

IN BRIEF

Attorneys ‘On the Move’: Family Law Partner Joins Fox Rothschild; Baker McKenzie Adds Restructuring Partner

• **Valerie Tocci** has joined **Fox Rothschild** as a partner in the firm’s family law department. Formerly, she was a special assistant U.S. attorney in the General Crimes Bureau of the **Eastern District of New York** and an assistant district attorney for the **Queens County District Attorney’s Office**.



Valerie Tocci

• **Winston & Strawn** has added **Guy Singer** as a partner in the firm’s litigation department. He is a former state and federal prosecutor.

• **Baker McKenzie** has added **Tim Karcher** as a partner in its transactional practice group.

• **Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak (Holtzman Vogel)**, which celebrates its 25th anniversary this year, has added **Akiva Shapiro** as its New York office managing partner and chair of its New York administrative law and regulatory litigation practice group. Prior to joining, he was a partner at **Gibson Dunn**.

• **Seward & Kissel** has added **Jack Habert** as a partner in its investment management group. He joins from **Jefferies Financial Group**, where he served as in-house counsel.

• **Simpson Thacher & Bartlett** has hired **Tam Ho** as a partner in both its energy and infrastructure and real estate practices.



Ted Anastasiou

• **Ted Anastasiou** has joined **Harris Beach Murtha** as a partner in the firm’s corporate practice group and on its cannabis industry team.

• **Dr. Odysseas Repousis** has joined **Sterlington** as a partner in its litigation and arbitration practices. He joins from **Quinn Emanuel**.

• **Martha Kammoun** has joined **Ropes & Gray** as counsel.

• **Olshan Frome Wolosky** has added **Barry Greenbaum**

as a partner in its intellectual property law and brand management & protection practices. He was previously senior counsel at **Norton Rose Fulbright**.

• **Stephanie Goutos** has joined **Little** as the firm’s first chief artificial intelligence officer. She joins from **Gunderson Dettmer Stough Villeneuve Franklin & Hachigian** where she served as head of its employment law practice innovation.

• **John Cahill** has joined **Womble Bond Dickinson** as a partner in its finance, bankruptcy, and restructuring practice group. He was formerly with **White & Case**.

• Criminal defense attorney **Marvin Ray Raskin** has been re-appointed to serve on the **New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct** for another four-year term.

• **King & Spalding** has added **Philip Fitzpatrick** as a partner in the firm’s real estate and funds practice group. He was previously with **McDermott Will & Schulte**, where he was also a partner.

• **Jason Sobel** has joined **Sills Cummis** as a member of its intellectual property practice group and chair of its trademark, trade secrets, and copyright practice. He joins from **Brown Rudnick**.

• **Kleinberg Kaplan** has added **Tiffany Threadcraft** as senior counsel in the corporate transactional & finance practice. She joins from **Alkin Gump**.

• **Seward & Kissel** has added **Adriana Schwartz** and **Hannah Thibideau** as partners. Schwartz joins the firm’s investment management group and Thibideau its litigation & investigations group. Both were previously with **Schulte Roth & Zabel** (now **McDermott Will & Schulte**).

• **Norton Rose Fulbright** has appointed partner **Kessar Nashat** as US co-head of its business practice group. He will serve alongside **Sheldon Nussbaum**, who has led the group for the past five years and will leave the role at the end of the year.

» Page 4

SDNY Judges Celebrate Opening Exhibition of 1725 Silver Oar »2



DIEGO M. BAZZINCHU/ALM.COM

Top appellate leaders **Jeffrey Wall**, left, and **Kannon Shanmugam** have left Sullivan & Cromwell and Paul Weiss, respectively, potentially triggering a flurry of partner moves.



COURTESY PHOTO

Appellate Exits at Paul Weiss, Sullivan & Cromwell May Dent Firms’ Prestige, But Not Bottom Lines

BY PATRICK SMITH

AFTER Sullivan & Cromwell and Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison lost superstars from their high-profile appellate groups earlier this week, legal industry observers expect both firms to work to fill these vacancies with new top-tier names, even if the financial hit from the departures is likely more limited than the damage to their prestige.

But while Sullivan & Cromwell is well on the way to filling the void created when a team led by appellate litigation co-head Jeffrey Wall jumped to Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, Paul Weiss’ quest to

replace Kannon Shanmugam could unfold more slowly—as the firm navigates a cultural shift toward transactional work that has accelerated under new chair Scott Barshay. Nevertheless, sources close to Paul Weiss say the firm remains committed to a top-notch litigation department.

Bruce MacEwen of legal consulting firm Adam Smith, Esq. said the moves likely wouldn’t greatly impact either firm’s bottom line. With 2025 profits per equity partner of \$8.63 million at Paul Weiss and \$7.57 million at Sullivan & Cromwell, both firms will remain the envy of their peers even in the face of these exits. But, he said, appellate practices

» Page 4

Judge Warns Critics Of Swipe Fee Antitrust Deal That a Trial May Not Fix Everything

BY ALYSSA AQUINO

WALMART, Circle K and other merchants opposed to a settlement that would resolve an antitrust suit over Visa’s and Mastercard’s credit card swipe fees were warned by a Brooklyn federal judge that they may not get everything they wish for if the case went to trial.

U.S. District Judge Brian Cogan for the Eastern District of New York gave his warning after hearing from Emery, Celli, Brinckerhoff, Abady, Ward & Maazel’s Debra Greenberger that her clients, the National Retail Federation and the Retail Industry Leaders Association, would be better off trying the case, than accepting a settlement negotiated by Nussbaum Law Group, Hilliard & Shadowen, Grant & Eisenhofer and Freed, Kanner, London & Millen, counsel for a proposed mandatory class of 12 million merchants.



SCOTT FELL/SHUTTERSTOCK

The deal would reduce Visa and Mastercard swipe fees by 0.1% for five years and cap consumer rates at 1.25%, but merchants oppose various aspects of the agreement.

The deal marks the third time that class counsel has attempted to settle a more than twenty-year-old lawsuit alleging an antitrust conspiracy between Visa and Mastercard to charge high interchange rates, the so-called swipe fees paid by merchants. Lead counsel says

the deal could potentially provide \$224 billion in benefits over the course of its life, but Greenberger argued the deal leaves intact the heart of the alleged anti-

» Page 8

Law Firms Are Going Further to Protect Their Equity Tiers

BY ANDREW MALONEY

AM LAW 100 firms continued the trend of increasing their nonequity ranks in 2025, ballooning their share of income partners in the biggest segment of Big Law to 52.1%.

And with additional firms creating true nonequity tiers for the first time last year and so far in 2026, they’re arguably working harder than ever to protect their ownership stakes or save them for higher and higher-caliber laterals.

The growth in nonequity tiers and firms’ openness about preserving equity going forward are the result of several factors: increasingly high-value lateral moves requiring more flexibility for pay, pressure on leverage that’s now being exacerbated by AI, and a reconsideration of the “up our out” model are among them.

“I think it’s the ability to preserve the longstanding equity partnership model—keeping them protected, having them work very consistently the way they’ve been

working the last 25 to 30 years, really maximizing profits for that group—while everything under them can radically be changing,” said Maggie Miller, a principal at Lotus Blue who consults for Am Law 100 firms, in an interview Friday.

She said firms are driven to maintain their scarce, owner-controlled,

7%

Nonequity tiers growth across the Am Law 100 last year

2%

Equity tier growth

profit-maxing equity partnership group because it’s been “the backbone of the profession for as long as we can remember.” Meanwhile, major questions loom about things like career ladders, and especially in the age of AI, staffing

» Page 8

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

First Department

PERSONAL INJURY: CVA claims proceed against Catholic school over alleged sexual abuse by priest. *ARK198 Doe v. Archdiocese of N.Y., Supreme Court, New York.*

LABOR LAW: Defaulting restaurant liable for \$310K in wages, damages and fees. *Bautista v. C&L New Ocean Inc., Supreme Court, New York.*

TRUSTS & ESTATES LAW: Court reforms Fire Island property bequest to preserve charitable deduction. *Will of Mark Gilbert Neary, Surrogate’s Court, New York.*

Second Department

LANDLORD-TENANT LAW: Holdover dismissed where owner failed to provide required GCEL disclosure. *Hobson-Williams v. Ducker, Civil Court, Queens.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Court quashes subpoenas seeking insurer claim and underwriting files in fatal fire case. *Davenport v. Evergreen Court Home for Adults SP LLC, Supreme Court, Rockland.*

DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, [nylj.com](#)

Fourth Department

CRIMINAL LAW: Court orders transfer of adolescent larceny case to family court. *People v. Eric M., Other Courts, Erie.*

U.S. Courts

CRIMINAL APPEALS: Panel reverses material-support conviction in NYC bombing case, affirms other convictions. *U.S. v. Ullah, 2d. Cir.*

DISCOVERY: Court denies injunction seeking to restrict use of \$1782 discovery in foreign fraud case. *In re Application of Pliego, SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Court allows intervention over receivership properties, partially grants sealing. *Citibank NA v. Aralpa Holdings Ltd. P’ship, SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Court rejects laches defense in Stolichnaya trademark dispute, citing mandate rule. *Fed. Treasury Enter. Sojuzplodoimport v. Spirits Int’l B.V., SDNY.*

CIVIL RIGHTS: \$1983 claim in student sexual abuse case dismissed; Title IX claims to proceed. *Doe v. Tripodi, EDNY.*



DAVID PAUL MORRIS/SHUTTERSTOCK

King & Spalding won the largest Lanham Act award for Skillz in a false advertising dispute with Papaya.

Skillz Wins \$420M Verdict Against Papaya In Esports False Advertising Trial

BY KAT BLACK

ESPORTS company Skillz Platform Inc. secured a \$420 million verdict against competitor Papaya Gaming Ltd. after a jury found Papaya had engaged in false advertising in violation of the Lanham Act by covertly deploying bots as “players” in mobile gaming tournaments advertised as “skills-based.”

District Judge Denise Cote handed down the verdict on Thursday after a ten-day trial in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The verdict also included advisory suggestions of \$719 million in Papaya’s additional profits and \$652 million in Papaya’s cost savings. After Judge Cote issues a final decision on the disgorgement number, Skillz may select either

the damages or disgorgement award.

The King & Spalding team representing Skillz at trial included Lazar Raynal, Craig Carpenito, Mike Lombardo, Kathleen McCarthy, Amy Nemetz, Curtis Croke, Courtney Raia and Michael Day-Toles. According to a news release published by the firm, the jury’s total award in the trial is the “largest Lanham Act award ever.”

» Page 6

ALM. REPRINTS & LICENSING

Maximize Your Recognition

To compete in today’s highly crowded legal marketplace, you need an added edge over your competitors. Highlighting your recognition in ALM publications provides an authoritative and convincing way to impact your target audience. With ALM reprints, e-prints, permissions and plaques, you can showcase the acknowledgement that you have earned and leverage that endorsement.

Order your custom reprints today.

Contact: 877-256-2472 | reprints@alm.com

almreprints.com

ALM.

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....	6
Court Calendars.....	9
Court Notes	9
Decisions.....	17
Expert Analysis	3
Judicial Ethics	
Opinions.....	6
Lawyer to Lawyer	3
Legal Notices	13
Outside Counsel.....	4
Technology Today	5

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.



New York Law Journal Inside

Southern District »3 The High Bar for Obtaining Appellate Review of An Interlocutory Order by Edward M. Spiro and Christopher B. Harwood	Family Law »3 Abuse in Youth Residential Facilities: Liability, Risk, And the Legal Landscape by Daniel Pollack and Elisa Reiter	Outside Counsel »4 Parenting Disputes: When Is Judicial Intervention Appropriate? by Sarah Hechtman and Jamie Tsanatelis	TECHNOLOGY TODAY »5 Cyber Crime: AI Caveat: ‘United States v. Heppner’ by Peter A. Crusco	Legora Acquires Legal Research Startup Qura by Benjamin Joyner	Online ➤ Have you recently been involved in a major verdict or settlement? Contact the Law Journal now, as your verdict, settlement or arbitration award could be entitled to publication in VerdictSearch’s massive database—or further publicity with a front-page article by the Law Journal. Send your submissions to Law Journal bureau chief Zoë Ettinger at zoe.ettinger@alm.com or to verdicts@verdictsearch.com .
Online ➤ Court Calendars Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now available weeks in advance at nylj.com . Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. Only at nylj.com.		Online ➤ Today’s Tip View the special sections online and download today’s paper at the Law Journal Download Center. Only at nylj.com.	Founded on AI, Newcomers Look To Transform the Legal Services Market by Rhys Dipshan	Legal Tech Advisory Stella Legal Combines Operations With Legal Service Provider CSB-SBS by Benjamin Joyner	
			Online ➤ More Technology columns are archived at nylj.com.		

SDNY Judges Celebrate Opening Exhibition of 1725 Silver Oar

BY ALM STAFF

FEDERAL judges and staff packed the lobby of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse on Thursday, April 23, to celebrate the unveiling of the Silver Oar, a miniature mace struck some 300 years ago by noted colonial craftsman Charles Le Roux, who served as the official silversmith in the pre-revolutionary City of New York.

The mace, which is approximately 22 inches long, serves as a relic of the old admiralty courts, where it symbolized punishment and ambition.

Also on Thursday, the court unveiled plaques honoring late great SDNY jurists Judge Edward Weinfeld and Judge Learned Hand.

The event included remarks from SDNY Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain and SDNY Judges Kevin Castel and Victor Marrero. SDNY Judge John Koeltl, who clerked for Weinfeld, uncovered Weinfeld’s plaque, Jonathan Baum, the great



Chief Judge **Laura Taylor Swain** at the Silver Oar ceremony at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan courthouse

grandson of Learned Hand’s cousin Judge Augustus Hand, revealed the Hand plaque. Francis X. Nolan, III, of the Maritime Law Association of the United States, also addressed the crowd.



Standing by the plaque honoring Judge Learned Hand, from left, **Jonathan Baum**, the great grandson of Augustus Hand, Chief Judge **Laura Taylor Swain** and District Judge **Victor Marrero** unveil a plaque at the Silver Oar ceremony at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan courthouse on Thursday, April 23.

New Rule Would Clarify Who’s in Charge Under ‘Joint Employer’ Status

BY CHARLES TOUTANT

A PROPOSED U.S. Department of Labor rule is expected to simplify the analysis of joint-employer status by establishing uniform standards for determining when two companies jointly employ a worker.

But it could also face resistance from judges who rely on an assortment of rulings from circuit courts.

The proposed rule is subject to a 60-day comment period ending July 22. It would govern disputes that are becoming more common with the rise in outsourcing, staffing agencies and franchises.

Plus, joint employment is raised in lawsuits by workers in fast food restaurants, hotels and healthcare facilities.



Noah Finkel, partner at Seyfarth

For instance, the Obama administration established its own framework for evaluating joint employment. Then, in President Donald Trump’s first term, the Department of Labor established a rule for defining joint employment that was narrower than the Obama administration position.

But a judge in the Southern District of New York overturned Trump’s rule in a 2020 decision, *State of New York v. Scalia*.

And now, the Department of Labor has revisited the issue in the second Trump term in a proposal unveiled Wednesday.

The joint employment rule in the second term would include four factors to consider, which are carried over from the previous Trump administration’s rules.

First it would consider whether companies hire or fire the employee; whether they supervise and control the employee’s work schedule or conditions of employment to a substantial degree; if companies determine the employee’s rate method of pay; and whether companies maintain the employee’s employment records.

But the latest version of the rules carries an important addendum—that the Department of Labor will consider other factors relevant to each situation.

“They’re not limited to those four factors. So there could be

other things that come up that the Department of Labor investigators or courts should also consider. It’s addressing the main criticism that the court had of the prior interpretation,” Finkel said.

The “other things” provision will make the rule less vulnerable to a legal challenge, and the new rule will be helpful by making clear what guidance Department of Regulators rely on, and providing an easy-to-follow resource for the regulated community, he said.

But it’s hard to tell how judges would receive the new rule, he said.

“The various federal circuit courts have had kind of a jumble of different positions over the years to define when two companies are going to be joint employers, and it’s really unclear the extent to which those courts will change their interpretations in light of this Department of Labor interpretation, assuming it becomes a final rule,” Finkel said.

Plenty is at stake when dual employment is at play, Finkel said. For example, the issue could

come up for the owner of an office building that hires a separate company to clean the offices. If the cleaning company fails to pay its workers the proper amount, the building owner might find itself sued as a joint employer. But the latest Department of Labor proposal would make it less likely that the building owner would face liability for the cleaning company’s action, he said.

Finkel said the Department of Labor rules are “more pro business than the than the state of the law without this interpretation.”

Joint employment sometimes comes up when a worker in a hotel or fast food restaurant sues the franchisee that runs the business as well as the nationally-known company that issued the franchise, as a joint employer. But the new Department of Labor rules make clear that the big name franchisor is not a joint employer, he said.

“Many courts have already held that, but there are some that will go the other way. This makes clear that a franchise relationship does

not equal joint employment,” Finkel said.

“The worker will say, ‘well, it’s the franchisor that sets forth the quality control standard. So, it made my franchisee employer do these things to me.’ And again, this interpretation makes clear that, no, that’s not enough to render a franchisor a dual employer,” he said.

Finkel said the Department of Labor rules will “push courts in the right direction” but broader definitions of joint employment exist in some judicial circuits. For example the Fourth Circuit has a very broad, yet vague, definition of joint employment, he said.

“Companies need to remain cognizant that there are multiple sources of law in this area,” Finkel said. “They need to ensure that the companies they’re doing business with that employ workers are like minded in the way they treat their workers and do so in a compliant way.”

@ Charles Toutant can be reached at charles.toutant@alm.com.

Law School Applicants Continued to Skyrocket in 2025, Driving Admission Rates to New Lows

BY CHRISTINE CHARNOSKY

THE NUMBER of law school applicants in 2025 increased by 18% compared to the previous year to reach 76,500, reflecting the single greatest year-over-year increase in applicants since 2004, as interest in the legal field continues to boom, according to AccessLex Institute’s latest Legal Education Data Deck.


With the increase in the number of applicants, the law school admission rate fell in 2025 by four percentage points, reaching 65%—the lowest rate observed in any application cycle since 2006. The rate had previously hovered around 69% for a period of five years.

Prospective law students are facing even stiffer competition at top-tier law schools like Yale, Stanford, Penn Carey and others that have reported a decrease in acceptance rates.

For Yale, the acceptance rate was 4.06% for the academic year 2024-25—the school’s lowest acceptance rate since at least 2016, according to ABA 509 reports.

Stanford Law School had an acceptance rate of 6.10% for the 2024-25 academic year, also its lowest when reviewing five years of 509 reports.

University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School’s acceptance



rate was 8.05% for the 2024-25 academic year, down from nearly 10% the previous year; Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law had steadily been admitting more than 15% of applicants for three years in a row until admitting 12.3%

for the 2024-25 year; and University of Virginia School of Law had an acceptance rate of just over 10% for 2024-25 down from nearly 14% the year before.

However, the application boom has been benefiting some law

schools by increasing both their class sizes and quality of students, with at least a half dozen law schools having their highest enrollment for the 2024-25 academic year in five years, according to American Bar Association 509 reports.

States with the highest enrollment include New York and California, with 10,001-plus law students, according to the deck.

Enrollment by gender found that 2025 saw the first increase in the number of J.D.-enrolled men since 2010 and the largest increase in the number of J.D.-enrolled women since 2021, but women continue to outnumber men, with women comprising 56% of J.D. enrollees.

The matriculation rate dropped to 86%, down from 88% in 2024, but this still marks the 12th consecutive year in which 85-88% of admitted applicants matriculated.

“The latest Data Deck demonstrates the heightened interest in law school enrollment that has been broadly discussed over the last few years,” Tiffane Cochran, vice president of research at AccessLex Institute, said in a statement. “However, access to legal education remains a concern given recent policy changes to how higher education institutions admit students, the availability of federal student loans to graduate and professional students, and how colleges and universities award aid.”

Looking at data for the class of 2025, the share of J.D. degrees issued to people of color inched upward to reach another all-time high of 35% for 2025 graduates, compared to 34% in 2024.

A total of 60% of J.D. degrees issued in 2025 went to white students, down from 62% the previous year, while the share of degrees awarded to Hispanic and Asian students rose by one percentage point each, to 14% and 9%, respectively. The share of degrees issued to Black/African American students remained the same, at 8%.

White students comprised 57% of all first-year law students in 2025, down one percentage point from 2024, with 36.4% identifying as a person of color. For the remaining students, race was reported as unknown.

At the same time, the total number of J.D. degrees awarded in 2025 decreased by nearly 2,500 from the year before, with 36,340 degrees issued to 2025 graduates and 38,834 to 2024 grads.

While the number of J.D. degrees issued in 2025 falls short of the previous year by nearly 2,500, it still exceeds the number of degrees issued between the class of 2016, when 37,172 degrees were awarded, and the class of 2023, when 35,417 J.D.s were awarded.

J.D. degrees issued in 2024 were the most since the class of 2015, when 39,958 degrees were awarded.

@ Christine Charnosky can be reached at christine.chnarosky@alm.com.

Need a smart Expert Witness?

ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

www.almexperts.com • 888-809-0133

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

Expert Analysis

SOUTHERN DISTRICT CIVIL PRACTICE ROUNDUP

The High Bar for Obtaining Appellate Review of an Interlocutory Order

Under 28 U.S.C. §1292(b), a party dissatisfied with a district court’s interlocutory order may seek leave to file an immediate appeal if the order “involves a controlling question of law” as to which “there is substantial ground for difference of opinion” and “an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.” The statute provides a limited exception to the otherwise-applicable final judgment rule—which exception courts have emphasized must be “strictly limited”—because “only exceptional circumstances [will] justify a departure from the basic policy of postponing appellate review until after the entry of a final judgment.” *Klinghoffer v. S.N.C. Achille Lauro*, 921 F.2d 21, 25 (2d Cir. 1990).

Southern District Judge Lewis J. Liman’s recent decision in *Williams v. NBC Universal Media LLC*, 2026 WL 986271 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 13, 2026), concerning outtakes from Dateline episodes, illustrates the high bar that a litigant must meet to obtain interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b) while also providing important guidance on the application of the journalists’ privilege to subpoenas seeking outtakes of media interviews. The journalists’ privilege, often called the “reporter’s privilege,” allows journalists to refuse to disclose their confidential sources, as well as unpublished information from both confidential and non-confidential sources.

In *Williams*, Liman considered whether NBC Universal (NBCU) could invoke the journalists’ privilege to withhold an outtake of an interview with the wife of a defendant who had been convicted of capital murder when (1) the wife had testified against him during the penalty phase of his trial and (2) during her subsequent published



By
Edward M.
Spiro



And
Christopher
B. Harwood

interview had recanted portions of her trial testimony. Judge Liman held NBCU could not and then denied NBCU’s application for an interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b).

‘Williams v. NBC Universal Media’

Eric Lyle Williams is facing a death sentence stemming from his conviction for capital murder in Texas. As part of his federal habeas proceedings pending in

NBCU objected to the subpoena on the basis of the journalists’ privilege, and Williams filed a motion to compel in the Southern District of New York.

The Northern District of Texas, Williams served a subpoena on NBCU seeking footage of interviews recorded in the production of two Dateline episodes about his case. NBCU objected to the subpoena on the basis of the journalists’ privilege, and Williams filed a motion to compel in the Southern District of New York.

Liman granted in part and denied in part the motion to compel. *Williams v. NBC Univ. Media LLC*, 2025 WL 2437381 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 25, 2025). Liman applied the standard established by *Gonzales v. National Broadcasting Co.*, 194 F.3d 29 (2d Cir. 1999), which is the seminal case in the Second Circuit on the standard to apply for outtakes of interviews with non-confidential sources. The Gonzales standard requires a party seeking outtakes

from non-confidential sources to demonstrate that: (1) the footage sought is “of likely relevance to a significant issue in the case,” and (2) that the information contained in the footage is “not reasonably obtainable from other available sources.”

Liman rejected Williams’s request for outtakes of interviews with four attorneys and four law enforcement officers involved in the investigation and prosecution of his case, finding Williams had “ma[de] only general claims that the outtakes [were] likely to contain relevant material” and failed to point to any “particular interview or outtake that would provide the evidence [he sought].” *Williams*, 2025 WL 2437381, at *9 (quoting *In re McCray, Richardson, Santana, Wise, Salaam Litig.*, 991 F. Supp. 2d 464, 470 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)).

Liman, however, reached a different conclusion with respect to outtakes of an interview with Kim Williams, the defendant’s wife, who was involved in the murder scheme and testified against him at the penalty stage of his death penalty trial. At trial, Kim Williams testified that after Williams had killed two of his victims, they celebrated by grilling steaks and were in a “happy, joyous” mood. But in her subsequent *Dateline* interview, she gave a different account. There she stated that she and Williams did not celebrate the murders, but had a pre-arranged Easter weekend meal, her testimony at trial was untrue, and she was using drugs at the time of the murders.

Liman ultimately held that the outtakes of Kim Williams’s interview were likely relevant to whether the State of Texas had withheld material impeachment evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), which was a significant issue in the defendant’s habeas petition. Further, based on several factors “considered in combination,” Judge Liman determined that this information was not reasonably obtainable from other sources. Among these factors were that if Kim Williams were deposed (the alternate way identified for Williams to obtain the

» Page 7

FAMILY LAW

Abuse in Youth Residential Facilities: Liability, Risk, and the Legal Landscape

For attorneys practicing in child welfare, civil rights, or institutional liability, the risks posed by sexual abuse in residential facilities represent a growing area of legal exposure and professional responsibility. Understanding the structural conditions that enable abuse, and how courts and regulators have responded, is essential both for counseling institutional clients and for pursuing or defending civil claims.

The Legal Framework: Institutional Liability

When abuse occurs in a residential setting, the central question in civil litigation is whether the institution knew, or reasonably should have known, about the risk, and whether it took reasonable steps to protect the youth in its care.

Institutional negligence typically arises from a constellation of failures: disregarding warning signs, inadequate supervision, deficient hiring or background checks, neglected staff training, recurring licensing violations, or the failure to properly investigate and report allegations. While no single metric establishes negligence per se, courts have increasingly recognized that excessive understaffing, high staff turnover, endemic underreporting, and institutional cultures of concealment create the conditions in which abuse not only occurs but persists.

Conversely, facilities that maintain required staffing ratios, invest in training, and implement meaningful monitoring demonstrate due care.

Mandatory Reporting And Its Structural Failures

Every state imposes mandatory reporting obligations on designated professionals, typically classi-



By
Daniel
Pollack



And
Elisa
Reiter

fied as misdemeanor offenses when violated, with elevated penalties in some jurisdictions for institutional actors who knowingly suppress reports.

The threshold is deliberately low: reasonable suspicion, not certainty, is sufficient to trigger the duty to report. This low bar reflects a deliberate policy choice to err on the side of child protection. New York’s mandatory reporting

Retention of a qualified forensic mental health expert early in the case can address credibility issues proactively and contextualize trauma responses for the factfinder.

scheme is governed by Article 6, Title 6 of the Social Services Law, principally Section 413.

Under SSL §413, employees and volunteers at residential care facilities for children licensed or operated by OCFs are designated mandatory reporters. The reporting obligation is triggered by reasonable cause to suspect abuse or maltreatment. This is an intentionally low threshold that does not require certainty or completion of an internal investigation. Reports must be made orally and immediately to the Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR), reachable 24 hours a day, followed by a written report within 48 hours.

In residential facilities, however, the application of mandatory reporting law is complicated by overlapping accountability structures. Staff often face genuine uncertainty about whether to

report internally, to a child protective services agency, or directly to law enforcement. Unfortunately, and some institutions have exploited that ambiguity to contain disclosures internally.

Courts have scrutinized this practice with increasing rigor. Where evidence shows that administrators directed staff not to report, discouraged victims from coming forward, or substituted sham internal investigations for mandated external reporting, both criminal exposure for individuals and civil liability for institutions have followed.

Federal law reinforces reporting obligations for facilities receiving federal funding. The Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA), most recently amended by the Victims of Child Abuse Act Reauthorization Act of 2018, requires states to maintain mandatory reporting systems, impose penalties for reporting failures, and coordinate responses across child protective services and law enforcement. For attorneys advising institutional clients, compliance with CAPTA and its state counterparts is not optional. Failure to build and enforce reporting protocols creates direct litigation exposure.

The Prison Rape Elimination Act

Congress enacted the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) in 2003, establishing a zero-tolerance policy toward sexual abuse in all federal, state, and local confinement facilities. The implementing standards, effective August 2013, mandate staff training, inmate education on rights and reporting options, anonymous reporting mechanisms, and protections against retaliation. Facilities must screen residents for risk of victimization or abusiveness and make housing decisions accordingly.

For litigators, PREA compliance is increasingly relevant to establishing the standard of care in civil cases. A facility’s failure to implement PREA-compliant protocols, or audit findings documenting non-compliance, can

» Page 7

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at
info@blaikiegrou.com

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE
BLAIKIE
GROUP

- Appeals
- Discharge Lien
- Guardian
- Supersedeas
- Executor
- Lost Instrument

111 John St., 16th Floor, New York, New York 10038

212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Colette M. Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding

www.blaikiegrou.com



LAWYER TO LAWYER

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK

Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY



RANDY C. BOTWINICK
34 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death



- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents



JAY HALPERN
39 Years Experience

Co-Counsel and
Participation Fees Paid

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI 150 Alhambra Circle
Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134
P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

PALM BEACH 2385 NW Executive Center Drive
Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431
P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

Toll Free:
1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | www.personalinjurylawyer.ws

Reach your peers to
generate referral
business

LAWYER
TO
LAWYER

For information,
contact
Carol Robertson
at 212-457-7850
or email
crobertson@alm.com

Click
Listen
Earn

Stay compliant from the comfort of your own home or office at CLECenter.com. With new, accredited content updated daily, seamless online tracking and 24/7 access, CLECenter.com makes compliance easy.

