30 A.M.

### Part N-SCT

Justice Peterson  
Phone 646-386-4014  
Fax 212-401-9272  
100 Centre Street  
Room 218, 9:30 A.M.

### Part IDV

Justice Dawson  
Phone 646-386-3579  
Fax 212-884-8938  
100 Centre Street  
Room 1604, 9:30 A.M.

### SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate Hilary Gindoff  
Surrogate Rita Meila  
31 Chamber's Street  
New York, NY

See court's webpage for information about appearances: Visiting Surrogate's Court | NYCOURTS.GOVs

### Bronx County

### SUPREME COURT

### EX PARTE AND URGENT MOTIONS PART

The Following is the List of Sitings in the Ex Parte Urgent Motions Part on the Dates Specified:

### TRIAL TERM

718-618-1248

### Day Calendar

**Court Notices**  
Key to Submission  
Motion Calendar

FS = Fully submitted.  
FSN = Fully Submitted, No Opposition

ADJ=adjourned to the marked date for oral argument in the above calendar part. Answering papers are to be submitted on the original return date in Room 217.

### Mental Hygiene Part

Justice TBA

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every Wednesday, commencing at a time TBA.

### A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted in person at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx NY 10451, Room TBA, every Thursday, commencing at a time TBA.

### A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually for the Community Assisted Outpatient Treatment Calendar at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every Thursday, commencing at a time TBA.

### Part 2

Justice Elizabeth A. Taylor  
Phone 718-618-1275  
Room 710, 9:30 A.M.

### TUESDAY, DEC. 9

814012/23 Monchev Sandoval v. Archstone Builders LLC Et Al  
816314/23 Pervorin v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.

### Mortgage Foreclosure Sales

Mortgage foreclosure sales in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Bronx, are conducted at the Bronx County Courthouse, located at 851 Grand Concourse, Courtroom 711, commencing at 2:15 p.m.

### Part 3

Justice Mitchell J. Danziger  
Phone 718-618-1207  
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

### TUESDAY, DEC. 9

814694/24 Alvarez De Perez v. NYCTA Et Al  
802828/24 Aponte v. Gurfel Rly. Corp. Et Al

810477/22 Armond v. NYC Et Al  
817176/25 Arzu v. NYCHA Et Al  
801742/22 Astacio v. NYC Et Al  
805010/24 Astacio v. NYC Et Al  
812685/25 Ayala v. NYC Et Al  
819630/25 B v. The City NY Et Al  
817382/24 Behariliv v. NYC Et Al  
822832/25 Bennett Aka Bennet v. NYC Et Al

23760/14A C J Const. Corp. v. Great American Const. Corp.  
26596/17 A&A Mgt. Group v. Khassidov  
26347/20 Abdel Rahim v. Gvc Ltd  
25033/17 Adams v. Legacy Carting Corp.  
802701/22 Alvarado v. Stanmar Co. Et Al  
25385/20 Alvarez v. Buds Truck & Diesel Service  
814952/22 Amaro v. Lemite  
32400/20 Bartolomei v. Briros Rly. Corp.  
818260/22 Bebe Jr. v. Kingsbridge Affordable Housing LLC Et Al  
21605/20 Brown v. Greene  
28502/17 Bruris v. Balbuena  
818022/22 Carrasquillo v. Magenta Const. Services, Inc. Et Al  
33795/18 Choudhury v. Terrace on The Park LLC  
26753/19 Cox v. Princivil  
803353/23 Ferguson v. Quenguan  
800721/21 Guerrero v. Shamem  
814018/22 Herrera v. American United Transportation Inc Et Al  
26783/20 James v. Herron  
812197/21 Johnson v. Fofanah  
810324/22 Johnson v. Donzo  
26024/16 Labianc v. Smith  
24014/19 Lesko v. Bei Engineering L.L.C.  
802441/22 Lopez v. Mascott Properties Inc.  
28505/20 Lora v. Barker Ave Estates LLC  
23854/20 Mark & Son Metal Prods. v. Eastchester Chrxch Inc.  
23743/18 Mateo v. Metro.  
804797/22 McCormick v. JJJ Enterprises, Inc.  
27806/16 Medina v. Aloba  
31250/19 Mendez Martinez v. Express Ambulance Service  
802677/23 Miami T. Shabani  
26229/17 Mihleas v. Concourse One Co. LLC  
21897/16 Owsu v. Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center  
22890/13 Pacheco v. Montefiore Medical Center  
26227/18 Perkins v. Malty  
811140/22 Pichazaca v. Terred Rly.  
1737-39 Pilgrim LLC  
80921/21 Recinos v. Superior Uniform Services  
808764/22 Reyes v. Doe  
26420/17 Rodriguez v. Covena  
816622/21 Salgado v. Phipps Houses Services, Inc. Et Al  
25496/20 Santiago v. Turakhia  
29068/20 Sealey v. Caceres  
26231/20 Simon v. NYC  
25298/20 Soifer v. Albert Einstein College of Medicine Inc Et Al  
34542/20 Stewart v. Marte  
801481/23 Taylor As Parent And Natural Guardian of Ac. v. Academy of St. Joseph  
800918/23 Tremont Rly. of NY LLC v. Luna  
33665/19 Villaronga v. Haxhaj  
27419/18 Webb v. Jefri  
32242/20 White v. Underhill Rly. LLC  
817238/21 Wjd v. Surita

801841/25 Reyes-Hidalgo v. Quick Paper And Plastic  
**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
29491/18 Bynes v. NYCH&HC And 804132/22 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Gonzalez

818015/25 Howell v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al  
814993/24 Marmolejos v. Cruel  
801702/24 Mercado v. Mercado  
820898/25 Reinoso v. Begum  
815509/25 Rivas v. Khan  
813283/24 Sosa v. Amazon.Com Services  
803594/24 NYC v. 4137 White Plains Road  
810709/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 1178 East Gun Hill Road  
**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
813814/23 Adger v. Lewis  
811909/22 Anariba v. 984 Prospect  
805202/22 Aponte v. Sunset Beach Rly.  
807934/24 Cabrera v. Hopkins  
809498/24 Cepeda Padilla v. City Wide Builders Gp Inc. Et Al  
804499/25 Concepcion v. Glickman  
805582/25 De La Cruz Canela v. De Los Santos  
800113/25 Diawara v. Cp Viii Llc Owner LLC Et Al  
801595/23 Diaz Adamez v. 965 College Ave LLC  
806656/23 Escudero Ramos v. 229 West Kingsbridge LLC Et Al  
800276/24 Facey v. Services For The Underseated  
810338/23 Fiorentino v. Cp Associates LLC Et Al  
816293/22 Garriga v. NYCHA  
810327/24 Gaud v. Dekalb 3572 LLC Et Al  
818291/24 Gomez Tineo v. Derrick Wolfe Trucking  
815466/24 Hidalgo-Demurillo v. 1898 Longfellow Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
820161/24 Hills-White v. Armoni  
810327/24 Johnson v. NYC Et Al  
814956/23 Jimenez v. Konik Rly. LLC Et Al  
800280/24 Jimenez v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al  
814109/23 Leonardi v. Jsr Deli, Inc. Et Al  
805600/23 Massey v. Hk Parking Solutions Inc. Et Al  
802822/25 Mayor v. Elegante Services Inc.  
811891/24 McLeod v. 3413 Meat Market Corp. Et Al  
819084/24 Melendez v. Wertzberger  
817830/23 Mojica v. The Kartrite Resort & Indoor Waterpark Et Al  
802437/25 Mulero v. 3230 Westchester Ave LLC Et Al  
813979/24 Naem v. Bryson  
800606/25 Olaverria Perez v. Universal Heights 18 LLC  
810059/23 Olea v. Mmipk Bronx Inc.  
812524/24 Padilla Ortiz v. 800 Grand Concourse Owners, Inc. Et Al  
810992/23 Perry v. Sugerman  
815261/24 Quick v. 1506 Walco  
809913/24 Robles v. Benitti  
809767/25 Roman v. Lelit Lisa Bus Co., Inc. Et Al  
801442/24 Smith v. Belfiore Food Corp. D/b/a Bonavita Key Food 1329  
817867/24 Suarez v. Hp 150 West Burnside Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al  
814734/23 Valerio v. 615 Pelham Rly. Inc. Et Al  
812929/24 Villano Marcelo v. Hellman Electric  
815630/22 Wesco Ins. Co. v. Ppc Residential Llc Et Al  
820434/23 Winfree v. NYCHA Et Al

