

## IN BRIEF

### Lawyers Advising Elon Musk And Sam Altman at Trial

The *Musk v. Altman* trial in California federal court has brought a bevy of lawyers from Big Law and boutiques to the courtroom. Morrison & Foerster and Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz are advising Sam Altman and OpenAI, while Toberoff and Associates and MoloLamken are guiding Elon Musk.



Jordan Eth



William Savitt



Alison Plessman

Through his suit, Musk is attempting to prove that OpenAI co-founders Altman and Greg Brockman violated the company's nonprofit mission and breached their contracts.

Elon Musk co-founded OpenAI with Altman and Brockman but left the startup in 2018. He later created his own artificial intelligence company, xAI, now an OpenAI competitor. Musk first sued OpenAI and Altman in March 2024, alleging the company had strayed from its nonprofit roots when it inked an exclusive partnership deal with Microsoft that year. The billionaire's lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, claimed that OpenAI had "been transformed into a closed-source de facto subsidiary of the largest technology company, Microsoft."

Both parties are represented by a cadre of attorneys with sizable teams and a mix of large and small law operations.

Altman is backed by several firms, including Morrison & Foerster, which has a history of representing OpenAI in both transactional and litigation. The Morrison & Foerster group includes lead attorney Jordan Eth, a partner and securities litigation, enforcement, and white-collar defense group chair, who has been with the firm for more than 40 years.

The Morrison & Foerster roster also includes trial attorney William Frentzen, who joined

the firm as a partner in January 2022 after more than 21 years with the U.S. Attorney's office in the Northern District of California. David Wiener, a partner in MoFo's San Francisco office and member of its securities litigation co-chair Jordan Eth is on the Altman team as well.

Additionally, attorneys from Wachtell represent Altman. (Wachtell has already been adversarial with Musk, after X Corp. sued Wachtell in July 2023 over a \$70 million success fee the firm obtained from the company's former board of directors on the eve of Twitter's purchase by Elon Musk. Ultimately, Wachtell forced X Corp.'s lawsuit against the firm into arbitration.)

Wachtell's roster for Altman in the current case includes litigation co-chair and executive committee co-chair William Savitt as well as litigation partners Bradley Wilson, Sarah Eddy (a former chief of appeals for the Criminal Division of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York), Nathaniel Cullerton, and Randall Jackson. Wachtell litigation associates Adam Tanne, Adebola Olofin, Kelsey Borenzweig and Zachary David are also working on the matter.

Heston Hennigan is also advising Altman. This includes partner and securities litigator and former Wachtell associate Alison Plessman, counsel Stephanie Xiao and associate Nicholas Kellum.

These same lawyers also represent Altman's OpenAI co-founder and co-defendant Brockman, as well as the company itself and its various business entities, including OpenAI Investment, its limited liability company venture



Marc Toberoff



Steven Molo

**Steve Bannon's Questionable Reliance on 'Advice Of Counsel'** »3

## Court Order Helps Merging Parties Prepare For FTC 'Super-Glue' Bench Trial

BY SULAIMAN ABDUR-RAHMAN

A MANHATTAN federal judge has expanded the number of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton corporate defense attorneys who can view a retail chain's confidential data to prepare for a July 13 bench trial as the Federal Trade Commission seeks to block a merger between two "super-glue" manufacturers.

U.S. District Judge Katherine Polk Failla of the Southern District of New York resolved a discovery dispute Wednesday by allowing 12 additional attorneys representing defendant Henkel AG to analyze sensitive and nonpublic information from Menard Inc., a nonparty witness in the *FTC v. Henkel* merger-enforcement case.

"The Court is satisfied that those attorneys are sufficiently attuned to their professional responsibilities that they will protect and safeguard the challenged



Judge Failla

**Online**  
 The Southern District order is posted at [nylj.com](http://nylj.com).

## New York Bar's Law Day Ceremony Connects Rule of Law And American Dream

BY BRIAN LEE

DURING Friday's Law Day celebration, the president of the New York State Bar Association acknowledged the all-consuming task of defending the rule of law and judicial independence during her year term that's coming to a close.

Speaking during the association's 35th awards ceremony for pro bono service, Kathleen M. Sweet, president of the association, referenced how she, as the organization's primary talking head the past year, had challenged executive branch actions the organization deemed as displaying contempt for the rule of law, and advocating for judicial independence and ethical standards.

"I am proud to say that the New York State Bar Association has risen to the occasion and met this moment with the urgency it deserves," said Sweet, a longtime partner of Buffalo-based Gibson, McAskill and Crosby.

"While our members have different opinions and views," she continued, "we all agree that upholding the rule of law is crucial. We are defending lawyers and judges against threats and standing up for due process and the balance of power between our three branches of government."

Incoming NYSBA President Taa Grays, a former Bronx prosecutor and ex-chief of staff to MetLife's general counsel, also gave brief remarks.

Grays spoke of what she said was the importance of state law-



New York Court of Appeals Judge Michael Garcia was the keynote speaker at the New York State Bar Association's Law Day celebration, on Friday, May 1.

makers in creating stable rules, the judiciary in resolving disputes impartially, and lawyers ensuring clients receive justice.

The keynote speaker was Court of Appeals Associate Judge Michael Garcia, who admitted he found the event's theme—the American Dream and rule of law—"a little bit jarring."

Garcia admitted he initially struggled to connect the two concepts.

Garcia said he finally found inspiration in an old speech by his former boss, the late Chief Judge Judith Kaye. Garcia clerked for Kaye when she was an associate Court of Appeals judge from 1990 to 1992; she became chief judge the following year, for a 15-year stint.

Garcia referenced Kaye's 25-year-old speech, where she talked

about her unlikely path to becoming the state's top judge, and the founding fathers' vision of equal opportunity and freedom under the rule of law, emphasizing the need to safeguard those principles.

Kaye grew up in a modest Jewish immigrant household in the Catskills, 90 minutes from New York City.

The daughter of Eastern European immigrants who fled religious persecution said during her speech that it would have brought her parents pleasure to see her ascension to chief judge.

Garcia told the law professionals in attendance, "that while many of us here are examples of that dream becoming a reality, achieving things our ancestors hoped we could, and who sacrificed so much

## Akerman Expands Intellectual Property Team in New York With Haynes Boone Pair

BY VIVIENNE SERRET

INTELLECTUAL property partners Erin Hennessy and Annie Allison joined Am Law 100 firm Akerman at the firm's largest office, New York, the firm announced Friday.

Both arrive after six years at Texas-founded firm Haynes and Boone, with Akerman emphasizing that the duo strengthens its ability to guide clients through complex trademark, copyright, and brand-related matters in a rapidly evolving digital and content-driven landscape.

"Erin and Annie are highly respected intellectual



Erin Hennessy, left, is a strategic IP adviser and former Time Warner trademark counsel who chairs INTA's PAC. Annie Allison is an IP attorney specializing in global brand protection, licensing, and digital content.



## FirstEnergy Investors Certified Again In Bribery Case, After 6th Circuit Decertification

BY ALYSSA AQUINO

AN OHIO federal judge recertified an investor class that is suing FirstEnergy Corp. over the company's alleged involvement in a bribery scheme, saying his initial decision to certify the class still holds, even



after the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit decertified the class.

U.S. District Judge Algenon Marbley of the Southern District of Ohio first certified the class in March 2023, after determining that a group of investors—represented by Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd; Murray Murphy Moul and Basil LLP—were

## DECISIONS OF INTEREST

### First Department

**CRIMINAL LAW: Court overturns murder conviction based on inconsistent child witness testimony.** *People v. Ruiz*, Supreme Court, New York.

**CIVIL PROCEDURE: NY gives full faith and credit to NH decision vacating Mexican support orders.** *K.C. v. M.J.P.*, Family Court, New York.

**LANDLORD-TENANT LAW: Documented tenant payments create fact issues in rental arrears dispute.** *Bronx Park East Housing Co. Inc. v. Lazri*, Civil Court, Bronx.

### Second Department

**LEGAL MALPRACTICE: Court orders repleading of fraud and Judiciary Law claims against NJ attorneys.** *DeMartino v. Cifrodello*, Civil Court, Queens.

**FAMILY LAW: Limited receivership ordered where spouse alleged to have drained marital assets.** *M.L.P. v. M.T.P.*, Supreme Court, Nassau.

**FAMILY LAW: Court declines to enter divorce judgment despite finding irretrievable breakdown.** *C.R. v. N.R.*, Supreme Court, Westchester.

### U.S. Courts

**EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION: NYU Langone prevails in former nurse's disability discrimination, accommodation suit.** *Almeida v. NYU Langone Hosp.*, SDNY.

**SECURITIES LITIGATION: Court upholds investment adviser fraud convictions, denies post-trial acquittal motion.** *U.S. v. Castillero*, SDNY.

**CRIMINAL LAW: American Kennel Club wins dismissal of decades-old sexual assault claims.** *Greenwood v. Am. Kennel Club*, SDNY.

**EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION: Court partly dismisses suit brought by film, television production workers against union.** *Wilson v. Int'l Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees Local 52*, EDNY.

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW: Court dismisses \$1983 claims against state official over code enforcement dispute.** *Wu v. City of Albany*, New York, NDNY.

**DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17**  
**FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, nylj.com**

## Lawmaker Proposes 'Pied-à-Terre' Tax On Luxury Secondary Residences Upstate

BY BRIAN LEE

A NEW YORK bill will be introduced to allow upstate municipalities to place a "pied-à-terre" tax on luxury secondary residences, via local option, a member of the Democratic-controlled New York legislature said Thursday.

The proposal by state Sen. Patricia Fahy, D-Albany, joins an effort by Gov. Kathy Hochul and New York City Mayor Zohran Mamdani to potentially impose a similar tax on second homes worth at least

**\$500M**

Officials' projected yearly tax revenue.

**\$340M–\$380M**

Comptroller's revised estimate.

\$5 million in the five boroughs.

The Democratic governor and progressive-minded mayor are expecting enactment of the tax to

generate an estimated \$500 million annually from approximately 11,200 properties.

However, the city's comptroller released a report Thursday that said those projections could be closer to \$340 million to \$380 million, based on assumptions on exclusions for rented units and behavioral changes following the imposition of the tax.

The state and city Democratic lawmakers are hoping the tax can offset local budget challenges by targeting high-value, secondary homes that are said to



**Build Your Legal Team.**

Go to [lawjobs.com](http://lawjobs.com) and choose the most qualified candidates.

[lawjobs.com](http://lawjobs.com)

Your hiring partner



**Too many questions, not enough time.**

Not enough hours in the day? Let us help. Research On Call has a team of research professionals on call, ready to help you get the precise information you need to get an edge over the competition. Same day rush service is available.

To get started, visit [VerdictSearch.com](http://VerdictSearch.com) or contact the VerdictSearch Research Team at 1-800-445-6823



## BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at

[info@blaikiegroupp.com](mailto:info@blaikiegroupp.com)

- Appeals
- Discharge Lien
- Guardian
- Supersedeas
- Executor
- Lost Instrument

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

**THE BLAIKIE GROUP**

111 John St., 16th Floor  
 New York, New York 10038  
 212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Colette M. Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding

[www.blaikiegroupp.com](http://www.blaikiegroupp.com)

## INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....	6
Court Calendars.....	8
Court Notes.....	8
Decisions.....	17
Expert Analysis.....	3
Lawyer to Lawyer.....	3
Legal Notices.....	14
Outside Counsel.....	4
Verdicts & Settlements.....	5

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

## New York Law Journal Inside

## Criminal Practice »3

**Steve Bannon's Questionable Reliance On 'Advice of Counsel'**  
by Joel Cohen and Douglas Nadjari

## Trusts and Estates »3

**How Much Is Too Much? A View on Concentration Of Assets in Trusts**  
by Michael Calcagni, Andrew W. Winters, Finn H. Rosenfeld

## Outside Counsel »4

**A Practitioner's Guide To FinCEN's New AML/CFT Rules**  
by Laurel Loomis Rimon

## Verdicts &amp; Settlements »5

**\$50.7 million verdict in a motor vehicle case: Pedestrian Alleged Injured After Struck by Garbage Truck**

**\$7.6 million verdict; \$6.0 million actual award in a premises liability case: Descending Freight Elevator Gate Hit Him, Plaintiff Claimed**

## Calendar of Events »6

## Online

Submit a legal notice for publication on [nylj.com](http://nylj.com).

## Clifford Chance Responds to the Sceptics: 'We're Architects in the Evolution of Private Capital'

BY HABIBA CULLEN-JAFAR

TWENTY years ago, Clifford Chance became known as a serious player in the relatively fresh area of private equity in the U.K. and Europe.

But in the years since, its PE prowess has been questioned relentlessly, especially its ability to truly compete at the highest levels of PE globally, including in the U.S.

It hasn't been alone in its struggles competing with huge U.S. law firms with a home advantage. But, unlike its rivals, Clifford Chance's history in PE reads variously like a tragic tale and a comeback story. Here was a firm whose pioneering growth efforts were severely curtailed in the early noughties when PE behemoths like Simpson Thacher & Bartlett came for the firm's star partners Adam Signy and Jason Glover.

A few years later, a collective of its top funds partners left for Weil, Gotshal & Manges, including Ed Gander, Nigel Clark and Nick Benson, and tax partner Jonathan Kandel; in 2014, Latham & Watkins dealt the firm one of the heaviest blows, taking big name partners David Walker, Tom Evans and Kem Ihenacho. In more recent years, it lost its former London PE head Chris Sullivan to Paul, Weiss, Rifkin, Wharton & Garrison, and just in March, funds finance partners Cliff Cone, Michael Sabin and Dan Drabkin to Sidley Austin.

It hasn't had a lot of luck in the area, despite its pioneering early efforts. But, battle-hardened, the firm today has developed a subtler approach to the private capital game, one it believes is, and will continue to, bear fruit.

It is looking to its roots to position itself as the innovator in the area and reclaim its pioneer status. It's reframing the battlefield around "private capital", a more fluid ecosystem that stretches beyond the traditional big-ticket buyouts into credit, infrastructure, secondaries and hybrid investment structures.

But in a market defined by scale, star power and relentless deal flow, the firm still faces questions from former insiders and rivals over whether it is finally catching up, just repositioning itself, or building something entirely different that is viable in today's brutal climate.

## 'Writing the Playbook'

Because of its size and visibility, Clifford Chance has often been scrutinized, and its private capital offering is case in point.

"We don't even see them as competitors anymore," said a U.K.-based partner from a U.S. firm.

A London-based rival was more expansive in their critique: "The firm has completely lost relevance in the market when it comes to the elite firms. We don't even view it as a competitor any more, which is a real shame as it is of course a quality firm. A lot of our partners



Alexandra Davidson, Emma Matebalavu and Jonny Myers, Clifford Chance

started their career there, and they still have good people but they can't keep up with the rest of the market."

Such views may be blunt, but they reflect a widely held perception: that while Clifford Chance remains a formidable global player, it lacks the depth of sponsor relationships, pricing power and star talent in the U.S. to consistently lead the most competitive mandates.

But Clifford Chance's own private capital leaders believe the firm is still a pioneer and innovator in the space.

"We're architects in the evolution of private capital," says the firm's global head of financial markets, Emma Matebalavu. "As the

landscape becomes more complex, clients turn to us to write the new playbook and we have to keep innovating, because after we deliver a structure that works, other firms follow and that advantage becomes commoditized."

The emphasis on "evolution" is deliberate. Private capital, as Clifford Chance sees it, is no longer defined by explosive transactions but by a continuous lifecycle—fundraising, deployment, refinancing, restructuring and exit—often unfolding simultaneously across jurisdictions and asset classes.

The leaders believe that this shift plays directly to the firm's traditional strengths: cross-border coordination, regulatory navigation

and financing expertise. It also allows Clifford Chance, at least in theory, to sidestep the most crowded—and mostly U.S.-dominated—segments of sponsor-side buyouts, where firms like Kirkland & Ellis continue to set the pace.

Head of funds and investment management at Clifford Chance Alex Davidson puts it directly: "The era of advising on a fundraising and then waiting for the next is over. Fundraising is constant so our teams are very busy."

"What sets us apart is that we are genuinely global, with deep roots and strong connectivity across borders."

## Cross-Border Specialist

That global emphasis is central to the firm's U.S. strategy. The leaders believe that, rather than attempting to outgun domestic rivals purely on headline deal volume, Clifford Chance is positioning itself as a cross-border specialist—particularly for clients navigating regulatory fragmentation between the U.S., Europe and beyond.

Davidson also believes the firm offers something different, and highly specialised, where regulatory regimes collide.

By way of example, the leaders highlight their work advising nine of the top ten global private capital firms by assets under management, as well as all of the top ten private debt firms. Its private capital practice has grown more than 50% over

the past four years, with double-digit gains continuing, they said. The firm also highlights a top-five global ranking for private equity and a top-ten foothold in the U.S.

This year it advised Nuveen and TIAA on their \$9.5 billion recommended cash offer for Schroders, led by partner Nicholas Rees and fellow London corporate partners David Pudge and James Bole.

It has also taken on deals for many of the major private equity houses including Blackstone—advising it on the billion dollar sale of Dutch bank NIBC to another Dutch bank ABN AMRO back in November; KKR on its strategic partnership with Harley Davidson; and Apollo Global Management on the sale of a \$9 billion real estate loan portfolio.

The leaders gush over recent rankings that show how the firm has gained 10.5% market share in the U.S. private equity market, surpassing not just close rivals like Freshfields, but U.S. heavyweights like Sidley Austin, Paul Hastings and Ropes & Gray.

## A New Clifford Chance?

The Clifford Chance partners argue that critiques against the firm miss the point with its strategy.

Rather than chasing the most crowded segments of sponsor-side buyouts, they say that the firm is building a broader private capital ecosystem—one that includes deals in the nimble

## Fed Roundup Judge Grills NJ MDL Lawyer, But Refuses To Step Into 'Mind-Boggling' \$7.25B Class Settlement

BY AMANDA BRONSTAD

A FEDERAL judge in California on Thursday excoriated a \$7.25 billion Roundup class action settlement in Missouri, calling the procedural approval of the deal "dirty," but refused to intervene over matters he said were more appropriate for the Missouri Court of Appeals, or even the U.S. Supreme Court.

At a Thursday hearing, U.S. District Judge Vince Chhabria of the Northern District of California, who is overseeing the Roundup multidistrict litigation, sympathized with co-lead counsel's criticisms of the Missouri settlement, which was filed on Feb. 17 ahead of the Supreme Court's decision in *Durnell v. Monsanto*—a Roundup case that class counsel and Monsanto insist could resolve much of the litigation, which alleges the pesticide's ingredient glyphosate causes non-Hodgkin lymphoma. The Supreme Court heard oral arguments in that case on Monday.



Christopher Seeger of Seeger Weiss

"I think that it is true that the settlement agreement puts a significant burden on people with claims in this MDL and with claims in other cases, other state court cases," Chhabria told co-lead coun-

sel Weitz & Luxenberg's Robin Greenwald in New York and David Dickens of The Miller Firm in Orange, Virginia, and several law professors who filed amicus briefs supporting an injunction motion they filed

last month. "This opt-out procedure is bizarre. I've never seen anything like it."

And he grilled Chris Seeger of Seeger Weiss in Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, class counsel in the Missouri settlement, over the way in which the deal was preliminarily approved: through a prearranged "private meeting" with 22nd Judicial Circuit Judge Timothy Boyer in St. Louis, Missouri, on the same day it was filed.

"It was in open court," Seeger replied.

"Was it transcribed?" the judge asked.

Seeger said he didn't know.

"The door to the courtroom was unlocked?" Chhabria asked.

"It was in the courtroom," Seeger responded.

Chhabria said, "You have a meeting with the judge on the day you filed it, a prearranged meeting with the judge on the day you filed it. You say it was in open court, but it was not transcribed and nobody was aware this was happening. And

there's no hearing at which anybody has an opportunity to discuss with the judge these concerns that all these people are raising now, and the judge preliminarily approves the settlement that all of a sudden imposes significant obligations on people all over the country, including people who don't have NHL or maybe not heard of Roundup, and he did that without giving the other side the opportunity to be heard?"

"I'm not pushing back on any suggestion by you about how this was done," Seeger replied.

"In other words, it was filthy," Chhabria said.

Seeger pointed out that Boyer has scheduled a July 9 final approval hearing at which objectors can argue against the settlement.

But despite his criticisms, Chhabria hesitated to intervene in a state court case not before him.

"The upshot is that whatever problems there are with this settlement agreement, and there are many, that is for the appellate courts in Missouri to address and possibly the United States Supreme Court to address, but it's not ... for me to address," he said. "Nobody needs to convince me there are major problems with this settlement agreement. What you need to convince me is this settlement

interferes with my jurisdiction over my MDL matters, and I don't think it does."

Co-lead counsel filed the injunction motion after Seeger and another class counsel, Eric Holland, as well as Monsanto, disclosed that the proposed class in the Missouri settlement, while excluding Roundup cases in the multidistrict litigation, would include federal lawsuits filed or transferred to the multidistrict litigation after the settlement date of Feb. 17. It also would include federal cases that were remanded before Feb. 17 to the courts where they were originally filed.

## 'This Is Frankly Mind-Boggling'

In turning to Chhabria, the firms behind the injunction hope to air grievances about the Missouri settlement in front of a more receptive judge. Greenwald and more than a dozen other lawyers claiming to represent 20,000 Roundup plaintiffs previously sought to intervene in the Missouri settlement before Boyer granted preliminary approval on March 4.

Chhabria has rejected a Roundup class action settlement before. In 2021, he rejected a previous Roundup class action settle-

## Corporate Attorneys Know Clients Shouldn't Be Asking Chatbots Legal Questions. Do the Clients?

BY ELLEN BARDASH

IN MID-MARCH, the Delaware Court of Chancery issued a decision concluding South Korean gaming company Krafton Inc. breached its agreement to purchase Unknown Worlds Entertainment, creator of the video game Subnautica, in an effort to evade a \$250 million earn-out agreement.

There were several attention-grabbing elements to the case, but what litigators immediately zeroed in on was how the court cited Krafton's CEO's ChatGPT conversations as evidence.

"The chat logs became like a discovery windfall for the opposing counsel because it showed the business strategy and just how bad faith it was, but it wasn't protected by attorney-client privilege because it wasn't directed by counsel in any way," said Kimberly Russell of The Russell Law Firm in Washington, D.C.

Right now, the parameters of AI chat log privilege and discoverability are beginning to be laid out in the courts, similar to the way emails and text message admissibility have been analyzed. And attorneys are making a push to educate their corporate clients on how to avoid what played out in *Fortis Advisors v. Krafton*.

Several attorneys compared communicating with a chatbot to

writing in a diary, the old discovery-speak standard for one person communicating without a person on the other end of that communication.

"It's like you're shouting out into the void," said Kristen Swift, managing partner of the Delaware office of Kaufman Dolowich. "And then the void that shouts back might be the court."

Krafton acquired Unknown Worlds in 2021 for \$500 million upfront, with another \$250 million in earnout payments possible. As part of the deal, Krafton agreed that Unknown Worlds' two co-founders and its CEO could only be fired for cause. But those three people were fired, and the court sided with Unknown Worlds' former shareholders in finding that their firing wasn't justified under the merger agreement—ruling that the CEO should be reinstated.

The opinion outlined Krafton CEO Changan Kim's communications both with other employees through Slack and with ChatGPT. Kim, who thought the earnout was a bad deal for Krafton, deleted some of the chat logs. The decision lists bullet points from a plan ChatGPT generated for Kim when he asked how Krafton should proceed if it wasn't able to reach a deal with Unknown Worlds on the earnout dispute. The plan included a section on "systematic materials for legal defense."

Vice Chancellor Lori W. Will wrote that Krafton went on to follow the majority of the plan laid out by ChatGPT.

What the case highlights, said McCarter & English partner Peter Zarella, is that AI chat logs can be used to establish intent in business cases.

"There's always been different levels of inference you've had to make. Back in the day, you had to look at the act itself and the potential justifications for it and sort of figure out what was reasonable," Zarella said. "Then, with the birth of email, you had more examples of people getting close to saying what they thought, or what they were really trying to do. And now OpenAI means people are quite literally putting in exactly what they want to do."

Mayer Brown partner Frank Favia said while the Krafton opinion doesn't establish new legal standards governing AI usage, it sends a clear message that courts can treat AI communications as fair game in litigation.

"I think for practitioners and their clients, this illustrates that AI-generated strategies can create a contemporaneous timestamp to a potentially irrefutable record of intent, and one that can be far more detailed and damning than even the typical smoking gun email," he said.

The Delaware opinion came out shortly after two other decisions

shed some light on how courts might treat AI communications in terms of privilege.

On Feb. 10, an Eastern District of Michigan judge ruled in *Warner v. Gilbarco Inc.* that a pro se plaintiff who used AI to generate documents to assist in litigation couldn't invoke the work product doctrine because the plaintiff wasn't working with an attorney.

A week after the Warner decision, a Southern District of New York ruling in *United States v. Heppner* held that documents the defendant used Anthropic's Claude to produce weren't a protected work product because they weren't created at the direction of the defendant's attorney.

In both of those cases, the courts were clear the chatbots used weren't attorneys. The question of whether chatbots are engaging in the unauthorized practice of law has been raised, but not directly addressed in a complaint filed against OpenAI in March in the Northern District of Illinois.

Robert Hille, a partner at Greenbaum Rowe Smith & Davis, said while the general public might increasingly try to use AI products such as virtual legal assistants as hiring lawyers becomes more cost-prohibitive, companies are less likely to treat chatbots as a substitute for outside counsel.

"The primary reason is that lawyers are still relied upon for judg-

ment calls. They're connected to the legal environment that the companies have to address and face," Hille said. "The second thing is, it adds a layer of protection to the corporation because you can say, 'Hey, look, we acted on advice of counsel.'"

But at the moment, there may be some disconnect between how much directors and executives actually know about their AI chats potentially showing up in litigation and what corporate litigators need them to know before it's too late and they've ChatGPT-ed themselves into liability.

With the knowledge of AI discoverability in general in its early stages, Austin Brittenham, owner of legal AI company 2nd Chair, said he expects to see more cases that look like *Fortis Advisors v. Krafton*, and corporations may be more willing to settle those cases in order to end litigation before information disclosed in chat logs becomes public.

"I personally know C-suite executives at major companies that you've heard of who are only just now learning about Krafton," he said. "I've been watching the color drain from their faces as they're realizing that the things that they're putting into these tools are not privileged."

Kaufman Dolowich's Swift said she's adjusted her engagement letters to make clear that clients are agreeing not to put their conversations with her into LLMs because doing so could count as a breach of privilege.

"Ethically, we have a duty to advise when our clients could be in a position where they're using this new technology. It's not like it

comes with a handbook," she said. "I see risk all over the place, and I see exposure all over the place, and I don't want my clients to be exposed to that risk."

Zarella, of McCarter & English, said there are many approaches in-house attorneys can take—and have now started taking—to mitigate those risks, with one baseline being instructions not to ask a legal question without first looping in the general counsel, which can then lead to getting those communications covered by attorney-client privilege.

"It's easy enough to limit the use of those types of tools when it clearly intersects with a legal issue or an existing liability," Zarella said. "I think the trickier part is going to be managing information when it's more central to the ongoing business operations of the company. I haven't seen much in terms of that being used yet in any litigation."

Russell in D.C. said until people realize that their AI chat logs are accessible, she expects to see what happened in the Krafton case become a litigation trend, where plaintiffs win discovery windfalls.

"I would say that if you're general counsel and you're not already having those conversations with your clients, that you're behind the ball," she said. "You need to be having regular audits of your tech stack and reviewing what's going on with all their privacy policies. And you want to make sure that everything that you're doing is protecting your company as much as possible."

Ellen Bardash can be reached at [ellen.bardash@alm.com](mailto:ellen.bardash@alm.com).

Expert Analysis

ETHICS AND CRIMINAL PRACTICE

# Steve Bannon's Questionable Reliance on 'Advice of Counsel'

It looks, now, like Steve Bannon's conviction (and sentence) for Contempt of Congress will be dismissed on the motion of the now friendly-to-him Justice Department based on the Supreme Court's remand to the lower courts.

As you might remember, Bannon had outright refused to even show up before a then Democrat-led Congressional Committee, maintaining that to do so would violate his "executive privilege" obligation to President Donald Trump whom he briefly served in the White House during Trump's first term.

Bannon took that action rather than simply appear and under oath publicly articulate his (supposed) right to decline to testify. Put simply, by just appearing before the committee, Bannon (if he wanted to) could have avoided what some arguably saw as the figurative burning of his subpoena on the steps of Congress. Doing so—that is, just appearing before the Committee—might conceivably have even avoided the contempt finding.

Bannon was represented by Lawyer 1 (L1), a former deputy chief of the criminal division of the US Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, and then a partner at a prestigious New York firm. L1 must have seen it differently and, one must wonder what he was thinking when (and if) he actually advised Bannon not to appear.

To be sure, executive privilege had not been invoked by President Joe Biden, the then sitting president, nor by Trump (who had no authority to do so as a former president). L1 most certainly knew that *Licavoli v. United States*, 94 F.2d 207 (D.C. Cir. 1961) controlled and it holds that the government need not prove bad faith to meet its burden in a Contempt of Congress case. To the contrary, Title 2 U.S.C. 192 (a misdemeanor) sets a low bar for conviction, requiring only proof of a deliberate and intentional fail-

JOEL COHEN, a former state and federal prosecutor, practices white-collar criminal defense law at *Ruskin, Moscou and Faltiscek PC*. DOUGLAS NADJARI is a partner at the firm.