Visit CLECenter.com
to click, listen, earn - anytime
or Call A CLE Counselor Today at (800) 348-0466

JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT & COMMERCIAL COLLECTIONS

ROSENTHAL & GOLDHABER P.C.

- NY Law Journal 4-Time Winner: Best Judicial Enforcement Provider
- Recovery Actions
- Post-Judgment Litigation
- NY, National & International

Justice isn't
Complete Until it's
Collected

CONTACT US

631-979-8500
robert@nycollections.com
www.nycollections.com

New York Law Journal



Give Your Clients a Gift with Real Value.

Grant your clients unlimited access to award-winning legal news coverage with an ALM Gift Subscription.

Get Started
Visit at.law.com/gift

IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

• **Morgan Lewis** has added **Shawn Regan** as a partner. Formerly, he was with **Hunton Andrews Kurth**.

• **Kurt Hansson**, a longtime partner in **Paul Hastings**, has joined **FedArb** as its New York Ambassador, a newly created role focused on deepening relationships with law firms and corporate counsel in New York.

• **Pryor Cashman** has added partner **Olga Beloded** to its tax group. She joins from **Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosie**, where she was also a partner.

• **Donald Rassiger** has joined **Porzio, Bromberg & Newman** as principal in its corporate practice group.

• **Mike Materni** has joined the litigation boutique **Brithem LLP** as a partner. He joins from **Kaplan Martin**.

• **Rudick Law Group** has added Colombia-based attorney, **Julliana Salazar** as of counsel.

• **Goldberg Segella**, which is celebrating its 25th year anniversary this year, has added **John Marzocchi, Harsh Patel, Alexandra Cole** and **Meena Yoo** as associates.

• **McGuireWoods** has added partners **Alex Weniger-Araujo** and **Andrei Sirabonian** to its capital markets practice group. They join from **Loeb & Loeb**.

• **Fox Rothschild** has named **Matthew Bobrow** and **Arina Shulga** as co-chairs of the firm's investment fund formation & management practice.

• **Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp** has added **Amy Stein Simonds** as a partner. She was previously with **Pryor Cashman**.

• **Ross Fox** has joined **Montgomery McCracken Walker & Rhoads** as a partner in its litigation department.

• **Barnes & Thornburg** has added partner **Lynda Bennett** who will serve as co-chair of the firm's insurance recovery group in New York and New Jersey. **Craig Dashiell, Eric Jesse, Jeremy King** and **Heather Waver** have also joined the New York office as partners, along with associate **Michael Kleinman**.

• **Malcolm Seymour** has been named managing director of **Foster Garvey's** New York and Washington, D.C. offices. He succeeds **Brad Deutsch**, who held the position for a six-year term.

• **Mintz** has appointed **Frank Earley** as managing member of its New York office. He succeeds **Steve Gulotta**, who held the position for 17 years and will now focus on his role as member in the firm's corporate & securities practice.

• **Sheppard** has appointed partners **Dan Brown, Kari Rolins** and **Shon Glusky** to new leadership positions at the firm. Brown has been named co-office managing partner of the firm's New York office, Rolins the co-leader of its privacy and cybersecurity industry team, and Glusky as the firm's lateral partner recruitment and growth manager.

• **Clifford Chance** has hired **Adriana Zhan** as a partner in its tax, pensions and employment group. She was formerly with **White & Case**.

• **Faegre Drinker** has elected partner **Laura Appleby** to its board. She previously served as the firm's New York City office leader. **Erika Collins** will succeed Appleby as the NYC office leader. Collins also serves as co-leader of the firm's international employment team.

• **Herbert Smith Freehills Kramer (HSF Kramer)** has elected **Tyler Hendry** and **Danielle MacGillivray** to the firm's partnership.

• **Linklaters** has promoted **Sara Arrow, Shruti Chopra** and **Janet Lee** to partners.

• **Hunton Andrews Kurth**, which celebrates its 125th anniversary this year, has promoted the following attorneys to partners: **Jason Eisenberg, Bethany Frank, Chloe Kwon, James La Rocca, Cheng Jean Liang, Douglas Murphy** and **Kevin Small**.

• **Rivkin Radler** has hired **K. Greer Kuras** as counsel and **Lisa Bonanni, Vanessa Costantino, Mardeline Cruz** and **Devin Miller** as associates.

• **Cohen Clair Lans Greifer & Simpson** has hired **Marisa Gomez** as an associate in its matrimonial practice. She was formerly an associate at **Venable**.

—Patricia Kane

Funds Partner Joins Paul Hastings in New York From Ropes & Gray

Paul Hastings announced Monday that it has snagged Ropes & Gray investment funds lawyer Amanda Persaud to serve as partner and co-chair of the firm's investment funds and private capital group in New York City.



Amanda Persaud

The move is expected to further strengthen Paul Hastings' premier global funds platform and expand its widespread private funds capabilities.

Persaud previously spent about a decade with Ropes & Gray before making this lateral move. She also previously worked at Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz as well as Simpson Thacher & Bartlett.

"We view funds as essential going forward," Paul Hastings Chair Frank Lopez said in an interview. "And Amanda is one of a handful of leaders of a generation in her space."

Lopez said the firm has more than 500 asset manager clients—both in the middle and upper markets—and the firm also has a high growth platform for fund formation itself.

Adding Persaud to the mix helps the firm to further position it to represent asset managers holistically on their most important and complex matters, from fund formations to deals, he added.

A Ropes & Gray spokesperson did not immediately return a message seeking comment about Persaud's departure.

Persaud's roster of clients is long, and includes Bluestone Equity Partners, New Mountain Capital, Blackstone Inc. and Apollo Global Management, among others.

Persaud is the sixth partner to join the funds team at Paul Hastings in 2026. She joins 11 other partners, including laterals from Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison and Kirkland & Ellis, who have jumped aboard since 2023.

"I gravitate to the most complicated situations, especially during periods of market disruption and turmoil," Persaud said in an interview. "Whether consolidation, launching new business lines, or what they can be improving in their funds."

As for why she chose Paul Hastings to make a lateral move, Persaud said the firm "maps nicely onto my strengths as a builder and innovator for asset managers and doing that work at the highest levels."

"Paul Hastings has been at this for many years, adding the best and the brightest," she said. "The firm is now, and has been for some time, the adviser of choice to leading corporates and asset managers, and [has] done this across multiple practice areas and I think all of that is evidence of the firm's rise across so many league tables and groups. I pay attention to these things because I relentlessly pursue excellence."

Persaud added that's she is excited to now be practicing at a firm where "excellence is part of their DNA, not just about obtaining it, but maintaining it."

"I am a client-centric person, and some might say I think too much and too often about what is happening with my clients," Persaud said. "This is the time where clients are looking to have Paul Hastings and me come in and sit side by side to be thought leaders, help them problem solve."

Persaud said this is the perfect time for her to make the move to Paul Hastings, as clients are now looking to have the firm be true thought leaders and problem solve at the highest levels.

Persaud said throughout her career she's helped clients weather the storm through up and down cycles, and staying close to them as they raise funds, and she plans to continue doing so in her new role.

She said she also looks forward to collaborating with her new colleagues at Paul Hastings to help best serve and grow the firm's roster of premier asset manager clients.

Persaud primarily advises private equity sponsors across the full lifecycle of their investments, and her practice focuses heavily on private equity and private credit fund formation, including direct lending and distressed and opportunistic strategies. She

also specializes in infrastructure, real estate and hedge funds, and her experience extends to asset manager strategic transactions, including M&A, succession planning, management buyouts, preferred equity structures and joint ventures.

—Jon Campisi and Patrick Smith

DLA Piper Unifies Leadership and Will Consult Partners on Aligning Separate Pay Models

DLA Piper has voted to unify its leadership teams and will consult with its EMEA and APAC partners later this year to bring global partner pay more in line with the pay model it currently uses in the U.S.

It comes as the firm announces that it has voted to replace its Swiss verein arrangement with a new global LLP, through which the new executive and policy committees will operate. The firm will, however, maintain its two separate U.S. and international LLPs.

DLA's current global co-CEOs, Frank Ryan and Charles Severs, are spearheading the changes that will see Ryan become chair and global co-CEO of the firm, with Severs continuing as global co-CEO.

The unified leadership team will also include U.S.-based John Gilluly and Loren Brown as vice chairs, Birmingham partner Sandra Wallace and Chicago-based Rick Chesley as global co-managing partners, and the firm's international managing director for clients, Hamburg partner Benjamin Parameswaran.

Speaking to Law.com, Ryan said: "When you have one leadership team, and we get together in a room, and we have leaders strategizing about the firm's overall competitive position, without being based through one particular lens but looking at it in a unified combined way. It very much changes the pace at which you can move and the way decisions are made, including where best to deploy resources."

In its statement, the firm said the global LLP will sit "above the existing U.S. and international entities, which will continue", adding: "It will replace the current DLA Piper global Swiss verein, which will be dissolved."

Speaking with Law.com, Severs said: "We will also have a strategic reserve so we can maintain the structure and still have a percentage of shared strategic reserve investment funds."

Severs also told Law.com the firm was planning a consultation with partners across its EMEA and APAC regions later this year "to move closer to the U.S. model" and to better align pay for partners across the firm, and reward partners for their performance.

One source with knowledge of the matter said that language internally has moved towards talking about 'assists' when work-sharing and that the firm will use a variety of different metrics and key performance indicators to evaluate remuneration.

A spokesperson for the firm did not comment on this.

The leaders believe that the changes, which will take effect on May 1, are a step "towards closer global alignment" that "will sharpen the firm's competitive position".

Kirsten Vasquez, vice president at recruitment firm Major, Lindsey & Africa, said: "This vote marks one of the most consequential structural shifts by a global law firm in recent years. Dismantling the Swiss verein isn't about optics, it reflects a recognition that clients, partners and increasingly technology itself now expect genuine global coordination rather than federation under a single brand."

"What's particularly notable is that DLA is not moving to a single profit pool. Instead, it's attempting the more complex task of aligning incentives while preserving regional economics. That suggests this is less about financial uniformity, and more about improving collaboration, decision making speed and partner accountability across borders. We're seeing a broader market shift away from loose affiliations toward platforms that can genuinely act as one firm for global clients. In that context, this vote is as much a client trust signal as it is an internal governance decision."

Oscar Glyn contributed to this report.

—Habiba Cullen-Jafar

Outside Counsel

Parenting Disputes: When Is Judicial Intervention Appropriate?

Parenting disputes pull courts into the most intimate parts of family life at precisely the moment when parents are least able to agree—when they are in the process of separating or divorcing. Judges are asked to scrutinize everyday choices about issues that would ordinarily remain private, and to do so in an atmosphere that is often shaped by fear, anger, and mistrust. Long standing personal grievances and anxieties, as well as legitimate differences of opinion with respect to parenting, are translated into legal arguments that the court must adjudicate.

New York courts resolve these conflicts under the "best interests of the child" standard. In theory, that standard instructs judges to weigh a familiar set of factors, including each parent's relationship with the child, the stability of each home, and his or her past performance as a caregiver.

In practice, the breadth of the inquiry and number of factors offer wide discretion. The doctrine tells courts what they may consider, but it rarely dictates a single answer when parents clash over the hardest questions in their children's lives. These range from the profound—whether to medicate a child, change schools, or engage with a therapist—to the mundane—when to purchase a cell phone, how to manage day-to-day communication, and social media usage.

While issues like whether a child should be permitted to use an online gaming platform may be extremely important to a parent, the courts are not well-suited to the business of resolving those sorts of parenting disputes. Even if one parent wishes to impress upon a judge that endless hours on TikTok are contrary to the child's best interests, a judge is likely to take no position on this. Judges tend to distance themselves from parenting disputes that do not rise to the level of abuse or neglect.

SARAH HECHTMAN is a partner and parent coordinator at Rower LLC, a matrimonial and family law firm. JAMIE (CAPONERA) TSANATELIS is an associate at the firm.



By Sarah Hechtman



And Jamie Tsanatelis

selves from parenting disputes that do not rise to the level of abuse or neglect. The lesson for potential litigants is that consideration of whether a court is likely to be of assistance is critical in assessing whether to pursue legal action.

The following are broad categories of common parenting disputes, along with reported cases that illustrate the legal

Judges tend to distance themselves from parenting disputes that do not rise to the level of abuse or neglect.

frameworks used to adjudicate them. The examples illustrate how these disputes are often resolved by courts, presenting a helpful guide for assessing the usefulness of court involvement. Equally important to understanding when judicial intervention might be helpful is understanding when it may be a fruitless (and expensive) endeavor. Potential litigants are thus encouraged to speak with an experienced family law attorney to assess whether litigation will be productive in their particular circumstance.

Parental Alienation

Some level of conflict is almost certain in a litigated divorce. However, when one parent stops treating the other as a genuine partner in raising the child or obstructs the other parent's relationship with the child, courts tend to hold it against that parent. What began as a narrow dispute can become a broader

question about who should hold the parenting reins.

The *Haase* case shows what happens when a court decides that a parent has moved beyond ordinary conflict into outright obstruction. *Matter of Haase v. Jones*, 219 N.Y.S.3d 80 (App. Div. 2d Dept. 2024). After a single argument, the father refused to permit any in-person contact between the three-year-old child and her mother for approximately one year, nominally citing COVID concerns but acknowledging that he was largely reacting to her perceived failures to follow his informal schedule. During that period, he also made educational and medical decisions without consulting the mother.

The Second Department held that this pattern raised a strong inference that he was unfit to serve as custodial parent and affirmed an award of sole legal and residential custody to the mother, emphasizing that she was the parent more willing to ensure meaningful contact with the other parent.

New York appellate courts continue to affirm that "parental alienation of a child from the other parent, including willful interference with his or her [parental access] rights, is 'an act so inconsistent with the best interests of the children as to, per se, raise a strong probability that the [offending party] is unfit to act as custodial parent.'" *Matter of Burke v. Squires*, 162 N.Y.S.3d 434, 436-437 (App. Div. 2d Dept. 2022).

In *Matter of Nieves v. Nieves*, the Second Department considered a case that was "replete with evidence" that the mother and stepfather had worked to shut the father out, including repeatedly resisting his access, forcing the child to take his calls outside even in bad weather, and discarding the tablet he had provided for video contact as "garbage." 111 N.Y.S.3d 673, 676 (App. Div. 2d Dept. 2019). The father was awarded sole custody on these facts.

Medical Treatment

Medical decision-making is where the breadth of

» Page 7

Exits

« Continued from page 1

offer a different kind of currency.

"These kinds of practices are not particularly financially lucrative, but on the other hand, they have a tremendous prestige quotient, and they burnish the firm's reputation in areas having nothing whatsoever to do with Supreme Court advocacy," MacEwen said.

Sullivan & Cromwell

Wall, the co-head of Sullivan & Cromwell's appellate litigation practice and a former acting U.S. solicitor general, along with litigation partners Morgan Ratner, Judson Littleton and Yaira Dubin, jumped to rival Gibson Dunn on Wednesday.

Sources familiar with Sullivan & Cromwell previously said that once news of Wall and the group broke, the firm received immediate interest in filling the opening.

One source additionally said the firm is looking at "the top up-and-coming appellate attorneys" and expects to begin discussions with potential candidates this weekend.

The sources also stated that any existing matters handled by the team have stayed with the firm, including the representation of President Donald Trump in his appeal over his fraud conviction.

While Wall was the most visible element of Sullivan & Cromwell's appellate practice, he wasn't the only one arguing in high-stakes appellate work. Sullivan & Cromwell's largest litigation win of the year thus far was a victory in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, where the firm was able to get an \$16.1 billion judgment vacated on behalf of the nation of Argentina, in a long-running battle over the country's seizure of shares in its largest energy company. Sullivan & Cromwell co-chair Robert Giuffra took the lead in that matter.

Paul Weiss

Just a day after the Sullivan & Cromwell team's move, Paul Weiss' Washington, D.C., office and litigation co-chair Shanmugam left for

Davis Polk & Wardwell to start that firm's appellate practice alongside now-former Paul Weiss partner Masha Hansford.

Max Campbell, a New York-based headhunter at MRA Search, said the "financial hit" for Paul Weiss—as well as for Sullivan & Cromwell—won't be "massive," given the nature of the practice. But he added that the departures are another indicator that transactional work is gaining the upper hand at the firm—following a year in which some of the firm's most prominent litigators exited and litigator Brad Karp was forced to give up his role as chair.

"This signifies a wider cultural move by Paul Weiss to focus more on the most profitable areas," Campbell said.

Another recruiter, who sought anonymity to speak freely, added that Shanmugam didn't have the strongest relationship with Barshay or with Neel Sachdev, the London-based finance partner at Paul Weiss who was elevated to vice chair after Barshay's appointment as chair, and that this could have played a role in the move.

Sources familiar with Paul Weiss said the firm's litigation department will continue to be led by Michael Holton, Jessica Carey and Jay Cohen. The sources said the firm will also be working with Shanmugam to make sure all client needs are met on any existing appellate matters.

One source said the firm is "always talking" with potential candidates to backfill roles, but that, for now, the most important element is the client experience.

When asked about whether the departures were a signal that Paul Weiss was leaning into transactional work at the expense of litigation, one source said the firm is "100% committed to having a top-notch litigation department," is "not prioritizing one over the other" and that the firm saw double-digit growth in litigation between 2024-2025.

Opportunities on the Horizon

MacEwen said that the moves likely will create some opportunity for movement within the high-level

appellate ranks, given the high-profile nature of the firms.

"They could now, hypothetically, bring on high-profile Supreme Court advocates and promise them a lot of running room without bumping into established incumbents," he said.

MacEwen added that appellate practices, while often staffed with high-profile litigators, aren't very large, so bringing in something of a whole new practice isn't out of bounds. "I might add that a Supreme Court appellate practice does not require much, if any, head count," he said. "One or two superstars will do it."

And depending on where both firms find replacements, the future could look like a college basketball coaching carousel.

"In the instance there are one or more large moves in one practice area among top firms in the market, there is always going to be an element of musical chairs that follows so we can expect further in this area," Campbell said.

This phenomenon unfolded in 2019, when Shanmugam left Williams & Connolly to launch Paul Weiss's appeals practice. Williams & Connolly promptly hired Lisa Blatt from Arnold & Porter, and Arnold & Porter then turned to John Elwood, then at Vinson & Elkins, to fill the vacancy. Both Blatt and Elwood remain at Williams & Connolly and Arnold & Porter, where they lead their respective firms' appellate practices.

MacEwen, on the other hand, while not ruling out a potential cascading effect, said today's legal market has evolved to the point where major moves are less destabilizing than in past years.

"I am putting less and less stock in the once-upon-a-time assumption that these moves have enduring impact," he said. "I just think that mobility at this highly prestigious altitude has increased across the board, without necessarily any long-term or enduring signal to the market."

Both Paul Weiss and Sullivan & Cromwell did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

@ Patrick Smith can be reached at patrick.smith@alm.com.

Reach your peers to generate referral business

LAWYER TO LAWYER

Contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850 or carol.robertson@alm.com

Technology Today


CYBER CRIME

AI Caveat: ‘United States v. Heppner’

About two months ago, the District Court of the Southern District of New York (Hon. Jed S. Rakoff, D.J.) issued a ruling of first impression determining that communications between a criminal defendant and a generative artificial intelligence platform were not protected by attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine.

In *United States v. Heppner*, 2026 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32697, ___ F.Supp.3d ___, 25 CR 00503 (JSR) (SDNY 2/17/26, Rakoff, DJ) the defendant, Bradley Heppner, was charged in an indictment with various criminal offenses in an elaborate scheme allegedly bilking investors of more than \$150 million dollars. The charges against Heppner arose “from his alleged misconduct as an executive of several corporate entities, including the publicly traded company GWG Holdings, Inc. (GWG).” The indictment charged that Heppner defrauded GWG’s investors of more than \$150 million by making false representations about and causing GWG to enter into undisclosed self-serving transactions involving, two privately held companies that Heppner controlled, Beneficient Company Group, L.P. (Beneficient) and Highland Consolidated L.P. (HCLP). *United States v. Heppner*, supra.

An FBI investigation included the execution of a search warrant at Heppner’s home and the recovery of approximately 31 documents including communications between the defendant and a “generative artificial intelligence platform” known as Claude created by the Anthropic



ADORE STOCKS

ic company. Heppner contended that the communications between Claude and him were protected by the attorney-client and work product privileges because they reflected his inputting his thoughts and information gleaned from his conversations with his counsel about defense strategy and potential arguments and for future discussion purposes with this counsel which he in fact later shared with his counsel.

According to Heppner’s counsel, the documents represented communications between Heppner and Claude that took place “in 2025, after Mr. Heppner had received a grand jury subpoena [and] after it was clear with discussions with the government that Mr. Heppner was the target of this investigation.” Nevertheless, his counsel conceded that he did not instruct his client to use

the Claude platform.

The government moved, in writing, for a ruling that the AI Documents were protected by neither the attorney-client privilege nor the work product doctrine. The court addressed the issue in the case head on determining the case was one “of first impression nationwide:

Similarly, under New York Law, the attorney-client privilege only arises when an attorney-client relationship has been established.

whether, when a user communicates with a publicly available AI platform in connection with a pending criminal investigation, are the AI user’s communications protected by attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine?” The court answered the question in the negative.

Initially, the court restated well established law that the attorney-client privilege attaches to, and protects from disclosure, “communications (1) between a client and his or her attorney (2) that are intended to be, and in fact were, kept confidential (3) for the purpose of obtaining or providing legal advice.” *United States v. Mejia*, 655 F.3d 126, 132 (2d Cir. 2011). “Courts construe the attorney-client privilege narrowly because it operates as an exception to the rule that “all relevant proof is essential” for a complete record and for “confidence in the fair administration of justice.” *In re Six Grand Jury Witnesses*, 979 F.2d 939, 943 (2d Cir. 1992). See also, e.g., *Calvin Klein Trademark Tr. v. Wachner*, 198 F.R.D. 53, 55 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).” See, also CPLR 4503.

Similarly, under New York Law, the attorney-client privilege only arises when an attorney-client relationship has been established. Such a relationship “arises only when one contacts an attorney in his capacity as such for the purposes of obtaining legal services or advice.” *People v. Mitchell*, 58 N.Y.2d 368, 373 (1983).

Second, only “confidential communications” made to the attorney for the purposes of obtaining legal advice are privileged. Third, the burden of proving each element of the

privilege rests on the party asserting the privilege. Finally, even if a party can establish the requirements of the privilege, it may “yield in a proper case, where strong public policy requires disclosure.” See *People v. Osorio*, 75 N.Y.2d 80 (1989). The court applied the

» Page 6

Founded on AI, Newcomers Look To Transform the Legal Services Market

BY RHYS DIPSHAN

NEW LEGAL technology startups, hybrid law firms and “AI-native” law firms are sprouting up to challenge the legal industry’s existing order, convinced there is a better way to delivery legal services and technology that starts with building something founded on advanced artificial intelligence models.

While law firms and legal tech providers have raced to adapt to generative and agentic AI, these newcomers believe an entirely new approach is called for to meet the moment—with entirely different players in the market.

Just how much of a threat these novel law firms and legal tech startups pose to incumbents, from Big Law firms to legacy legal tech providers, remains an open question.

Still, it is undeniable that advances in AI have forced the law firms to rethink their business models, legal departments to question their outsourcing, and legal tech companies to evolve how they design their offerings.

The newcomers to the industry are capitalizing on these trends, seeing themselves as better equipped to not only succeed in the AI era, but also ensure a faster, more fundamental industry transformation.

The Conversation

The past few years have seen the rise of a law firm structure once thought dead—the hybrid law firm, where a legal technology and/or services company forms a separate law firm entity and both operate in conjunction to serve the same clients.

One of the first such hybrid firms, Atrium, did not last long. Launched in 2017, the company closed its law firm entity only three years later.

But in the AI era, hybrid firms are getting a second wind. Blackstone-backed regulatory tech startup Norm Ai, for instance, launched its hybrid law firm Norm Law in late 2025, with the aim of having the firm’s attorneys supervise and customize the Norm Ai agents that automate client’s legal and compliance workflows.

Norm Law also recently hired the executive committee chair at Sidley Austin to lead its investment funds and regulatory practice, and a former Ropes & Gray partner as its head of private equity.

Another hybrid law firm Covenant » Page 8

Legora Acquires Legal Research Startup Qura

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

LAST Week, Swedish legal artificial intelligence company Legora announced the acquisition of Qura, a Stockholm-based legal research company. Financial terms of the deal were not disclosed.

Qura is Legora’s second acquisition in the last two months, following the purchase of Canadian legal AI company Walter AI. The acquisitions followed the completion of a \$550 million Series D funding round, which valued the company at \$5.55 billion.

In a press release announcing the transaction, Legora CEO Max Junestrand said Qura stood out due to the legal reasoning applied by Qura’s models and its ability to leverage AI to build and search legal databases.

“Legal research will be a cornerstone of the legal AI stack, and Qura has built one of the most impressive foundations in the world,” he said. “Their ability to combine deep legal understanding with truly AI-native infrastructure is exceptional.”

Qura was founded in 2023 by Arvid Winterfeldt, Kevin Kastberg and Erik Nordmark. Winterfeldt and Kastberg both studied at Stockholm’s KTH Royal Institute of Technology, and Nordmark studied at Università Bocconi in Milan. The company assembles legal databases that are largely built with, and designed to be navigated by, large language models (LLMs). Qura also uses an AI-powered search function that applies legal reasoning to identify relevant authorities.

Winterfeldt, who serves as the company’s CEO, contrasted Qura’s approach to that of legacy research providers, noting that Qura uses AI in everything from communicating with individual courts to tagging documents and parsing precedents. While the company’s initial aim was to build a better search function for traditionally organized documents, the rapid development of LLMs allowed for a broader range of uses.

“It became obvious quite quickly that if you can use LLMs to navigate this data, and not just as a glorified search engine, but actually allow it to reason over current law, that would be a game changer,” he told Law.com. “It’s not just about cutting time. You can also reach a quality of data annotation that was impossible before.”

The company’s platform currently covers Swedish and EU law, as well as competition law in 27 jurisdictions.

Following the transaction, Qura’s staff of roughly 10 will join Legora’s existing legal research team, with the aim of applying its approach to larger legal markets such as the United States.

Winterfeldt said that joining Legora would allow the Qura team to embed its legal research capability in a broader platform and provide the resources to build out an offering capable of handling multijurisdiction work. “There’s huge network effects in cross-border data, and there’s huge network effects in legal workflows being orchestrated in one central operating system,” he said.

Legora’s move deeper into legal research comes at a time of flux in the field, which has

» Page 6



The firm isn’t the only one counting on you.



Face addiction head on today.

Caron’s innovative program is uniquely designed for and by legal professionals to address the specific pressures and stresses of the legal world. Our expert clinical team will treat you with privacy, discretion and personalized care as you gain the tools you need to take control of your addiction and get your life and career back on track.

You don’t have to do this alone. Start now by calling 800-854-6023 or visiting Caron.org/StartYourRecovery



Legal Tech Advisory Stella Legal Combines Operations With Legal Service Provider CSB-SBS

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

LAST week, Stella Legal, a Scottsdale, Arizona- and London-based legal technology consulting firm and service provider, announced that it was combining operations with CSB Strategic Business Solutions (SBS), an Arizona-based alternative legal services provider and technology consultancy.

The two companies, which previously operated separately under the same ownership group, will work together as Stella Legal going forward under the leadership of Stella Legal co-founder and CEO Tyson Ballard. The combined entity will offer services for in-house teams in six areas: AI enablement, contracting, legal operations, M&A advisory, discovery and dispute resolution, and data services.

Ballard told Law.com that the union of the two companies would allow the combined entity to offer a broader array of advisory, legal and managed services than either could provide in-house teams alone.

“Legacy Stella ... was more on the advisory [side], which contributes to thinking, problem solving, and then implementing a solution to the problem,” he said. “On the legacy SBS side, that was a lot more business as usual, long-standing clients and more of a managed service [model], but we were doing that across very similar use cases.”

SBS, which had roughly 100 employees, was founded by attorneys from law firm Cosmich Simmons & Brown (CSB) in 2015 to offer corporate legal departments tech-enabled services such as early case assessment, managed review, regulatory compliance support, and data management. Stella Legal was launched by SBS as a separate entity in 2025 to experiment with a more advisory-oriented model focused on the deployment of advanced AI tools, with a staff in the mid 30s.

Stella is incorporated in Arizona, with a second entity in London to serve U.K.-based and European clients, though Ballard noted that a majority of its business now comes from U.S.-based corporations. He added that he will be moving to the U.S. to run the combined company, after managing Stella from Lisbon to this point.

Ballard said that the combined company is intended to help legal departments get the most out of their budgets, whether by assisting them with AI tool selection and deployment to do their own work more efficiently or by providing them with a lower cost option for legal services they historically may have received from law firms.

He added that client needs on the AI advisory side have changed over the past year or so as legal departments started to reassess their previous procurement decisions.

“When we started, we were getting a lot of clients who had invested in the Legoras,

» Page 8

Outside Counsel / Expert Analysis

Intervention

« Continued from page 4
the best interests standard is felt most acutely. Parents who share legal custody but hold sharply different views about vaccination, medication, or other treatments can force the court to choose whose judgment to trust. When a child is in emotional or behavioral trouble, the parent who calmly engages with the child’s pediatrician, school, and mental health providers, and follows a coherent plan of care, tends to come across as aligned with the child’s interests. The parent who fights every recommendation or treats each decision as another front in the conflict usually does not.