818129/21 Bernadotte v. NYC  
801525/25 Black v. NYC Et Al  
820169/24 Blake v. NYC Et Al  
811712/25 Brown v. NYC Et Al  
820819/24 Brown v. NYC Et Al  
804872/25 C v. NYC Et Al  
815744/24 Cabrera v. NYC Et Al  
805755/23 Campos v. NYC Et Al  
816637/25 Cedres v. NYC Et Al  
806744/25 Chameidis v. NYC  
802286/25 Chavis v. NYC Et Al  
817636/24 Ciprian v. NYC Et Al  
817953/24 Concepcion v. NYC Et Al  
806248/24 D-Martinez v. NYC  
808443/24 Daniels v. NYCTA Et Al  
814417/25 Del Orb v. NYCHA Et Al  
810997/24 Deluise v. NYC Et Al  
801262/22 Dotsen v. NYC  
806611/24 Espinal v. NYC Et Al  
815740/22 Firria v. NYC Et Al  
803977/23 Fishbein v. NYC Et Al  
804362/23 Ford v. NYC Et Al  
811043/23 Franco v. NYC Et Al  
302117/16 Gagliardi v. NYC  
817762/24 Garcia v. NYCHA Et Al  
813728/24 Garcia v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al  
817516/24 Gaston v. NYC Et Al  
820853/24 Genao v. NYC Et Al  
812015/25 Gonzalez Lopez v. NYC Et Al  
811145/24 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al  
817032/24 Gonzalez v. Correa  
804638/25 Goodman v. Levant  
814308/22 Graham v. NYC Dept. of Sanitation Et Al  
814270/25 Guithy v. NYC Et Al  
821329/24 Halperin v. NYC Et Al  
814377/23 Harry v. NYC  
821359/24 Hawkins v. NYC Et Al  
800348/25 Henderson v. NYC  
801404/25 Hernandez v. NYC Et Al  
800795/25 Herz v. NYC  
816536/24 Hillman v. NYC Et Al  
818261/24 Hutchinson v. NYC Et Al  
817772/24 J.R A Minor By His Mother And Natural Guardian  
Rothly Say Et Al v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Education Et Al  
813716/22 Jackson v. NYC Et Al  
819030/25 Kouadio v. NYC  
810757/25 Kurtz v. NYC Et Al  
809048/22 Lambert v. NYC Et Al  
811649/24 Lee v. NYC Et Al  
814657/24 Lee v. NYC Et Al  
817473/24 Lewin v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al  
804986/23 Long v. NYC  
805839/24 Mangual v. NYC Et Al  
802613/24 Martinez v. NYC Et Al  
812499/24 Matos v. NYC Et Al  
22735/12 McCord v. NYC  
300095/16 Mejias v. NYC  
814323/25 Melendez v. Zeng  
813655/24 Memminger v. NYC Et Al  
816356/24 Morales v. NYC Et Al  
803929/24 Mourao v. Lavender  
804236/25 Neto v. NYCHA Et Al  
814092/25 Nunez v. NYC Et Al  
803312/23 Ogando v. NYC Et Al  
820902/25 Oquendo v. NYC Et Al  
813158/23 Ortiz v. Hp Park Lane Preservation Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al  
812845/24 Peguero v. NYC Et Al  
807821/23 Perdomo v. NYC  
816355/25 Perez v. Perez  
808087/22 Polanco v. NYC Et Al  
813657/24 Quinones v. NYC Et Al  
812509/25 R v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al  
815437/24 R.P. v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al  
811485/25 Rabinowitz v. Kaminski  
819238/23 Rios v. NYCTA Et Al  
817345/25 Rivas v. NYC; Et Al  
814170/22 Rivas v. NYC Et Al  
805154/23 Robinson v. NYC Et Al  
809611/24 Rodriguez v. NYC  
815424/24 Roman v. NYC Et Al  
801283/25 Rosero v. NYC Et Al  
800096/21 Rothe v. NYC  
800821/25 Santiago v. NYC Et Al  
807690/25 Smalls v. NYC  
808963/24 Sosa v. NYC Et Al  
820171/24 Sullivan v. NYC Et Al  
816954/24 Tapia v. Ortiz-Molina  
805243/25 Tavares v. NYC Et Al  
814794/22 Spivey v. Menamartinez  
809410/25 Toussaint v. NYC Et Al  
817295/24 Trujillo Hernandez v. Rodriguez  
811395/24 v. NYC Et Al  
803899/25 Vazquez v. Doe  
802228/25 Ventura v. NYC Fire Dept. Et Al  
809236/25 Williams v. NYC  
808248/25 Williams v. NYC Et Al  
814327/24 Woods Jr. v. NYC Et Al  
803745/25 Woods v. NYC Et Al  
808693/25 Wright v. NYC Et Al  
808503/25 Yolviyette Medina As Parent And Natural Guardian of K.M v. Dept. of Education of NYC Et Al  
810987/24 Zapata v. NYC

810150/20 Acampora v. Parkchester South  
31882/17 Aslanjan v. NYC  
805839/22 Buchanan v. NYC Dept. of Education  
25520/19 Cabrera v. NYC  
33701/19 Carter v. NYC  
802590/22 Chowdhury v. B.G.R. Rly. LLC  
809871/24 Cordero v. NYC Et Al  
817339/22 D. A. v. NYC Et Al  
303943/10 Daniels v. NYC  
300552/17 Diaz v. NYC  
808549/23 Fernandez v. The City of NY Et Al  
279120/20 Harter v. NYC And  
808667/21 Hay v. NYC Et Al  
29804/17 Jimenez v. NYC  
805045/21 Liberato v. Felix  
300314/16 M.Z. v. NYC  
35504/20 Olin v. NYC  
303760/12 Panzarino v. 349 Fifthe Ave LLC  
811293/21 Pena v. NYC Et Al  
807649/21 Reneau v. NYC Et Al  
30662/416 Reyes v. NYC  
30609/17 Rivera v. NYC Et Al  
804714/21 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al  
23588/14 Rodriguez v. NYC  
29522/17 Rodriguez v. Mitanter  
808159/21 Segarra v. NYC Et Al

818015/25 Howell v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al  
814993/24 Marmolejos v. Cruel  
801702/24 Mercado v. Mercado  
820898/25 Reinoso v. Begum  
815509/25 Rivas v. Khan  
813283/24 Sosa v. Amazon.Com Services  
803594/24 NYC v. 4137 White Plains Road  
810709/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 1178 East Gun Hill Road  
**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
813814/23 Adger v. Lewis  
811909/22 Anariba v. 984 Prospect  
805202/22 Aponte v. Sunset Beach Rly.  
807934/24 Cabrera v. Hopkins  
809498/24 Cepeda Padilla v. City Wide Builders Gp Inc. Et Al  
804499/25 Conce

81224421 Haber-Brownstein v. Culliflo  
81360421 Hariston v. Hariston  
80036264 Hiciano-Bolquez v. Estevez-Batista  
8100314221 v. Infante  
8085723 Jimenez-Almanzar v. Quintanusa  
2010220 Jose-Almonte v. Ouedraogo  
2531618 Lacombe-Jackson v. Diaz-Nunez  
81749423 Lezama v. Kerardo  
81679622 Lopez v. Hamilton  
Thomson  
2459820 Marte Vargas v. Serpa  
Express LLC  
80649723 Marte v. L&J. Treasure  
Trucking, Inc. Et Al  
80703822 Martinez v. Hc Capital  
LLC Et Al  
3517320 Martinez v. Boom! Mgt.  
Corp.  
80495423 Martinez-Maldonado v. Vega  
2388020 Mateo v. American United  
Transportation Inc. Et Al  
81495823 Mays v. Zou  
80176323 McCann v. Lorinda  
Enterprises  
81065521 Morales v. Buds Truck &  
Diesel Service Inc. Et Al  
2069018 Morales v. Tolentino  
80114323 Morales v. Quintanusa  
81965023 Narvaez v. C&C  
Automotive Repair Service, Inc.  
Et Al  
3155220 Ortiz v. Perdomo  
80065323 Parker v. Hussain  
80264821 Pena Fulgencio v. Hs  
Capital LLC Et Al  
80743023 Perez v. Conifer Park,  
Inc. Et Al  
81655322 Perez v. Moya-Torres  
2535919 Perez v. Farruq  
81237121 Peterson v. Yadav  
80250923 Puento v. Lux Credit  
Consultants LLC Et Al  
80471123 Quinapanta v. F&F  
Hardware Supply, Inc. Et Al  
80115524 Ramirez-Marté v. Dairy  
Farmers of America, Inc. Et Al  
82047823 Ramirez-Marté v.  
Ramirez-Marté  
81745323 Ramos v. Uber  
Technologies Inc Et Al  
2667819 Rexha v. Hernandez  
2263519 Reyes v. Nikiema  
81412323 Robinson v. Autorama  
Enterprises Inc. Et Al  
81199323 Rodriguez Alcantara v.  
Leavitt  
80151323 Roman v. Doe  
80831224 Roman v. Selby  
Transportation Corp.  
80825723 Rose v. Ari Fleet Lt Et Al  
80742624 Soto v. Stut Cab Corp.  
80185923 Vasquez v. Berardi  
81736621 West v. Norman

81845325 Perez Flores v. Lopez  
Nunez  
81637723 Rodriguez v. Dennis-  
Rodriguez  
238623 Wallace v. Wallace

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
81393022 Ali v. Ali  
501424 McLeish v. Robinson-  
McLeish  
53924 Rosario v. Mendoza

**Part 19**  
**Justice Alicia Perez**  
**Phone 718-618-1377**  
**Room 600, 9:30 A.M.**

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**

2408516 Aina v. Jopal Bronx  
80601322 Burgos v. Erle M.D.  
2265119 Cabrera v. Chung M.D.  
80047021 Colon v. Beth Abraham  
Center For  
80055523 Darville v. Lomita M.D.  
3097219 Feliz v. Smilowitz  
2762817 Fernandez v. Patel  
80391224 Hyfton v. St. John's  
Riverside Hosp. Et Al  
81363123 Litwack v. Mount Sinai  
Queens Hosp. Et Al  
2259716 Lowery v. Daughters of  
Jacob Nursing  
80753324 McCoy v. McQuiston P.T.  
3138818 McManus v. Rose  
80182823 Minerua Ramos-Ocasio  
v. Amber Court of Pelham  
Gardens  
81512624 Moore v. Montefiore  
Medical Center Et Al  
3402618 Perez v. Holy Name  
Medical Center  
81751221 Pruitt v. Park Gardens  
Rehabilitation And Nursing  
Center LLC  
30722710 Resto v. Regeis Care  
Center  
2108216 Rodriguez v. Farrell  
Family Medicine  
2252720 Soto v. 505 Dental  
Associates Pllc  
80615224 The Estate of Alfred Orza  
By His Executor v. Throgs Neck  
Operating Co.  
**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**  
2250014 Abdallah v. Deveaux  
80953122 Ayala v. Morningside  
Nursing & Rehabilitation Center  
Et Al  
3368120 Baez v. NYCH&HC And  
2964920 Diallo v. NYCH&HC And  
81513224 Garcia v. Lorenzo D.M.D.  
2618120 Gomez v. Morris Park  
Rehabilitation & Nursing Center  
Et Al  
82284025 In The Matter of The  
Application of Flora Plumbaj And  
Nike Plumbaj Et Al v. NYCH&HC  
Corp.  
200762922 M. v. Acosta M.D.  
2010818 Manning-Fambrough v.  
New Jewish Home  
81561923 McKever v. NYCH&HC  
Corp.  
80374922 Meola v. Schervier  
Rehabilitation & Nursing Center  
Et Al  
80369322 Nunez v. NY  
Presbyterian Weill Cornell  
Medical Center Et Al  
81297723 Pemberton v. United Odd  
Fellow And Rebekah Home Inc.  
81442522 Peralta v. Calderon M.D.  
808484523 Picco-Gunning v. NYC  
NYCH&HC Corp. (Jacobi Medical  
Center)  
3243118 R. v. Bronx Laboran Hosp.  
Center  
80408524 Sbnh Acquisition v. New  
York-Presbyterian/columbia  
Univ. Irving Medical Center Et Al