By Joel Cohen

And Douglas Nadjari

ure to appear or produce documents, regardless of the reason.

Bannon never appeared and provided no documents and, instead, relied on executive privilege that had never been invoked. The government argued that the case was about nothing more than "... a guy who refused to show up." Bannon's jury was out for less than three hours, and he was easily convicted.

To be sure, executive privilege had not been invoked by President Joe Biden, the then sitting president, nor by Trump (who had no authority to do so as a former president).

On appeal from his conviction, Bannon's new lawyer, L2, tried to thread a "very fine needle". L2 necessarily conceded that Bannon deliberately refused to comply, but that *Licavoli* should be overruled and replaced by a construction of the willfulness element requiring knowledge that his conduct was illegal. Under the standard advocated by L2, and assuming advice was sought and rendered in good faith, if the client is advised by the lawyer that his conduct is consistent with law (or, as in this case, what the law should be), reliance on counsel negates criminal intent. The D.C. Circuit rejected these arguments.

We'll never know exactly what did occur in the inner sanctum of the attorney-client portal and who—attorney or client, or both—is at fault for Bannon having initially suffered his contempt conviction that now may be vitiated. Let's look at an attorney's

duty when faced with such a situation by asking what the lawyer's responsibility is, both to himself and to the profession.

Bannon may have been looking for an excuse to dishonor the subpoena, and criminal lawyers are often confronted by clients who want to avoid testifying, conceal or withhold documents from production. The prospect of notoriety or a possible big fee in a case like Bannon's is tempting, but Rule 2.1 of the New York Rules of Professional Conduct still requires that lawyers exercise "independent professional judgment" and render candid advice. While such advice may consider moral and even political factors, it cannot exceed the bounds of or ignore prevailing law.

Here *Licavoli* controlled and choosing to just dishonor the subpoena seems to invite conviction for the client and trouble for the attorney. When the client is looking for an answer that "flies in the face" of prevailing law, as it did for Bannon and L1, the lawyer should ask himself if the client is truly looking for legal guidance or whether he wants to evade process and, in so doing find a respected and willing lawyer upon whom he may later "pin the tail of advice of counsel". If that's the case, perhaps it is an engagement that should be declined.

If not, he or she needs on the one hand to discuss the risks and consequences of simply dishonoring a congressional subpoena (which are significant) and, on the other, alternatives to complete compliance.

As opposed to simply not appearing, consideration should be given to obvious alternatives: (i) a motion to quash based upon both the subpoena's facial or procedural invalidity, (ii) providing a log of privileged materials and disclosing non-privileged materials and (iii) appearing and invoking executive privilege (on an admittedly painful question by question basis).

What, though, if the client says "look that's great, but the former president told us that to the extent the documents are privileged, I shouldn't produce them or testify. Why can't I take a hard line, give them nothing and

» Page 7

TRUSTS AND ESTATES

# How Much Is Too Much? A View On Concentration of Assets in Trusts

BY MICHAEL CALCAGNI, ANDREW W. WINTERS, FINN H. ROSENFELD

Experienced and professional trustees may be familiar with the concept of asset concentration and its attendant risks. For others, and those needing a refresher, consider the inherited circumstances of Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (Lincoln Bank), the testamentary corporate trustee in the decedent's estate of Rodney B. Janes, a former New York State Senator and businessman.

According to the oft-cited New York Court of Appeals decision, Lincoln Bank was nominated and appointed to serve as trustees of three trusts that each received a share in the decedent's stock portfolio, valued at approximately \$2.5 million at the time of his death in 1973. *Matter of Est. of Janes*, 90 N.Y.2d 41, 53 (1997).

Most of it was held in Kodak stock, each share trading for about \$139 shortly after the time of appointment. Rather than promptly evaluating and diversifying that position, the trustee permitted the concentration to persist as Kodak's value declined precipitously. By March 1978, the price collapsed to an astonishing \$40 per share, and the "blame game" ensued. Lincoln Bank was ultimately found liable and surcharged.

The issue of asset concentration presents immediate and often difficult challenges for any fiduciary tasked with managing a trust under such circumstances. A portfolio dominated by a single holding invites risk and places the trustee squarely within the crosshairs of the prudent investor rule. What follows is a framework to guide such trustees, tasked with steering concentrated portfolios through uncertain terrain.

Prudent Investor Rule Generally

All trustees must begin with the Prudent Investor Act, or New York Estates, Powers, and Trusts Law (EPTL) §11-2.3. The Prudent Investor Act sets forth the "prudent investor rule," which provides

that a trustee has a duty to invest and manage trust assets in accordance with the "prudent investor standard." Notably, the prudent investor rule requires a standard of conduct, not outcome or performance. Conformity is adjudged in light of all the surrounding facts and circumstances prevailing at the time of the decision or action of a trustee. EPTL §11-2.3(b)(1); *In re Hyde*, 44 A.D.3d 1195, 1198 (3d Dep't 2007).

The Prudent Investor Act applies to investments made or held on or after Jan. 1, 1995. Prior to Jan. 1, 1995, New York followed the prudent person rule, and before 1970, courts followed common-law principles elucidated under *King v. Talbot*, 40 N.Y. 76 (1869). Given the time horizon for the administration of trusts, it is no surprise that a single analysis on the trustee's

All trustees must begin with the Prudent Investor Act, or New York Estates, Powers, and Trusts Law (EPTL) §11-2.3.

investment management may span different regimes and governing standards. See *In re Knox*, 98 A.D.3d 300 (4th Dep't 2012).

The prudent investor standard requires a trustee "to pursue an overall investment strategy to enable the trustee to make appropriate present and future distributions to or for the benefit of the beneficiaries under the governing instrument, in accordance with risk and return objectives reasonably suited to the entire portfolio" and "to diversify assets unless the trustee reasonably determines that it is in the interests of the beneficiaries not to diversify, taking into account the purposes and terms and provisions of the governing instrument." EPTL §11-2.3(b)(3)(A), (C).

The diversification mandate of the prudent investor rule is generally consistent with the diversification standards developed by the courts under the prior prudent person rule. *Hyde*, 44 A.D.3d at 1198. The new statutory construct made

diversification the presumption, with an opt-out "if the beneficiaries require otherwise or if the testator/settlor directed a different course of action." *Knox*, 98 A.D.3d at 301.

In its determination of investment strategy, a trustee must consider "the size of the portfolio, the nature and estimated duration of the fiduciary relationship, the liquidity and distribution requirements of the governing instrument, general economic conditions, the possible effect of inflation or deflation, the expected tax consequences of investment decisions or strategies and of distributions of income and principal, the role that each investment or course of action plays within the overall portfolio, the expected total return of the portfolio (including both income and appreciation of capital), and the needs of beneficiaries (to the extent reasonably known to the trustee) for present and future distributions authorized or required by the governing instrument." EPTL 11-2.3(b)(3)(B).

For example, in *In re HSBC Bank USA*, 37 Misc. 3d 875 (Sur. Ct. Erie County 2012), objectants alleged that the fiduciary acted imprudently in its "acquisition and/or retention" of shares of four public companies, arguing that the fiduciary's holdings of these shares constituted imprudent concentration.

The court rejected objectants' contention and ruled that the fiduciary complied with the prudent investor standard because the stocks were on the trustee's internal list of approved stocks, the selection accorded with a beneficiary's direction to focus on long-term growth, the trustee fully complied with its internal policies regarding acceptable equity holdings in relation to the stocks within the entire portfolio, and the trust's performance and investment strategy was reviewed at least annually.

The court concluded that "the record demonstrates a

» Page 7

MICHAEL CALCAGNI, ANDREW W. WINTERS and FINN H. ROSENFELD are members at *Moritt Hock & Hamroff*.

## LAWYER TO LAWYER

### FLORIDA ATTORNEY

**LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK**  
Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

**CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY**



**RANDY C. BOTWINICK**  
34 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death



**JAY HALPERN**  
39 Years Experience

- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents

**Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid**

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

**MIAMI** 150 Alhambra Circle  
Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134  
P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

**PALM BEACH** 2385 NW Executive Center Drive  
Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431  
P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

**Toll Free: 1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)**

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | [www.personalinjurylawyer.ws](http://www.personalinjurylawyer.ws)

## Click Listen Earn



Stay compliant from the comfort of your own home or office at CLECenter.com. With new, accredited content updated daily, seamless online tracking and 24/7 access, CLECenter.com makes compliance easy.

Visit [CLECenter.com](http://CLECenter.com) to click, listen, earn - anytime or Call A CLE Counselor Today at (800) 348-0466

## BERNARD D'ORAZIO

& ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
NEW YORK CITY

---

DORAZIO-LAW.COM

JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT & DEBT COLLECTION LITIGATION

Reach your peers to generate referral business Lawyer to Lawyer For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850, or email [crobertson@alm.com](mailto:crobertson@alm.com)

# HOW COMPETITIVE IS YOUR FOOTPRINT BY PRACTICES?

Ask Legal Compass: [at.alm.com/legalcompass](http://at.alm.com/legalcompass)



ALM. Intelligence

## IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

capital arm, and various iterations of startup funds created by the company.

Musk's counsel includes Marc Toberoff of Toberoff and Associates, a Malibu-based boutique that primarily focuses on intellectual property litigation. Toberoff is a fixture in the entertainment law community in Los Angeles, but is also licensed to practice in New York. Past clients of Toberoff's include the estate of Marvel artist Jack Kirby, and the heirs of James Brown, Ray Charles, and "Superman" co-creator Jerry Siegel, per his firm biography.

Lawyers from New York-based litigation boutique MoloLamken are also representing Musk, including founding name partner Steven Molo, and partners Eric Nitz, Jennifer Schubert, Joshua Bloom, Robert Kry, and Walter Hawes. MoloLamken associates Alexandra Eynon and Sara Tofighbakhsh are working on the case.

Musk was previously working with Jaymie Parkkinen, a lawyer who, while not in the courtroom, is a professional clown. Parkkinen previously worked for Toberoff & Associates for more than six years but left the firm to create his own practice, dubbed PRK-KNN, this past January.

Besides Musk, the MoloLamken team is also representing former OpenAI board member and venture capitalist Shivon Zilis (who was in a romantic relationship with Musk at the time, according to media reports) and X.AI.

—Samson Amore

### Associates at Law Firms With AI-Heavy Advisory Practices Feel Less Confident About Using AI Tools Themselves

Law firms are increasingly counseling clients on artificial intelligence use and technology implementation within their business operations, but when it comes to deploying newer tech inside legal practices, many firms—in a somewhat ironic twist—are actually lagging.

A new report by leading data and intelligence company Chambers found that law firms with some of the strongest technology practices in the U.S. legal market are also among those falling behind on internal AI integration.

The report, titled "AI is Everywhere, Associate Confidence Isn't," reveals that associates working at law firms that are deeply embedded in advising clients on technology and IP were more likely to report lower levels of confidence when using AI internally compared to other top-ranked U.S. law firms.

"The message from those leading the conversation is that 'we are ready for the future,'" the Chambers report states. "But what has been less commonplace amongst the noise is an on-the-ground view of what the current state of AI integration looks like inside law firms, from the perspective of the associates using these tools."

"While firm leadership may be assured of their own readiness to adopt AI," the report continued, "readiness is not the same thing as confidence, and associates, it turns out, are not yet entirely convinced."

The report covers the five-month period from August 2025 to January 2026, in which Chambers surveyed more than 8,000 associates at 82 of the country's top law firms about their professional experiences and perspectives on AI tools being adopted by firms.

Cait Evans, global talent head of research at Chambers, noted in an interview that one surprising takeaway from the survey is the dichotomy between how much monetary investment law firms are making in AI and technology and the diminished confidence levels of some lawyers when it comes to working with these tools internally.

The report found that associate confidence in using AI in legal workflow was not strongly connected to law firm financial spend on AI tools, meaning that simply investing in more AI "did not move the dial—instead the firms with the most confident users were those that focused on both delivering high-quality training and ensuring practice leaders embraced use of AI," reads a Chambers news release.

According to the report, the top 10 best-performing firms for AI integration were Clifford Chance; Dechert; DLA Piper; Freshfields; Mayer Brown; Perkins Coie; Polsinelli; Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher; Morgan, Lewis & Bockius; and Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe.

Responses also showed that larger firms underperforming on AI integration tended to underperform across the board on delivering associate satisfaction, while in more niche and specialist practices, a lack of AI confidence was not associated with poor work-life balance experiences.

Simultaneously, Chambers learned that associates working at firms known for the best AI integration were also more likely to score their firm positively in areas such as work-life balance, training quality and leadership vision.

The report on associate use of AI comes out at an interesting time, just as legal behemoth Sullivan & Cromwell just recently made headlines after it was discovered that a court filing put together by a firm lawyer included AI-induced hallucinations.

The story gained traction in the legal community, with many viewing it as a cautionary tale for other law firms whose attorneys are using AI more and more within legal workflow, especially when it comes to documents that are filed with courts.

According to Evans, law firms must realize that if they want to fully unleash the benefits of AI, they must move beyond simply investing in the tools and technology and focus more on quality training alongside law firm partners and leaders.

In an interview, Evans said that firms that counsel clients on AI and technology must extrapolate that to better in-house training so law firm associates can better see the value in using some of these efficiency tools.

"They're not necessarily embedding it within how their associates use it," Evans said. "It's like a very different picture externally, (where) they have the knowledge and the expertise for this."

The top law firms Chambers has observed that put a greater emphasis on associate technology training also tend to have practice leaders who are increasingly embracing these tools, she said.

The report also notes as much, stating that increased AI use among practice leaders is equally predictive of associate confidence in using the tools, with 13 out of 16 firms that have the strongest set of practice leaders embracing newer technology also having the most confident associates.

Chambers noted that while general skepticism around AI still must be overcome in the legal industry as AI adoption increases, firms must understand that associate experience is a large part of this process, and they should do more to ensure positive experiences for some of these newer lawyers, who will help take these firms into the future.

Law.com also reported recently that more law firms are emphasizing the ethical considerations around legal AI use, with some of the largest law firms now including training not only on how to use these tools themselves but also on ethical use in an industry governed by a slew of professional rules and regulations.

—Jon Campisi

### Hinshaw Picks Up 6-Lawyer Litigation Team From Polsinelli

A group of six litigators from Polsinelli, including the co-heads of the firm's consumer financial services litigation group, has joined Hinshaw & Culbertson in Dallas, Miami and New York.

Am Law 200 firm Hinshaw has been focused this year on building its consumer financial services group. In February, a 16-lawyer team from McGlinchey Stafford, including eight partners, joined the consumer financial services and insurance industry groups in a number of locations, including in Cleveland, where the firm opened a new office. A separate four-lawyer consumer financial services group earlier joined Hinshaw from McGlinchey in Washington, D.C., and Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Brian McGrath, a co-leader of Hinshaw's consumer financial services group, said in an interview that the Polsinelli group helps the firm position itself as a national leader in the practice, and in particular provides an "added lift" in the Miami and Dallas markets.

"This is really a perfect fit from our perspective," he said.

The new group is led by partners Brendan Herbert of Miami and Marc Cabrera of Dallas, who founded and had been co-heads of the consumer financial services group at Polsinelli. Others are senior counsel Jonathan Blackmore in Miami; Elizabeth Hayes and Justin Sauls in Dallas, and Michael Hughes in New York. They made the move on Tuesday, but the firm announced it on Thursday.

McGrath said the team brings trial experience in courts in many locations around the country.

Peter Sullivan, Hinshaw chairman, wrote in a press release that firm leaders are committed to expanding the consumer financial services group.

"Brendan, Marc, and their team are highly regarded for skillfully defending clients in courtrooms nationwide from complaint through trial and appeal," he wrote.

Neither Herbert nor Cabrera was immediately available for comment on Thursday.

However, in the press release, Herbert wrote that the group has a "long history and a successful track record" of handling lawsuits in courts nationwide, but particularly in Florida, Texas, New York and Denver.

"Our group played an important role in the development of case law in Florida in the aftermath of the mortgage foreclosure crisis," Herbert wrote. "We like to roll up our sleeves and try cases. We're excited to work with our Hinshaw colleagues to continue building out the practice."

Cabrera wrote that Hinshaw has "everything in place" to grow their practices and service clients.

According to the press release, Herbert has been first-chair in more than 300 trials, defending financials services companies in class and loan-level litigation related to banking, lending, debt collection and loan servicing. Cabrera defends banks, lenders, residential mortgage holders, consumer credit companies and commercial lenders and servicers in litigation including consumer class actions and foreclosures.

McGrath said he knew Cabrera from when they both served on the Leadership Council on Legal Diversity in 2018, and mutual clients suggested to both of them that they should talk about the group moving to Hinshaw. After talking for a few months, McGrath said, the synergy became clear.

A spokesperson for Polsinelli provided this comment on the departures: "We wish them well in their next chapter."

—Brenda Sapino Jeffreys

## Outside Counsel

## A Practitioner's Guide To FinCEN's New AML/CFT Rules

By  
Laurel Loomis Rimon



FinCEN's proposed overhaul of the Bank Secrecy Act's AML/CFT program requirements is billed as reducing the regulatory burden, setting a higher bar for enforcement actions, and providing a modernized, risk-based framework that moves away from the "zero-tolerance focus on process and documentation" that the current Treasury Secretary has publicly criticized. That framing is not wrong, but it is incomplete in ways that matter significantly to fintech companies, crypto platforms, and the banks that partner with them.

Although FinCEN's Anti-Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of Terrorism Programs NPRM is still subject to public comment and revisions before being finalized, it already provides a view of the enforcement roadmap FinCEN and federal and state banking regulators intend to pursue, particularly in light of the significant growth in financial service innovation, technology, and partner relationships.

For both supervisory and enforcement resilience, financial institutions should focus on building a record of compliance meeting the aspects described below.

### The Establish/Maintain Framework: A New Predicate for Enforcement

The centerpiece of the proposed rule is a two-prong framework requiring financial institutions to both "establish" and "maintain" an effective AML/CFT program. Establishing a program means designing it, i.e. creating the risk-based policies, procedures, and controls, the risk assessment processes, the testing function, the designated compliance officer, and the training program that together constitute a compliant program architecture. Maintaining it means implementing that program in all material respects on an ongoing basis.

This framework applies to all covered financial institutions.

LAUREL LOOMIS RIMON is a partner at Jenner & Block.

## Merger

« Continued from page 1

materials with the utmost degree of care," Failla wrote in her three-page order filed Wednesday.

"Conversely, the Court believes that these attorneys are sufficiently attuned to the panoply of sanctions available to the Court, including case-terminating sanctions, that they will appropriately focus on protecting the confidentiality of the challenged materials," Failla added.

Henkel is represented by an army of Cleary Gottlieb attorneys as the German construction-adhesive supplier defends its proposed \$725 million acquisition of industry rival Liquid Nails from private equity firm American Industrial Partners.

The FTC filed a lawsuit last December asking Failla to block the proposed transaction, alleging the proposed merger would create an unlawful monopoly in the market for construction adhesives if allowed to consummate.

Subsidiaries of Henkel and code-fendant AIP sell powerful "super-glue" adhesive products to home-improvement retailers under the brand names Loctite and Liquid Nails, respectively.

Counsel for Henkel—which is headquartered in Germany—have taken depositions from nonparty retail witnesses Home Depot and Ace Hardware and intend to review confidential documents from nonparty retail witness Menard to help bolster their defense and prepare for trial this summer, according to court documents filed April 23. Menard Inc., a regional retailer



Last year, the FTC sought to block Henkel AG's \$725 million acquisition of Liquid Nails, citing reduced competition in construction adhesives.



doing business as Menards and based in Wisconsin, objected to Cleary Gottlieb's trial-preparation strategy that greatly expands the number of attorneys who may view Menard's confidential material as relevant evidence in the merger-enforcement case.

Am Law 200 firm Hinshaw & Culbertson represents Menard and argued the risk of an attorney mishandling Menard's nonpublic data would increase if Failla allowed a platoon of lawyers to view the retailer's sensitive documents intended for "select attorneys' eyes only" or those with SAE0 privileges.

"[T]he Court understands Menard's position that the sanctions the Court can impose on the parties may not fully remediate the potential harm of disclosure to Menard, a non-party to this litigation," Failla wrote in her order. "Nevertheless, the Court is confi-

dent that the most severe of these sanctions will have an *in terrorem* effect that will ensure protection of Menard's confidential information."

Citing the effectiveness of the sanctions threat, Failla granted Henkel's request allowing its entire defense trial team to view and share Menard's nonpublic data with an expert defense witness who can present expert opinions at the antitrust bench trial set for July 13.

Codefendant AIP is represented by Baker Botts and benefits from Failla's order as the party hoping to sell its Liquid Nails assets to Henkel by Sept. 6.

Counsel for defendant Henkel and nonparty Menard did not immediately respond to a request for interviews, while the FTC declined to comment Thursday.

@ Sulaiman Abdur-Rahman can be reached at sulaiman.abdur-rahman@alm.com.

@ Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com.

## Tax

« Continued from page 1

contribute to rising housing costs, but sit vacant for part of the year.

Under Fahy's proposal, upstate municipalities would be able to impose an annual tax between .5% and 4% of the property's average value, including graduated rates if desired.

Revenue would be split between localities and the state's Aid and Incentives to Municipalities fund. Fifty percent would stay with the municipality, and the other half would go to the state fund, which supports local governments outside of New York City.

"Across New York state, from the Capital Region to the Hudson Valley and the Adirondacks, we are seeing an exponential increase

in luxury investor properties and second homes on steroids, many of which sit vacant for much of the year while driving up costs for full-time residents," Fahy said in a statement.

Lawmakers most recently funded the Aid and Incentives to Municipalities account with about \$715 million, but Fahy said that has remained largely unchanged in the last decade or more, while local governments face rising costs and an exodus of young people and families who have been priced out of the communities.

"For too long," Fahy said, "these properties have contributed to a hollowing out of communities Upstate, in Long Island, the Adirondacks, Finger Lakes and across New York State, in some instances, by turning them into part-time ghost towns."

Earlier this week, Senate Majority Leader Andrea Stewart-Cousins, D-Yonkers, told reporters the chamber's leadership would be willing to entertain a discussion about the state proposal, since Fahy and others "really feel that there is an opportunity for revenue raisers that would help their particular municipalities."

The governor's forthcoming proposal for New York City would be encompassed as part of the state's fiscal budget negotiations.

In recent weeks, New York City real estate attorneys told the Law.com they were gravely concerned about a pied-à-terre tax on luxury second homes in New York City, suggesting it might lead to an out-migration.

@ Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com.

## Law Day

« Continued from page 1

to make that happen, we have our own debts to pay."

He urged the lawyers to remain vigilant in safeguarding the rule of law, and to protect institutions "so that all those in the future generations may have an opportunity to achieve that uniquely American dream."

Meanwhile, the event gave

awards to pro bono litigators from 10 judicial districts, saluting them for taking on cases without compensation in vital areas such as housing insecurity and immigration and family law.

Steven Patterson of Poughkeepsie, a prominent 30-year criminal defense lawyer, was selected for the Attorney Professionalism Award.

Patterson, who continues in private practice at McCabe, Coleman, Ventosa and Patterson, has worked at the Dutchess County

Public Defender's Office and the Putnam County Legal Aid Society, representing thousands of criminal defendants.

Sweet credited him for "expertly" handling some of the Mid-Hudson Valley's most serious criminal cases, while maintaining "the highest professional standards and unwavering integrity in serving his clients."

@ Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com.

### READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 347-227-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com,

or visit almreprints.com.

# Verdicts & Settlements

## MOTOR VEHICLE

### Pedestrian Alleged Injuries After Struck by Garbage Truck

**Verdict: \$50,700,000**

**Darcy Bottex v. City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation, New York City Department of Sanitation and "John Doe", No. 801134/2022**

**Court:** Bronx Supreme

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** Jason T. Herbert; Krentsel Guzman Herbert, LLP; New York NY for Darcy Bottex  
**Defense Attorney(s):** Steven M. Distler; Assistant Corporation Counsel, Muriel Goode-Trufant, Corporation Counsel; Brooklyn, NY for City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation, New York City Department of Sanitation

**Facts:** On Sept. 7, 2021, plaintiff Darcy Bottex, 38, a construction foreman, was walking in the crosswalk at Bruckner Boulevard and Lincoln Avenue, in the Bronx, when a New York City Sanitation truck struck him. Bottex claimed he injured his knee, neck, shoulder and back.

Bottex sued the city of New York, the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation and the New York City Department of Sanitation, alleging liability for the driver's negligence while operating a motor vehicle.

The defense argued Bottex was on his cellphone at the time of the accident and thus was not paying attention to his surroundings.

A directed verdict was granted on liability, and the trial focused on determining damages.

**Injury:** Bottex suffered herniations at C3-4, C4-5 and C5-6, and a bulging disc at L4-5, resulting in cervical and lumbar radiculopathy. He received multiple trigger point injections and spinal epidural procedures, which he alleged caused permanent deformities and scarring.

He further claimed residual numbness, muscle spasms, lower back and neck pain, and aggravation of preexisting injuries. Plaintiff's counsel contended Bottex would require future injections and additional spinal surgeries.

Bottex underwent an anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with hardware reinsertion at C5-6, C6-7 and C7-T1 on June 27, 2022. When that procedure was unsuccessful, a second fusion was performed Dec. 21, 2023.

He also sustained a torn left labrum and underwent a Bankart repair, arthroscopic rotator cuff repair, debridement of the anterior labrum, subacromial decompression with acromioplasty, and a shoulder injection in December 2021.

Bottex additionally reported impingement syndrome, acromioclavicular joint hypertrophy, shoulder instabil-

ity, and multiple ligament, cartilage and tendon tears, which he claimed required surgery and left him with scarring and deformities. He further alleged severe joint effusion, internal derangement and the need for future procedures, including a total shoulder replacement.

In his right knee, Bottex sustained ACL and meniscal tears, chondral fractures and mild patellar maltracking, which he claimed required surgery. He alleged residual scarring, synovial effusion, chondromalacia, tendinitis and chronic inflammation and claimed the injuries left him with a permanent limp and the need for a future knee replacement.

Bottex received physical therapy and chiropractic treatment before and after surgery and was continuing therapy at home by the time of trial. He claimed he was unable to work, a position supported by his vocational expert's testimony.

Plaintiff's counsel sought \$47 million in damages.

The defense disputed the extent of Bottex's injuries, arguing that he made a good recovery and presented photos on social media showing him active. A defense medical expert also testified, disputing his claimed inability to work.

**Result:** The jury found Bottex suffered a serious and permanent injury and that the city of New York had been negligent. It awarded him \$50.7 million in damages.

**Trial Information:**  
 Judge: John A. Howard-Algarin  
 Demand: \$15 million  
 Offer: \$2.75 million  
 Trial Length: 8 days  
 Trial Deliberations: 2 hours

## PREMISES LIABILITY

### Descending Freight Elevator Gate Hit Him, Plaintiff Claimed

**Verdict: \$7,600,000; Actual Award: \$6,080,000**

**Nicholas Bortugno v. New York State Urban Development Corporation d/b/a Empire State Development Corporation and Moynihan Station Development Corporation, No. 150623/2013**

**Court:** New York Supreme

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** Robert E. Grey; Grey & Grey, PLLC; Farmingdale NY for Nicholas Bortugno, Josephine Bortugno

**Defense Attorney(s):** Kevin C. Schiferl; Frost, Brown & Todd LLP; Indianapolis, IN for Schindler Elevator Corporation

**Facts:** On Jan. 19, 2012, plaintiff Nicholas Bortugno, 38, a satellite cable installer, was entering the freight elevator at the James A. Farley Post Office in Manhat-

tan. The top gate of the elevator allegedly began to close without warning and struck the top of his head. There were two independent witnesses. Bortugno claimed he injured his neck and head.

Bortugno sued New York State Urban Development Corp. d/b/a Empire State Development Corp., Moynihan Station Development Corp., Schindler Elevator Corp. and Midland Elevator Co. Bortugno alleged that the defendants were negligent in the maintenance and repair of the premises and in allowing a dangerous condition to exist.

The New York State defendants were let out by summary judgment years before trial.

The defense contended that based upon pre and post-accident inspections there was nothing wrong with the elevator and that Bortugno simply walked into the descending gate. Moreover, because the warning bell and strobe light are not maintainable items (much like a light bulb that stops working), the defendants had no notice the alarm bell and strobe light were not working.

The defense's elevator expert testified that the maintenance was proper, alarm bells and strobe lights are not maintainable items and there was no evidence that these components were not working at the time of the incident.

**Injury:** Bortugno claimed he sustained a concussion and was diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome. He underwent disc replacement surgery at C2-7.

Bortugno never returned to work and is now a support broker for people with disabilities. Plaintiff's counsel asked the jury to award \$2.6 million in damages.

The defense's biomechanical expert testified that the gate hitting Bortugno in the head could not have caused the injuries because the gate retracts when it comes into contact with something. The expert said this is consistent with its design and safety code requirements.

**Result:** Following a prior trial in 2022 that resulted in a hung jury, this jury found Schindler was negligent in maintaining the subject elevator and it was a substantial factor in causing Bortugno's injuries. The jury found Schindler was 80% liable and Bortugno 20% liable, with no negligence on the part of Midland. It awarded him \$7.6 million in damages. With comparative fault, the net verdict was reduced to \$6,080,000.