J.F. v. D.F. is a straightforward example of a court resolving a medical dispute by siding with established medical guidance rather than lay skepticism. 160 N.Y.S.3d 551 (Sup. Ct. Monroe Cnty 2021). Joint custodial parents disagreed about whether, and on what timetable, to vaccinate their eleven-year-old against COVID. The court heard from the child’s

pediatrician, who recommended vaccination and recommended that the child be vaccinated as soon as possible, while explicitly declining to weigh in on the scientific merits of one vaccine over another. In effect, the court treated the pediatrician and mainstream guidance as the best proxy for the child’s best interests and aligned the outcome with the parent who followed that advice.

In a similar case involving the propriety of ADHD treatment, the court likewise granted final decision making to the mother, whose attitude on treatment aligned with the recommendations of experts. To assist in their decision making, the Third Department heard testimony from the child’s pediatrician, teachers, and school psychologist, all of whom favored prescribing the child ADHD medication. The father testified that he did not experience any difficulties with the child’s focus and that he himself had experienced hallucinations when he was on ADHD medication as a child. However, the father did not make any appeals to experts, medical texts, or other objective sources

of authority. The court, relying heavily on the expert testimony, granted the mother, who favored treatment, final decision-making on this issue. *Michael T. v. Dana U.*, 222 N.Y.S.3d 215 (App. Div. 3d Dept. 2024).

Mental Health Concerns

Courts tend to be highly risk-averse with respect to children’s mental health. Thus, even where

What matters is not who argued the loudest, but who appears most able to keep the child at the center.

both parents are found to be well-intentioned and competent, the court will often award sole or primary custody to the parent who would be best for the child’s mental health. Frequently, this is surmised through some combination of mental health experts as well as the child’s own expressed interests.

In *Maute v. Maute*, the Second Department confronted a teenager whose mental and behavioral health had clearly deteriorated under the existing custodial

arrangement, which had been awarded to the mother several years earlier. 643 N.Y.S.2d 225 (App. Div. 2d Dept. 1996). By the time of the modification petition, the child’s academic performance was poor, his conduct was described as “disturbing,” he had left home several times and even threatened suicide, and his “intense wish” to live with his father had not abated. The Family Court nonetheless left custody with the mother. On

appeal, the Second Department reversed, holding that the custody arrangement had “failed to serve this child’s best interest” and “[d]id nothing to remediate his deteriorating condition.” In a setting where both parents had good intentions, but one arrangement was plainly failing, the court shifted custody.

A similar pattern appears in *Lobo v. Muttee*, where the Second Department reversed an award of sole custody to the mother and ordered a new hearing, finding no

sound basis to uproot a five-year-old who had lived his entire life with his father. Additionally, the teachers, pediatrician, dentist, treating psychologist, and Attorney for the Child all effectively supported leaving custody with the father. 601 N.Y.S.2d 322 (App. Div. 2d Dept. 1993).

In both of these cases, the practical realities of the child’s mental health status overruled more abstract considerations about parenting fitness.

Conclusion

Parents experience custody disputes from the inside, in real time. While disputing parents may appear to be acting out of fear, anger, and a sense of threat, it is likely that most of them simply want what they believe to be best for their child. Judges see them from an emotional distance, through records, testimony, and a broad best interests standard that gives them wide latitude and few hard rules. From that vantage point, what matters is not who argued the loudest, but who appears most able to keep the child

at the center, work with the professionals already in the child’s life, and support a stable relationship with the other parent.

The practical lesson for disputing parents is twofold:

1. Parents should bear in mind that the issues which seem so very important to them may not have particular legal significance. They should thus consider how a disputed issue will appear to the judge before whom they will eventually appear and thoughtfully “pick their battles” in consultation with legal counsel.
2. A parent who treats every disagreement as a test of will, cuts off contact, or resists ordinary medical and therapeutic guidance appears less credible and less aligned with the child’s best interests. A parent who stays in regular contact with the pediatrician and therapist, communicates in a measured way with the other parent, and makes a visible effort to keep the child’s life steady creates the sort of record courts tend to reward.

Appellate

« Continued from page 3
information he sought relating to her), she would be forced to address the person who, on her account, had manipulated her into participating in a conspiracy to kill three people, and she could invoke her Fifth Amendment rights.

Following the ruling, NBCU moved for leave to file an interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b), arguing that interlocutory review was warranted (and it should not be required to violate Liman’s decision and be held in contempt before being able to appeal) because (1) this would be the first case in which an appellate court addressed the reporter’s privilege in the context of a subpoena served in connection with a habeas proceeding, and (2) the Second Circuit had only addressed one case applying the journalists’ privilege to newsgathering involving a non-confidential source since *Gonzales* was decided in 1999.

The Section 1292(b) Standard

In considering whether to grant NBCU’s motion, Liman began by summarizing the “narrow and demanding” requirements for interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b). The party seeking leave to appeal bears the burden of demonstrating all three criteria of the

statute, which provides that “(1) the appeal ‘involves a controlling question of law,’ (2) ‘as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion,’ and (3) ‘an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.’” *In re Lehman Bros. Holdings Inc.*, 2019 WL 2023723, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. May 8, 2019) (quoting 28 U.S.C. §1292(b)). He explained that even when these elements are satisfied, the district court retains “unfettered discretion” to deny leave to appeal for “any reason,” including judicial economy.

Application to ‘Williams’

Judge Liman then applied the statutory criteria to the facts here, including addressing NBCU’s proffered justifications for seeking interlocutory appeal. Before doing so, however, he observed that in *Gonzales*, the appeal was heard only after the subpoena recipient failed to comply and was held in contempt. Further, Liman explained that he had previously applied the standards established in *Gonzales*, and the context of a habeas proceeding does not alter applicability of the journalists’ privilege. He preliminarily saw no reason why the same route should not be followed here.

Liman then explained that under the requirements for an interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b), NBCU failed to meet the require-

ment of identifying a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion. He explained that the standard is “genuine doubt as to whether the district court applied the correct legal standard in its order,” and NBCU did not dispute that the court applied the correct standard established in *Gonzales*. Liman noted that instead, NBCU argued that he had misapplied the *Gonzales* factors of: (1) whether the footage sought was “of likely

Liman then explained that under the requirements for an interlocutory appeal under Section 1292(b), NBCU failed to meet the requirement of identifying a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion.

relevance to a significant issue in the case” and (2) that the information contained in the footage is “not reasonably obtainable from other available sources.”

As to “likely relevance,” NBCU argued that Judge Liman departed from the ruling in *Pugh v. Avis Rent a Car Systems*, 1997 WL 669876, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 28, 1997), which recognizes that the journalists’ privilege exists to prevent “fishing expeditions.” In rejecting this argument, Liman noted that he had in fact relied on *Pugh* in finding that (1) Williams’ request for the outtakes of the eight other interviews was based on mere speculation,

and (2) the journalists’ privilege thus protected them.

With respect to “likely relevance,” NBCU also objected to Liman’s citation to *Sokolow v. Pal. Liberation Org.*, 2012 WL 3871380 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 6, 2012), for the proposition that the standard of likely relevance is “low” when non-confidential sources are involved. Liman explained, however, that he was simply reiterating a statement from *Gonzales* itself that a subpoena seeking information about

non-confidential sources need not meet the more stringent standards applicable to confidential sources because “the nature of the press interest protected by the privilege is narrower.”

Moving on to the “not reasonably available from other sources” factor, Judge Liman rejected NBCU’s argument that substantial grounds existed for disagreement regarding his determination that subpoenaed outtakes from Kim Williams were not “reasonably obtainable from other available sources,” *i.e.*, from Kim Williams herself. NBCU asserted that Liman should have applied an “exhaustion”

Risk

« Continued from page 3
constitute evidence of institutional indifference.

Systemic Risk Factors: Where Liability Is Built

Federal oversight reports and academic research have identified recurring structural conditions that suppress reporting and allow abuse to persist. These patterns are not merely policy concerns. They speak directly to whether an institution knew or should have known it was operating in a high-risk environment without adequate safeguards.

Workforce instability is among the most consistently documented risk factors. High staff turnover limits the development of trusted relationships between youth and staff, depletes institutional memory regarding individual employees, and creates supervision gaps.

The Senate Finance Committee’s June 2024 report, *Warehouses of Neglect*, documented repeated instances in which facilities hired minimally trained workers, maintained inadequate staffing ratios, and provided few services to youth—conditions the Committee concluded were not aberrations but features of the prevailing business model in certain sectors of the residential care industry. For plaintiffs’ counsel, this report offers significant evidentiary value.

Isolation of youth from outside oversight is another enabling condition with direct legal implications. Facilities that unreasonably restrict youth contact with family members, attorneys, or outside advocates eliminate external reporting channels that might otherwise surface abuse. PREA standards specifically require that youth have access to outside confidential support entities, but audit compliance has been uneven, thereby creating additional grounds for civil claims in cases where facilities systematically limited outside contact.

Inadequate licensing and inspection regimes further compound institutional risk. The GAO’s 2022

report on maltreatment in residential facilities found dramatic variation among states in licensing requirements, inspection frequency, and responses to substantiated violations. Where licensing agencies lack the authority or resources to impose meaningful sanctions, the deterrent function of oversight fails.

What the Data Tells Lawyers

The available data, while incomplete, reveals the scale of the problem and frames the context for institutional liability claims. A Bureau of Justice Statistics report on youth in juvenile correctional facilities found that 7.1% of youth reported sexual victimization in the prior 12 months as of 2018, a figure that had declined from 9.5% in 2012. Staff misconduct accounted for a significant share. A 2023 analysis of confirmed incidents from 2013 to 2018 found that, among 1,762 substantiated incidents, approximately 28% involved adult staff victimizing youth, while about 72% involved youth-on-youth conduct.

In staff-on-youth cases, there were 657 identified victims and 511 perpetrators; notably, female staff accounted for 61% of the sexual misconduct perpetrators identified. The 2024 GAO report on residential facility abuse underscored a critical limitation that directly affects litigation.

The true number of incidents remains unknown. Reporting systems are often fragmented, definitions inconsistent, and under-reporting pervasive. The GAO confirmed that both staff fear of employer retaliation and youth fear of prolonged placement, punishment, or loss of privileges remain significant structural barriers to timely reporting.

Practical Recommendations For Litigators

Sexual abuse litigation involving foster youth in residential facilities presents distinct investigative, evidentiary, and strategic challenges. The following recommendations are offered for attorneys on both sides of these matters, as well as for counsel advising institutional

clients on risk management and compliance.

For Plaintiffs’ Counsel

- Start with the regulatory record. Obtain the facility’s complete licensing and inspection history at the outset, including all violation reports, corrective action plans, and re-inspection results. Patterns of recurring violations, especially those involving supervision,
- Obtain PREA documentation. PREA requires facilities to conduct and document intake screenings, staff training, incident reporting, and audits. Request all PREA audit reports, self-assessments, and any correspondence with auditors. Gaps or failures in PREA compliance may help to establish both the applicable standard of care and the institution’s breach of it.
- Investigate staffing patterns. High staff turnover is a documented precursor to abuse. Request complete employment records, including hiring dates, separation dates, disciplinary records, and any internal investigations involving departing staff. Cross-reference personnel files against incident reports to identify whether particular staff members had prior complaints.

- Look for evidence of concealment. Subpoena the facility’s internal communications including emails, incident logs, shift reports, and memoranda for evidence that supervisors were aware of warning signs and failed to act, or that staff were discouraged from mak-

ing external reports. Internal concealment, if established, can support claims for punitive damages.

- Leverage the child welfare record. Foster youth involved in residential placements typically have extensive child welfare agency files, placement histories, and court records. These documents can establish the youth’s vulnerability at the time of placement, whether the facility was on

notice of special risks, and whether the placing agency conducted adequate oversight visits as required by federal and state law.

- Evaluate state agency liability. Consider whether the state child welfare agency that placed the youth bears independent liability for inadequate placement screening, failure to monitor, or failure to respond to known complaints. Claims under 42 U.S.C. §1983 may lie where a state actor’s deliberate indifference to a known risk contributed to the abuse.
- Engage expert support early. Foster youth who have experienced trauma may be reluctant to discuss the abuse, may recant, or may exhibit behavioral presentations that defense counsel will seek to use against them. Retention of a qualified forensic mental health expert early in the case can address credibility issues proactively and contextualize trauma responses for the factfinder.

For Defense Counsel and Institutional Advisors

- Assess mandatory reporting compliance immediately. When abuse is alleged, the first priority is to ensure that mandatory reporting obligations have been met. Defense

counsel must assess not only whether the facility reported, but whether it reported to the correct authority (CPS, law enforcement, or both), within the required timeframe, and without substituting an internal investigation for external notification.

- Manage internal investigations carefully. Internal investigations of abuse allegations must be properly documented and carefully scoped to avoid creating materials that expand rather than limit liability. Counsel may advise against informal inquiries by facility administrators untrained in investigative protocols, which often produce inconsistent accounts that plaintiffs’ lawyers will exploit.
- Prioritize PREA compliance as a liability shield. For institutions advising residential facilities before litigation arises, PREA compliance is an important risk-reduction measure. Facilities should conduct regular internal audits, correct deficiencies before external auditors identify them, document training completion, and maintain robust anonymous reporting mechanisms. A documented culture of compliance is the strongest defense against negligence claims.
- Review hiring and screening practices. Facilities must conduct thorough pre-employment background checks, including checks of sex offender registries, child abuse and neglect databases, and prior employer references. Negligent hiring claims are among the most difficult to defend when background check procedures were inadequate or inconsistently applied.

- Issue litigation holds immediately. When litigation is filed or threatened, litigation holds must be issued immediately and enforced across all document custodians, including staff who may no longer be employed by the facility. Residential facilities generate voluminous operational

records, including shift logs, video footage, medication records, incident reports, that are subject to automatic deletion absent a proper hold. Spoliation sanctions in this area of litigation can be severe.

- Document good-faith compliance efforts. Facilities that had genuine reporting and prevention programs in place prior to the alleged abuse, even if imperfect, are in a significantly better litigation posture than those with no documented protocols. Counsel should compile and preserve all evidence of proactive compliance measures, training records, corrective actions, and governance oversight for use in damages mitigation arguments.

Litigation in this area is not only legally complex but involves some of the most vulnerable individuals in the child welfare system. Attorneys should approach these matters with the procedural rigor and sensitivity that both the law and the circumstances demand.

Conclusion: A Recurring Obligation

The abuse of children in residential facilities can arise from identifiable, documented, and legally cognizable institutional failures. Plaintiffs’ lawyers will find in the federal reports cited here a general roadmap for establishing foreseeability and breach. Defense counsel and institutional compliance officers will find in the same reports a framework for the safeguards that courts will expect to have been in place.

The statutory, regulatory, and common law in this area seems to be moving toward greater accountability for institutional actors. Ultimately, flawed humans in high-risk environments ensure improper sexual abuse incidents will endure to some extent. Prevention demands constant vigilance. Rates may decline, but eradication defies reality. Progress depends not on perfection, but on persistence. And so, the fight against abuse is not one that ever ends. It is one that must be renewed every day.

Legal Services

« Continued from page 5

was founded in 2021, with a focus on automating fund formation work.

Such firms are becoming more common due to gen and agentic AI’s potential to transform legal services.

“[These] law firms, the new models, they’re taking the approach that this is an opportunity to fundamentally redesign how legal advice is being delivered and how legal services are being delivered, where the technology is front and center with the human providing the governance layer or the judgment layer,” QuisLex CEO Sirisha Gummaregula told Law.com.

In some ways, this new approach means leveraging AI to take on work once given to associates.

“This idea of being AI first is really to train the AI agents to build them at such a level of sophistication that they do the full first pass of the work autonomously and in conformity with the client’s playbooks, guidelines, proclivities and the rest, so really at the level that a really well-trained third-year would do the work. That is a different adjustment in the way you’re practicing,” Mike Schmidberger, Norm Law’s head of investment funds and regulatory and the former Sidley Austin executive committee chair, told Law.com.

In addition to hybrid law firms, at least one “AI-native” law firm (which don’t operate in conjunction with a legal tech or services provider) has launched onto the market: General Legal, founded by former Fenwick, Cooley and Thomson Reuters veterans, and focused on contract review and drafting services for early-stage startups. The law firm was built entirely around advanced AI technologies.

“What it means is we use AI maximally, mainly behind the scenes. Our AI takes the first cut at anything that comes in to us, and then our lawyers review that output and remove some of the output, add to it, modify it and then give it back,” said co-founder Javed Qadnud-Din.

Similar to the law firm market, in the legal tech industry, new startups have found fertile ground for disruption.

Two stark examples are a pair of relatively young legal AI startups at the forefront of the legal industry’s gen AI transformation: Harvey, which was founded in 2022 and is now valued at \$11 billion, and Legora, which was founded in 2023 and is now valued at \$5.55 billion.

But it’s not just those well-known players shaking up the market. Several startups have launched over the past few years to cater to the growing in-house demand for more AI-spurred automation and insourcing.

Workflow management startup Sandstone, which was founded in 2025 by a team that included former attorneys from Paul Hastings and Akin Gump, aims to help legal departments become more efficient by streamlining requests from their business. This February, former Goodwin Procter COO and former director of legal operations, technology and strategy at Google Mary O’Carroll joined the startup’s advisory board.

Similarly, a startup named Inhouse, launched in 2023 and backed by former leaders of LegalZoom, looks to transform how legal departments at small and midsize businesses handle legal matters by offering an AI platform that automates workflows such as compli-

ance and contracting as well as an attorney referral network.

Other startups are looking to automate whole practice areas. Smartesq, founded in 2025 by a former Paul Hastings fund formation partner, and Intelligent Legal Solutions (ILS) founded in 2024 by a former Goodwin associate, leverage AI to help investment managers and similar clients automate work once given to outside counsel. This includes streamlining everything from drafting and reviewing limited partnership agreements to managing Most Favored Nation (MFN) election processes.

Nowhere is the rise of startups perhaps more evident than in the legal research market, which, over the past few years, has seen a bevy of newcomers—including Midpage, Alexi, and Descrybe, among others—into a space dominated by LexisNexis, Thomson Reuters and Clio (who owns Vlex and Fastcase).

While the flourishing startup scene owes much to the decades long efforts by those in the legal industry to make case law more accessible, it is also fueled by gen and agentic AI, which have made it easier to not only build case law databases, but also develop platforms to expertly find, analyze and extract insights from them.

Otto von Zastrow, CEO and founder of legal research startup Midpage told Law.com that in building such platforms, “The amount of impact that agentic coding has is really hard to overstate.”

The Significance

Many law firms and legal tech startups looking to fundamentally change the legal services market operate on a similar premise. They believe that layering AI on top of current legal services delivery approaches is not going to be enough, and stress the need for an entirely new approach from top to bottom, founded on AI.

Qadnud-Din at General Legal, for instance, argues that the institutional law firm structure means firms will be too slow in meeting client demands for AI efficiencies.

“Someday, a long time in the future, they probably will change. But by that time, it’ll be too late, and there are going to be AI-native law firms that have gotten very big in the meantime. And the reason we think it’s tough for them to change—there’s normal human inertia, but there’s also the way these firms are structured. It’s very difficult for them to lower their prices in the short term so that hopefully they get more clients in the long term. It’s very difficult for them to get off the billable hour,” he said.

Startups see a similar problem with legacy legal tech companies whose approach to delivering services, they say, is outdated.

Mark Doble, CEO and co-founder of legal tech startup Alexi, noted that his company began from the premise that while there is still a role for traditional legal research tools, “just like there’s still a role for Google search ... this emerging category of generative AI for legal research would be a big and growing category. ... It’s just a different [approach], a narrative response, an answer system, not a legal research search tool like Google that gives you an index of the web.”

Still, for all the potential challenges they may face from newcomers, law firms and legal tech providers have a competitive advantage that has nothing to do with technology—they are massive

players who have built trust with their clients over decades.

Many corporate clients, for instance, will only trust a Big Law firm with bet-the-company work, while some in Big Law will likely only turn to a major legacy company for their legal research needs.

What’s more, while startups are innovating new legal service approaches, legacy players are not standing still. Big Law firms are rushing to not just adopt gen and agentic AI, but rethink their training, culture and business models for the AI era. Meanwhile, institutional legal tech companies are harnessing their many resources to stay ahead of AI race—and their competition.

Mike Dahn, Thomson Reuters’ senior vice president and head of Westlaw product management, contends that improvements in AI are allowing competitors to do the kind of editorial work Thomson Reuters did around a decade ago. “If we were standing still than that would be a major concern. But we’re not standing still. We are very aggressively pushing forward into the future.”

The sheer size, resources and depth of relationships market leaders have is not lost on newcomers, many of whom do not see themselves competing with these companies directly.

“We would never say that we’re going to be like, ‘X’ big company or ‘Y’ big company. That’s absurd—they’re billion-dollar companies. They’ve been doing this for decades. ... [But we] don’t all have to be the same. People can solve different parts of the problem. So I think one is understanding where you fit in the market and building very intentionally for that,” said Kara Peterson, CEO and co-founder of legal research startup Descrybe.

The Forecast

While it’s difficult for new law firms and legal tech startups to upend who sits at the top of their respective markets, they can chip away at big players’ market shares and pressure industry incumbents to keep pace with AI-led innovation.

Though trust and client relationships hold a lot of weight, AI has created different expectations around how efficient, inexpensive and innovative legal services and technology should be, and those aren’t going away any time soon. In fact, they are likely to become even more pronounced as startups and new law firms look to show just what can be done by leveraging AI. How fast and to what extent law firms and legal tech providers can keep pace with the demands that arise from these expectations, however, is an open question, and increasingly an existential one.

For while the legal services market won’t be rebuilt from top to bottom overnight, it will likely become more fragmented and competitive, where little can be taken for granted.

Each week, the Law.com Barometer newsletter brings you the trends, disruptions, and shifts our reporters and editors are tracking through coverage spanning every beat and region across the ALM /LBR Global Newsroom. The micro-topic coverage will not only help you navigate the changing legal landscape but also prepare you to discuss these shifts with thousands of legal leaders at Legalweek 2027, taking place from March 1-3, 2027, in New York City. Registration will be opening soon.

@ Rhys Dipshan can be reached at rhys.dipshan@alm.com.

director and global head of M&A at Vantage Point Capital Partners, and Lou Andreozzi, previously CEO of LexisNexis North American Legal Markets and chairman of Bloomberg Law.

“We’re applying those same things, ... using AI in the deal rooms and then also post-integration work, and then fully agentic across all those workflows like the traditional M&A checklists and all the preparation that you have in terms of doing the deal,” Ballard said.

@ Benjamin Joyner can be reached at benjamin.jjoyner@alm.com.

ity” (22 NYCRR 100.4[H][1][a]).

We have said a full-time judge “may engage in extra-judicial activities ... involving the expression of creative talents” and accept reasonable compensation for them, subject to certain limitations (Opinion 09-192/09-231). Thus, a full-time judge may join a musician’s union, perform as a musician, and accept compensation for such performances, provided the judge’s band is not a business entity and his/her participation is not publicized (see Opinion 06-141).¹ Moreover, just as a full-time judge “may write a book and profit from its publication, notwithstanding the involvement of

BJ’s

« Continued from page 1

shareholders ahead of its annual shareholder meeting.

“Absent a preliminary injunction, the Fund has no way of remedying the exclusion of its Proposal from this year’s annual meeting, even though it is likely to succeed on the merits of its claim,” Sorokin said.

Representatives for BJ’s and the New York State Common Retirement Fund didn’t immediately respond to Friday’s requests for comment.

In that same order, Sorokin rejected BJ’s motion to dismiss the complaint, advancing one of the few federal lawsuits brought under Rule 14a-8 of the Securities and Exchange Act.

The rule creates a mechanism for shareholders to get proposals onto companies’ proxy materi-

Swipe Fee

« Continued from page 1

competitive conspiracy, including Visa and Mastercard’s “honor all cards” requirement for merchants to accept all of the issuers’ cards.

We’d be better off with a trial loss, Greenberger said during a more than three-hour settlement hearing on Monday.

“Be careful what you wish for,” Cogan responded.

Cogan is just the latest judge within the Eastern District of New York to be pulled into the case, which was filed in 2005. The parties have already settled their damages claims for \$5.5 billion, but figuring out injunctive relief has been trickier. Chief Judge Margo K. Brodie rejected an earlier settlement in 2024. The case was later reassigned to Cogan.

If approved, this new deal would have Visa and Mastercard lowering their swipe fees by 0.1% for five years and capping standard consumer rates at 1.25% throughout the life of the deal. But the deal does not allow merchants to opt out of the injunctive relief and opposition has coalesced around various aspects of the deal.

Steve Shadowen, founding partner at Hilliard Shadowen and class counsel, defended the agreement,

als and has been used by activist investors across the political spectrum to force a shareholder vote on a range of social issues.

Under the rule, to exclude a proposal, a company must show that it’s exempt from the rule’s protections. Proposals that look to micromanage a company’s routine business operations are exempt from the rule.

Historically, companies looking to claim an exemption and block a proposal have sought the SEC’s permission to do so. But in November, the agency announced it wouldn’t respond to those requests for the 2025-26 process, leaving companies with largely-unquestioned authority to decide for themselves which proposals could go before investors.

Since the announcement, shareholders have filed a historic number of Rule 14a-8 lawsuits. Of the total number of cases, only three have resulted in a federal judge

saying it achieves “nearly all the major reforms this case set out to achieve.” Under the settlement, merchants may decline credit cards carrying high fees, such as rewards cards, and can offer incentives for customers to use low-fee cards, Shadowen said.

Holwell, Shuster & Goldberg’s Michael Shuster and Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison’s William Michael, counsel for Visa and Mastercard, respectively, argued that the proposed revisions to honor-all-cards were unprecedented for their clients.

But the objectors—and there were six groups of objectors present at the Monday hearing—argued that the proposed changes would give merchants the burden of explaining to customers why they could use some credit cards, but not others. Based on the popularity of high-cost rewards cards, merchants may avoid that issue altogether, the objectors argued.

Boies, Schiller, Flexner’s Jesse Panuccio, counsel for Walmart, further explained that under the



Judge Cogan

ruling on a motion for a preliminary injunction—the New York State Common Retirement Fund’s suit against BJ’s, Canadian charity Fonds des Mission’s lawsuit against UnitedHealth Group Inc. and social corporate responsibility group As You Sow’s lawsuit against Chubb Ltd.

Only the New York State Common Retirement Fund successfully obtained a court order forcing its proposal onto a proxy ballot.

Fonds des Mission was championing a proposal requiring Chubb to commission a study on whether pursuing subrogation claims against fossil fuel companies could reduce insurance losses. As You Sow was championing a proposal requiring UnitedHealth to report on the healthcare consequences of its acquisitions over the last decade.

@ Alyssa Aquino can be reached at alyssa.aquino@alm.com.

deal, merchants would have to accept credit cards from all issuers, in order to accept cards from any one bank.

Imagine if Walmart couldn’t do business with Coca-Cola unless it had to do business with PepsiCo, Panuccio asked.

Throughout the hearing, Cogan offered few observations about the deal, remarking that he “still [didn’t] like” the surcharge proposal. But to Panuccio, Cogan said that if objectors were to and peel off from the settlement and try their own antitrust case, they’d have a hard time doing away with “honor-all-cards” entirely.

If I were assigned to that matter, I’d look at it from the perspective of “carving away” at the honor-all-cards programs, not “going at it with a meat cleaver,” he said.

Cogan reserved his decision, but said he would quickly issue his ruling on the settlement.

In the Southern District of New York, District Judge Alvin Hellerstein is overseeing a separate antitrust case over the swipe fees from merchants who splintered off from the Brooklyn case. Hellerstein approved a settlement resolving that matter last week.

@ Alyssa Aquino can be reached at alyssa.aquino@alm.com.

Protection

« Continued from page 1

and pricing. “Who is working on the billable hour? Is that humans or machines? All of that is shifting underneath,” Miller said.

Dan Binstock, a Washington, D.C.-based recruiter for Garrison, said the talent market and competition are also major incentives for firms to preserve equity shares.

“A more concentrated equity structure gives firms more room to compensate star partners or attract major rainmakers, sometimes at the \$20-plus million range,” he said. “In this fiercely competitive market, flexibility at the top matters enormously.”

Lorie Almon, chair and managing partner at Seyfarth Shaw, also pointed to the sort of dual motives driving firms to add income tiers. She said firms are looking to open the opportunity to more people to become partners, when they may be don’t quite have the business that full equity partners do.

“It opens more doors and more opportunities when you have that tier,” she said. “Firms, I believe, are also looking to protect their equity partnership and ensure it’s healthy and economically viable.

So, finding a path where you can make someone a partner without diluting the equity partnership is solved by having a nonequity tier.”

Overall, nonequity tiers grew 7% across the Am Law 100 in 2025, compared to the equity tier, which grew 2%. Although a large majority of firm leaders have said in surveys that they want to grow their equity ranks over the next two years, industry observers have been more cautious, saying that sentiment could just be messaging to laterals or current lawyers looking for promotions.

a commercial publisher” (Opinion 20-59), we have also said a judge may publish his/her own musical compositions and receive royalties and performance rights fees for them (see Opinion 17-11) and may develop and produce a musical album of original copyrighted songs written and performed by the judge (see Opinion 05-149).

We note that the judge’s choice to use a pseudonym in performing covers of other artists’ works is not determinative here. Indeed, we have advised that otherwise prohibited conduct is not rendered permissible by concealing the judge’s identity (see e.g.