**Part 20**  
**Justice Veronica G. Hummel**  
**Phone 718-618-1240**  
**Room 408, 9:30 A.M.**

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**

81625323 Ahiale v. Con Ed NYC,  
Inc. Et Al  
80384624 Aleman Caceres v.  
Lancourt Associates LLC Et Al  
81130224 Almonte v. R & K  
Delivery Inc. Et Al  
81087424 Bird v. New Bronx Car &  
Limosine Et Al  
80655624 Brito v. Senior Care Ems  
Et Al  
80772023 Cariona Doma v. 538  
Caven Rly. Corp. Et Al  
8112223 Casale v. Schupak  
3149819 Corona v. Ung 1 Rly. LLC  
2163518 Daniel Garcia Martin v. on  
Track Freight Systems, Inc.  
80844123 De Jesus Garcia v. The  
Rachel Oliver Associates  
80031622 Dyer v. 498-512 Johnson  
Ave Bklyn. LLC Et Al  
80889122 J.P. v. NYCHA  
81173521 Jimenez Veras v. 5586  
Rly. Corp.  
81023924 Lopez v. Riverbay Corp.  
3214119 Maldonado Const. Corp. v.  
Builders-R-U-Const.  
81561623 Matamoros-Sierra v.  
Bernard  
80634223 McFadden v. Pledge Cab  
81089324 Meli v. Port Auth. of NY  
And New Jersey Et Al  
2471120 Mujovic v. Aldi Inc. (new  
York)  
3013177 Palmer v. Ramirez  
81733022 Peguero De Rodriguez v.  
Rodriguez  
80444223 Rodriguez-Peralta v.  
Valenzuela  
80220223 Rojas Escobar v. 204-210  
Elizabeth St. LLC Et Al  
81326524 Roman v. Chowdhury  
81691623 Rosario v. Cordero  
81183824 Saitos v. R&K Delivery,  
Inc. Et Al  
81348122 Samaniego Vasquez v.  
Simcha Gifs Dev. LLC  
81638821 Sanchez v. The NYCHA  
80984523 Saucedo Valerio v. Merriam  
2618419 Varga v. Lucky Angels LLC  
30506415 Warnitz v. Richmond  
Center  
30181614 White v. NYC  
Partnership  
2127219 Wilson v. Azzure, Inc.  
80822624 Y.F. An Infant By His  
Mother And Natural Guardian  
Fanta Keita Et Al v. NYC Charter  
School For Architecture

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**

80318823 Acevedo v. East River  
Charter Rentals  
80493722 Alvarez v. Jahan  
80780125 And Natural Guardian v.  
Consol. Bus Transit Inc Et Al  
80581325 Arzu v. Devita  
80655123 Barrow v. K Brothers  
Kingsbridge LLC  
80315725 Bennett v. Ppc  
Residential  
2572119 Bevilacqua v. Stericycle  
80471524 Bido v. Alfred And  
Matthew Rly. Corp.  
80405724 Bing v. 1005 Yankee  
Pride LLC Et Al  
80117524 Bond v. Srulys Service  
Inc. Et Al  
80705224 Brown v. Walkes  
80151324 Brujan v. 15 E. 196 Rly.  
L.L.C.  
80820123 Burgess v. Krasdale  
Foods, Inc. Et Al  
80084725 Cabrera Toribo v. Muniz  
80984523 Campbell v. Mgm Bronx  
Hdgs. LLC  
80633823 Castillo v. Deon Rly.  
80679623 Castillo v. Ignacio  
Chabube LLC  
80777924 Castillo v. City Car &  
Limo. Services Inc. Et Al  
80376422 Chinchenza v. The  
Gramatan Bldg. Condominium Et Al  
80116325 Clarke v. Agustin  
Vasquez  
80699923 Clifton Powell v. C&C  
Apt. Mgt. LLC Et Al  
80080123 Cruz v. Aldus Green  
Corp. Et Al  
80661422 Cuas Rojas v. 1170  
Gerard Rly. LLC  
80111824 Davis v. Matthews  
80222323 De La Cruz v. Rainbow  
Apparel Distribution Center  
Corp. Et Al  
80066622 De Los Santos v. 1363  
Findlay Hdq. LLC  
80636823 Deleon v. 1546 Wpr LLC  
Et Al  
80569022 Deleon v. Leemilt's  
Petroleum, Inc. Et Al  
80578924 Demoriz Hernandez v.  
M & S Choi  
80452224 Dominguez v. 922  
Westchester Ave. Housing Dev.  
Fund Co., Inc. Et Al  
80859523 Dominguez v. J&J Meat  
Warehouse Inc Et Al  
80700423 Draper v. 1402 Rly., Inc.  
80292422 Edwards v. Who-So-Ever  
Bill Baptist Church  
80529622 Enriquez v. Advocates  
Et Al  
8077723 Evans v. 224th St. Apts.  
Ltd. Liability Co.  
80586624 Eve Garcia v. 1940 Hdq.  
Ltd.  
80187722 Fields v. 5600 LLC  
80838123 Flores v. Parkoff  
Operating Corp. Et Al  
80290923 Garcia v. Salarnis  
Enterprise LLC Et Al  
80569524 Garcia v. Vegetable Fruit  
& Fish Market, Inc. Et Al  
80263725 Gist v. Vasquez  
80048622 Gonzalez v. 497 Meeker  
v. Rodriguez  
80082023 Gonzalez v. Sherman  
Creek Rly. Corp. Et Al  
80796924 Grullon v. 568 West 171st  
St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
80312823 Gutierrez v. Hp Amanda's  
Cove Housing Dev. Fund Co.,  
Inc., Et Al  
80116524 Hannah v. Our Lady of  
Guadalupe At St. Bernard Et Al  
80434723 Hasan v. St. Ritas Roman  
Catholic Church  
80340925 Hodge v. 1504 Sheridan  
80493823 Howard v. 1234 Union  
Ave LLC  
80622024 Illescas v. Conde  
80742724 Ilunga v. Madhre  
2801219 Jenoure v. Mount Hope  
Preservation  
80114824 Jimenez v. Queens  
Shoprte Associates LLC Et Al  
80134022 Jimenez v. 192-02  
Jamaica LLC Et Al  
80726225 Jimenez v. Tursunov

**Part 18**  
**Justice Wanda Y. Negron**  
**Phone 718-618-1203**  
**Room 602, 9:30 A.M.**

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**

276125 Gutierrez v. Liriano De  
Gutierrez  
174623 Harris v. Harris

80345722 Jimenez v. 1380 Wpr  
Partners  
80421925 Jones v. Riverbay Corp.  
Et Al  
80272424 J.C.T.D. v. Mall 1-Bay  
Plaza LLC Et Al  
80104925 Lalachand v. Jiy Trucking  
LLC Et Al  
80055924 Legarda v. Endrich Rly.  
Corp.  
80530224 Lilian Mendez  
Valenzuela v. Jermelle Daniels  
8080424 Lopez v. Naser  
3493920 Lopez v. 2041 Holland  
Associates  
80707323 Lorenzo v. 402 E. 162  
LLC Et Al  
80363923 Lozada v. NYCHA  
80684925 M v. Parkash 2829 LLC Et  
Al  
80774225 Marte v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al  
80863424 Martinez Calderon v.  
Ieromonachs  
80524624 McAllister v. Banton  
80229023 McEwan v. Abrahams  
80089021 McFarland v. Casa &  
Clinton Housing  
80327724 Medina v. Sabor Latino  
Deli Supermarket Corp. Et Al  
80280023 Melendez v. 327 West  
B'way. LLC Et Al  
3365120 Mendoza v. 2700 Jerome  
Ave. Moderate  
80739023 Mercedes v. The Port  
Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al  
80150723 Mills v. American United  
Transportation Inc. Et Al  
251919 Mills v. Xsport Fitness  
80856923 Mings Altamirano v.  
Bolivar Builders LLC Et Al  
80144825 Molina v. 2833 Briggs  
Ave. LLC  
2989220 Momoti v. Henry V.  
Murray Senior LLC  
80498124 Montero Taveras v. 375  
East 209 Rly. LLC  
80685824 Munoz-Sanchez v. Lee  
3026919 Murray v. Boston Tremont  
Housing Dev. Fund Corp.  
8035525 Navarro v. Vgp Rly.  
80660623 Neely v. Bronx Terminal  
Market Et Al  
80250023 Okwaning v. Cna  
Cornerstone  
80209824 Ortiz v. Sebo IV  
Associates LP.  
80534223 P v. Martinez Becu  
80574123 Padilla v. NYU Langone  
Hosps.  
80636222 Pena v. Hagar Furniture  
Inc.  
80743824 Perez-Fernandez v.  
Beaumont Mgt. Group LLC Et Al  
80252624 Phillips v. Seton Apts.  
LLC  
80295224 Polanco v. 355 East 187th  
St. LLC  
80219925 Polanco v. Amadou  
3408020 Ponce v. Wenani Rly.  
Corp.  
80185022 Ramirez v. Pag  
Properties  
3056818 Reyes v. Park Gardens  
Rehabilitation  
2912920 Roberson v. Caps Unltd.,  
Inc. Et Al  
80757724 Rodney v. Ean Hdgs.  
3251920 Rodriguez v. Timpson  
Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
80476721 Rodriguez v. 230fa LLC  
Et Al  
80149325 Rosa Urena v. Bronxcare  
Health System Et Al  
80475125 Rosa v. Comcast Corp. Et  
Al  
80277825 Rosario v. Peralta  
808763223. Katerman Produce, Inc.  
v. Bridge Electric Nj  
80500423 Sanchez Rodriguez v.  
B'way. 4411 LLC  
80410323 Saunders Harris v.  
Wilson Estates LLC Et Al  
80546223 Singer v. Homegoods,  
Inc. Et Al  
80674224 Smallwood v.  
Valentine2400 LLC Et Al  
80428824 Smith v. Moore  
80279622 Sow v. 1351 Prospect  
Rly. Corp. Et Al  
80650024 St. John v. Olgam 2914  
Third Ave. LLC  
80098325 Suwailih v. Rattray  
80383923 Tamarez v. Parkash 1660  
LLC  
80327222 Tate v. Hakim  
80252624 Taveras v. Uhab Housing  
Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
80128724 Tene v. Shaban  
80142621 Toribio v. 254 E. 174 Rly.  
80075425 Toribio v. Bayside  
Refrigeration Inc. Et Al  
80322024 Toussaint v. Campbell  
80686124 V. Enterprise Rent A  
Car Et Al  
80450524 Vasquez Hernandez v.  
Alkaifee  
2272219 Vazquez v. Citarella  
Operating  
80517722 Velez v. 1625-1631 Fulton  
Ave Owner LLC Et Al  
80625721 Villanueva v. College  
Morris Ave. Associates LLC  
2144119 Walters v. Blvd Bar &  
Lounge LLC  
2731817 Whitten v. Salsa Con  
Fuego Inc.  
2613920 Wilmot v. Otis Elevator  
Co.  
80082925 Wilson v. Tomra of North  
America Inc Et Al  
80580024 Wu v. NY Health Care,  
Inc.