**Trial Information:**  
 Judge: Hasa A. Kingo  
 Trial Length: 4 days  
 Trial Deliberations: 4 hours  
 Post Trial: The defense filed a 4404 motion to set aside the verdict.

## PREMISES LIABILITY

### Cyclist, Not Building Design, Led to Pedestrian's Injuries: Defense

**Verdict: \$0**

**Ronald Sharpe v. Shabbat LLC, Shimon Avrahami, Bank of New Mellon, Borah, Goldstein, Altschuler, Nahins & Goidel, P.C., Joseph Jusewitz, M. M SH LLC, Mercury Credit Corp., NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development and Nediva Schwarz, No. 109311/2011**

**Court:** New York Supreme

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** John A. Santora; Law office of John A. Santora, New York, NY, trial counsel for Law Offices of Nussin S. Fogel; New York NY for Ronald Sharpe

**Defense Attorney(s):** Andrew P. Saulitis; Law Offices of Andrew P. Saulitis, P.C., New York, NY, trial counsel, Suzanne M. Saia, LLC; Rye Brook, NY for Shabbat LLC, Mercury Credit Corp., Nediva Schwarz

**Facts:** On Aug. 18, 2008, plaintiff Ronald Sharpe, 54, a freelance decorative artist, was leaving his apartment building at West 45th Street in Manhattan. He was struck on the sidewalk by cyclist Karmoko Namroy. Sharpe claimed he fractured his hip and suffered other orthopedic injuries.

Sharpe sued Shabbat LLC, Shimon Avrahami, Bank of New Mellon, Borah, Goldstein, Altschuler, Nahins & Goidel P.C., Joseph Jusewitz, M. M SH LLC, Mercury Credit Corp., NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development and Nediva Schwarz. Sharpe alleged there was an obstructed view and a defective design of the doorway, there was a raised step that caused visibility of any potential hazards and that the door swung out over the step. All of the defendants owned or managed the building or adjacent building.

Everyone except the building owner, Shabbat LLC, was let out of the case.

Sharpe also sued Namroy and a company that employed him and allegedly owned the bicycle, but that case was eventually abandoned.

The defense contended that Namroy was 100% at fault and that riding a bicycle on a New York City sidewalk was illegal.

**Injury:** Sharpe suffered a broken right hip and a non-mobile senseless right knee. He was confined to his home for over six months and his ability to work as a decorative artist was limited.

Sharpe was taken by ambulance to St. Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital where he underwent closed reduction and internal fixation on his hip.

Sharpe developed avascular necrosis of his right hip and had a second surgery on Jan. 5, 2009. He underwent a total hip arthroplasty. On Sept. 12, 2009, Sharpe underwent noncompartmental

» Page 7

## VerdictSearch

The cases that appear here are derived from VerdictSearch New York, an affiliate of the New York Law Journal. For more detailed reports from VerdictSearch, to request research, or to submit a case for publication, go to VerdictSearch.com or call 1-800-832-1900.

**VerdictSearch**

**VerdictNews NY**

Shopper injured by runaway cart on store's escalator

Type: Verdict-Plaintiff  
 Amount: \$9,903,825  
 Case Name: Rosa Mendez-Huderman v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, No. CV 10-0374  
 Court: U.S. District Court, Eastern District  
 Injury Type(s): arm, neck, neurological-reflex sympathetic dystrophy/complex regional pain syndrome  
 Case Type: Premises Liability - Store, Workplace Negligence - Premises Liability - Res Ipsa Loquitur, Escalator Accidents, Negligent Repair, Maintenance  
 August 3, 2013

**Signs of the Times**

At first glance, last year was seemingly a good one for personal-injury plaintiffs, who were victorious in 42.3% of all suits resolved via verdict or settlement, based on cases reported to VerdictSearch. That figure represents a five-year high, as depicted by the chart's blue line. However, as the red line shows, settlements fell by 10.4%, to 25%. From 2012's rate of 28.8%, the bottom line? Defendants prevailed in all cases last year, up

**Keep up with Verdict & Settlement Trends in Your State**

Get exclusive access to news-breaking verdicts including:

- Insightful trends in your jurisdiction
- Detailed briefs
- Top weekly verdicts for your practice area

To get started, visit [VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews](http://VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews) or contact the VerdictSearch Sales Team at 1-800-445-6823

# BILLING RATES

BEAT THE COMPETITION WITH OUR SUPERIOR BILLING DATA

While your peers struggle to complete RFPs, you'll be winning business.

Billing Rates from ALM Legal Intelligence is an accurate and growing database of more than 70,000 attorney-specific rates, searchable by practice area, region and biographical information.

Arm your firm with unrivaled data to:

- Assess, benchmark and negotiate billing rates with precision and confidence
- Market strategically and successfully against the competition in your area
- Justify rates to clients using data pulled from peer-firms

GET A FREE SAMPLE REPORT TODAY  
 CONTACT: Phil Flora  
 212-457-7767 | [pflora@alm.com](mailto:pflora@alm.com)  
[almlegalintel.com/ali/billingrates](http://almlegalintel.com/ali/billingrates)

# New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888



Official Publication for the First  
And Second Judicial Departments

220 E. 42nd Street, 21st Floor, New York, N.Y. 10017

	BOARD OF EDITORS	ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT
Gina Passarella, SVP, Content	Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum,	Nick Brailey, CEO
Joe Pavone, Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solutions	Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton,	Richard Green, Chief Commercialization Officer
Donald Chalphin, Global Director of ALM Event Sales & Sponsorships	Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays,	Alastair Brooks, Chief Financial Officer
Zoë Ettinger, Bureau Chief	Ruth S. Hochberger,	Patrick Fuller, Chief Legal Industry Strategist
Christine Simmons, Deputy Bureau Chief	Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan,	Erin Dziekan, Chief People Officer
<b>Associate Editor:</b> Patricia Kane	Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston,	Alex Morrall, Chief Product Officer
<b>Submissions Editors:</b> Jade Lopez, Kristie Rearick	Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum,	Richard Caruso, Chief Strategy Officer
<b>Reporters:</b> Alyssa Aquino, Ryan Harroff, Brian Lee, Emily Saul	Thomas Oliva, David Schulz,	Gina Passarella, SVP, Content
<b>Art Department:</b> Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel, Ryland West, photographer	Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo,	
<b>Decisions:</b> Jason Ducena	Mark C. Zauderer	
<b>Production:</b> Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson, Stephen Warren	<b>EDITORS EMERITUS</b> Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen, Barry Kamins, Charles G. Moerdler,	<b>READER'S SERVICES</b> For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472. For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com. Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com Send surrogate's decisions of interest to surrogates@alm.com To access decisions and articles published in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com.
<b>Web:</b> Lora Hollien	Herbert Rubin	
<b>Copy Desk:</b> Sean Gossard	<b>ALM:</b> 212-457-9400 800-888-8300 New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958 Legal Notices: 866-305-3058	

## LAW.COM

The New York Law Journal  
(ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020)  
is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays  
and legal holidays by ALM,  
220 E. 42nd Street, 21st Floor,  
New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at  
New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals  
pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b)  
of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions,  
First and Second Departments,  
pursuant to authority conferred on them  
by Section 91(1) and (2) of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court  
for the Southern and Eastern Districts

of New York as a newspaper of general  
circulation for the publication of legal notices  
in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the  
New York Law Journal, 220 E. 42nd Street,  
21st Floor, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available on  
microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request. The  
New York Law Journal® is a registered  
trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

## FirstEnergy

« Continued from page 1

entitled to the so-called *Affiliated Ute* presumption of reliance to prove that the whole class relied on the same misrepresentations or omissions to make their investment decisions.

But the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit broke up the class, saying the investors needed to meet the more demanding *Basic* standard to prove class-wide reliance. With the case back before him, Marbley determined that the Sixth Circuit's remand order didn't cover "any further consideration of the *Basic* presumption of reliance."

"Plaintiffs are correct that the Sixth Circuit simply has not remanded for further consideration of this Court's prior holding regarding the applicability of the *Basic* presumption of reliance. Nothing in the Sixth Circuit's opinion suggests otherwise," Marbley wrote in a decision filed April 30.

Bob Giuffra, co-chair of Sullivan & Cromwell and lead counsel for FirstEnergy, said that his team is evaluating their appellate options.

"FirstEnergy respectfully disagrees with the court's decision ... and believes that plaintiffs have not met their burden to certify a class under governing Supreme Court decisions," Giuffra said in a written statement.

Counsel for the investors didn't immediately respond to a Friday request for comment.

In securities class actions, the presumption of reliance to which investors are entitled hinges on whether they're alleging that they've been defrauded by misstatements of the truth or omissions. The more lenient *Affiliated Ute* standard applies to omissions cases.

The case against Akron, Ohio-based FirstEnergy was filed shortly after U.S. authorities arrested Larry Householder, former speaker of the Ohio House of Representatives, for allegedly accepting tens of millions of dollars in exchange for supporting legislation that would provide FirstEnergy a \$1.3 billion bailout.

The case is a mixed one, involving allegations that company executives failed to disclose information about FirstEnergy's political contributions and that they misrepresented their activ-

ity. Marbley found the case was mostly about omissions but that, if the *Affiliated Ute* presumption was inapplicable, the investors would still be entitled to the *Basic* presumption.

A Sixth Circuit panel said "this case is all about misrepresentations" and separately faulted Marbley for failing "to conduct any analysis at all" of the investors' proposed damages methodology.

In his April 30 order, Marbley said that the remand didn't cover "any further consideration" of the *Basic* presumption.

"The Sixth Circuit made clear that it was remanding the case for an Exchange Act damages methodology analysis," he wrote in his order.

The judge further approved of the damages methodology.

"It would be sufficient based on the class certification record as it existed when this Court first ordered the class certified, consistent with this Court's approach to the Sixth Circuit's limited remand," the judge said. "Nothing changes in light of the parties' post-remand argument."

✉ Alyssa Aquino can be reached at [alyssa.aquino@alm.com](mailto:alyssa.aquino@alm.com).

## Akerman

« Continued from page 1

property lawyers with proven track records advising some of the world's most recognized brands," said Brian Bianco, chair of Akerman's Intellectual Property Practice Group, in a statement. "Their collective experience, coupled with their business-minded approach, makes them exceptional additions to our team and strong assets to our clients navigating increasingly complex branding, content, and technology issues."

Hennessy, a strategic adviser, counsels clients across industries including media, entertainment, sports, technology, retail, fashion and financial services. She was a former chief trademark counsel at Time Warner Inc., and currently serves as chair of the Political Action Committee for the International Trademark Association, where she assists the organization's leaders on key legal and

regulatory issues impacting brand owners worldwide.

For Hennessy, the practice of law is "ultimately a people business," and the opportunity to join a platform where she can work closely with long-time friends like Marc Lieberstein and Georges Nahitchevansky—alongside her long-time colleague and now partner, Annie Allison—made this the right move at the right time.

"What drew me to Akerman was how consistently the people I met described—and then demonstrated—a collaborative, entrepreneurial, down-to-earth culture. I felt supported from day one—which translates to my clients being supported from day one," Hennessy said in a statement.

"Furthermore, moving to Akerman puts me in an even better position to serve my clients because I can pair hands-on, partner-level attention with a broader bench and the resources to staff matters efficiently, pull in the right specialists quickly, and stay ahead of fast-changing issues."

Similarly, Allison brings more than a decade of experience in IP enforcement and protection, with a practice spanning global portfolio management, brand licensing and content distribution. Her practice focuses on the intersection of IP and digital content, representing clients in the media, entertainment, sports, fashion and technology sectors.

She holds a prior professional background as a television news producer and reporter, to which the firm notes allows her to bring a "practical, industry-informed perspective to negotiating complex agreements."

Allison also counsels clients on advertising, social media, privacy and consumer protection matters, helping them navigate evolving regulatory requirements while supporting brand growth.

Haynes Boones did not immediately respond to inquiries for comment.

✉ Vivienne Serret can be reached at [vivienne.serret@alm.com](mailto:vivienne.serret@alm.com).

## Calendar

### MONDAY, MAY 4

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Fundamentals of Federal Criminal Practice - Part 2**

1 p.m. - 4 p.m.  
3 CLE credits  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=cri042726&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=cri042726&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

**Fundamentals of Federal Civil Practice**

1 p.m. - 4 p.m.  
3 CLE credits  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=FFC050426&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=FFC050426&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

**Private Equity and Health Care: Opportunities and Challenges**  
Event: 5:30 p.m. - 7 p.m.  
Reception: 7 p.m. - 8 p.m.  
1.5 CLE credits

To Register: [services.nycbar.org/Members/Event\\_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=PEH050426&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=PEH050426&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

### MONDAY, MAY 4 - WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

**Practising Law Institute Tax Planning for Domestic & Foreign Partnerships, LLCs, Joint Ventures & Other Strategic Alliances 2026**

9 a.m. - 5:45 p.m. (Day 1)  
8:30 a.m. - 6 p.m. (Day 2)  
8:30 a.m. - 5:45 p.m. (Day 3)  
[www.pli.edu/programs/tax-planning-for-domestic-foreign-partnerships-llcs-joint-ventures-other-strategic-alliances/](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BAR051226&mcode=NYLJ)

### TUESDAY, MAY 5

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Ownership of Cooperative Apartments and Condominiums by Trusts: An Overview of Popular Trusts and Obtaining Transfer Approval from Cooperative Boards**

10 a.m. - 12 p.m., 2 CLE credits  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB050526&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

#### Practising Law Institute

**Data Law and AI 2026**  
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/data-law/](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB050626&mcode=NYLJ)

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Contentious Divorces And Mediation: Addressing The Real "Best Interests" Of The Child: An Introduction to Point C**  
12 p.m. - 2 p.m., 2 CLE credits  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB050626&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**ERISA Litigation Developments: What's New and What's Next**  
12:30 p.m. - 2 p.m.  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=EBEC050626&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

### THURSDAY, MAY 7

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Current Issues in Affordable Housing in New York City**  
9 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.  
3 CLE credits  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=aff050726&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=aff050726&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**The Final Step to Admission to the New York Bar: The Character and Fitness Process**  
12:30 p.m. - 2 p.m.  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=NLI050726&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

**15th Annual Women General Counsel Panel: Top of Mind in 2026**  
6 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.  
Reception: 7:30 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WILP050726&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WILP050726&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

### FRIDAY, MAY 8

**NY City Bar (CLE)**  
Consumer Financial Law Conference

8:30 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.  
5 CLE credits  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/ConsumerFinLaw/42West44thStreetNY](https://services.nycbar.org/ConsumerFinLaw/42West44thStreetNY)  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

#### Practising Law Institute

**26th Annual School Law Institute**  
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/school-law-institute/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/school-law-institute/)

### MONDAY, MAY 11

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Lawyer Assistance and Monitoring Training 2026**  
9 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
6.5 CLE credits  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/Members/Event\\_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=lap051126&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=lap051126&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**Freedom from the Inner Critic for Lawyers**  
7 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=MWBL051126&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

#### Practising Law Institute

**Corporate Whistleblowing in 2026**  
1:30 p.m. - 5:15 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/corporate-whistleblowing/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/corporate-whistleblowing/)

### TUESDAY, MAY 12

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**Bar@theBar**  
6 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BAR051226&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BAR051226&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

#### Practising Law Institute

**Broadband and Cable Industry Law 2026**  
9 a.m. - 4:55 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/broadband-and-cable-industry-law/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/broadband-and-cable-industry-law/)  
**Ethics in Social Media 2026**  
1:30 p.m. - 5 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/ethics-in-social-media/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/ethics-in-social-media/)

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Persuasive Legal Writing**  
9 a.m. - 10:45 a.m., 2 CLE credits  
In-Person/Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB051326&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom/42 West 44th Street, New York, NY 10036

#### Practising Law Institute

**Anti-Money Laundering 2026: Risks, Due Diligence, and Compliance in an Evolving Legal World**  
9 a.m. - 1:15 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/anti-money-laundering/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/anti-money-laundering/)

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

### THURSDAY, MAY 14

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**White Collar Crime Conference Women in White Collar Kickoff**  
Reception: May 13  
**White Collar Crime Conference: Thursday, May 14**  
Kickoff Reception: 6 p.m. - 8 p.m.  
Conference Reception: 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.  
CLE credits TBD  
Kickoff Reception Registration Link: [https://services.nycbar.org/WCC/WCC/Kickoff\\_Registration.aspx](https://services.nycbar.org/WCC/WCC/Kickoff_Registration.aspx)  
Conference Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/wcc/>  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

### THURSDAY, MAY 14

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**Rest Your Case: Protecting Mental Health in Litigation**  
6 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LIT051426&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

**The Benjamin N. Cardozo Lecture by U.S. Senator Cory Booker**  
Reception: 6 p.m. - 7 p.m.  
Lecture: 7 p.m. - 8 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE051426&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE051426&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

#### Practising Law Institute

**Specialized Deposition Techniques 2026**  
1 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/specialized-deposition-techniques/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/specialized-deposition-techniques/)

### FRIDAY, MAY 15

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**The NY Privacy a.m.endment, Emergency Legislation, and Advocacy Pathways**  
12 p.m. - 1:30 p.m., 1.5 CLE credits  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB051526&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

### MONDAY, MAY 18

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**An Inside View of the EEOC, NYS Division of Human Rights, and NYC Commission on Human Rights**  
5 p.m. - 6:30 p.m., 1.5 CLE credits  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WEB051826&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**Inside ADR in the Courts: An Evening with Judges and ADR Coordinators**  
5:30 p.m. - 7 p.m.  
Networking Reception: 7 p.m. - 8 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=ADR051826&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=ADR051826&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

### MONDAY, MAY 18 - TUESDAY, MAY 19

#### Practising Law Institute

**Twenty-Seventh Annual Institute on Privacy and Cybersecurity Law**  
9 a.m. - 5 p.m. (Day 1)  
9 a.m. - 4:45 p.m. (Day 2)  
[www.pli.edu/programs/institute-on-privacy-and-data-security-law/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/institute-on-privacy-and-data-security-law/)

### TUESDAY, MAY 19

#### Federal Bar Council (CLE)

**Strategies for 28 U.S.C. § 1782 Discovery for Foreign Disputes**  
12:30 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.  
Location: Live Webinar  
1 CLE credit  
<https://fb.cusers.membersuite.com/events/a5720928-0078-c472-d075-0b49738cf6e8/details>

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**New York City Bar Association & Wilk Auslander Blood Drive**  
9 a.m. - 3 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=NYBC051926&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=NYBC051926&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

**Annual Meeting of the Association**  
6 p.m. - 7 p.m.  
To Register: [services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE051926&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE051926&mcode=NYLJ)  
42 West 44th Street, NY  
Contact: 212-382-6663 or [customerrelations@nycbar.org](mailto:customerrelations@nycbar.org)

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 20

#### NY City Bar (CLE)

**Marketing and Advertising Law 2026**  
9 a.m. - 12:45 p.m.  
4 CLE credits  
Webinar Link: [services.nycbar.org/Members/Event\\_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=WEB052026&mcode=NYLJ](https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=WEB052026&mcode=NYLJ)  
Location: Zoom

#### Practising Law Institute

**Enforcement 2026: Perspectives From Government Agencies**  
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/enforcement/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/enforcement/)

**Expert Witness 2026**  
1 p.m. - 4:35 p.m.  
[www.pli.edu/programs/expert-witness/](https://www.pli.edu/programs/expert-witness/)

### THURSDAY, MAY 21

#### NY City Bar (Non CLE)

**Small Firm Chats - Stay Connected with Your Peers and Us!**  
12 p.m. - 12:45 p.m.  
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SLFC052126&mcode=NYLJ>  
Location: Zoom

Outside Counsel / Off Page 2 / Expert Analysis

## AML/CFT

«Continued from page 4

tion, the absence of documented risk assessment updates at each of those inflection points will be exactly the kind of gap to drive enforcement risk.

The documentation obligation goes further. The rule requires that internal policies, procedures, and controls be not merely written, but “reasonably designed,” meaning they must actually reflect the institution’s risk profile at the time.

If program testing surfaces a high-risk customer type or activity and the institution takes no action

### The US-Based Officer Requirement

The proposed rule sharpens an accountability requirement that was implemented in the AML Act of 2020 at 31 U.S.C. 5318(h) (5) but has not yet been specifically enforced. That is, the duty to establish and maintain an AML/CFT program “remain[s] the responsibility of, and [must] be performed by, persons in the United States” who are accessible to FinCEN and the appropriate federal regulator.

The proposed rule translates that statutory obligation into a concrete requirement for a designated AML/CFT officer to be located in

blockchain analytics, digital identity tools, and APIs might strengthen their AML/CFT programs, and states directly that institutions that responsibly experiment with innovative technologies will not face additional enforcement risk solely because of that experimentation. For crypto companies, FinCEN notes that these tools may be “especially useful in countering illicit finance activity involving digital assets,” a signal that compliance infrastructure for digital asset businesses is expected to evolve alongside the sector itself.

At the same time, FinCEN’s embrace of innovation raises the stakes for institutions that have not meaningfully engaged with new technologies. If the tools to build a more effective, risk-calibrated program are widely available and effective, and an institution has not considered whether they apply to its risk profile, or sought to implement them, that gap may create exposure. This is a dynamic familiar to fintech and crypto companies that have wrestled with the challenge of geofencing and IP blocking over recent years.

### Building the Record Now

This NPRM should prompt every financial institution, particularly every fintech, crypto company, and bank with significant non-bank partnerships, to ask a hard question.

If a government subpoena arrived tomorrow, would existing compliance documentation reflect a properly designed, established, and operationalized AML/CFT program?

That means examining whether the program reflects the institution’s actual current risk profile, verifying that risk assessment updates and corresponding changes to controls were documented upon material inflection points in the business, and having well-documented staffing, vendor, and tools analyses.

For financial institutions that have been building compliance programs with one eye on regulatory expectations and another on business growth, the time to close the gap between those two imperatives is before the subpoena arrives.

the United States with genuine program authority. Offshore compliance teams and outsourced AML operations are allowed, but the designated officer must be in the United States.

The NPRM’s preamble gives meaningful insight into what FinCEN will be looking for, stating that the AML/CFT officer must be “qualified for that role and not overburdened with other responsibilities,” must have “decision-making capability” and “sufficient functional stature within the organization,” and must have access to adequate compliance funds, staffing, and technology commensurate with the institution’s risk profile.

For fintech and crypto companies that have assigned compliance responsibilities to a general counsel, a CFO, or a part-time consultant, arrangements common in early-stage and growth-stage companies, this standard reflects FinCEN’s supervisory expectations and signals where enforcement scrutiny will fall.

### Technology and Innovation: A Double-Edged Sword

The NPRM’s treatment of technology is among its most forward-looking elements, and financial institutions should read it carefully.

FinCEN explicitly encourages institutions to consider whether machine learning, generative AI,

The proposed rule gives banks a meaningful procedural tool to push back against examiner overreach.

to revise its controls, FinCEN’s view is that the program will not be considered reasonably designed. For institutions whose compliance programs were built for an earlier version of their business, that gap also creates enforcement risk.

### Where Debanking Fits In

The NPRM’s risk-based framework has direct implications for financial institutions navigating the current administration’s focus on debanking.

The proposed rule explicitly frames a properly established, risk-based AML/CFT program as the appropriate mechanism for customer relationship decisions, meaning that account and service decisions must be grounded in documented ML/TF risk assessment rather than broad categorical exclusions based on reputation or political pressure.

The significance of this aspect is highlighted by the OCC’s December 2025 preliminary report on its debanking investigation focused on what it alleged were policies restricting access to certain industry sectors (including digital asset activities) based primarily on reputation risk considerations rather than individualized risk determinations. In this report, the OCC indicated it may refer any “unlawful debanking activities” it uncovers to DOJ.

## Capital

«Continued from page 2

pockets of the private credit, infrastructure, secondaries and hybrid structures markets.

When questioned about recent partner losses, including the trio that went to Sidley, the leaders are sanguine.

In 2024, it hired New York funds partners David Schultz and Vince Ferrito from O’Melveny & Myers, and later PE partner Andrea Gede-Lange from Fried Frank. In London, it brought in well-known PE M&A partner Bruce Embley from Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, as well as tapping in-house PE hires Annie Lewis, who rejoined the firm from Blackstone, and Patrick Scott from KKR. This year, it made a trio of lateral private credit hires from Mayer Brown, in Aimee Sharman, Matt Lilley, and Blake Jones.

Global head of PE Jonny Myers said: “It’s not about adding headcount; it’s about bringing in the right people who help clients get the best from our global platform. It’s a sophisticated market, and cli-

ents tell us all the time that they value knowing they’ll get the same Clifford Chance standard in every region, every practice, and with every partner they work with.”

That emphasis on integration over scale is, arguably, the defining feature of Clifford Chance’s private capital strategy. It is also its biggest gamble.

The private capital market is becoming more global, more continuous and more complex—trends that should, in theory, favor firms with deep international networks. But it is also becoming more concentrated, with clients increasingly consolidating work with firms that can offer both seamless cross-border advice and dominant U.S. execution.

That tension sits at the heart of Clifford Chance’s repositioning. Its private capital platform may be broader and more aligned with where the market is heading, particularly as credit and infrastructure take a larger share of deal activity. But unless that platform translates into a stronger, more visible presence in the U.S., the risk is that the firm remains posi-

tioned as complementary rather than competitive.

One senior partner at the firm conceded that, where U.S. deals are concerned, the firm seemed happy to settle for mid-tier.

In that sense, the pivot is both necessary and incomplete. It acknowledges a fundamental shift in how capital moves and how clients buy legal services. But it also underscores a reality that Clifford Chance has yet to fully overcome: in a market still anchored by New York, global ambition alone is not always enough.

That measured approach may come to define its fortunes.

Private capital is indeed becoming more global, more complex and more continuous, playing to Clifford Chance’s traditional strengths. But the U.S. market remains intensely local, driven by relationships, scale and brand in ways that are difficult to replicate from abroad.

As one insider put it: “We still have work to do.”

✉ Habiba Cullen-Jafar can be reached at habiba.cullen-jafar@alm.com.

## Assets

«Continued from page 3

thoughtful, well-considered evaluation by the trustee of the portfolio and the stocks it held, and the trustee came to a balanced approach to managing the assets under all the circumstances.”

By contrast, in *In re Hunter*, 100 A.D.3d 996 (2d Dep’t 2012), the court held that the trustee violated the Prudent Investor Act by maintaining a concentrated position in a company’s stock because the trustee never formulated any investment plan for the trust that included diversification of the concentration of the stock, acted contrary to its own internal policies, and failed to establish that it took steps to determine whether it was in the interests of the beneficiaries to retain non-diversified holdings in the trust in light of the purposes and terms of the trust and the provisions of the governing instrument.

### Duty of Initial Review

The prudent investor standard also requires a trustee, “within a reasonable time after the creation of the fiduciary relationship, to determine whether to retain or dispose of initial assets.” EPTL 11-2.3(b)(3)(D). New York courts have clarified that a trustee’s retention of an asset received by the grantor of the trust may be found to be prudent even when purchase of the same asset might not. See *In re JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, 133 A.D.3d 1292, 1298 (4th Dep’t 2015). Accordingly, a trustee’s decision to retain property—including real property—received in-kind to a trust should be afforded more leniency than a trustee’s decision to purchase a new investment. *Matter of Weber as Tr. of Michael S. Weber Tr.*, 85 Misc. 3d 727, 730 (Sur. Ct. Saratoga County 2024).

### Guideposts for Trustees

Several factors, drawn from the EPTL and New York case law, can justify a trustee’s decision to retain a concentrated real property position. These factors include:

- **Illiquidity and Lack of Marketability.** EPTL §11-2.3(b)(3)(B) expressly requires the trustee to consider such factors. In *Hyde*, 44 A.D.3d at 1195, the court held that unmarketability was a primary justification for retaining a concentrated holding of the stock of a closely held corporation, which would only be possible to sell at a speculative price given the absence of a market.

- **Tax Consequences.** EPTL §11-2.3(b)(3)(B) expressly requires consideration of “the expected tax consequences of investment decisions or strategies and of distributions of income and principal.”

- **Grantor Intent and Provisions of Governing Instrument.** Under EPTL §11-2.3(b)(3)(C), the diversification determination must take “into account the purposes and terms and provisions of the governing instrument.” Referring to *Hyde*, 44 A.D.3d at 1195, in holding

that the fiduciary did not act imprudently in determining not to diversify the trust’s holding of closely held corporation stock, the court gave substantial weight to the “indication that the settlors of the trust wanted the ownership of [the company] to remain in the family and the trusts were used as vehicles to achieve such a result” and stated that the family nature of the corporation is a material consideration in diversification decisions.

- **Income Generation and Appreciation.** If the concentrated real property holding generates substantial rental income, appreciates steadily, or outperforms alternative investments, retention is more justifiable. In *In re JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, 133 A.D.3d 1292 (2015), the court held

York courts generally invalidate self-dealing transactions without regard for the details of the transaction itself except for the proof of its self-dealing nature. See, e.g., *Matter of Rothko*, 43 N.Y.2d 305 (1977); *In re Heller*, 6 N.Y.3d 649 (2006); *In re Parisi*, 111 A.D.3d 941 (2d Dep’t 2013); *City Bank Farmers Trust Co. v. Cannon*, 291 N.Y. 125 (1943). This strict prohibition can, however, be relaxed by the terms of the trust instrument itself (see, e.g., *Bavers v. Shepherd*, 189 A.D.3d 606, 609 (1st Dep’t 2020)), even to provide a corporate trustee the authority to purchase and hold its own stock. See *HSBC Bank USA, N.A.*, 98 A.D.3d at 313-4.