And firms’ actions, at least for now, suggest they are focused on creating, tweaking and growing income partner tiers. Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer created an income partner tier in 2025, telling The American Lawyer this spring that the move aligned them with the rest of the industry, that it gives candidates for promotion time to grow into their roles, and provides “additional opportunities to compete in the lateral market.”

Sidley Austin leaders also said their new income partner tier, after years of using a hybrid system in which partners had varying levels of equity, would also help them stay more competitive, and better capitalize comp so it’s more flexible in recruiting and retaining talent.

Binstock, of Garrison, said more firms may be incentivized to make this move as true nonequity tiers become more and more of a norm. “Many firms are seeking to preserve shares for the full equity tier. Given that non-equity is now the norm, some firms may feel increasingly comfortable moving from hybrid to non-equity, which leaves a larger pool of equity,” he said.

The tweaks aren’t confined to the top 100 firms, either. David Foltyn, CEO of Honigman, said in an interview this spring that his firm is looking to tighten its equity standards. His firm increased its equity tier by about 4%, to 128, and nonequity tier 12%, to more than 100. He and firm leaders said at the time they weren’t ready to roll out specifics. “But we are working on tightening up the admission standard and timeline to become a partner, and to become eligible for equity partner,” he said.

Some firms are also considering moving to some version of a hybrid equity tier, which can allow firms

to maintain tighter control over partner pay by blending fixed and performance-based comp, while also letting them test business-generation talent before granting full equity in the firm.

“I have seen firms that maybe have a less balanced demographic of partners, that have an old guard and a new guard. And that new guard needs time to deliver the results of a full equity partner,” said Miller, of Lotis Blue. “So I’ve seen cases of that limited equity tier being developed as a stepping stone.”

At the same time, that can also become an expensive drag on performance, by creating morale and productivity issues as more junior lawyers see a longer climb to reach true equity partnership status. Firms may also fall into the trap of focusing too much on the full-equity tier and not keeping performance at the partial or hybrid level up to snuff.

Almon, of Seyfarth, said her firm isn’t considering adding more layers of partners. They have equity and nonequity partners, as well as a senior income partner role, mostly for succession planning and those looking to step away from the demands of the partner job. But she said firms have to stay dialed in to manage income tiers and ensure everyone there “truly deserves to be in the partnership role and is functioning at that level.”

At the same time, she said, it can’t become a “caste system.” “All of our partners participate in financial calls. They’re sharing the same information,” she said. “We don’t see it as sort of—‘the equity partners, who are the owners, and then other people who are not as valuable.’”

@ Andrew Maloney can be reached at andrew.maloney@alm.com.

CSB-SBS

« Continued from page 5

the Luminances, the Harveys, the AI components, or contract management or compliance, etc., and those were coming up for renewal, and they were ... finding that [for] the cost of those tools, they weren’t getting the return on that investment,” he said. “Now we’re getting a lot more [requests for help] from the tool selection all the way through to the implementation.”

He also noted that the AI expertise the company develops working on tool selection and implementa-

tion for customers can inform the work it does in legal and managed services, and vice versa.

“We’re doing a lot of experimentation and play with tools, and that is allowing us to ... operationalize that at scale,” he said. “When we’re looking at disputes and M&A compliance and [regulatory] and even traditional contract management, we’re really trying to adopt it from a bleeding edge side of things.”

The larger Stella Legal is set to include an M&A advisory offering that the company launched last month, co-led by Richard Harroch, a former Orrick corporate partner who was previously managing

Opinion: 25-168

« Continued from page 6

participant of any business entity” (22 NYCRR 100.4[D][3]), but may “receive compensation” for permissible extra-judicial activities (22 NYCRR 100.4[H][1]). The payment source must “not give the appearance of influencing the judge’s performance of judicial duties or otherwise give the appearance of impropriety” (id.) and the compensation must neither “exceed a reasonable amount” nor “exceed what a person who is not a judge would receive for the same activ-

READER’S SERVICES

For subscriptions call 1-877-256-2472.

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 877-257-3382.

e-mail reprints@alm.com,

or visit almreprints.com.

Send decisions of interest

to decisions@alm.com

Daily columns in the Law Journal report developments in laws affecting medical malpractice, immigration, equal employment opportunity, pensions, personal-injury claims, communications and many other areas.

original compositions and covers of other artists’ works, to a streaming music service where they will be available for public purchase, provided that: (1) such activity is not a business enterprise; (2) the judge’s compensation is reasonable; and (3) the judge’s judicial status is not improperly used to promote the recordings.

1. We have concluded that musical groups are not business entities when they are “primarily rehearsal groups that play for their own personal enjoyment and seldom perform for a fee” (Opinion 06-141) or when they perform “eight to ten [times] yearly” and are paid “occasionally” (Opinion 15-17).

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

CALENDAR FOR THE MAY TERM

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
2 P.M.

19/1859 People v. Tyler Alvarez
25/4135 Freeland v. Chemtob
25/4767 B., Victoria
24/071 HSBC Bank v. Keeling
25/6188 Batchesilly v. 610 Eighth Avenue
23/1463 People v. Darren Cassanova
20/1749 People v. Nakei Thomas
24/4755 Arch Insurance v. Selective Insurance
25/2251 Altieri v. Hyl
25/5709 Leffler v. Kotick
25/4926 HBK Master Fund v. Newrez LLC
23/2003 People v. Demetrius Harvard
25/5820 Chiappa v. Port Authority
24/6897 Casella v. Casella
25/7912 S & M Bronx Inc. v. Diversified Planning
25/4278 Velez v. Central Parking System
25/2133 People v. Corey Allen
25/2018 Richardson v. CE Solutions Group
24/5957N Adler v. Troy

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

2 P.M.

24/6763 People v. Alexis Sanchez
25/4934 Kunz v. Cedric Y.
25/2982 Wilmington Savings Fund v. Okoronkwo
24/1271 People v. Michael Antoine
25/1203 E. New York Ave
25/1502 CSN Realty Corp. v. Moussaieff
25/1601 (1) People v. Christian Gonzalez
25/1201 (1) People v. Christian G.
25/1517 Motcomb Estates v. CMB Export Infrastructure
24/7564 Blumenfeld v. Smith
25/6701 Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev
25/6103 OC Brook Holdings v. Sprung
25/7259 Paro Mgmt Co. v. Allied World National
26/54 De Souza v. Hudson Yard Construction
25/0078 Robles v. Fordham Valentine Associates
25/4471 Pacheco v. Tishman Construction Corp.
25/6194 Hoeben v. FCA US LLC
25/2029 Blue River v. Gross
24/5363 People v. Fransys Ramirez
25/1244N Espinosa v. Cape Church

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

2 P.M.

19/2220 People v. Malachi Colon
25/5364 Katraieifar v. NY-Presbyterian
25/518 City of NY v. Crest Housing Co.
25/2225 Lent v. City of NY
25/7061 Berneo v. Master Plumbing
24/4307 People v. Sean Santiful
25/4951 Matter of Estate of Robert J. Giurdanella
25/4350(2) Marjamaki v. City of NY
25/1852 Lilly v. State of NY
23/5695(2) Cattani v. Rohner
25/5067 MPOW Technology v. Amazon.com Services
25/1399 Hubshman v. 1010 Tenants Corp.
24/6132(1) People v. Devon Pleasant
25/4445(1) People v. Davon Pleasant
25/7627 Soleil Chartered Bank v. Breton Equity Co.
25/2611 Ziobro v. Milan House, Inc.
25/2973 Ganit v. City of NY
25/2897 Rosario v. C.C. Controlled Combustion
24/1122 People v. Joshua Bosch
25/2553N Lotus Residences v. Northfield Insurance

TUESDAY, MAY 5

2 P.M.

22/1179 People v. Julio Pena
25/4825 Wells Fargo Bank v. Whyte
25/2960 Velu v. Verizon NY
25/4920 McNeill v. Gewirtz
22/3804 People v. Eugenia Pedraza
25/3150 NY Concrete v. Contract Dispute Resolution Board
24/3818(2) Lee v. Zeilfin
25/7473 Rivadeneria Paula v. Auxiliary of Elmhurst Hospital
25/1840(2) T1st Street v. Frankel
25/2615(2) Lebron v. Bronx Heights Beulah
22/1162(1) People v. Jamel Ingram
25/3940 Stillpoint Meadows PH-62 v. Residential Board of Mgrs
25/739 Nichols v. Red Hawk
25/2390 Boungouma v. AB 40th Street
25/9190 People v. Deshante Page
25/4727 Berardi v. 900 Third Avenue
25/8090 Francis v. NYSARC, Inc.
25/5792 Sherry v. Hogan
19/5425(1) People v. Michael Brooks
25/6348N James Thomas Realty v. Chelietes

WEDNESDAY, MAY 06

2 P.M.

25/3823 People v. George Hurtado
25/5010(2) Brady v. NY County District Attorney
25/1762 Weir v. Weir
25/5108(2) Robinson v. Desouza
25/6513 Lapinski v. MIP One Wall Street
24/6970 People v. Jermaine Vanderhorst
25/1665 Veolia Energy North America v. Enwawe West Coast
25/5889 Torres v. Ochinio
2018 - 4817 People v. Javid Dore
25/5023 BFAM Asian Opportunities v. Glory Health Industry
24/7359 Board of Managers v. 56th and Park
25/247 People of State of NY v. National Rifle Assn
2018 - 291 People v. Jason Perez
25/1708 O'Sullivan v. American Golf Corp.
25/2877 Valencia v. Sol Goldman Investments
25/4049 Gonzalez-Taveras v. City of NY
25/6311 Suazo v. 501 Madison-Sutton
25/2807 Caraballo v. Century Star Fuel
24/919 People v. Christopher Macias
25/337N Eastern Effects v. 3911 Lemmon

THURSDAY, MAY 7

2 P.M.

24/4053 People v. Joe Laubriel
25/1371 Pettinato v. EQR-Rivertower

25/7806 Wells Fargo Bank v. Papailias
25/1916 Ali v. K & H Steinway LLC
25/5802(3) Aleasco Preferred Funding v. ACP RE, Ltd.
25/3129 Gale v. Abramowitz
24/2013 People v. Eric Santiago
25/2175(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President
25/1333(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President
24/3019 Graves v. Sava Serbian Orthodox Church
23/3655 People v. Charles Johnson
23/1754 People v. Dakeem Greene
25/917 Krika v. Bronx-Lebanon Special Center
25/2120 G Builders v. Eden Ballroom
25/4801 Ramone v. Frey
25/6767 Chang v. 37 Plaza LLC
24/5358 People v. Jose Prado
25/2215(1) Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals
25/2216(1)N Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals
25/1792N Schnur v. Balestriere

TUESDAY, MAY 12

2 P.M.

25/297 People v. Perin Jacobchuk
25/6472 Reese v. City of NY
25/4975 Matter of Matthew Morenzi
25/7183 Anthony Partners LLC v. Mici
25/7961 SJJ Renewable Energy v. REV LNG LLC
24/1560 People v. Shakiem Brunson
25/4203 Sadov v. Radio City Productions
25/6373(3) Capitol Hill 505 v. Capital Hotel JV
25/4504 Lafferty v. Avila
23/2663 People v. Christopher Rivas
25/2584 Leonardo v. Aaron
25/3496 Samsung Electronics v. MPIC LA
25/3672 Cullinan v. New York University
25/6359 Bautista v. 1650 Corp
24/7745 DeRobertis v. City of NY
23/5117 People v. Eric Fullwood
25/2887(1) Royster v. City of NY
25/2703(1) Royster v. City of NY
25/612N Gomez v. Fremont

WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

2 P.M.

20/2150 People v. Julio Cuevas
24/6648(2) People of State of NY v. Leasing Expenses Co.
25/3538 Lewis v. TPCPRNC, LLC
25/687(1) Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital
25/3485(1) Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital
23/5817 People v. Kawan Hernandez
25/4139 Doren v. Alistar Security & Consulting
25/4372 Suozzo v. Charles Schwab & Co.
25/4416 Kay v. Banchik
24/4009 R., C., an Infant v. Jaffe
25/1843 CMB Export v. Motcomb Estates
25/2023 Hofstra University v. United Educators
25/4669 Garcia v. Rennon Construction Corp.
25/3123 Khatskevich v. Victor
25/2919(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert
25/2769(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert
25/1842 Dume v. City of NY
25/600N Lee v. Lyft, Inc.

THURSDAY, MAY 14

2 P.M.

2018 - 1549 People v. Rasheed Davis
25/3181 Villanueva v. J.T. Magen
25/2256 Brylski v. Simone Development
24/7693 Juarez v. Primework Construction
24/2529 People v. Jason Young
25/2283 Broadway PT 1710 v. Kingdom Associates
25/3156 213 West 23rd St. v. Crunch Holdings
25/5832 Cacciatore v. Tisch
25/3498 Perez v. Parkside Terrace
19/1160 People v. Anthony Stewart
24/2933 Kambia Chios Realty v. Utica First Insurance
20/1742 People v. Devon McNeill
25/2972 Sasson v. Bridger Consulting Group
25/1669 Vargas v. Trustees of Columbia University
25/6184 Certain Underwriters v. NU RIDE, Inc.
25/3968 Lugo v. Excel Conveyor
22/4810 People v. Luis Gonzalez
24/7246N TRB Acquisitions v. Yedid
24/5610N Siner v. Bedford-Webster LLC
25/2187(2)N Patterson, Belknap v. Marcus & Cinelli

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ.

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

10 A.M.

650685/2023 Innovative Securities v. OBEX Securities

New York County

SUPREME COURT

Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

Ex-Parte Motions Room 315, 9:30 A.M.
Special Term Proceedings Unsafe Buildings
Bellevue Psychiatric Center
Kirby Psychiatric Center
Metropolitan Hospital
Manhattan Psychiatric Center
Bellevue Hospital

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices,

NYS COURT OF APPEALS AND APPELLATE DIVISION DEPARTMENTS

Primary Election Appeals

The Clerks' Offices of the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division Departments release the following joint scheduling announcement:

The Appellate Division Departments have scheduled and reserved the following dates to consider appeals related to the June 23, 2026 primary elections:

First Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 (May 13 if necessary)

Second Department: Wednesday, May 13, 2026

Third Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

Fourth Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

The Court of Appeals has scheduled Tuesday, May 19, 2026 to consider appeals and motions for leave to appeal related to primary election matters.

All parties, election boards, and affected courts are expected to proceed expeditiously with all phases of election matters so that the requirements of these special sessions and the Election Law can be met. Please consult with the respective Clerks' Offices for details about meeting the timing requirements of each Court.

Because there is limited time available between the primary election sessions of the Appellate Division Departments and the Court of Appeals, parties who seek to have matters placed upon the Court of Appeals motions or appeals calendars must contact the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office for filing instructions promptly (usually immediately upon release of the Appellate Division decision).

FIRST DEPARTMENT

Appellate Term

Filing Dates for the June Term

The June 2026 Term of the Court will commence on June 1.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before April 29.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before May 7.

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions for 'Matter of Miller v. State of New York'

The Court has calendared the appeal in Matter of Miller v. State of New York (APL 2026-00033) for argument on May 20, 2026.

Appellants' letter brief is due by April 22, 2026. Respondents' letter briefs are due by May 6, 2026. Appellants' reply letter brief, if any, is due by May 13, 2026.

Letter requests to submit amicus curiae letter briefs must be filed and served by May 13, 2026. Interested amici should call the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705 for filing instructions.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Supreme Court

Rules For The Special Election Part

Proceedings brought by Orders to Show Cause to validate or to invalidate designating petitions for the Tuesday, June 23, 2026 Primary Election, required to be commenced on or before Monday, April 20, 2026, or within three (3) business days after a petition is invalidated by the Board of Elections in the City of New York, shall be initially returnable before the Honorable Matthew V. Grieco, on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise, at 10:00 a.m., in Part 30, Courtroom 623, located at 111 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007. The calendar call must be answered either by counsel or by the self-represented litigant(s) if not represented by an attorney, who must be ready for assignment on the return date. Non-lawyer "Representatives" of the parties are not permitted to answer the calendar. The parties must comply with the filing requirements of CPLR 30.4.

On the return date, all counsel and parties appearing self-represented shall advise either the Clerk of the Part, or the Special Referee assigned to the case, of their addresses and telephone numbers. Proof of Service of the Order to Show Cause, as well as any interposed Counterclaims or Answers, shall be filed either with the Clerk of the Part or the Special Referee (not the County Clerk), or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed) on that date.

Specifications of objections to a designating or a nominating petition previously served and filed, pursuant to the Rules of the Board of Elections, need not be filed with the Clerk of the Part, or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed).

Specifications of objections not previously served and filed with the Board of Elections shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file any such specifications of objections shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of such specifications shall be precluded.

In any proceeding by an aggrieved candidate to invalidate a designating or nominating petition, a bill

of particulars as to the specifications of objections shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file a bill of particulars shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of the specifications shall be precluded.

In any proceeding or matter alleging a question of residency of a candidate, a complete written offer of proof shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or the Special Referee on the return date or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed). The written offer of proof shall specify: [i] the reasons the petitioner believes the address where the candidate actually resides, or that the candidate does not reside at the address stated in the designating/nominating petition; and [ii] the names of any witnesses which the party intends to call to testify regarding the residency issue. Failure to serve and file this offer of proof shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of residency shall be precluded.

A complete written offer of proof, in all matters alleging a question of fraud, including a statement as to the number of witnesses expected to be called, the identification of each such witness (by name, address, volume, page and line) and the status of each such witness (e.g., candidate, signatory, subscribing witness, notary public, etc.), shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part, or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file such offer of proof shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of fraud shall be precluded.

Proceedings brought by Order to Show Cause to validate a designating or nominating petition, required to be commenced within three (3) business days of a determination of its invalidity by the Board of Elections, shall be returnable either on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise, at 10:00 a.m. in Part 30, Courtroom 623, located at 111 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007, or at such other time and place as required by the date on which the Board of Elections made its final determination.

In preparing their proposed Order to Show Cause, petitioners are directed to refrain from drafting proposed language directing the Board of Elections to produce in court, on the return date, all of the petition volumes, specifications of objections, and prima facie findings. Rather, petitioners are directed to draft proposed language calling for the Board of Elections to produce in court, on the return date, only the necessary documents. The balance of the Board of Elections documents can be produced at a later stage of the litigation.

The Appellate Division, First Department, has scheduled and reserved Tuesday, May 12, 2026, and if necessary, Wednesday, May 13, 2026, to consider appeals related to the Tuesday, June 23, 2026 primary election.

U.S DISTRICT COURT

Southern District

Appointment of New Magistrate Judge Application Deadline is May 1

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is accepting applications for a full-time Magistrate Judge position at New York, NY. The duties of the position are demanding and wide ranging and will include: (1) conduct of preliminary proceedings in criminal cases; (2) trial and disposition of misdemeanor cases; (3) conduct of various pretrial matters, including settlement proceedings, and evidentiary proceedings on delegation from the judges of the district court; (4) trial and disposition of civil cases upon consent of the litigants; (5) inquests and reports and recommendations on dispositive motions and evidentiary matters on reference from the judges of the district court; and (6) assignment of additional duties not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States.

The basic jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge is specified in 28 U.S.C., Section 636. To be qualified for appointment, an applicant must: (a) be a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands for at least five years; (b) have been engaged in the active practice of law for a period of at least five years (with some substitutions authorized); (c) be competent to perform all the duties of the office, of good moral character, emotionally stable and mature, committed to equal justice under the law, in good health, patient and courteous, and capable of deliberation and decisiveness; (d) be less than 70 years old; and (e) not be related to a judge of the district court.

A Merit Selection Panel composed of attorneys and other members of the community will review all applications and recommend in confidence to the judges of the district court five persons whom it considers best qualified. The Court will make the appointment(s) following an FBI and IRS investigation of the appointee(s). Each individual selected must comply with the financial disclosure requirements of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 and the Courthouse Ethics Act (CETA) of 2021. The Court seeks a wide range of highly qualified candidates. The current salary of the position is \$229,908 per annum (effective 1/1/2026).

Candidates should submit hard copies of the applications to the physical address below and email a copy to: Magistrateapplications@nysd.uscourts.gov.

Edward A. Friedland
District Court Executive
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 820
New York, NY 10007-1312

An original plus fifteen (15) copies of a cover letter, resume and application must be received by May 1, 2026.

Application forms are available on the Court's web site: www.nysd.uscourts.gov.

assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 252 (60 Centre)
2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)
3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)
4 Kim: 418 (60 Centre)
5 Marcus: 320 (80 Centre)
6 King: 351 (60 Centre)
7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre)
8 Kotler: 278 (80 Centre)
9 Capitli: 355 (60 Centre)
11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)
12 Stroth: 422 (60 Centre)
13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)
15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)
17 Headly: 122 (80 Centre)
18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)
19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)
20 Kaplan: 422 (60Centre)
21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)
22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)
23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)
25 Parker: 1254 (111 Centre)
26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)
27 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)
28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)
30 Grieco: 623 (111 Centre)
31 Waterman-Marshall: 335 (60 Centre)
32 Kahn: 1127 (111 Centre)
34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)
35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)
36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)
37 Cantanzaro: 1127A (111 Centre)

38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)
39 Clynes: 331 (60 Centre)
40 Adams: 252 (60 Centre)
41 Moyné: 327 (80 Centre)
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)
46 Lantry: 103 (71 Thomas)
47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)
49 Zellan: 307 (80 Centre)
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)
51 Wilkofsky: 289 (80 Centre)
52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)
53 Borock: 238 (60 Centre)
54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)
55 d'Auguste: 210 (71 Thomas)
56 Kelley: 204 (71 Thomas)
57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)
58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)
62 Chesler: 328 (80 Centre)
63 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)
65 Kingo: (80 Centre)

MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
MSPH: 1: 1127B (111 Centre)
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule:
13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante (60 Centre)
ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)
ESC 3 Sealy 122 (80 Centre)
ESC 4 Gelfand 114 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREES

60 Centre Street
73R Santiago: Room 354
75R Burzio: Room 240
80R Edelman: Room 562
82R Wohl: Room 501B
83R Sambuco: Room 528
84R Feinberg: Room 641
88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324
Edleman: D 562
Edleman: L 158
Norton: 342
Liu: 620
Lundberg:620
Riley: 240

SPECIAL REFEREE

80 Centre Street
Leveille: 322C

SPECIAL REFEREE

71 Thomas Street
Cabera: 300

SUPREME COURT Motion Calendars Room 130, 9:30 A.M. 60 Centre Street

SUPREME COURT Motion Dispositions from Room 130 60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130)
ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.
SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.
WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.
SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.
APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.
SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.
S—Stipulation.
C—Consent.
C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.
FINAL—Adjournment date is final

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part TUESDAY, APRIL 28

Submission
1 101497/25 Borrero v. NYC Dss
2 100186/26 Figueroa v. The NY Police Dept.
3 800003/26 Williams v. Wilkins
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

Submission
1 101235/23 Jones v. Sekhar Upadhyaya Mde
2 101394/25 McBride v. Citibank
3 101423/25 Morris v. Portilla
THURSDAY, APRIL 30

Submission
1 100273/24 Antrobus v. New York City Health And Hospitals Corporation
2 100852/25 Ashkins v. Santos
3

951430/21 Serra v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
85007/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. 1662 First Rlty.
153932/26 Sivan v. U.S. Bank
653055/23 Smith v. Magnolia Jewelers, Inc. Et Al
451393/25 Soltanian v. NYS Dept. of Financial Services
163112/25 Stanley A. Marks Revocable Trust v. Robert A. Siegel Auction Galleries, Inc. Et Al
159708/22 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. 108 Pharmacy, Inc. Et Al
650005/25 Stearns Bank v. Fitzconn Const./ren Corp. Et Al
653322/25 Sterling Select II Advisory v. The Nielsen Co. (us) LLC Et Al
15733/23 Tchorbadorov v. Franks 656521/23 The Bostany Law Firm Pllc v. Superstar Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
451348/22 The Comm'r. of The State Ins. Fund v. Dna Bldg. Systems Inc.
453275/22 Tico Investment Vehicle Viii v. Barievic
162327/14 Toktassynova v. Victor 161163/21 Torres v. 368 Third Ave. Owners LLC Et Al
651660/23 Urban v. Hudson Capital Group Ventures
152025/20 Vignola v. Jdm Washington St. LLC
651375/22 Vrahos LLC v. Rize Market
151571/22 Warren v. Townhouse Co. II
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
655370/25 1375 Owner LLC v. 1375 B'way. Gifts LLC Et Al
156250/2022 12 Jackson Owner LLC v. Vector Bldg. Corp.
153841/26/2nd Ave Hldgs. Estate LLC v. Ruthanne Vaisnauskas
651757/20 Broad Associates No. 3 LLC v. 40 Broad Commercial LLC
164688/25 85 East End Owner LLC v. Suchin
161654/25 Abdu v. NYC Et Al
150809/24 Alvarez v. Shge LLC Et Al
150352/20 Alvarez v. NYC Et Al
651528/25 Amenity Analytics, Inc. v. Magnifi
152251/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Aisha Dobbins Et Al
151154/24 Arzeno v. Kennedy Const. Services Et Al
450223/26 Atwell v. NYC Dept. of Housing Preservation And Dev.
655210/23 Baric Commons Rec v. Gross
653217/22 Beautyfly Medical Pllc Et Al v. Beauty Touch By Alla Et Al
159980/25 Bell Temple v. Seventh Ave. Mennonite Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
155061/22 Bennet v. Sblm Architects P.C.
654862/22 Best Work Hldgs. (new York) LLC v. Ma
652894/19 Bigfoot Ventures LLC v. Seevens
152050/22 Calderoni v. 260 Park Ave. South Condominium Et Al
160858/25 Caraway v. NYC Et Al
155949/23 Cardiner v. Plymouth Mgt. Group, Inc. Et Al
162298/25 Cavalry Spv I v. Trejo 160067/22 Chisolm v. Bacote
805014/18 Cindy Frey As Executor of v. Itzkowitz
190116/25 Corbeil v. 84 Lumber Co. Et Al
152496/20 Cruz Fuentes v. 65 Franklin LLC
655211/23 Daval 37 Associates v. City Outdoor, Inc. Et Al
950728/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950067/21 Farrell v. Robb
651144/26 Field v. Berkowitz
850003/16 Fym Millbrook LLC v. Weinberg
153358/26 Gibbs-Roberts v. NYC Et Al
653119/20 Gleissner v. Seevens
150311/22 Gloc v. Iroquois Hotel Hldgs. LLC Et Al
153761/22 Goldberg-Zoino Associates of NY v. Persian Congregation of Flatbush
161034/23 Gomez v. Et Al Us Hldg., Inc. Et Al
156843/24 Goodman v. Shvo
161699/25 Hearon v. Gll Bvk Columbus Circle
650695/26 High Line Const. Group LLC v. Armadillo Metalworks, Inc.
151331/23 Horio v. Townsell
190114/25 Hristoforatos v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/A/Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/A/R Bayer Crosppcience Inc Et Al
190044/25 Hurd v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/A/Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/A/R Bayer Crosppcience Inc Et Al
651284/26 Istar Capital Ltd. v. Tennor LLC Et Al
650166/25 Kane v. Northwell Health, Inc.
154346/26 Karandikar v. Smartdisk 152022/23 Leon Araujo v. Board of Mgrs. of The Kips Bay Towers Condominium Et Al
150644/26 Lli v. Mill Rock Owners Corp. Et Al
655773/25 Lightspeed Commerce USA Inc. v. Isla 2424 LLC Et Al
153663/25 Mallette v. Mark Propco 850116/25 Marissa M. Leighton v. Perkins
651288/26 Marra v. Grubman Shire Meiselas & Sacks
153871/26 Mayor v. NYC Police Dept. (icense Div.)
950023/19 Mc v. Archdiocese of NY
165905/25 Mejia Arevalo v. Ean Hldgs. LLC Et Al
161976/25 Metro. Impex Inc. v. Isaraphanich
153405/24 Midland Credit Mgt. Inc. v. Ndugu
651625/23 Mountain Valley Indemnity Co. v. McKenley
655310/23 Mt. Hawley Ins. Co. v. Wilshire Ins. Co. Et Al
154857/22 Muhammad v. Almonte 151379/22 Napoli v. 50 Hyme Owner
155397/20 Neal v. Extell Dev. Co.
651023/22 NYC Employees' Retirement System v. Pedraza
451263/23 NYCHA v. Baez
453241/25 NYCHA v. Burney
151392/16 Newman v. Mount Siani Medical
651749/26 Nucare Pharmacy Inc. v. Meddcare Corp.
651390/26 O'Malley v. Vintec Industries Inc.
155783/24 Otero v. Gautier
651264/25 Pamela Love Consulting LLC v. The Bathing Club LLC Et Al
651119/26 Parque Industrial Piedras Negras v. Park-Ohio Industries, Inc.
165023/25 Penrose NY Developer v. NYC Et Al
152635/25 Pfeiffer v. Cmsg Restaurant Group
158264/21 Ramirez v. NYC Et Al
650761/25 Romer Debbas Lp v. Ali 650007/23 SSS NYC LLC v. 46 East 63th St. LLC Et Al
104143/06 Sanchez v. NYC
651472/23 Saviano v. Rtx Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
650370/26 Sempio American Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
157709/24 Soto Jr. v. NYCTA Et Al
157345/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Accelerated Surgical Center of North Jersey
163673/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Jimenez
160719/23 Sucuzhanay v. Quality Bldg. Const. LLC Et Al
650204/26 T&T And P Land Corp. v. Sifontes
950021/19 Tc v. Archdiocese of NY
950022/19 Tc v. Archdiocese of NY
453294/25 NYC Et Al v. Board of Mgrs. of The 9112 175th St. Condominium Et Al
650385/26 The Merrin Gallery, Inc. v. Mitchell
150195/22 Thompson v. NYC Et Al