**Part 22**  
**Justice Marissa Soto**  
**Phone 718-618-1193**  
**Room 709, 9:30 A.M.**

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**

81115524 Alcantara v. 2043  
Webster Rly. LLC Et Al  
80321525 Alcantara v. Gonzalez  
81129925 Alicia v. Martinez  
81113324 Alfaro v. Clean Family  
Inc Et Al  
80307825 Antunez-Ramirez v. Rose  
Ellen Smith Mbd Housing Dev.  
Fund Corp. Et Al  
344025 B v. Burgos  
80083823 Baptiste v. Hambone  
Mgt. Corp. Et Al  
81684024 Barreto v. Bpe Rly.  
Owner LLC Et Al  
80394025 Baruch v. Hilton  
Worldwide LLC Et Al  
80661125 Batista v. Gebhardt  
80086125 Becham v. Campell  
80974825 Burgos v. Carranza  
Cabrera  
81136624 Caban v. Liberty King  
Produce, Inc. Et Al  
81338325 Cabrera v. Hercules  
Home Improvement Inc. Et Al  
80298824 Caceras v. 1018 E. 163rd  
St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
81660424 Curate v. Abdallah  
81951524 De Leon v. De La Cruz  
80701425 Disja Salazar v. Jabier  
Painting & Design Corp. Et Al  
80972825 Escobar v. Veringo Corp.  
Et Al  
81017425 Capers v. Pierce  
81564522 Castillo v. Parkash 1780  
LLC  
80518025 Castro Diaz v. Beaumont  
Mgt. Group LLC  
80189625 Cava v. 3518 Bainbridge  
80776525 Charles v. Charles  
81980024 Clarke v. Bond  
81986424 Coburns v. Leon Reyes  
80997225 Condrinas v. Vance  
81020725 Cruz v. Capellan De  
Sanchez  
80817525 Curillo Guaman v.  
Correa Garcia  
81905724 Delcid Orellana v. Cavan  
Builders Corp. Et Al  
80834323 Demas v. Martinez  
80841424 Enrique Guerra Garcia  
Honeywell Gardens LLC Et Al  
81154125 Fajardo Moran v.  
Mandato Enterprise LLC Et Al  
81276325 Faria v. Fiebelhorn  
80626623 Flores v. Tavarez  
80027022 Fontaine v. NYCTA Et Al  
22945020 G. v. 121 St. Nicholas Ave.  
81360624 Gallo v. Camodeo  
80929825 Galva v. Ulerio  
80636525 Garcia Espinal v. Patricio  
Urgiles  
81200425 Gjakaj v. Nickerson  
81401123 Greene v. Omni  
Universal LLC  
80285725 Guo v. Rose  
80444225 Heras v. Barreto  
80863225 Hernandez Almanzar v.  
Ryder Truck Rental, Inc. Et Al  
81905424 Islam v. Brown  
81005425 Javier-Garcia v. 3764  
Bronx LLC Et Al  
81265824 Jordan v. Lugo Taxi Inc.  
Et Al  
80360825 Jorge v. Rodriguez  
81224825 Kalu v. Belmont East 186  
Rly. LLC  
81112322 Kristan v. Stan's Sports  
Bar, Inc. Et Al  
81572224 Lee v. Cloro Corp. Et Al  
82028624 Lu v. Martinez  
80849825 Lu v. Bains  
80264725 Lundy v. Riverbay Corp.  
81321024 Lyons v. Bermejo  
81255924 Mangano v. Gordon  
80355325 Martinez v. Borge Jr.  
80557425 Matthias v. Reid  
80657225 Mora v. 3059 Cpb LLC Et  
Al  
80151924 Morales v. Arturazaman  
81629821 Munoz v. Harking Taxi  
Inc. Et Al  
80794525 Nauman v. Riley  
80979825 Ortiz v. Daly Ave. LLC  
80928423 Ortiz v. Vmh Ververo LLC  
Et Al  
81454824 P v. Kips Bay Boys And  
Girls Club, Inc.  
80949025 Perez v. Shalom  
Aleichem LLC Et Al  
81906724 Pichardo v. Francis  
Schaefer  
81381724 Pimentel v. Bramwell  
80520925 Polanco v. 1665 Monroe  
Assoc.  
80843625 Portillo v. 710 Hunts  
Point Equities LLC Et Al  
80770225 Ramirez v. Finally  
General Contracting Corp. Et Al  
81288224 Roberts v. Baez  
80887125 Santander v. Foster  
80675525 Sewell Harding v. Cruz  
Ramos  
80562622 Shweta Sharma Et Al v.  
River Point Towers Co-Op., Inc.  
Et Al  
81680324 Solomon v. Bhoj  
81122025 Vazquez v. Target Corp.  
Et Al  
81410024 Velocity Investments v.  
Nuruzzaman  
80343225 Veloz v. Boyd  
81123425 Villaman-Santos v. Kulp  
80815024 Williams v. Bahumi  
81397425 Yao Assou v. Garcia

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**

82317025 Adria Ventures v.  
Fordham Hill Owners Corp. Et Al  
216725 Brown v. NYCHA  
80260125 J. v. Baker  
2814720 Ptterson v. Parson  
556825 Rosa v. Acc  
23842025 Springfield Hill 141 LLC v.  
Radx Hdgs. LLC

81317422 M. v. Newset II Housing  
Dev. Fund Corp. (a N.Y. Not-For-  
Profit Corp.) Et Al  
80664823 Malaret v. 349 Rly. LLC  
Et Al  
81853124 Maxwell v. Crisostomo  
81978123 McNeil v. Con Ed, Inc. Et  
Al  
80666024 Mora De Santos v. 2390  
C LLC Et Al  
80273925 Nelson v. Ilsa Nelson  
Individually  
80221124 Novas v. Tiebout Rly.  
80530724 O. v. Pentada Properties  
Inc. Et Al  
81402523 Olivares v. Parkash  
80363923 Oniel v. Rodriguez  
81140224 Palacios Sosa v.  
Kwabena Osei  
81718023 Pareja v. Parkash  
80479025 Peniche v. Chowdry  
2047920 Podesta v. Procida Const.  
Corp.  
80438723 Poe v. 2649 Fredrick  
Douglas Blvd. Corp. Et Al  
81137223 Prieto v. 2185 White  
Plains Road  
80788324 Reyes Ortega v.  
Samaritan Daytop Village  
81081624 Robertson v. Smalls  
80254424 Rodriguez Turbi v.  
Parkash 2899 LLC  
80679723 Rodriguez v. Creston  
Hdq. Co  
80010625 Rodriguez v. Pdp Rly.  
Corp. Et Al  
80600024 Rosario-Ocasio v. 266  
B'way. LLC  
80600923 Ross v. Holguin  
81633922 Rush v. NYCTA Et Al  
81044422 Sartowitz v. Sevilla  
Beach Et Al  
80801423 Shanahan v. Butchers  
Fancy Inc. Et Al  
80435823 Sibrevst v. Pelham  
Owners Corp.  
80379423 Spencer v. on Time  
Driving School, Inc.  
80399624 Taveras Gomez v. 3123  
Bailey Ave. Owners, Inc. Et Al  
80305423 Torres v. East Gun Hill  
Road Food  
81495323 Vasquez Polanco v. Keita  
81360723 Vasquez v. 3044 Albany  
LLC Et Al  
80973023 Waddell v. Honeywell  
Towers  
81428924 Washington v.  
Comunilife

**Part 24**  
**Justice Shawn T. Kelly**  
**Phone 718-618-1248**  
**Room 623, 9:30 A.M.**

**TUESDAY, DEC. 9**

81673924 Aguilu v. Stewart  
81608321 Aguilar v. Sea Rise 1  
Dev. Co. LLC Et Al  
81851322 Benitez v. Board of Mgrs.  
of 250 B'way. Condominium Et Al  
407721 Bobrowsky v. Campisi  
81687323 Borges v. Coco La Reve  
- Best Caribbean Night Club  
Queens  
2750219 Connolly v. Civic 411  
Wales Corp.  
81004421 Davis v. Eلسanousy  
General Contracting Group Corp.  
Et Al  
2176615 Fernholz v. Riem Taxi Inc.  
80547324 Flores Mendoza v. Dream  
on Corp. Et Al  
82362025 Forbes Restaurant  
Group, Inc. v. Rhandas  
3220618 Garcia v. Savanna  
Housing Dev.  
81506424 Hernandez v. Beverley  
Hotel Associates LLC Et Al  
80969421 Herrera v. M.N.C.  
General Contractors Corp. Et Al  
8077022 Hurtado Fernandez v. 76  
Eleventh Ave. Prop. Owner LLC  
80290625 In The Matter of The  
Petition of J.G. Wentworth  
Originations v. Athene Life Ins.  
Co. of NY Et Al  
81223224 Izaguirre Hernandez v.  
Burke Homes  
81614121 Izaguirre Hernandez v.  
Mount Aaron Village LLC Et Al  
81640623 Llamas Sanchez v. Cm &  
Assoc. Const. Mgt.  
81341223 Martinez v. Bronx Park  
Gardens LLC  
80918323 Martinez v. Reliant Rly.  
Services  
81513022 Mazara v. Rcpj Landmark  
Properties LLC v. M.N.C.  
81053822 Montalvo v. Morris  
Towers LLC  
81670122 Mota v. 1881 Hdq. LLC  
80166725 Oliver v. Monroy  
81966323 Omran v. 141  
Commissary, Inc. Et Al  
81585021 Orellana v. 3530 Owners  
Corp. Et Al  
80049322 Pena v. Quedraogo  
2897618 Perez v. Parkside Terrace  
81070324 Pierre v. Aab, Inc.  
80576923 Potes Pastor v. 57  
Alexander Developer LLC Et Al  
81290924 Rafat v. NYC Et Al  
80030525 Ramirez v. De La Cruz  
81459224 Reed v. C.R.A.  
81350823 Robles v. Gramma  
Industries, Inc. Et Al  
81622621 Robles v. Fordham  
Valentine Associates LLC F/v/a  
Fordham Valentine Associates Et  
Al  
81837124 Roman v. Finger Mgt.  
Corp. Et Al  
80524524 Salgado v. Cabrera  
81246623 Sanchez Panjon v.  
155w162 St.  
3332720 Singh v. Jolly  
2383514 Ventura Luna v. Pbm  
81664024 Barreto v. Bpe Rly.  
Owner LLC Et Al  
80394025 Baruch v. Hilton  
Worldwide LLC Et Al  
80661125 Batista v. Gebhardt  
80086125 Becham v. Campell  
80974825 Burgos v. Carranza  
Cabrera  
81136624 Caban v. Liberty King  
Produce, Inc. Et Al  
81338325 Cabrera v. Hercules  
Home Improvement Inc. Et Al  
80298824 Caceras v. 1018 E. 163rd  
St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
81660424 Curate v. Abdallah  
81951524 De Leon v. De La Cruz  
80701425 Disja Salazar v. Jabier  
Painting & Design Corp. Et Al  
80972825 Escobar v. Veringo Corp.  
Et Al  
81017425 Capers v. Pierce  
81564522 Castillo v. Parkash 1780  
LLC  
80518025 Castro Diaz v. Beaumont  
Mgt. Group LLC  
80189625 Cava v. 3518 Bainbridge  
80776525 Charles v. Charles  
81980024 Clarke v. Bond  
81986424 Coburns v. Leon Reyes  
80997225 Condrinas v. Vance  
81020725 Cruz v. Capellan De  
Sanchez  
80817525 Curillo Guaman v.  
Correa Garcia  
81905724 Delcid Orellana v. Cavan  
Builders Corp. Et Al  
80834323 Demas v. Martinez  
80841424 Enrique Guerra Garcia  
Honeywell Gardens LLC Et Al  
81154125 Fajardo Moran v.  
Mandato Enterprise LLC Et Al  
81276325 Faria v. Fiebelhorn  
80626623 Flores v. Tavarez  
80027022 Fontaine v. NYCTA Et Al  
22945020 G. v. 121 St. Nicholas Ave.  
81360624 Gallo v. Camodeo  
80929825 Galva v. Ulerio  
80636525 Garcia Espinal v. Patricio  
Urgiles  
81200425 Gjakaj v. Nickerson  
81401123 Greene v. Omni  
Universal LLC  
80285725 Guo v. Rose  
80444225 Heras v. Barreto  
80863225 Hernandez Almanzar v.  
Ryder Truck Rental, Inc. Et Al  
81905424 Islam v. Brown  
81005425 Javier-Garcia v. 3764  
Bronx LLC Et Al  
81265824 Jordan v. Lugo Taxi Inc.  
Et Al  
80360825 Jorge v. Rodriguez  
81224825 Kalu v. Belmont East 186  
Rly. LLC  
81112322 Kristan v. Stan's Sports  
Bar, Inc. Et Al  
81572224 Lee v. Cloro Corp. Et Al  
82028624 Lu v. Martinez  
80849825 Lu v. Bains  
80264725 Lundy v. Riverbay Corp.  
81321024 Lyons v. Bermejo  
81255924 Mangano v. Gordon  
80355325 Martinez v. Borge Jr.  
80557425 Matthias v. Reid  
80657225 Mora v. 3059 Cpb LLC Et  
Al  
80151924 Morales v. Arturazaman  
81629821 Munoz v. Harking Taxi  
Inc. Et Al  
80794525 Nauman v. Riley  
80979825 Ortiz v. Daly Ave. LLC  
80928423 Ortiz v. Vmh Ververo LLC  
Et Al  
81454824 P v. Kips Bay Boys And  
Girls Club, Inc.  
80949025 Perez v. Shalom  
Aleichem LLC Et Al  
81906724 Pichardo v. Francis  
Schaefer  
81381724 Pimentel v. Bramwell  
80520925 Polanco v. 1665 Monroe  
Assoc.  
80843625 Portillo v. 710 Hunts  
Point Equities LLC Et Al  
80770225 Ramirez v. Finally  
General Contracting Corp. Et Al  
81288224 Roberts v. Baez  
80887125 Santander v. Foster  
80675525 Sewell Harding v. Cruz  
Ramos  
80562622 Shweta Sharma Et Al v.  
River Point Towers Co-Op., Inc.  
Et Al  
81680324 Solomon v. Bhoj  
81122025 Vazquez v. Target Corp.  
Et Al  
81410024 Velocity Investments v.  
Nuruzzaman  
80343225 Veloz v. Boyd  
81123425 Villaman-Santos v. Kulp  
80815024 Williams v. Bahumi  
81397425 Yao Assou v. Garcia

**WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10**

82317025 Adria Ventures v.  
Fordham Hill Owners Corp. Et Al  
216725 Brown v. NYCHA  
80260125 J. v. Baker  
2814720 Ptterson v. Parson  
556825 Rosa v. Acc  
23842025 Springfield Hill 141 LLC v.  
Radx Hdgs. LLC

80970522 M.D. Et Al v. Nsa 2015  
Owner LLC Et Al  
3386820 Medrano v. 2781 Grand  
Concourse LLC Et Al  
80293322 Nicole v. 1637 Parker St.  
Corp.  
80766424 R v. Ronlar Rly. Corp.  
80549023 Rojas v. Gotham 149 Rly.  
LLC Et Al  
81769523 Salaam v. The Plaza  
Rehabilitation And Nursing  
Center Et Al  
2695515 Torresalgaldo v. Best Care  
Inc.  
30711612 Wilson v. The Bronx  
Lebanon Hosp.

**Part 26**

# Court Calendars

<b>Part 60</b>
Justice Barrett Phone 718-618-1007 265 East 161st Street Room 620, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 70</b>
Justice Lewis Phone 718-618-1103 265 East 161st Street Room 340, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 71</b>
Justice Steed Phone 718-618-1004 265 East 161st Street Room 610, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 73</b>
Justice Tba Phone 718-618-1085 265 East 161st Street Room 510, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 75</b>
Justice Bruce Phone 718-618-1043 265 East 161st Street Room 540, 9:30 A.M.

<b>Part 77</b>
Justice Parker Phone 718-618-1025 265 East 161st Street Room 680, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 78</b>
Justice Marcus Phone 718-618-1001 265 East 161st Street Room 600, 9:30 A.M.
<b>Part 96</b>
Justice Morales Phone 718-618-1082 265 East 161st Street Room 460, 9:30 A.M.
<b>SURROGATE'S COURT</b>
Surrogate Nelida Malave-Gonzalez Phone 718-618-2350 Courtroom 406

24/06864 Matter of Lipsky Custom Home Builders Corp. v. Groundbreakers Contract (S) 24/11055 Yakobson v. Igal Ocean, LLC (K)
<b>APPELLATE TERM</b>
2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
***
BROOKLYN, NY
Day Calendar
<b>WEDNESDAY, DEC. 17</b>
9:30 A.M.
Toussaint, P.J., Jiggs, Quiñones, J.J.
23/01089 Jieying Pan v. Ying Ying Chen 24/00704 224-01 141St Avenue, LLC v. K&S Laurelton Mini Mart, Inc., Doing Business As Laurelton Mini Mart; Komal Prashad, Deli and Grill, J. Doe a/k/a John Doe, J. Doe a/k/a Jane Doe, J. Doe 24/01051 Newmont Properties, Lp v. Yvonne Callender, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe" 24/01056 Javid Kohanson v. Frankie Griffin 24/01188 Daniel Stephenson v. Leonard Lucenti and Joseph Lucenti; "John Doe" and "Jane Doe" 24/00036 Matter of Herskvy v. Evans 25/00621 Lindenhurst Fabricators, Inc. v. Corporate Commons Three, LLC (RI) 24/11225 AB International Investments LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/12496 Madison Gold LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/01502 Agams v. Shore View Acquisition I, LLC (K) 21/03732 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 21/09464 Matter of 563 Grand Medical v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 24/01754 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Co. (K) 22/00231 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10833 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10834 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 24/13374 D & A Grandview LLC v. 60 Davidson LLC (K) 25/02642 Rosario v. Scudieri (Q) 24/10586 Matter of 90th Stop Grill Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/11228 Matter of Suptihne Convenience Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/08908 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/08910 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/1146161011, LLC v. Bank of America, National Association (N)

## Second Department

<b>APPELLATE DIVISION</b>
<b>TUESDAY, DEC. 9</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Connolly, J.P., Christopher, Warhit and Goldberg Velazquez, J.J.

25/14506 People ex rel. Chance on behalf of Smith v. Maginley-Liddie (K)
22/08789 People v. Mead, Kyle (K)
21/02370 People of State of New York v. Sheffield (RI)
24/04217 People v. Bouderau, Raymond (S)
23/12439 People v. Kimball, Claude H. (O)
24/12322 Matter of Mohamed v. Elkhalu (K)
24/12441 Matter of Mohamed v. Elkhalu (K)
25/01903 Matter of L. (Anonymous), Saul E. M. (S)
23/11607 Matter of Israelova v. Israelov (Q)
24/01160 Matter of Israelova v. Israelov (Q)
24/12525 DeSilvo v. Zheng (K)
25/04473 Desilvo v. Splen Construction Inc. (K)
24/17718 Kurtz v. Kessler (S)
24/07607 On Point Window Treatment Inc. v. 208 Clifton Place, LLC (K)
21/05261 Matter of Solomon, deceased (Q)
21/05265 Matter of Solomon, deceased (Q)
21/04890 Matter of Hillside Place, LLC v. Housing and Community Renewal of State (Q)
25/01845 K. v. Powell 512 LLC (K)
24/09336 First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company v. County of Suffolk (S)
25/00645 First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company v. County of Suffolk (S)
24/08961 Aduaware v. Willie (K)
24/13318 Aduaware v. Willie (K)
24/13153 Rivera v. 293 Church Realty LLC (K)
24/10561 Maylou v. Mittal (K)
22/02563 Hurst v. Shuker (Q)

<b>WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Chambers, J.P., Voutsinas, Taylor and Hom, J.J.

22/00550 People v. Griffen, Kevin (W)
24/01876 People v. Torres, Jesus (O)
24/10374 People of the State of New York v. Gregory (Q)
23/01106 People v. Geter, Keith A. (Q)
25/00507 Sheppard v. County of Suffolk (S)
24/07464 Matter of Molla Auto Sales, Inc. v. New York State Department of Labor (Q)
25/04342 Rosenblatt v. Mora (Q)
25/05876 Archie v. Cunningham Associates LP (Q)
24/11892 Kolyer v. Sallah (S)
24/11597 Nixen v. Kingsview Homes, Inc. (K)

<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Duffy, J.P., Ford, Love and Golia, J.J.

24/08104 People v. Jefferson, Abdul (W)
24/00853 People v. Comins, Eric (O)
22/09415 People v. Reid, Kemaul (K)
19/14658 People of State of New York v. Billinger (K)
22/10305 People v. Anthony, Obdul A. (S)
19/02195 People v. Coleman, Fred Q. (Q)
25/00473 Prestige Plumbing & Heating Inc. v. Sea Gate Association (K)
25/01226 Prestige Plumbing & Heating, Inc. v. Sea Gate Association (K)
24/07864 Akimov v. Bilik (K)
22/06802 HSBC v. Branker (K)
22/04397 Benyamipour v. L.Pon (N)
23/04690 Benjamin v. Yeroushalmi (N)
23/04713 Benjamin v. Yeroushalmi (N)
24/00090 Benjamin v. Yeroushalmi (N)
25/05701 Emanon Affordable Lld v. Novack (S)
22/05854 Matter of Long Island Power Authority v. Board of Assessors and/or (N)
22/05855 Matter of Long Island Power Authority v. Board of Assessors and/or (N)
23/00330 Makhmudova v. Shohidulardj (Q)
24/07248 Ardent Harmony Fund, Inc. v. Fuschetto Home Improvements, LLC (N)
23/01579 11H, LLC v. Adegunle (N)
24/10596 Everhome Mortgage Co. v. Aber (K)

<b>FRIDAY, DEC. 12</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Iannacci, J.P., Wooten, Dowling and McCormack, J.J.