Nevertheless, such clauses, as well as exculpation clauses in trust instruments generally, regardless of how broad they appear, cannot shield trustees from all liability. Under EPTL 11-1.7 as amended in 2018, trustees of *inter vivos* trusts

The diversification mandate of the prudent investor rule is generally consistent with the diversification standards developed by the courts under the prior prudent person rule.

that it would be unreasonable to hold that the fiduciary acted imprudently in retaining assets that had appreciated or were providing significant income.”

- **Trust’s Ability to Meet Distribution Obligations.** In *Weber*, 85 Misc. 3d at 727, the court noted that the trust was able to meet all its obligations to the beneficiary throughout the period of retention, and that the real property had no impact on the trust’s ability to provide financial support. This factor is specifically contemplated by EPTL §11-2.3(b)(3)(B)’s requirement to consider “the liquidity and distribution requirements of the governing instrument” and “the needs of beneficiaries (to the extent reasonably known to the trustee) for present and future distributions authorized or required by the governing instrument.”

- **Portfolio Context and Size.** Courts have rejected evaluating concentrated holdings “in a vacuum”—the assessment must take into account what other assets the trust holds. *HSBC*, 37 Misc.3d at 875. A 16% real property concentration (as in *Weber*, 85 Misc. 3d at 727) is treated differently than a 71% concentration (as in *Matter of Est. of Janes*, 90 N.Y.2d at 51).

### Duty of Loyalty

It is not news that trustees also owe an undivided duty of loyalty to the beneficiaries of a trust. That duty has been said to be an exacting, “inflexible rule of fidelity” (*Birnbaum v. Birnbaum* 73 N.Y.2d 461, 466 (1989)), transcending mere “honesty alone” and requiring a standard of behavior of the “honor the most sensitive” (Meinhard v. Salomon, 249 N.Y. 458, 464 (1928)).

Even the greenest trustee and practitioner should, accordingly, be familiar with the so-called “no further inquiry rule,” where New

executed on or after Aug. 24, 2018, cannot be exonerated from liability for “failure to exercise reasonable care, diligence and prudence.”

A generally more relaxed standard applies, however, to trustees of *inter vivos* trusts executed before Aug. 24, 2018, as New York courts before the 2018 EPTL amendment developed a lower minimum standard of care based upon a loosely defined “good faith standard,” permitting the exoneration of a trustee for failure to exercise reasonable care. See *O’Hayer v. de St. Aubin*, 30 A.D.2d 419, 423 (2d Dep’t 1968) (holding that a trustee’s liability cannot be limited for acts taken “in bad faith or intentionally or with reckless indifference to the interests of the beneficiaries, or if he has personally profited through a breach of trust”); see also *In re Jastrzebski*, 97 A.D.3d 819 (2012); *Lange v. Kooper*, 28 A.D.3d 240 (1st Dep’t 2006).

### Damages

Where a fiduciary’s imprudence consists solely of negligent retention of assets it should have sold, the measure of damages is the value of lost capital. *Janes*, 90 N.Y. at 320. Capital lost is determined by subtracting from the value of the stock on the date when it should have been sold from the sales proceeds from the actual date of sale (or present value of the stock if retained by the estate). Interest may also be awarded at the discretion of the trial court. Dividends and other income attributable to the retained assets should offset any interest awarded, and the court should consider the capital gains that may be owed if the stock were sold. See *In re Saxton*, 274 A.D.2d 110, 120-21 (3d Dep’t 2000).

But where the fiduciary’s misconduct consisted of deliberate self-dealing and faithless transfers of trust property, the damages are calculated through “lost profits” or “market index” and may take into consideration appreciation damages. *Janes*, 90 N.Y.2d at 55; *Rothko’s Est.*, 43 N.Y.2d at 320-22.

## Roundup

«Continued from page 2

ment that would have provided \$2 billion and medical monitoring of future claimants. He concluded that the deal favored Monsanto over the plaintiffs, particularly those who had yet to be diagnosed with non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

In their injunction motion, co-lead counsel insisted that the settlement included an overly broad nationwide class that encompassed Roundup plaintiffs with federal cases, then imposed onerous provisions to opt out of the deal by June 4.

At Thursday’s hearing, Robert Quigley of Weitz & Luxenberg in Los Angeles clarified that Chhabria could issue a declaration of class member rights, not an injunction, which could help Roundup plaintiffs decide whether to opt out of the Missouri settlement.

But Chhabria insisted that would be interfering with state court proceedings.

“I’ve never seen anything like this. This is frankly mind-boggling, but that is not the question that’s before me,” Chhabria replied. “I

don’t see how it would be appropriate for me to step in and put a stop to this simply because I believe that it would adversely affect other people’s rights in California or elsewhere. It’s not for random federal courts to do.”

The law professors, in their amicus briefs, raised civil procedure and constitutional concerns, such as binding class members who have been exposed to Roundup who might get non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the future. They included University of California, Berkeley, School of Law Dean Erwin Chemerinsky, David Freeman Engstrom of Stanford Law School, and Norman Silber of Hofstra University’s Maurice A. Deane School of Law.

“Those briefs mostly articulated arguments for how problematic it would be to bind people who have not yet gotten NHL to this settlement agreement that’s being pushed forward in Missouri,” Chhabria said. “And interesting as those arguments are, I don’t know if they are relevant to me.”

And, although he questioned whether a Missouri state court could bind such a nationwide class, the deal doesn’t interfere with his ability to administer the Roundup

multidistrict litigation, he said. Should a class member sue in the future, he could address any potential future motion from Monsanto to bind them to the settlement at that time.

It’s not the first time Chhabria hesitated to impose federal jurisdiction over a state court proceeding. In approving a common benefit fee order in 2021 to lead counsel in the Roundup multidistrict litigation, he pushed back on the idea that he had jurisdiction to impose an assessment on lawyers with Roundup cases in state courts.

On Thursday, Brian Fitzpatrick of Vanderbilt Law School, a class action expert who appeared at the hearing alongside Seeger, defended the Missouri settlement.

“This is not something that’s never happened before,” he told Chhabria. “This happens, we have a federal system, the federal judges and the state judges are substitutes, they’re substitutes, they’re equals. There’s no rank or superiority here. They have the ability to resolve claims just like federal judges do.”

✉ Amanda Bronstad can be reached at amanda.bronstad@alm.com.

## Verdicts

«Continued from page 5

knee arthroplasty for osteonecrosis medial femoral condyle.

Sharpe’s medical bills were \$95,900. Plaintiff’s counsel asked the jury to award \$5 million in damages.

The defense’s orthopedics expert testified that the orthopedic injuries were resolved and not permanent.

The defense’s neurological expert testified that there were no neurological injuries.

**Result:** The jury found Shabbat LLC’s negligence was not a substantial factor in causing Sharpe’s injuries. A defense verdict was entered.

### WRONGFUL DEATH

**Decedent Had No Signs Of Cardiac Issues, Per Defense**

**Verdict: \$0**

**Judith Espinal, as administratrix of the goods, chattels and credits of Jose Manuel Cruz a/k/a Jose Cruz, deceased v. Mark A. Harrison, M.D., and Hudson Valley Cardiovascular Practice, P.C., No. 500861/2022**

**Court:** Putnam Supreme

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** Guy S. Regev; Zucker & Regev, P.C.; Brooklyn NY for Estate of Jose Cruz

**Defense Attorney(s):** Terence P. O’Connor; O’Connor, O’Connor, Bresse & First PC; Albany, NY for Abhujee Kaur D.O.

Michael Grady; Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP; White Plains, NY for Putnam Hospital Center

**Facts:** On Feb. 6, 2021, plaintiff’s decedent Jose Cruz, 55, appeared at Putnam Hospital Center complaining of left arm pain. He was examined by Dr. Abhujee Kaur, who after performing a physical exam, ordered an X-ray along with pain medication and an anti-inflammatory injection. The decedent also had a history of cardiovascular disease.

Approximately two hours later, Cruz’s pain went from nine to zero and his blood pressure was similarly reduced. Accordingly, Kaur discharged Cruz with a sling suggesting he follow-up with his orthopedic surgeon within two days. On Feb. 8, 2021, Cruz suffered a clot in his left main artery and died of a myocardial infarction.

Cruz’s estate sued Dr. Mark A. Harrison, Hudson Valley Cardiovascular Practice P.C., Dr. Lawrence Kusior, Dr. Karl Ziermann,

Orthopedic Associates of Dutchess County P.C., Kaur and Putnam Hospital Center. Cruz had been under the care of all of the defendants, however the case only proceeded against Kaur and Putnam Hospital Center.

Plaintiff’s counsel alleged that Kaur failed to consider angina/cardiac ischemia and failed to order an EKG, troponins and a cardiac consult.

The defense contended that Cruz did not present with any signs or symptoms consistent with cardiac ischemia/angina/myocardial infarction. It further contended that Cruz’s complaint of left arm pain was orthopedic and had been progressing over the previous nine months. During that time interval, per the defense, Cruz was following up with orthopedics and physical therapy.

**Injury:** Plaintiff sought recovery for the decedent’s pain and suffering attendant to his myocardial infarction and subsequent death. The estate sought monetary damages for loss of income and pension benefits. The decedent’s four children sought recovery for loss of parental guidance.

**Result:** The jury found the defendants did not depart from the standard of care and rendered a defense verdict.

# Court Calendars

## First Department

### APPELLATE DIVISION

#### CALENDAR FOR THE MAY TERM

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**

22/1179 People v. Julio Pena  
25/4825 Wells Fargo Bank v. Whyte  
25/2966 Velu v. Verizon NY  
25/4924 McNeill v. Gewirtz  
22/4804 People v. Eugenia Pedraza  
25/150 NY Concrete v. Contract Dispute Resolution Board  
24/4818(2) Lee v. Zeilfin  
25/7473 Rivadeneria Paula v. Auxiliary of Elmhurst Hospital  
25/1840(2) 71st Street v. Frankel  
25/2615(2) Lebron v. Bronx Heights Beulah  
22/1162(1) People v. Jamel Ingram  
25/3940 Stillpoint Meadows PH-62 v. Residential Board of Mgrs  
25/739 Nichols v. Red Hawk  
25/2390 Bougouma v. AB 40th Street  
25/1919 People v. Deshante Page  
25/4727 Berardi v. 900 Third Avenue  
25/8099 Francis v. NYSARC, Inc.  
25/5792 Sherry v. Hogan  
19/425(1) People v. Michael Brooks  
25/6348N James Thomas Realty v. Cheliotas

#### WEDNESDAY, MAY 06

**2 P.M.**

25/4823 People v. George Hurtado  
25/6010(2) Brady v. NY County District Attorney  
25/1762 Weir v. Weir  
24/5108(2) Robinson v. Desouza  
25/6513 Lapinski v. MIP One Wall Street  
24/6970 People v. Jermaine Vanderhoist  
25/1665 Veolia Energy North America v. Enwave West Coast  
25/3889 Torres v. Oechino  
2018 - 4817 People v. Javid Dore  
25/4023 BFAM Asian Opportunities v. Glory Health Industry  
24/7359 Board of Managers v. 56th and Park  
25/347 People of State of NY v. National Rifle Assn  
2018 - 291 People v. Jason Perez  
25/1708 O'Sullivan v. American Golf Corp.  
25/2877 Valencia v. Sol Goldman Investments  
25/4049 Gonzalez-Taveras v. City of NY  
25/6311 Suazo v. 501 Madison-Sutton  
25/2807 Caraballo v. Century Star Fuel  
24/919 People v. Christopher Macias  
25/337N Eastern Effects v. 3911 Lemmon

#### THURSDAY, MAY 7

**2 P.M.**

24/4053 People v. Joe Laubriol  
25/1371 Pettinato v. EQR-Rivertower  
25/7806 Wells Fargo Bank v. Papailias  
25/1916 Ali v. K & H Steinway LLC  
25/5802(3) Alasco Preferred Funding v. ACP RE, Ltd.  
25/1129 Gale v. Abramowitz  
24/2013 People v. Eric Santiago  
25/2175(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President  
25/1333(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President  
24/3019 Graves v. Sava Serbian Orthodox Church  
23/3655 People v. Charles Johnson  
23/1754 People v. Dakeem Greene  
25/917 Krfica v. Bronx-Lebanon Special Center  
25/2120 G Builders v. Eden Ballroom  
25/4801 Ramone v. Frey  
25/6767 Chang v. 37 Plaza LLC  
24/5359 People v. Jose Prado  
25/2215(1) Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals  
25/2216(1) Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals  
25/1792N Schnur v. Balestriere

#### TUESDAY, MAY 12

**2 P.M.**

25/297 People v. Perin Jacobchuk  
25/6472 Reese v. City of NY  
25/4975 Matter of Matthew Morenzi  
25/1843 Anthony Partners LLC v. Mici  
25/961 SJ Renewable Energy v. REV LNG LLC  
24/1560 People v. Shakiem Brunson  
25/4203 Sadov v. Radio City Productions  
25/6373(3) Capital Hill 505 v. Capital Hotel JV  
25/4504 Lafferty v. Avila  
22/3663 People v. Christopher Rivas  
25/2584 Leonardo v. Aaron  
25/3496 Samsung Electronics v. MPEG LA  
25/3672 Cullinan v. New York University  
25/6359 Bautista v. 1650 Corp  
24/7745 DeRobertis v. City of NY  
23/5117 People v. Eric Fullwood  
25/2887(1) Royster v. City of NY  
25/2703(1) Royster v. City of NY  
25/1612N Gomez v. Fremont

#### WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

**2 P.M.**

20/2150 People v. Julio Cuevas  
24/6648(2) People of State of NY v. Leasing Expenses Co.  
25/3539 Lewis v. TCPNRC, LLC  
25/687(1) Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital  
23/5817 People v. Kawan Hernandez  
25/4139 Doren v. Allstar Security & Consulting  
25/4372 Suozzo v. Charles Schwab & Co.  
25/416 Kay v. Banchik  
24/4009 R. C., an Infant v. Jaffe  
25/1843 CMB Export v. Motcomb Estates  
25/2023 Hofstra University v. United Educators  
25/4669 Garcia v. Rennon Construction Corp.  
25/3123 Khatskevich v. Victor  
25/2919(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert  
25/2769(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert  
25/1842 Dume v. City of NY  
25/600N Lee v. Lyft, Inc.

#### THURSDAY, MAY 14

**2 P.M.**

2018 - 1549 People v. Rasheed Davis  
25/3181 Villanueva v. J.T. Magen  
25/2256 Brylski v. Simone Development  
24/7693 Jurec v. Primework Construction  
24/2529 People v. Jason Young

25/2283 Broadway PT 1710 v. Kingdom Associates  
25/3156213 West 23rd St. v. Crunch Holdings  
25/5832 Cacciatore v. Tisch  
25/3498 Perez v. Parkside Terrace  
19/1160 People v. Anthony Stewart  
24/2933 Kambia Chios Realty v. Utica First Insurance  
20/1742 People v. Devon McNiell  
25/2972 Sasson v. Bridger Consulting Group  
25/1669 Vargas v. Trustees of Columbia University  
25/6184 Certain Underwriters v. NU RIDE, Inc.  
25/2968 Lingo v. Excel Conveyor  
22/4810 People v. Luis Gonzalez  
24/7246N TRB Acquisitions v. Yedid  
24/5610N Sineria v. Bedford-Webster LLC  
25/2187(2) P. Naterson, Belknap v. Marcus & Cinelli

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

**Renwick, P.J., Manzett, Webber, Kern, Moulton, J.J.**

#### MONDAY, MAY 11

**9:30 A.M.**  
803622/23 Hack v. Ventura  
**12 P.M.**  
801002/23 Rosario v. Action Carting Environmental  
29014/18 Santos v. Riverview Redevelopment Co.

#### TUESDAY, MAY 12

**12 P.M.**  
812675/22 Morrison v. Kittel

#### WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

**12 P.M.**  
29473/19 Nelson v. Riverbay Corp.

### APPELLATE TERM

**60 Centre Street Room 401**  
**10 A.M.**

Commencing with the April 2026 Term, all oral arguments at the Appellate Term, First Department will be in person. Counsel and pro se litigants also have the option to submit.

The following cases are on for submission. No appearance is necessary.

## New York County

### SUPREME COURT

#### Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

**Ex-Parte Motions Room 315, 9:30 A.M.**  
**Special Term Proceedings Unsafe Buildings Bellevue Psychiatric Center Kirby Psychiatric Center Metropolitan Hospital Manhattan Psychiatric Center Bellevue Hospital**

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

#### IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 252 (60 Centre)  
2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)  
3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)  
4 Kim: 418 (60 Centre)  
5 Marcus: 320 (80 Centre)  
6 King: 351 (60 Centre)  
7 Lebovitz: 345 (60 Centre)  
8 Koller: 278 (80 Centre)  
9 Capitli: 355 (60 Centre)  
11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)  
12 Stroth: 422 (60 Centre)  
13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)  
14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)  
15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)  
17 Heady: 122 (80 Centre)  
18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)  
19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)  
20 Kaplan: 422 (60 Centre)  
21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)  
22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)  
23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)  
24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)  
25 Parker: 1254 (111 Centre)  
26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)  
27 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)  
28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)  
30 Grieco: 623 (111 Centre)  
31 Waterman-Marshall: 335 (60 Centre)  
32 Kahn: 1127 (111 Centre)  
34 Rameur: 341 (60 Centre)  
35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)  
36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)  
37 Cantanzaro: 1127A (111 Centre)  
38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)  
39 Clynes: 331 (60 Centre)  
40 Adams: 252 (60 Centre)  
41 Moyses: 327 (80 Centre)  
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)  
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)  
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)  
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)  
46 Lantry: 103 (71 Thomas)  
47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)  
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)  
49 Zellan: 307 (80 Centre)  
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)  
51 Wolfosky: 289 (60 Centre)  
52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)  
53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)  
54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)  
55 d'Auguste: 210 (71 Thomas)  
56 Kelley: 204 (71 Thomas)

## COURT NOTES

### US DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT

#### Criminal Justice Act Committee Accepting New and Reappointment Applications

The Criminal Justice Act (CJA) Committee of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York is accepting new applications and reappointment applications for the panel of attorneys under the Criminal Justice Act of 1964.

The Court encourages highly qualified and experienced criminal defense attorneys who reflect the diversity of the community to apply for membership on the CJA Panel for the District. Assignments to the Panel will be for a three-year period, beginning January 1, 2027. Applicants must be admitted and in good standing to practice in the Eastern District of New York.

Applications may be submitted for assignment to the Brooklyn or Central Islip panels, or both. The Committee is also seeking applications from practitioners whose experience is uniquely suited to handling petitions for post-conviction relief.

All application forms, instructions, and submission information are available on the Court's website at: <https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/criminal-justice-act> New applications and applications for reappointment, along with all supporting documents, must be submitted in one flattened PDF file using the required naming convention, no later than September 4, 2026, by electronic submission via the Court's website.

Please contact the Clerk of Court at 718-613-2270 if you experience difficulty uploading an application.

### NYS COURT OF APPEALS AND APPELLATE DIVISION DEPARTMENTS

#### Primary Election Appeals

The Clerks' Offices of the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division Departments release the following joint scheduling announcement:

The Appellate Division Departments have scheduled and reserved the following dates to consider appeals related to the June 23, 2026 primary elections:

**First Department:** Tuesday, May 12, 2026 (May 13 if necessary)

**Second Department:** Wednesday, May 13, 2026

**Third Department:** Tuesday, May 12, 2026

**Fourth Department:** Tuesday, May 12, 2026

The Court of Appeals has scheduled Tuesday, May 19, 2026 to consider appeals and motions for leave to appeal related to primary election matters.

All parties, election boards, and affected courts are expected to proceed expeditiously with all phases of election matters so that the requirements of these special sessions and the Election Law can be met. Please consult with the respective Clerks' Offices

57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)  
58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)  
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)  
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)  
62 Chesler: 328 (80 Centre)  
62 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)  
65 King: (80 Centre)

MPPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)  
MMSF: 1: 1127B (111 Centre)  
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

#### PART 40TR

**JUDICIAL MEDIATION**  
**On Rotating Schedule:**  
13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)  
13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

#### EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante (60 Centre)  
ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)  
ESC 3 Sealey 122 (80 Centre)  
ESC 4 Gelfand 114 (80 Centre)

#### SPECIAL REFEREES 60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354  
75R Burzio: Room 240  
80R Edelman: Room 562  
82R Wohl: Room 501B  
83R Sambuco: Room 528  
84R Feinberg: Room 641  
88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324  
Edleman: L 562  
Edleman: L 158  
Norton: 342  
Liu: 320  
Lundberg: 620  
Riley: 240

#### SPECIAL REFEREE 80 Centre Street

Leveille: 322C

#### SPECIAL REFEREE 71 Thomas Street

Cabrera: 300

### SUPREME COURT Motion Calendars Room 130, 9:30 A.M. 60 Centre Street

### SUPREME COURT Motion Dispositions from Room 130 60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By) Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the

markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

#### Motion Calendar Key:

**ADJ**—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).  
**ARG**—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.  
**SUB (PT #)**—Motion was submitted to part noted.  
**WDN**—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.  
**SUB/DEF**—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.  
**APB (All Papers By)**—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.  
**SUBM 3**—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.  
**S**—Stipulated.  
**C**—Consent.  
**C MOTION**—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.  
**FINAL**—Adjournment date is final

### 60 CENTRE STREET

#### Submissions Part MONDAY, MAY 4

**Submission**  
1 100119/26 Crayton v. NYCHA  
2 100200/26 Favatas v. Dhcr  
3 100239/25 McCord v. Touro Univ.

#### TUESDAY, MAY 5

**Submission**  
1 101470/25 Cloud v. Pw Delivery Services

#### WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

**Submission**  
1 101498/25 Corrado v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Elections  
2 101178/25 Harris v. Nypd Comm'r Tisch  
3 100207/26 Poluketova v. Madnani Marks Family

#### Paperless Judge Part MONDAY, MAY 4

654540/22 1284 Plaza v. Edri  
650450/18350 East Houston St. v. Travelers Indemnity Co.  
154456/26429 Residential LLC v. NYS Office of Temporary And Disability Assistance  
65238/1861 Prime v. Little Hearts  
151506/21 Abraham Joshua Heschel School v. Nass  
155093/25 Ace American Ins. Co. v. Hall  
190084/20 Ackert v. NYC  
152414/25 Ahmed v. Navillus Tile, Inc. Et Al  
165191/25 Alcantara v. Webber  
100921/25 Herbozo v. Graham  
159470/25 Hertz Vehicles v. Faircloth  
655604/18 Hoggard v. Cheever Dev. Corp.  
162831/25 Huang v. Google LLC  
154458/26 In The Matter of The Application of 144-146 West 19th St. Associates v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal  
655021/24 Innovative Discovery v. The Moitain Group And Josephson

for details about meeting the timing requirements of each Court.

Because there is limited time available between the primary election sessions of the Appellate Division Departments and the Court of Appeals, parties who seek to have matters placed upon the Court of Appeals motions or appeals calendars must contact the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office for filing instructions promptly (usually immediately upon release of the Appellate Division decision).

### FIRST DEPARTMENT

#### Appellate Term

#### Filing Dates for the June Term

The June 2026 Term of the Court will commence on June 1.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before April 7.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before April 29.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before May 7.

### NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

#### Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions for 'Matter of Miller v. State of New York'

The Court has calendared the appeal in Matter of Miller v. State of New York (APL 2026-00033) for argument on May 20, 2026.

Appellants' letter brief is due by April 22, 2026. Respondents' letter briefs are due by May 6, 2026. Appellants' reply letter brief, if any, is due by May 13, 2026.

Letter requests to submit amicus curiae letter briefs must be filed and served by May 13, 2026.

Interested amici should call the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705 for filing instructions.

### NEW YORK COUNTY

#### Supreme Court

#### Rules For The Special Election Part

Proceedings brought by Orders to Show Cause to validate or to invalidate designating petitions for the Tuesday, June 23, 2026 Primary Election, required to be commenced on or before Monday, April 20, 2026, or within three (3) business days after a petition is invalidated by the Board of Elections in the City of New York, shall be initially returnable before the Honorable Matthew V. Grieco, on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise, at 10:00 a.m., in Part 30, Courtroom 623, located at 111 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007. The calendar call must be answered either by counsel or by the self-represented

152881/2627 First Ave. Corp. v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal  
157724/2251 East 34th St. LLC v. 34 Green Olive Deli Corp. Et Al  
652022/26 Ak Inc. v. Epic Rlty. Mgt. LLC Et Al  
151140/26 Allen v. Bliss USA  
162397/25 Allison Thomas And Sterling Thomas As Administrators of The Goods v. T. Carrillo Funeral Service  
152536/25 Anonymous v. Soros  
850567/23 Bank of America v. East 68 Ph LLC Et Al  
650968/25 Benyetta 148 LLC v. Mystic Foods, Inc. Et Al  
154278/25 Bierrig v. B'way. 85th LLC Et Al  
650334/26 Brc Group Hldgs., Inc. Et Al v. Willkie Farr & Gallagher Llp Et Al  
653353/24 Brownell v. Harris  
154368/26 Buxspan v. 255 West 84th Owners Corp.  
150074/23 Cancel v. 310 West 112th Owner  
160656/25 Cantu v. Glenwood Mgt. Corp. Et Al  
160105/23 Castro Alcivar v. Platinum Services NY LLC Et Al  
151797/22 Chanos v. Tarter  
160397/22 Chantilles v. Tavern on The Green Et Al  
157790/20 Chen v. Hyundai Marine & Fire  
451049/24 Comm'r. of The NYS Ins. Fund v. Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et Al  
151782/26 Cook v. Skanska USA Bldg. Inc. Et Al  
161322/19 Coronel v. NYC  
190137/21 Dellasperanza v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co. Et Al  
850134/23 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. v. Pototschnig  
154529/21 Dyckman Srp LLC v. Abru 96 Rty. LLC Et Al  
650715/24 Emc Rlty. Corp. v. 739 Nostrand Funding LLC  
154268/26 Exclusive Diamonds v. Establio  
655024/23 Ferrari Financial Services, Inc. v. Kennedy & Tyler LLC Et Al  
190037/26 Fink v. Bayer Consumer Care Hldgs., LLC. F/w/a Msd Consumer Care, Inc. Et Al  
152492/22 Flores-Fulton v. 140 Bw LLC Et Al  
154215/26 Genesis Equity Group Funding LLC v. Bank of America  
152411/23 Golden Girls Kitchen LLC v. Funti  
160710/17 Hanwacker v. Skanska USA Bldg. Inc.  
651487/26 Hereford Ins. Co. A/s/o Syed M. Ali v. NY Black Car Fund  
650588/21 Huang v. Gw of Flushing I, Inc. D/b/a  
450404/26 In The Matter of The Application of NY Black Car Operators' Injury Compensation Fund Inc. A/w/a NY Black Car Fund As Subrogee of Jean Gardy Chervoyant v. Hereford Ins. Co.  
650019/26 Hria Ventures LLC v. Rock & Associates 325 Et Al  
165010/25 Jewell Law v. Beatty  
157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
650233/26 Latitude Prop. Group LLC v. Deckoff  
154151/26 Litefund Solutions LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank  
152612/23 McDonough v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al  
156545/22 Neiderer v. Santullo  
166289/25 Odunusi I. 936-938 Cliffrest Housing Dev. Fund Corp.  
155006/22 P. v. NYC Housing Auth. Et Al  
154615/26 Palmo v. NYC Et Al  
653115/25 Peleus Ins. Co. v. Acmos on Chrystie LLC Et Al  
160282/24 Phongvichith v. Leanna Enterprise Inc. Et Al  
154193/26 Ppyor v. The Board of Mgrs. of Towers on The Park Condominium Et Al  
165308/25 Public Administrator of NY County v. Bradshaw  
656675/25 Rock N' Shop NYC Inc. D/b/a Live By The Sword v. Karwacki  
654425/19 Rockefeller Univ. v. Aetna Casualty & Surety  
155033/24 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al  
158242/23 Sanchez v. Haq  
155378/23 Scottsdale Ins. Co. Et Al v. Certain Underwriters At Lloyds  
162352/19 Solis Solorzano v. Hudson Meridian Const.  
152194/26 Stadler v. Appalachian Mountain Club  
161197/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Kennedy  
151980/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Person  
451094/25 State of NY v. Warley  
805358/25 Stephany v. Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center  
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.  
151406/24 Sun v. Son  
153680/22 Suiqui Penaloza v. Ab Capstone Builders Corp Et Al  
161401/18 Thermal Rly. Associates v. Waverest Mgt.  
650988/24 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Garbarini & Scher  
653364/25 Udc Assets v. Schwartzstein  
150844/20 Vega v. Laguardia Airport  
190031/26 White v. Block Drug Co., Inc., Individually And As Successor To The Gold Bond Sterilizing Powder Co., A/w/a The Gold Bond Co. Et Al  
164049/25 Williams v. NYC Et Al  
654251/25 Wilson v. United Facility Services Corp.  
152489/26 Zhen v. Zhang

150730/26 Cowhig v. 1345 Leaschold LLC Et Al  
153180/23 Cruz v. Codano  
166179/25 Dale v. Affriyte  
190315/24 Deegan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al  
160301/23 Eckert v. Charter Communications  
650736/26 Elijah v. Alliance of Families For Justice Et Al  
100014/25 English v. Edwin  
154251/26 Escalona Benavides v. Hamilton Corner Meat Market Inc. Et Al  
158359/24 Ferreira v. Santos  
655741/25 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2024 LLC v. Right Way Hldgs. LLC D/b/a Right Way Roofing Et Al  
655053/25 Fund-Ez Dev. Corp. v. Westchester Bldg. Co.  
160033/25 Garcia Julio v. 52 LLC Et Al  
162566/25 Garcia Luna v. Kader Amairi  
160605/21 Gomes Da Silva v. 1039-1045 Madison Ave Owner LLC Et Al  
651528/26 Gomes v. Buzzfeed Media Enterprises  
163919/25 Guzman v. Fabs Auto & Media Op  
158248/22 Han v. Slg 810 Seventh Lessee  
650203/26 Hold Brothers Capital LLC v. N2growth, Inc.  
151721/23 Hossain v. Mendez Alvaro  
156084/20 Hudson v. Dyckman Sherman Rlty.  
651719/26 In The Matter of The Arbitration Between Forward Financing LLC v. Tecny Group Inc. Et Al  
652120/26 J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. Et Al v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co.  
155445/21 Jadidi v. Liberty Helicopter, Inc. Et Al  
659959/25 Jewish Board of Family & Children's Services, Inc. Et Al v. Affiliated Fm Ins. Co. Et Al  
155124/22 Jimenez Hernandez v. Museum of Modern Art Et Al  
190032/26 Kothe v. Block Drug Co., Inc., Individually And As Successor To The Gold Bond Sterilizing Powder Co., A/w/a The Gold Bond Co. Et Al  
158376/22 Kozhukhar v. Gramercy Park Residence Corp. Et Al  
156115/22 Lasry v. Md  
656735/25 Lederman v. Guibord Jr.  
652241/25 Lee Ann Chung White Kim Ruger & Richter Llp v. Tardivel  
166008/25 Lombardo v. Cvs Pharmacy Inc.  
659744/25 Madison Prop. Services, Inc. v. Cronota Group LLC Et Al  
153846/23 McClain v. Key Foods Co-Op., Inc. Et Al

653104/18 Long Isand City Laundry v. Defina

**Motion**

653104/18 Long Isand City Laundry v. Defina

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**

651600/24 112-114 East 98 St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. Marymount School of NY  
650458/23 14 Gay St. v. A & Nyia Const. Corp. Et Al  
654750/24 Metro. LLC v. Gotham NY LLC  
154591/23 Alava v. Gilbane Bldg. Co. Et Al  
654499/22 Arboretum Silverleaf Income Fund Lp Et Al v. Katofsky 150698/19 Beetle Bug v. 145 Ave. A Rly. LLC  
650873/24 Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Ins. Co. v. Structretech New York, Inc. Et Al

656440/17 Bp 599 Lexington Ave. LLC v. Europa of 53rd St. Inc.  
650890/21 Burlington Ins. v. NY Const.  
159092/24 Fairfax Financial Hldgs. Ltd. Et Al v. Exis Capital Mgt., Inc. Et Al  
655024/23 Ferrari Financial Services, Inc. v. Kennedy & Tyler LLC Et Al  
155149/23 Granby's Funeral Service, Inc. v. Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. Et Al  
154131/24 Greater NY Mutual Ins. Co. v. Garcia  
650829/20 Li v. Corcoran Group 652675/21 Nat. Community v. Midtown Coalition Space LLC 651966/17 Prime 135 NYC v. Major Const. Co., Inc.  
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.  
654425/19 Rockefeller Univ. v. Acta Casuality & Surety  
652541/22 Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. v. Lock3

651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jls Venture Corp.  
159542/24 The Residential Board of Mgrs. of The 438 East 12th St. Condominium v. 181 Ave. A 161401/18 Thermal Rly. Associates v. Wavecrest Mgt.  
655982/24 Tian v. 136 East 36th St. Apts. Corp.  
655996/20 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. 94-04 80st LLC Et Al  
651954/19 Waterhouse v. Leaflink, Inc.  
654008/23 Watershed Ventures LLC Et Al v. Burke  
654329/23 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

**Motion**

650873/24 Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Ins. Co. v. Structretech New York, Inc. Et Al  
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.  
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jls Venture Corp.  
159542/24 The Residential Board of Mgrs. of The 438 East 12th St. Condominium v. 181 Ave. A 161401/18 Thermal Rly. Associates v. Wavecrest Mgt.  
655982/24 Tian v. 136 East 36th St. Apts. Corp.  
655996/20 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. 94-04 80st LLC Et Al  
651954/19 Waterhouse v. Leaflink, Inc.  
654008/23 Watershed Ventures LLC Et Al v. Burke  
654329/23 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

**Motion**

650873/24 Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Ins. Co. v. Structretech New York, Inc. Et Al  
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.  
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jls Venture Corp.  
159542/24 The Residential Board of Mgrs. of The 438 East 12th St. Condominium v. 181 Ave. A 161401/18 Thermal Rly. Associates v. Wavecrest Mgt.  
655982/24 Tian v. 136 East 36th St. Apts. Corp.  
655996/20 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. 94-04 80st LLC Et Al  
651954/19 Waterhouse v. Leaflink, Inc.  
654008/23 Watershed Ventures LLC Et Al v. Burke  
654329/23 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**

152450/20 American Transit Ins. v. Accelerated Surgical Center  
152380/20 American Transit Ins. v. Advanced Recovery Equip And 152468/20 American Transit Ins. v. Aha Acupuncture Pc  
152974/20 American Transit Ins. v. Alliance Medical Goods  
657378/19 American Transit Ins. v. Allstate Chiropractic  
152459/20 American Transit Ins. v. Apazidis  
654790/20 American Transit Ins. v. Chuchuca-Lopez  
159946/20 American Transit Ins. v. Maldonado  
155618/19 American Transit Ins. v. McCollin  
656168/18 American Transit Ins. v. McLean  
153124/20 American Transit Ins. v. Ortiz  
651166/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Betances  
653036/21 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Brito  
654675/22 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Estrella  
650538/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Kinsey  
651100/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Martinez  
654006/22 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Montes  
656676/22 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Morales  
650214/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Salem  
151446/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Antoine  
153834/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Ernst Jean-Felix  
654294/23 Chen v. 50 Lev Dev. LLC Et Al  
158385/24 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Abdul-Massih Family Health Nurse Practitioner P.C. Et Al  
155682/24 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Aritsa Physical Therapy Wellness  
159755/24 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Bds Diagnostic Corp. Et Al  
154230/23 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Cabral  
651656/23 High Point Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Guarini  
451242/23 In The Matter of The Application of NYC v. None  
451304/23 In The Matter of The Application of NYC v. None  
156099/24 Infinity Auto Ins. Co. v. Ganev  
650998/25 Montfort v. Leslie J. Garfield & Co., Inc.  
653353/22 Porter v. Wilson  
159819/21 Pv Hldg. Corp. Including All of Its Subsidiaries And Affiliates, Including But Not Ltd. To Avis Budget, LLC, Avis Car Rental, LLC, Budget Car Rental, LLC, Budget Truck Rental, LLC, Payless Car Rental, Inc. And Zipcar, Inc. v. New Horizon Surgical Center LLC  
159832/23 Rational Surgical Solutions v. Hishmeh  
150304/21 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Light Touch Acupuncture  
158280/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Sosa Velasquez  
155252/24 State Farm Guaranty Ins. Co. v. 2354 Chiropractic  
150583/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Ada Tarnas Lcsw  
157398/22 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Adjustment Work Chiropractic  
153261/24 Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Deen  
451407/24 NYC v. Jp And V Hldgs. LLC  
451385/24 NYC v. Moulou Rly. Associates L.P.  
451382/24 NYC v. Tows R Us  
154276/23 Union First Safeguard Ins. Co. v. Marquez  
655131/21 Varence v. Rational Surgical Solutions

**Motion**

650873/24 Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Ins. Co. v. Structretech New York, Inc. Et Al  
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.  
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jls Venture Corp.  
159542/24 The Residential Board of Mgrs. of The 438 East 12th St. Condominium v. 181 Ave. A 161401/18 Thermal Rly. Associates v. Wavecrest Mgt.  
655982/24 Tian v. 136 East 36th St. Apts. Corp.  
655996/20 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. 94-04 80st LLC Et Al  
651954/19 Waterhouse v. Leaflink, Inc.  
654008/23 Watershed Ventures LLC Et Al v. Burke  
654329/23 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**

805302/22 Anderson v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al  
805112/15 Gamble v. NY Presbyterian Lower  
805406/19 Peralta Berzosa v. Kim Dmd  
805197/18 Roth v. Velasquez  
805227/22 Zait v. Schwartz

**Part 7**

**Justice Gerald Levobits 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3746 Courtroom 345**  
**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
653281/1861 Prime v. Little Hearts Marks Family  
161896/24 Berezovsky v. The Board of Mgrs. of The Almeda Condominium Et Al  
652615/25 Blackheart Const. And Consulting Corp. Et Al v. Legacy Builders/Developers Corp Et Al  
656329/21 Brown v. Kglb Mfg. Inc. Et Al  
151897/25 Calle v. Legacy Yards Tenant Lp Et Al  
162294/23 Carranza-Montiel v. Heritage Hldgs. Housing Dev. Corp. Et Al  
162890/25 Dodard v. 101 West 136th St. Rty. Corp.  
100200/26 Favatas v. Dher  
155535/23 Fulton-Meyers v. Asn Foundry LLC Et Al  
160274/24 Jackson v. NYCHA  
157085/24 Lopez Julian v. Vgfp Properties  
111880/08 Macdonald-Smith v. Lewis  
152584/25 Mosorjak v. Tmg Const. Corp. Et Al  
158547/21 Nesterenko v. Angel's Touch Home Care  
159927/21 Riera v. NYCHA

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**

160915/24 Anotnneau v. Wip USA Inc. Et Al  
150315/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Ruppert Yorkville Towers Condominium v. Torbati  
403087/02 Bklyn. Union Gas Co. v. Century Indemnity Co.  
603405/01 Century Indemnity Co. v. Bklyn. Union Gas Co.  
160384/16 Expo Dev. Corp. v. Burlington Ins.  
152411/23 Golden Girls Kitchen LLC v. Funti  
154151/26 Litemfund Solutions LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank  
100189/26 Salik v. The Brodsky Organization LLC  
161197/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Kennedy

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

155252/24 State Farm Guaranty Ins. Co. v. 2354 Chiropractic  
153261/24 Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Deen

**Part 3**

**Justice Joel M. Cohen 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3287 Room 208**

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
651611/26 Alcova Investment LLC Et Al v. Lakhmana  
654561/22 Bull Hill v. Hfz Member Rb Portfolio LLC Et Al  
654186/25 Hsbc Uk Bank Plc v. 809 Meadow Lane LLC Et Al

**Motion**

654561/22 Bull Hill v. Hfz Member Rb Portfolio LLC Et Al  
654186/25 Hsbc Uk Bank Plc v. 809 Meadow Lane LLC Et Al  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
655425/25 1411 Bushwick Ave. Ndb LLC v. Bracha  
652731/24 Arel Funding I Lp Et Al v. Feldman  
653353/24 Brownell v. Harris  
653499/25 Gamechanger Media, Inc. v. 2080 Media, Inc. Et Al  
158540/25 Gao v. Meng  
161966/24 Millman v. Frisch  
654458/25 Nussbaum v. Nussbaum  
654595/24 Pfnng LLC v. Liquid Capital LLC Et Al  
655314/25 Wilmington Trust v. Columbia Mezz Grand Ave. Partners

**Motion**

652731/24 Arel Funding I Lp Et Al v. Feldman  
655314/25 Wilmington Trust v. Columbia Mezz Grand Ave. Partners  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
655161/25 Aen Hospitality Group v. Rose Hospitality Management 650253/26 Baus Capital Partners, Inc. v. Temple Capital  
653499/25 Gamechanger Media, Inc. v. 2080 Media, Inc. Et Al

**Motion**

655161/25 Aen Hospitality Group v. Rose Hospitality Management 650253/26 Baus Capital Partners, Inc. v. Temple Capital  
653499/25 Gamechanger Media, Inc. v. 2080 Media, Inc. Et Al  
**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
655161/25 Aen Hospitality Group v. Rose Hospitality Management 650253/26 Baus Capital Partners, Inc. v. Temple Capital  
653499/25 Gamechanger Media, Inc. v. 2080 Media, Inc. Et Al

**Part 6**

**Justice Kathy J. King 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3312 Room 351**  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Part 6**

**Justice Kathy J. King 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3312 Room 351**  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**

805302/22 Anderson v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al  
805112/15 Gamble v. NY Presbyterian Lower  
805406/19 Peralta Berzosa v. Kim Dmd  
805197/18 Roth v. Velasquez  
805227/22 Zait v. Schwartz

**Part 9**

**Justice Linda M. Capitti 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3848 Room 355**  
**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
365145/23 Chen v. Chen  
321119/23 Diaz v. Koval  
300691/25 Joseph v. Scott  
365050/25 King v. Sullivan  
365693/25 Labno v. Rogers  
365461/24 Reiser v. Reidel  
69057/92 Ross-Washington v. Washington

**Motion**

365050/25 King v. Sullivan  
69057/92 Ross-Washington v. Washington  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
300226/20 Arndt v. Arndt  
365548/23 Lee v. Cheung  
365724/25 Mak v. Alvarez  
365492/20 Mitchell v. Burke  
365277/24 Oliva v. Oliva  
365724/25 Mak v. Alvarez  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
321243/20 Brown v. Espinosa  
365085/23 Lee v. Lee  
312301/17 Levine v. Gerson  
301894/24 Soter v. Berger  
365024/26 Sunshine Mendel v. Mendel  
320129/21 Taylor v. Taylor  
365196/22 Yamini v. Katz  
307012/18 Yates v. Yates

**Part 11**

**Justice Lyle E. Frank 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3314 Room 412**  
**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
655737/23 Davidoff Law Firm Pllc v. Bertoni  
95237/23 Doe v. Roe  
152334/26 E. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. in NYC Et Al  
154776/17 Orj Properties Inc v. Nykh West 40 LLC  
154151/26 Litemfund Solutions LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank  
100189/26 Salik v. The Brodsky Organization LLC  
161197/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Kennedy

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**Motion**

152208/26 400 Bleeker St. LLC v. Dejesus  
805482/23 Amerasinghe v. Lederman M.D.  
800003/22 Araman v. Greenberg 805279/22 Bryant v. O'Malley M.D.  
805077/25 Burshteyn v. Afaneh M.D.  
805437/23 Dispenza v. Fang Md 805139/21 Dunleavy v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805186/24 Estate of Chang G. Xu Deceased v. New York-Presbyterian Allen Hosp.  
805497/23 Fahey v. Mount Sinai West Et Al  
805042/21 Gasparini v. Gottesman 805121/20 Graham v. Silvers  
805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass 157506/22 Kellner v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al  
805335/19 La Falce v. Lajam  
805160/19 Miller v. Bostrom  
101398/25 Norman v. NY Langone Clinic  
805376/24 Roca v. Gomori M.D.  
805069/24 Saurez v. Alexander Lung Chao  
805405/22 Sheridan v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
805382/22 Torbati v. Bauer Dmd 805355/23 Twomey v. Juliana Eng

**COURT NOTES**

litigant(s) if not represented by an attorney, who must be ready for assignment on the return date. Non-lawyer "Representatives" of the parties are not permitted to answer the calendar. The parties must comply with the filing requirements of CPLR 304.

On the return date, all counsel and parties appearing self-represented shall advise either the Clerk of the Part, or the Special Referee assigned to the case, of their addresses and telephone numbers. Proof of Service of the Order to Show Cause, as well as any interposed Counterclaims or Answers, shall be filed either with the Clerk of the Part or the Special Referee (not the County Clerk), or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed) on that date.

Specifications of objections to a designating or a nominating petition previously served and filed, pursuant to the Rules of the Board of Elections, need not be filed with the Clerk of the Part, or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed).

Specifications of objections not previously served and filed with the Board of Elections shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026,

# Court Calendars

653973/24 Board of Mgrs. of Galleria Condominium v. Sky Tower, Inc. Et Al  
453089/23 Comm'rs. of The NYS Ins. Fund v. NY Univ.  
150473/23 Delacruz v. Imperial Gardens  
159382/24 Desir v. West 128th St. L.P.  
160277/23 Distel v. Moroney  
155290/21 Garcia v. 550 Washington Owner (de) LLC Et Al  
155920/24 Guaman Choglo v. Akam Associates Inc. Et Al  
154313/25 McGinty v. Jrm Const. Mgt. LLC Et Al  
152159/23 Medina Hax v. 32 Gramercy Park Owners Corp.  
158495/20 O'Donnell v. J.T. Magen & Co., Inc.  
154493/24 Paulino v. Smith Affiliates Mgmt. Corp. Et Al  
154794/25 Pedneault v. Edgewater Apts., Inc. Et Al  
151680/24 Portilla Munoz v. 177th Upper B'way. Hdgs. LLC  
151162/24 Santos v. Hussan  
157524/24 Skolnick v. Volunteers of America-Greater New York, Inc. Et Al  
161016/20 Stalin Cajamarca v. R & R Scaffolding  
160403/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Shortridge  
154873/24 Sunik v. Mariner Wealth Advisors  
159794/20 Taima v. East 54th St. Properties  
161496/25 Tanguturi v. Feit  
152572/18 Teshabava v. Continental Home Care, Inc.  
658315/25 Toepfer v. Privilege Underwriters Reciprocal Exch.  
154700/24 Youngkin v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank  
150393/25 Zambrzycki v. Hv II

## Part 43

**Justice Robert R. Reed**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3238  
Room 222

## MONDAY, MAY 4

650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson

## Motion

650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

650334/26 Br Group Hdgs., Inc. Et Al v. Willkie Farr & Gallagher Llp Et Al  
653980/25 Brightbill Capital v. Abrams  
850636/24 Flagstar Bank v. Leagem Partners LLC Et Al  
659742/25 Great Midwest Ins. Co. v. Reed Hook Llc  
653659/25 Python Financial Solutions, Inc. v. Sdck I LLC

## Motion

653980/25 Brightbill Capital v. Abrams

## Part 40TR Judicial Mediation

**Justice Suzanne J. Adams**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3722  
Room 300

## MONDAY, MAY 4

155223/22 Balazy v. Lincoln Center For The Performing Arts, Inc. Et Al  
153909/22 Blandon v. Seck  
150057/25 Bowen v. Barnhart Restoration  
157760/16 Breton v. Dish  
805350/20 Burgos v. Lau  
151707/24 Chow v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp  
154620/17 De Lobo v. Ennismore Apts. Inc.  
158205/21 Dziuzen v. Equinox Group Et Al  
158312/18 Dui v. 63rd & 3rd NYC LLC  
158439/16 Jakuboski v. 3235 Emmons Ave. Corp.  
159813/20 Kowalczyk v. 200 Park L.P.  
156195/19 Louis Dobbay v. Little Richie Bus Service Inc  
157429/20 Lovett v. Equity Residential Properties Mgt. Corp. Et Al  
160721/17 Makkos v. Braka  
156787/22 Marquez v. Animal Care And Control of NYC, Inc. D/b/a Animal Care Centers of NYC Et Al  
150480/19 Mercado Ferreira v. Liz  
152869/17 Moises-Ortiz v. Fdb Acquisition LLC  
153229/18 Moore v. Nj Transit Corp. A/v/a  
450155/22 Perez v. Silva  
158785/21 Resnick v. Equity Residential Mgt.  
155835/21 Rodriguez v. Schneider  
158270/20 Rybak v. Platta  
153445/20 Springs v. Aristy  
150469/21 Tam v. NYCTA  
150872/20 Torres v. 40 East End Ave. Associates  
158452/21 Veras v. Puestras  
155711/23 Wascher v. Capitto Const. Co., Inc. Et Al  
153418/20 Youssif v. Horace Plaza

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

161462/23 167 West 71st St. Owners Corp. v. 171 West 71st St. Corp.  
655811/181515 West 143 St. Housing v. Jordan  
451030/269 St. Marks Pl., Inc. v. Kim  
152402/18 Alexopoulos v. 2 Rector St. (NY)  
154787/19 Arias v. Brooks Hldg. Corp.  
153180/24 B9 Commerce Owner LLC v. Trident General Contracting LLC  
153509/20 Beckley v. J.E. Levine Builder, Inc.  
151064/16 Benitez v. NYCHA  
654266/23 Bimini Partners Llp v. Board of Mgrs. of The Morgan Lofts Condominium  
151871/17 Bokman v. Manhattan Motor Cars Inc.  
150977/22 Booth v. Lincoln Center For The Performing Arts, Inc. Et Al  
451389/22 Brown v. Singleton  
654164/21 Chao v. Burges  
656204/25 Chetrit v. Stanley Ruth Co., LLC D/b/a Manhattan Air Conditioning Co.  
102073/08 Christian v. Dolphin  
450439/24 NYC v. Peters  
158309/17 Colt v. New Jersey Transit  
651993/21 Country-Wide Ins. Co. v. Cpm Med Supply, Inc. S/v/a  
151946/20 Doucoure v. NYC  
158128/25 Down Town Assoc. v. Great Empire Rty. LLC  
158943/22 Duarte v. Matos  
655418/20 E.C. Wood & Co., Inc. v. Booking.Com Et Al  
153939/22 Eltie Contractors Inc. v. Mezyoun Owner LLC Et Al  
654223/23 Est 250 West 57th St. v. Mm 2011 Corp. Et Al  
154194/21 Esteban Monzon v. Rusk Renovations, Inc., Et Al  
650077/19 Follett Time Devices, Inc. v. Gerics Corp.  
654038/23 Gershebin v. Seamless Chex, Inc.  
651567/24 Global Plastics LLC v. Spf Plastic Group LLC  
101288/23 Harrison v. Tec Document Solutions Inc. Et Al  
153158/24 Hart v. Eisenberg  
655961/20 Herrera Mendoza v. 250 East 58th St. Food Corp. Et Al  
160554/16 Hollis v. NYCHA  
656508/25 Interstate Commercial Real Estate, Inc. v. 6620 18th Ave.  
152284/20 James v. Citigroup Global Markets  
151102/23 Joseph v. Niang  
158813/20 Kim v. Kang

152880/21 Kola v. Asamoah  
150939/21 Kurbegovic v. Action Carting Environmental  
650582/13 Lalicata v. Lalicata  
652818/24 Lola Prod., Inc. v. Birbilis  
451904/17 Macropoulos v. NYC  
156209/20 Mercado-Jimenez v. Dufrene  
655282/23 Metro East 99 St. LLC v. Parcure Community Health Network, Inc.  
151897/19 Murudumbay v. Amsterdam Ave.  
654297/22 Nachimovsky v. Miller/Tm Homes Et Al  
450316/17 NYCHA v. Moore  
157141/19 Noble v. Deco Towers Associates LLC  
651783/24 Nouveau Elevator Industries v. Cohen Brothers Rty. Corp. Et Al  
651784/24 Nouveau Elevator Industries v. Cohen Brothers Rty. Corp. Et Al  
154618/23 Pawnee Leasing Corp. v. Tyga-Box Systems, Inc. Et Al  
161589/23 Penna v. Gerber  
159317/18 Peralta v. Rsr Rty. LLC  
150671/18 Pickett v. Ambiance Wine LLC D/b/a  
154157/17 Rainbow Home Improvement, Inc. v. Thor Milford Retail  
151715/24 Reeds v. Highpoint Associates Xii  
653049/23 Renaissance Jewelry New York, Inc. v. 3 East 54th NY LLC Et Al  
158153/20 Saldana Hernandez v. NYC  
150758/22 Setta v. Jalyan Towing & Recovery Corp Et Al  
651600/23 State Artist Mgt. v. Aiquist  
653210/24 The Hewlin Group v. Friends of Earl Monroe Renaissance Basketball Charter School, Inc.  
805307/21 Update v. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Et Al  
155256/19 Vera v. Gelman  
651161/24 Wisdom Global Rty. NY LLC v. Pearson Music And Sound Design Et Al  
650677/24 Xinwen Asset Mgt. (jiangsu) Co., Ltd. v. Xingle Group Co., Ltd. Et Al  
652020/22 Zdg v. 174-176 1st Ave. Owner LLC Et Al  
651675/17 Zhongqing Wang Individually v. Zhang

## WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

158867/20 Albert Herring As Proposued v. 150 Riverside Op. LLC  
150689/23 Boumechal-Toro v. Hoyt Transportation  
151683/22 Cordero v. 3 Sheets Saloon Et Al  
155784/20 Cruz v. Hotta  
152080/21 Flores v. R. G. Ortiz Funeral Home Inc. Et Al  
160097/23 Fortuna v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.  
152503/21 Haynes v. 140 West 113th Owner  
152192/19 Independent 435 Cpw Tenants' v. Park Front Apts.  
155937/18 Jimenez v. Tei Group, Inc.  
160251/20 Joel De Paz v. Lemx Corp.  
805268/23 Kim v. Madison Plastic Surgery  
159507/21 Lam Pearl St. Hotel v. Anthony T. Rinaldi  
155881/19 Lopez Padilla v. 54-62 West 56 Rty. Corp.  
805372/20 M. v. Hosp. For Special Surgery  
656967/20 McIntyre v. Fry Gp Llc  
453496/21 Mostofsky v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al  
805170/19 Passanini v. Frazier  
154002/23 Raverta v. Mia Bus Co. Et Al  
151987/18 Rivadeneira v. 731 Commercial LLC  
158673/17 Rodriguez v. Urtale  
154134/19 Santana v. Gsj Car  
159639/20 Serrano v. 215 N 10 Partners LLC  
152638/20 Shyti v. 9 Peridom Inc  
159283/20 Simpson v. Beacon B'way. Co.  
158138/17 Sznycer v. Con Edison Co. of New  
101777/16 Wang v. Chen  
657219/17 Waxstein v. Mesivtha Tifereth Jerusalem  
156316/12 Wilson v. Riverwalk Bar & Grill

## MONDAY, MAY 4

655567/25 Calibrant Storage v. Enel X North America, Inc. Et Al  
652933/12 Discover Prop. & Casualty v. Nat. Football League  
654417/20 Epstein v. Epstein Teicher Philanthropies  
652319/24 Eis Mir LLC v. Petroci Hldg. Cote D'ivoire Et Al  
654004/25 Legu Summit Co. Ltd. Et Al v. Ywa-Amsterdam LLC Et Al  
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hdgs., Inc.  
654647/23 Mf1 2022-F9 LLC v. Haikins  
654062/24 Montreux Republics III v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela  
153428/26 Perlson v. Benjamin A. Stanziale  
652733/23 The Rf Trust Et Al v. Ekstein

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

365625/25 Harris v. Harris  
365481/23 Humphreys v. Humphreys

## WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

656671/25 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Alpha Value Solar  
655038/25 Audent Gam Fund L v. Bgg Metro Two LLC Et Al  
656672/25 F4 Santa Fe Springs Lender v. Wood  
161485/24 Garda Capital Partners Sarl Et Al v. Schenfeld Strategic Advisors LLC  
656690/25 Opltd Jcp v. Ctl Propco I LLC Et Al

## MONDAY, MAY 4

654518/23 Eurotech Const. Corp. v. Bloomberg L.P.  
653748/24 Greenman v. Berley  
656952/19 Lexington United LLC v. New Cingular Wireless Pcs  
159328/21 Tatsamura-Hillyer v. Hotaling  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
651554/25 Avnet, Inc., Et Al v. Harman Becker Automotive Systems, Inc. Et Al  
655599/25 Sheinzeon v. Freund  
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.  
**Motion**  
655599/25 Sheinzeon v. Freund  
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
651358/26 Bit Digital USA, Inc. v. Martini-Lo Manto  
651689/24 Higround Co., Ltd. Et Al v. Lee  
656735/25 Lederman v. Guibord Jr

## Part 57

**Justice Sabrina Kraus**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-636-3195  
Room 218

## MONDAY, MAY 4

950266/20 B. v. NYC  
950077/21 C. v. NYC  
154544/21 Carchi v. Uws Prop. Owner LLC Et Al  
950199/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
950295/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY  
154438/26 In The Matter of The Application of 144-146 West 19th St. Associates v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal  
950303/21 S. v. NYC  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
951044/21 Bocuzzi v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
154368/26 Buxspan v. 255 West 84th Owners Corp.  
950672/21 Foley v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
154405/26 In The Matter of The Application of Metro. Transportation Auth. Acting By And Through Mta Const. & Dev. Co. v. Kamela Properties Corp.  
950299/21 J.J. v. Archdiocese of NY  
950323/21 M. v. NYC  
152769/23 Richard J. Madison v. 31 West 46th St. Rty. LLC  
152194/26 Stadler v. Appalachian Mountain Club  
150572/22 Stora v. NYC Et Al

## Motion

154405/26 In The Matter of The Application of Metro. Transportation Auth. Acting By And Through Mta Const. & Dev. Co. v. Kamela Properties Corp.  
152769/23 Richard J. Madison v. 31 West 46th St. Rty. LLC  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
159315/19 Chabla Remache v. Aldad & Sons Rty. Inc.  
950330/20 Doe v. Jesuit Fathers And Brothers  
950183/21 Henriquez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
951347/21 M. v. NYC Et Al  
951145/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
152068/19 Welsh v. Showtime on The Piers  
950164/19 Z. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

## Part 59

**Justice Debra A. James**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3351  
Room 331

## Part 60 Commercial Div.

**Justice Melissa A. Crane**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3370  
Room 248

## MONDAY, MAY 4

161220/24 496 B'way. Fee Owner LLC v. Oae Group Inc. Et Al  
654374/24 Acecepire Accelerator Fund III v. Alethea Tech Pte Ltd.  
655759/25 Highgate Hotels v. 157 West 47th St. Hotel Owner Lp Et Al  
655184/25 Lazar v. Sing Ee  
650311/19 Satterfield v. Vstock Transfer  
653029/23 Wh Nagog Gp Llc v. Weiss  
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

## Motion

654374/24 Acecepire Accelerator Fund III v. Alethea Tech Pte Ltd.  
655759/25 Highgate Hotels v. 157 West 47th St. Hotel Owner Lp Et Al  
655184/25 Lazar v. Sing Ee  
650311/19 Satterfield v. Vstock Transfer  
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

653364/25 Udc Assets v. Schwartzstein  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
652813/12 Altera America Ins. v. Nat. Football League

655567/25 Calibrant Storage v. Enel X North America, Inc. Et Al  
652933/12 Discover Prop. & Casualty v. Nat. Football League  
654417/20 Epstein v. Epstein Teicher Philanthropies  
652319/24 Eis Mir LLC v. Petroci Hldg. Cote D'ivoire Et Al  
654004/25 Legu Summit Co. Ltd. Et Al v. Ywa-Amsterdam LLC Et Al  
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hdgs., Inc.  
654647/23 Mf1 2022-F9 LLC v. Haikins  
654062/24 Montreux Republics III v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela  
153428/26 Perlson v. Benjamin A. Stanziale  
652733/23 The Rf Trust Et Al v. Ekstein

## Motion

655567/25 Calibrant Storage v. Enel X North America, Inc. Et Al  
654228/25 Eco Grown Diamond Inc. v. Hearts Forever Inc. Et Al  
654417/20 Epstein v. Epstein Teicher Philanthropies  
652319/24 Eis Mir LLC v. Petroci Hldg. Cote D'ivoire Et Al  
654004/25 Legu Summit Co. Ltd. Et Al v. Ywa-Amsterdam LLC Et Al  
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hdgs., Inc.  
654647/23 Mf1 2022-F9 LLC v. Haikins  
654062/24 Montreux Republics III v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela  
153428/26 Perlson v. Benjamin A. Stanziale  
652733/23 The Rf Trust Et Al v. Ekstein

## Motion

655567/25 Calibrant Storage v. Enel X North America, Inc. Et Al  
654228/25 Eco Grown Diamond Inc. v. Hearts Forever Inc. Et Al  
654417/20 Epstein v. Epstein Teicher Philanthropies  
652319/24 Eis Mir LLC v. Petroci Hldg. Cote D'ivoire Et Al  
654004/25 Legu Summit Co. Ltd. Et Al v. Ywa-Amsterdam LLC Et Al  
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hdgs., Inc.  
654647/23 Mf1 2022-F9 LLC v. Haikins  
654062/24 Montreux Republics III v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela  
153428/26 Perlson v. Benjamin A. Stanziale  
652733/23 The Rf Trust Et Al v. Ekstein

## Part 61 Commercial Div.

**Justice Nancy M. Bannon**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3169  
Room 232

## MONDAY, MAY 4

656671/25 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Alpha Value Solar  
655038/25 Audent Gam Fund L v. Bgg Metro Two LLC Et Al  
656672/25 F4 Santa Fe Springs Lender v. Wood  
161485/24 Garda Capital Partners Sarl Et Al v. Schenfeld Strategic Advisors LLC  
656690/25 Opltd Jcp v. Ctl Propco I LLC Et Al

## Motion

656690/25 Opltd Jcp v. Ctl Propco I LLC Et Al

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

302864/10 Martinez-Castillo v. Castillo

## Part 53 Commercial Div.

**Justice Andrew S. Borrok**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3304  
Room 238

## MONDAY, MAY 4

656912/20 Jds Const. Group LLC v. Copper Services  
**Part 54 Commercial Div.**  
**Justice Jennifer G. Schecter**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3362  
Room 228

654518/23 Eurotech Const. Corp. v. Bloomberg L.P.  
653748/24 Greenman v. Berley  
656952/19 Lexington United LLC v. New Cingular Wireless Pcs  
159328/21 Tatsamura-Hillyer v. Hotaling  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
651554/25 Avnet, Inc., Et Al v. Harman Becker Automotive Systems, Inc. Et Al  
655599/25 Sheinzeon v. Freund  
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.  
**Motion**  
655599/25 Sheinzeon v. Freund  
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
651358/26 Bit Digital USA, Inc. v. Martini-Lo Manto  
651689/24 Higround Co., Ltd. Et Al v. Lee  
656735/25 Lederman v. Guibord Jr

## Part 57

**Justice Sabrina Kraus**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-636-3195  
Room 218

## MONDAY, MAY 4

950266/20 B. v. NYC  
950077/21 C. v. NYC  
154544/21 Carchi v. Uws Prop. Owner LLC Et Al  
950199/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
950295/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY  
154438/26 In The Matter of The Application of 144-146 West 19th St. Associates v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal  
950303/21 S. v. NYC  
**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
951044/21 Bocuzzi v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
154368/26 Buxspan v. 255 West 84th Owners Corp.  
950672/21 Foley v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
154405/26 In The Matter of The Application of Metro. Transportation Auth. Acting By And Through Mta Const. & Dev. Co. v. Kamela Properties Corp.  
950299/21 J.J. v. Archdiocese of NY  
950323/21 M. v. NYC  
152769/23 Richard J. Madison v. 31 West 46th St. Rty. LLC  
152194/26 Stadler v. Appalachian Mountain Club  
150572/22 Stora v. NYC Et Al

## Motion

154405/26 In The Matter of The Application of Metro. Transportation Auth. Acting By And Through Mta Const. & Dev. Co. v. Kamela Properties Corp.  
152769/23 Richard J. Madison v. 31 West 46th St. Rty. LLC  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
159315/19 Chabla Remache v. Aldad & Sons Rty. Inc.  
950330/20 Doe v. Jesuit Fathers And Brothers  
950183/21 Henriquez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
951347/21 M. v. NYC Et Al  
951145/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
152068/19 Welsh v. Showtime on The Piers  
950164/19 Z. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

## Part 59

**Justice Debra A. James**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3351  
Room 331

## Part 60 Commercial Div.

**Justice Melissa A. Crane**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3370  
Room 248

## MONDAY, MAY 4

161220/24 496 B'way. Fee Owner LLC v. Oae Group Inc. Et Al  
654374/24 Acecepire Accelerator Fund III v. Alethea Tech Pte Ltd.  
655759/25 Highgate Hotels v. 157 West 47th St. Hotel Owner Lp Et Al  
655184/25 Lazar v. Sing Ee  
650311/19 Satterfield v. Vstock Transfer  
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

## Motion

654374/24 Acecepire Accelerator Fund III v. Alethea Tech Pte Ltd.  
655759/25 Highgate Hotels v. 157 West 47th St. Hotel Owner Lp Et Al  
655184/25 Lazar v. Sing Ee  
650311/19 Satterfield v. Vstock Transfer  
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

653364/25 Udc Assets v. Schwartzstein  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
652813/12 Altera America Ins. v. Nat. Football League

651175/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. 361 East 50th St. LLC Et Al

## Motion

166399/25 G.O.C. Investments Co., Inc. v. Bagbag  
651312/25 Jpmcb 2018-C8 Constitution Plaza v. Berger  
**WEDNESDAY, MAY 6**  
653669/22 1516 Roof Lc v. 469 Holdings  
162142/24 Assad v. Processa Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et Al  
655192/24 Emc Presents Delphi LLC v. Delphi Studios LLC Et Al  
156733/17 Genesis Reoc Co. v. Poppel  
651666/26 Hansen v. Colodner  
651997/26 Insight Venture Partners v. Cyber Defense Army LLC Et Al  
659959/25 Jewish Board of Family & Children's Services, Inc. Et Al v. Affiliated Fin. Inc. Co. Et Al  
651931/26 Winder LLC (D/b/a Match Group v. Marsh USA LLC (D/b/a Marsh USA, Inc.)

## Part 61 Commercial Div.

**Justice Nancy M. Bannon**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3169  
Room 232

## MONDAY, MAY 4

656671/25 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Alpha Value Solar  
655038/25 Audent Gam Fund L v. Bgg Metro Two LLC Et Al  
656672/25 F4 Santa Fe Springs Lender v. Wood  
161485/24 Garda Capital Partners Sarl Et Al v. Schenfeld Strategic Advisors LLC  
656690/25 Opltd Jcp v. Ctl Propco I LLC Et Al

## Motion

656690/25 Opltd Jcp v. Ctl Propco I LLC Et Al

## TUESDAY, MAY 5

652460/20 Black v. Phoenix Cayman Ltd.  
156838/25 Weg And Myers v. Riverside Center Site 5 Owner LLC Et Al

## Motion

652460/20 Black v. Phoenix Cayman Ltd.

## WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

659963/25 Hilldon Corp. v. Naadam, Inc. Et Al  
**Transit Authority Settlement Part**  
60 Centre Street  
Phone 646-386-3281  
Room 408

## WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

159901/20 Bergesch v. NYCTA  
154254/22 Berkovic v. NYCTA  
1515



CRIMINAL TERM

Part Tap A
Justice Biben
Phone 646-386-4107
100 Centre St.
Room 1100, 9:30 A.M.

Part Tap B
Justice Statsinger
Phone 646-346-4044
100 Centre St.
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Eduardo Dejesus
Carluk Hill-Cross
David Jimenez
Keysean Keyes
Edwin Ramirez
George Robinson

Part 22
Justice Mennin
Phone 646-386-4022
Fax 212-295-4890
111 Centre Street
Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

Part 23
Justice N. Ross
Phone 646-386-4023
Fax 212-295-4891
100 Centre Street
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31
Justice D. Kiesel
Phone 646-386-4031
Fax 212-401-9260
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32
Justice Carro
Phone 646-386-4032
Fax 212-401-9261
100 Centre Street
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37
Justice Adlerberg
Phone 646-386-4037
100 Centre Street
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41
Justice Dwyer
Phone 646-386-4041
Fax 212-401-9262
100 Centre Street
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

Part 42
Justice Wiley
Phone 646-386-4042
Fax 212-401-9263
111 Centre Street
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

Part 51
Justice Edwards
Phone 646-386-4051
Fax 212-401-9264
100 Centre Street
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Aaron Khan
Bibi R. Khan

Part 52
Justice T. Farber
Phone 646-386-4052
Fax 212-401-9265
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 53
Justice Rodney
Phone 646-386-4053
100 Centre Street
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

Part 54
Justice Antignani
Phone 646-386-4054
111 Centre Street
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 55
Justice Drysdale
Phone 646-386-4056
111 Centre Street
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

Part 59
Justice J. Merchan
Phone 646-386-4059
Fax 212-295-4932
100 Centre Street
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 61
Justice Clott
Phone 646-386-4061
Fax 212-401-9266
100 Centre Street
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Hector Vasquez

Part 62
Justice M. Jackson
Phone 646-386-4062
Fax 212-401-9267
100 Centre Street
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

Part 63
Justice Hong
Phone 646-386-4063
111 Centre Street
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66
Justice Pickholz
Phone 646-386-4066
Fax 212-401-9097
111 Centre Street
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71
Justice L. Ward
Phone 646-386-4071
Fax 212-401-9268
100 Centre Street
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Gaetano Curto
Leonard Ely
Hansel Esparragoza
Joshua Garcia
Erica Gayle
Deondra McCord
Gilbert Orama
Brian Salina
Logan Shied
James Sylvester
Neimiah Williams

Part 73
Justice Roberts
Phone 646-386-4073
Fax 212-401-9116
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 75
Justice Mandelbaum
Phone 646-386-4075
111 Centre Street
Room 583, 9:30 A.M.

Part 77
Justice Obus
Phone 646-386-4077
100 Centre Street
Room 1536, 9:30 A.M.

Part 81
Justice C. Farber
Phone 646-386-4081
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1317, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Ty Allison
Dylan Beck
Hermilo Figueroa
Jermaine Finley
Wilson Galarza
Danyela Gomez
Kasey J. Harge
809919/25 Christine Jessica
Rodriguez v. NYC NYCH&HC
Corp. Et Al

Justice N. Ross
Phone 646-386-4023
Fax 212-295-4891
100 Centre Street
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31
Justice D. Kiesel
Phone 646-386-4031
Fax 212-401-9260
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32
Justice Carro
Phone 646-386-4032
Fax 212-401-9261
100 Centre Street
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37
Justice Adlerberg
Phone 646-386-4037
100 Centre Street
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41
Justice Dwyer
Phone 646-386-4041
Fax 212-401-9262
100 Centre Street
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

Part 42
Justice Wiley
Phone 646-386-4042
Fax 212-401-9263
111 Centre Street
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

Part 51
Justice Edwards
Phone 646-386-4051
Fax 212-401-9264
100 Centre Street
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Aaron Khan
Bibi R. Khan

Part 52
Justice T. Farber
Phone 646-386-4052
Fax 212-401-9265
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 53
Justice Rodney
Phone 646-386-4053
100 Centre Street
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

Part 54
Justice Antignani
Phone 646-386-4054
111 Centre Street
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 55
Justice Drysdale
Phone 646-386-4056
111 Centre Street
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

Part 59
Justice J. Merchan
Phone 646-386-4059
Fax 212-295-4932
100 Centre Street
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 61
Justice Clott
Phone 646-386-4061
Fax 212-401-9266
100 Centre Street
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 62
Justice M. Jackson
Phone 646-386-4062
Fax 212-401-9267
100 Centre Street
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

Part 63
Justice Hong
Phone 646-386-4063
111 Centre Street
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66
Justice Pickholz
Phone 646-386-4066
Fax 212-401-9097
111 Centre Street
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71
Justice L. Ward
Phone 646-386-4071
Fax 212-401-9268
100 Centre Street
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
Gaetano Curto
Leonard Ely
Hansel Esparragoza
Joshua Garcia
Erica Gayle
Deondra McCord
Gilbert Orama
Brian Salina
Logan Shied
James Sylvester
Neimiah Williams

Part 73
Justice Roberts
Phone 646-386-4073
Fax 212-401-9116
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 75
Justice Mandelbaum
Phone 646-386-4075
111 Centre Street
Room 583, 9:30 A.M.

Court Calendars

Trial Assignment Part
Justice Joseph E. Capella
Phone 718-618-1201
Room 711, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
25475/20 Aquino De Tejada v. Ibarra
301131/15 Arellano v. Seaview
Senior Luring
30889/20 Barnes v. Garda CI
Atlantic, Inc.

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 85
Justice Hayes
Phone 646-386-4085
Fax 212-401-9113
111 Centre Street
Room 1523, 9:30 A.M.

Part 92
Justice Mitchell
Phone 646-386-4092
Fax 212-295-4914
111 Centre Street
Room 1234, 9:30 A.M.

Part
Justice E. Biben
Phone 646-386-4093
111 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 93
Justice Scherzer
Phone 646-386-4093
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 95
Justice D. Conviser
Phone 646-386-4095
111 Centre Street
Room 687, 9:30 A.M.

Part 99
Justice Burke
Phone 646-386-4099
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1530, 9:30 A.M.

Part N-SCT
Justice Peterson
Phone 646-386-4014
Fax 212-401-9272
100 Centre Street
Room 218, 9:30 A.M.

Part IDV
Justice Dawson
Phone 646-386-3579
Fax 212-884-8938
100 Centre Street
Room 1604, 9:30 A.M.

SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate Hilary Gindold
Surrogate Rita Mella
31 Chamber's Street
New York, NY
See court's webpage for information about appearances: Visiting Surrogate's Court | NYCOURTS.GOVs

Bronx County

SUPREME COURT

EX PARTE AND URGENT MOTIONS PART
The Following is the List of Sittings in the Ex Parte Urgent Motions Part on the Dates Specified:

Day Calendar
Court Notices
Key to Submission
Motion Calendar
FS = Fully Submitted, No Opposition

Part 3
Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 5
801841/26 Basdeo v. NYC
822334/25 Batton v. NYC Et Al
820428/23 Brown v. NYC
824299/25 Casale v. NYC
811085/23 Case v. NYC Et Al
810482/23 Castillo-Martinez v. Sheshp Inc. Et Al

Part 3
Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 5
801841/26 Basdeo v. NYC
822334/25 Batton v. NYC Et Al
820428/23 Brown v. NYC
824299/25 Casale v. NYC
811085/23 Case v. NYC Et Al
810482/23 Castillo-Martinez v. Sheshp Inc. Et Al

Part 3
Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 5
801841/26 Basdeo v. NYC
822334/25 Batton v. NYC Et Al
820428/23 Brown v. NYC
824299/25 Casale v. NYC
811085/23 Case v. NYC Et Al
810482/23 Castillo-Martinez v. Sheshp Inc. Et Al

Part 3
Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 5
801841/26 Basdeo v. NYC
822334/25 Batton v. NYC Et Al
820428/23 Brown v. NYC
824299/25 Casale v. NYC
811085/23 Case v. NYC Et Al
810482/23 Castillo-Martinez v. Sheshp Inc. Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

Part 4
Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
812561/24 Mohiuddin v. Sullivan
TUESDAY, MAY 5
820060/25 Agard v. Furchert Jr.
805149/24 Agramonte v. 1899
Lexington LLC Et Al

820041/24 Francis Shouder v. NYCTA Et Al
816479/24 Fraser v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface NYCTA
804111/23 Gonzalez v. NYCTA Et Al
820556/24 Goris Ortiz v. NYCTA Et Al

808552/22 Grant v. NYC Et Al
814631/23 Guaba v. NYCTA
815804/25 Hernandez Cruz v. NYCTA
810130/24 Horne v. NYCTA Et Al
800134/24 Hughes v. NYCTA
812126/21 Jadusingh v. NYCTA
812649/24 Joseph v. NYCTA Et Al
808573/23 Kirmon v. NYCTA Et Al
800289/24 Lopez v. NYCTA Et Al
810653/24 Lopez v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
8658/02 Lora v. NYC
806032/24 Lorenzo v. NYCTA
800811/25 Marrero v. NYCTA Et Al
806993/21 Matos v. NYCTA Et Al
803290/25 Matthews v. NYCTA Et Al
802644/24 Mendez v. NYCTA Et Al
28027/19 Merritt v. NYC Et Al
814705/24 Michel v. NYCTA Et Al
802726/22 Monk v. NYCTA Et Al
801435/25 Moreau v. NYCTA Et Al
804793/25 Morel v. NYCTA Et Al
819249/23 Murdaugh v. NYCTA Et Al
802527/25 Nikocecovic v. NYCTA Et Al
802526/15 Nwadike v. NYC
800587/21 Oleaga v. NYC Et Al
803216/24 Ortiz v. NYCTA Et Al
805411/23 Ortiz v. Candelario
811387/21 Pacheco v. Lutchmansing
350160/13 Passalacqua v. NYC
811954/22 Perez v. Mta Bus Co. Et Al
817272/24 Perry v. NYCTA Et Al
820474/23 Pillich v. Garcia
29374/17 Powell v. NYCTA
24053/20 Ramirez Morel v. The Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
801186/25 Redding v. NYCTA Et Al
816462/24 Rhone v. NYCTA Et Al
805390/25 Rodriguez-Woss v. NYCTA Et Al
20741/18 Ruiz v. NYC
804205/25 Sajuj v. NYC NYCTA Et Al
802313/25 Santomas Perez v. NYC Et Al
819239/25 Sawadogo v. The NYCTA Et Al
808383/22 Simpson v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface NYCTA Et Al
813458/25 Singh v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
28246/19 Skeeter v. Doe
803905/23 Thomas v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
809186/22 Umar v. Mta Bus Co. Et Al

811491/25 Gordon v. Castro
806155/25 Hernandez Morales v. United Parcel Service Inc. Et Al
811185/25 Palmer v. Bb Boston Real Estate LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 5
34426/19 Jenkins v. New Gold Equities Corp.
809888/24 Ramirez v. Fuego Tipico Restaurant Corp. Et Al

Part 5
Justice Alison Y. Tuitt
Phone 718-618-1224
Room 415, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
811491/25 Gordon v. Castro
806155/25 Hernandez Morales v. United Parcel Service Inc. Et Al
811185/25 Palmer v. Bb Boston Real Estate LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 5
34426/19 Jenkins v. New Gold Equities Corp.
809888/24 Ramirez v. Fuego Tipico Restaurant Corp. Et Al

Part 6
Justice Laura G. Douglas
Phone 718-618-1246
Room 811, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
811491/25 Gordon v. Castro
806155/25 Hernandez Morales v. United Parcel Service Inc. Et Al
811185/25 Palmer v. Bb Boston Real Estate LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 5
34426/19 Jenkins v. New Gold Equities Corp.
809888/24 Ramirez v. Fuego Tipico Restaurant Corp. Et Al

Part 7
Justice Wilma Guzman
Phone 718-618-1288
Room 624, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
817577/23 Arbolada v. Kama Restaurant & Lounge Inc Et Al
805471/21 Bautista v. 2050 Valentine Ave. LLC Et Al
820002/25 Bennett v. 3463 Third Ave. Rly.
824694/23 Chiaramida v. Csc Hldgs.
802541/24 Dendy v. Patricia Morris Park Corp Et Al
821293/25 Diaz-Martel v. 2690 Morris Ave. LLC Et Al
820815/25 Gumbs v. Veceleca LLC Et Al
826071/25 Jones v. Vale Partners LLC Et Al
801325/26 Lopez v. Martinez Brazoban
807120/22 Munoz v. Walton Fashion Stores, Inc. Et Al
803878/24 Ozoria v. Deleon
802541/21 Reyes v. Hp Moshulu Grand Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
825649/25 Rodriguez v. Northwell Health, Inc. Et Al
821294/25 Rodriguez v. 2690 Morris Ave. LLC Et Al
825413/25 Shuaib v. Nifio Cab Corp. Et Al
804924/24 Walters v. Patricia Morris Park Corp Et Al
813419/25 Witherspoon v. Castro-Feinstein

TUESDAY, MAY 5
817767/23 Alejo Cruz v. Hernandez Amaya
807624/21 Difo v. Bronx Park Phase II Preservation
800256/24 Gottlieb v. Roadway Movers Inc. D/b/a Roadway Moving
810274/25 M.A. Painting Corp. v. River Point Towers Co-Op., Inc. Et Al
820003/23 Rodriguez v. De Je Hernandez Amaya
32026/20 Santana v. Salab Rly. Corp. Et Al

Part 8
Justice Blanka Perez
Phone 718-618-1205
Room 704, 9:30 A.M.

Part 9/33
Justice Myrna Socorro
Phone 718-618-1625
Room 708, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 4
820120/23 Acevedo v. NYCTA Et Al
803540/22 Adams v. NYCTA
812325/23 Akther v. NYCTA Et Al
801761/21 Alston v. Doe
25835/14 Anderson v. NYC
819482/23 Arroyo v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
805627/24 Attareb v. NYCTA Et Al
30588/14 Batista v. NYC
813396/22 Bello v. NYCTA Et Al
815089/25 Blanchard-De Brown v. NYCTA Et Al
809460/23 Gooden v. NYC Et Al
822971/25 Cortes v. NYC Et Al
803917/26 Febus v. NYC Et Al
806753/24 Figueroa Henriquez v. NYC
32705/20 Flores v. NYC Et Al
812804/25 Frias v. NYC Et Al
814628/25 Garcia v. St. Edmund's PE. Church Et Al
824105/25 Garcia v. NYC Et Al
820643/25 Giler Colon v. NYC Et Al
800184/25 Gonzalez v. Feldco Rly. LLC Et Al
809460/23 Gooden v. NYC Et Al
800420/26 Hernandez v. NYC Dept. of Sanitation Et Al
819133/23 Hicks v. NYC Et Al
815795/23 Hughes v. NYC Et Al
825712/25 Ibrahim v. Community Action For Human Services, Inc. Et Al
810463/25 Johnson v. Gonzalez
803698/24 Matez v. NYC
801518/26 McKnight v. NYCHA Et Al
811717/23 McNeill v. NYC Et Al
811563/25 Michael Drezin As Assignee of The Claim of Et Al v. NYC And Et Al
801061/26 O'Brien v. NYC Et Al
822349/25 Olivia v. Boricua Village Housing Dev. Fund Co. Et Al
818899/25 Ortiz v. NYC
809558/23 Paul v. NYC Et Al
814151/25 Paz v. NYC
810364/23 Porter v. Perri
800318/26 Reid v. NYC
808373/23 Robinson v. NYC Et Al
819114/25 Robinson v. NYC
810737/25 Sistrunk v. NYC Et Al
823242/25 Skinner v. NY

TUESDAY, MAY 5
817767/23 Alejo Cruz v. Hernandez Amaya
807624/21 Difo v. Bronx Park Phase II Preservation
800256/24 Gottlieb v. Roadway Movers Inc. D/b/a Roadway Moving
810274/25 M.A. Painting Corp. v. River Point Towers Co-Op., Inc. Et Al
820003/23 Rodriguez v. De Je Hernandez Amaya
32026/20 Santana v. Salab Rly. Corp. Et Al

80621121 Garcia v. Anderson Rty. Corp.  
 81575924 Guerrero v. Little Lisa Bus Co. Inc. Et Al  
 81311324 Holmes v. 316-322 East 149th St.  
 80320824 Hope v. Hossain  
 81609924 Konateh v. 845 Walton LLC Et Al  
 81199821 Llapa Campoverde v. 534 West 178 Equities  
 34721/19 Martinez v. 775 Rty. Co. LLC Et Al  
 82059823 McKeely v. St. Barbara Roman Catholic Church A/k/a St. Barbara Church  
 82082324 Moronta v. Mecca Transport, Inc. Et Al  
 80740025 Oviedo De Polanco v. Jaba Khatcapuridze Et Al  
 80295224 Polanco v. 355 East 187th St. LLC  
 80052225 Rodriguez v. Martinez Reyes  
 80581525 Sanchez Perez v. J. C. Tomilson Hill Et Al  
 81131825 Santos v. Stellar Bruckner LLC Et Al  
 2892020 Visbal v. Brown  
 2363518 Williams v. Terence Cardinal Cooke

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 3285220 Cephus v. Jgv Mgt. Corp. Et Al  
 8087723 Hernandez v. 178th St. Rty. Corp.  
 30040/18 M v. Consol. Bus Transit, Inc. Et Al  
 81066222 Veras v. 115 McClellan Rty. Inc. Et Al

**Part 21**  
**Justice Matthew Parker-Raso**  
 Phone 718-618-1435  
 Room 706, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 81417922 Carranza Rafael v. Mott Center  
 3018220 Flores v. 1262 B'way Equities LLC  
 32188/18 Perez v. Two Fulton Square LLC  
 26935/16 Wagge v. Barney's New York, Inc.

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 82347625 Acevedo v. Santana  
 81489724 Arendell-Garcia v. Parkash 2115 LLC  
 30863109 Arrowood Indemnity Co. v. Smith  
 80994825 Bah v. Calcanodelacruz 21405/20 Baker v. Rahman  
 81368025 Benedetto v. Metro Park Housing Dev. Fund Corp.  
 81425225 Bonilla Mena v. Anderson Ave. Associates LP  
 80630024 Brown v. Leon  
 80606525 Brown v. Sanginito  
 81293222 Cabrera v. Mj Garden LLC Et Al  
 81191725 Capellan v. Rose  
 80312225 Capital One v. Perez  
 81065224 Carrera-Morales v. Alluke LLC Et Al  
 80891724 Castillo Checo v. Di Trucking LLC Et Al  
 80088523 Castillo v. Macias  
 81263324 Cespedes v. Stand-Up Mri of The Bronx  
 80432325 Clase v. Guerrero-Avila  
 81869323 Diallo v. 824-834 E. 161st St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al  
 80481322 Ephraim v. River Bay Corp.  
 81902723 Feliz v. Jerome W. 169th Associates  
 80246625 Filosa v. Skyview Owners Corp. Et Al  
 80440825 Fragoso Sanchez v. Whyte  
 80290124 Gonzalez v. Talledo  
 80878623 Guyton-Cyril v. Fitness Int'l NY  
 81223724 Hernandez De Mendoza v. 45 Hc LLC  
 80105026 Hobson v. Eastwood Manor Inc. Et Al  
 81313125 Jordan Clarke v. Nnadiri  
 81162224 Kabor v. Vatner  
 82283125 Kwon v. Akirov  
 80208924 Larve v. Led Elevator Inc. Et Al  
 81666622 Leak-Fox v. Freeman's Auto Service, Inc. Et Al  
 81696425 Lopez Soto v. Nueva Imagen Express LLC. Et Al  
 81472224 Mackie v. Gelco Fleet Trust Et Al  
 81884722 Malpica v. NYCHA  
 80495425 Martinez-Maldonado v. Vega  
 80265925 Maysonet v. Blumenberg  
 81180924 Mitchell v. Parish Prop. Mgt. Inc.  
 82498825 Noble v. Stew Leonard's Yonkers LLC  
 81003023 Odena v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al  
 80385325 Pabon v. 1025 Boynton Ave. Rty.  
 81576223 Pimble v. Popeyes Louisiana Kitchen, Inc. Et Al  
 81670523 Powell v. Figueroa-Ceja  
 81937822 Prensa-Matos v. Calderon-Gonzalez  
 80451325 Reyes Perez v. Nht Logistics Inc. Dba New Holland Transport, Inc Et Al  
 81571124 Robinson v. 738 East LLC  
 80661423 Rodriguez v. Wavcrest Mgt. Group LLC Et Al  
 81254223 Sanchez-Reynoso v. Qc Creston LLC Et Al  
 81497021 Sweeney v. 1544 E LLC. Et Al  
 80875925 Tavarez v. Hoe-Longwood Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc. Et Al  
 8120123 Tejada-Arnaud v. Bolla Em Rty.  
 81162825 Tetteh v. Myelles Logistics LLC Et Al  
 80747425 Walker v. Board of Directors of Sherman Terrace Co-Op., Inc. Et Al  
 81676821 Warren v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al  
 80305624 West v. Liberty Ws Inc. Et Al  
 81825424 Wright v. 168 Nelson Rty. Co

**Part 22**  
**Justice Marissa Soto**  
 Phone 718-618-1193  
 Room 709, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 81321722 Alvarado Miele v. Parkash  
 81668021 Barnett v. 478 East 138th St. Partners LLC Et Al  
 81934622 Calvo v. 1353 LLC Et Al  
 81884922 Clough v. Mgsa Vii LLC Et Al  
 2926818 Diaz v. Global Industrial Services  
 7010821 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
 7010721 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
 80222022 Norford v. Montefiore Medical Center  
 80426624 Ogando Rosario v. 1541 Williamsbridge Rty. LLC Et Al  
 7009821 Ps I v. Fordham Preparatory School  
 80055524 Sinekler v. Mohammed  
 80855424 Washington v. Almonte

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81488424 Acosta v. B.K.A. Transport LLC Et Al  
 81523124 Alejandra v. Eastone Parsons Hldg.  
 80883624 Amador Pinzon v. City Wide Apartments Gp Inc Et Al  
 2846120 Builders v. Chica Ltd Partnership Et Al  
 80596124 Artiles Dorville v. Rbss Premier  
 81058623 Batiz v. Munoz  
 81227923 Blanco v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.  
 80391523 Bongiorno v. Mendoza Brothers Cleaning Services  
 81964824 Castillo v. Schechter  
 81717824 Cavaye Saybe v. 34-37 Rty.

**Part 23**  
**Justice Paul L. Alpert**  
 Phone 718-618-1617  
 Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 81427924 Huancas v. 520 Fco Owner 2 LLC Et Al

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81431123 A v. Bgd Sukfa  
 205371/17 Acevedo v. Bronx Harbor Health Care  
 80566922 Alix v. Steven Knobel And Lisa Knobel As Trustees of Knobel 2012 Family Trust Et Al  
 80834323 Amequita v. 2780 Rty. Co., L.L.C.  
 80549024 Andrickson v. 2850 Claffin Ave. Associates L.L.C. Et Al  
 80685623 Aponte v. Hellman Electric Group, Inc. Et Al  
 80059021 Arias Dry Cleaners, Inc. v. World Class Cleaners  
 81221923 Avilez-Sanchez v. Mangnan Rty. LLC  
 81544024 Biriwa v. 1358 S LLC Et Al  
 80243523 Bostic v. 20 West Properties LLC Et Al  
 80949023 Bowen v. Vanguard Const. And Dev. Co., Inc.  
 80595523 Brown v. 2945 Meat & Produce, Inc. Et Al  
 272741/17 Brwn v. Grand St. Guild East  
 81092324 Burks v. NYC Et Al  
 81381722 Caban v. R & D Gourmet Deli, Inc. Et Al  
 3249920 Camejo v. Rahaman  
 81395321 Carrasco-De Garcia v. Parkash 2487 LLC Et Al  
 3493420 Carter v. 3630 Holland LLC  
 81719324 Castillo-Ferreira v. Calle Avila  
 80150125 Castillo-Rodriguez v. Little Lisa Bus Co., Inc., Et Al  
 3435620 Castrillon v. Icer of Woodcrest Ave  
 81614523 Castro v. Alam  
 81061523 Cayruth v. Simmonds  
 80209624 Cruz v. Doe  
 80806122 Daniel v. E.C. Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc.  
 80260924 De Jesus Ramirez v. 2010 Powell  
 80385022 Delarosa-Palma v. J&N Rty. Associates  
 81394323 Encarnacion v. 1223 Rty. LLC Et Al  
 81207821 Evans v. 2275 Morris Rty. LLC Et Al  
 80821222 Feliz v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al  
 80025823 Feliz v. Network Infrastructure, Inc.  
 80385721 Feliz v. Triborough Scaffolding And Hoisting Services, Inc.  
 80468423 Gallardo v. R.G. Ortiz Funeral Home Inc. Et Al  
 81810322 Gnoira v. Vno Bruckner Plaza LLC Et Al  
 81078223 Gooden v. Spigner  
 80138723 Guerrero v. 2427 Webster Rty. LLC  
 80393424 Guevara v. Cp Viii Lic Owner  
 80050822 Gutierrez Sequeiros v. Gutierrez Sequeiros  
 81843022 Hall v. Citalna Const. Corp. Et Al  
 80839624 Henriquez v. Westwood Manor  
 81042523 Hernandez v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.

**Part 24**  
**Justice Shawn T. Kelly**  
 Phone 718-618-1248  
 Room 623, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 25**  
**Justice Mary Ann Brigantti**  
 Phone 718-618-1252  
 Room 407, 9:30 A.M.

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 80581025 Augusto Capellan v. American United Transportation, Inc. Et Al  
 80896523 Cabrera v. Mta Bus Co.  
 81480522 Cruz Rosario v. Gonzales  
 81940922 Diaz Marine v. Saemar Rty. Corp.  
 80434325 Dione v. NYC  
 81540722 Durant v. Paezck  
 81087823 Matias v. NYCHA  
 23330/20 Mihalatos v. Wright  
 80888225 Montalvo v. Wilson  
 81551725 Ocheg v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al  
 81237322 Pages v. Parkchester South Condominium Assoc. Et Al  
 80553423 Robinson v. Olanrewaju  
 80143124 Robinson v. Olanrewaju  
 80789722 Seaman v. NYC Et Al  
 80378625 Singh v. Tollens  
 80391226 Sireaf v. Fv Trucking LLC Et Al  
 80767923 Toribio v. 735 Rty. Co., L.L.C.  
 22178/12 Vigueras v. Trian Rty. Co.

**Part 26**  
**Justice Paul L. Alpert**  
 Phone 718-618-1617  
 Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 81427924 Huancas v. 520 Fco Owner 2 LLC Et Al

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81431123 A v. Bgd Sukfa  
 205371/17 Acevedo v. Bronx Harbor Health Care  
 80566922 Alix v. Steven Knobel And Lisa Knobel As Trustees of Knobel 2012 Family Trust Et Al  
 80834323 Amequita v. 2780 Rty. Co., L.L.C.  
 80549024 Andrickson v. 2850 Claffin Ave. Associates L.L.C. Et Al  
 80685623 Aponte v. Hellman Electric Group, Inc. Et Al  
 80059021 Arias Dry Cleaners, Inc. v. World Class Cleaners  
 81221923 Avilez-Sanchez v. Mangnan Rty. LLC  
 81544024 Biriwa v. 1358 S LLC Et Al  
 80243523 Bostic v. 20 West Properties LLC Et Al  
 80949023 Bowen v. Vanguard Const. And Dev. Co., Inc.  
 80595523 Brown v. 2945 Meat & Produce, Inc. Et Al  
 272741/17 Brwn v. Grand St. Guild East  
 81092324 Burks v. NYC Et Al  
 81381722 Caban v. R & D Gourmet Deli, Inc. Et Al  
 3249920 Camejo v. Rahaman  
 81395321 Carrasco-De Garcia v. Parkash 2487 LLC Et Al  
 3493420 Carter v. 3630 Holland LLC  
 81719324 Castillo-Ferreira v. Calle Avila  
 80150125 Castillo-Rodriguez v. Little Lisa Bus Co., Inc., Et Al  
 3435620 Castrillon v. Icer of Woodcrest Ave  
 81614523 Castro v. Alam  
 81061523 Cayruth v. Simmonds  
 80209624 Cruz v. Doe  
 80806122 Daniel v. E.C. Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc.  
 80260924 De Jesus Ramirez v. 2010 Powell  
 80385022 Delarosa-Palma v. J&N Rty. Associates  
 81394323 Encarnacion v. 1223 Rty. LLC Et Al  
 81207821 Evans v. 2275 Morris Rty. LLC Et Al  
 80821222 Feliz v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al  
 80025823 Feliz v. Network Infrastructure, Inc.  
 80385721 Feliz v. Triborough Scaffolding And Hoisting Services, Inc.  
 80468423 Gallardo v. R.G. Ortiz Funeral Home Inc. Et Al  
 81810322 Gnoira v. Vno Bruckner Plaza LLC Et Al  
 81078223 Gooden v. Spigner  
 80138723 Guerrero v. 2427 Webster Rty. LLC  
 80393424 Guevara v. Cp Viii Lic Owner  
 80050822 Gutierrez Sequeiros v. Gutierrez Sequeiros  
 81843022 Hall v. Citalna Const. Corp. Et Al  
 80839624 Henriquez v. Westwood Manor  
 81042523 Hernandez v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.

**Part 27**  
**Justice Naita A. Semaj**  
 Phone 718-618-1226  
 Room 622, 9:30 A.M.

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 352224 Aponte v. Powell  
 80914924 Becerra v. Gowanus Cubes  
 81269423 Niksa v. NY School Const. Auth. Et Al  
 80972322 Rjs Capital LLC v. Belfor  
 134626 Salas v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene

**Part 28**  
**Justice Sarah P. Cooper**  
 Phone 718-618-1254  
 Room 402, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 80170721 Escour v. Allow  
 1251902 Schloss v. Schloss  
 82110925 Vazquez Zavala v. Diaz

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81129623 Fitzpatrick v. Fitzpatrick  
 80464026 Moreira v. Rigail Mora  
 80586824 Rivera v. Rivera

**Part 29**  
**Justice Veronica Romero Guerrero**  
 Phone 718-618-1479  
 Room 701, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 80919225 Awadallah v. Awadallah  
 81569021 Estevez v. Estevez  
 80801124 Ginsburg v. Demeranville  
 8341/18 Jara Silva v. Ortega Puma  
 81597425 Perez v. Figueroa  
 80576924 Pressano v. Kantrowitz  
 81084223 Richards v. Richards  
 81173124 Shaw v. Shaw

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 82068925 Deb v. Deb  
 481524 Eche v. Chieche-Echie  
 81846023 Mbayor v. Nikurika  
 80422423 Reyes v. Perez  
 81474324 Welsh v. Welsh

**Part 30**  
**Justice Erik L. Gray**  
 Phone 718-618-1320  
 Room 703, 9:30 A.M.

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81161224 Licea v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al

**Part 31/32**  
**Justice Fidel E. Gomez**  
 Phone 718-618-1203  
 Room 403, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 34**  
**Justice Michael A. Frishman**  
 Phone 718-618-1349  
 Room 705, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 80161323 Calderon v. Gandhi  
 D.D.S.  
 306345/09 Quendo v. Beth Abraham Health  
 30731/18 Stevenson v. Montefiore Medical Center  
 24975/19 Tarrats v. Morris Park Nursing Home  
 24567/20 Vasquez v. Bronx Lebanon Hosp. Center Et Al

**Part 35**  
**Justice Raymond P. Fernandez**  
 Phone 718-618-1216  
 Room 625, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 81416023 Atkinson v. Pradiue  
 81903122 De La Cruz v. Cuellar  
 81951224 Garcia Benscome v. Diawara  
 80649123 Kohen v. Rosales  
 80283021 Lantigua v. Lashley  
 81919924 Lee v. NYCHA  
 80377624 Lewis v. Rigo Limo-Auto Corp. Et Al  
 80331723 Martinez Gonzalez v. Mahbulul  
 80746425 Mercedes v. Angulo  
 81662723 Mercedes v. Brennan  
 81794623 Moscoso Fuentes v. 2680 Morris LLC  
 81339522 Murray v. Republic Scaffold & Hoist Corp. Et Al  
 81356622 Nikiema v. Anyanwu  
 81298124 Norman v. Ryder

81639823 Hr Doe 1 v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al  
 26826/20 K. v. West Fordham Restaurant  
 23720/20 K.V. v. Bic Merrick Blvd. LLC  
 80213124 Larrosa v. 764 East 152nd St. LLC Et Al  
 81202524 Licit Industries D/b/a Hallowell v. Donco  
 80982421 M.E. v. Paulino  
 81171823 Maldonado v. Jesse Auto Care Inc Et Al  
 80445324 Marega v. Btm Dev. Partners  
 80855924 Marion v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey  
 3340020 Martinez v. Urban Renaissance  
 80941523 Medina v. Perrone  
 80049924 Melendez v. NYCHA  
 80603423 Munoz v. Ggs1 LLC Et Al  
 80977722 Nat. Continental Ins. Co. v. Vargas  
 80277824 Nelson v. Findlay Teller Housing Dev. Fund Corp.  
 80321424 Nunez Castro v. Magen David Mgmt.  
 80837523 Ortiz v. W.G.G. Properties  
 80592924 Paez v. Singh  
 80974623 Pena v. Parkash 815 LLC Et Al  
 80097622 Pena v. 187 Prop. LLC  
 80237124 Perez v. Eltech Industries, Inc. Et Al  
 80503723 Pichardo v. Andrews Plaza Housing Associates L.P. Et Al  
 80373223 Pineda Urena v. Vilmar LLC Et Al  
 80304024 Ramos Lynch v. 4720 Jr LLC Et Al  
 25857/18 Reid-Lynch v. Costco Wholesale Corp.  
 80786824 Ricketts v. Mussenden  
 81383323 Rivas v. 902 Soundview Rty. LLC Et Al  
 80303022 Rivera v. Queens Ballpark Co., LLC  
 304805/14 Riverdale Car Wash & Detail v. Riverdale Care Wash, Inc.  
 80234623 Rocco Sosa v. Metro Mgt. Washington Square Apts. Inc. Et Al  
 80976924 Rosario v. La Paz  
 30194/18 S. Infant By Her Mother Brenda Soto v. Union Community Health Center, Inc. Et Al  
 81726822 Sanchez v. The NYCHA  
 33468/20 Sanfelice v. Bronx Park  
 80326724 Santiago v. NYC Park Phase I Preservation  
 80758624 Singh v. Bronx Pancaakes, Inc. Et Al  
 80455324 Smith v. K.S.M. Hldg.  
 81652023 Solorzano v. 708m Owners LLC Et Al  
 81588223 Spriegel v. 502 E. Jed Rty. Corp.  
 80271423 Strickland v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.  
 81444025 T v. Riverdale Senior Services, Inc. Et Al  
 81458523 Torres v. Las Gun Hill Rty. Corp. Et Al  
 81905124 Vasquez v. Cb Hldg. LLC  
 80759323 Velez Mendoza v. 630 Grand St LLC Et Al  
 80745124 Ventura Almonte v. Pbp Rty. Fund LLC  
 21442/17 Washington v. Promesa Hdfc Inc.  
 81443122 Williams v. NYCHA  
 81948623 Yrigoien v. Gowanus Union St. LLC Et Al  
 35511/20 Zehner v. Schindler Elevator Corp. Et Al  
 80218222 Zuta v. Webster 26 LLC Et Al

**Part 36**  
**Justice Edit Shkrelly**  
 Phone 718-618-1972  
 Room 410, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 80207825 Ahmed v. 2572 Astoria Chen LLC Et Al  
 28021/20 Araujo v. Viaveb LLC  
 80333724 Arzu Garcia v. First & River  
 81059821 Banda Siguenas v. West 96th Dev.  
 80290725 Baptiste v. Banta Taxi And Tieuu Obeng  
 81773924 Barber v. Saif Group  
 80788621 Burt v. Western Beef Retail, Inc. Et Al  
 81863125 Caballero v. Perez Sanchez  
 80982123 Cortez v. Tully Const. Co. Inc.  
 81568823 Crespo v. Sun Rty. Corp.  
 81513724 Curra v. Casa Celina LLC Et Al  
 81633424 Gonzalez v. Rabinowitz  
 80056024 Hunt v. Montefiore Medical Center  
 80244824 Islam v. Patel  
 25913/17 Kirley v. 485 Seventh Ave.  
 81759023 Locantore v. Hutch Tower 70 LLC Et Al  
 80255326 Marquez Chejne v. Feng Yang  
 80319924 Martz v. Schindler Elevator Corp. Et Al  
 80731623 Martinez Fonseca v. Rvmm  
 25322/20 Millingen v. Magical Prod. ons  
 81688024 Montero v. Gardiadelacruz  
 81339123 Ortiz v. Carmona  
 82055625 Rivera v. Dislivio  
 82145825 Rodriguez Jr. v. Blumenfeld Dev. Group Ltd. Et Al  
 81448724 Rodriguez v. Cityview Rental LLC Et Al  
 22255/19 Romero Cardona v. Prospect Resources Inc.  
 80909724 Sanchez Ramirez v. Ckz Rty. LLC Et Al  
 80826624 Sanchez v. NYCHA  
 81848824 Sonia Pacheco v. 240 E. LLC. Et Al  
 82626025 Tejada Valera v. R&S Bakery Distributors Corp. Et Al  
 82253925 Torres v. Rnw Electric Corp. Et Al  
 80119525 Valencia Simba v. Ag-Spaxel 16 Wade Prop. Owner  
 81156024 Valerio v. St. Ann's Apts. LLC Et Al  
 80610224 Windett v. NYCHA

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 80051723 Abouo v. Ean Holdings  
 80420821 Atuegwu v. Venture Leasing  
 80195422 Bravo Parraga v. Delgado  
 80326822 Burke v. Outfront Media Inc. Et Al  
 81698424 Castillo Ramirez v. 3405 Putnam Rty. Corp. Et Al  
 80102021 Duff v. United Midwest Inc Et Al  
 81118923 Eustate Camacho v. Dially  
 2234020 Kim v. Techlogix Associates Inc.  
 80933222 Lawry v. Campbell  
 23735/19 Lesniak v. 411 Wales Rty.  
 29460/20 Nwosu v. Nelson Jr.  
 35441/20 Oliva v. The Edge Condominium  
 34523/20 Pagoda-Guerra v. Parkchester South  
 80057523 Rodriguez-Minier v. Alice L. Kulick I  
 81154024 Terrazas v. 67 Wall St. Owner

**Part 37**  
**Justice Joaquin E. Orellana**  
 Phone 718-618-1672  
 Room 701A, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 120001/25262 East Fordham Rty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC And The Comm'r. of Finance of NYC  
 101100/23262 East Fordham Rty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC  
 10202524262 East Fordham Rty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC  
 807021/22 Del Aguila Lara v. Plaxall, Inc.  
 81168825 Shardow v. Toribio Cabrera

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 81426121 Alexander v. Mgm Yonkers, Inc.  
 81067223 Coley v. 1788 Hldgs. LLC Et Al  
 80482123 Marin v. Bello  
 80912724 Sanchez v. 3280 Rty. Corp. Et Al  
 80341821 Tacuri Brito v. Noa-Lior LLC Et Al

**CRIMINAL TERM**  
**Part SCA**  
**Justice Villegas**  
 Phone 718-618-1378  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 390, 9:30 A.M.

**Part IDV-SCT**  
**Justice Flores**  
 Phone 718-618-1067  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 420, 9:30 A.M.

**Part JD/T**  
**Justice Lieb**  
 Phone 718-618-1097  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

**Part TRP**  
**Justice Yearwood**  
 Phone 718-618-1103  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 340, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 11**  
**Justice Mitchell**  
 Phone 718-618-1076  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 12**  
**Justice Michels**  
 Phone 718-618-1016  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 660, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 14**  
**Justice Busching**  
 Phone 718-618-1034  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 690, 9:30 A.M.

**Part 15**  
**Justice Park**  
 Phone 718-618-1019  
 265 East 161st Street  
 Room 500, 9:30 A.M.

**MONDAY, MAY 4**  
 2382420 Pena v. Fazal  
 81670823 Ramsamugh v. NYC Et Al  
 80591024 Ruiz v. 1432 Shakespeare Ave LLC  
 81015724 Td Bank N.A. v. Rosa  
 81489825 The Glebe Castle LLC Et Al  
 Al v. NYC Dept. of Bldgs. Et Al  
 81076822 Torres v. Bhuiyan  
 82081324 Wright v. 25 Water Owner

**TUESDAY, MAY 5**  
 82480925 Calderon De Alvarez v. Adams  
 82027525 Feliz Beltre v. Maldonado  
 80131026 Francis v. Chanaca  
 82066425 Hoshding v. White  
 82659525 Khaddaj v. Doe  
 80304926 Rice v. Gonzalez  
 82441625 Saputo v. Bhinder Logistics, Inc. Et Al  
 81854725 Whyte v. Thimote

# CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

## LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

### #1 Global Legal Job Site

Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson Phone: 212.457.7850 Email: crobertson@alm.com

#### CITATIONS NY

**F**ile No. 2025-2398 CITATION The People of the State of New York by the Grace of God Free and Independent To: the unknown heirs at law, and next of kin of Barbara J Sullivan aka Barbara Sullivan, deceased, if they be living, and if they be dead, to their Executors, Administrators, Creditors and their husbands or wives or successors in interest. A petition having been filed by Christopher Sullivan who is domiciled at 1621 Lake Avenue, Point Pleasant Beach, NJ 08742. YOU ARE HEREBY CITED TO SHOW CAUSE by making a virtual or in-person appearance before the Surrogate's Court, Bronx County, New York, located at 651 Grand Concourse, Courtroom 406, Bronx, New York, 10451, on June 2nd 2026, at 9:30 a.m. why the Court should not grant the following relief: Letters Testamentary be issued to Christopher Sullivan. CONTACT THE COURT AT (718) 618-2373 OR VIRTUAL-BRONXSURRO-GATESCOURT@NYCOURTS.GOV FOR INFORMATION ON HOW TO APPEAR ON THE COURT'S VIRTUAL PLATFORM Dated, Attested and Sealed, 7 April 2nd, 2026 Hon. Nelida Malavé-Gonzalez, SURROGATE Johanna K O'Brien Acting Chief Clerk ATTORNEY Petitioner's Attorney: Jean Marie Donohue Address: 247 Route 100, Suite 2003, Somers, NY 10589 Telephone Number: 914-930-8400 E-Mail: jeanmarie@corlisslawgroup.com [NOTE: This citation is served upon you as required by law. You are not required to appear. If you fail to appear it will be assumed you do not object to the relief requested. You have a right to have an attorney appear for you.] 23983 a13-M my4

#### FOUNDATIONS

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF Hearts and Horses Foundation. For the fiscal year ended 06/30/2025 is available at its principal office located at 8 Turnberry Street, Setauket, NY 11733 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Richard Fleischman. 25029 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF Karen Slovin Private Charitable Foundation. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 509 Fair Oaks Ave Oaks Park, IL 60302 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Karen French. 25191 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF LM Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Michael Ryan. 25036 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF Middle Road Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Elizabeth R. Varet. 25033 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Abstraction Fund. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Nina Rosenwald. 25034 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Jill E. Solomon Charitable Foundation. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 10 Willows Lane, White Plains, NY 10605 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Leonard Solomon. 25184 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Lynton Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Carol Lynton. 25038 my4

#### FOUNDATIONS

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE RAVI CHOPRA FOUNDATION INC. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 3600 Matheron Avenue Coconut Grove, FL 33133 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Ravi Chopra. 25188 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Shores Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Suite 2200 New York, NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Michael Ryan. 25037 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Winterburn Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E Suite 2200, New York NY 10001 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Alexandra Rockefeller. 25026 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF GRAMERCY PARK FOUNDATION, INC. For the calendar year ended DECEMBER 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at C/O SUSAN TUCKER 411 EAST 53RD ST. NEW YORK, NY 10022 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is SUSAN TUCKER. 25181 my4

**T**HE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE HERMAN E. & ESTELLE GOODMAN FOUNDATION INC. For the FISCAL year ended NOVEMBER 30, 2025 is available at its principal office located at C/O CBIZ, 730 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10017 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is STEVEN M LOEB. 25179 my4

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

245 Dental PLLC filed 2/10/26. Cty: New York. SSNY desig. for process & shall mail to: 245 Dental, 300 W 31 St, NY 10011. Purp: Dentistry. 24066 a13-M my18

KANZA SHAMIM MEDICAL PLLC, a Prof. LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/02/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The PLLC, 943 Madison Place, Merrick, NY 11566. Purpose: To Practice The Profession of Medicine. 23770 a6-M my11

OTYLIA MCQUAY LCSW, PLLC, a Prof. LLC Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/23/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The PLLC, 124 N Merrick Avenue, Merrick, NY 11566. Purpose: To Practice The Profession of Licensed Clinical Social Work. 23771 a6-M my11

Notice of Formation of AFFIRMED MEDICAL PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/17/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 125 W 31 St, Apt 55B, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: Any lawful activity. A06 M My11

Notice of Formation of Helene Barnett LCSW PLLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/25/2026. Office location: New York County. Principal office of PLLC: 300 East 34th Street, Apt. 25C, New York, New York 10016. SSNY designated as agent of PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Helene Barnett, 300 East 34th Street, New York, New York 10016. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22612 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SANG SEOP LEE DVM, PLLC. Art. of Org. filed with the Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 09/18/24. Office in New York County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the PLLC, 28 HERBERT ST STE 2 BROOKLYN, NY, 11222. Purpose: Any lawful purpose 24127 Apr13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF STEEL AND GLASS PRODUCTION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF RZ LANDSCAPING & GARDEN CARE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/11/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 348 4th Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11215. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23722 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF STEEL AND GLASS PRODUCTION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

#### LIQUOR LICENSES

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that application ID RM-26-100415-01 for wine and beer has been applied by Chopped Parsley Inc to sell wine and beer at retail in a restaurant under the alcoholic beverage control law at 1730 Amsterdam ave New York, NY 10031 (NY county) for on premises consumption. 25081 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136628 for beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Sandy Ground Ferry Boats Tavern. 25195 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136675 for beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Guy Molinari Ferry Boats Tavern. 25193 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136685 for beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Dorothy Day Ferry Boats Tavern. 25192 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136690 for sell beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Spirit of America Ferry Boats Tavern. 25196 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136708 for beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Michael H. Ollis Ferry Boats Tavern. 25194 my4-M my11

**N**OTICE IS HEREBY given that a license number NA-0361-25-136708 for beer, wine, liquor and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, wine, liquor and cider at retail in a Vessel under the alcoholic beverage control law at 4 South Street, #203 Whitehall Terminal, New York, NY 10004 for on premises consumption. Ferry Boats Donuts LLC, d/b/a Spirit of America Ferry Boats Tavern. 25196 my4-M my11

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

6200 TYNDALL AVE EVACB LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/26/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, C/O Short Term Capital LLC, 255 Glen Cove Road, 2nd Floor, Carle Place, NY 11514. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23430 m31-Tu my5

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF JOANNYS NYC SENIOR CONCIERGE, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/29/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

Notice of Formation of RZ LANDSCAPING & GARDEN CARE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/11/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 348 4th Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11215. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23722 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF STEEL AND GLASS PRODUCTION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF STEEL AND GLASS PRODUCTION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF STEEL AND GLASS PRODUCTION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2186 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10037. Purpose: any lawful act. 23605 A06 M My11

#### SALES

**S**UPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC, -against- JORGE MUJICA, ET AL. NOTICE OF SALE NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to a Final Judgment of Foreclosure entered in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Bronx on August 16, 2017, wherein OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC is the Plaintiff and JORGE MUJICA, ET AL. are the Defendant(s). I, the undersigned Referee, will sell at public auction at the BRONX COUNTY SUPREME COURT, 851 GRAND CONCOURSE, COURTROOM 711, BRONX, NY 10451, on June 1, 2026 at 2:15PM, premises known as 1035 HOLLYWOOD AVENUE, BRONX, NY 10465; and the following tax map identification: 5421-54. ALL THAT CERTAIN PLOT, PIECE OR PARCEL OF LAND, WITH THE BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS THEREON, ERECTED, SITUATE, LYING AND BEING IN THE BOROUGH AND COUNTY OF BRONX, CITY AND STATE OF NEW YORK Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No.: 380444/2009E. Jody P. Vorchheimer, Esq. - Referee. Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Partners, PLLC, 900 Merchants Concourse, Suite 310, Westbury, New York 11590, Attorneys for Plaintiff. All foreclosure sales will be conducted in accordance with Covid-19 guidelines including, but not limited to, social distancing and mask wearing. \*LOCATION OF SALE SUBJECT TO CHANGE DUE TO AN ACCORDANCE WITH COURT/CLERK DIRECTIONS. 24642 a27-M my18

#### PUBLIC NOTICES

To: KLEYDERMAN YON-ALBERG PERUGACHI PATINO in the matter of KLEYDERMAN YONAIKER PERUGACHI PATINO v. ARCHER I, LLC, et al., Index No. 536002/2022, in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Kings, ELEFTERAKIS, ELEFTERAKIS & PANEK, have moved to be relieved as counsel. You may appear at IAS Part LLM1 of the Courthouse located at 360 Adams Street, Brooklyn, New York 11201 at 9:30 a.m. on May 27, 2026 to oppose the motion by appearing at the hearing in front of the Court's Order, and the application by ELEFTERAKIS, ELEFTERAKIS & PANEK is available on the New York State Courts Electronic Filing (NYSCEF) Website. The subject case document does appear under Index No. 536002/2022 as Document Numbers 48-50. You may contact Elefterakis, Elefterakis & Panek at (212) 532-1116 with any questions. 24427 a27-M my18

Margarette Ismael vs. Germain Ismael, Berks County, PA Docket No. 23-13024 To: Germain Ismael A hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief will be held in Courtroom of Judge Lash, Berks County Services Center, 633 Court Street, Reading, Pennsylvania, on May 6, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. before Judge Lash. If you fail to appear at the scheduled hearing, the hearing will go on without you and your rights may be impacted. An Affidavit under section 3301(d) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code has been filed on April 9, 2026. You have 20 days from the date of this publication to respond by filing the appropriate Counter-Affidavit which can be found on the docket or your rights may be impacted. A Notice of Intention to file Practical and Transmittal has been filed on April 21, 2026. You have 20 days from the date of this publication to respond or the Plaintiff may seek entry of the Divorce Decree. 24594 my4-M-11

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CAP STUDIO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/24/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 959 1st Avenue, Apt 19C, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 24146 A13 M My18

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUAL. OF BETTEX USA LLC. Authority filed with the SSNY on 04/01/2026. Office loc: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 11/18/2025. SSNY is designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, Shanwei Yi, 530 7th Ave., Ste 608, NY, NY 10018. Address reference to be maintained in DE: 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert of Formation filed with DE Div. of Corps, 401 Federal St., Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23767 a6-M my11

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF COTTON TRACE CO. LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/14/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 99 19th Avenue, Apt 19C, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 23424 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF EQUUS ARCADIA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 106 W 83rd St, New York, NY 10024. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23313 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF EQUUS ARCADIA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 106 W 83rd St, New York, NY 10024. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23313 M30 M My04

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

190 GRAND LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/15/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 45 Chipperfield Court, Staten Island, NY 10301. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24130 a13-M my18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF HARBINGER ADVISORY LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/8/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 99 John St 1107, NY, NY 10038. Purpose: any lawful act. 24021 A13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SELA RYERSON MANAGEMENT LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/01/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to Global Settlement Corp., 1981 Marcus Ave, Ste E-117, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: any lawful act. 24114 A13 M My18

Notice of Formation of Station Chief LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 2/27/26. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 220 Manhattan Ave., Apt 4C, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24061 A13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Loopitz Artz & Photography LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/2/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2700 Jerome Ave, 401, Bronx, NY 10468. Purpose: any lawful act. 24141 A14 T My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AIP AGGREGATOR INTERNATIONAL AIV-3 GP, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/02/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 50 Hudson Yards, 18th Fl, NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24113 Apr13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AIP BLOCKER F-1 GP, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/02/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 50 Hudson Yards, 18th Fl, NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24116 Apr13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF BDC VALLEY STREAM LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/18/26. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 377 Oak St., Ste. 110, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24121 Apr13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 53 LEONARD PH, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/23/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 275 Madison Ave 9th Fl, New York, NY 10018. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23322 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF COTTON TRACE CO. LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/14/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 99 19th Avenue, Apt 19C, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 23424 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF EQUUS ARCADIA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 106 W 83rd St, New York, NY 10024. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23313 M30 M My04

See Decisions of Interest only at NYLJ.COM

#### REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

##### GATEWAY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

##### REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE HUDSON TUNNEL PROJECT

The Gateway Development Commission (GDC) intends to solicit proposals for Request for Proposal (RFP) No. GDC-26-033-OP for the provision of Legal Services in support of the Hudson Tunnel Project. Through this solicitation, GDC intends to expand its existing pool of qualified legal service providers.

GDC anticipates releasing this RFP publicly in April 2026, with proposals due in May 2026.

Law firms with demonstrated experience representing public sector or governmental entities are strongly encouraged to participate. Interested firms should monitor GDC's website and e-procurement platform for the official RFP posting and related updates.

Complete details, including submission requirements and evaluation criteria, will be provided in the RFP package. Firms may access the RFP documents and instructions by visiting GDC's procurement website:

Procurement Opportunities – Gateway Program <https://www.gatewayprogram.org/procurements.html>

#### LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF FL NYC, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/23/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 5950 Canoga Ave, Ste 510, Woodland Hills, CA 91367. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23325 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF KAREN EATS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/11/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #691921, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 23262 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF KITTEN KAPITOL, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/19/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 3 Stonycreek Dr, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, NY, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23318 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF LUNARUP LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 291 Devoe St, Unit 4B, NY, NY 11211. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, NY, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 23316 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF PAWSANDWILLOW LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/03/26. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2321 Belmont Ave #3A, Bronx, NY 10458. Purpose: any lawful act. 23340 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CANARY CONDOS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/23/26. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Law Offices of Anthony S. Cannatella, 53 Orchard St., Manhasset, NY 11030. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23434 Mar30 M My24

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF JASON GONG AI LLC. Art. Of Org. filed with the Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/03/26. Office in Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 3 MARKET DR SYOSSET, NY, 11791. Purpose: Any lawful purpose 23450 Mar30 M My24

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF COMAX LEGACY GROUP, LLC. Arts of Org filed with SSNY 3/9/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 3 SQUIRREL DR, East Rockaway, NY 11518. R/A: EResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, NY, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 24072 A13 M My18

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF ATEA 168 HOLDING LLC. Application for authority filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/12/2025. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 11/12/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o The LLC, 16027 Ventura Blvd, Ste 301, Encino, CA 91436. LLC address in DE: 1013 Centre Rd, Ste 403S, Wilmington, DE 19805. R/A: eResidentAgent, Inc., 1 Rockefeller Plz, #1204, New York, NY 10020. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful act or activity. 24071 A13 M My18

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF ATEA 168 MIDCO LLC. Application for authority filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on

# Court Calendars

## LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

EC4 PROPERTIES, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/21/24. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Edwin Castro, 229 City Island Avenue, Bronx, NY 10464. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24131 a13-M my18

FRANCIS WT GROUP LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/03/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 71 Soundview Road, Great Neck, NY 11020. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24128 a13-M my18

SUTTON MULTIFAMILY, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/05/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 117 Frederick Avenue, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24129 a13-M my18

349 SEABREEZE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/21/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 86 Fifth Street, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23766 a6-M my11

DZ SSTAR LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/02/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Zhengfang Ding, 55 Northern Blvd, Ste 403, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23769 a6-M my11

TREME INTERNATIONAL, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/02/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Richard H Ahamad, 421 7th Ave, Ste 900, NY, NY 10001. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23768 a6-M my11

Aypteck LLC filed w/ SSNY 4/3/26. Off. in Nassau Co. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to the LLC, 47 James Ln, Levittown, NY 11756. Any lawful purpose. 23860 Apr13 m My18

More HVAC Services LLC filed w/ SSNY 1/22/26 Off. in Nassau Co. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to Regi Chacko, 32 Boulder Ln, Hicksville, NY 11801. The reg. agt. is Regi Chacko at same address. Any lawful purpose. 23847 Apr13 m My18

VHM WINE LLC Art. of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/24/2026. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: 200 North End Avenue, Unit 21D, New York, NY 10282, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23197 m30-M my

## LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

3100 BRIGHTON 2ND STREET OWNER LLC. App. for Auth. filed with the SSNY on 06/07/24. Originally filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on 06/05/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 515 Madison Ave, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24122 a13-M my18

4101 BROADWAY OWNER LLC. App. for Auth. filed with the SSNY on 07/16/24. Originally filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on 07/15/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 515 Madison Ave, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24123 a13-M my18

4113 BROADWAY OWNER LLC. App. for Auth. filed with the SSNY on 07/16/24. Originally filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on 07/15/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 515 Madison Ave, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24124 a13-M my18

79 BRIGHTON 11TH STREET OWNER LLC. App. for Auth. filed with the SSNY on 06/07/24. Originally filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on 06/05/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 515 Madison Ave, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24126 a13-M my18

## LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF POV BRAND MANAGEMENT, LLC. Application for Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Minnesota (MN) on 9/8/2023. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 717A, PO Box 271, New York, NY 10278. MX address of LLC: 10411 White Tail Xing, Eden Prairie, MN 55347-5026. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of MN, 332 Minnesota St, Ste N201, Saint Paul, MN 55101. Purpose: any lawful activity. 23864 A13 M My18

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF 2127 W 34 HOME OWNER LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/06/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/01/26. Princ. office of LLC: 20803 Biscayne Blvd., Ste. 405, Aventura, FL 33180. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. DE addr of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Rd., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24119 Apr13 m My18

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF ReFocus Management Services, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/02/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/09/18. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. Apr13 m My18

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF SIEGFRIED ADVISORS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/16/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/27/01. Princ. office and DE addr. of LLC is: 1201 N. Market St., Ste. 700, Wilmington, DE 19801. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Conduct professional services business that provides financial, tax, and leadership advice to other businesses and individuals. 24112 Apr13 m My18

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF WYATT INGRAM HOLDING CO., LLC Appl. for authority filed with NY Secy. of State (SSNY) on 3/18/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/06/23. Princ. office of LLC: 111 South St., #251, Oyster Bay, NY 11771. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St. - Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23320 M30 M My04

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF 4MYNSONS HOLDING CO., LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/20/26. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/06/23. Princ. office of LLC: 111 South St., #251, Oyster Bay, NY 11771. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St. - Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23429 Mar30 m My4

75 ST. NICHOLAS PLACE OWNER LLC. App. for Auth. filed with the SSNY on 06/10/24. Originally filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on 06/05/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 515 Madison Ave, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24125 a13-M my18

**COMPLETE YOUR CLE REQUIREMENTS**  
Online. On time. On your schedule.  
Download Accredited Continuing Legal Education at [CLE.com](http://CLE.com)

New York Law Journal assumes no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

## APPELLATE TERM

### Court Calendars Continued From Page 13

25/01870 Natasha Wheeler v. Nerissa Prescott  
25/01871 Natasha Wheeler v. Tawana Spriggs  
25/01878 Shondequa Johnson v. Boulevard Together USA Management and Department of Housing Preservation and Development  
25/01879 Denson Scotland v. Saint Marks Funding, LLC and HPD and D&DOB  
25/01889 Mkt Dme, Inc. A.A.O. Victor Tejada v. Nationwide Affinity Insurance Company of America  
25/01891 Nilufar Rakhmonova v. Wiz Kids Learning Center and Wiz Kids Center, Inc.  
25/01895 Empire 730, LLC v. Tamer Megaly and Mohamed Megaly  
25/01896 70-05 67th Pl Realty, LLC v. Paulo Cale and Irma Lazo  
25/01898 Yehuda Herskovic v. DOF/NYC  
25/01901 Anthony Lindsay v. Malcolm Terrace, LLC  
25/01902 Allen Patrick v. Dmitrii Melnichuk  
25/01908 Michael Corratte v. Eva Lennert a/k/a Lennert Gonzalez and Daniel Lennert a/k/a Daniel Gonzalez  
25/01911 Murawski Realty, LLC v. Britton Powell d/b/a Another New York Skyline and Alexandra S. Rosenbaum, Chinmay Juneja and Shelley Mason  
25/01913 Sabar S. Dasgupta v. Bruce Marks, LLC  
25/01921 Clement Kellman v. Anastasia Abraham and Sean Abraham  
25/01934 Lubomir Vogorowick v. Ivanna Bhatyuk, Vasil Abrahamuk and Lena "Doe"  
25/01947 The Ibrahim Re. Corp. v. Fabiana Polizzi and Christian Samos a/k/a Christian J. Samos and "John Doe"  
25/01977 Success Home Developers, LLC v. Chareon Thong Group, LLC  
25/02016 Herald Realty II, LLC v. Diliia Sizova  
25/02045 Regis Roumlia v. Wopna Lee  
25/02058 Big Apple Delivery Supply Corp. A.A.O. Faith Barr v. Maya Assurance Company  
25/02101 Quantum Rehab Physical Therapy, P.C. A.A.O. Yukisha Robinson v. Nationwide Affinity  
26/00155 Ez Rental Williamsburg, LLC v. American Express Company  
26/00610 Ahmed M. Farag v. Nader A. Farag

### 9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

### MINEOLA, NY

### Thursday, May 4

### 9:30 A.M.

Driscoll, P.J., Walsh, Vazquez-Doles, J.J.

23/00785 People v. Luis Zhanay Zarate  
24/00387 People v. Joseph Scardino  
24/00700 People v. Norbin Hernandez-Zuniga  
25/00433 People v. Antonio Grieci  
25/00810 People v. Magdi Sourou  
24/01344 Gregory Strzechowski v. Quintrall Jr. Reynos and Gfs Transport, LLC  
25/00503 Sandya Shetty v. Acea Consulting Group, LLC; Glenn L. Kaufman  
25/01347 George A. Balalao v. Joan Bernstein

### WHITE PLAINS, NY

### Day Calendar

### Dismissal Calendar

### MONDAY, MAY 11

Driscoll, P.J., Walsh, Vazquez-Doles, J.J.

The Following Cases Have Been Scheduled By The Clerk For Dismissal For Lack Of Prosecution, Enlargements Of Time May Be Requested Either Via Stipulation Between The Parties, Or By Letter Stating The Reason For Such Request, Addressed To The Clerk Of The Court, With A Copy Sent To The Other Parties To The Appeal.

25/01295 People v. Paul Palmieri  
25/01296 People v. Land Development Holdings, Inc.  
25/01864 People v. Yvonne Padilla  
25/00459 Dtl Ny Ventures, LLC v. Aegle Beauty and Wellness, Inc. d/b/a Christopher's Salon and Spa  
25/01198 Arek Surveying, P.C. v. J.p. Morgan Chase Bank, N.Y.  
25/01199 Arek Surveying Company v. J.p. Morgan Chase Bank, N.Y.  
25/01328 Nr L Construction, LLC v. Carl Baker, John Doe (S) and Jane Doe (S)  
25/01817 Pelham Heights, LLC v. Amadou Lo  
25/01828 Wells Fargo Bank, N.a. v. Charlene L. Bennett  
25/01847 Plaza 200 Associates, LLC v. H&M Fine Art and Custom Framing Corporation  
25/01852 Theresa Carter v. Optimum Cable  
25/01865 Gregory Young and Young Property Management, Inc. v. Scott Moore  
25/01883 16 Manovriev, LLC v. Chihmiao Hsieh a/k/a Chih-Miao Hsieh and Chih Miao, Mic Hsieh, John Doe 1-3 and Jane Doe 1-3  
25/01887 Amal Zeidan v. Tony Li  
25/01890 Tony Bryant and Audrey Mason v. North Property Group, LLC and John Irwin, Krystal A. Guercio, Kimberly Kurz, "John Doe" 1-5 and "Jane Doe" 1-5  
25/01894 Pelham Heights, LLC v. Amadou Lo and Vanessa Lo  
25/01904 Vanessa Krysty v. Christian Van Hecke  
25/01905 Petro, Inc. v. Abdus Mondol a/k/a Abdus Md Mondol  
25/01946 Milsa Marmolejos De Del Orbe v. Perfect Body Image, LLC  
25/01957 People v. Thomas Santorelli  
25/01987 People v. Frank Peter Rizzo  
25/02053 Michael Ambrose v. Antony Ambrose  
25/02215 Jacqueline Martin v. Cameron Ramersar

## Kings County

### SUPREME COURT

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and assignments are listed herein prior to the courtrooms of Justices for the specified actions.

Please see the Justices' information sheets for further instruction regarding Uniform IAS practices and procedures.

### Part Assignments/RJI Intake Part

360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-296-1592  
Room 282

### MONDAY, MAY 4

509926/25 270a 9th Street v. 309 10th Owner  
505654/25 308 North 7th St. Condominium v. North 7 Apts. LLC  
511293/24 70 Lefferts LLC v. Al-Hashemi  
533598/25 Alam v. D'Ambrosio  
511766/25 Allen v. Pink Plaza Mgt. Inc. Et Al  
540495/25 Anjad v. Zhang  
544700/25 Angulo v. West 49 Rly.  
525539/25 Barotov v. Singh  
535892/25 Barva v. Jackson  
522875/25 Batista Estevez v. 967-969  
Willoughby LLC Et Al  
523803/25 Battlu v. Brown  
538241/25 Benidze v. Zhu  
510505/24 Binder Science v. Iruka Capital Group  
542941/25 Bizfund v. Fast Track Medical  
523768/25 Brown v. 1151-1153 Fulton St. Corp Et Al  
523020/25 Brown v. Witterschein  
526721/25 Campbell v. Power K Auto Inc Et Al  
544115/25 Chiu v. Lopez  
501420/26 Crandon v. McDougal  
540955/25 Davender v. Alvarez  
525742/25 Ean Od Capital v. Anderson & Forrester  
518252/24 Fergus v. NYCHA Et Al  
501306/26 Fizez v. NYCHA Et Al  
526540/25 Flynn v. 22 Park South  
504649/26 Francois v. Dhl Express USA  
543395/25 Frank v. Baptiste  
526368/25 Garcia v. Fort K Rly. LLC Et Al  
507165/23 Gordon v. Lawton  
517507/25 Guo v. Chen  
523787/25 Harrell v. McNeill M.D.  
540342/25 Hussain v. Custodio  
521218/25 Idrissi v. McDonalds Et Al  
521505/25 Jenkins v. Johnson  
538873/25 Johnson v. Agayewa Md  
536447/25 Johnson v. Shahana  
535293/25 Jones v. Brazzel  
532338/24 Khaimova v. Lotspaveu, Inc.  
538795/25 Kiburn v. Thomas  
507580/24 Laporte v. Wtha Rockaway L.P. Et Al  
530516/25 Loayza Sanchez v. Martin  
544773/25 Medina v. Zilber  
534632/25 Medina v. Fernandez  
535009/24 Moore v. Zamora  
509528/22 Mora Fernandez v. Montiel Mendez  
506079/26 Nelson v. Dam  
542055/25 Nepomuceno v. Wlgt Hldgs. Et Al  
528475/24 Novak v. Novak  
507059/24 Pericles v. Persaud  
541585/25 Pichardo v. Dixon  
505715/26 Pyram v. Zelaya Rivas  
531385/25 Ramirez v. Gaglio  
504574/26 Robinson v. Lavie Rly. LLC Et Al  
540805/25 Rodas v. Kassim  
509951/25 Rosales v. Rojas  
539215/25 Rozental v. Ilyasova  
537788/25 Ruiz v. 760 New Lots Chicken LLC Et Al  
533374/23 Schwartz v. The Estate of Nick Mazzone Et Al  
506443/22 Shao v. El Bairito Transportation Corp Et Al  
519004/24 Student Loan Solutions v. Pink  
530855/25 Tao v. Doyle  
506389/26 Tarikova v. Beach Haven Group LLC Et Al  
504700/26 Thomas v. Yu  
520088/25 Tricoche v. Ching  
544714/25 Vachss v. Marav USA, Inc.  
D/b/a Bingo Wholesale Supermarket Inc. Et Al  
514926/25 Valdez v. Muminova  
533736/25 Vasquez v. Imperium Const. Inc. Et Al  
509204/26 Vazquez Esquivel v. Harris  
504514/26 Vera v. Chamarti  
505931/25 Wertzberger v. Pw Hldg. Corp. Et Al  
541511/25 Wynwood Capital Group LLC v. Lowell Martin Jr LLC Et Al  
502319/26 XFC Capital Group Corp. v. Hws Fab & Services LLC Et Al

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

540100/25 1 Dc Funding LLC v. McD Airline LLC D/b/a McAlister's Deli Et Al  
509348/25 441 Willoughby LLC v. United Grand Chapter Order of Eastern Star, State of New York, Inc.  
534927/24 Abass v. Rusciello  
518306/25 Aliev v. Avedeva LLC Et Al  
538511/25 Aljeyev v. Galifi  
517681/25 Allen v. Budget Rent A Car System, Inc. Et Al  
523581/25 American Express Nat. Bank v. Sojka  
503410/26 Arcenales Paz v. Total Transportation Corp. Et Al  
528210/25 Asian Elders Day Care Center Inc. v. Forum Dev. LLC  
506217/26 Barnhill v. J C Logistics And Transport LLC Et Al  
543624/25 Bennett v. North American Rly. Group NY LLC Et Al  
51424 Bhikarie v. Security USA, Inc.  
520291/24 Boerum Heights Condominium Et Al v. Southwest Marine And General Ins. Co.  
538792/25 Broussard v. Mosquea Rodriguez  
522801/25 Calixte v. Benjamin  
509639/25 Campbell v. 1834 Caton Partners LLC  
545376/25 Danish v. Sysco Leasing  
537809/25 Davidson v. 942 Flatbush Ave.  
534352/25 De La Asuncion Velasquez v. Singh  
503769/26 De Los Santos v. Laya  
503698/26 Delprete v. Glass Armor of New York, Inc. D/b/a Empire Environmental Services Et Al  
520626/25 Diallo v. Guerrero Tejada  
527216/25 Duperval III v. Ean Hldgs. LLC Et Al  
542180/25 East Hudson Capital LLC D/b/a Global Capital Experts v. Panther Builders Group Inc Et Al  
521284/23 Fidi Funding LLC D/b/a Fidi Funding v. Alfa Delivery Inc Et Al  
526943/25 Fields v. Dombuia  
533162/25 Fundamate Technologies Inc v. Out There Sales LLC Et Al  
536519/25 G. v. All American School Bus Corp. Et Al  
539876/25 Guarino v. Cubler Const. Corp.  
508736/24 Jerome v. Terzi  
516585/25 Joannak Clarke v. Lenox Estates Rly. LLC Et Al  
526209/25 Johnson v. Kohn  
531967/25 Johnson v. Pw Hldg.  
507827/25 Jones v. Kumar  
542780/25 Joseph v. Jevon  
521118/25 Kamolov v. United Parcel Service Et Al  
542818/25 Kash Advance LLC v. 316 Speed LLC Et Al  
534266/25 Kushaliyeva v. Hotel Carlyle Owners Corp. Et Al  
532013/25 Makhover v. Amalfi Coastal Kitchen & Cocktails  
516279/25 Mayer v. Rabbi Shaul Kassim Boys High School Et Al  
540992/21 McKesson Specialty Care Distribution LLC v. Forever-Juvenis Corp Et Al  
500572/26 Mericme v. Lopez  
527707/25 Morrison v. Khusan Murogov (aka Khusan Murodov) Et Al  
506866/26 Moscoso v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.  
512889/25 Perez v. NYCHA  
516887/25 Pond v. Lyft Inc., Et Al  
504173/26 Roe Funding Group LLC v. N-Squared LLC Et Al  
530780/25 Saduzai v. Gonzalez  
535411/24 Setton v. Hyundai Marine & Fire Ins. Co.  
522487/25 Shafr v. Newfield  
504355/26 Silverline Services, Inc. v. Vernon Dental Group Et Al  
534149/23 Sitt v. Yading  
509564/23 Spark Funding v. Cascade Financial Tech. Corp D/b/a Cascade Financial Tech. Et Al  
529949/25 Sperber v. Sperber  
518877/25 Straker v. Moon 701 Ave. C LLC Et Al  
514957/25 Taylor v. Lewis  
523727/25 The Board of Mgrs. of 1105 Cortelyou Road Condominium v. Triple C Builders  
539058/25 The Estate of Gloria Cidoni v. Ss Joachim & Anne Residence Et Al  
505703/25 Torres v. Mushiqtal  
525758/25 Vogel v. 410 Lefferts LLC. Et Al  
517750/23 Wade v. Chuouimarc  
533694/24 Wade v. Spindler  
518555/25 Yang v. Lin  
537807/23 Yoon v. Sunset Home Assoc., Inc. Et Al  
205/21 Young v. Macy's Inc.  
523977/24 Zhang v. Good Neighbor Laundromat Et Al

### Part Assignments/RJI Intake Part

360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-296-1630  
Room 774

### MONDAY, MAY 4

511400/24 Bcb Community Bank v. Emb Phones, Inc.  
523161/24 Enriched Home Care Agency, Inc. v. Wage Parity Experts

### Commercial Division Part 6

Justice Lawrence Knipel  
360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-296-1630  
Room 774

### Commercial Division Part 8

Justice Leon Ruchelsman  
360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-296-1604  
Room 276

### TUESDAY, MAY 5

515061/23 Middleton v. NYC Et Al  
521700/18 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al  
510103/23 P. An Infant By His Mother And Natural Guardian Elvia Torres v. NYC Et Al  
508346/23 Pearson v. Bklyn. Union Gas Co. D/b/a Nat. Grid NY Et Al  
513948/23 Randall v. Bklyn. Grocery Owners  
503096/21 Reed v. NYC Et Al  
503957/23 Robinson v. NYC Et Al  
500325/23 Saetama Zhimnitsela v. NYC Et Al  
525414/21 Sakkas v. NYC Et Al  
520235/18 Sofman v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al  
528640/21 Steward v. NYC  
532734/22 Trabaino-Mendoza v. NYC Et Al  
511337/20 Wallace v. NYC Et Al

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

527305/22 Kinard v. Nelson Md  
511877/18 Marianna Finkelstein A/a Marianna Vagner v. Marchese

### Commercial Division Part 10

Justice Larry D. Martin  
360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-296-1634  
Room 741

### Commercial Division Part 12

Justice Reginald Boddie  
360 Adams Street  
Phone 347-401-9127-1594  
Room 366

### MONDAY, MAY 4

524720/23 Gilgurd v. Rubinov

### TUESDAY, MAY 5

507118/26 Abreu v. Ahmad  
507845/18 Anis-Zaed Rly. Corp. v. Fadel  
510149/15 Azrak v. Carter Enterprises LLC  
518985/19 Bais Yaakov of Bklyn. v. Yeshiva Torah Vodath, Inc., Et Al  
517094/25 Biz Advance Now, Inc. v. Bhamaa Inc.  
524092/24 Century Medical And Dental Center v. Ultimate Billing, Inc. Et Al  
512153/24 Collab Studio, Inc. v. Happier Two Prod. Ions  
504978/26 Kafi Funding LLC v. Horowitz  
504982/26 Kafi Funding LLC v. Horowitz  
504966/26 Kafi Funding LLC v. Zalmen Horowitz Et Al  
500593/22 Kleinman v. Porges  
501587/25 Lentini v. Lentini  
543759/25 Lentini v. Lentini  
543770/25 Lentini v. Lentini  
516192/22 New Jerusalem Church of The Nazarene v. 2145 Church Rly. LLC Et Al

504000/26 NY Quality Healthcare Corp. v. All Data Service II  
518422/24 Northeast Remco Const., Inc. v. Ikna Const. LLC  
507085/24 Oliveira Contracting, Inc. v. Plaza Const. LLC Et Al  
513067/23 Qulishi v. Alsaedi  
532565/25 Red Hook United v. Red Hook Industrial LLC  
503813/26 Velocity Capital Group LLC v. Elevate Electric Inc Et Al

### Part ADR-COMM

Justice Richard Montelione  
360 Adams Street  
Phone 718-500-4012  
Courtroom 574

### WEDNESDAY, MAY 6

530845/24 1133 St. Johns Place v. Horowitz  
520118/24 Batties v. Karteron  
502597/21 Brown Andrews v. Miller  
508058/19 Camara v. Five Star Carting, Inc.  
542385/25 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Dale's Auto Service Centers, Inc. Et Al  
502502/23 Cfs Enterprises, Inc. D/b/a Cfs Steel Co. v. Co. v. Specialty Ins. Co. Et Al  
504989/24 Charoly v. Konal  
529967/25 Eyespot Inc. Et Al v. NYS Cannabis Control Board Et Al  
532028/23 Eze v. Roberts  
508162/22 Fundfi Merchant Funding v. Bkt High Quality Healthcare Agency LLC D/b/a Dignity Nursing Solutions Et Al  
537717/23 Goebbels v. Avis Rent A Car System  
506062/19 Gomez v. Con Edison Co. of New York  
541199/25 Johnson 817 LLC v. Carrion  
530944/23 Johnson v. NYC Et Al  
531289/25 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Brown  
525698/25 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Brown  
528114/25 Jules v. Solivan  
514578/24 Live Const. Corp. v. M&R Const. Group Inc. Et Al  
519715/23 Maison Capital Group Inc v. Herb Holden Trucking Inc Et Al  
500329/26 Major Consulting & Designs Inc. Et Al v. Stateside Const. LLC. Et Al  
509561/23 McClary v. Pierre Express Inc Et Al  
523167/25 Midland Credit Mgt. v. Constantine  
511100/23 Millstone Funding v. Franklin Primary Care LLC Et Al  
511402/24 Bcb Community Bank v. Emb Phones, Inc.  
506515/14 Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Gerwitz  
505764/22 Nelson v. Hope  
503444/23 Onibonko v. Saldadze  
533034/25 Pisanov v. Walker Ridge Const. NY LLC  
53029

**GUARANTEED**  
**1 Subpoena**

**1-800-PROCESS**  
**www.served.com**

**GUARANTEED**  
**1 Subpoena**

**1-800-PROCESS**  
**www.served.com**