166480/25 Trade The News, Inc. v. Antuono
152282/25 Travelers Personal Ins. Co. v. Morris Blackman
653375/20 Tsal v. Lo
153029/22 Vanita Fine Art & Antiques, Inc. v. Falu LLC Et Al
451312/25 Wagner v. Alvin Bragg in His Official Capacity As Dist. Attorney of The County of Newyork
161969/25 Wang v. Bryant Park Tower Condominium Et Al
653516/25 Wesco Ins. Co. v. 415 Emmut Properties
154760/26 Yahav v. Dher Et Al
951159/21 York v. Catholic Guardians Et Al
951160/21 York v. Catholic Guardians Et Al
153031/26 Zurich American Ins. Co. v. NYC Et Al
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
655780/25 101-103 West 72nd St. LLC v. Chemenweno
850401/25 146 89 Borrower I LLC v. 146 E 89 Borrower I LLC Et Al
645456/21 30 Macombs Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. Elchert
160165/23 Seventh Ave. Fee Owner LLC v. Seven Bakery LLC Et Al
159687/24 427 West 51st St. Owners Corp. v. Yildiz
654072/22 5w Public Relations LLC v. Real Quick Capital LLC
650257/26 Advanced Disaster Recovery Inc. v. Gutierrez
150369/24 Agosto Jr v. Lchase Const. Services
161107/25 American Express Nat. Bank v. Epstein
651657/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. 5th Ave. Wellness Medicine Pc
651693/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Axion Med Inc.
651669/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Conrad F Cean Md
651689/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Cross Bay Orthopedics Surgery Pc
651692/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Eclipse Medical Imaging Pvc
651651/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Eg Pereira Medical Pc
651653/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fastamed Supply Inc.
651639/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc.
651640/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc.
651691/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc.
651642/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Glenmore Medical Pc
651664/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Haliou Md
651641/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Heal It Medical Supply Inc.
653806/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Juanoir Parkinson Et Al
651677/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Midwood Orthomed Supply Inc.
651648/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Neofitos Stefanides
651090/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. NY Medical & Diagnostic Cntr.
651650/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Roots Pharmacy Inc.
651754/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Star Medical Diagnostic
651755/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Star Medical Diagnostic
651688/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. United Pharmacy NYC Inc.
651682/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Wendell Joseph Gorum Md Pc
157360/25 Application of Enterprise Fm Trust v. NYC Et Al
157243/17 Ardizzone v. Summit Glory LLC
950036/19 Ark4 v. Archdiocese of NY
950272/20 Armaiz v. Archdiocese of NY
164957/25 Awadalla v. The Dept. of Education of NYC
154826/25 Bacon v. 765 Amsterdam Ave
850357/25 Bank of America California v. Moody
152193/23 Bantle & Levy Llp v. Robertson
656331/25 Becketts Hm-Aa v. Automotive Alliance
161417/23 Bell v. Alfa Int'l Corp. Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson
156103/22 Berger v. Bakerboy LLC D/b/a Supermoon Bakehouse Et Al
651257/26 Berk v. NYC Professional Millwork, Inc.
159171/25 Berkowitz v. Davenport Rlty.
153083/25 Bichoupan v. 132 East 61 Rlty. LLC
850402/24 Board of Mgrs. of 108 Leonard Condominium on Behalf of The Unit Owners of 108 Leonard Condominium v. Figlia
153515/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Avery Condominium v. Jashesh Kamdar Revocable Trust Et Al
154230/21 Borough Const. Group LLC v. Citigrant Funding Corp.
151705/26 Bowden v. NYS Office of Court Admin.
100149/26 Boyarsky v. Chittenden House, Inc.
158613/25 Braun v. D & J Service, Inc. Et Al
158645/24 Brodsky v. Global Home Care, Inc. Et Al
451019/26 Buchanan v. Biotronik, Inc. Et Al
151241/20 Bueno v. 212 W 95 Owner LLC
155727/19 Burgin v. 25-16 37 Ave Owners
158044/25 Butterworth v. 60 E. 54th St. Restaurant LLC D/b/a Monkey Bar Et Al
150245/26 C. A. v. Success Academy Charter School-Harlem East Middle School Et Al
161371/23 Cartagena v. NYCTA Et Al
157196/25 Cashium Capital v. Alliance Reentry Centers Inc D/b/a Alliance Reentry Centers Et Al
165805/25 Castillo v. Werner Enterprises Inc Et Al
163747/25 Chantel v. NYC Et Al
650220/26 Chung v. Coinbase, Inc. Et Al
161196/25 Cook v. Pgrfi 1633 B'way. Tower
158405/20 Coppola v. Hosp. For Special Surgery
659229/25 Cw Investment Advisers v. The Continuum Co.
950753/20 D. v. Archdiocese of NY
650318/26 Darden Restaurants, Inc. Et Al v. Revic Cleaning Services Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
151862/26 Dau v. 16 Sutton Pl. Apt. Corp.
651476/25 Davidoff Hatcher & Smith Llp v. Masson
153155/24 De La Cruz v. Hippodrome Land Co. LLC Et Al
151404/25 Del Valle Martinez v. Cavan Builders Corp. Et Al
805100/22 Delucia v. Tang
160282/25 Diallyo v. Mark Twain Owners' Corp. Et Al
805239/21 Diane Agnello v. Faries
654233/24 Diane D'Angelo v. Kwoka
161224/20 Diaz v. NYC
451232/26 Diaz v. NYCTA Et Al
950212/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
157736/21 Ellis v. The Ready One LLC Et Al
659784/24 Et Jv Hldgs. v. Thb-Asl Bsa Member LLC Et Al
655414/25 Fcp Lender LLC v. Park Residence Townhome Condo Hldg. Co.
190037/26 Fink v. Bayer Consumer Care Hldgs., LLC, F/b/a Msd Consumer Care, Inc. Et Al

Court Calendars

159479/19 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration of
651268/26 Freedom Mortgage Corp. v. Sturman
650355/25 Funding Club LLC v. Clarities Inc. Et Al
651199/26 Gaines v. Goldstein
654771/20 Gc Eng Engineering v. Goshaw Architects
805284/15 Genieve Gayle Thomas v. Brian Kim
659560/25 Gfl Rlty. Services LLC v. Gilman Mgt. Corp. Et Al
453182/25 Gland v. NYC Et Al
160550/23 Goldstein v. Century Road Club Assoc. A/Va Crca Et Al
654784/23 Grelag Goose Leasing 1410 Designated Activity Co. Et Al v. Chubb European Group Se Et Al
162636/25 Grullon v. NYC Et Al
152177/23 Guillas Jima v. 1571-1573 Third Ave. LLC Et Al
157476/24 Hamill v. Dickson
154966/25 Hancock v. Con Ed, Inc.
155910/25 Hassan General Contracting Corp. v. 224 - 30 Eighth Ave LLC Et Al
161645/25 Hilliard v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al
150146/26 Hk Prop. Dev. LLC Et Al v. Law Office of David E. Mollon Et Al
150708/24 Hoffman v. NYC Et Al
151305/24 Holguin v. Zhu
152351/26 Hornbill Inc. v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al
656321/25 Housatonic Equity Investors Vii v. Feuer
150158/21 Ikem-Murphy v. NYCTA
651567/26 Inbar v. Shoifman
160954/23 Jimenez v. McK Contracting Inc. Et Al
151118/26 Joo v. Green Forest Foot And Body Works Inc.
659255/24 Jpmorgn Chase & Co. Et Al v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. Et Al
156054/24 Konate v. NYC Et Al
653965/25 Lepatner & Associates Llp v. Komtek Technologies
950400/20 M.F. v. NYC
154388/26 Maddali v. Seraphim Hldgs. LLC Et Al
652563/24 Maldonado v. Nike Retail Services, Inc.
161102/21 Manfroni v. 790 7th Ave. Park Corp. Et Al
653351/15 Marcal Finance Sa v. Sutton
152349/24 Marzella v. One B'way. Owner
166625/25 Matthews v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al
163674/25 Maxwell's, Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
805293/23 McCallion v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.
153644/26 McGurren v. Lawyers' Fund For Civil Protection
657483/17 Medallion Bank v. Kinky Cab Corp.
656114/23 Midlaw Contracting Corp. v. 964 Acquisition Group LLC Et Al
165430/25 Milstein v. Grossman
651295/19 Mintz & Gold Llp v. Assa Properties, Inc.
805384/23 Mirza v. Gulati M.D.
160684/22 Montrose v. 23rd And 11th Associates
155075/21 Morel v. NYC Et Al
158824/24 Muhammad v. Alto
157360/25 Application of Enterprise Fm Trust v. NYC Et Al
157243/17 Ardizzone v. Summit Glory LLC
950036/19 Ark4 v. Archdiocese of NY
950272/20 Armaiz v. Archdiocese of NY
164957/25 Awadalla v. The Dept. of Education of NYC
154826/25 Bacon v. 765 Amsterdam Ave
850357/25 Bank of America California v. Moody
152193/23 Bantle & Levy Llp v. Robertson
656331/25 Becketts Hm-Aa v. Automotive Alliance
161417/23 Bell v. Alfa Int'l Corp. Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson
156103/22 Berger v. Bakerboy LLC D/b/a Supermoon Bakehouse Et Al
651257/26 Berk v. NYC Professional Millwork, Inc.
159171/25 Berkowitz v. Davenport Rlty.
153083/25 Bichoupan v. 132 East 61 Rlty. LLC
850402/24 Board of Mgrs. of 108 Leonard Condominium on Behalf of The Unit Owners of 108 Leonard Condominium v. Figlia
153515/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Avery Condominium v. Jashesh Kamdar Revocable Trust Et Al
154230/21 Borough Const. Group LLC v. Citigrant Funding Corp.
151705/26 Bowden v. NYS Office of Court Admin.
100149/26 Boyarsky v. Chittenden House, Inc.
158613/25 Braun v. D & J Service, Inc. Et Al
158645/24 Brodsky v. Global Home Care, Inc. Et Al
451019/26 Buchanan v. Biotronik, Inc. Et Al
151241/20 Bueno v. 212 W 95 Owner LLC
155727/19 Burgin v. 25-16 37 Ave Owners
158044/25 Butterworth v. 60 E. 54th St. Restaurant LLC D/b/a Monkey Bar Et Al
150245/26 C. A. v. Success Academy Charter School-Harlem East Middle School Et Al
161371/23 Cartagena v. NYCTA Et Al
157196/25 Cashium Capital v. Alliance Reentry Centers Inc D/b/a Alliance Reentry Centers Et Al
165805/25 Castillo v. Werner Enterprises Inc Et Al
163747/25 Chantel v. NYC Et Al
650220/26 Chung v. Coinbase, Inc. Et Al
161196/25 Cook v. Pgrfi 1633 B'way. Tower
158405/20 Coppola v. Hosp. For Special Surgery
659229/25 Cw Investment Advisers v. The Continuum Co.
950753/20 D. v. Archdiocese of NY
650318/26 Darden Restaurants, Inc. Et Al v. Revic Cleaning Services Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
151862/26 Dau v. 16 Sutton Pl. Apt. Corp.
651476/25 Davidoff Hatcher & Smith Llp v. Masson
153155/24 De La Cruz v. Hippodrome Land Co. LLC Et Al
151404/25 Del Valle Martinez v. Cavan Builders Corp. Et Al
805100/22 Delucia v. Tang
160282/25 Diallyo v. Mark Twain Owners' Corp. Et Al
805239/21 Diane Agnello v. Faries
654233/24 Diane D'Angelo v. Kwoka
161224/20 Diaz v. NYC
451232/26 Diaz v. NYCTA Et Al
950212/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
157736/21 Ellis v. The Ready One LLC Et Al
659784/24 Et Jv Hldgs. v. Thb-Asl Bsa Member LLC Et Al
655414/25 Fcp Lender LLC v. Park Residence Townhome Condo Hldg. Co.
190037/26 Fink v. Bayer Consumer Care Hldgs., LLC, F/b/a Msd Consumer Care, Inc. Et Al

165932/25 Weinman v. NYC Et Al
850207/21 West 45th Retail LLC v. Alandalous Properties Corp. F/b/a Peoples Foreign Exch. Corp. Et Al
154642/22 West v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
160177/24 Williams v. 2 Rector St. (NY)
850051/23 Wilmington Trust v. Ekkeco Newco LLC Et Al
650080/25 Wilmington Trust v. Walsam New v. NYC
153372/26 Winprovit-Soluces Intelligentes v. Servienouv, Inc. Et Al
150761/26 Wong & Lji Associates Inc. v. The State of NY Div. of Housing Communcation Renewal
E-Filing Submission Part
Adjourned for Working Copies Part
Part 1
Justice Adam Silvera
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300
TUESDAY, APRIL 28
952160/23 Doe v. NYC Et Al
952135/23 Hines v. Gorovoy
156100/22 Jose Macanacela And Karolina Atamirano v. The First Presbyterian Church of Jamaica Et Al
952101/23 Sherman v. St. Christopher's Inn, Inc. Et Al
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
151181/23 Bailey v. McGlynn, Adams & Co., Inc. Et Al
952335/23 Beach-Mathura v. Hays 152175/18 Cox-Joseph v. NYC
152313/22 Davidson v. NYC Et Al
952389/23 Doe v. NYC Et Al
154911/22 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
154528/21 Martinez v. Udg Mgt. LLC D/b/a United Dental Group v. NYC Et Al
156040/21 Quezada v. NYC Et Al
155716/23 Rodriguez v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
151301/26 Silva De Deus v. Darren K. Indyke And Richard D. Kahn
158608/23 Wade v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
161874/19 Washington v. NYC Et Al
158227/22 Wayne v. NYC Et Al
Part 2
Justice Lori S. Sattler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3852
Room 212
TUESDAY, APRIL 28
650458/23 14 Gay Street v. A & Nyla Const. Corp. Et Al
650458/23 14 Gay St. v. A & Nyla Const. Corp. Et Al
652395/23 689 Second Ave. LLC v. Vanessa Rlty. Corp. Et Al
650082/24 706 Rlty. Corp. v. Amsterdam Finest Taste And Organic Deli And Grill Corp. Et Al
152551/22 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. A/s/o Elliot Cuker And Noeline Cuker v. Riverbank Apt. Corp. Et Al
655230/19 Certain Interested v. Maga Contracting Corp.
650082/22 Cfg Merchant Solutions LLC v. Cec Steel LLC Et Al
159941/24 Chubb Nat. Ins. Co. As Subrogee of John And Nan Breglio v. Quality Bldg. Const. LLC
652832/25 City Souvenirs on Fashion Ave. Inc. v. Texwood Investment, Inc.
653316/22 First Mercury Ins. Co. v. Crc Associates Inc. Et Al
152338/22 Gallagher v. Mayflower 21 LLC Et Al
653232/24 In v. Cho
159084/22 Inm Zv v. Schwartz
150171/23 Joseph Calagone LLC v. Al. Clinton Housing West 40th Partners
653281/16 Lituma Manuel v. Tycoon Const.
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
651979/23 Modopayments v. Volvo Financial Services LLC
151873/20 Oguz Akin v. 389 East 89th St. Condominium Et Al
656457/22 Sciaime Const. v. Tri-C Mechanical Inc D/b/a Tri-C Mechanical Inc D/b/a Tri-C Mechanical Inc Et Al
656275/18 Scottsdale Ins. Co. v. Casino Dev. Group, Inc.
151987/24 Taveras v. Taveras
650731/25 Psalms Creative v. Safra Nat. Bank Et Al
150681/26 Public Administrator of The County of NY v. Griffin
156175/24 Rauda v. 2 Manhattan West Condominium Et Al
156868/22 Rivera v. NY Univ. Et Al
190212/24 Rodriguez v. 3m Co. Et Al
153657/26 Rolnick Kramer Sadighi Llp v. Emanuel
153338/23 Romero v. Lawrence Exterior Restoration Corp. Et Al
159218/23 Rooney v. Castle Village Owners Corp. Et Al
162737/25 Sargent v. The Cincinnati Ins. Co.
652479/25 Scottsdale Ins. Co. v. Cf East 72 Owner LLC Et Al
653510/24 Shahfar v. Franchey
850352/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Residential Industries II LLC Et Al
651674/25 Sig Rers C Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. Mj Group Hldgs. LLC Et Al
850294/24 Silverman v. 215 West 138th St. LLC Et Al
653622/19 Smith v. Def Method, Inc.
154883/22 Spiegel v. Reyes
161016/20 Stain Cajamarca v. R & R Scaffolding
162200/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic
164406/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Max
451155/23 State of NY v. Pimentel
651320/26 Straquaanto v. Perennial Planning Solutions Corp.
152429/26 Surveillance Tech. Oversight Project v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
152784/26 Sydney Sol Group Ltd. v. NYC Loft Board Et Al
159795/23 Tacetusa v. Catanzaro
153709/21 Tatesaca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
153723/23 Tetat v. Lrc Const. LLC Et Al
452564/25 NYC Et Al v. Board of Mgrs. of The Kay-Eli Gardens Condominium Et Al
650739/26 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Tzimas
151326/25 The Weinstein Law Firm Pllc v.
152977/25 Titan Asset Purchasing v. Triton Atlantic Consulting Corp. Et Al
850082/25 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Eisenpresser
850084/25 Unity Bank v. Fraternidad Rlty. Corp. Et Al
154241/19 Valle v. Board of Mgrs. of 20-30
654051/20 Verizon NY Inc. v. De Boulevard
805144/22 Vogli v. Berman M.D.
656731/25 Waivecar, Inc. Et Al v. Reef Global LLC Et Al
159906/26 Warner v. Equitable Advisors LLC Et Al
659113/25 Weingarten Financial Funding v. Villarroel

452914/23 Lucky Machine Wash Corp. v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
452913/23 Lucky Machine Wash Corp. v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
240011/24 Ot Real Estate Owner LLC (successor in Interest To Olympic Tower LLC) v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
250727/22 Partita Partners LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
655632/17 Poke King Corner, Inc. v. 578 Ninth Ave. Associates
451069/26 Rahama 26 LLC v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
258595/19 Shefa Land Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250033/19 Tamgold Garage Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256856/22 Tawil Wooster LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
253914/20 Three Park Ave. Bldg. Co. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256868/21 Village East Commercial LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
Part 3
Justice Joel M. Cohen
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3287
Room 208
TUESDAY, APRIL 28
655033/25 Art Lending, Inc. v. Gary Tatintian Gallery, Inc. Et Al
655176/25 Backbone Mountain Windpower LLC Et Al v. Nextera Energy Operating Services
652481/23 Barons Media v. Shapiro Legal Group
654812/24 Ffs Data Corp. v. The Olb Group, Inc.
659550/25 Goanna Capital Private Tech. II Lp v. Blair
655876/24 Nat. Partners Pfcio v. Hub Int'l Ins. Services Inc.
156356/24 Nec Corp. of America v. Versatel
161467/23 Silverman v. Rosen Equities LLC Et Al
653283/25 Weinberg v. Meridian Capital Group
Motion
655176/25 Backbone Mountain Windpower LLC Et Al v. Nextera Energy Operating Services
652481/23 Barons Media v. Shapiro Legal Group
655876/24 Nat. Partners Pfcio v. Hub Int'l Ins. Services Inc.
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
651070/22 Pursuit Special Credit Opportunity Fund v. Krunchcash 650244/24 Sanel Huskanovic, Individually And As Shareholder of Sp & Big Apple Inc. v. Armenti
Motion
651070/22 Pursuit Special Credit Opportunity Fund v. Krunchcash 650244/24 Sanel Huskanovic, Individually And As Shareholder of Sp & Big Apple Inc. v. Armenti
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
654237/20 Goldman v. Nyc Strand 654784/23 Grelag Goose Leasing 1410 Designated Activity Co. Et Al v. Chubb European Group Se Et Al
160536/21 Highpoint Associates V. Jeanie Dry Cleaners Inc. Et Al
654695/24 Jpmorgn Chase & Co. Et Al v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. Et Al
652563/24 Maldonado v. Nike Retail Services, Inc.
65458/25 Nussbaum v. Nussbaum 650967/19 Providence Const. Corp. v. Silverite Const.
152687/14 Samuel v. 391 B'way. 151022/21 Senger v. Seritage Sr Finance LLC
153599/22 Tribeca Green LLC v. Dispirito
Motion
654458/25 Nussbaum v. Nussbaum
Part 6
Justice Kathy J. King
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3312
Room 351
TUESDAY, APRIL 28
655259/25 200 Chambers LLC v. Your Vet 200 Chambers St. LLC Et Al
651993/24 Enverus, Inc. v. V3 Commodities Group
659140/25 Itria Ventures LLC v. Superb Staffing LLC Et Al
653242/25 Itria Ventures LLC v. Warren H. Phillips III
656242/25 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. De Jesus
166425/25 Lvmv Funding LLC v. Ricketts
153932/25 Regions Bank As Successor By Merger To Enerbank USA v. Dent
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
164668/25 85 East End Owner LLC v. Suchin
155061/22 Bennet v. Sblm Architects Pc
152298/25 Cavalry Spv I v. Trejo
805014/18 Cindy Frey As Executor of v. Itzkowitz
157345/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Accelerated Surgical Center of North Jersey
163673/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Jimenez
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
805362/23 Alkhamiri v. Jacono Md 85029/25 C. v. Nwachukwu M.D.
805331/23 Castillo v. Kopinsky
805092/25 Chopitea v. Cammissa Md
805254/22 D'Ambr v. Amsterdam Nursing Home
452867/22 Glover v. Delacure M.D.
805150/25 Gutierrez v. Liu
805201/24 Habib v. Brandon J. Godbout
805283/24 Haroutunian v. Jc Endodontics Et Al
805021/25 Isom v. Frempong-Boadu M.D.
805082/22 James Lee v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Northwell Health Et Al
451334/19 Jordan v. Isabella Geriatric Center
805417/19 Kang v. Zatorski
805171/24 Kielbowska v. Mahjoubi Dmd
805045/22 Laitmon v. Amsterdam Nursing Home Corp. (1992) Et Al
805342/20 Ledbetter v. Macaulay M.D.
805063/24 Lesse v. Mount Sinai Hosps. Group, Inc. Et Al
85293/23 McCallion v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.
805006/24 McLean-Brown v. Nguyen Dd
805238/24 McMullen v. Porder Md
805421/20 Meade v. Rosenblum M.D.
805226/19 Medaiviva v. Lenox Hill Hosp.
150220/26 Mullan v. Dann
805114/24 Perez v. Opoku-Anane M.D.
805206/22 Prina v. Hosp. For Special Surgery Et Al
805325/22 Pritchard v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al
805282/24 Rizos v. Hetzler M.D.
805173/18 Santos v. Mount Sinai Hosps. Group
805037/23 Schliowitz v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al
805124/22 Seltz v. Vascular Surgical Associates Pllc Et Al
805026/23 Sloan v. Kielbasa
805143/24 Sosa v. Cantillo Md
805238/20 Stefania Kaufman v. Nishimura Md

800004/24 Vizzielli v. NY Univ. College of Denistry
159988/23 Yim v. Maestre D.D.S.
Part 7
Justice Gerald Lebovits
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3746
Courtroom 345
TUESDAY, APRIL 28
652169/25 104 Second Realty v. Vo 161957/25 979 Second Ave. LLC v. Chao
162499/25 Ayinde v. Coronet Cyber Security Et Al
452230/25 Barbosa v. Kingsbridge Associates Et Al
850136/14 Emigrant Bank v. Rosabianca
158525/24 Faicco v. Dunkin' Donuts Et Al
158068/23 Kasowitz Benson Torres Lp v. Goldner
64536/25 Kddi America, Inc. v. Mitsui Sumitomo Ins. USA Inc. Et Al
158688/25 Lewis v. NYCTA (NYCTA) Et Al
654796/23 Owens v. New Empire Corp. Et Al
450607/26 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Gjakmani
Motion
652169/25 104 Second Realty v. Vo 162499/25 Ayinde v. Coronet Cyber Security, Inc. D/b/a Coro Cyber Security Et Al
452230/25 Barbosa v. Kingsbridge Associates Et Al
64536/25 Kddi America, Inc. v. Mitsui Sumitomo Ins. USA Inc. Et Al
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
652228/23 660 Columbus Retail Owner LLC Et Al v. Board of Managers of The 100 West 93 Condominium
155034/25 Campbell v. 2 B'way. LLC Et Al
651518/24 Denmark v. Roller Rabbit LLC
161872/24 East v. East
154047/23 Gre

Part 20
ADR
Justice Deborah A. Kaplan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3300
Courtroom 422

Part 24
Matrimonial Part
Justice Michael L. Katz
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3285
Courtroom 325

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
321398/25Broadwater v. Hill
365560/23Buckley v. Beck

Motion
321398/25Broadwater v. Hill
365560/23Buckley v. Beck

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
365390/20Vick-Baptiste v. Baptiste

Part 26

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 438

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

322821/21 Foster v. Foster
308115/13 Rush v. Quarles-Rush

Part 28

Justice Aija Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

321616/23 Mani v. Sutherland
365458/24 Zabloney v. Solum

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

365306/24 Fata v. Duncan III
310821/17 Mosquera v. Mosquera
365361/25 Schottenstein v. Schottenstein
365126/24 Steinmann v. Steinmann
365136/24 Weinstein v. Farhadian Weinstein

Motion
365306/24 Fata v. Duncan III
310821/17 Mosquera v. Mosquera
365361/25 Schottenstein v. Schottenstein
365136/24 Weinstein v. Farhadian Weinstein

Part 30V

Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

Motion

154475/26 Bldg 44 Li LLC v. Dullej
651667/26 Cullen v. Harper
154543/26 Fed. Express Corp. v. The New School Et
154287/23 Imbesi v. McNelly Enterprises, Inc. Et Al
155303/23 K. v. NYC Elite Gymnastics, Inc. Et Al
650369/24 Surrey v. Surrey
156821/23 Walsh v. Mob Garden City

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

Motion

154820/26 Jacobson v. Bergdale

Part 33

Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

Part 34

Justice Dakota D. Ramsaur
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4370
Room 341

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

151383/22 Almeida v. Foundations Group I Inc. Et Al
152534/23 Arias v. 2308 Associates LLC
161104/20 Ben-Dor v. Alchemy Consultant LLC Et Al
153151/22 Burke v. Goldman Sachs Group Lp Et Al
150869/23 Santos Chilinguina v. Galicia Contracting And Restoration Corp. Et Al
158448/25 Catanesse v. 2733 Fldh963 LLC
150025/23 Cruz v. 78-86 Thayer St. Corp.
158401/22 Finan v. 3569 Associates
151719/20 Gelwan v. Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Et Al
152222/22 Gorman v. Lendlease (us) Const. Hldgs. Inc. Et Al
152185/23 Hidalgo v. Titan Const. Services Inc. Et Al
154674/26 Inovalon Provider, Inc., F/a/a Ability Network Inc. v. Metro. Commercial Bank Et Al
100399/23 Karatas v. Smart Merchants, Inc.
156312/22 Kramer v. Penske Truck Leasing, Co. Et Al
160510/22 Lantz v. Regeneron Healthcare Solutions, Inc. Et Al
154101/23 Lasso Mejia v. Real Builders Inc Et Al
153209/23 Lebeau v. Cic Health
158845/22 Luperon De Jesus v. Intercontinental St. Nicholas Associates
153709/21 Teneasca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
152734/22 Machado v. 575 Lex Prop. Owner
657505/19 Metro. Builders of NY v. Recc West 125th St. LLC
157038/21 Money v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
157862/23 Montalvo v. NYCHA
152868/22 Mosley v. Upaca Site 7 Associates
157671/23 Molayne v. Specialty Food Assoc., Inc. Et Al
150637/23 Murphy v. The Long Island RR. Co.
655749/23 Neel v. NY Univ. Et Al
161139/22 Perdomo v. 361 East Rity. Associates LLC Et Al
100887/22 S. v. Sun River Health / Brightpoint
154059/22 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Stukes
161918/23 Taylor v. The NYCHA
157333/23 Tchorbadiev v. Franks
161163/21 Torres v. 368 Third Ave. Owners LLC Et Al
151705/22 Vasquez Guerrero v. Amsterdam Ave. Redero Associates LLC Et Al
100610/23 Watkins v. Nordstroms Store And Staff
161154/21 West v. NYC Et Al
160905/22 Yang v. Herman Law Et Al
154171/25 Zane v. Seward Park Housing Corp. Et Al
653569/20 Zimmerman v. 410-57 Corp.