25/14785 People ex rel. Padilla on behalf of Stephen v. Maginley-Liddie (Q)
23/04066 People v. Futrell, Daeshon Q (D)
24/12128 People of the State of New York v. Amaya (S)

23/01652 People v. Barnwell, Terrence (K)
25/01959 Matter of J. (Anonymous), Asani; Suffolk County Department of Social S (S)
25/00620 Matter of Rodriguez v. Serrano (N)
24/00036 Matter of Herskvy v. Evans 25/00621 Lindenhurst Fabricators, Inc. v. Corporate Commons Three, LLC (RI) 24/11225 AB International Investments LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/12496 Madison Gold LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/01502 Agams v. Shore View Acquisition I, LLC (K) 21/03732 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 21/09464 Matter of 563 Grand Medical v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 24/01754 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Co. (K) 22/00231 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10833 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10834 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 24/13374 D & A Grandview LLC v. 60 Davidson LLC (K) 25/02642 Rosario v. Scudieri (Q) 24/10586 Matter of 90th Stop Grill Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/11228 Matter of Suptihne Convenience Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/08908 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/08910 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/1146161011, LLC v. Bank of America, National Association (N)

<b>MONDAY, DEC. 15</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Dillon, J.P., Brathwaite Nelson, Landicino and Quirk, J.J.

25/14497 People ex rel. Larson on behalf of Moore-Seales v. Spano (W)
23/05980 People v. Sidibe, Mahamad (K)
24/07481 People v. Dileo, Chet (N)
24/01145 People v. Willis, Jerod F. (D)
24/09725 People v. Willis, Jerod F. (D)
22/04624 People v. Jones, Andre (K)
24/07310 Matter of Singh v. Harry (Q)
24/11363 Matter of M. (Anonymous), Emily; Administration for Children's Service (K)
25/00237 Matter of Ellis v. Wiley (N)
24/08828 Radison v. 292 Court Street, LLC (K)
24/13364 Radison v. 292 Court Street, LLC (K)
24/07672 Deloach v. McNeil (K)
24/13651 Matter of Bolsinger, deceased (D)
23/09318 Matter of Hickey v. Schroeder (D)
24/12617 Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. v. Benyamian (N)
25/01200 Gonnella v. State of New York (NYS)15
25/01813 Benjamin v. Nail Peak Inc. (K)
25/00107 Pinola v. Kitt (S)
22/06047 Wells Fargo Bank, National Association v. 685 Georgia, LLC (K)
22/06048 Wells Fargo Bank, National Association v. 685 Georgia, LLC (K)
23/03466 Malalay v. Boulevard Leasing Limited Partnership (Q)
24/12776 Bank of America, N.A. v. D'Andrade (Q)

<b>TUESDAY, DEC. 16</b>
10 A.M.
Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY
Barros, J.P., Genovesi, Ventura and Goldberg Velazquez, J.J.

23/12199 People v. Soto, Miguel (K)
24/11039 People v. Gonzalez-Guerra, Jose A. (N)
24/00050 People v. Moselem, Omar (K)
24/04810 People v. Dangerfield, Savonn M. (N)
23/08520 People v. Mitchell, Alexcia (K)
25/14505 People ex rel. Bright on behalf of Lathuutha Handi (RI)
21/09490 Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company v. Pruitt (Q)
22/04846 Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company v. Pruitt (Q)
21/06743 Rhodes v. Dilena (N)
23/06569 Rhodes v. Dilena (N)
24/11199 Bank of America, N.A. v. Thomas (Q)
22/04288 Hinds-Pearl v. County of Westchester (W)
23/01911 Cognetta v. Hylan Plaza 1339, LLC (RI)
24/06573 Ferere v. Saks Fifth Avenue, LLC (Q)
24/07178 U.S. Bank National Association v. Castronuovo (N)
21/05097 Nesconet Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation v. Commissioner of Heal (S)
21/08080 Nesconet Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation v. Commissioner of Hea (S)
24/1834 Chelsea v. State of New York (Q)
24/09007 Theliese Cafe Group, LLC v. K.C. Engineering and Land Surveying, P.C. (D)
25/02180 Alam v. Priority One Ambulance, Inc. (K)

24/06864 Matter of Lipsky Custom Home Builders Corp. v. Groundbreakers Contract (S) 24/11055 Yakobson v. Igal Ocean, LLC (K)
<b>APPELLATE TERM</b>
2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
***
BROOKLYN, NY
Day Calendar
<b>WEDNESDAY, DEC. 10</b>
9:30 A.M.
Toussaint, P.J., Jiggs, Quiñones, J.J.
23/01089 Jieying Pan v. Ying Ying Chen 24/00704 224-01 141St Avenue, LLC v. K&S Laurelton Mini Mart, Inc., Doing Business As Laurelton Mini Mart; Komal Prashad, Deli and Grill, J. Doe a/k/a John Doe, J. Doe a/k/a Jane Doe, J. Doe 24/01051 Newmont Properties, Lp v. Yvonne Callender, "John Doe" and "Jane Doe" 24/01056 Javid Kohanson v. Frankie Griffin 24/01188 Daniel Stephenson v. Leonard Lucenti and Joseph Lucenti; "John Doe" and "Jane Doe" 24/00036 Matter of Herskvy v. Evans 25/00621 Lindenhurst Fabricators, Inc. v. Corporate Commons Three, LLC (RI) 24/11225 AB International Investments LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/12496 Madison Gold LLC v. GFE NY, LLC (Q) 24/01502 Agams v. Shore View Acquisition I, LLC (K) 21/03732 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 21/09464 Matter of 563 Grand Medical v. Country-Wide Insurance Company (K) 24/01754 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Insurance Co. (K) 22/00231 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10833 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 23/10834 Matter of 563 Grand Medical, P.C. v. Country-Wide Ins. Co. (K) 24/13374 D & A Grandview LLC v. 60 Davidson LLC (K) 25/02642 Rosario v. Scudieri (Q) 24/10586 Matter of 90th Stop Grill Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/11228 Matter of Suptihne Convenience Corp. v. City of New York (Q) 24/08908 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/08910 U.S. Bank National Association v. 964-966 Myrtle, LLC (K) 24/1146161011, LLC v. Bank of America, National Association (N)

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

23/00797 People v. Joseph Martinez 24/01083 Valerie Smith v. Jessica Tormos 24/01159 Frances D'alia On Behalf Of Estate Of Ernest Cassano, Jr. v. Lorraine Maguire 24/01163 Anthony Giacobbe v. City Of New Rochelle 24/01327 County Of Rockland v. Arthur Yankowitz 24/01328 Azteca Fence, Inc. v. Brian Pratt 24/01348 County Of Rockland v. Brian James Dudek
--

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

23/00797 People v. Joseph Martinez 24/01083 Valerie Smith v. Jessica Tormos 24/01159 Frances D'alia On Behalf Of Estate Of Ernest Cassano, Jr. v. Lorraine Maguire 24/01163 Anthony Giacobbe v. City Of New Rochelle 24/01327 County Of Rockland v. Arthur Yankowitz 24/01328 Azteca Fence, Inc. v. Brian Pratt 24/01348 County Of Rockland v. Brian James Dudek
--

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***
WHITE PLAINS, NY
Day Calendar
<b>THURSDAY, DEC. 11</b>
9:30 A.M.
Conway, J.P., Vazquez-Doles, Liccione, J.J.

<b>9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b>
***

# CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

## LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

### #1 Global Legal Job Site

Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson Phone: 212.457.7850 Email: crobertson@alm.com

#### FOUNDATIONS

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF GRAND STREET FOUNDATION.** For the calendar year ended 2024 is available at its principal office located at 128 Dorrance Street, Suite 460, Providence, RI 02903 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Thomas Tryforos. 18098 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF MBK Educational Foundation Inc.** For the calendar year ended 2024 is available at its principal office located at 252 Seventh Ave., Suite 51, New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Mimi Kim. 18105 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF NEUWITH FOUNDATION INC.** For the FISCAL year ended AUGUST 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 175 GREAT NECK ROAD, GREAT NECK, NY 11021 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is BARBARA BRAUN. 18136 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE GURNEY FOUNDATION.** For the FISCAL year ended AUGUST 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 175 GREAT NECK ROAD, GREAT NECK, NY 11021 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is ROBERT WITTES. 18137 d9

**The Annual Tax Return of the David Rockefeller Fund, Inc.** for the year ended December 31, 2024 is typically available at its principal office located at 475 Riverside Drive, Suite 900, New York, NY 10115 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. However, since COVID-19, the Foundation offices are operating with specific safety protocols in place and in a hybrid capacity, therefore, if an in-person review of the tax return is desired, please call David Rockefeller Fund, Inc. main office at (212) 812-4300 to schedule an appointment for review. The Chief Executive Officer of the Foundation is Lourdes Rodriguez. 18250 d9

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

**Ranialee Kanazi, LCSW, PLLC.** Arts of Org filed with SSNY on 11/11/25. Off. Loc: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of process to: The PLLC 225 West 35th St 7th FL, New York NY 10001. Purpose: to engage in the Licensed Clinical Social Work. 18219 d9-Tu J13

**BRIDGE YEARS LCSW, PLLC.** a Prof.LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 10/16/2025. Office loc: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The PLLC, 69 Murray Street, Apt 5, NY, NY 10007. Purpose: To Practice The Profession Of Licensed Clinical Social Worker. 17355 n18-Tu d23

**PAUL DILLON, PA PLLC,** a Prof. LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/11/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The PLLC, 89 Chestnut St, Massapequa, NY 11758. Purpose: To Practice The Profession Of Physician Assistant. 17356 n18-Tu d23

**Notice of Formation of Law Office of Biana L. Finkel, PLLC.** Arts. of Org. filed SSNY 11/19/2025. Nassau County. SSNY design. as agent, will mail to The PLLC, 38 Concord Street, Lynbrook, NY 11563. Lawful purposes. 17881 D02 T J06

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of New York NY Dental, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/31/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 16 W 32nd Street, Suite 507, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 17965 D09 T J13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of Alexa Danoff, Ph.D. Psychological Services, PLLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/29/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 777 West End Ave., Apt. 2B, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Practice the profession of psychology. 17890 Dec 2 tu Jan 6