Motion

154674/26 Inovalon Provider, Inc., F/a/a Ability Network Inc. v. Metro. Commercial Bank Et Al
153209/23 Lebeau v. Cic Health
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
651144/26 Field v. Berkowitz
161034/23 Gomez v. El Ad Us Hldg., Inc. Et Al
152282/25 Travelers Personal Ins. Co. v. Morris Blackman
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
651641/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Heal It Medical Supply Inc
659560/25 Gb Rity. Services LLC v. Gilman Mgt. Corp. Et Al
100379/26 Nguyen v. Vu
156117/21 Noel v. 336 E 95th Rity. LLC
156868/22 Rivera v. NY Univ. Et Al
162200/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic
153900/26 Warner v. Equitable Advisors LLC Et Al

Part 37
IAS Part
Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
650005/25 Stearns Bank v. Fitzcon Const./ren Corp. Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

Motion

161010/22 Torres v. Church of St Teresa

Part 39

Justice James G. Clynes
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3619

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

151714/14 Minarik v. 255 Cabrini Condominium
152731/24 S.C. v. NYCHA
651375/22 Vrahos LLC v. Rize Market
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
160198/24 Alston v. Anastasia Peskun Living Trust Dated December 7
159652/23 Arize v. Archstone Builders LLC Et Al
152913/25 Arzanipour v. Susghi Gama Corp. Et Al
155801/23 Baez v. 2 Ellwood St.
156472/24 Barrett v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al
154655/21 Blanco Caal v. Mott Center LLC Et Al
158265/19 Burka v. Camirand
152335/25 Caba v. NYCHA
15050/22 Calderoni v. 260 Park Ave. South Condominium Et Al
651417/24 Dabir Singh & Associates v. Kharb
155429/23 Edelstein v. Edelstein
153446/25 Edmonds v. Dogtown Et Al
154588/25 Garcia v. NYC Et Al
153358/26 Gibbs-Roberts v. NYC Et Al
153582/25 Gomez v. Hronis Associates Inc.
159840/24 Granda v. Westbuilt Const. Mgrs. LLC Et Al
160270/24 Greenblatt v. Artnet Worldwide Corp.
159885/24 Heller v. Baho Slomine
101335/24 Hohri v. Ipc Resiliency Partners
155036/25 In The Matter of The Application of Sacco & Fillas v. Hill & Moir
156889/25 Jimenez v. Michalos
160232/25 Jukic v. Bop Ne LLC Et Al
157752/25 Kouressi v. Keraudy
155225/22 Lawrence v. Kelly Harridge Designs
155458/21 Lopez v. Henry Phipps Plaza South
156402/25 Mendoza v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
162108/25 O'Donnell Iv. Shah
154282/25 Perez v. Abm Owner
155962/22 Perez v. NYCHA
655185/24 Pestana Cr7 Manhattan
39 v. Roomza Inc.
157773/25 Reyes v. NYCHA
154301/24 Rubenstein v. Ip Mortgage Borrower
161115/25 Saintclair v. 2 Tudor City Pl. Tenants Corp. Et Al
161653/24 Suriel v. NYCTA D/b/a Mta - NYCTA Et Al
161130/24 The Hartford Accident And Indemnity Co. v. Av 8th Ave LLC Et Al
160737/21 Valencia v. NYCTA
156409/25 Vines v. Aron Security Inc. Et Al
150144/24 Watson v. Hamilton Heights Cluster Associates

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

162373/25 Seventh Ave. Fee Owner LLC v. Seven Bakery LLC Et Al
651669/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Conrad F Ceam Md
161653/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fastamed Supply Inc.
158044/25 Butterworth v. 60 E. 54th St. Restaurant LLC D/b/a Monkey Bar Et Al
159442/25 Capital One v. Pantazoos
157196/25 Cashium Capital v. Alliance Reentry Centers Inc D/b/a Alliance Reentry Centers Et Al
654010/24 Davidoff Hutcher & Citron Lp v. Galvano Rosenfeld
155305/22 Gilbert v. Pristine Bldg. LLC Et Al
153514/26 Hyperscale Data, Inc. v. Webull Financial LLC Et Al
155276/24 Kavasutra 6th St. Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Health Et Al
154559/25 Khan v. Bizma Service Center, Inc. Et Al
651849/26 Leon I. Behar v. Maanshan Iron & Steel LLC Et Al
659311/25 NYCHA v. Axis Ins. Co.
151683/26 Oliveira v. Brigman
156019/22 Perez v. 87 Rity. NY LLC
155809/21 Ramprashad v. 301-5 Seventh Ave. Corp. Et Al
157306/20 Regan v. Streamline USA
112090/08 Reyes v. Battle
651035/26 Rosin v. Grasso
152991/25 Scott v. Jamies' Pl.
161016/20 Stalin Cajamarca v. R & R Scaffolding
153709/21 Teneasca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
650739/26 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Tzimas
151326/25 The Weinstein Law Firm Pllc v.
159627/16 Wang v. Chen
659113/25 Weingarten Financial Funding v. Villarrael
651825/23 Wjvp 2018-3 v. Bomesl San Diego Equities LLC Et Al

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

655939/25 233 West 125th St. Danforth LLC v. NYC Dept. of Finance Et Al
155143/18 Ellington Owners Corp. v. 200 Bradhurst Developers LLC
650465/22 Lam Group Et Al v. Anthony T. Rinaldi LLC D/b/a The Rinaldi Group Et Al
652367/12 Sfr Hldgs. Ltd. v. Rice
163112/25 Stanley A. Roberts Revocable Trust v. Mark A. Siegel Auction Galleries, Inc. Et Al
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
650370/26 Somo America Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson
655350/17 Elhanani v. Kuzinev
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
650633/25 Greer v. Fam Ventures
650370/26 Somo America Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
655665/25 Wilcos v. Dimartini

Motion

154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
Part 40TR
Judicial Mediation
Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

651775/24 120 Main Hotel LLC v. Somo America Ins. Co.
650723/24 American Pile & Foundation LLC v. Urban Foundation And Engineering
156265/22 Ansbrov. Dever Properties LLC Et Al
159633/23 Bankers Healthcare Group v. Khajehouri
160348/19 Beshara v. Tirone
659628/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Mercergreene Condominium v. Tower Cleaning Pgs, Inc. D/b/a Tower Water Mgt. Inc.
162265/15 Brown v. NYCTA
160304/17 Cabral v. NYC
650272/24 Carmel Partners, Inc. Et Al v. Greenpoint-Goldman Sm LLC
453196/17 Carvajal Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev Corp.
150773/23 Catalano v. NYC Et Al
653527/25 Cohen v. 510 West 123rd St. Owners Corp.
650759/26 Consol. Electric Meter Co. Inc. v. American & Europe Co. Inc.
153125/22 Cuello v. Vargasara
652636/21 Eagle Group LLC v. Bottom Line Const. & Dev. LLC
100087/23 Evans v. Sharpe
651025/24 Fei v. Mercedes-Benz USA
650368/26 Fincocast Capital v. Huuso
163264/25 Flexi-Van Leasing v. Mts Trucking Services
653969/24 Fowler Route Co., Inc. v. Esplanade Gardens, Inc.
161115/23 Galvez Prudente v. Rosa
162277/15 Grullon v. Lisa Mgt., Inc.
153783/23 Guardiola v. 815 West 180th Group LLC
653255/25 Hillside 2618 LLC v. Briarwood Ins. Services Inc. Et Al
651309/21 Hudson 38 Hldgs. LLC v. NY Medical Practice
655419/21 Hudson Valley Window Cleaning, Inc. v. Rotron Inc.
D/b/a Ametek Rotron
152894/24 J. M & A Const. Corp. v. Unitarian Church of All Souls
159023/20 L. v. Samar Hosp.ity
650878/24 Lesniak v. Whitestone Const. Corp.
150208/23 Long Island Care Center v. Renhof
651453/24 Madison Jackson Corp. v. Kimmel
155923/19 Maxwell v. Metro. Transportation
151361/23 Mediadar Inc. v. Joy-Cpw
158520/13 Mike Domogioni v. Korpenn LLC
158373/17 Minaya v. Sierra
161187/21 Morgan v. Sweetgreen
156018/23 Mousiades v. NY Prop. Ins. Underwriting Assoc.
155739/24 Nouveau Elevator Industries v. Cohen Brothers Rity. Corp. Et Al
650544/24 Open Squash, Inc. v. Courtch USA Partners
450232/24 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Aracon Enterprises LLC Et Al
152885/20 Puca v. Moynihan Station Dev. Corp. Et Al
151621/24 Rehob v. 173-74 Owners Corp. Et Al
151649/22 Rivera-Colome v. Hernandez-Restrepo
162317/19 Rizwan v. Nuwest Logistics LLC
650813/24 Sadick Aesthetic Surgery And Dermatology v. Desai
450666/24 Samson McA LLC v. Pli Transport Inc Et Al
150248/24 Serhanf LLC v. The Karen Miner-Romanoff Living Trust
160467/21 Snook v. Hillside Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
160516/23 South Coast Const. LLC v. Central Interiors Inc
655311/25 The Hlb Group of NY LLC v. Irg Sandrock LLC
651660/23 Urban v. Hudson Capital Group Ventures
650372/24 Welkin Mechanical LLC v. NYC
151307/25 West 92nd St. Associates LLC v. Simpson

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

162373/25 Seventh Ave. Fee Owner LLC v. Seven Bakery LLC Et Al
651669/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Conrad F Ceam Md
161653/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fastamed Supply Inc.
158044/25 Butterworth v. 60 E. 54th St. Restaurant LLC D/b/a Monkey Bar Et Al
159442/25 Capital One v. Pantazoos
157196/25 Cashium Capital v. Alliance Reentry Centers Inc D/b/a Alliance Reentry Centers Et Al
654010/24 Davidoff Hutcher & Citron Lp v. Galvano Rosenfeld
155305/22 Gilbert v. Pristine Bldg. LLC Et Al
153514/26 Hyperscale Data, Inc. v. Webull Financial LLC Et Al
155276/24 Kavasutra 6th St. Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Health Et Al
154559/25 Khan v. Bizma Service Center, Inc. Et Al
651849/26 Leon I. Behar v. Maanshan Iron & Steel LLC Et Al
659311/25 NYCHA v. Axis Ins. Co.
151683/26 Oliveira v. Brigman
156019/22 Perez v. 87 Rity. NY LLC
155809/21 Ramprashad v. 301-5 Seventh Ave. Corp. Et Al
157306/20 Regan v. Streamline USA
112090/08 Reyes v. Battle
651035/26 Rosin v. Grasso
152991/25 Scott v. Jamies' Pl.
161016/20 Stalin Cajamarca v. R & R Scaffolding
153709/21 Teneasca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
650739/26 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Tzimas
151326/25 The Weinstein Law Firm Pllc v.
159627/16 Wang v. Chen
659113/25 Weingarten Financial Funding v. Villarrael
651825/23 Wjvp 2018-3 v. Bomesl San Diego Equities LLC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

655565/25 Wilcos v. Dimartini
154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson
655350/17 Elhanani v. Kuzinev
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
650633/25 Greer v. Fam Ventures
650370/26 Somo America Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
655665/25 Wilcos v. Dimartini

Motion

154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
Part 40TR
Judicial Mediation
Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

651775/24 120 Main Hotel LLC v. Somo America Ins. Co.
650723/24 American Pile & Foundation LLC v. Urban Foundation And Engineering
156265/22 Ansbrov. Dever Properties LLC Et Al
159633/23 Bankers Healthcare Group v. Khajehouri
160348/19 Beshara v. Tirone
659628/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Mercergreene Condominium v. Tower Cleaning Pgs, Inc. D/b/a Tower Water Mgt. Inc.
162265/15 Brown v. NYCTA
160304/17 Cabral v. NYC
650272/24 Carmel Partners, Inc. Et Al v. Greenpoint-Goldman Sm LLC
453196/17 Carvajal Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev Corp.
150773/23 Catalano v. NYC Et Al
653527/25 Cohen v. 510 West 123rd St. Owners Corp.
650759/26 Consol. Electric Meter Co. Inc. v. American & Europe Co. Inc.
153125/22 Cuello v. Vargasara
652636/21 Eagle Group LLC v. Bottom Line Const. & Dev. LLC
100087/23 Evans v. Sharpe
651025/24 Fei v. Mercedes-Benz USA
650368/26 Fincocast Capital v. Huuso
163264/25 Flexi-Van Leasing v. Mts Trucking Services
653969/24 Fowler Route Co., Inc. v. Esplanade Gardens, Inc.
161115/23 Galvez Prudente v. Rosa
162277/15 Grullon v. Lisa Mgt., Inc.
153783/23 Guardiola v. 815 West 180th Group LLC
653255/25 Hillside 2618 LLC v. Briarwood Ins. Services Inc. Et Al
651309/21 Hudson 38 Hldgs. LLC v. NY Medical Practice
655419/21 Hudson Valley Window Cleaning, Inc. v. Rotron Inc.
D/b/a Ametek Rotron
152894/24 J. M & A Const. Corp. v. Unitarian Church of All Souls
159023/20 L. v. Samar Hosp.ity
650878/24 Lesniak v. Whitestone Const. Corp.
150208/23 Long Island Care Center v. Renhof
651453/24 Madison Jackson Corp. v. Kimmel
155923/19 Maxwell v. Metro. Transportation
151361/23 Mediadar Inc. v. Joy-Cpw
158520/13 Mike Domogioni v. Korpenn LLC
158373/17 Minaya v. Sierra
161187/21 Morgan v. Sweetgreen
156018/23 Mousiades v. NY Prop. Ins. Underwriting Assoc.
155739/24 Nouveau Elevator Industries v. Cohen Brothers Rity. Corp. Et Al
650544/24 Open Squash, Inc. v. Courtch USA Partners
450232/24 Port Auth. of NY & New Jersey v. Aracon Enterprises LLC Et Al
152885/20 Puca v. Moynihan Station Dev. Corp. Et Al
151621/24 Rehob v. 173-74 Owners Corp. Et Al
151649/22 Rivera-Colome v. Hernandez-Restrepo
162317/19 Rizwan v. Nuwest Logistics LLC
650813/24 Sadick Aesthetic Surgery And Dermatology v. Desai
450666/24 Samson McA LLC v. Pli Transport Inc Et Al
150248/24 Serhanf LLC v. The Karen Miner-Romanoff Living Trust
160467/21 Snook v. Hillside Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
160516/23 South Coast Const. LLC v. Central Interiors Inc
655311/25 The Hlb Group of NY LLC v. Irg Sandrock LLC
651660/23 Urban v. Hudson Capital Group Ventures
650372/24 Welkin Mechanical LLC v. NYC
151307/25 West 92nd St. Associates LLC v. Simpson

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

162373/25 Seventh Ave. Fee Owner LLC v. Seven Bakery LLC Et Al
651669/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Conrad F Ceam Md
161653/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fastamed Supply Inc.
158044/25 Butterworth v. 60 E. 54th St. Restaurant LLC D/b/a Monkey Bar Et Al
159442/25 Capital One v. Pantazoos
157196/25 Cashium Capital v. Alliance Reentry Centers Inc D/b/a Alliance Reentry Centers Et Al
654010/24 Davidoff Hutcher & Citron Lp v. Galvano Rosenfeld
155305/22 Gilbert v. Pristine Bldg. LLC Et Al
153514/26 Hyperscale Data, Inc. v. Webull Financial LLC Et Al
155276/24 Kavasutra 6th St. Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Health Et Al
154559/25 Khan v. Bizma Service Center, Inc. Et Al
651849/26 Leon I. Behar v. Maanshan Iron & Steel LLC Et Al
659311/25 NYCHA v. Axis Ins. Co.
151683/26 Oliveira v. Brigman
156019/22 Perez v. 87 Rity. NY LLC
155809/21 Ramprashad v. 301-5 Seventh Ave. Corp. Et Al
157306/20 Regan v. Streamline USA
112090/08 Reyes v. Battle
651035/26 Rosin v. Grasso
152991/25 Scott v. Jamies' Pl.
161016/20 Stalin Cajamarca v. R & R Scaffolding
153709/21 Teneasca v. The Opera Owners, Inc. Et Al
650739/26 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Tzimas
151326/25 The Weinstein Law Firm Pllc v.
159627/16 Wang v. Chen
659113/25 Weingarten Financial Funding v. Villarrael
651825/23 Wjvp 2018-3 v. Bomesl San Diego Equities LLC Et Al

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

655939/25 233 West 125th St. Danforth LLC v. NYC Dept. of Finance Et Al
155143/18 Ellington Owners Corp. v. 200 Bradhurst Developers LLC
650465/22 Lam Group Et Al v. Anthony T. Rinaldi LLC D/b/a The Rinaldi Group Et Al
652367/12 Sfr Hldgs. Ltd. v. Rice
163112/25 Stanley A. Roberts Revocable Trust v. Mark A. Siegel Auction Galleries, Inc. Et Al
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
650370/26 Somo America Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
THURSDAY, APRIL 30
154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv v. Johnson
655350/17 Elhanani v. Kuzinev
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
650633/25 Greer v. Fam Ventures
650370/26 Somo America Ins. Co. v. Palin Enterprises
655665/25 Wilcos v. Dimartini

Motion

154829/25 Amg Fashion Group Inc. v. 37 Orchard Group LLC Et Al
109386/10 Gigante v. Veniopoulos
Part 40TR
Judicial Mediation
Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

651775/24 120 Main Hotel LLC v. Somo America Ins. Co.
650723/24 American Pile & Foundation LLC v. Urban Foundation And Engineering
156265/22 Ansbrov. Dever Properties LLC Et Al
159633/23 Bankers Healthcare Group v. Khajehouri
160348/19 Beshara v. Tirone
659628/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Mercergreene Condominium v. Tower Cleaning Pgs, Inc. D/b/a Tower Water Mgt. Inc.
162265/15 Brown v. NYCTA
160304/17 Cabral v. NYC
650272/24 Carmel Partners, Inc. Et Al v. Greenpoint-Goldman Sm LLC
453196/17 Carvajal Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev Corp.
150773/23 Catalano v. NYC Et Al
653527/25 Cohen v. 510 West 123rd St. Owners Corp.
650759/26 Consol. Electric Meter Co. Inc. v. American & Europe Co. Inc.
153125/22 Cuello

158868/17 Jaquez v. Dmarc 2007- Cd5 Garden St.
450803/18 Mojica v. NYC
161110/21 Pierre v. Hudson River Park Trust Et Al
152555/21 Rivera v. Aji Interiors Corp.
152618/17 Rodriguez As Administrator v. Isabella Geriatric Center Inc
150608/20 Rodriguez v. NYC
159862/21 Rondron Jr. v. 25 Park Row Condominium Et Al
151525/20 Sanchez v. 21-23 South William St. LLC
154952/22 Tavernia v. Ppf Off Two Park Ave. Owner
157721/20 Torres Ramos v. 49-51 Chambers LLC
159820/20 Traina v. Macarthur
155218/22 Wolosz v. Erzuli

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
155122/22 Aguirre Aguirre v. 76 Eleventh Ave. Prop. Owner LLC Et Al
159825/19 Charles v. Caroline Apts. 152496/20 Cruz Fuentes v. 65 Franklin LLC
159246/22 Gomez v. 1987-93 Washington Ave. Rlty. Corp. Et Al
160635/15 Liberson v. Feldman
160022/19 Salmeron v. Ddg 532 W 20th St.
158987/20 Schwind v. 303 Lexington Ave. Co
150439/17 Solis v. 340 West 12 Rlty. LLC

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
159285/20 Amancha v. 720-730 Fort Washington
153709/23 Baker v. Urban Outfitters, Inc.
152017/22 Blue v. Webster Hall Entertainment Corp. Et Al
155251/21 Conroy v. Bop Ne LLC Et Al
159744/18 Cuesta v. 335 Canal St. LLC
152342/22 Gerosimo v. Jp Morgan Chase & Co. Et Al
159241/22 Hilsen v. NY Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center Et Al
151655/21 Liu v. Dominique Ansel Bakery Et Al
155434/17 Ndiaye v. Hp Plaza LLC
154212/20 O'Neil v. Park Hotels & Resorts Inc.
153680/20 Osario v. NYC
157958/19 Plaza v. Clune Const. Co. Lp
152152/16 Powell v. Cd B'way. Food Corp.
151176/21 Rebollar v. Hope East of Fifth Housing
157151/20 Root v. City Univ. of NY
160022/21 Samhoun v. 372 Jericho
151621/22 Sanchez v. NYCHA
156286/22 Urena v. Hk Hall Inc. Et Al

Early Settlement Part 2
Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
Room 106

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
161253/20 Criollo v. NYC
160581/14 Goris v. NYC
157358/18 Montgomery v. 383 W 125 LLC
154534/21 Moroni v. NYC
154159/20 Rodriguez v. NYC
161066/19 Schacter v. Bolivar Apt. Corp. Et Al
160282/22 Tabagari v. 345 Park Ave.
152111/20 Ziebac v. NYC

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
155645/19 Batista v. NYC Et Al
156756/17 Brown v. NYC
154355/24 Jones v. NYC Et Al
160338/18 McElenny v. NYC
154029/21 Phillips v. NYC
156027/22 Savbin v. NYC Et Al
152160/22 Taylor v. NYC Et Al
159425/22 Watt v. NYC Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
153545/21 Con Ed Co. v. NYC
160487/20 Gelfman v. NYC Et Al
158793/19 Shebshayevich v. NYC

Part 27
Justice Denise M Dominguez
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5625
Courtroom 289

Part 41
Justice Nicholas W. Moyné
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3984
Room 327

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
650950/2425-26 East Owners Corp. v. Bay Restoration Corp. Et Al
652941/25 Bddw Auction LLC Et Al v. Song
153076/23 Blas v. Zara
150657/22 Hiyaw v. Northern Manhattan Equities LLC Et Al
655073/25 One Penn Plaza LLC v. Amma Penn Station LLC Et Al
159500/24 Quao v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.
155313/23 Red Lion Furniture Mfg LLC Et Al v. Thorson
150799/24 Singh v. 815 Rlty. LLC Et Al
154639/26 The Board of Mgrs. of The Claremont South Condominium v. Hudson Mews Apt. Corp.
166296/25 Thorson v. Bddw Design

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
150803/24 Alvarez v. Sbgc LLC Et Al
159805/25 Bell Temple v. Seventh Ave. Mennonite Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
150018/23 Kodicek v. Leya
153871/26 Mayer v. NYC Police Dept. (license Div.)
101394/23 Greene v. Citibank
154584/22 Ortiz v. Greenhouse Candace House Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
158224/16 Pacelli v. Peter L. Cedeno Et Al
650385/26 The Merrin Gallery, Inc. v. Mitchell

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
655780/25101-103 West 72nd St. LLC v. Chemweno
151415/25107 Univ. Pl. LLC v. Kaplan
652806/2415 West 55th St. Prop. LLC v. Goldman
651249/24218 First Ave. Associates v. Koga
651413/23390 Fifth LLC v. Fialkoff
159687/24427 West 51st St. Owners Corp. v. Yildiz
154385/23 Abreu v. St. Matthew's & St. Timothy's Housing Corp. Et Al
100321/26 Ayinde Akipelu v. NYC Dept. of Health & Mental Hygiene
153492/24 Billups v. Common Ground Community II Housing Dev. Et Al
453440/24 Cortez Jr. v. The NYCTA Et Al
160727/23 Davis v. Hp West 135 Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
150911/24 Delgado v. Pritchard Industries, Inc. Et Al
160282/25 Diallo v. Mark Twain Owners' Corp. Et Al
155257/25 Doe v. Li

162198/23 Douglas White Sr. v. 100 John Mazal Spe Owner LLC Et Al
161307/24 Dunkley v. NYCHA
158378/24 Elliott - Bloodman v. Shuhab Housing Dev. Fund Corp. (a N.Y. Not -For- Profit Corp.) Et Al
150005/23 Fountain v. NYC Et Al
156915/24 Gegaj v. Bp 399 Park Ave. LLC Et Al
159981/23 Henderson v. NYC Et Al
152351/26 Hornbill Inc. v. NYC
Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al
151805/24 Hutnik v. Vornado Two Penn Prop.
159580/23 Jozefiak v. The Tjx Companies, Inc. D/b/a Tj Maxx Et Al
160413/23 Lopez v. Hudsonsvon Terrace Inc Et Al
156933/23 Moonsammy v. Plainview Ave. Associates Et Al
156029/22 Mrishaj v. Moore
152112/24 Murry v. The Metropolitan NYCTA Et Al
154524/23 Penafiel v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. Inc NYC Et Al
152465/25 People of The State of NY v. Rajesh Anthony A/k/a Tony Subraj Et Al
652130/21 Politi v. Siano
157451/23 Rodriguez v. Pavarini McGovern
155515/23 Rodriguez v. Two Party Ss Wadsworth Associates Et Al
655331/24 Salmar Properties LLC v. Saks & Co. LLC Et Al
158173/23 Santiago v. The Neue Galerie NY
155892/24 Scott v. Seventy-Eight Tenants Corp. Et Al
158679/23 Shachat v. Bensoussan
152784/24 Shpitalskaya v. Goldman Sachs & Co
152194/23 Smith v. The Associated Blind Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
151476/24 The Board of Mgrs. of St. James's Tower Condominium v. Kemio Corp. Et Al
452564/25 NYC Et Al v. Board of Mgrs. of The Kay-Eli Gardens Condominium Et Al
157568/24 Tolson Cooper v. The NYCHA
150837/25 Vargas v. NYCHA
650380/20 West 39-260 Rlty. LLC v. Seena Int'l Inc. Et Al
150761/26 Wong & Liu Associates Inc. v. The State of NY Div. of Housing Community Renewal
655101/24 York Bldg. Services, Inc. v. Cafe El Presidente

Motion
652806/2415 West 55th St. Prop. LLC v. Goldman
655331/24 Salmar Properties LLC v. Saks & Co. LLC Et Al
158679/23 Shachat v. Bensoussan
151476/24 The Board of Mgrs. of St. James's Tower Condominium v. Kemio Corp. Et Al
655101/24 York Bldg. Services, Inc. v. Cafe El Presidente

Part 50
Justice J. Machel Sweeting
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5639
Room 279

Part 51 Matrimonial Part
Justice Lisa S. Headley
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3846
Room 122

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
952006/22 Carvello v. Warner Music Group Corp. Et Al
164133/25 Chubb Nat. Ins. Co. As Subrogee of Exor Sn (USA) LLC v. Gustav Restoration LLC Et Al
162578/25 Duran v. Regna
155910/25 Hassan General Contracting Corp. v. 224 - 30 Eighth Ave LLC Et Al
152497/24 Haxhijaj v. M&N 72
165121/25 Henry v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey
166073/25 Horowitz v. Rainbow Ycs D/b/a Rainbow Ace Hardware Et Al
155590/25 Jones v. East Harlem Scholars Academy Et Al
165124/25 Korn v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
151502/26 Mamegonian v. Clinton Hudson Associates LLC
162585/25 Singleton v. Mount Sinai Health System, Inc.
163454/25 Tate v. New Mount Zion Baptist Church Et Al
152025/20 Vignola v. Jdm Washington St. LLC
159380/22 Vogelman v. Modern Facility Services, Inc., Et Al

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
452296/16435 Central Park West Tenant v. Park Front Apartments
652612/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Aisha Dobbins Et Al
151154/24 Arzeno v. Kennedy Const. Services Et Al
160689/16 Board of Mgrs. of v. Yates
154191/21 Burris v. 100 John Mazal Spe Owner LLC
450123/22 NYC Employees' Retirement System v. Pedraza
161561/25 Rabinovich v. Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co.
650761/25 Romer Debbas Llp v. Ali
159553/24 Sabatford v. Lutheran High School Assoc. of Nassau And Suffolk Counties Et Al
653516/25 Wesco Inc. Co. v. 415 Emmut Properties
153031/26 Zurich American Ins. Co. v. NYC Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
651690/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. New York Medical & Diagnostic Care
156103/22 Berger v. Bakerboy LLC D/b/a Supermoon Bakehouse Et Al
160550/23 Goldstein v. Century Road Club Assoc. A/k/a Crea Et Al
155910/25 Hassan General Contracting Corp. v. 224 - 30 Eighth Ave LLC Et Al
315368/15 Lee v. Lee
100098/26 Lima v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
152349/24 Marzella v. One B'way. Owner
152573/26 Murray v. Belforno Inc.

Motion
365167/22 Aronow v. Aronow
320840/23 Flynn v. Mahoney
322860/21 Mahtani-Mitchell v. Mitchell
320230/26 Vasuthevan v. Luciani

Part 65
Justice Denis M. Reo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3887
Room 307

Part 73R Special Referee
Justice Diego Santiago
60 Centre Street
Room 354

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
365208/21 Ravichandran v. Renjen

Part 75R Special Referee
Justice Stephen S. Burzio
60 Centre Street
Room 240

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
321228/24 Campbell v. Derfler

Court Calendars

Part 81R Special Referee
Justice Lancelot B. Hewitt
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3680
Room 321

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
654585/23 New Legend Debt By Meitav Dash Ltd. Partnership v. Sam & Kate Production

Part 84R Special Referee
Justice Jeremy R. Feinberg
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3207
Room 641

Part 87R Special Referee
Justice Joseph P. Burke
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5541
Room 238

Part 88R Special Referee
Justice Deborah E. Edelman
60 Centre Street
Room 158

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
160063/24 Douglas Elliman v. Ballard
652491/24 Ubs Credit Corp. v. Senderos Fernandez

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
150934/24 Mhilli v. Pink Stone Capital Group LLC Et Al

Part 89R Special Referee
Justice Sue Ann Hoahng
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3676
Room 236

71 THOMAS STREET
Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

Part 13
Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
153941/16 Boliak v. Reilly
190075/25 Haddock v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropsience Inc Et Al

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
190150/21 Agnes Sides v. Advance Auto Parts Inc
190116/25 Corbeil v. 84 Lumber Co. Et Al
190137/21 Dellasperanza v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190112/23 Evans v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190093/22 Fagan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190030/25 Fine v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190150/21 Agnes Sides v. Advance Auto Parts Inc
190116/25 Corbeil v. 84 Lumber Co. Et Al
190137/21 Dellasperanza v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190112/23 Evans v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190093/22 Fagan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190030/25 Fine v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190044/25 Hurd v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropsience Inc Et Al
190022/24 McCurry v. 3m Co. Et Al
190077/20 Melton v. Abb, Inc. Individually
190053/23 Muraglia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190245/20 Ramos v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190026/23 Richmond v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190101/22 Shade v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190245/21 Sileo v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp.
190167/24 Truncale v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190218/22 Walker v. A.O. Smith Water Prods., Co., Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
190037/26 Fink v. Bayer Consumer Care Hldgs., LLC, F/k/a Msd Consumer Care, Inc. Et Al
190154/21 Kahil Emanuel Trafton Boatright v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190161/25 Mattera v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190212/24 Rodriguez v. 3m Co. Et Al

Part 18
Justice Alexander M. Tisch
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3472
Room 104

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
950088/21 Allen-Watson v. Archdiocese of NY
450066/23 Bolin-Campbell v. Blessed Sacrament High School Et Al
950504/21 Cortez v. Maldonado
951379/21 Dize v. Hassebrook
950743/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950368/20 Flanagan v. NYC Et Al
950251/201H. v. Archdiocese of NY
950006/21 Johnson v. Spence-Chapin Services To
950281/20 Jose Rodriguez v. Archdiocese of NY
152349/24 Lemmo v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950135/19 Lemmo v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
451881/21 M. v. NYC Et Al
950186/21 M.B. v. Archdiocese of NY
950448/20 P. v. Archdiocese of NY
951370/21 Pena v. NYC Et Al
951014/21 S. v. Archdiocese of NY
951430/21 Serra v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
159833/24 Sias v. Mahmoud M.D.
451393/25 Soltanian v. NYS Dept. of Financial Services
951460/21 Thompson Jr. v. The Rockefeller Univ. Hosp. Et Al
950198/21 Williams v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
951287/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
850003/16 Fym Millbrook LLC v. Weinberg
154824/26 The Matter of The Application of Adriana Castillo v. Stanley Guillaume
154282/26 Wells v. The Aldo Group D/b/a Aldo Et Al
154760/26 Yahav v. Dher Et Al

Motion
951287/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

153864/26 In The Matter of The Application of Adriana Castillo v. Stanley Guillaume
154282/26 Wells v. The Aldo Group D/b/a Aldo Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
651689/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Cross Bay Orthopedics Surgery Pc
651639/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc
950272/20 Armaiz v. Archdiocese of NY
950083/20 Asel v. NYC Et Al
451872/20 B. v. The Children's Village Et Al
950212/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
951315/21 Doe-19516 v. The Children's Village
951147/21 Hodges v. NYC Et Al
950010/21 John Doe - 18717 v. Children's Village
950083/21 John Doe - 19095 v. The Children's Village Et Al
950095/21 John Doe - 19109 v. The Children's Village Et Al
950483/21 John Doe - 19449 v. Children's Village
951376/21 John Doe-19585 v. The Children's Village Et Al
451238/25 Larkins v. NYCHA Et Al
453279/23 Matthews v. The Children's Village Et Al
450737/22 McCray v. NYC Et Al
453081/21 Nazario v. The Childrens Village Et Al
452598/21 Omaro v. The Children's Village Et Al
950456/20 R. v. NYC
451992/22 Roberts v. The Childrens Village Et Al
453359/21 Roberts v. The Children's Village
951334/21 Smith v. NYC Et Al

Part 23
Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
153941/16 Boliak v. Reilly
190075/25 Haddock v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropsience Inc Et Al

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
190150/21 Agnes Sides v. Advance Auto Parts Inc
190116/25 Corbeil v. 84 Lumber Co. Et Al
190137/21 Dellasperanza v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190112/23 Evans v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190093/22 Fagan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190030/25 Fine v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190150/21 Agnes Sides v. Advance Auto Parts Inc
190116/25 Corbeil v. 84 Lumber Co. Et Al
190137/21 Dellasperanza v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190112/23 Evans v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190093/22 Fagan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190030/25 Fine v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190044/25 Hurd v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropsience Inc Et Al
190022/24 McCurry v. 3m Co. Et Al
190077/20 Melton v. Abb, Inc. Individually
190053/23 Muraglia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190245/20 Ramos v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor In Interest To It Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190026/23 Richmond v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190237/23 Saint-Louis v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. Et Al
190101/22 Shade v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190245/21 Sileo v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp.
190167/24 Truncale v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al
190218/22 Walker v. A.O. Smith Water Prods., Co., Et Al

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
190037/26 Fink v. Bayer Consumer Care Hldgs., LLC, F/k/a Msd Consumer Care, Inc. Et Al
190154/21 Kahil Emanuel Trafton Boatright v. Avon Prods., Inc. Et Al
190161/25 Mattera v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190212/24 Rodriguez v. 3m Co. Et Al

Part 29
Justice Leticia M. Ramirez
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 311

Part 36
Justice Verna L. Saunders
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3733
Room 205

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
160074/21 Lozano Quizhpe v. 44-45 B'way. Rlty. Co. Et Al
651610/26 Progressive Casualty Ins. Co. v. Ace American Ins. Co.
153000/23 The Metro. Club, Inc. v. 795 Fifth Ave. Corp. D/b/a Hotel Pierre

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
159739/19500 Canal LLC v. Finn
158678/20 Buitrago v. 600 B'way. Partners LLC
653805/20 Harleysville Ins. Co. v. Houston Specialty Ins.
450358/18 Miller v. Riversdale Center Parcel 2
152635/25 Pfeiffer v. Cmsg Restaurant Group
656887/19 Polacco v. Fried
150520/19 Smith v. 95th And Third

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
151705/26 Bowden v. NYS Office of Court Admin.
155727/19 Burgin v. 25-16 37 Ave Owners
400207/04 NYC v. Maul

Part 46
Justice Richard Latin
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3279
Room 210

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
805045/20 Baruch Lichtenstein v. NY And
805094/22 Cruz v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
805353/19 Fulton v. NYU Langone Hosps.
805110/19 Judson v. NY Univ.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
154325/24158 St. & Riverside Housing, Co. Inc. v. Artifact Real Estate Dev. Co. Et Al
156655/20210 East 63rd St. Owners v. Roa Properties LLC
160857/22257 W. 55 St. Associates LLC v. 932 Mk Properties LLC Et Al
160609/21 Abitbol v. The Sunny Factory LLC Et Al
159032/18 Aulston v. 26 E. 125 St. Corp.

161417/23 Bell v. Alfa Int'l Corp. Et Al
154506/17 Brown v. Mahdessian
655051/23 Capeleris v. Hyundai Marine & Fire Ins. Co., Ltd (u.S. Branch) Et Al
150750/20 Carino Lucero v. Park Ave. Synagogue
158358/23 Cilione v. 415-417 Bleecker St. LLC Et Al
155236/22 Clark v. NYC Et Al
158072/20 Cohen v. Restuccia
158420/19 Cruz v. Birdie 141 B'way.
150218/21 Edwards v. Lisa Dawn Apts. Inc. Et Al
161752/25 Family Justice Law Center v. NYC Admin. For Children's Services
153422/21 Feaster v. Weischsel Beef Co., Inc Et Al
155255/16 Fernando v. G. Perez Carbide & Tool Co.
155874/19 Filiuta v. Century Elevator Maint.
158311/23 Flex And The City v. Gidich
150686/21 Garren v. Rapkiewicz M.D.
155485/22 Gillick v. Bp 399 Park Ave. LLC Et Al
159163/18 Halstead v. Legacy Yards Tenant Lp F/k/a
157296/23 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Cartagena
155405/22 Katzman v. Dewitt Rehabilitation And Nursing Center, Inc.
152153/19 Manhattan Promenade LLC v. Hardoon
152822/22 Maria Alexandra De La Rosa Aka Maria A. De La Rosa v. Isabella Geriatric Center, Inc. Et Al
154301/19 Maria O'Maria LLC v. Cornerstone Mgt.
152032/25 Martins v. Weinstein
159585/22 Miller v. B'way. Towers NYC
155236/18 Mungin v. Con Ed, Inc.
153522/20 Nipitella v. NYC
157660/23 Pepin-Toribio v. 601 West 185 St. Rlty. LLC. Et Al
159331/18 Pp Hldg. Corp. Including v. Renaissance Chiropractic
151836/20 Ravennell v. Nunn
154590/16 Resurrection Lohj Artce Const. And
155215/20 Ribeiro v. NYC
150258/19 Ricky Alfonso Vargas Cedenov. One Ppw Owner
150630/22 Roig v. West 97th St. Rlty. Corp. Et Al
153210/23 Ross v. Prince/elizabeth
153260/23 Rossin v. Essential Home Remodeling
152147/19 Solis Herrera v. Esart 250 West 57th St.
155343/22 Tucker v. Metro North Commuter R.R. v. Seaboys Const., Inc.
150246/15 Zuckerberg v. Equinox-92nd St., Inc.
155146/19 Zvinayatskofskiy v. Breville Hldgs. USA, Inc.

Motion
154918/26875 River View Rlty. LLC v. Marzullo
160039/22 Artiles v. Macklowe Properties Et Al
451779/24 Thomas v. Morgan Stanley Wealth Mgt. LLC Et Al

Part 55
Justice James D'Auguste
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3289
Room 103

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
155087/25 Anonymous v. Anonymous
160265/18 John A. Healy And Valeria v. Settle
153761/25 Marotta v. Tucker
165430/25 Milstein v. Grossman
451408/26 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 303 5th Ave.
451513/26 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 435 East 86th St.

Motion
153761/25 Marotta v. Tucker
451408/26 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 303 5th Ave.
451513/26 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 435 East 86th St.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29
653047/22A & N Design Studio, Inc. D/b/a Door3 Business Applications v. Appstellers LLC
158101/24 Berlevs v. Fritch
158797/25 Essentia Ins. Co. v. Active Recovery Rehab PT.
156392/25 Language Line Services, Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Social Services
153663/25 Mallette v. Mark Propco
155214/24 Rufano v. Fedex Corp.
655458/25 The Board of Mgrs. of Liberty Terrace Condominium v. Milford Mgt. Corp.
453292/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 144 West 46th St.
100808/24 The State of NY v. The Estate of Phyllis Pressman
150641/24 Tomkiel & Tomkiel v. Main St. American Assurance Co. Et Al
151113/24 Tomkiel & Tomkiel v. Main St. American Assurance Co. Et Al
150481/25 Tualumbo v. 217 W85
159569/24 Wohl v. Commodore Const. Corp.

Motion
653047/22A & N Design Studio, Inc. D/b/a Door3 Business Applications v. Appstellers LLC
158101/24 Berlevs v. Fritch
158797/25 Essentia Ins. Co. v. Active Recovery Rehab PT.
156392/25 Language Line Services, Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Social Services
153663/25 Mallette v. Mark Propco
453292/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 144 West 46th St.
100808/24 The State of NY v. The Estate of Phyllis Pressman
150641/24 Tomkiel & Tomkiel v. Main St. American Assurance Co. Et Al
151113/24 Tomkiel & Tomkiel v. Main St. American Assurance Co. Et Al
150481/25 Tualumbo v. 217 W85

THURSDAY, APRIL 30
164957/25 Awadalla v. The Dept. of Education of NYC
154826/25 Bacon v. 765 Amsterdam A.D.M.D.
656331/23 Beckets Hm-Aa v. Automotive Alliance
450427/18 D. Karnofsky, Inc. v. Arthur Rozof
654233/24 Diane D'Angelo v. Kwoka
160954/23 Jimenez v. Mck Contracting Inc. Et Al
160724/23 Manuel G. Marinas v. Groff Studios Corp. Et Al
165430/25 Milstein v. Grossman
652002/25 Nonghyup Bank v. Et-Gamal
653856/25 Pahrnad v. Durrani

Motion
160724/23 Manuel G. Marinas v. Groff Studios Corp. Et Al
652002/25 Nonghyup Bank v. Et-Gamal
653856/25 Pahrnad v. Durrani

111 CENTRE STREET
Part 25 Guardianship
Justice Ilana J. Marcus
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5675
Room 1254

TUESDAY, APRIL 28
153364/20A. C. B. v. NYC Et Al
152301/16 Alexandar v. Martinez
153756/23 Almazan v. NYC Et Al
451975/20 Almoldovar v. NYC
151623/22 Amin v. NYC Et Al
160087/19Axa Xl Ins. America, Inc. v. NYC Dept.
158751/20 Bates-Brackett v. NYC Et Al
153451/23 Batista v. Hill
156883/23 Bautista v. NYC Et Al
159075/22 Best v. NYC Et Al
161920/23 Black v. NYC Et Al
157953/23 Bobbitt v. NYC
155387/21 Bowers v. NYC Et Al
160354/18C An Infant By Her Fng v. NYC
151107/12 Castro v. NYC
157558/21 Chavez v. NYC Et Al
161087/21 Chichana v. NYC Et Al
159802/19 Crespo v. NYC
161253/20 Criollo v. NYC
160739/20 D'Amico v. NYC
152629/20 D. M. A Minor By His Natural Guardian And Parent Shirley Wallace v. NYC Et Al
156838/21 Diaz v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
160631/23 Dugue v. NYC Et Al
159091/23 Duran v. NYC Et Al
152760/22 Friedman v. NYC Et Al
154733/23 Garcia v. NYC Et Al
158535/22 Girouard v. NYC Et Al
158006/21 Griffin v. NYC Et Al
153642/22 Grissom v. NYC Et Al
157195/21 Hall v. 175 W 137 St LLC Et Al
154054/19 Henriquez v. NYC
150283/22 Ikker v. NYC Et Al
157499/21 Jackson v. NYC Et Al
156042/18 Kenan v. NYC
159485/22 Linzy v. NYC Et Al
163521/25 Luo v. NYC, Inc. Et Al
151422/22 Maldonado v. NYC Et Al
155633/22 Marcello v. NYC Et Al
157361/21 Maria Martinez-De Diaz v. NYC Et Al
161521/19 McHugh v. NYC Et Al
154167/21 Melissa Leggare v. NYC
100712/23 Moncion v. NYC
160569/21 N. v. Empire City Subway Co.
156074/20 NY Marine & General v. NYC
150098/20 Olanib v. NYC
155584/22 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
158539/20 Ortiz v. NYC
151779/22 Palacio v. NYC Et Al
154605/22 Paladinas v. NYC Et Al
157560/21 Pirozzi v. Second Ave. & 50th St. Rlty.
150648/23 Pizarro v. NYC Et Al
159104/16 Powell v. NYC
150636/20 Rodriguez v. NYC
159809/22 Ruiz v. NYC Et Al
157004/19 Sabbath v. Triumph Const. Corp.
150825/22 Sanogo v. NYC Et Al
161108/20 Santos v. NYC Et Al
153173/20 Snipes v. NYC
159979/22 Sornik v. NYC Et Al
153188/20 Suderth v. NYC Et Al
160525/20 T. v. NYC
160806/22 Tineo v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
150470/21 Tully v. NYC
150331/19 Varghese v. NYC
154119/23 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
152134/18 Williams v. NYC
154379/21 Williams v

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

#1 Global Legal Job Site

Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson Phone: 212.457.7850 Email: crobertson@alm.com

CITATIONS NY

FileNo. 2023-315/A To the unknown heirs at law, and next of kin of Yola Plaia, deceased, if they be living, and if they be dead, to their Executors, Administrators, Creditors and lienors, their husbands or wives or successors in interest. CONTACT THE COURT AT (718) 618-2373 OR VIRTUALBRONXSURROGATESCOURT@NYCOURTS.GOV FOR INFORMATION ON HOW TO APPEAR ON THE COURT'S VIRTUAL PLATFORM A petition having been filed by LOUIS TELLONE JR who is domiciled at 2621 Palisades Ave, Apt 17J, BRONX, NY 10463 YOU ARE HEREBY CITED TO SHOW CAUSE by making a virtual or in-person appearance before the Surrogate's Court, Bronx County, New York, located at 851 Grand Concourse, Courtroom 406, Bronx, New York, 10451, on June 2, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. why the Court should not grant the following relief. Letters Testamentary issue to Louis Tellone, Jr. Dated, Attested and Sealed March 31, 2026 Hon. Nelida Malavé-Gonzalez, SURROGATE Johanna K. O'Brien, Chief Clerk ATTORNEY Petitioner's Attorney: David P. Fallon Telephone Number: 631-567-0340 Address: 53 Main St, Suite 1, Sayville, NY 11782 E-Mail: dfallon@davidfallonpllc.com [NOTE: This citation is served upon you as required by law. You are not required to appear. If you fail to appear it will be assumed you do not object to the relief requested. You have a right to have an attorney appear for you.] a7-Tu a28 23643

FOUNDATIONS

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF Select Equity Group Foundation. For the calendar year ended 2025 is available at its principal office located at 380 Lafayette Street, NY, NY 10003 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Robert Wilson. 24861 a28

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE LYNFORD FAMILY CHARITABLE TRUST FOUNDATION for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 705 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10022 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation if Jeffrey H. Lynford. 24522 a28

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE ROBY FOUNDATION. For the calendar year ended 2025 is available at its principal office located at 400 GARDEN CITY PLZ, SUITE 510, GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is JOE L. ROBY, TRUSTEE. 24839 a28

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Stefany and Simon Bergson Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/25 is available at its principal office located at PO Box 695, Palisades, NY 10964 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Stefany Bergson. 24859 a28

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE STELAC FOUNDATION For the calendar year ended 12/31/2025 is available at its principal office located at 654 MADISON AVEUNE, 11TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10065 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is MR. CARLOS PADULA 24857 a28

LIQUOR LICENSES

NOTICE IS HERBY given that a license, NA-0340-26-109518 for beer, cider, liquor and/ or wine has been applied for by the undersigned to sell beer, cider, liquor and/ or wine at retail in a restaurant under the Alcoholic Beverage Control Law at 11 W 17th St, New York, NY 10011-5514 for on premises consumption. * ODE 2 JAPANESE INC DBA EDO JAPANESE RESTAURANT 24819 a28-Tu my5

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a license, Application ID# NA-0240-25-117969, for wine, beer, and cider has been applied for by the undersigned to sell wine, beer, and cider at retail in a restaurant under the Alcoholic Beverage Control Law at 68 Thompson Street, New York, New York 10012-4343 for on-premises consumption. Shoshin 68, LLC. 19120 a28-Tu my5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

R.Y. NP IN FAMILY HEALTH, PLLC, a Prof. LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/27/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The PLLC, 17 Barstow Rd, Ste 208, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: To Practice The Profession Of Nurse Practitioner in Family Health. 24869 a28-Tu ju2

BRACES ON THE PARK ORTHODONTICS PLLC. Filed 2/12/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 650 Park Ave, #11c, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Dentistry. 23046 m24-Tu a28

DENTES 32 DENTISTRY NYC PLLC. Filed 2/26/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: c/o Stephen John Chu, 959 First Ave #19a Ste 200, NY, NY 10022. Registered Agent: c/o Shapiro Goldstein Moses & Artuso LLP, 7600 Jericho Tpke Ste 200, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Dentistry. 23056 m24-Tu a28

Dr. Rubiahna Vaughn MD PLLC. Filed 3/2/26. Office: Bronx Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 4675 Palisade Ave, Bronx, NY 10471. Purpose: Medicine. 23040 m24-Tu a28

ELMO ARCHITECTURE, PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 251 W 19TH ST, APT 6B, NEW YORK, NY 10011. Purpose: ARCHITECTURE 23105 m24-Tu a28

HU LAW FIRM PLLC. Filed 1/13/26. Office: NY SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 11 Broadway Ste 615, NY, NY 10004. Purpose: Law. 23043 m24-Tu a28

ISLAND DENTAL OF FREEPORT NY PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 10/01/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 186 ATLANTIC AVE, FREEPORT, NY 11320. Purpose: DENTISTRY 23135 m24-Tu a28

JOURNEY TO WELLNESS LCSW PLLC. Filed 9/11/24. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Renee Eddy, 50 W 97th St #15h, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: LCSW. 23068 m24-Tu a28

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT GAGE, PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/02/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 43 WEST 43RD ST STE 298, NEW YORK, NY 10036. Purpose: LAW 23110 m24-Tu a28

NEONAKIS LAW PLLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec of State (SSNY) 2/2/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 40 Wall St., 28th Fl, NY, NY 10005, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: To practice Law. 23036 m24-Tu a28

QI RADIANCE ACUPUNCTURE AND MASSAGE THERAPY, PLLC. Filed 9/30/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 207 1st Ave, 2nd Fl, NY, NY 10003. Purpose: Acupuncture & Massage Therapy. 23063 m24-Tu a28

SCHULTZ APPEALS, PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/26/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: PO BOX 917, MANHASSET, NY 11030. Purpose: Law 23120 m24-Tu a28

Yu Orthodontics PLLC. Filed 2/10/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 69 W 9th St Apt 3e, NY, NY 10011. Purpose: Dentistry. 23047 m24-Tu a28

PurePointe Advisory PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/19/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 338 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 221, Syosset, NY 11791. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Law. 23507 m31-Tu my5

PurePointe Advisory PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/19/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 338 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 221, Syosset, NY 11791. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Law. 23507 m31-Tu my5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF The R.E. CPA Company, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/23/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 1178 Broadway, 3rd Fl #1296, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 23099 A07 T My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF XZ LAW FIRM PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/31/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 32 E 57th St, 8th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 23774 A07 T My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Aligned Psychotherapy LCAT, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/05/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 16 Dupont St, Brooklyn, NY 11222. Purpose: any lawful act. 23654 A14 T My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF DINI REYNOSO CLINICAL SERVICES, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/4/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 2601 Henry Hudson Parkway, Apt. 8A, Bronx, NY 10463. Purpose: any lawful act. 19784 A14 T My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF OF DEEP INSIGHTS PSYCHOANALYSIS PLLC Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/14/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 421 8th Ave, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 22300 A21 T My26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF NSR LCSW, SOCIAL WORK SERVICES, PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/26/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Meyer, Suozzi, English & Kiehl, PC, 940 Stewart Ave, Ste 300, Garden City, NY 11530, Attn: Charles Skop, Esq. Purpose: to practice the profession of Licensed Clinical Social Work. 23837 a7-Tu My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CAROLYN REINACH WOLF LAW, PLLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/07/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of PLLC: 333 East 42nd St., Apt. PH, NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the PLLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Practice the profession of law. 24488 Apr21 tu May26

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Plante & Moran, PLLC (the "PLLC"), a professional service limited liability company formed on October 2002 under the laws of the State of Michigan, filed an Application for Authority with the New York Department of State on December 30, 2025, pursuant to Section 1306 of the New York Limited Liability Company Law. The company in New York in which the office of the PLLC is located is New York County (Manhattan Borough). The PLLC purpose is authorized to practice the profession of certified public accountancy and public accountancy in the State of New York. The Secretary of State of the State of New York has been designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. The Secretary of State shall mail a copy of any process served upon it to: 3000 Town Center, Suite 100, Southfield, Michigan 48075. The PLLC's Certificate of Professional Limited Liability Company was filed with the Secretary of State of Michigan, whose office is located at 430 West Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48918. 24144 A14 T My19

BART ACKERMANS PSYCHOANALYSIS, PLLC. Filed 12/29/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 1 Union Sq W, Ste 911, NY, NY 10003. Purpose: Psychoanalysis. 23055 m24-Tu a28

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF RACHAEL BENJAMIN, LCSW LLC. Application for Authority filed with the Secretary of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/01/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in NJ on 01/01/2026. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 330 Changebridge Rd, Ste 100, Pine Brook, NJ 07058. P/B/A of the LLC: 161 Garfield Pl, Maplewood, NJ 07040. Cert. of LLC filed with Secretary of Treasury of NJ located at: 125 W State St, Trenton, NJ 08625. Purpose: any lawful act or activity. 24407 A21 T My26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF PHYSICAL REHAB PT, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/22/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to P/B/A: 17 Elizabeth Street, Suite 303, New York, NY, 10013. Purpose: any lawful act. 24377 A28 T Ju02

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

1903 YATES AVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/31/2026. Office loc: Bronx County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Minh Phuong Bui, 6828 Ingram Street, Forest Hills, NY 11375. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24162 a14-Tu My19

ALL IN ARTS COMPLEX LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/13/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Erin Mastrandrea, 15 Edinburgh Drive, Peekskill, NY 10566. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24164 a14-Tu My19

DAIJANG GUO LLC Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/10/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 348 Whitehall Blvd S, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24499 a21-Tu My26

NP 2864 REALTY LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/3/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 2866 Dewey Avenue, Bronx, NY 10465, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 24275 a21-Tu My26

PRIMETIME PARTNERS ADVISORS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/25/26. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 30 East 85th Street, Apartment 28, New York, NY 10028. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24516 a21-Tu My26

Simple Spaces Real Estate LLC, Arts of Org filed with SSNY on 10/18/24. Off. Loc: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 89 Division St, New York NY 10002. Purpose: to engage in any lawful act. 24270 a21-Tu My26

VDF 144 LLC. Art. of Org. filed with SSNY 3/24/2026. NY office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process. SSNY shall mail copy of process to: c/o Romane Green, The LLC, 40 Innes Pl, Manhasset, NY, 11030. Any lawful act or activity. 24352 a21-Tu My26

5 OCEAN BAY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/23/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Peter Clark, P.O. Box 1823, Seaford, NY 11783. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24825 a28-Tu ju2

AV LENOX PROPERTIES, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/21/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 1037 2nd Ave, NY, NY 10022. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24784 a28-Tu ju2

AW EQUITY VAULT LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/17/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 175 Great Neck Road, Ste 407, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24891 a28-Tu ju2

101 E 9 HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 09/02/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Peraino Malinowski LLP, 152 Madison Avenue, 16th Floor, New York, NY 10016. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24519 a21-Tu My26

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

72-90 MAIN STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/09/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 20 Vandeventer Avenue, Suite #100 East, Port Washington, NY 11028. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24513 a21-Tu My26

DBD PAINTING, LLC. Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/20/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 400 West 37th St., 14U, NY, NY 10018, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24476 a21-Tu My26

DBD RENOVATIONS, LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/31/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 400 West 37th St., 14U, NY, NY 10018, which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24478 a21-Tu My26

DHK AUTO BODY LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/3/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 1354 Franklin Avenue, Bronx, NY 10456, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 24271 a21-Tu My26

ICEMAN PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/31/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 89 E Fulton Avenue, Roosevelt, NY 11575. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24517 a21-Tu My26

JDAS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/10/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 348 Whitehall Blvd S, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24499 a21-Tu My26

NP 2864 REALTY LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/3/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 2866 Dewey Avenue, Bronx, NY 10465, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 24275 a21-Tu My26

SOLKAM 90 HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 11 Grace Avenue, Suite 405, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24892 a28-Tu ju2

ST. JAMES RE PROPERTIES, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/03/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 315 Linwood St Brooklyn NY 11208. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23805 Apr7 tu May12

29 AVENUE A LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/06/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 106 Fairfield Avenue, Port Washington, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23807 a7-Tu my12

49 GH ROAD LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/04/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3 Maple Place, Glen Head, NY 11545. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23806 a7-Tu my12

AFTF SOLUTIONS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/16/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 214 McKinley Street, Massapequa Park, NY 11782. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23808 a7-Tu my12

COMPLETE SITE & CIVIL LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/20/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 511 Central Avenue, Massapequa, NY 11758. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23809 a7-Tu my12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

CONCOURSE DMF, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/15/2026. Office loc: Bronx County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 1056 5th Avenue, 12D, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24827 a28-Tu ju2

CROES AVE REALTY LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/24/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 1853 Wallace Avenue, Suite B, Bronx, NY 10462, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 24686 a28-Tu ju2

HARLEM CLAY & PRESS LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 4/16/26. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 433 E. 143rd St., Bronx, NY 10454, which is also address of the registered agent, Emily Comly Henretta, upon whom process may be served. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24879 a28-Tu ju2

JUMP STREET LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/16/2026. Off. Loc.: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: 120 North Village Avenue, Rockville Centre, NY 115701, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24685 a28-Tu ju2

L&T HENIGMAN LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3517 Knight Street, Oceanside, NY 11572. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24890 a28-Tu ju2

OTE REALTY LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 2/26/26. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 3150 Rochambeau Ave., Ste. A, Bronx, NY 10467. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24828 a28-Tu ju2

ROCK-LEDGE PARTNERS LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/5/26. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to PO Box 117 Woodlawn Station, Bronx, NY 10470. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24830 a28-Tu ju2

SOLKAM 90 HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 1975 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 309, East Meadow, NY 11554. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24786 a28-Tu ju2

ST. JAMES RE PROPERTIES, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/03/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 315 Linwood St Brooklyn NY 11208. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23805 Apr7 tu May12

29 AVENUE A LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/06/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 106 Fairfield Avenue, Port Washington, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23807 a7-Tu my12

49 GH ROAD LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/04/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3 Maple Place, Glen Head, NY 11545. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23806 a7-Tu my12

AFTF SOLUTIONS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/16/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 214 McKinley Street, Massapequa Park, NY 11782. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23808 a7-Tu my12

COMPLETE SITE & CIVIL LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/20/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 511 Central Avenue, Massapequa, NY 11758. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23809 a7-Tu my12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

ROYAL CLEANOUTS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/18/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 25 Gerard Avenue East, Malverne, NY 11565. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23801 a7-Tu my12

NAIF PRODUCTIONS LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 4/3/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC 461 Central Park West Apt 2B New York, NY 10025. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24182 Apr14 tu May19

AL PAINTING AND DECORATING LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 3/31/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24416 Apr21 tu May26

ARIANA'S ALCHEMY LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 4/9/2026 Bronx Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 224 E 135TH ST 1307N, BRONX, NY, 10451 General Purpose 24412 Apr21 tu May26

ARK FOUNDRY LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 3/26/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24414 Apr21 tu May26

SIGNAL NOT NOISE CO LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 3/3/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24419 Apr21 tu May26

SPARK BUSINESS VENTURES LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 4/9/2025 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24417 Apr21 tu May26

STRIKE THEORY LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 3/25/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24415 Apr21 tu May26

TAKARA PROPERTY LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 3/25/2026 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 255 EAST 77TH ST, UNIT #6A, NEW YORK, NY, 10075 General Purpose 24418 Apr21 tu May26

THE GLOBAL MAJORITY VOICE COLLECTIVE LLC Arts of Org. filed SSNY 11/09/2025 New York Co. SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 41 STATE ST, # 112, ALBANY, NY, 12207 General Purpose 24413 Apr21 tu May26

113 GRANDVIEW LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 11/14/12. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC 315 Linwood St Brooklyn NY 11208. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23805 Apr7 tu May12

Karimah Zuhdi Tarazi LLC filed w/ SSNY 3/30/26. Off. in Nassau Co. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to the LLC, 96 Gardiners Ave, New York, NY 11756. Any lawful purpose. 23584 Apr7 tu May12

1125 Z LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/17/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2270 BROADWAY, 2ND FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10024. Purpose: Any Lawful 23104 m24-Tu a28

11 MOSHOLU CONVENIENCE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/10/2025. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 11 MOSHOLU PKWY, BRONX, NY 10467. Purpose: Any Lawful 23096 m24-Tu a28

16B NYC LLC. Filed with SSNY on 12/22/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 299 PARK AVE, 16TH FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10171. Purpose: Any Lawful 23112 m24-Tu a28

1860 MORRIS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/26/2025. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 3331 WHITE PLAINS RD, STE 101, BRONX, NY 10467. Purpose: Any Lawful 23095 m24-Tu a28

19 EAST 92GP LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/30/26. Latest date to dissolve: 12/31/2080. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 80 Varick Street, Suite 1A, New York NY 10013. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24894 a28-Tu ju2

CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

New York Law Journal

www.nylj.com

TO PLACE, CORRECT OR CANCEL CLASSIFIED ADS:

Contact: Carol Robertson Phone: 212 457 7850 Email: crobertson@alm.com

Monday thru Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM

A sales representative will confirm receipt.