#### FOUNDATIONS

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF ANTHONY E. MEYER FAMILY FOUNDATION.** For the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at c/o Ocean Road Advisors, 70 East 55th Street, 25th Fl. New York, NY 10022 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Anthony E. Meyer. 18112 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF EDWARD & SANDRA MEYER FOUNDATION INC.** For the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at c/o Ocean Road Advisors, 70 East 55th Street, 25th Fl. New York, NY 10022 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Anthony E. Meyer. 18110 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF MARGARET A. MEYER FAMILY FOUNDATION INC.** For the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at c/o Ocean Road Advisors, 70 East 55th Street, 25th Fl. New York, NY 10022 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Anthony E. Meyer. 18111 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Andrew & Ann Tisch Foundation, Inc.** for the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at 712 Fifth Avenue, 12th Fl. New York, NY 10019 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Barry L. Bloom, Esq. 18258 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Damial Foundation, Inc.** for the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at 712 Fifth Avenue, 12th Fl. New York, NY 10019 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Barry L. Bloom, Esq. 18259 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE HENSHAWMENDEZ FAMILY FOUNDATION.** For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 22 Garden Place, Brooklyn, NY 11201 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Leslie Henshaw. 16962 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF Tisch Foundation, Inc.** for the calendar year ended 12/31/2024 is available at its principal office located at 712 Fifth Avenue, 12th Fl. New York, NY 10019 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Barry L. Bloom, Esq. 18257 d9

**THE ANNUAL RETURN OF MAX J & WINNIE S ROSEN-SHEIN FOUNDATION INC** for the year ended December 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 60 E. 42nd Street, 40th Fl., New York NY 10165 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation Tim Costello. 18271 d9

#### LIQUOR LICENSES

**NOTICE IS HEREBY** given that an On-Premise Restaurant Full Liquor License, NYS Application ID: NA-0340-25-134098 has been applied for by At Cave LLC serving beer, wine, cider, mead and liquor to be sold at retail for on premises consumption in a restaurant, for the premises located at 103 E 2nd St New York NY 10009. 18284 d9-Tu d16

**NOTICE IS HEREBY** given that an On-Premise Restaurant Wine License, NYS Application ID: NA-0240-25-137289 has been applied for by Cha Kee Inc serving beer, wine, cider and mead to be sold at retail for on premises consumption in a restaurant, for the premises located at 43 Mott St New York NY 10013-5078. 18283 d9-Tu d16

**COMPLETE YOUR CLE REQUIREMENTS**  
Online. On time. On your schedule.  
CLE Requirements

#### SALES

##### NOTICE OF SALE

**SUPREME COURT** COUNTY OF BRONX, U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS LEGAL TITLE TRUSTEE FOR TRUMAN 2016 SC6 TITLE TRUST, Plaintiff, vs. HARRISPERAUD RAMNANDAN, ET AL., Defendants). Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered on May 18, 2017 and an Order Extending Sale Deadline and Other Relief duly entered on September 16, 2025, I, the undersigned Referee will sell at public auction at the Bronx County Supreme Court Courtroom 711, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451-2937 on January 12, 2026 at 2.15 p.m., premises known as 1602 Glover Street, Bronx, NY 10462. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Borough and County of Bronx, City and State of New York, Block 3990 and Lot 2. Approximate amount of judgment is \$571,487.22 plus interest and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index #381184/10. Larry Alfonso Arias, Esq., Referee Friedman Variolo LLP, 85 Broad Street, Suite 501 New York New York 10004. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Firm File No.: 211507-1. 17966 d9-M d30

##### NOTICE OF SALE

Reference is made to a certain Security Agreement dated December 2023 by and between 616 Supermarket Corp. ("Debtor") and Associated Supermarket Group LLC and AFS Capital LLC ("Lender") (collectively, all of the aforementioned loan and related documents hereinafter referred to as the "Loan Documents"). Based upon the occurrence, existence and continuance of certain Default and/or Event of Default under the Loan Documents (as defined and set forth therein), pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Loan Documents and pursuant to Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code as enacted in the State of New York, Lender will sell the Collateral (as such term is defined in the Loan Documents) publicly to the highest qualified bidder, at the offices of Harfenist Kraut & Gerber LLP, 300 Maryland Avenue, Suite 2E1, Lake Success, New York 11042 on December 18, 2025 at 2:30 p.m., and such sale shall be conducted through licensed auctioneer American Auctions Liquidations Appraisals, Inc. ("Auctioneer"), 15 Triborough DCA#09835417. Lender, reserves the right to bid for and purchase the assets being sold, and to credit the purchase price against Borrowers' obligations under the Loan Documents to Lender. 18218 d9-Tu-11

**REFREEE'S NOTICE OF SALE IN FORECLOSURE SUPREME COURT** COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER CITIMORTGAGE, INC., Plaintiff against LINDA TEURLINCKX INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE TRUST U/W/O HENRY R. LIEBERMAN, et al Defendants). Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered on October 14, 2025, I, the undersigned Referee will sell at public auction in the lobby of the Westchester County Supreme Court, 111 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., White Plains, N.Y. on the 16th day of December, 2025 at 9:30 AM. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Town of Yorktown, County of Westchester and State of New York. Premises known as 133A Columbia Court a/k/a 133 Columbia Court A a/k/a 133A Columbia Court, Unit A, Yorktown Heights, (Town of Yorktown) NY, 10598. (SBL#: 17.09-1-71.-740) Approximate amount of lien \$299,110.91 plus interest and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed judgment and terms of sale. Index No. 55494/2023. Barry Salman, Esq., Referee. Davidson Fink LLP Attorney(s) for Plaintiff 400 Meridian Centre Blvd. Ste 200 Rochester, NY 14618 Tel: 585760-8218 Dated: October 27, 2025 During the COVID-19 health emergency, bidders are required to comply with all governmental health requirements in effect at the time of sale including but not limited to, wearing face coverings and maintaining social distancing (at least 6-feet apart) during the auction, while tendering deposit and at any subsequent closing. Bidders are also required to comply with the Foreclosure Auction Rules and COVID-19 Health Emergency Rules issued by the Supreme Court of this County in addition to the conditions set forth in the Terms of Sale. 17066 n18-Tu d9

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of EASTVIEW 100 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ray Dab 90am N Ocean Blvd., Ste. 601, Pompano Beach, FL 33062. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18231 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of GOLDEN LUCY HOLDINGS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ani Gabrellian, 450 E. 83rd St., Apt. 20B, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18236 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of MINSKOFF DEVELOPMENT LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/29/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18248 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of SANDWICH IL CLASS B, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18254 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of BEAVER LOGISTICS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/26/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 130 William St, Apt 39E, New York, NY 10038. Purpose: any lawful act. 17283 N18 T D23

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of 280 BLEECKER STREET OWNER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Junction Management, 36 W. 44th St., NY, NY 10036. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18232 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of 4 CHEQUIT AVENUE LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18238 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of AURORA DAY PARTNERS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/20/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Duane Morris LLP - Attn: Amy J. Guss, 22 Vanderbilt, 335 Madison Ave., 23rd Fl., NY, NY 10017-4669. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18264 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of CRECK ROAD ASSOCIATES LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Herbert M. Gardner, 255 W. 94th St., Apt. 21E, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18229 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of DAISY HUYS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ray Dab 90am N Ocean Blvd., Ste. 601, Pompano Beach, FL 33062. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18231 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of EASTVIEW 100 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ani Gabrellian, 450 E. 83rd St., Apt. 20B, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18231 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of GOLDEN LUCY HOLDINGS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ani Gabrellian, 450 E. 83rd St., Apt. 20B, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18236 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of MINSKOFF DEVELOPMENT LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/29/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18248 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of SANDWICH IL CLASS B, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18254 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of WASABI SUSHI BENTO 400 MADISON LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/19/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18254 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of BEAVER LOGISTICS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/26/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 130 William St, Apt 39E, New York, NY 10038. Purpose: any lawful act. 17283 N18 T D23

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of BK HOME STATION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/20/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Entity Protect Registered Agent Services LLC, 447 Broadway 2nd Fl. - #3000, New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act. 17369 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of Canaan Farms Entertainment LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/27/2016. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to PO Box 322086, NY, NY 10032. P/B/A: 15620 Riverside Dr W 14g, NY, NY 10032. Purpose: any lawful act. 17361 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of Meg Barber Basketball LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on the 18th of June, 2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 115 Broadway, Suite 1602, New York, New York 10006. Purpose: any lawful act. 16925 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of MISS MYRTLE'S CHOCOLATE CHIP COOKIES LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/1/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2166 Bronx Park East, Apt 4d, Bronx, NY 10462. Purpose: any lawful act. 17053 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of RICH KITCHEN LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/17/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: US Corporation Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, Brooklyn, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 17319 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of The Cozzi Method LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/01/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 914 Hollywood Ave, Bronx, NY 10465. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 17259 N18 T D23

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of EVIJO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 9/19/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 411 East 53rd Street, Unit 9J, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 16740 N25 T D30

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of NOOKA CAPITAL LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/20/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 455 Main St Apt 12A, New York, NY 10044. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 17222 N25 T D30

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of NOOKA MANAGEMENT LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/20/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 455 Main St Apt 12A, New York, NY 10044. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 17224 N25 T D30

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of YANE STUDIO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/9/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to YANE STUDIO LLC, 1178 Broadway, 3rd Floor #3982, New York, NY, 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 17367 N25 T D30

**NOTICE OF FORMATION** of 1000 SAW MILL MEMBER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/21/25. Office location: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17887 Dec 2 tu Jan 6

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Notice of Qual. of CURALTA JK JV MSO, LLC, Authority filed with the SSNY on 11/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County LLC formed in DE on 11/03/2025. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert of Formation filed with DE Div. of Corps. 401 Federal St., Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17357 n18-Tu d23

Notice of Qual. of CURALTA JK JV RE, LLC, Authority filed with the SSNY on 11/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County LLC formed in DE on 11/03/2025. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18255 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of BASTION PLATFORMS US LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 09/17/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/05/23. Princ. office of LLC: 169 Madison Ave., Ste. 38168, NY, NY 10016. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 108 Lakeland Ave., Dover, DE 19901. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17901 Dec 2 tu Jan 6

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of DRP SOLARIS NY 1, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/13/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/06/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18252 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of COVER GENIUS US TRADING, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/19/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/10/24. Princ. office of LLC: 42nd St., 30th Fl., NY, NY 10036. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18253 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of HELLENIC FINANCE LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/03/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 07/23/25. Princ. office of LLC: 99 Hudson St., 8th Fl., Ste. 801, NY, NY 10013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17900 Dec 2 tu Jan 6

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of SMA PRD-A FIN, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/13/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 09/26/25. Princ. office of LLC: One Madison Ave., Ste. 1600, NY, NY 10010. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17902 Dec 2 tu Jan 6

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of 210 WARREN STREET 110 OWNER, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/14/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, Corps. Div., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18233 Dec9 tu Jan13

**LAW.COM**  
When Results Matter

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of 261 MADISON AVENUE LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/19/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/05/25. Princ. office of LLC: The Sapir Organization, 261 Madison Ave., 17th Fl., NY, NY 10016. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18255 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of 52 PARK PROPERTY LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/07/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Ruddy Wang, 5911 Springfield Dr Bethesda, MD 20816-1233. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18234 Dec9 tu Jan13

**NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION** of CBTS HOLDING CO, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/18/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 01/24/24. Princ. office of LLC: 251 Merchant St., Cincinnati, OH 45246. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 1

SUMMONS

SUPPLEMENTAL SUMMONS Supreme Court of New York, Bronx County, US BANK TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY BUT SOLELY AS OWN-ER TRUSTEE FOR TRMTG ASSET TRUST, Plaintiff, against UNKNOWN HEIRS-AT-LAW OF THE ESTATE OF PRIMROSE HEWITT, WINSTON HEWITT, AS HEIR AND DISTRIBUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF PRIMROSE HEWITT, MARVIN HEWITT, AS HEIR AND DISTRIBUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF PRIMROSE HEWITT, MONIQUE HEWITT, AS HEIR AND DISTRIBUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF PRIMROSE HEWITT, NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA O/B/O INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, MIDLAND FUNDING LLC, NEW YORK CITY ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD, NEW YORK CITY PARKING VIOLATIONS BUREAU; JOHN DOE (Those unknown tenants, occupants, persons or corporations or their heirs, distributees, executors, administrators, trustees, guardians, assignees, creditors or successors claiming an interest in the mortgaged premises.) Defendants. Index No. 35611/2018E. Mortgaged Premises: 1466 Oakley Street Baychester, NY 10469 Block: 4717 Lots: 32 TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS: YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint in the above captioned action and to serve a copy of your Answer on the Plaintiff's attorney within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service, or within thirty (30) days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner than by personal delivery within the State. The United States of America, if designated as a Defendant in this action, may answer or appear within sixty (60) days of service hereof. In case of your failure to appear or answer judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint. NOTICE OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT THE OBJECTIVE of the above captioned action is foreclosure on a Consolidation, Extension, and Modification Agreement to secure \$264,400.00 and interest, recorded in the Bronx County Clerk's Office on August 2, 2004 in CRFN 2004000472608, covering the premises known as 1466 Oakley Street, Baychester, NY 10469. The relief sought herein is a final judgment directing sale of the premises described above to satisfy the debt secured by the Consolidation, Extension, and Modification Agreement described above. Venue is based upon the County in which the mortgaged premises is located. NOTICE YOU ARE IN DANGER OF LOSING YOUR HOME If you do not respond to this summons and complaint by serving a copy of the answer on the attorney for the mortgage company who filed this foreclosure proceeding against you and filing the answer with the court a default judgment may be entered and you can lose your home. Speak to an attorney or go to the court where your case is pending for further information on how to answer the summons and protect your property. Sending a payment to your mortgage company will not stop this foreclosure action. YOU MUST RESPOND BY SERVING A COPY OF THE ANSWER ON THE ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF (MORTGAGE COMPANY) AND FILING THE ANSWER WITH THE COURT. FRIEDMAN VARTOLO, LLP 1325 Franklin Avenue, Suite 160 Garden City, NY 11530, Attorneys for Plaintiff 18135 d9-Tu d30

LAW.COM When Results Matter

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOA STRATEGIES LLC Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/5/2025 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 18225 Dec9 tu Jan13

TRAIKBEACK SOLUTIONS LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 11/21/2025 eff. 1/1/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 18228 Dec9 tu Jan13

DG 4556 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Dennis Bogdanowicz, Sr. and Georgene Bogdanowicz, 127 Midland Terrace, Yonkers, NY 10704. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17353 n18-Tu d23

DG 4601 LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Dennis Bogdanowicz, Sr. and Georgene Bogdanowicz, 127 Midland Terrace, Yonkers, NY 10704. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17350 n18-Tu d23

DG 4609 LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Dennis Bogdanowicz, Sr. and Georgene Bogdanowicz, 127 Midland Terrace, Yonkers, NY 10704. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17351 n18-Tu d23

DG 4611 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Dennis Bogdanowicz, Sr. and Georgene Bogdanowicz, 127 Midland Terrace, Yonkers, NY 10704. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17352 n18-Tu d23

OHYAH HOSPITALITY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/14/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Ava Katrina M Salazar, 31 Revere Rd, Scarsdale, NY 10583. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17354 n18-Tu d23

SOW GEAR LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 998C Old Country Rd, Box 301, Plainview, NY 11803. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17348 n18-Tu d23

STRICTLY ON WHEELS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 998C Old Country Rd, Box 301, Plainview, NY 11803. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 17348 n18-Tu d23

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SANDWICH IL PRESERVATION, GP LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/14/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17898 Dec2 tu Jan6

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Mazotta Nutrition PLLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/05/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 350 Old Country Rd., Ste. 205, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: to practice the profession of Dietetics-Nutrition. 17883 d2-Tu j6

NOTICE OF FORMATION of DC Hospitality Farmingdale LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/03/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o CCS Global Solutions, Inc., 99 Washington Ave., Ste. 805A, Albany, NY 12210. Purpose: any lawful activities. 17882 d2-Tu j6

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Elk Home Improvement, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/30/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Leo Roman, 1201 East Broadway, Apt. H13, Hewlett, NY 11557. Purpose: any lawful activities. 17884 d2-Tu j6

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF 266 Wild Property Owner, L.L.C. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 11/17/25. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/13/25. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY, 10005. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St. #4, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY, 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 18241 d9-Tu j13

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF FIZBO LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/12/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Philip Herrmann, 1070 Park Ave., Apt. 4B, NY, NY 10128. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17903 Dec2 tu Jan6

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HP JRE LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/19/25. Office location: Nassau County. Princ. office of LLC: c/o Eckel Development, 176 Cove Rd., Oyster Bay, NY 11771. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 1 JACKIE DR WESTBURY, NY, 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 17889 Dec2 tu Jan6

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MEADOW MINDS LLC, Art. Of Org. filed with the Sec'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/20/25. Office in Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 1 JACKIE DR WESTBURY, NY, 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 17891 Dec2 tu Jan6

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SANDWICH IL DEVELOPER, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/14/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17899 Dec2 tu Jan6

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

KEITAI ARCHIVE LLC Art of Org. filed with the SSNY on 07/29/2025 Office: NEW YORK COUNTY. SSNY is designated as the agent of the LLC for service of process. Any legal documents served to the LLC through SSNY will be forwarded to LEGALCORP SOLUTIONS, LLC 11 BROADWAY SUITE 615 NEW YORK, NY 10004 Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 18239 D09 T J13

30-33 79TH STREET LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 12/05/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Martin Flores, 109 Kissam Lane, Glenwood Landing, NY 11547. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 18277 d9-Tu j13

40 AUTUMN AVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 12/05/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 68 Colgate Road, Great Neck, NY 11023. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 18276 d9-Tu j13

KK 32nd Black LLC filed 12/15/21. City: New York SSNY desig. for process & shall mail to: 40 W 32nd St, #A, NY, NY 10001. Reg Agent of LLC: Robert Kwak, 229 W 28th St, NY, NY 10001. Purp: any lawful. 18272 d9-Tu j13

M&A CHARTERS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/24/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 323 Bay Drive, Massapequa, NY 11758. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 18278 d9-Tu j13

BETTIGOLE AND BENJAMIN CONSULTING, LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 11/20/2025 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 18226 Dec9 tu Jan13

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LLED LOGISTICS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 9/23/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 4320 Broadway #1009, New York, NY 10033. Purpose: any lawful act. 16806 D09 T J13

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

WEAVER VENTURES, LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 12/26/2024 eff. 1/1/2025 Bronx Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 18223 Dec9 tu Jan13

217W20 CRG NY, LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 10/30/25. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 217 West 20th St., NY, NY 10011, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 17706 n25-Tu d30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MMAHT MMAHT LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/10/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Zenbusiness Inc., 41 State Street, Suite 112, Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: any lawful act. 17366 D02 T J06

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Barrie Allen Wellness LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/3/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 66 West 94th Street, #18F, New York, NY 10025. Purpose: any lawful act. 17913 D09 T J13

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF GRACE & JOY HOLDING LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/22/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 250 Clinton Road, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: any lawful act. 16810 D09 T J13

DCO VENTURES LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 11/20/2025 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 18227 Dec9 tu Jan13

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Great Deals Transportation LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 9/15/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 99 Wall St, Ste 1154, New York, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful act. 16591 D09 T J13

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

KYVERIS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC App. for Auth. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 10/31/25. LLC was organized in DE on 10/29/25. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to 160 East 84th St., Ste.5E, NY, NY 19901. Required off: 614 N Dupont Hwy., Ste. 210, Dover, DE 19901. Cert. Of Org. filed with SSDE, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful purpose 18280 d9-Tu j13

169 East 79 th Street LLC-Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 6/10/25. Office location: NY Co. LLC formed in DE on 5/15/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served & shall mail process to: c/o Manuel Glas, Manuel Glas Architects, 333 W 39 th St, #801.NY.NY 10018. DE addr. of LLC: c/o National Registered Agents, Inc. 1209 Orange St, Wilmington DE 19801. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Sec. of State, 401 Federal St, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 11194 d9-Tu j13

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SANDWICH IL PRESERVATION, LP. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/14/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LP: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. Latest date on which the LP may dissolve is 12/31/2125. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18235 Dec9 tu Jan13

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF GATEMORE SERIES SPV GP LP Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/12/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/05/25. Princ. office of LP: 430 Park Ave., NY, NY 10022. NYS fictitious name: GATEMORE SERIES SPV GP (NY) LIMITED PARTNERSHIP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 18246 Dec9 tu Jan13

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF GATEMORE SERIES SPV LP Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/12/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/05/25. NYS fictitious name: GATEMORE SERIES SPV LIMITED PARTNERSHIP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 17904 Dec2 tu Jan6

New York Law Journal assumes no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

Legal Compass: The World's Best Source of Law Firm Data. Dive deep into legal insights on Financials, Lateral Moves, Diversity, Office Trends... With proprietary, named data on 250,000+ Firms, Lawyers and Companies! Request your FREE DEMO today at at.alm.com/LegalCompass

VerdictSearch



Keep up with Verdict & Settlement Trends in Your State

Get exclusive access to news-breaking verdicts including:

- Insightful trends in your jurisdiction
Detailed briefs
Top weekly verdicts for your practice area

To get started, visit VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews or contact the VerdictSearch Sales Team at 1-800-445-6823



Point Your Career in the Right Direction. Find the right position today. Visit lawjobs.com | Your hiring partner. ALM. | lawjobs.com