ERROR RESPONSIBILITY NOTE

Please check your ad the first day it appears. All ads placed by telephone are read back for verification of copy content. In the event of New York Law Journal error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We assume no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

New York Law Journal reserves the right to edit, reject, cancel or correctly classify any ad.

DEADLINES:

Line Ads: Tuesday through Friday editions: 11:00 AM one day prior to publication

Monday edition: Friday 12:00 Noon

Display Ads: 11:00 AM two days prior to publication

CONFIDENTIAL BOX NUMBER REPLIES:

You may respond to ads with Box numbers using any method below:

E-mail your resume to: NYLJobs@alm.com (indicate box# in subject)

Fax your resume to: 646-822-5028 (indicate box # on cover sheet)

Please do not enclose writing samples unless specifically requested.

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF LEGACY DONUT 59TH STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 240 WEST 40TH ST., NEW YORK, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24816 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF LEGACY SANDWICH 59TH STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 240 WEST 40TH ST., NEW YORK, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24817 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Next State, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/15/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The Company, 20 Highland View Dr., Bayville, NY 11709. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24821 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Wilson Bostwick Holdings, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/07/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The Company, 34 Feeks Lane, Locust Valley, NY 11660. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24812 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF XURA DATA CENTER DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/07/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The Company, 57 Cornwells Beach Rd., Sands Point, NY 11050. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24822 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 130 7th Avenue Realty LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 241-10 Hillside Ave., Bellerose, NY 11426. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23831 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 241-10 Hillside Avenue Realty LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 241-10 Hillside Ave., Bellerose, NY 11426. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23832 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 32-21 Newtown Avenue Realty LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 241-10 Hillside Ave., Bellerose, NY 11426. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23834 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 52 Avenue A Realty LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 241-10 Hillside Ave., Bellerose, NY 11426. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23835 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 88 Windsor Ave LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/18/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 88 Windsor Ave., Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23817 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Allstar Advisory, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 1178 Broadway 3rd Fl., New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23819 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF ASTRACORE CAPITAL LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/26/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: LIAN FANG, ESQ., WITHERS BERGMAN LLP, 430 PARK AVE., 10TH FL., NEW YORK, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23820 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CLG DTC, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/13/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: JACK ANTEBY, 1385 BROADWAY, RM. 300, BROADWAY, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23815 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CPT 110 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/30/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 217 W. 58TH ST., UNIT 110, NEW YORK, NY 10019. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23822 a7-Tu my12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CPT 111 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/25/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 217 W. 58TH ST., UNIT 111, NEW YORK, NY 10019. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23824 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF KEY CHANGES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated for svc/proc & shall mail to 26 SOUTH MERRICK RD., MASSAPEQUA, NY 11758. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23773 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF MARKED PROPERTIES 575 FIFTH LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/17/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: ANDREA ZAKKO, ESQ., WITHERS BERGMAN LLP, 430 PARK AVE., 10TH FL., NEW YORK, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23826 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF ROYAL ULTIMATE 7 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/07/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 35 Gables Dr., Hicksville, NY 11801. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23818 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Sofium LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/13/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Registered Agents, Inc., 418 Broadway, Ste. R, Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23827 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF TRP-AP NYC 2025 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/24/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o URS Agents Inc., 3675 Crestwood Pkwy., Ste. 350, Duluth, GA 30096. Name and address of the registered agent upon whom process may be served: URS Agents, Inc., One Commerce Plaza, 99 Washington Ave., Suite 805A, Albany, NY 12210. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23829 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF TRP-AP NYC 3-4 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/24/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o URS Agents Inc., 3675 Crestwood Pkwy., Ste. 350, Duluth, GA 30096. Name and address of the registered agent upon whom process may be served: URS Agents, Inc., One Commerce Plaza, 99 Washington Ave., Suite 805A, Albany, NY 12210. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23830 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 30 PARK PLACE #70A LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24515 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CITYWIDE APARTMENTS PRESERVATION DEVELOPER, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/07/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24486 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF UNFOLDED, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/09/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 100 Maiden Ln., #604, NY, NY 10038. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Altman, Greenfield & Selvaggi, 200 Park Ave. South, 8th Fl., NY, NY 10003. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24502 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF ENCORE ADVISORY RESOURCES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/30/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24484 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF HIDDEN COSTS 2, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/08/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24507 Apr21 tu May26

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF MAYFLOWER LUXURY DEVELOPMENT LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/10/26. Office in Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE LLC, 216 W. 56TH ST., 5th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24405 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF NYRR EVENTS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/10/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 156 W 56th St., 5th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24509 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF PEPPER MANHATTAN, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Keane & Beane, P.C. Attn: Jeffrey A. Cohen, Esq., 445 Hamilton Ave., Ste. 1500, White Plains, NY 10601. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24510 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF RIDGECREST LUXURY DEVELOPMENT LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/14/26. Office in Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24408 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SofiaCretaro LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/09/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Sofia Cretaro, 327 W 21st St., NY, NY 10011. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24492 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 80 KEYLAND CT. LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/30/26. Office location: Nassau County. Princ. office of LLC: c/o Heather Brown, 68 E. 103rd St., Garden City, NY 11530. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23804 Apr7 tu May12

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 1315 MADISON STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Katzner Law Group, P.C., 1407 Broadway Rm 4002, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful act. 23058 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 221 BURNS STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Katzner Law Group, P.C., 1407 Broadway Rm 4002, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful act. 23052 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AFTER HOURS PRODUCTIONS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/11/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S., #417525, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave., #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 23025 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF KR Consulting Solutions LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 408 East 92nd Street, Apt 31B, New York, NY 10128. Purpose: any lawful act. 23029 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF MOHAMED'S AUTO DETAILING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/9/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1145 Ward Avenue, Bronx, NY 10472. Purpose: any lawful act. 22842 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Taiko Labs LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/24/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 303 W 33rd St., New York, NY 10028. Purpose: any lawful act. 22848 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF YAMSHON CONSULTING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/11/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 145 W 67th St 3D, New York, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful act. 22880 M24 T A28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AFTERGLOW NYC LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/9/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 45 Orchard Street, Storefront, New York, NY 10002. Purpose: any lawful act. 23460 m31 T my05

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Notice of formation of 9420 Queens Home LLC, a domestic LLC. Articles of Organization filed with the Secretary of State of New York (SSNY) on 03/12/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY is designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 90 Main Street, Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23238 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF HAICO LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/4/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2215 Wallace Ave, Bronx, NY 10467. Purpose: any lawful act. 22814 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF ITERYX CLINICAL RESEARCH LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/26/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Northwestern Registered Agent LLC, 418 Broadway, Ste N, Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: any lawful act. 23480 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF JOHN MATULA CREATIVE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/9/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Johnathan Matulla, 676 Riverside Dr, Apt 8EE, New York, NY 10031-0700. Purpose: any lawful act. 23087 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF M3RA CAPITAL LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/28/2023. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to P.O. Box 801, Bronx, NY 10458. Purpose: any lawful act. 21720 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SYPartners01 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/23/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2710 Harrison Avenue, Oceanside, NY 11572. Purpose: any lawful act. 23474 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Victoria Krassa LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/4/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to Victoria Krassa, 215 E 24th Street, Apt. 221, New York, NY 10010. Purpose: any lawful act. 23260 M31 T My05

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 116 PROPERTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 3/20/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY desig. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 600 MAMARONECK AVENUE #400, HARRISON, NY 10528. Any lawful purpose. 23023 Mar24 tu Apr28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF 1295 MADISON 3B LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/17/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Irene Dolgenas, 1295 Madison LLC, 430 Park Ave., 10th Fl., NY, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23077 Mar24 tu Apr28

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF HOV LANE HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/13/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23028 Mar24 tu Apr28

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

TITAN AG SPV III LLC Authority filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/26/26. Office location: NY Co. LLC formed in DE on 3/11/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 45 Rockefeller Plaza 5th Fl New York NY 1011. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St Wilmington DE 19801. Arts. of Org. filed with DE Secy. of State, P.O. Box 898 Dover, DE 19903. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24184 Apr14 tu May19

253-255 WEST 47TH STREET LLC Authority filed SSNY 3/30/2026 Office: New York Co. formed DE 2/23/2026 exists 251 Little Falls Dr, Wilmington, DE 19808 SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 500 PARK AVE, 11TH FL., NEW YORK, NY 10022 Cert. of Regis Filed DE SOS 401 Federal St #4 Dover DE 19901 General Purpose 24420 Apr21 tu May26

COLUMBIA 2561 LLC Authority filed SSNY 3/30/2026 Office: New York Co. formed DE 3/23/2026 exists 251 Little Falls Dr, Wilmington, DE 19808 SSNY design agent for process & shall mail to 500 PARK AVE, 11TH FL., NEW YORK, NY 10022. Cert. of Regis Filed DE SOS 401 Federal St #4 Dover DE 19901 General Purpose: any lawful 23452 m31 T my5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

180 FRONT 7H LLC. Filed: 2/26/26. Office: NY Co. Org in DE: 01/23/2026. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 800 Oak Grove Ave, Ste 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025. Foreign add: 251 Little Falls Dr, Wilmington, DE 19808. Arts. of Org. filed with Charuni Patibanda-sanchez, Secy of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: General. 23072 m24 tu a28

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF LIGHTHOUSE VENTURE INITIATIVES, LLC. Application for Authority filed with the Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/26/26. Office Location New York County. LLC formed in DE on 3/17/26. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of any process to: Lighthouse Venture Initiatives, LLC at 10960 Wilshire Blvd., 5th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90024. Reg. agent: c/Resident Agent: 11 Rockefeller Plz, Ste 1204, NY, NY 10020. DE address of LLC is 500 Delaware Ave., 903, Wilmington, DE 19801. The principal business address of the LLC is 10960 Wilshire Blvd., 5 Fl, Los Angeles, CA 90024. Certificate of LLC filed with Secy. of State of DE located at 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity. 24383 A21 T My26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF Addable Money, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/10/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/30/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Incorporating Services, Ltd., 3500 South DuPont Hwy., Dover, DE 19901, also the address required to be maintained in DE. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/23/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to John G Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 24813 a28-Tu ju2

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 317 Boone DE LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/18/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/17/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o ABC Properties, 152 W. 57th St., New York, NY 10019. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange St., Wilmington, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23811 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Avanti Destinations, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/16/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Nevada (NV) on 01/28/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Cogeny Global Inc., 122 East 42nd St., 18th Fl., New York, NY 10168. Address of the principal office: 111 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1200, Portland, OR 97201. Arts of Org. filed with Francisco V. Aguilar, 401 North Carson, Ste. 400, Carson, NV 89701. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23821 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Beecee, LLC. fict. name: Beecee NY, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/17/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 08/13/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 1162 Broadway Pl, 5, New York, NY 10001. Address required to be maintained in DE: c/o Paracorp Incorporated, 2140 S Dupont Hwy., Camden, DE 19934. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, P.O. Box 898, Dover, DE 19903. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23812 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Botanica Brands, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/13/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Washington (WA) on 09/11/2023. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: National Registered Agents, Inc., 28 Liberty St., New York, NY 10005. Address of the principal office: 1301 Second Ave., Ste. 2800, Seattle, WA 98101. Arts of Org. filed with WA Secy. of State, 1301 Second Ave., Ste. 2800, Seattle, WA 98101. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23813 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF DEAN KELLER & ASSOCIATES LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/16/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/05/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr, Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23027 Mar24 tu Apr28

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF BOELTE PROPERTIES, LLC. Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/16/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/16/26. Princ. office of LLC: 2228 Park Ave. South, Num 196188, NY, NY 10003. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 101 State Capitol, Oklahoma City, OK 73105. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24493 Apr21 tu May26

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF ECHO SOFTWARE, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/09/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/28/2014. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: HILARY B. MILLER, ESQ., 500 WEST PUTNAM AVE., STE. 400, GREENWICH, CT 06830. Address required to be maintained in DE: C/O IN CORPORATING SERVICES, LTD., 3500 SOUTH DUPONT HWY., DOVER, DE 19901. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23816 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF LANTERN.AI SOLUTIONS, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/26/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 12/23/2024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 418 Broadway, STE R, Albany, NY 12207, also the registered agent upon whom process may be served. Address required to be maintained in DE: 8 The Green, Ste R, Dover, DE 19901. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23825 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF Model Town LLC, fict. name: Model Town of New Jersey LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in New Jersey (NJ) on 06/13/2013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 24 Elm St., Great Neck, NY 11021. Address of the principal office: 903 Queens Ln., Glenview, IL 60025. Arts of Org. filed with the NJ State Treasury, 125 W. State St., Trenton, NJ 08625. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23836 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF APPLICATION OF AUTHORITY of 1010 UNDERHILL SNF REALTY LLC, a foreign limited liability company (LLC). Application for Authority filed with Secy. of State of New York (SSNY) on 2/13/2026. LLC organized in DE on 1

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

ARCHADIA MANAGEMENT LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/17/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 20 Vanderveer Avenue, Suite #100 East, Port Washington, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24893 a28-Tu ju2

JVD CAPITAL GROUP LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/31/26. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o David Yaffe, 20 East 68th Street, Apartment 3C, New York, NY 10065. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24895 a28-Tu ju2

212 WARREN 16A LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/12/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 299 PARK AVE 16TH FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10171. Purpose: Any Lawful 23108 m24-Tu a28

BISON 2780 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/17/2025. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2780 VALLENTINE AVE, BRONX, NY 10458. Purpose: Any Lawful 23100 m24-Tu a28

BURTON AVENUE PROPERTY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/13/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 17 WINDSOR DR, OLD WESTBURY, NY 11568. Purpose: Any Lawful 23127 m24-Tu a28

CITY TODDLERS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/28/2026. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1652 JARVIS AVE, BRONX, NY 10461. Purpose: Any Lawful 23093 m24-Tu a28

DGGK LLC. Filed with SSNY on 12/22/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 31 FLOWER LN, JERICHO, NY 11753. Purpose: Any Lawful 23138 m24-Tu a28

E FIT GEAR LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/03/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 163 MARCUS AVE, NEW HYDE PARK, NY 11040. Purpose: Any Lawful 23137 m24-Tu a28

ELBERT HOLDINGS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/29/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 148 MADISON AVE 16TH FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10016. Purpose: Any Lawful 23103 m24-Tu a28

ELIAB ASSETS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/07/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 16 MARTIN CT, GREAT NECK, NY 11024. Purpose: Any Lawful 23145 m24-Tu a28

GEONEXO CAPITAL LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/13/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 5 TUDOR CITY PL LOBBY #9, NEW YORK, NY 10017. Purpose: Any Lawful 23149 m24-Tu a28

GOTO CONSULTING LLC. Filed with SSNY on 09/18/2024. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 7832 81ST ST, GLENDALE, NY 11385. Purpose: Any Lawful 23098 m24-Tu a28

GRCC 1848 VYSE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/12/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 593 COLUMBUS AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10024. Purpose: Any Lawful 23109 m24-Tu a28

H2 PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS LLC. Filed 7/21/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 100 St Nicholas Ave Apt 3d, NY, NY 10026. Registered Agent: United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Ave, Ste 202, Bklyn, NY 11228. Purpose: General. 23044 m24-Tu a28

JJ PLANT & FLOWERS LLC. Filed 1/22/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 151 W 28 St, NY, NY 10001. Purpose: General. 23066 m24-Tu a28

LIVE BETTER BUILD GREEN LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/04/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 358 LUCILLE AVE, ELMONT, NY 11003. Purpose: Any Lawful 23131 m24-Tu a28

LONG ISLAND MOBILE GOLF LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/17/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 29 STYMUS AVENUE, BETHPAGE, NY 11714. Purpose: Any Lawful 23132 m24-Tu a28

LORING LAKE LLC. Filed 1/6/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 21 S. William St, Phil, NY, NY 10004. Purpose: General. 23042 m24-Tu a28

LUXE BLISS BY ADWOA LLC. Filed 1/16/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 228 Park Ave S #465859, NY, NY 10003. Registered Agent: United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Ave, Ste 202, Bklyn, NY 11228. Purpose: General. 23069 m24-Tu a28

MALKA MONMOUTH SQUARE LLC. Filed 2/13/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 2000 Broadway, Ste 6b, NY, NY 10023. Purpose: General. 23045 m24-Tu a28

TERMINAL 3014 LLC Art. of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/13/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 3014 Riverdale Avenue, Bronx, NY 10463, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 23428 m31-Tu my5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Mizonon Culver City LLC. Filed 11/16/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 2000 Broadway, Ste 17b, NY, NY 10023. Purpose: General. 23071 m24-Tu a28

MNYC STUDIO COLLECTIVE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/17/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 155 SEAPORT BLVD., BOSTON, MA 02210. Purpose: Any Lawful 23116 m24-Tu a28

MONTEREY'S COAST LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/17/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 311 PLYMOUTH ST, W. HEMPSTEAD, NY 11552. Purpose: Any Lawful 23143 m24-Tu a28

MRJGS GROUP LLC. Filed 2/4/25. Office: Bronx Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: c/o Matthew Alves Lo Prete, 1832 Yates Ave, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: General. 23041 m24-Tu a28

OCEANS PACIFIC LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/05/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 805 BONNIE DR, BALDWIN, NY 11510. Purpose: Any Lawful 23151 m24-Tu a28

PHANTOM CAPITAL 350 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/19/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 825 NORTHERN BLVD, STE 303, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful 23136 m24-Tu a28

PHANTOM CAPITAL 55 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 825 NORTHERN BLVD, STE 303, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful 23147 m24-Tu a28

PHANTOM PARTNERS HOLDINGS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/03/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 825 NORTHERN BLVD, STE 303, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful 23130 m24-Tu a28

PLAYTRONICS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/17/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 311 PLYMOUTH ST, W. HEMPSTEAD, NY 11552. Purpose: Any Lawful 23142 m24-Tu a28

POTTER HOLLOW PROPERTIES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/11/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2285A SPRUCE ST, SEAFORD, NY 11783. Purpose: Any Lawful 23118 m24-Tu a28

PROPERTY ADVISORY COLLECTIVE LLC. Filed 12/23/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Jessica Svenson, 90 W St 5n, NY, NY 10006. Purpose: General. 23078 m24-Tu a28

PURPLE NOON LLC. Filed 1/30/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Ralph Auriemma, 207 E 74th St, Apt 2b, NY, NY 10021. Purpose: General. 23054 m24-Tu a28

RRCMD LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/27/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 78 ALGONQUIN AVE, MASSAPEQUA, NY 11758. Purpose: Any Lawful 23126 m24-Tu a28

RST 2550 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/17/2026. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2550 DAVIDSON AVE, BRONX, NY 10468. Purpose: Any Lawful 23094 m24-Tu a28

SABOUK SERVICES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 08/19/2024. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1369 VIRGINIA AVE APT 2, BRONX, NY 10462. Purpose: Any Lawful 23097 m24-Tu a28

SHOPKEEPER SERVICES LLC. Filed 1/14/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Dux Gandhi, 240 E Houston St, Apt 5b, NY, NY 10002. Purpose: General. 23059 m24-Tu a28

SHORECLIFF GN LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/25/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 4 SHORECLIFF PL, GREAT NECK, NY 11023. Purpose: Any Lawful 23119 m24-Tu a28

SIYOM CONSULTING LLC. Filed 10/11/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 228 Park Ave S #590023, NY, NY 10003. Registered Agent: United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Ave, Ste 202, Bklyn, NY 11228. Purpose: General. 23048 m24-Tu a28

SIXTH AVENUE HOSPITALITY, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/23/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 505 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 258, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23508 m31-Tu my5

SLPG 40 EAST 23 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 515 MADISON AVE, STE 1720, NEW YORK, NY 10022. Purpose: Any Lawful 23488 m31-Tu my5

POLITE YET PERSISTENT, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/11/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 6 Satinwood Road, Bayville, NY 11709. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23506 m31-Tu my5

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

TAN AMITYVILLE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/15/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 175 E SUNRISE HWY, FREEPORT, NY 11520. Purpose: Any Lawful 23124 m24-Tu a28

TEAM LAMAR TRAVELS LLC. Filed 12/9/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 247 W 145th St, NY, NY 10039. Registered Agent: United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Ave, Ste 202, Bklyn, NY 87109. Purpose: General. 23067 m24-Tu a28

THE CLUBHOUSE AT WOODSTOCK LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/13/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 10 WHITNEY DR, WOODSTOCK, NY 12498. Purpose: Any Lawful 23115 m24-Tu a28

VECTOR CATALYST LLC. Filed 12/12/25. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: 3609 Agent Services, Inc, 25 Robert Pitt Dr, Ste 204, Monsey, NY 10952. Purpose: General. 23051 m24-Tu a28

WAG HOLDINGS NY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 07/03/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 26 RADIAL LN, LEVITTOWN, NY 11756. Purpose: Any Lawful 23121 m24-Tu a28

ZAM TRANSPORTATION LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/17/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 961 N FLETCHER AVE, VALLEY STREAM, NY 11580. Purpose: Any Lawful 23125 m24-Tu a28

2766 SEXTON NYC LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/27/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 80 East St, Hicksville, NY 11801. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23459 m31-Tu my5

468 PROPERTY OWNER, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 08/26/2015. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 267 BROADWAY, 2ND FL, NEW YORK, NY 10007. Purpose: Any Lawful 23492 m31-Tu my5

72 PALMETTO LLC. Filed with SSNET on 07/15/2020. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 360 LEWIS AVE, BROOKLYN, NY 11233. Purpose: Any Lawful 23494 m31-Tu my5

AVIORA SCIENCE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/24/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 26 W SADDLE RIVER RD, WALDWICK, NJ 07463. Purpose: Any Lawful 23509 m31-Tu my5

BARBA SUSHI LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/13/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 9118 THIRD AVE, BROOKLYN, NY 11209. Purpose: Any Lawful 23497 m31-Tu my5

BENOIT MCGUIRE TAX SERVICES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/30/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 88 PINE ST, 24TH FL, NEW YORK, NY 10005. Purpose: Any Lawful 23494 m31-Tu my5

EH ASTOR LANE LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 556 3RD AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10016. Purpose: Any Lawful 23502 m31-Tu my5

GARCIA GREEN LANDSCAPING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/30/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, One Exchange Plaza, 55 Broadway, Ste 202, NY, NY 10006. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23469 m31-Tu my5

HAMADO ENTERPRISE, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 99 WASHINGTON AVE, STE 700, ALBANY, NY 12260. Purpose: Any Lawful 23487 m31-Tu my5

KODA BAR AND RESTAURANT, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/23/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 500 NEW KARNER RD, PO BOX 15072, ALBANY, NY 12205. Purpose: Any Lawful 23500 m31-Tu my5

KP APTS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/20/2026. Office loc: Bronx County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Gupinder Singh, 338 Jericho Tpke #277, Syoset, NY 11791. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23463 m31-Tu my5

MESTOUSIS HOLDINGS, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 12/19/2022. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1228 OAK POINT AVE, BRONX, NY 10474. Purpose: Any Lawful 23101 m24-Tu a28

MANHATTAN SHAGA HOLDING LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/11/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 541E 20ST, APT 13D, NEW YORK, NY 10010. Purpose: Any Lawful 23510 m31-Tu my5

ZVBXRPL HOLDINGS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/04/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 17 GREENWAY, ROSLYN, NY 11576. Purpose: Any Lawful 23123 m24-Tu a28

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

SPRING121 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/05/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: P.O. BOX 455, CRUGERS, NY 10521. Purpose: Any Lawful 23122 m24-Tu a28

STRATA CAPITAL GROUP LLC. Filed 1/20/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Vicki Saali, 1350 Ave Of Americas, #2910, C/o Grevg, NY, NY 10019. Purpose: General. 23085 m24-Tu a28

SUVY MGMT SVCS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/13/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2207 4TH ST, E MEADOW, NY 11554. Purpose: Any Lawful 23117 m24-Tu a28

S&W IMPORTS LLC. Filed 3/9/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: c/o Usacorp Inc, 266 Broadway, Ste 401, Bklyn, NY 11211. Purpose: General. 23076 m24-Tu a28

ZE LABS LLC. Filed 2/16/26. Office: NY Co. SSNY desig. as agent for process & shall mail to: Zesen Ying, 100 E 53rd St 39a, NY, NY 10022. Purpose: General. 23049 m24-Tu a28

MA MANAGEMENT GROUP NY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 11/24/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 600 THIRD AVE APT 1207, NEW YORK, NY 10065. Purpose: Any Lawful 23496 m31-Tu my5

MODERN ABSTRACTION GALLERY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/09/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 235 EAST 58TH ST, NEW YORK, NY 10022. Purpose: Any Lawful 23512 m31-Tu my5

TURN A CARD WITH ME LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/23/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 99 WASHINGTON AVE, STE 700, ALBANY, NY 12260. Purpose: Any Lawful 23511 m31-Tu my5

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Kingdom Environmental Solutions LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/27/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 301 E 78th St #7AB, New York, NY 10075. Purpose: any lawful act. 23650 A28 T Ju02

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BRANDI HOWE LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Florida (FL) on 12/02/2020. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Brandi Howe, 6301 Collins Ave., #2905, Miami Beach, FL 33141, also the address of the principal office. Arts of Org. filed with the FL Secy. of State, 2415 N. Monroe St., Ste. 810, Tallahassee, FL 32303. Purpose: any lawful activities. 23814 a7-Tu my12

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of AIRAIN LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/06/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/17/21. Princ. office of LLC: 225 High Ridge Rd, East 21st Street, Hartford, CT 06095. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24496 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BLACKSTONE PRIVATE IG ASSOCIATES L.L.C. Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/10/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/05/26. Princ. office of LLC: 345 Park Ave., NY, NY 10154. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24521 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BODY ALIVE EAST VILLAGE, LLC. Authority filed with the Secty of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/24/26. Office in New York County. Formed in OH on 02/18/26. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 24409 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of ENVERTIS STANDARDS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 09/09/25. Princ. office of LLC: 24 Innis Ln., Old Greenwich, CT 06870. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: To develop and administer sustainability standards for coffee and cocoa farming practices. 24523 Apr21 tu May26

See Decisions of Interest only at NYLJ.COM

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE of Formation of LONG ISLAND CINEMA FESTIVAL, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/26/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 11 Skylark Lane, Levittown, NY 11756. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24789 A28 T Ju02

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Niki Lee Stationery LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 270 Park Avenue South, #11C, New York, NY 10010. Purpose: any lawful act. 24843 A28 T Ju02

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Patria Road Retreats LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/25/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 3673 Park Ave, Wantagh, NY 11793. Purpose: any lawful act. 24175 A28 T Ju02

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Safe Life Insurance Agency LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/23/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Inc Authority RA, 42 Broadway, Fl. 12-200, NY, NY 10004. Reg Agent: Inc Authority RA, 42 Broadway, Fl. 12-200, NY, NY 10004. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24153 a14-Tu my19

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE PERFORMANCE PIVOT LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/12/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 23060 Mar24 tu Apr28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Corsx, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/27/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 301 E 78th St #7AB, New York, NY 10075. Purpose: any lawful act. 24868 A28 T Ju02

TRAINA BUSINESS PARTNERS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/26/2024. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Inc Authority RA, 42 Broadway, Fl. 12-200, NY, NY 10004. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 24153 a14-Tu my19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of SHOP BAR DOG LLC. Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/13/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/27/26. Princ. office of LLC: 83 Franklin St., 5N, NY, NY 10013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State in DE, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24514 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of WINGED KEEL GROUP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/25/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 01/24/25. Princ. office of LLC: 60 E 42nd St., Fl. 44, NY, NY 10015. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 24525 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of YARDI SYSTEMS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/09/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 12/19/25. Princ. office of LLC: 430 S. Fairview Ave., Santa Barbara, CA 93117. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Software publishers. 24494 Apr21 tu May26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of AllecCorp V1 GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/17/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE