New York Law Journal

VOLUME 275—NO. 77 $4.00 WWW.NYLJ.COM THURSDAY, APRIL 23, 2026 Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888 LAW.COM

©2026 ALM MEDIA PROPERTIES, LLC.

IN BRIEF

Mintz Appoints Seasoned Litigator as New York Office Head

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo's New York office has a new managing partner for the first time in 17 years, and the new leader is a litigator who has been a part of the firm for over two decades.

Frank Earley

Frank Earley has taken over as Mintz's New York managing partner from Stephen Gulotta, who is stepping down to focus on his practice as a member of the corporate and securities practice. In an interview, Earley said that the corporate practice is one of his priorities for the New York office's growth as the location's managing partner.

"We're always looking to be opportunistic," Earley said. "We'd like to grow the corporate department and we just made a pretty big splash with a team of six antitrust litigators from Cadwalader. Those are the types of things we're looking for. We're always looking for strong lawyers who want to come and work in a welcoming environment."

According to Earley, Mintz is ready to take on additional talent in any practice area. He said that the mergers and acquisitions space is particularly strong for the New York office at the moment, noting that despite being a litigator himself, he has started getting involved in some merger work as his own clients seek out deals.

While the majority of the non-litigation work is handled by Earley's colleagues, who focus on mergers and acquisitions, series A financing and debt work, he said that he continues to be a part of discussions with those clients of his and will continue to actively practice law while leading the New York office.

"My goals for the firm are to increase the size of the office, increase the work we're doing, and be intentional about getting the partners to collaborate and talk to each other," Earley said. "I really want us to be intentional about talking with each other about opportunities to work together."

Earley has been at Mintz for 22 years and spent 16 of them as a commercial litigation partner. Before joining the firm, he was an associate at Schulte Roth & Zabel from 1999 to 2004. He said he officially took over as New York Managing partner at Mintz on April 1, when the firm's new financial year began.

While he is now leading the office, Earley said that he and Gulotta still regularly speak about the proper course of action for the office and that the two had been meeting frequently in the run-up to the leadership change.

"Steve's a great friend and in many ways was a mentor to me throughout my career, so Steve's someone I can lean on," Earley said.

In a statement on Earley's transition into the New York managing partner role, Gulotta said he was "confident that Frank is the right leader" to build on the New York office's existing successes and growth. The New York office opened in 2000 with seven attorneys and now hosts over 140, according to the firm. It's currently the firm's second-largest office, trailing its original Boston location.

Mintz grew its revenue to over $767 million in 2025, buoyed by litigation and private equity work.

—Ryan Harroff

Brown Rudnick IP Partner Leaves to Head Sills Cummis Trade Secrets Practice

Brown Rudnick IP partner Jason M. Sobel is joining midsize firm Sills Cummis & Gross in New York, helming the firm's trademark, trade secrets, and copyright practice.

Sobel spent the bulk of his legal career at the now-dissolved Stroock & Stroock & Lavan; after 19 years at the firm, he joined Brown Rudnick in March 2021 where he practiced for five years before starting at Sills Cummis as a member April 15.

"Sills Cummis provides a more cost-effective platform with strong capabili- » Page 4

Ard Finance: Combining LME, Foreign Insolvency, And Chapter 15 »5

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

First Department

PERSONAL INJURY: **NYCHA's dismissal denied after §50-h hearing adjourned without date.** *Lopez v. City of New York, Supreme Court, New York.*

Second Department

REAL ESTATE LAW: **Court upholds foreclosure after finding no valid acceleration in prior action.** *U.S. Bank Trust Co., N.A. v. Pearl-Nwabueze, Supreme Court, Kings.*

PERSONAL INJURY: **Personal injury complaint dismissed; contractor alleged undefined site conditions.** *Avila v. Vivar Group LLC, Supreme Court, Kings.*

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: **Correction Law § 865(1) age eligibility survives challenge under Equal Rights Amendment.** *George v. State of New York, Supreme Court, Westchester.*

FAMILY LAW: **Defective attorney affirmations lead court to deny motions and review referee report.** *G.B. v. J.M.B., Supreme Court, Westchester.*

EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION: **Court reinstates Mercy University accounting professor; termination found disproportionate.** *Matter of Blacker v. Mercy Univ., Supreme Court, Westchester.*

U.S. Courts

CRIMINAL APPEALS: **Panel remands for resentencing after U.S. Supreme Court bars dual firearm convictions.** *U.S. v. Barrett, 2d. Cir.*

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY: **Court denies motion to dismiss claim against AI music platform over 'stream ripping'.** *Sony Music Ent. v. Unchartered Labs Inc., SDNY.*

CONSUMER PROTECTION: **Court allows undisclosed membership fee claim in credit builder loan case to proceed.** *Burkhardt v. MoneyLion Tech. Inc., SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **Court dismisses counterclaims against fellow attorney over alleged smear campaign.** *Finley v. Przybylowski, SDNY.*

CRIMINAL LAW: **Court sentences defendant to 30 months for multistate jewelry and coin theft conspiracy.** *U.S. v. Betancur-Lopez, EDNY.*

DECISION SUMMARIES, *Page 17*
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, *nylj.com*

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....7
Corporate Update.....5
Court Calendars.....9
Court Notes.....9
Decisions.....17
Expert Analysis.....3
Judicial Ethics Opinion.....2
Lawyer to Lawyer.....3
Legal Notices.....15
Outside Counsel.....4

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

If Sullivan & Cromwell Can File an AI-Contaminated Brief, Is Risk-Proof Use of the Technology Even Possible?

BY RYAN HARROFF AND JON CAMPISI

SULLIVAN & Cromwell isn't the first law firm to have egg on its face after its lawyers were caught filing a court document replete with AI-induced hallucinations. But the fact that the mistake occurred at one of the most prestigious law firms in the world is a stark reminder to the rest of the legal industry of the risks associated with careless usage of the emerging technology.

COURTESY PHOTO

Sullivan & Cromwell is one of multiple Big Law firms to make such a gaffe as a result of artificial intelligence tools in recent months.

Sullivan & Cromwell's restructuring practice co-chair Andrew Dietderich had to apologize earlier this week to a bankruptcy judge in the Southern District of New York, after a document filed on behalf of a group of liquidators of British Virgin Islands entities collectively called Prince Global Holdings, included incorrect case citations as well as citations to entirely fictional cases.

Attorneys from Boies Schiller Flexner—who represent another party in the matter—alerted Sullivan & Cromwell to the mistake. Boies Schiller had its own mistake with AI-generated case citations last year in a case it brought against the Church of Scientology, a mistake which caused it to ask a court to let it file a corrected brief months after the fact.

A law firm leader who spoke with Law.com on the condition of anonymity said that mistakes like the one made by Sullivan & Cromwell raise ethical, reputational and economic issues for large prominent law firms. It is not new information that AI hallucinates, the leader said, so the fact that attorneys continue to file briefs with fictitious case citations makes their firm and the industry at large look bad, since, "Rule number one from first year of law school is don't cite fictional cases."

"The reputational concern is that it tells the court you didn't read the case and you didn't cite check your brief," the » Page 6



Lawmakers Continue To Push for State-Level Rollback of Qualified Immunity

BY BRIAN LEE

LOBBYISTS urged Albany lawmakers on Tuesday to eliminate qualified immunity, renewing a years-long push to revoke the judiciary-created doctrine shielding state government and law enforcement officials from civil liability.

A Legal Defense Fund organizer spoke of how the legal doctrine remains a barrier to justice for the family of Dante Taylor, who was killed by correction officers in Buffalo in 2017.

Assemblymember Pam Hunter, a high-ranking Democrat from Syracuse who sponsors her chamber's version of S176/A1402, said Taylor's case was among a number of "egregious acts these past several years."

Legal Defense Fund was among six organizations, including the Legal Aid Society and Innocence Project, advocating for the legislation to eliminate qualified immunity as a defense in state » Page 4

Small, Midsize Firms Outpaced Big Law in Lateral Hiring, As Firms Eyed Experience

BY DAN ROE

LAW FIRMS of all sizes increased their lateral hiring in 2025 for the second consecutive year, a Wednesday report from the National Association for Law Placement found, but small and midsize firms pursued lateral talent particularly aggressively.

Firms also prioritized experience in lateral hiring, with smaller firms heavily focusing on partner-level laterals and Big Law emphasizing lateral associates more than last year, according to a Tuesday NALP Foundation report on associate attrition.

"Trends in the lateral hiring market are particularly notable and align with broader industry reporting indicating that many law firms are moving toward more senior leverage models, with a growing share of their talent concentrated at higher levels of experience," NALP executive director Nikia Gray said in a Wednesday press release announc- » Page 4

Summary of Lateral Hiring in 2025 »4

COURTESY PHOTO

Columbia Law School, above, and NYU lead New York's Big Law pipeline, while Fordham Law is the top regional value option.

Go-To Law Schools: Columbia Reclaims the Throne, Georgetown, Vanderbilt Join the Top 10

BY CHRISTINE CHARNOSKY

COLUMBIA Law School bumped Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law out of first place in the 2026 Go-To Law Schools: Big Law rankings, reclaiming its long-held place at the top of the ranking.

Columbia Law had reigned in first place for 10 consecutive years until Northwestern Law overtook it in 2024. Columbia Law did not participate in the survey in 2025. Northwestern secured first place for two years in a row, falling to second place this year, still above the third-place position it held in 2023.

Columbia Law sent 75.6% of its 2025 graduates to Big Law, while Northwestern Law sent » Page 7

Top New York Law Schools by Big Law Placement

Columbia	346
NYU	258
Fordham	199
Brooklyn	71
Cardozo	67
St. John's	52

Go-To Law Schools: Big Law 2026 »7

New York's Top Court, in Split Decision, Reverses Murder Conviction

BY BRIAN LEE

A MORE than three-year delay between a fourth trial resulting in a murder conviction of a rival drug dealer in Manhattan was unjustifiably long, New York's top court said, in a divided ruling handed down Tuesday.

Judge Rivera

Court of Appeals Judge Jenny Rivera wrote for the 4-3 majority's order to overturn a second-degree murder charge, on speedy trial grounds, in the matter captioned *People v. Travis Woods*.

The case involves a 2006 shooting that resulted in the death of alleged rival drug dealer Barry Miller.

Rivera, joined by Chief Judge Rowan Wilson and Judges Shirley Troutman and Caitlin Halligan, said they were not persuaded by Manhattan prosecutor's contention that the delay before the fourth trial resulted from their » Page 4

Online

The Court of Appeals decision is posted at **nylj.com.**

New York Law Journal Inside

Environmental Law »3

Bad Faith Disregard of Benefits And Science in Federal Environmental Deregulation
by Michael B. Gerrard

Artificial Intelligence »3

When AI Builds The Business, What Can Still Be Protected as A Trade Secret?
by Randi Leath

Outside Counsel »4

Ontario and New York Take Different Approaches To Ticket Resale Prices
by Billy Cooper

CORPORATE UPDATE »5

Distress M&A: Ard Finance: Combining LME, Foreign Insolvency, And Chapter 15
by Corinne Ball

ADM Legal Vet Joins Turnaround Team at Sodexo
by Michael Gennaro

Former Hilton, Molson Coors Legal Chief Joins Century-Old Firm Riding Sustainable Energy Wave
by Trudy Knockless

Mega-Grant of Stock Serves As Fitting Finale for Retiring CLO Who Helped Script AppLovin's Storybook Run
by Chris O'Malley

Online

Court Calendars

Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now **available weeks in advance** at nylj.com. Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. **Only at nylj.com.**

Online

Today's Tip

View the special sections online and download today's paper at the Law Journal Download Center. **Only at nylj.com.**

Online

More **Corporate** columns are archived at **nylj.com**.

Online

Have you recently been involved in a major verdict or settlement?

Contact the **Law Journal** now, as your verdict, settlement or arbitration award could be entitled to publication in **VerdictSearch's** massive database—or further publicity with a front-page article by the Law Journal. Send your submissions to Law Journal bureau chief to **verdicts@verdictsearch**.com.

Latest PBM Settlement to Reverberate Through Health Plans

BY BRENDAN PIERSON

THE U.S. Federal Trade Commission's recently announced settlement with CVS Health's Caremark over its insulin drug pricing will add to the ongoing shakeup of the pharmacy benefit manager industry and force health plans to rethink their prescription drug coverage.

Details of the proposed settlement, which CVS announced last month, are not yet public. But news reports say it is similar to a landmark deal reached in February with Cigna's Express Scripts, which will bar the company, in its standard plan offering, from favoring more expensive drugs over cheaper alternatives and require it to pass rebates it receives from drug companies entirely on to patients.

On the one hand, said Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo member Theresa Carnegie, the settlements "allow the health plans to demand certain structures and terms in their contracts."

But at the same time, she said, plans have already negotiated their prescription drug benefits based on a longstanding rebate model, and "these developments throw a wrench in that."

She added: "PBMs and health plans have a symbiotic relationship. There will be discomfort not only for PBMs but for health plans in the short term. ... They have just as much planning to do as PBMs."

Both settlements stem from the FTC's long-running lawsuit accusing Express Scripts, CVS and UnitedHealth's OptumRx of driving up the price of insulin drugs. No settlement with OptumRx has been announced so far.

PBMs, which act as intermediaries between drugmakers, health plans and pharmacies to negotiate drug prices and maintain formularies of covered drugs, have long been criticized for their opaque business practices. The FTC in its complaint accused them of favoring drugs with inflated list prices on their formularies so they could collect higher rebates, with patients bearing higher costs as a result.

DIEGO M. RADZINSCHI/ALM

The FTC's long-running lawsuit accusing Express Scripts, CVS and UnitedHealth's OptumRx of driving up the price of insulin drugs.

Critics of PBMs have touted the deal, along with new federal transparency requirements, as a way of bringing down drug costs, but it remains to be seen exactly what will take the place of the old rebate model.

Carnegie said that PBMs will likely try to make up for lost rebate income in part through the administrative fees they charge health plans and drug companies, although those fees can no longer be tied to list prices. That could involve "new and creative arrangements" in which they seek to charge drug companies fees for specific services, she said.

Notably, the Express Scripts settlement leaves open the option for health plans to negotiate nonstandard formulary agreements keeping the older rebate system in place. But Davis Wright Tremaine counsel Kara Maddalena said that would likely not be a common outcome, in part because of the high level of sophistication needed to negotiate an individualized agreement.

For most health plans, she said, "I just don't see a particular reason to explore the nonstandard formulary."

Maddalena said that it is now a "critical time" for plans on Express Scripts' standard formulary to start preparing for the settlement to take full effect in 2028.

"Right now is when plan sponsors are working with their consultants to look forward to their new formulary pricing," she said. "Plan sponsors are going to have to really become more sophisticated consumers of PBM services."

When it comes to the CVS settlement, which is not yet public, health plans will need to wait and see, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney attorneys wrote in a client alert.

"As the details emerge, stakeholders should closely monitor the situation to evaluate how these changes may affect compliance obligations, drug pricing strategies and consumer access to essential medications," they wrote.

A further source of unpredictability is that, even if Optum also eventually settles with the FTC, the agreements with the big three would not be binding on smaller PBMs, which account for about 20% of the market. But Maddalena said they would probably follow suit.

"I think that these large players with these significant restrictions are going to shape the smaller markets as well," she said. "They'll be seen as the leaders of the new market way of operating."

Carnegie agreed, also noting that all PBMs will be subject to the new transparency requirements.

"I think there will be a trickle-down effect and ultimately most PBMs will have to operate in the same way," she said.

Fed Chair Nominee Vows Independence as Trump Pressure Looms

BY DAN NOVAK

FEDERAL Reserve chair nominee Kevin Warsh repeatedly stressed to a Senate panel Tuesday the importance of maintaining independence and credibility at the nation's central bank while disputing that he would lower interest rates at President Donald Trump's direction.

"The president never asked me to predetermine, commit, fix, decide on any interest rate decision in any of our discussions, nor would I ever agree to do so," Warsh told the Senate Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs Committee.

Trump has threatened the central bank's independence in unprecedented ways, such as by opening criminal probes into the Fed and chair Jerome Powell over the renovation costs of the agency's headquarters. Trump also attempted to fire Democratic Fed Gov. Lisa Cook on allegations of mortgage fraud, which Cook has denied.

GRAEME SLOAN/BLOOMBERG

Kevin Warsh, President Donald Trump's nominee for chair of the Federal Reserve, during his Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee confirmation hearing Tuesday.

Warsh, a financier and former Fed governor, said it is perfectly acceptable for politicians to voice their opinions over Fed policy but that he will be "strictly independent" on monetary policy.

"President Trump expresses it quite publicly without surrogates or subterfuge—but presidents want lower rates," he said. "But Fed independence is up to the Fed. Fed leadership has to make a decision about what's the right thing to do."

In fact, Trump—who has publicly sought to pressure the Fed to lower rates—said earlier Tuesday in an interview with CNBC that he would be disappointed if Warsh did not cut rates immediately upon being confirmed.

Sen. Elizabeth Warren of Massachusetts, the committee's ranking Democrat, said Trump would never nominate a Fed chair who disagreed with him about rate cuts and accused Warsh of being the president's "sock puppet." In a testy exchange with Warren, Warsh would not say that Trump lost the 2020 presidential election nor whether he disagrees with any of the president's policies.

"If you can't answer these questions, you don't have the courage and you don't have the independence," Warren said.

Warren and other Democrats also raised ethics questions about Warsh's financial assets, which are worth about $100 million, according to initial disclosures. Warsh said he would "divest all of those assets" but did not provide further details about the holdings.

He also did not say whether he has investments in companies related to the Trump family, Chinese businesses or those that intersected with Jeffrey Epstein.

Warsh, when asked how he would approach the job, said the Fed must reform how it handles monetary policy in times of relative stability and that the Fed has lost credibility when it tries to expand its reach beyond its authorized limits. He said the Fed's job during a crisis is to provide liquidity and argued that in today's "normal times" reforms should focus on ensuring the central bank is better prepared for the next downturn.

"We have a window where the economy is improving, but I would say more improvement is still possible," Warsh added. "And it's during this policy that we need to look introspectively, look fundamentally at reform."

The nominee also criticized the Fed for failing to quell inflation after the pandemic and missing its target.

"We are still dealing with the legacy of the policy errors in 2021 and 2022," he said. "Once you let inflation take hold in the economy, it's more expensive and harder to bring it down."

Powell's term as chair ends May 15 but he has said he will stay on until a successor is confirmed. Trump has threatened to fire Powell if he does not step down.

Trump's incursion into the Fed has put Warsh's nomination in jeopardy and it is unclear when or if he will be confirmed. Sen. Thom Tillis, R- North Carolina, said he will not advance Warsh's nomination until the White House ends its investigation into Powell, which he views as undermining the bank's independence.

"You have extraordinary credentials," Tillis told Warsh. "Let's get rid of this investigation so I can support your nomination."

@ Dan Novak can be reached at dan.novak@alm.

Table Games Dealers Flush Casinos With New Wage Lawsuits

BY CHARLES TOUTANT

CASINOS in Atlantic City are coping with a crop of class suits alleging that table games dealers should not be classified as tipped workers. The suits seek to end mandatory tip-pooling policies that require the would-be class members to share gratuities with coworkers.

Seven suits were filed by Stueve Siegel Hanson of Kansas City, Missouri, along with the McClelland Law Firm in Liberty, Missouri, and Winebrake & Santillo of Dresher, Pennsylvania, in mid-March. The suits seek relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New Jersey Wage and Hour Law on behalf of dealers of games such as roulette, blackjack and craps.

Carolyn Richmond of Fox Rothschild, representing the Golden Nugget, said in a statement, "The Golden Nugget takes great pride in its outstanding team and works very hard to make sure its compensation system is fair, competitive and compliant. While it respects everyone's right to avail themselves of our legal system, we think this claim is frivolous and we will demonstrate that in court."

Fisher & Phillips has signed on to represent the Hard Rock Hotel & Casino Atlantic City and the Ballys Atlantic City Hotel & Casino. That firm's Kathleen Caminiti declined to comment on the litigation. Cozen O'Connor is representing the Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa, Tropicana Atlantic City and Harrah's Resort Atlantic City. They did not respond to requests for comment. No counsel has entered an appearance for Resorts Atlantic City.

The suits have been assigned to U.S. District Judge Edward Kiel.

The plaintiffs allege that the tip-pooling policy employed by the casinos is illegal, because it results in the plaintiffs and class members getting paid far less than New Jersey's minimum wage of $15.92 per hour.

ALAN/ADOBE STOCK

Tropicana Hotel and Casino on the boardwalk in Atlantic City

Table games dealers typically put in 60 minutes of time dealing, followed by a 20-minute rest period. This rest time is on the clock, because the dealers are under their employer's control and are unable to leave the premises, but they are precluded from making tips because they are not at the tables, the suits allege.

"The upshot of this structure is that, before accounting for idle time, pre- and post-shift time, and waiting time, table games dealers automatically spend 25% of their time on nontipped work. Importantly, [defendants include] all dealer time in the tip pool, including the nontipped work," the suits allege.

"This violates the New Jersey Wage and Hour Law. New Jersey Law makes clear that this nontipped time is not eligible for the tip credit because it exceeds 20% of the dealers' working time in every workweek," the suits allege.

"Importantly, it also voids the tip pool entirely. New Jersey law treats dealers as nontipped workers who do not customarily and regularly receive tips for the time where, as here, the dealers spend more than 20% of the workweek on nontipped work. [Defendants'] decision to include this time in the tip pool means that [defendants are] requiring table games dealers to pool tips with employees who do not customarily and regularly receive tips while also claiming a tip credit, which voids the tip pool and the tip credit under both the NJWHL and the FLSA," according to the complaints.

Tip pooling in casinos has repeatedly prompted litigation, and has become an active area within wage-and-hour law.

In December 2025, a federal judge in the Middle District of Pennsylvania approved a $2.3 million settlement of a class action against the Mount Airy Casino Resort in Mount Pocono, Pennsylvania. In that case, class members alleged that Mount Airy violated the federal FLSA by taking a tip credit to allow the casino to count a portion of employees' tips toward their federal minimum wage obligations.

@ Charles Toutant can be reached at charles.toutant@alm.com.

Judicial Ethics Opinions

The Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics responds to written inquiries from New York state's approximately 3,600 judges and justices, as well as hundreds of judicial hearing officers, support magistrates, court attorney-referees, and judicial candidates (both judges and non-judges seeking election to judicial office). The committee interprets the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR Part 100) and, to the extent applicable, the Code of Judicial Conduct. The committee consists of 28 current and retired judges, and is co-chaired by the Honorable Debra L. Givens, an acting justice of the supreme court in Erie County, and the Honorable Lillian Wan, an associate justice of the appellate division, second department.

Opinion: 25-164

Digest: (1) When a judge concludes, based on communications he/she received directly from Judge A, that Judge A has committed a substantial violation of the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct and that the violation is so serious that it calls into question Judge A's fitness to hold judicial office, he/she must report Judge A to the Commission on Judicial Conduct.

(2) Where a judge lacks information indicating a substantial likelihood that Judge B has committed a substantial violation of the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct, he/she need not take any action, but may do so in his/her sole discretion.

Rules: 22 NYCRR 100.1; 100.2; 100.2(A); 100.3(D)(1); Opinions 23-243; 22-115; 22-113; 21-19; 18-66; 11-08.

The inquiring judge has received multiple communications from Judge A expressing dissatisfaction with Judge A's current judicial assignment and sharing ideas about strategies, such as refusing to set bail, that might "force" court administrators to reassign Judge A. In the inquiring judge's view, Judge A has also "spoken and acted in a manner that shows bias against the district attorney's office." Shortly after a prosecutor criticized Judge A's judicial temperament on the record, the inquirer received further communications from Judge A claiming that a longstanding courtroom set-up was unethical and refusing to call cases until the set-up was changed. After reviewing these communications, the inquiring judge has "serious questions about Judge A's ability to be fair and impartial, as well as about [Judge A's] appreciation of [his/her] fundamental responsibilities as a judge." Subsequently, the inquiring judge learned from an administrative or supervising judge that Judge B was likewise refusing to call cases until the courtroom set-up was changed, but the inquiring judge is "not aware"

» Page 6

Expert Analysis

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

Bad Faith Disregard of Benefits and Science In Federal Environmental Deregulation

By **Michael B. Gerrard**

Since the beginning of the Reagan administration in 1981, federal agencies have used cost-benefit analysis when making important policy decisions, especially when issuing regulations. In the years since then the methodologies have been refined and enshrined in White House guidance.

The second Trump administration has upended these practices by often ignoring the benefits of regulations and only considering the costs. In doing so it often claims that there are such uncertainties about the benefits that they should be disregarded. It uses these claims in support of repealing or softening regulations that prior administrations found would save thousands of human lives.

If scientific uncertainty was the reaal reason for disregarding benefits, a good faith response would be to conduct more research to reduce the uncertainty. Instead, the Trump administration has systematically cancelled research programs, closed laboratories, fired scientists, distorted research results, and generally attacked the scientific enterprise. It is hard to escape the conclusion that this disregard of benefits is a bad faith effort to reduce regulations that are costly to the industries the administration favors.

This disregard of benefits is occurring throughout the federal and some state governments. The Department of Health and Human Services under Secretary Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. is famously doing so with regard to vaccines. This article is focused on environmental regulations and on the administration's assault on environmental science, especially climate science.

Disregarding Benefits

The disregard of benefits in cost-benefit analysis, and the excuse that scientific uncertainty is a major reason, have been analyzed in an article to appear in the Harvard Environmental Law Review by NYU Law School Dean Emeritus Richard L. Revesz, who was administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs during the Biden administration.

As Dean Revesz has written, while the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the first Trump administration revoked many regulations, under the second it has gone much further and "simply declared that, at least for now, it would no longer seek to quantify or monetize the health benefits of air pollution reductions," such as the number of premature deaths averted as a result of the regulations.

> The insurance industry relies heavily on climate data and projections in assessing risk and pricing policies; some in it are among those already expressing alarm about these developments.

One important example concerns fine particulate matter (PM2.5), an air pollutant that is known to cause or aggravate respiratory illnesses including lung cancer, cardiovascular diseases, neurological issues, and other disorders. Numerous studies have found that PM2.5 is a non-threshold pollutant, meaning that there is no safe level of exposure.

Dean Revesz found that EPA mounted "a multi-front attack on the scientific and analytical underpinnings of PM2.5 benefit analysis," and did so "without pointing to any scientific study suggesting that PM2.5 was a threshold contaminant or directly attacking the peer reviewed studies supporting the no-threshold approach." Citing "scientific uncertainty," EPA has used this approach to revoke or weaken several regulations that would control emissions of PM2.5 from power plants and other sources.

Another example of considering the costs but not the benefits of a regulation occurred on Feb. 12, 2026 when President Trump and EPA Administrator Lee Zeldin announced the rescission of the endangerment finding that was the basis for EPA's use of the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gases (GHGs), and the repeal of the GHG emission standards for motor vehicles. At their press conference, the President stood in front of a poster that read "Saving $1.3 Trillion for the American Taxpayer."

That figure came from the regulatory impact analysis that EPA released that evening. Table A-1 in that document says that, if the motor vehicle standards are rescinded, $1.09 trillion would be saved from reduced vehicle costs, and another $200 billion from reduced electric vehicle purchases and lowered spending on charging infrastructure. However, the same table showed that without the standards $1.43 trillion in costs would be incurred from increased fuel purchases, vehicle repair and maintenance, insurance, traffic congestion and noise, and an additional $40 billion in costs from energy security, refueling time, and drive value.

In other words, the $1.3 trillion in savings (what was displayed on the poster and in the statements by both Mr. Trump and Mr. Zeldin) are smaller than the $1.44 trillion in costs, which they never acknowledged.

In conducting cost-benefit analysis, for the past 30 years EPA has valued each statistical life at around $11.7 million. » Page 8

MICHAEL B. GERRARD *is Andrew Sabin Professor of Professional Practice and founder and faculty director of the Sabin Center for Climate Change Law at Columbia Law School, with a joint appointment to the faculty of the Columbia Climate School.*

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

When AI Builds the Business, What Can Still Be Protected as a Trade Secret?

By **Randi Leath**

Artificial intelligence is rapidly changing how businesses are created and operated. Entrepreneurs and companies increasingly rely on generative AI tools to develop marketing strategies, write code, design products, analyze markets, and even shape core business models. As these tools become embedded in day-to-day operations, a fundamental question arises:

When a business is built, at least in part, through generative AI systems trained on vast amounts of publicly available data and operated by third-party platforms, what, if anything, can still qualify as a protectable trade secret?

At first glance, trade secret law appears flexible enough to accommodate this shift. Unlike copyright and patent, trade secret protection does not depend on human authorship. Information may qualify as a trade secret so long as it derives independent economic value from not being generally known or readily ascertainable and is subject to reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy. Generative AI, however, places pressure on each element of that framework, particularly in the context of AI-generated trade secrets. While AI does not eliminate trade secret protection, it complicates the analysis at every stage of a trade secret's lifecycle, including its creation, its use, and its preservation. In many cases, the use of AI may not merely threaten trade secret protection. It may prevent it from arising in the first instance.

Readily Ascertainable In the Age of AI

The first challenge arises from the information's very creation. The central question is whether AI-assisted outputs are "readily ascertainable." Trade secret law asks whether a competitor could acquire or reproduce the information through proper means without significant time, effort, or expense.

Historically, what qualified as "readily ascertainable" was shaped by the limits of human effort, ingenuity, and time. Generative AI removes many of those constraints.

Where widely available AI systems can generate similar outputs in response to routine prompting, the time, effort, and expense required to replicate a particular result may be negligible. If a competitor, armed with comparable knowledge and access to similar tools, can arrive at substantially the same output with ease through ordinary use of those systems, it is unlikely that the information will be treated as anything other than readily ascertainable.

This is not a novel concern. Much of the current commentary has focused on AI's ability to piece together existing proprietary information from public sources. A commonly cited example is whether a system could reconstruct something like Coca-Cola's famously guarded recipe by synthesizing publicly available data. Those concerns are well founded. As generative AI systems improve, their ability to aggregate, infer, and reconstruct sensitive information from diffuse sources raises obvious risks for holders of traditional trade secrets.

The problem becomes even more pronounced where the information at issue is itself generated using AI. In that setting, the system has already performed the analytical work of identifying patterns, synthesizing inputs, and producing a usable output. To the extent those systems are trained on user interactions, including both inputs and outputs, they are not only capable of reaching similar results again, but of doing so more efficiently over time.

As these systems continue to improve, their ability to replicate outputs through ordinary prompting will only increase. Advances in pattern recognition, inference, and large-scale data processing make it increasingly plausible that an AI system, given access to publicly available information and commonsense prompting, could arrive at results that closely resemble what a business considers proprietary with ease.

Regulatory developments may accelerate this trend. Emerging transparency laws, such as California's Artificial Intelligence Training Data Transparency law, require developers to disclose key information about the datasets used to train their models, including the nature of the data, when it was collected, and how it is used in training.

As these requirements expand, they may make it easier for competitors to understand how leading systems are built and to develop models trained on similar or overlapping datasets. In turn, this may increase the likelihood that different systems produce similar outputs in response to similar prompts, further reducing the effort required to replicate AI-assisted results.

In that environment, the line between proprietary insight and readily reproducible output becomes increasingly difficult to maintain.

This shift has significant implications for the types of information that can realistically be protected. Categories of business information that have historically been treated as protectable, such as internal processes, pricing models, customer segmentation strategies, and operational frameworks, may increasingly fall into the category of information that is readily ascertainable. Where such » Page 6

RANDI LEATH *is a member at Chartwell Law.*

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at
info@blaikiegroup.com

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE BLAIKIE GROUP

☐ Appeals ☐ Supersedeas
☐ Discharge Lien ☐ Executor
☐ Guardian ☐ Lost Instrument

111 John St., 16th Floor, New York, New York 10038

212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Colette M. Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding

www.blaikiegroup.com

LAWYER TO LAWYER

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK
Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY

RANDY C. BOTWINICK
34 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death
- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents

Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid

JAY HALPERN
39 Years Experience

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over $100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI 150 Alhambra Circle Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134 P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

PALM BEACH 2385 NW Executive Center Drive Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431 P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

Toll Free: 1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | www.personalinjurylawyer.ws

Reach your peers to generate referral business

LAWYER TO LAWYER

For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850 or email crobertson@alm.com

THE NEW YORK NO-FAULT ARBITRATION ATTORNEY TO THE PERSONAL INJURY MEMBERS OF THE BAR

ANDREW J. COSTELLA JR., ESQ.

CONCENTRATING IN NO-FAULT ARBITRATION

FOR YOUR CLIENTS' OUTSTANDING

MEDICAL BILLS & LOST WAGE CLAIMS

SUCCESSFULLY HANDLING THOUSANDS OF NO-FAULT CLAIMS
Proud to serve and honored that New York's most prominent personal injury law firms have entrusted us with their no-fault arbitration matters

LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J COSTELLA JR., ESQ., A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
600 Old Country Road, Suite 307, Garden City, NY 11530
(516) 747-0377 | arbmail@costellalaw.com

VerdictSearch

Keep up with Verdict & Settlement Trends in Your State

Get exclusive access to news-breaking verdicts including:

- Insightful trends in your jurisdiction
- Detailed briefs
- Top weekly verdicts for your practice area

To get started, visit VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews or contact the VerdictSearch Sales Team at 1-800-445-6823

ALM.

IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

ties for my clients," Sobel, who will reside in the firm's New York City office, said in a statement. "Sills Cummis' platform is very well suited to practical, strategic, and efficient client service for operating companies. While Sills Cummis at about 150 attorneys is smaller than Brown Rudnick, the number of lawyers focused in my area of practice is not materially different. I cannot think of a matter that Sills Cummis' IP team would not be equipped to handle."

Jason M. Sobel

Richard H. Epstein

Sobel's clients will be joining him in moving to Sills Cummis, with the firm's managing partner-elect Richard Epstein adding that Sobel will be a great resource for the firm's international clients including those in Asia.

"Jason has extensive experience in IP litigation and trade secrets, providing great depth to our clients who expect aggressive representation at better price points," Epstein said in a statement."

According to Sobel's profile at Sills Cummis, his former clients include life sciences company Merck, technology company Fujifilm, and Asia-based Nomura Securities International.

Looking at IP practice trends, Sobel has seen ongoing growth in demand in the trade secrets area of his practice, a trend that he said has grown over the past two decades.

"We are still in the midst of about a 20 year trend of exponential growth in trade secret case filings," Sobel said. "Clients are increasingly aware of trade secret risk, and there is need for advice across a diverse range of business operations. I built and maintained a very active trade secret practice at Stroock that I brought to Brown Rudnick, and now I am looking forward to continuing to be active in that area of my practice with my new and former colleagues."

Epstein, meanwhile, noted that clients are becoming more price-conscious in the IP legal services space, stressing that both domestic and international clients are "looking for aggressive IP representation without having to pay Am Law 100 prices."

In recent weeks, Sills Cummis has also taken steps to grow out its real estate and litigation departments, adding two attorneys to each group as of April 10. The firm has additionally brought on an of counsel and associate in its IP practice, with future plans to grow in its core practices of litigation, corporate, real estate, and bankruptcy.

"We are taking additional space in our New York City office to meet our continued growth. We continue to look for opportunities to expand in both New York City and New Jersey," Epstein said in terms of future geographic growth.

In response to a request for comment, Brown Rudnick spokesperson Meghan Orencole said "We are grateful for Jason's contributions to the firm and we wish him well."

—Amanda O'Brien

NYC's Meister Seelig & Schuster Adds Criminal Defense Attorney to Lead Expansion Into Nashville

Manhattan-based Meister Seelig & Schuster had joined with a longtime criminal defense attorney to enter the Nashville, Tennessee, market as part of a long-term growth strategy that includes its first foray into the nation's interior in its three-decade history.

The firm expanded to the growing market with the addition of veteran criminal defense attorney Kimberly Hodde to lead the new office, the firm announced Tuesday.

Hodde will direct operations in Nashville from the midsize firm's new office in the midst of historic Music Row, while also chairing its national criminal defense practice, and co-chairing its white-collar and criminal defense group.

The announcement follows the firm's recent rebrand to Meister Seelig & Schuster from Meister Seelig & Fein after litigation and crisis management partner Mitch Schuster was elevated to named partner.

Schuster chairs the firm's litigation department and crisis management practice and has represented numerous celebrities in the sports and entertainment industries. He said in a statement that the addition of Hodde and her solo practice "strengthens our national white collar and criminal defense capabilities while establishing an important presence in Nashville as we continue to expand the firm's reach."

"The addition of the Nashville office represents the type of strategic growth we envisioned when we announced the firm's transition to Meister Seelig & Schuster," Schuster said. "Kim has built an exceptional criminal defense practice and is widely respected for her trial experience and leadership in the defense bar.

"Combining our platforms strengthens our national white collar and criminal defense capabilities while establishing an important presence in Nashville as we continue to expand the firm's reach," he said.

Its Nashville move follows its expansion to Los Angeles in 2023 with its combination with boutique crisis management firm Chassman & Seelig.

Hodde said the firm chose to open in Nashville because the city is "exploding in population"—which means the court activity in the city is "also exploding."

"What we're seeing is more and more very large-scale civil litigation happening in Nashville that a lot of time you might have just seen brought in New York or Los Angeles," Hodde said. "Those cases are now being brought in Nashville."

She said Nashville and Atlanta are the largest "hubs" of criminal law activity in the Southeast.

"Our cases are just booming and we do an incredible volume of work," Hodde said of the Meister firm. "We hope to be able to reach a broader audience but, by landing in Nashville, we hope to really tap into the growing market here."

She noted she will be responsible for the hiring and expansion of the Nashville office—which the firm is planning to eventually mirror its New York headquarters in total practice areas offered. The office will have four total attorneys by September and is actively recruiting for more—with the goal of having attorneys in such areas as real estate, estate planning, corporate litigation, intellectual property and family law, she said.

"I'm excited to be a part of their expansion into Nashville and I think it's going to be ... a lot of work but a huge success," Hodde said. Hodde, who has practiced in Nashville for 30 years, noted she had been discussing the move with the Meister firm for about four years before joining in March.

Hodde's national practice focuses on representing individuals and businesses primarily in federal court in criminal investigations and prosecutions, including matters involving bank and health care fraud, tax offenses, money laundering and other federal charges.

Kim Hodde

She also has represented clients in the entertainment industry, which dovetails somewhat with Meister Seelig's past work—including recent representation of such celebrities as Cardi B in the launch of her signature hair care brand called Grow-Good Beauty.

Schuster and Meister Seelig's Kevin Fritz represented actor and director Justin Baldoni in a federal lawsuit against actress Blake Lively and husband Ryan Reynolds that was linked to her allegations of sexual harassment against Baldoni during the production of the movie "It Ends With Us."

"With me having the back-end support that I'm going to have at Meister Seelig, and with the practice growing in the way that it is, we're going to be able to handle such an expansive volume of matters that we haven't really been able to handle," Hodde said.

Hodde said she had been a solo practitioner for three decades and had offers to join other firms but had never found "a good fit." She said no other firm had "matched the level of excellence, expertise and shared ambition" that the Meister firm offered.

"They hire nothing but A-plus lawyers," she said.

"As much as I hate to admit it, I'm a bit of a 'lawyer snob,'" she said. "I really want to make sure that, if I'm going to hitch my wagon to a larger group, I want to make sure that everybody in the group is really kind of a premier, quality kind of lawyer and that's exactly what I found with this group."

—Thomas Spigolon

Outside Counsel

Ontario and New York Take Different Approaches to Ticket Resale Prices

By **Billy Cooper**

Governments on both sides of the border are once again grappling with a persistent feature of the modern live-event economy: the rapid resale of tickets at prices far exceeding their original cost.

Ontario's recently proposed amendments to its ticketing laws, introduced in advance of upcoming FIFA World Cup matches in Toronto, represent one of the most direct regulatory responses to date. The proposal would prohibit the resale of tickets above their original all-in purchase price, including fees and taxes, and would authorize penalties of up to $10,000 for violations.

If enacted, the measure would place Ontario in sharp contrast with New York, where the law takes a markedly different approach.

New York does not impose a cap on ticket resale prices. Instead, its statutory framework—set forth in Article 25 of the Arts and Cultural Affairs Law—accepts the existence of a secondary market and focuses on regulating the manner in which that market operates. The law emphasizes transparency, consumer choice, and enforcement against practices that distort access to tickets in the first instance.

This divergence reflects two distinct regulatory philosophies.

Ontario's proposal proceeds from the premise that excessive resale pricing constitutes a form of consumer harm warranting direct intervention. By limiting resale prices to the original transaction cost, the province seeks to remove the financial incentive for speculative purchasing and rapid flipping of tickets. The rule is clear in its intent and application: resale is permitted, but profit is not.

New York's framework, by contrast, does not treat elevated resale prices as inherently improper. Rather, it is designed to ensure that consumers are not misled or restricted within the marketplace. Secondary sellers must disclose that tickets are being resold and must present pricing information in a clear and conspicuous manner prior to the completion of a transaction. At the same time, the law protects the transferability of tickets by limiting the ability of primary sellers to impose restrictive resale conditions, particularly through paperless ticketing systems that would otherwise confine transactions to a single platform.

New York also addresses conduct that interferes with fair access to the primary market. The use of automated purchasing software to circumvent ticket limits is prohibited, and the resale of tickets acquired through such means is subject to enforcement by the attorney general. Civil penalties may be imposed, and certain violations may give rise to criminal liability. These provisions reflect a targeted effort to prevent manipulation without prescribing the ultimate price at which tickets may be resold.

> As demand for live events continues to grow, and as global events place additional pressure on ticket markets, the effectiveness of these differing frameworks will likely come under closer examination.

The contrast between the two approaches is significant.

Ontario's proposed cap offers a straightforward response to widely publicized instances of extreme resale pricing, particularly in connection with high-demand sporting events and major concert tours. By directly limiting resale prices, the province seeks to align the market more closely with consumer expectations of fairness and accessibility.

At the same time, price controls may present practical enforcement challenges and risk displacing resale activity to less transparent or unregulated channels, where consumer protections are diminished and the likelihood of fraud may increase.

New York's model, in contrast, prioritizes market function and consumer information. It permits prices to rise in response to demand but seeks to ensure that transactions occur within a framework that is transparent and free from manipulation. The tradeoff is that, even in a compliant marketplace, prices may reach levels that place tickets beyond the reach of many consumers. The law addresses the integrity of the transaction, rather than its outcome.

These competing models underscore a broader policy question: whether consumer protection is best achieved by regulating price or by regulating process.

For now, New York has chosen the latter course. Article 25, which governs much of the state's ticketing regime, has been extended through 2029, signaling a legislative preference for continuity rather than structural change. Ontario, by contrast, appears poised to test a more interventionist approach at a moment when public scrutiny of ticket pricing has reached a new high.

As demand for live events continues to grow, and as global events place additional pressure on ticket markets, the effectiveness of these differing frameworks will likely come under closer examination. Whether New York ultimately revisits its approach may depend less on legal theory than on the practical realities faced by consumers attempting to access the events themselves.

BILLY COOPER *is the managing director at Billy Cooper Law, a New York-based personal-injury law firm.*

Summary of Lateral Hiring in 2025

Category	Median #	Average #	Total # Reported	% Change from 2024
All Lateral Hiring in 2025	4	11.6	3,535	16.4%
Partners	1	2.6	787	17.8%
Associates	2	6.8	2,059	17.1%
Other Lateral Lawyers	1	2.3	689	13.0%

SOURCE: NALP 2025 Survey on Lateral and 3L Hiring

Hiring

« Continued from page 1

ing the lateral hiring report.

Firms with 250 or fewer lawyers increased lateral hiring by an average of 44% in 2025 compared to 2024, whereas the average lateral hiring increase across NALP's total cohort of 305 law firms was 16%.

Conversely, law firms with more than 1,000 lawyers increased lateral hiring by 11%, and firms in the middle—with 251 to 1,000 lawyers—posted a 20% lateral hiring uptick.

In total, the lateral hiring increase was driven by associates, whose movements accounted for 58.2% of total laterals. Partners accounted for 22.3% of lateral hiring, and staff attorneys and counsel moves made up the difference.

Last year's shift toward experience in lateral hiring was also more pronounced among smaller firms, although it was still widespread: Partner and associate lateral hiring increased by 17.8% and 17.1%, respectively, across all firms in 2025, marking a departure from 2024, when associate lateral hiring grew by 25% compared to 2% lateral partner hiring growth.

But at firms with 250 or fewer lawyers, lateral partner hiring was up by 88.7%, whereas firms with more than 1,000 lawyers increased lateral partner hiring by just 10.1%.

A similar trend appeared in the Tuesday NALP Foundation report that focused on associate hiring and attrition. That report found that firms with 251 to 500 lawyers hired more lateral associates than they did entry-level associates in 2025, while firms with 1,000 lawyers or more hired a roughly even split.

Tuesday's report showed that overall associate hiring increased by 4% in 2025, although in contrast to 2024, lateral associate hiring slightly outstripped entry-level hiring.

Meanwhile, the associate attrition rate held at 19%, down from the 20% attrition rate observed in 2024.

About half of associate departures in 2025 were deemed "unwanted" by participating firms, a proportion that also changed minimally from 2024.

@ Dan Roe can be reached at dan.roe@alm.com.

Murder

« Continued from page 1

good-faith efforts to reassess and reinvestigate the case, which was hampered by the death of a key eyewitness.

Legal observers view the majority jurists as the court's more liberal bloc, while its more conservative jurists signed onto Judge Madeline Singas' dissent.

Singas, a former Nassau County district attorney, wrote that the majority short-circuited criminal procedure by granting the defense's speedy-trial motion without a hearing.

Woods had been arrested and indicted for participating in a drug-trafficking organization, including the 2006 fatal shooting.

He was convicted and sentenced to nine years' incarceration on drug offenses, but the jury deadlocked on murder and weapons possession charges, and so prosecutors retried him multiple times. Juries were unable to reach a verdict.

Matthew Bova, a supervising attorney for the Center for Appellate Litigation, secured a fifth trial for Woods.

During March 11 arguments in the Bronx, Bova argued that prosecutors holding "a series of meetings for 32 months to decide whether to retry someone a third time is too long and is unreasonable."

Assistant District Attorney Christian Rose had argued that the extreme act of dismissing a murder charge would be unwarranted, since Woods hadn't suffered additional pre-trial incarceration, and his ability to defend himself wasn't impaired by their delay.

Bova and a spokesperson for the Manhattan DA's office did not respond to requests for comment on Wednesday.

Rivera wasn't persuaded by the contention that the bulk of the delay had been caused by the series of meetings within the DA's office, meetings that had been requested by defense counsel who had sought a dismissal.

"The three-year delay was substantial, and the record contains only vague assertions of the prosecution's reasons for its delay," Rivera wrote. "Although the serious nature of the charges weighs in the prosecution's favor, this factor's importance is diminished by the fact that the prosecution had already unsuccessfully tried the case three times."

Two people had identified Woods as the shooter, including one who testified for prosecutors during the first and third trials—but died before the fourth trial.

Rivera wrote that the read-back of his testimony diminished the defense's attempts to challenge his credibility.

On the other hand, Singas said both parties were deprived of the opportunity to resolve factual questions from a thin record of approximately 30 calendar calls on the case's status.

Singas said prosecutors should have had the opportunity to litigate reasons for delays of trials.

The decision, she said, denied both parties the opportunity to account for additional evidence found through a reinvestigation.

Singas added that the decision may have lasting, damaging consequences, even for defendants, as she accused the majority of "cherry-picking a version of the facts to conclude that a speedy trial violation occurred while ignoring material and contradictory portions of the record."

Judges Michael Garcia and Anthony Cannataro signed on to the dissent.

The top court frequently hears speedy trial appeals, including a less controversial one written by Garcia on Tuesday, which unanimously granted a new trial to a person accused of a misdemeanor assault of a correction officer on Riker's Island.

There have been more controversial reversals in recent years, including one in 2023 by Wilson, handed down just a month before he was confirmed as chief judge.

Wilson's opinion in *People v. Regan* overturned a rape conviction on speedy trial grounds, and at the time it resulted in a political firestorm from victims' advocates who urged the state Senate to reject Wilson's confirmation, in light of the controversial ruling.

Members of the defense bar praised Wilson's courage to apply the law, despite the optics of the decision.

@ Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com.

Immunity

« Continued from page 1

cases in which victims have had their constitutional or civil rights violated.

The groups were also urging state lawmakers to reject Gov. Kathy Hochul's efforts through the budget process to codify qualified immunity for the first time in state history.

Hunter, her chamber's sixth-ranked Democrat, urges the advocates to press lawmakers who may have a sense of unease speaking about the revocation of protections for government employees, "or who might not be courageous enough to put their name on a piece of legislation."

Another advocate, state Sen. Zellnor Myrie, D-Brooklyn, spoke of his advocacy for eliminating qualified immunity from the perspectives of an attorney, a lawmaker and a victim who sued the New York Police Department in 2021 after he was pepper-sprayed and detained during a BLM protest.

Myrie's federal civil rights suit was settled in 2023 for $415,000, of which he and a former state lawmaker were paid a combined $30,000, and their attorneys $385,000.

From his attorney's lens, Myrie said qualified immunity should be eliminated as a creation of the courts, while as a lawmaker, it would curb ongoing immigration enforcement efforts, meeting "a moment where we have a federal administration literally sending law enforcement to our communities to abuse and kidnap our people."

Progressive lawmakers have been advocating for abolishing qualified immunity since the height of the BLM movement in 2020, and a state-level repeal would align with New York City's elimination in 2021, a move that has led to a sharp increase in police brutality litigation in the city.

New York City is considered the first major U.S. city to repeal qualified immunity. In 2025 alone, the city saw a surge of more than 1,000 settlements of police misconduct claims, its highest reported number since 2019. Payouts since then have exceeded $117 million.

On the other side of the debate, prominent defense attorney and constitutional scholar Alan Dershowitz has signaled his support for immunity protections for police and government.

The prolific author, who declined to comment on the topic when contacted by Law.com on Tuesday, has argued that courts should follow Supreme Court precedents that frequently grant immunity to officers.

According to published reports, Dershowitz has called efforts to renounce the government protections an "outrage."

@ Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com.

Questions? Tips? Contact our news desk: **editorialnylj@alm.com**

READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 347-227-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.

Corporate Update

Former Hilton, Molson Coors Legal Chief Joins Century-Old Firm Riding Sustainable Energy Wave

BY TRUDY KNOCKLESS

AFTER serving as legal chief of two of the nation's best-known consumer brands, Hilton Worldwide and Molson Coors, Anne-Marie D'Angelo has taken the legal reins of Littelfuse, a 99-year-old electronics company that isn't a household name but is growing at a clip that's turning heads on Wall Street.

COURTESY PHOTO

Anne-Marie D'Angelo, chief legal officer and corporate secretary of Littelfuse

Chicago-based Littelfuse was primarily a fusemaker until 2010, when its board and executive team made the savvy call that the company should leverage its global leadership position in circuit protection to expand into the power control and sensing markets.

That strategy, implemented partly through acquisitions, has been a resounding success. Just over the last decade, revenue has tripled to $2.4 billion, swelling the publicly traded company's market capitalization to $10 billion.

It helped that the broadening of the company's product offerings coincided with a global surge in investments in sustainable energy infrastructure and electric vehicle production, as well as soaring demand for construction of new data centers to power artificial intelligence.

D'Angelo, who will serve as chief legal officer and corporate secretary, comes to the role with deep M&A and governance experience.

Most recently, she served as general counsel of hotel giant Hilton Worldwide from September 2023 to September 2024. That was followed by a three-month stint as special adviser to Hilton Worldwide's CEO.

Before that, she spent 17 months at Molson Coors, where she served as chief legal and government affairs officer.

Earlier, she was legal chief for the » Page 6

ADM Legal Vet Joins Turnaround Team at Sodexo

BY MICHAEL GENNARO

SODEXO, the French food services and facilities management giant, has named Orla Muldoon as general counsel for North America, its largest market and one that has long underperformed.

Sodexo's performance woes have been so serious that the Paris-based company in October appointed a new CEO, Thierry Delaporte, and soon thereafter he took direct control of the North American operation.

COURTESY PHOTO

Orla Muldoon, general counsel of Sodexo North America

Muldoon is joining Sodexo from the agricultural processing giant Archer-Daniels Midland, where over 12 years she held a string of high-profile legal posts, from chief counsel for Europe, the Middle East, India and Africa to chief counsel for compliance risk management.

Earlier, she spent seven years at Kellogg, where she was chief counsel for Kellogg Europe.

"I'm excited to join Sodexo at such a pivotal moment for the company," Muldoon said in a statement. "Sodexo's purpose-driven culture and commitment to responsible growth strongly align with my own values, and I look forward to partnering with our teams across North America to support the business and help advance our strategic ambitions."

Over the past three years, Sodexo has lost at least six major college clients in the U.S. and has scaled back growth forecasts at least twice, pummeling its stock. » Page 6

DISTRESS MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS

Ard Finance: Combining LME, Foreign Insolvency, and Chapter 15

By **Corinne Ball**

For some time, capital markets, including distressed investors, have been in search of returns globally but rely upon indentures providing that the governing law is New York law. Recent developments suggest that perhaps investors should be mindful of the limitations on choice of law. In today's restructuring world, foreign insolvency proceedings are increasingly serving as the core or main court proceeding for restructuring a global enterprise with a complex funded debt structure.

For bankruptcy historians, the recent restructuring of the Ardagh Group, a global metal and glass packaging conglomerate, enabled the controlling shareholder and senior lenders that provided new capital to implement a debt for equity exchange reserving a small return for unsecured debt, while concurrently providing value to equity. The outcome is reminiscent of the "equity squeeze outs" that dominated the U.S. bankruptcy world in the 1920s and early 1930s.

Such transactions effectively spurred Congress in 1938 (in the Chandler Act) to adopt the "absolute priority rule" precluding distributions to junior creditors or equity absent full payment of senior creditors. Yet, significantly, since then, chapter 11 and many offshore insolvency proceedings have navigated, in large part, from deference to negotiation and agreements among a majority of creditors to implement a restructuring binding the minority.

In the Ardagh Group restructuring, the liability management exercise (LME) and subsequent restructuring proceeding appears to have finessed what was essentially a "grab" by equity at the expense of holding company creditors. The process launched a U.K. LME that effected a new capital infusion ,aftera debt for equity exchange at the operating company with a cash payout to the controlling shareholder, reserving a small portion of the equity in the restructured Ardagh Group for the holding company debt at Ard Finance, followed by a judicial restructuring proceeding (JRP) under Luxembourg law for holding company Ard Finance.

That JRP remains pending, as does a related case filed on behalf of Ard Finance under chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code seeking recognition of the JRP as a "foreign main proceeding" and a stay of creditor actions in the U.S..

Background

Ard Finance is the financing and investment holding company for the Ardagh Group. It is incorporated and headquartered in Luxembourg. Ard Finance is the 80+% owner of the Ardagh Group and the operating companies, as well as the 100% owner of Ard Group Finance Holdings S.A (AGFH), which owned the remaining 19+% equity in the Ardagh Group. All three entities are Luxembourg companies. The controlling shareholder of Ard Finance—Coulson—was an active participant in LME and restructuring activities undertaken for the Ardagh Group before the JRP for Ard Finance commenced.

Ard Finance and its subsidiary AGFH issued nearly $2 billion in notes that provided for payment in kind interest. These PIK Notes were secured by a pledge of the equity in Ardagh Group by Ard Finance and AGFH. Separately, the Ardagh Group issued in excess of $4.3 billion in debt. There were investors in Ardagh Group debt only, investors in the PIK Notes only, and investors in notes at both levels (the Cross Holders). Critically, the Cross Holders held the overwhelming majority of the PIK Notes.

In the Group Restructuring, the Ardagh Group sought $1.5 billion in new capital, with Coulson attempting to retain or recover value in the restructured Ardagh Group after the new capital infusion. Negotiations with the holders of Ardagh Group debt and the Cross Holders centered on the terms of a debt-for-equity exchange and the extent to which Coulson would receive or retain any value.

The Ardagh Group launched a revised exchange offer with a 90% acceptance threshold which provided that 92.5% of the equity of the Ardagh Group would be exchanged for the approximately $4.3 billion in Ardagh Group debt, the remaining 7.5% of the equity would be reserved for the PIK Noteholders, and Coulson would receive a cash payment of $300 million. The exchange offer did not achieve the 90% threshold, but was accepted by the Cross Holders holding in excess of 80% of the PIK Notes.

> The court determined that at this time, prior to any JRP plan or enforcement order, the objection was premature.

Voting and other procedures governing a Luxembourg insolvency proceeding provide that a binding restructuring plan can be approved with the consent of a majority of unsecured creditors. The consenting Cross Holders, who held approximately 80% of the PIK Notes, directed the collateral agent for the PIK Notes to foreclose on the equity, which was then held in a new entity (Equity Co.). Thus, when Ard Finance commenced a JRP, the PIK Notes were unsecured and Ard Finance no longer owned the equity in the Ardagh Group but instead held a reserved equity interest in the restructured Ardagh Group.

In all, there was a debt exchange by the Ardagh Group, negotiations with the holders of Ardagh Group debt and the Cross Holders, limited communication with the minority PIK Noteholders, foreclosure on the liens securing the PIK Notes, a $300 million payment to Coulson, and overwhelming acceptance (80%) by the Cross Holders of an anticipated JRP plan distributing the 7.5% equity reserve and allegedly abandoning any claims against Coulson.

Two weeks after the Luxembourg court granted Ard Finance's application to commence the JRP, Ard Finance paid a retainer to its U.S. counsel, which was held in a client trust account in New York. Shortly afterward, one of Ard Finance's officers, designated as its "foreign representative," filed a petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York seeking chapter 15 recognition of the JRP as a "foreign main proceeding."

Certain minority PIK noteholders objected to the petition, arguing that: (i) the actual or core restructuring occurred in the LME, an » Page 8

CORINNE BALL *is a partner with Jones Day.*



Mega-Grant of Stock Serves as Fitting Finale For Retiring CLO Who Helped Script AppLovin's Storybook Run

BY CHRIS O'MALLEY

MOBIL ad tech company AppLovin lavished its retiring legal chief with $13 million in pay last year, more than twice what she earned in 2024.

Chief administrative and legal officer Victoria "Tory" Valenzuela, and each of the company's three other named executives, received a $12.6 million stock award that vests over one year. The company does not have an executive cash bonus program.

The award made Valenzuela the second-highest paid executive at the Palo Alto, California-based company, behind chief technology officer Vasily Shikin, who earned $13.4 million, according to AppLovin's proxy statement filed Tuesday with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

"The award size placed her total compensation slightly above the 75th percentile of peer values for chief legal officers, which the Compensation Committee deemed appropriate in light of the expansion of responsibilities in July 2025 to cover both the legal and people organizations."

Valenzuela, 52, earned $5.9 million in 2024. She joined the company in May 2020 as chief legal officer and took on the additional role of chief administrative officer last July.

Though planning to step down on Aug. 1, Valenzuela has been nominated by the company ahead of its June 3 annual meeting to serve on its board of directors. Valenzuela joined AppLovin through its May 2020 acquisition of Palo Alto-based mobile strategy game company Machine Zone, where she was chief legal officer. Valenzuela had also been general counsel of Cypress Semiconductor, which was acquired by Infineon Technologies AG. Early in her career Valenzuela was a corporate and tech attorney at Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison and at Clifford Chance.

"She has expertise in legal and regulatory matters," particularly in ad tech, cybersecurity and data privacy matters, the proxy states.

The company also cited her experience in mergers and acquisitions, strategic transactions and in "human capital and talent development."

One of her stand-out achievements was helping AppLovin conduct its May 2021 IPO. With shares initially trading $80 a share, the company's market capitalization hit $29 billion. Today, its stock trades around $485, giving it a market cap of $164 billion.

AppLovin's marketing platform helps app developers and other businesses acquire users, monetize their platforms and grow audiences.

Growth remains torrid, with revenues rising 70% last year to $5.5 billion. Net income rocketed 111%, to $3.3 billion. When Valenzuela retires this summer, she will be succeeded by Corina Cacovean, who is now deputy general counsel for privacy, litigation and regulatory affairs. Cacovean has been with AppLovin for six years.

@ Chris O'Malley can be reached at chris.omalley@alm.com.

New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888

Official Publication for the First And Second Judicial Departments

220 E. 42nd Street, 21st Floor, New York, N.Y. 10017

Gina Passarella, SVP, Content

Joe Pavone, Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solutions

Donald Chalphin, Global Director of ALM Event Sales & Sponsorships

Zoë Ettinger, Bureau Chief

Christine Simmons, Deputy Bureau Chief

Associate Editor: Patricia Kane

Submissions Editors: Jade Lopez, Kristie Rearick

Reporters: Alyssa Aquino, Ryan Harroff, Brian Lee, Emily Saul

Art Department: Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel, Ryland West, photographer

Decisions: Jason Ducena

Production: Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson, Stephen Warren

Web: Lora Hollien

Copy Desk: Sean Gossard

BOARD OF EDITORS

Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum, Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton, Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays, Ruth S. Hochberger, Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan, Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston, Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum, Thomas Oliva, David Schulz, Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo, Mark C. Zauderer

EDITORS EMERITUS

Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen, Barry Kamins, Charles G. Moerdler, Herbert Rubin

ALM: 212-457-9400 800-888-8300

New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958

Legal Notices: 866-305-3058

ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Nick Brailey, CEO

Richard Green, Chief Commercialization Officer

Alastair Brooks, Chief Financial Officer

Patrick Fuller, Chief Legal Industry Strategist

Erin Dziekan, Chief People Officer

Alex Morrall, Chief Product Officer

Richard Caruso, Chief Strategy Officer

Gina Passarella, SVP, Content

READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.

Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com

Send surrogate's decisions of interest to surrogates@alm.com

To access decisions and articles published in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com.

LAW.COM

The New York Law Journal (ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020) is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays by ALM, 220 E. 42nd Street, 21st Floor., New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b) of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions, First and Second Departments, pursuant to authority conferred on them by Section 91[1] and [2] of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York as a newspaper of general circulation for the publication of legal notices in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the New York Law Journal, 220 E. 42nd Street, 21st Floor., New York, N.Y. 10017. Available on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request. The New York Law Journal® is a registered trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

Sullivan

« Continued from page 1

leader said. "Firms are now getting sanctioned for it so there is an economic concern as well. I think most law firm leaders would agree that the most significant issue is the impact on the reputation and brand of the firm."

The potential reputational damage goes beyond the firm's standing with its peers, of course. The public at large has also taken note of AI mistakes in the legal context and the responses from judges to those mistakes.

Sullivan & Cromwell and Boies Schiller are not the only major firms to catch heat for AI mistakes. K&L Gates and Latham & Watkins have both had their share of AI woes as well. When big firms like these fall victim to these types of mistakes, the name of the game is correction—both in the court and in the public eye.

Damage Control

Joshua Peck, principal at Joshua Peck Legal Communications, said in an interview that Sullivan & Cromwell should be issuing statements to the media and to the public about its citation error in the bankruptcy case. He said that would convey a dedication to taking responsibility for the error and ensuring it will not happen again.

Other than the public statement aspect, Peck said that Sullivan & Cromwell responded to the error in an ideal fashion.

"I think they did almost everything right," Peck said. "The senior person in charge, Andrew Dietderich, he took full responsibility for what went wrong, he identified the source of the problem, what had occurred that shouldn't have occurred, and he even gave credit to another law firm. All of that looks good and noble. I think they did most things right."

Representatives for Sullivan & Cromwell and Boies Schiller did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

Part of the problem behind these AI-related citation errors is the outsized hype for artificial intelligence as a technology. In an interview, a legal tech expert at a global firm said that people are wrongly believing the statements they hear about AI's abilities and, by extension, wrongly trusting the machine to be accurate.

"Given what some people were promising, people were believing that the tool was that powerful," the legal tech expert said. "This is the learning curve. You have to pick your use case wisely and be careful and do a lot of training"

Do the Work Yourself

An office managing partner at a law firm in London said that they expect many firms will be reviewing their AI policies following the Sullivan & Cromwell mistake, which is now one of the higher-profile instances of false citations being filed with a court due to AI reliance.

Gif Thornton, managing partner of Adams & Reese, said in an interview that he hopes AI development will soon leave hallucinations "in the rear-view," but added that until such a time comes it is important for law firm leaders to push for caution in AI adoption.

"Managing partners everywhere are sending the same message, which is that we have to embrace technology and use technology where it advances your practices, in the service of your clients, but you cannot allow it to substitute for your judgment and your thorough review," Thornton said.

Allowing the AI to do the work for you completely is the problem, a practice group leader in New York for a national firm said in an interview. The issue is not necessarily a lack of training on AI, the practice group leader said, but that attorneys need to understand that they still need to do the work of checking what the AI is spitting out rather than using the tools as a way to cut corners.

"Somebody manually has to go and look at every case and every quote, and make sure that it's there," the practice group leader said. "We always do that. We did that 10 years ago. We did that 15 years ago. I did that as a first year associate. In fact, I did that in law school when I was on law review and did cite checking. So, in some ways, that's not new. What's just new is the allure of the shortcut, you know?"

Oscar Glyn also contributed to this report.

@ Ryan Harroff can be reached at ryan.harroff@alm.com. Jon Campisi can be reached at jon.campisi@alm.com.

Opinion: 25-164

« Continued from page 2

of any underlying statements or behavior by Judge B similar to those evidenced in the communications from Judge A. The judge asks if he/she must report either or both judges to the Commission on Judicial Conduct.

A judge must uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary (see 22 NYCRR 100.1), must always avoid even the appearance of impropriety (see 22 NYCRR 100.2) and must always act to promote public confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality (see 22 NYCRR 100.2[A]). A judge who receives information indicating a "substantial likelihood" that another judge has committed a "substantial violation" of the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct must "take appropriate action" (22 NYCRR 100.3[D][1]).

We have previously summarized the applicable disciplinary standards in Opinion 21-19 (citations omitted):

> In general, we have advised that the judge who has first-hand knowledge of all the facts and persons involved in a particular situation is in the best position to determine whether there is a "substantial likelihood" that another judge has committed a "substantial violation" of the Rules. If the judge concludes that either of these two elements is missing, the judge need not take any action.
>
> Conversely, if a judge concludes that both prongs are met, the judge must take "appropriate action" (see id.).
>
> Even where the two-prong test is met, what action is "appropriate" under the circumstances is ordinarily left to the judge's discretion (see Opinion 22-113). But if the judge also concludes that the alleged violation, if proved, is so serious that it calls into question a judge's fitness to hold judicial office, then the judge is ethically obligated to report the matter to the Commission on Judicial Conduct (see e.g. Opinions 23-243; 22-115). By contrast, if a judge determines that the violation at issue is not so serious, or is merely technical in nature, it is within his/her discretion to take less severe, ethically appropriate action, such as counseling the judge or dealing with the matter administratively (see e.g. Opinions 22-113; 18-66).
>
> With respect to Judge A, the "substantial likelihood" prong is met because the inquiring judge received information about the alleged misconduct directly from Judge A. Moreover, based on these directly received communications, the inquiring judge has already concluded that the "substantial violation" prong is met. Thus, the two-prong test is satisfied and the judge must take "appropriate action." We need not analyze Judge A's alleged conduct in detail here, because the inquiring judge has already concluded that the communications received from Judge A raise "serious questions about Judge A's ability to be fair and impartial, as well as about [Judge A's] appreciation of [his/her] fundamental responsibilities as a judge." These concerns directly implicate questions of fitness for judicial office (see e.g. Opinions 22-115; 11-08). Therefore, the inquirer is ethically required to report Judge A to the Commission on Judicial Conduct so that the issue can be investigated (see id.).
>
> With respect to Judge B, by contrast, it is not clear that either prong is satisfied. The inquiring judge is "not aware" of any biased statements or actions by Judge B. At most, the inquiring judge has learned second-hand that Judge B objected to the same courtroom set-up as Judge A and similarly refused to call cases; but beyond that, the inquiring judge has no information whatsoever and has no duty to investigate. We conclude the inquiring judge need not take any action under Section 100.3(D)(1) with respect to Judge B. Instead, the inquiring judge has complete discretion whether to take, or not take, any steps at all concerning Judge B's conduct (see Opinion 18-66).

Expert Analysis

Trade Secret

« Continued from page 3

information can be generated through straightforward use of generative AI by someone possessing ordinary skill in the field, it is more likely to fall outside the scope of trade secret protection.

That does not mean that all AI-assisted outputs are unprotectable. It does, however, narrow the field. Outputs generated through simple prompting using widely available data are unlikely to withstand scrutiny.

Not Secret at The Moment of Creation

Even where an AI-assisted output is sufficiently complex to avoid being deemed readily ascertainable, a separate issue arises: whether the information was ever created under conditions consistent with secrecy.

In many cases, the answer may be no. A recent study, User Privacy and Large Language Models: An Analysis of Frontier Developers 'Privacy Policies, by researchers at Stanford University, examined the privacy policies of six major LLM developers (Amazon, Anthropic, Google, OpenAI, Meta, and Microsoft), which together account for approximately 90% of the U.S. market.

The study found that, by default, user chat data, including inputs, outputs, and uploaded materials, is used for model training across all six platforms. While some platforms provide mechanisms to opt out of such use, those mechanisms are not consistently available and, in some cases, are difficult to navigate.

The study further found that several platforms permit human reviewers to access user conversations as part of model development, and that users are explicitly cautioned against entering confidential or sensitive information. Google, for example, advises users not to provide "any data you would not want a reviewer to see or Google to use to improve" its systems. At the same time, none of the reviewed platforms commit to consistently de-identifying user data prior to its use in training, and several are silent on the issue altogether.

Secrecy concerns are not limited to internal use or retention by the platform. Emerging research suggests that information disclosed to generative AI systems may, in some instances, be recoverable through interactions with the model itself.

A 2026 study by researchers at Stanford and Yale, Extracting Books from Production Language Models, examined whether models retain portions of their training data and can reproduce that information in their outputs. Analyzing several leading production models, including Claude 3.7 Sonnet, GPT-4.1, Gemini 2.5 Pro, and Grok 3, the study found that, even with system-level safeguards, models may memorize portions of their training data and, under certain conditions, reproduce them in response to user prompts.

In some cases, researchers were able to prompt the models to produce lengthy excerpts of text without using any sophisticated prompting workarounds, indicating that these systems can remember and repeat such material in ordinary use. These findings highlight a broader concern, that information developed in these systems may not be maintained in a manner consistent with befitting secrecy.

Against that backdrop, it becomes difficult to maintain that a user has any reasonable expectation of confidentiality when developing proprietary information using publicly accessible AI systems. A central tenet of New York trade secret law is that "a trade secret must first of all be secret." *Ashland Mgmt. v. Janien*, 82 N.Y.2d 395, 408 (1993). Where information is developed through systems that retain, process, and may disclose user inputs and outputs, whether by design or pursuant to user-authorized terms, the conditions necessary for secrecy may never be present absent appropriate safeguards to maintain the confidentiality of that information.

The recent decisions in *United States v. Heppner,* No. 25 Cr. 503, the Southern District of New York point in this direction. In *Heppner,* the court held that attorney-client privilege did not extend to communications with a publicly accessible AI chatbot. It emphasized not only that the defendant communicated with a third-party platform, but that the platform's privacy policy expressly provided that user inputs and outputs were collected, used for training, and could be disclosed to third parties, including in connection with litigation and to governmental authorities. In light of those disclosures, the court concluded that the communications were not confidential.

The court further noted that this view is consistent with other decisions in the district. Citing *In re OpenAI, Inc.* Copyright Infringement Litigation, the court observed that users "do not have substantial privacy interests" in their conversations with publicly accessible AI platforms, where those communications are voluntarily disclosed and retained in the ordinary course of business.

That reasoning is not merely theoretical. In a consolidated multidistrict litigation in the Southern District of New York involving claims brought by The New York Times and others against OpenAI and Microsoft, the court ordered the production of 20 million user chat logs in anonymized form. While anonymization may address certain privacy concerns, it does not eliminate the reality that user interactions with AI systems may be subject to disclosure in litigation.

In practice, however, disclosure does not require a subpoena or court order. At various times, AI developers have made user chat content accessible beyond the original user. For example, OpenAI previously allowed users to share conversations via public links that were indexed by search engines, a feature that was later removed following public criticism.

Similarly, research has shown that shared conversations from other platforms have been made available for indexing, even where identifying information was removed. These practices reflect the broader reality that user inputs and outputs may be stored, processed, and, in some circumstances, exposed in ways that extend beyond the user's control.

Although these examples arise in different contexts, they point in the same direction. Where users disclose information to AI systems that retain, use, and in some cases publish or produce that information, courts may be increasingly reluctant to treat those interactions as confidential. In that environment, arguments that AI-assisted outputs were developed under conditions of secrecy may face significant headwinds.

Taken together, the trajectory is clear. Where proprietary information is disclosed to and processed by publicly accessible AI systems, courts may be increasingly reluctant to treat that information as confidential at all. In that environment, it becomes difficult to maintain that the resulting output was ever secret in the first place.

So How Do Businesses Preserve Trade Secret Protection for AI-Developed Information?

Generative AI does not eliminate trade secret protection, but it changes what businesses must do when they rely on these systems to develop proprietary information. The challenge is not simply maintaining secrecy after the fact. It is ensuring that information developed through AI qualifies as a trade secret in the first place.

For larger, well-resourced organizations, the solution may appear straightforward in concept: develop and deploy internal AI systems and restrict use to those controlled environments. By doing so, businesses can maintain greater control over how proprietary information is used, retained, and protected, and reduce the risks associated with relying on publicly accessible platforms.

For most businesses, however, that approach is not realistic. They will continue to rely, at least in part, on third-party generative AI systems to develop proprietary information. For those businesses, preserving trade secret protection for those outputs will require a more layered approach.

First, businesses should control the environment in which AI-assisted development occurs. Where proprietary information is created using publicly accessible AI platforms, the threshold issue is whether that information is ever confidential in the first place. Businesses should carefully evaluate the terms governing any AI system they use, including whether inputs and outputs are retained, used for training, or subject to disclosure. Where possible, they should opt out of training and avoid platforms that do not provide meaningful control over how user data is handled.

Second, businesses should be deliberate in how they use AI to generate outputs. Because the "readily ascertainable" inquiry turns on the effort required to reproduce the information, outputs generated through simple prompting using widely available data are unlikely to qualify. Businesses should instead focus on developing outputs through more complex, iterative processes, including refined prompting strategies, repeated testing and adjustment, and the integration of proprietary inputs or internal knowledge. In many cases, the process used to generate consistently superior outputs may itself be the most defensible trade secret.

Third, businesses should limit what they disclose to AI systems during the development process. Even where a business has existing trade secrets, incorporating that information into prompts without adequate safeguards may undermine its protection. Businesses should adopt clear internal policies governing what information may be used in AI systems, under what conditions, and through which approved tools.

They may also consider limiting access to proprietary information to only those who need it. The focus should not only be on protecting outputs, but on preserving the confidentiality of the inputs used to generate those outputs.

Finally, businesses should recognize that AI-generated outputs are less likely to be protectable when they are produced through standardized interactions. Incorporating human judgment, modification, and refinement into AI-assisted development may help distinguish the resulting information and reduce the likelihood that it can be replicated through ordinary use of similar tools.

Muldoon

« Continued from page 5

Sodexo shares have fallen by 38% over the past two years, badly underperforming rivals Aramark, which rose 34% and Compass, which shed 4%.

"We have consistently underperformed compared to the market and our competitors," Delaporte acknowledged this month. "The causes are deep-rooted and long-standing."

Founded in 1966, the company has grown into one of the world's largest private employers. with 423,000 workers serving 80 million consumers daily across 45 countries.

In North America, Sodexo maintains a massive footprint, operating in all 50 U.S. states and Canada, providing services ranging from catering and facilities management to employee benefits and clinical technology services.

"Orla is a proven leader with deep expertise navigating complex regulatory environments," Delaporte said in a statement. "Her leadership will be critical in ensuring we operate with rigor, integrity, and consistency across our markets."

@ Michael Gennaro can be reached at michael.gennaro@alm.com.

D'Angelo

« Continued from page 5

electric and gas utility NiSource and Global Brass & Copper, which used copper alloys to make products for the automotive, electronics, ammunition and construction markets.

Littelfuse and D'Angelo did not immediately respond to requests for comment. "We are pleased to welcome Anne-Marie to the Littelfuse executive leadership team," Littelfuse CEO Greg Henderson said in a statement. "She is a proven leader with an exceptional track record of navigating complex global legal and regulatory landscapes."

Before stepping into legal chief posts, D'Angelo spent more than a decade at McDonald's, in a range of legal leadership roles, including general counsel of its East Division.

She began her career in private practice at Burke, Warren, MacKay & Serritella.

"My decision to leave the company in which I grew up was difficult and deliberate," D'Angelo told Law.com in 2019. "I wanted to be a public company general counsel as well as obtain more M&A experience."

That focus on transactions and business strategy carried through her later roles, including at Global Brass, where she led negotiations that culminated in the company's 2019 sale for $1.1 billion.

Littelfuse operates in more than 20 countries and employs 17,000 people, serving more than 100,000 end customers.

@ Trudy Knockless can be reached at trudy.knockless@alm.com.

Off the Front

Law Schools

« Continued from page 1

67.8% of its 2025 grads to Big Law.

The 2026 Go-To Law Schools: Big Law survey ranks the top 50 law schools by percentage of 2025 J.D. graduates who went on to secure positions at Am Law 200, which ranks the top 200 U.S. law firms by gross revenue. This methodology differs from the previous year, when law schools were asked about the number of graduates who went on to law firms within the NLJ 500, which ranks law firms by head count.

Despite the smaller data set this year, the results overall are quite similar.

This year, schools in the top 50 sent between 15.7% and 75.6% of grads to Am Law 200 firms, compared to last year, when the top 50 schools sent between 20% and 71.9% of their graduates to associate positions at NLJ 500 law firms. This was nearly double the lower threshold from 2024, when the bottom-ranked school in the top 50 sent just 10.6%, and up to 65.2%, of its students to the top 100 law firms of the NLJ 500.

A total of 14 law schools sent 45% or more of their grads to Big Law this year.

The top 10 this year largely saw minimal shifts in rank, partly driven by Columbia Law's re-entry at the top of the list and the absence of University of Southern California Gould School of Law, which landed in fourth last year but declined to participate this year. After Columbia Law and Northwestern Law, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School and University of Virginia School of Law each fell one position to third and fourth, respectively, while New York University School of Law and University of Chicago Law School traded places for fifth and sixth. University of California, Berkeley School of Law held its position at seventh (up from 13th in 2024), but University of California, Los Angeles School of Law, which had tied with Berkeley Law for seventh last year, fell one place to eighth (up from 12th in 2024).

No. 3 Penn Carey Law sent 66.9% of its 2025 graduates to Big Law, with No. 8-ranked UCLA Law sending 55.7%, compared to 67.1% and 57.8% last year, respectively.

Ninth place, however, was taken over by Vanderbilt University Law School, up from 13th last year, as Notre Dame Law School fell out of that position to 19th; while Georgetown University Law Center jumped into 10th from 14th, knocking Boston College Law School to 11th. Vanderbilt sent 55.6% of its graduates to Big Law this year, and Georgetown sent 53.8%, compared to 51.8% and 50.2% last year, respectively.

Notre Dame's share of students who went to Big Law shrank significantly, with 93 of its graduates, 56.4%, going to 63 Big Law firms last year, including 52 firms in the Am Law 200, and only 55 of its graduates, 33.5%, going to 35 Am Law 200 firms this year.

Notre Dame did not respond to a request for comment on this year's results.

For schools that sent between 45.4% and 48.6% of grads to Big Law include Boston College Law School, ranked at No. 11 (10th last year); Fordham University School of Law, 12th (11th last year); Boston University School of Law, 13th, up from 16th last year; and Washington University School of Law, 14th (12th last year)—as compared to being ranked at 15, 18, 17 and 16, respectively, in 2024.

Schools that made notable jumps include Washington and Lee University School of Law ranked at 16th up from 21st last year, having sent 37.3% of its 2025 grads to Big Law; SMU Dedman School of Law moved up to 17th from 23rd last year, with 36.9% of its grads sent to Big Law; University of Colorado Law School sent 23.6% of its grads to Big Law ranking at No. 31 this year, up from 36th last year; and University of Minnesota Law School came in 32nd, up from 39th, having sent 23.4% to Big Law.

Additionally, Cardozo School of Law rose to 34th from 42nd last year; University of Georgia School of Law rose to 36th from 40th; St. John's University School of Law rose to 38th from 45th; Case Western Reserve University School of Law rose to 43rd from 48th; University of San Diego School of Law rose to 44th from 49th; and Seton Hall University School of Law rose to 47th after falling below the top 50 last year.

However, some schools fell significantly on the list. Tulane University Law School dropped to 45th from 27th, sending 19.2% of 2025 grads to Big Law, compared to 31.7% of 2024 grads. Tulane sent its 2025 graduates to a total of 25 Am Law 200 firms, compared to 36th the year prior.

Tulane Law sent more 2025 grads to government jobs, public interest positions and clerkships—69 students, 32%, as compared to 55 students, 25%, of its 2024 grads, according to employment data on the school's webpage. Had those 14 students gone into Big Law, Tulane's ranking would have been almost identical to last year's.

The same applies to Santa Clara University School of Law, which dropped to 49th from 43rd, because the school sent 32 (16%) 2025 grads to government and public interest jobs plus clerkships, compared to 21 (10%) 2024 grads to those same positions, according to data obtained from the school's webpage. Had those 11 students gone to Big Law, Santa Clara's ranking would have been in the mid-30s.

Meanwhile, Texas A&M University School of Law fell to 46th this year after coming in 33rd last year, with 18.6% of 2025 grads going Big Law versus 28.1% of 2024 grads. Texas A&M sent 2025 graduates to 24 Am Law 200 firms, compared to 21 the year prior.

According to Texas A&M Law School's data, the difference is that the school simply sent fewer students to Big Law: sending 32 of its 2025 grads to firms with 51+ lawyers as compared to 62 2024 grads to firms of the same size. However, the law school graduated 124 J.D.s in 2025 compared to 178 in 2024, according to school data.

Two other schools saw declines: Northeastern University School of Law dropped to 40th from 31st, and Temple University Beasley School of Law fell to 41st from 34th.

Only one school that was in the top 50 last year didn't make it this year, by only one spot — Georgia State University College of Law fell out of 50th place to land at No. 51 this year.

@ Christine Charnosky can be reached at christine.charnosky@alm.com.

Questions? Tips? Contact our news desk: **editorialnylj@alm.com**

Have a Move to Announce?
E-mail pkane@alm.com

Go-To Law Schools: Big Law 2026

Rank	Law School	% of Graduates	No. Sent to Big Law	Total Graduates	Tuition
1	**Columbia Law School**	**75.5**	**346**	**458**	**$85,368**
2	Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law	67.8	179	264	$79,772
3	University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School	66.9	168	251	$78,348
4	University of Virginia School of Law	65.3	201	308	$74,078
5	**New York University School of Law**	**61.9**	**258**	**417**	**$83,952**
6	University of Chicago Law School	61.1	132	216	$83,316
7	University of California, Berkeley School of Law	60.4	171	283	$62,532
8	University of California, Los Angeles School of Law	55.7	185	332	$59,084
9	Vanderbilt University Law School	55.6	94	169	$76,440
10	Georgetown University Law Center	53.8	378	703	$83,576
11	Boston College Law School	48.6	104	214	$72,380
12	**Fordham University School of Law**	**47.4**	**199**	**420**	**$78,078**
13	Boston University School of Law	46.7	100	214	$69,870
14	Washington University School of Law	45.4	124	273	$72,792
15	University of Texas at Austin School of Law	39.3	121	308	$38,236
16	Washington and Lee University School of Law	37.3	41	110	$57,450
17	Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law	36.9	83	225	$60,844
18	University of California, Irvine School of Law	36.1	56	155	$59,080
19	Notre Dame Law School	33.5	55	164	$73,430
20	Emory University School of Law	31.7	71	224	$69,510
21	Wake Forest University School of Law	31.6	50	158	$57,920
22	University of Illinois College of Law	30.7	47	153	$36,500
23	University of Florida Levin College of Law	29.3	63	215	$19,139
24	Brigham Young University J. Reuben Clark Law School	28.3	36	127	$15,992
25	George Washington University Law School	26.9	153	569	$75,420
26	University of North Carolina School of Law	26.8	49	183	$28,082
27	University of Houston Law Center	26.5	63	238	$31,326
28	University of California, Davis School of Law	25.5	52	204	$57,460
29	University of California Law, San Francisco	24.9	88	354	$53,087
30	University of Miami School of Law	24.0	92	383	$66,720
31	University of Colorado Law School	23.6	37	157	$35,992
32	University of Minnesota Law School	23.4	52	222	$51,440
33	Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law	22.8	42	184	$59,800
34	**Yeshiva University Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law**	**22.1**	**67**	**303**	**$74,438**
35	University of Alabama School of Law	21.9	32	146	$24,980
36	University of Georgia School of Law	21.8	37	170	$18,044
37	William & Mary Law School	21.6	43	199	$38,734
38	**St. John's University School of Law**	**20.9**	**52**	**249**	**$75,170**
39	University of Iowa College of Law	20.3	32	158	$30,944
40	Northeastern University School of Law	20.2	43	213	$65,652
41	Temple University Beasley School of Law	20.0	39	195	$32,588
42	Brooklyn Law School	19.9	71	356	$75,496
43	Case Western Reserve University School of Law	19.7	28	142	$64,600
44	University of San Diego School of Law	19.5	46	236	$66,950
45	Tulane University Law School	19.2	41	214	$69,578
46	Texas A&M University School of Law	18.5	23	124	$32,634
47	Seton Hall University School of Law	18.4	38	207	$69,660
48	Loyola Marymount University Law School	18.0	54	300	$70,360
49	Santa Clara University School of Law	16.7	33	198	$65,800
50	University of Wisconsin Law School	15.7	40	255	$36,526

SOURCE: LAW.COM. The report ranks the top 50 law schools by percentage of 2025 juris doctor graduates who took associate positions at the largest 200 firms as identified in The National Law Journal's annual survey of the nation's 200 largest law firms.

Need a smart Expert Witness?

ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

www.almexperts.com • 888-809-0133

Calendar

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Federal Bar Council (CLE)
Trauma-Informed Advocacy and Lawyering
6 p.m. – 7:30 p.m.
Location: Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
.5 CLE credit
https://fbc.users.membersuite.com/events/a5720928-0078-c49c-bc46-0b4939ad1f46/details

NY City Bar (CLE)
CLE Title: Current Legal Ethical Issues with Professor Stephen Gillers
12 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
2 CLE credits
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB042326&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NY City Bar (Non CLE)
Small Firm Chats – Stay Connected with Your Peers and Us!
12 p.m. - 12:45 p.m.
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SLFC042326&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org
Non-Traditional Careers with a Traditional Law Degree
6 p.m. - 7 p.m.
Reception: 7 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=MIN042326&mcode=NYLJ
Location: 42 West 44th Street
Contact: 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Understanding the Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax (CAMT) 2026
9 a.m. – 4:15 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/understanding-the-corporate-alternative-minimum-tax-camt/
Prison Law 2026
9 a.m. – 4:45 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/prison-law/

THURSDAY, APRIL 23 FRIDAY, APRIL 24

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Dispute Resolution Section and Labor and Employment Law Section Spring 2026 Meeting
nysba.org/events/dispute-resolution-section-labor-and-employment-law-section-spring-2026-meeting/
5.5 MCLE credits, Albany
Elder Law and Specia Needs Section Spring UnProgram 2026
nysba.org/events/elder-law-special-needs-section-spring-unprogram-2026/
2 CLE credits, Albany

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

NY City Bar (CLE)
Current Issues in Insurance Regulation 2026
9 a.m. - 1 p.m.
4 CLE credits
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CIR042426&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom/42 West 44th Street

MONDAY, APRIL 27

Practising Law Institute
Securities Litigation 2026: From Investigation to Trial
9 a.m. – 5 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/securities-litigation/

MONDAY, APRIL 27 TUESDAY, APRIL 28

Practising Law Institute
Twenty-Seventh Annual Private Equity Forum
9 a.m. – 5 p.m. (Day 1)
9 a.m. – 12:30 p.m. (Day 2)
https://www.pli.edu/programs/private-equity-forum/

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

NY State Bar (CLE)
Basic Estate Planning and Advanced Directives for Military
nysba.org/events/basic-estate-planning-and-advanced-directives-for-military/
1.5 CLE credits

NY State Bar (CLE)
Microsoft Outlook: Tips and Hacks for Lawyers
nysba.org/events/microsoft-outlook-tips-hacks-for-lawyers/
1.0 MCLE credits, Virtual

NY State Bar (CLE)
Profit and Purpose: Designing a Law Firm that Pays the Owner and Protects the Practice
nysba.org/events/profit-on-purpose-designing-a-law-firm-that-pays-the-owner-and-protects-the-practice/
1 CLE credit, Virtual
Tax Strategies – Delaware Statutory Trusts, Opportunity Zones and Bonus Depreciation
nysba.org/events/tax-strategies-delaware-statutory-trusts-opportunity-zones-bonus-depreciation/
1 CLE credit, Virtual

NY City Bar (Non CLE)
The Declaration's Impact on American History, Law and the Constitution
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CVED042826&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Pretrial Practice 2026
9 a.m. – 5 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/pretrial-practice/

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

Federal Bar Council (CLE)
Accessing ADR Skills and Opportunities from Diverse Perspectives
5:30 p.m. -7 p.m.
Location: Federal Defenders, 52 Duane St, New York, NY
1.5 CLE credits
https://fbc.users.membersuite.com/events/a5720928-0078-cf9c-5ee1-0b49608a6090/details

NY State Bar (CLE)
AI and the Law with ALIS: Mapping New York and Federal Legal Trends
nysba.org/events/ai-and-the-law-with-alis-down-the-rabbit-hole-with-alis-curiouser-and-curiouser-with-alis-mapping-new-york-and-federal-legal-trends-in-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-part-2/
1 CLE credit, Virtual

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
No Ifs, Ands or Putts: Young Lawyer Golf Night
nysba.org/events/no-ifs-ands-or-putts-young-lawyer-golf-night-2026/
New York City

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29 THURSDAY, APRIL 30

Practising Law Institute
Developments in Antitrust Law & Regulation 2026
9 a.m. – 5 p.m. (Day 1)
9 a.m. – 12:30 p.m. (Day 2)
https://www.pli.edu/programs/developments-in-antitrust-law-regulation/

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Reception Honoring Justice Christina Ryba on her Appointment to the Appellate Division
nysba.org/events/cocktail-reception-honoring-justice-christina-ryba-on-her-appointment-to-the-appellate-division/
Networking Event, Albany

NY State Bar (CLE)
Navigating the Current Tax and Regulatory Landscape for Not-For-Profits and Their Donors
nysba.org/events/navigating-the-current-tax-and-regulatory-landscape-for-not-for-profits-and-their-donors/
1.0 MCLE credits, Virtual

NY City Bar (Non CLE)
The Missing Mayor: Matthias Nicolls and City Hall in the 17th Century
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=HIST043026&mcode=NYLJ
Location: 42 West 44th Street
Lawyers Connect: Game Night
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LCGN043026&mcode=NYLJ
Location: 42 West 44th Street
Contact: 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Cool Compensation Considerations for the Private Company 2026: Pay, Performance, and Perspectives
9 a.m. – 5 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/cool-compensation-considerations-for-the-private-company/
Pro Bono Basics 2026: Best Practices and Ethics
1:30 p.m. – 5 p.m.
https://www.pli.edu/programs/pro-bono-basics-new-york/

Corporate Update / Expert Analysis

Investment

« Continued from page 5

extrajudicial proceeding; (ii) given the ramifications of the LME Group Restructuring, there was little or no economic purpose for the JRP; (iii) there was no real participation by Ard Finance creditors; and (iv) equity should not receive value without first paying creditors. The PIK Noteholders also challenged the Cross Holders vote on the grounds that those Cross Holders became corporate insiders as a consequence of the LME Global Restructuring.

The Analysis

At this juncture, the bankruptcy court was only asked to recognize the JRP as a foreign main proceeding and to deal with discovery requests by the objecting minority PIK Noteholders. In its ruling on the recognition petition (see *In re ARD Finance, S.A.*, 2026 WL 817458 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2026)), the bankruptcy court first addressed the eligibility of Ard Finance to be a debtor in a chapter 15 case, which under section 109(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Second Circuit precedent, requires that a foreign debtor have property in the U.S.

The bankruptcy court determined that Ard Finance was an eligible chapter 15 debtor because it had an undrawn attorney retainer in a U.S. bank account, as well as contract rights governing U.S. dollar-denominated debt subject to a New York choice of law and forum selection clause. The court also determined that venue was proper in the Southern District of New York.

Turning to the objection that the JRP was not a "collective proceeding" (a requirement for chapter 15 recognition) due to the alleged lack of judicial supervision over the LME Group Restructuring and the alleged failure of the JRP to provide for creditor participation, the bankruptcy court focused on the facts presented by the chapter 15 debtor regarding the JRP. Based upon the declarations provided and considering the objection, the bankruptcy court concluded that the JRP was a judicially-supervised proceeding administered in accordance with statutory standards, including classification of creditors, voting procedures and other protections.

The bankruptcy court also observed that the Luxembourg court's preliminary refusal to review the treatment of minority PIK Noteholders in the LME Group Restructuring was not fatally prejudicial to creditor interests because the PIK Noteholders had the right to participate in the proceeding by voting against the proposed treatment of their claims under a JRP plan and the ability to appeal any order confirming the JRP plan. The bankruptcy court ultimately held the JRP was a "foreign proceeding" entitled to chapter 15 recognition.

While the court would also ultimately address the objections premised on the limitations on relief set forth in chapter 15 and the public policy exception to chapter 15 relief, the analysis focused on whether the JRP was a foreign main proceeding triggering automatic protections, such as a stay of litigation, for the chapter 15 debtor.

Turning first to the anchoring determination of whether Luxembourg was Ard Finance's center of main interests (COMI), the court explained that Luxembourg was its country of incorporation, as well as the location of its registered office, books and records, directors, board meetings and some of its bank accounts, all of which supported a finding that Ard Finance's COMI was located in Luxembourg.

The court also determined that the officer designated in the JRP as the foreign representative was "a person or body," as required for chapter 15 recognition, and that the petition and accompanying documents were properly filed and presented. The bankruptcy court concluded that the JRP be recognized as a foreign main proceeding.

After determining that those statutory requirements for recognition under chapter 15 were met, the court continued its analysis of the PIK noteholders' objections premised on the statutory limitations on chapter 15 relief, notably the dual approach limitations on chapter 15 relief—in particular, that the JRP did not sufficiently protect U.S. creditors and was manifestly contrary to the public policy of the United States and hence chapter 15 relief was not appropriate.

The PIK Noteholders' objection to chapter 15 relief focused on the failure of the JRP and the restructuring plan to sufficiently protect U.S. creditors and that its provisions were manifestly contrary to U.S. public policy even though the plan had not yet been approved by the Luxembourg court and that enforcement of such a JRP order was not being sought. The bankruptcy court explained that the PIK Noteholders' objection anticipated outcomes that might not necessarily occur.

Even so, the court nevertheless considered whether the facts presented in the chapter 15 case before it contravened the limitations on the powers of the Chapter 15 court to grant relief, particularly the limitation on the court to grant relief only if the interests of creditors are "sufficiently protected." The court explained that "sufficient protection" under section 1522 encompasses three principles: (i) just treatment of all stakeholders; (ii) protection of U.S. creditors against prejudice and inconvenience in asserting their claims; and (iii) distribution of proceeds substantially in accordance with the order prescribed by U.S. law.

Similarly, the court considered the PIK Noteholders contention that section 1506 precluded any relief respecting the JRP, submitting that the procedure was "manifestly contrary to U.S. public policy." The focus of the court's analysis was the admonition that the word "manifestly" should be narrowly interpreted because the word "manifestly" in international usage restricts the public policy exception to the most fundamental policies of the United States.

The court relied on the legislative history accompanying the enactment of chapter 15 and considered precedent which identified the determining inquiry as whether the foreign insolvency laws or procedural protections for creditors are "repugnant" to U.S. law, not the misconduct or bad faith of the petitioners.

The analysis also took into account, as also suggested by the legislative history, the official Guide to the Enactment of the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency, which also underscored that the purpose of the expression "manifestly" is to emphasize that the public policy exception should be interpreted restrictively and invoked under exceptional circumstances concerning matters of fundamental importance.

The bankruptcy court also pointed to Second Circuit precedent which favored comity if proceedings reflect a course of a civilized jurisprudence, meaning fair and impartial, recognizing that though the foreign approach might be different from the approach under U.S. law, it does not mean that the foreign approach is wrong.

> Voting and other procedures governing a Luxembourg insolvency proceeding provide that a binding restructuring plan can be approved with the consent of a majority of unsecured creditors.

Having established the parameters of the legal analysis, the court turned to the facts of this JRP, which included declarations which set forth for the JRP the statutory requirements, the division of creditors into classes, the robust voting procedures, including that foreign creditors may not be treated less favorably than Luxembourg creditors, also establishing that the JRP is sufficiently judicial in nature and involves the exercise of supervisory powers of the court, enabling the legal determination that the JRP is a collective proceeding meeting the requirements for a foreign proceeding to be recognized.

These facts also demonstrated that the JRP met the three principles establishing that the JRP provided sufficient protection. The court determined that at this time, prior to any JRP plan or enforcement order, the objection was premature. The court also recognized and rejected the primary thrust of the minority PIK Noteholders' objection which was that the JRP is manifestly contrary to public policy because the JRP has not and perhaps will not examine the pre-JRP out-of-court LME Group Restructuring, concluding that such limitation on the JRP, standing alone, does not indicate that the Luxembourg proceeding is contrary to U.S. public policy. The minority PIK noteholders, however, remain free to raise objections when and if the chapter 15 debtor seeks enforcement of a JRP plan.

Conclusion

There are at least three takeaways from *Ard Finance* for distressed investors. First and foremost, restructuring today is global, with every jurisdiction being a potential venue for a foreign proceeding, which demands knowledge and consideration of the foreign law provisions and impacts, favorable or unfavorable. Second, comity is the general rule, with the public policy exception being narrowly construed and confined to what is "repugnant" to U.S. law.

Third, courts apply the requirements and standards of chapter 15 with respect to the foreign proceeding for which recognition is sought, not the actions of the foreign debtor (or its foreign representative) or conduct preceding the commencement of the foreign proceeding, except to consider improper COMI "manipulation." Absent such manipulation, if there are alleged wrongdoings that occurred outside of the foreign proceeding, investors cannot rely on chapter 15 to encompass a review of such allegations.

It is noteworthy that the governing law in Luxembourg enabling the JRP was only one year old when Ard Finance filed its JRP petition. As presented to the bankruptcy court, the Luxembourg law governing the JRP largely adopts the procedural approach of a U.S. reorganization under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. At the time the JRP commenced, Ard Finance had a single class of unsecured creditors and a restructuring agreement whereby 80+% of those unsecured creditors agreed to accept 7.5% of the equity reserved for the PIK Noteholders in the LME Group Restructuring.

There is nothing novel, let alone "repugnant," about the proposition that in a reorganization proceeding a substantial majority of a class of unsecured creditors can vote to accept a plan providing for less than full payment of their claims while providing a return to equity, with that vote to accept the plan becoming binding on dissenting creditors. The alleged wrongdoings in *Ard Finance* occurred primarily outside the JRP and principally concerned the actions of Ard Finance's former controlling shareholder, Coulson.

In the context of a petition for chapter 15 recognition, the bankruptcy court never considered the anticipated release of claims against Coulson under a JRP plan, but again, the release of third parties with the consent of a substantial majority of unsecured creditors (the only creditors in *Ard Finance* are the PIK Noteholders) is not a novel proposition in a foreign proceeding that is recognized under chapter 15.

The court's ruling on chapter 15 recognition in *Ard Finance* has two sets of guideposts. The first concerns the requirements for chapter 15 recognition. The second relates to a U.S. bankruptcy court's statutory power to provide "additional assistance" as provided in section 1521 specifying the kinds of relief that may be granted upon recognition subject to the limitations of section 1522(mandating protection of stakeholder interests), and the public policy exception set forth in section 1506.

Notably, these guideposts look to the particular facts presented in the chapter 15 case to determine whether the recognition requirements have been satisfied and whether the limitations are relevant and applicable.

Indeed, this case, together with the recent ruling of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York in the *Crédito Real* chapter 15 case affirming a bankruptcy court order recognizing and enforcing non-consensual non-debtor releases in a court-approved Mexican restructuring proceeding as not being barred by the public policy exception, make clear that investors should not expect the chapter 11 rules to apply in chapter 15. *See U.S. Int'l Dev. Fin. Corp. v. Crédito Real S.A.B. de C.V. SOFOM, E.N.R. (In re Crédito Real S.A.B. de C.V. SOFOM, E.N.R.)*, 2026 WL 881444, No. 25-371 (CFC) (D. Del. Mar. 31, 2026).

Benefits

« Continued from page 3

This was controversial—some thought it was too high, some too low—but in January 2026 EPA stopped using this or any figure, and essentially valued each human life as worth $0 in its analyses.

There are serious questions about the legality of EPA's new approach to stop quantifying and monetizing environmental benefits. As Dean Revesz has pointed out, considering only the costs and not the benefits of a regulation that EPA wants to rescind "is a paradigmatic example of 'arbitrary and capricious' conduct prohibited by the Administrative Procedure Act."

The Ninth Circuit held, also in the context of motor vehicle standards, that uncertainty over the value of a benefit is not a reason for assigning it a value of zero. *Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin.*, 538 F.3d 1172, 1198-1203 (9th Cir. 2008). Justice Antonin Scalia, writing for the majority in a Clean Air Act case, indicated that a regulation that "does significantly more harm than good" cannot stand. *Michigan v. EPA,* 576 U.S. 743 (2015). Ignoring the good and looking only at the costs would be—and has become—an excuse for revoking all manner of regulations.

Attacks on Science

The way to resolve scientific uncertainty is to conduct more scientific research. The Trump administration is aggressively doing the opposite in what *Science* magazine called "the Category 5 hurricane that struck U.S. science in 2025." This is taking numerous forms, as described below. Many of these actions, and numerous others, are reported in the Silencing Science Tracker, an ongoing joint project of the Sabin Center for Climate Change Law and the Climate Science Legal Defense Fund.

Personnel—More than 10,000 science and engineering Ph.D.s have left the federal government since the start of this administration. Departures have outnumbered new hires by a ratio of 11 to one. Overall the workforces of the federal environmental, public lands, and natural resources agencies have decreased by 41,224 employees—a decline of 20.6%—between September 2024 and December 2025.

Many of the remaining employees are demoralized and afraid of speaking out for fear of losing their jobs, with good reason. Approximately 160 EPA employees publicly signed a letter to Administrator Zeldin and members of Congress protesting the politicization of science; EPA fired several of them and six are suing, saying their First Amendment rights were violated. In the words of one longtime National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) climate scientist who angrily quit her job, "NASA is throttling the scientific pipeline and diminishing our ability to see and understand our planet."

Many graduate and postdoctoral students from other countries who would like to study and work in the U.S. have been scared away by uncertainty over funding and by current visa and immigration policies. Canadian, European, Chinese, and Indian universities are successfully recruiting U.S. scientists. A March 2025 poll of U.S. scientists by Nature magazine found that 75% of those who responded were considering leaving the U.S.

Some of the mass firings by Elon Musk's DOGE were quickly seen as unwise. The National Weather Service (NWS) lost more than 500 meteorologists and other specialists; a few months later NWS said it would refill many of these positions, but how quickly this will actually happen is unclear.

Agency leadership—Cabinet, subcabinet and other political positions are now occupied by individuals who, following the lead of President Trump, deny, or came to deny after joining the administration, the severe risks of climate change and the importance of dealing with it. This includes EPA, the Interior, Energy, and Treasury departments, the Office of Management and Budget, and elsewhere. Many political-level positions remain unfilled.

Study bodies—The U.S. has withdrawn from more than 60 international institutions, notably including the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), and the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform in Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.

It has also dismissed all the scientists and others working on the National Climate Assessment, the congressionally mandated periodic studies about the impacts of climate change on the U.S. EPA dissolved its Office of Research and Development and is requiring some of its remaining scientists to relocate to other cities. The administration has disbanded numerous federal advisory committees of scientists, or filled them exclusively with industry representatives.

It is dismantling the National Center for Atmospheric Research in Colorado, a premier place for climate studies (an effort now in litigation), and evicted another one, NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies, from its offices in New York, forcing its scientists to scrounge for other workplaces. The National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine prepared for a "fairly radical downsizing" of its staff after a loss of much federal funding. The Director of National Intelligence, Tulsi Gabbard, eliminated the group that prepared the quadrennial Global Trends report, which in recent years has been identifying climate change as a major threat to national security.

The Forest Service is closing 57 of its 77 research facilities, which study how wildfires, drought, pests, and global warming are putting pressure on forests. In the U.S. Geological Survey, 25 water science centers were scheduled to be closed. The Department of Energy threatened to withdraw from the International Energy Agency (IEA) unless it dropped its focus on climate change, but then learned that the IEA could play a central role in coordinating the release of oil from many countries' strategic reserves to very partially address the Strait of Hormuz crisis.

Withdrawal from the IPCC is especially noteworthy. It is the United Nations body that prepares the most authoritative summaries and assessments of climate science. Not only did it win the Nobel Peace Prize in 2007, but it has been cited in 49 decisions of U.S. federal and state courts, and disputed by none. All four of the major climate rulings from international tribunals issued in 2024 and 2025 relied on it heavily—the International Court of Justice, the European Court of Human Rights, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, and the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea. The Trump administration has barred federal scientists from attending IPCC meetings or working on its reports.

One effort to ignore established climate science went especially poorly. In July 2025 the Secretary of Energy hired five well-known climate contrarians to create a "Climate Working Group." They hastily prepared a report downplaying the risks of climate change for EPA's use in revoking the endangerment finding. The National Academies of Science quickly prepared its own report debunking that report, as did many other scientists. A federal court found that the Climate Working Group had been formed in violation of Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the group was disbanded.

> Mentions of climate change on many other websites have been removed. The EPA ordered the scientists in its Office of Water to halt publication of new research.

When EPA formally withdrew the endangerment finding, it did not rely on the Group's report or any other reports questioning climate science, and instead relied entirely on legal arguments, which are now being challenged in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. However, the report is still posted on the Department of Energy's website, and both Administrator Zeldin and Secretary Wright continue to be dismissive the severity of climate change in their public speeches.

Data gathering—The administration has proposed to halt the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, which requires companies to report their GHG emissions and has played a central role in climate policymaking and regulation. The Securities and Exchange Commission abandoned defense of its regulation, adopted under President Biden, to require publicly traded companies to report on their GHG emissions. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has suspended work on its extreme rainfall database and has shut down its annual compilation of billion-dollar weather and climate disasters.

The government terminated NOAA's Arctic sea ice dataset and is seeking to shut down the Mauna Loa laboratory in Hawaii, which conducts crucial climate observations, including those leading to the famous Keeling Curve. NASA has taken steps to prematurely decommission several satellites that monitor carbon dioxide and other parameters, while the Department of Defense has stopped providing atmospheric and oceanic data from satellites to scientists. The National Weather Service has reduced weather balloon operations. The library at the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center is closing. In March 2026 a lawsuit was filed against NOAA for allegedly hiring an unqualified contractor to collect environmental data.

The insurance industry relies heavily on climate data and projections in assessing risk and pricing policies; some in it are among those already expressing alarm about these developments.

Grants—The grants for climate and other environmental and medical research at many universities have been cancelled, often on the grounds that the universities had diversity, equity, and inclusion policies that the current administration disfavors, or that the universities allegedly failed to deal sufficiently with antisemitism. Many of these grant cancellations are currently being litigated.

New grants on disfavored subjects are not being issued. The federal environmental, natural resources, and public lands agencies obligated $36.8 billion in project grants in fiscal year 2025, a 44.3% decrease from fiscal year 2024. Additionally, grants and grant renewals from the National Science Foundation (NSF) have declined drastically. Researchers seeking federal funding have been advised to avoid using terms like "climate change" and "global warming" (as well as "environmental justice" and "diversity") in their grant applications.

Budget—The Fiscal Year 2027 budget, which the White House released on April 3, 2026, would increase the military budget by approximately 40% (to $1.5 trillion) but decrease the EPA budget by 52%, the NSF budget by 54.5%, and the NOAA budget by 27.42%, and would zero out the budget for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. It would divert much of the Department of Energy budget from renewables and energy efficiency to fossil fuels and artificial intelligence.

The final budget is in the hands of Congress; last year Congress largely rejected similarly deep cuts to climate funding. Already, in 2025, the National Institutes of Health announced that they would no longer fund studies of the health effects of climate change.

Suppressed studies—The administration terminated work on the National Nature Assessment, a report on the state of America's land, water, and wildlife. The report was almost finished, and many of the scientists who were preparing it are continuing that work on their own and have published a draft for public comment and scientific review. EPA also withheld publication of the Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks, though it was ultimately released after a Freedom of Information Act request from the Environmental Defense Fund.

Similarly, the U.S. Department of Agriculture took down climate-related webpages but restored them in the face of a lawsuit brought by Earthjustice. Within a month after Trump's second inauguration, almost 3,400 datasets were removed from the U.S. government's open data site, data.gov.

Two key climate websites, NOAA's climate.gov and the U.S. Global Change Research Program's globalchange.gov, have been taken down; much of the information that was on those sites still exists online but it is more difficult to find, and most of the staff that maintained climate.gov has been fired. Mentions of climate change on many other websites have been removed. The EPA ordered the scientists in its Office of Water to halt publication of new research.

Conclusion

The Trump administration has said it will revoke or weaken many environmental regulations, but most of these rulemakings are still pending. We do not yet know the full extent to which benefits and science are being ignored in formal decisions, or whether these actions will hold up in court. However, three things are already apparent. First, the disregarding of the benefits of rules the administration dislikes has become pervasive.

Second, the disregard of benefits and the degradation of scientific research are consistent with the administration's desire to maximize both the demand for and the supply of fossil fuels. Third, the assault on the scientific enterprise will do lasting damage to public health, environmental quality, the economy, and America's standing in the world.

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

CALENDAR FOR THE MAY TERM

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

2 P.M.

24/5029 People v. Tyriek Anderson
25/3132 Diaz v. Rotavele Elevator
25/1024 C., Edwin
25/8029 Maza v. 120 East 144
25/6774(2) Ayuso v. State of NY
25/4576(2) Alshami v. State of NY
23/5052 People v. Rolando Calderon
25/967 ProSight Specialty Mgmt Co. v. Altruis Group
24/6717 62 Wooster v. TML New York
25/2980 U.S. Bank v. Chait
25/2648 People v. Matthew Nicholas
25/6187 BLT Fund 9 Dayton's v. 601 Minnesota Investor
25/4802 Perez v. Tanya Towers, Inc.
25/1312 People v. Terrell Williams
26/623 Sanchez-Rodriguez v. Bruce
26/275 Diaz v. Boston Properties, Inc.
24/6438 Taylor v. City of NY
25/4298 Gusel v. King
24/4560 People v. Roderick Smith
25/27N Tapinekis v. Pace University
25/2981(2)N Ward v. Northeast Truck Rental

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

2 P.M.

19/1859 People v. Tyler Alvarez
25/3135 Freeland v. Chemtob
25/4767 B., Victoria
24/7071 HSBC Bank v. Keeling
25/6188 Batchilly v. 610 Eighth Avenue
23/1463 People v. Darren Cassanova
20/1749 People v. Nakei Thomas
24/4755 Arch Insurance v. Selective Insurance
25/2251 Altieri v. Hyl
25/5709 Leffler v. Kotick
25/4926 HBK Master Fund v. Newrez LLC
23/2003 People v. Demetrius Harvard
25/5820 Chiappa v. Port Authority
24/6897 Casella v. Casella
25/7912 S & M Bronx Inc. v. Diversified Planning
25/4278 Velez v. Central Parking System
25/2135 People v. Corey Allen
25/3018 Richardson v. CE Solutions Group
24/5957N Adler v. Troy

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29

2 P.M.

24/6763 People v. Alexis Sanchez
25/4934 Kunz v. Cedric Y.
25/2982 Wilmington Savings Fund v. Okoronkwo
24/1271 People v. Michael Antoine
25/725 Andrade v. 1203 E New York Ave
25/1502 CSN Realty Corp. v. Moussaieff
25/160(1) People v. Christian Gonzalez
25/1201(1) People v. Christian G.
25/1517 Motcomb Estates v. CMB Export Infrastructure
24/7564 Blumenfeld v. Smith
25/6701 Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev
25/6103 OCFBrook Holdings v. Sprung
25/7259 Paro Mgmt Co. v. Allied World National
26/54 De Souza v. Hudson Yard Construction
25/3078 Robles v. Fordham Valentine Associates
25/7441 Pacheco v. Tishman Construction Corp.
25/6194 Hoeben v. FCA US LLC
25/2029 Blue River v. Gross
24/5363 People v. Fransys Ramirez
25/1244N Espinosa v. Cape Church

THURSDAY, APRIL 30

2 P.M.

19/2220 People v. Malachi Colon
25/5364 Katiraeifar v. NY-Presbyterian
25/3518 City of NY v. Crest Housing Co.
25/2225 Lent v. City of NY
25/7061 Bermeo v. Master Plumbing
23/4307 People v. Sean Santiful
25/4951 Matter of Estate of Robert J. Giurdanella
25/4350(2) Marjamaki v. City of NY
25/1852 Lilly v. State of NY
23/5695(2) Cattan v. Rohner
25/5067 MPOW Technology v. Amazon.com Services
25/1399 Hubshman v. 1010 Tenants Corp.
23/6132(1) People v. Devon Pleasant
25/3445(1) People v. Davon Pleasant
25/7627 Soleil Chartered Bank v. Breton Equity Co.
25/2611 Ziobro v. Milan House, Inc.
25/2973 Gantt v. City of NY
25/2897 Rosario v. C.C. Controlled Combustion
24/1122 People v. Joshua Bosch
25/2553N Lotus Residences v. Northfield Insurance

TUESDAY, MAY 5

2 P.M.

22/1179 People v. Julio Pena
25/4825 Wells Fargo Bank v. Whyte
25/2960 Veliu v. Verizon NY
25/4920 McNeill v. Gewirtz
22/3804 People v. Eugenia Pedraza
25/3150 NY Concrete v. Contract Dispute Resolution Board
24/3818(2) Lee v. Zeitlin
25/7473 Rivadeneria Faula v. Auxiliary of Elmhurst Hospital
25/1840(2) 71st Street v. Frankel
25/2615(2) Lebron v. Bronx Heights Beulah
22/1162(1) People v. Jamel Ingram
25/3940 Stillpoint Meadows PH-62 v. Residential Board of Mgrs
25/739 Nichols v. Red Hawk
25/2390 Bougouma v. AB 40th Street
25/1910 People v. Deshante Page
25/4727 Berardi v. 900 Third Avenue
25/8090 Francis v. NYSARC, Inc.
25/5792 Sherry v. Hogan
19/5425(1) People v. Michael Brooks
25/6348N James Thomas Realty v. Cheliotes

WEDNESDAY, MAY 06

2 P.M.

25/3823 People v. George Hurtado
25/5010(2) Brady v. NY County District Attorney
25/1762 Weir v. Weir
24/5108(2) Robinson v. Desouza
25/6513 Lapinski v. MIP One Wall Street
24/6970 People v. Jermaine Vanderhorst
25/1665 Veolia Energy North America v. Enwave West Coast
25/3889 Torres v. Occhino
2018 - 4817 People v. Javid Dore
25/3023 BFAM Asian Opportunities v. Glory Health Industry
24/7359 Board of Managers v. 56th and Park
25/347 People of State of NY v. National Rifle Assn
2018 - 291 People v. Jason Perez
25/1708 O'Sullivan v. American Golf Corp.
25/2877 Valencia v. Sol Goldman Investments
25/4049 Gonzalez-Taveras v. City of NY
25/6311 Suazo v. 501 Madison-Sutton
25/2807 Caraballo v. Century Star Fuel
24/919 People v. Christopher Macias
25/337N Eastern Effects v. 3911 Lemmon

THURSDAY, MAY 7

2 P.M.

24/4053 People v. Joe Laubriel
25/1371 Pettinato v. EQR-Rivertower
25/7806 Wells Fargo Bank v. Papailias
25/1916 Ali v. K & H Steinway LLC
25/5802(3) Alesco Preferred Funding v. ACP RE, Ltd.
25/3129 Gale v. Abramowitz
24/2013 People v. Eric Santiago
25/2175(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President
25/1333(1) Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President
24/3019 Graves v. Sava Serbian Orthodox Church
22/3655 People v. Charles Johnson
23/1754 People v. Dakeem Greene
25/917 Krifca v. Bronx-Lebanon Special Center
25/2120 G Builders v. Eden Ballroom
25/4801 Ramone v. Frey
25/6767 Chang v. 37 Plaza LLC
24/5538 People v. Jose Prado
25/2215(1) Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals
25/2216(1)N Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals
25/1792N Schnur v. Balestriere

TUESDAY, MAY 12

2 P.M.

25/297 People v. Perin Jacobchuk
25/6472 Reese v. City of NY
25/4975 Matter of Matthew Morenzi
25/7183 Anthony Partners LLC v. Mici
25/7961 SJI Renewable Energy v. REV LNG LLC
24/1560 People v. Shakiem Brunson
25/4203 Sadow v. Radio City Productions
25/6373(3) Capitol Hill 505 v. Capital Hotel JV
25/4504 Lafferty v. Avila
22/3663 People v. Christopher Rivas
25/2584 Leonardo v. Aaron
25/3496 Samsung Electronics v. MPEG LA
25/3672 Cullinan v. New York University
25/6359 Bautista v. 1650 Corp
24/7745 DeRobertis v. City of NY
23/5117 People v. Eric Fullwood
25/2887(1) Royster v. City of NY
25/2703(1) Royster v. City of NY
25/1612N Gomez v. Fremont

WEDNESDAY, MAY 13

2 P.M.

20/2150 People v. Julio Cuevas
24/6648(2) People of State of NY v. Leasing Expenses Co.
25/3538 Lewis v. TCPRNC, LLC
25/687(1) Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital
23/5485(1) Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital
23/5817 People v. Kawan Hernandez
25/4139 Doren v. Allstar Security & Consulting
25/4372 Suozzo v. Charles Schwab & Co.
25/4416 Kay v. Banchik
24/4009 R. C., an Infant v. Jaffe
25/1843 CMB Export v. Motcomb Estates
25/2023 Hofstra University v. United Educators
25/4669 Garcia v. Rennon Construction Corp.
25/3123 Khatskevich v. Victor
25/2919(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert
25/2769(1) People v. Tyler Hibbert
25/1842 Dume v. City of NY
25/600N Lee v. Lyft, Inc.

THURSDAY, MAY 14

2 P.M.

2018 - 1549 People v. Rasheed Davis
25/3181 Villanueva v. J.T. Magen
25/2256 Brylski v. Simone Development
24/7693 Juarez v. Primework Construction
24/2529 People v. Jason Young
25/2283 Broadway PT 1710 v. Kingdom Associates
25/3156 213 West 23rd St. v. Crunch Holdings
25/5832 Cacciatore v. Tisch
25/3498 Perez v. Parkside Terrace
19/1160 People v. Anthony Steward
24/2933 Kambia Chios Realty v. Utica First Insurance
20/1742 People v. Devon McNiell
25/2972 Sasson v. Bridger Consulting Group
25/1669 Vargas v. Trustees of Columbia University
25/6184 Certain Underwriters v. NU RIDE, Inc.
25/3968 Lugo v. Excel Conveyor
22/4810 People v. Luis Gonzalez
24/7246N TRB Acquisitions v. Yedid
24/5610N Sinera v. Bedford-Webster LLC
25/2187(2)N Patterson, Belknap v. Marcus & Cinelli

'*****

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ.

TUESDAY, APRIL 28

10 A.M.

650685/2023 Innovative Securities v. OBEX Securities

COURT NOTES

NYS COURT OF APPEALS AND APPELLATE DIVISON DEPARTMENTS

Primary Election Appeals

The Clerks' Offices of the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division Departments release the following joint scheduling announcement:

The Appellate Division Departments have scheduled and reserved the following dates to consider appeals related to the June 23, 2026 primary elections:

First Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 (May 13 if necessary)

Second Department: Wednesday, May 13, 2026

Third Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

Fourth Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

The Court of Appeals has scheduled Tuesday, May 19, 2026 to consider appeals and motions for leave to appeal related to primary election matters.

All parties, election boards, and affected courts are expected to proceed expeditiously with all phases of election matters so that the requirements of these special sessions and the Election Law can be met. Please consult with the respective Clerks' Offices for details about meeting the timing requirements of each Court.

Because there is limited time available between the primary election sessions of the Appellate Division Departments and the Court of Appeals, parties who seek to have matters placed upon the Court of Appeals motions or appeals calendars must contact the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office for filing instructions promptly (usually immediately upon release of the Appellate Division decision).

FIRST DEPARTMENT

Appellate Term

Filing Dates for the June Term

The June 2026 Term of the Court will commence on June 1.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before April 7.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before April 29.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before May 7.

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions for 'Matter of Miller v. State of New York'

The Court has calendared the appeal in Matter of Miller v. State of New York (APL 2026-00033) for argument on May 20, 2026.

Appellants' letter brief is due by April 22, 2026. Respondents' letter briefs are due by May 6, 2026. Appellants' reply letter brief, if any, is due by May 13, 2026.

Letter requests to submit amicus curiae letter briefs must be filed and served by May 13, 2026.

Interested amici should call the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705 for filing instructions.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Supreme Court

Rules For The Special Election Part

Proceedings brought by Orders to Show Cause to validate or to invalidate designating petitions for the Tuesday, June 23, 2026 Primary Election, required to be commenced on or before Monday, April 20, 2026, or within three (3) business days after a petition is invalidated by the Board of Elections in the City of New York, shall be initially returnable before the Honorable Matthew V. Grieco, on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise, at 10:00 a.m., in Part 30, Courtroom 623, located at 111 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007. The calendar call must be answered either by counsel or by the self-represented litigant(s) if not represented by an attorney, who must be ready for assignment on the return date. Non-lawyer "Representatives" of the parties are not permitted to answer the calendar. The parties must comply with the filing requirements of CPLR 304.

On the return date, all counsel and parties appearing self-represented shall advise either the Clerk of the Part, or the Special Referee assigned to the case, of their addresses and telephone numbers. Proof of Service of the Order to Show Cause, as well as any interposed Counterclaims or Answers, shall be filed either with the Clerk of the Part or the Special Referee (not the County Clerk), or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed) on that date.

Specifications of objections to a designating or a nominating petition previously served and filed, pursuant to the Rules of the Board of Elections, need not be filed with the Clerk of the Part, or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed).

Specifications of objections not previously served and filed with the Board of Elections shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file any such specifications of objections shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of such specifications shall be precluded.

In any proceeding by an aggrieved candidate to invalidate a designating or nominating petition, a bill of particulars as to the specifications of objections shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file a bill of particulars shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of the specifications shall be precluded.

In any proceeding or matter alleging a question of residency of a candidate, a complete written offer of proof shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part or the Special Referee on the return date or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed). The written offer of proof shall specify: [i] the reasons the petitioner believes the address where the candidate actually resides, or that the candidate does not reside at the address stated in the designating/nominating petition; and [ii] the names of any witnesses which the party intends to call to testify regarding the residency issue. Failure to serve and file this offer of proof shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of residency shall be precluded.

A complete written offer of proof, in all matters alleging a question of fraud, including a statement as to the number of witnesses expected to be called, the identification of each such witness (by name, address, volume, page and line) and the status of each such witness (e.g., candidate, signatory, subscribing witness, notary public, etc.), shall be served upon all opposing parties and filed with the Clerk of the Part, or uploaded to NYSCEF (if e-filed), no later than 10:00 a.m., on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise. Failure to serve and file such offer of proof shall be considered and deemed a waiver, and further proof or evidence of fraud shall be precluded.

Proceedings brought by Order to Show Cause to validate a designating or nominating petition, required to be commenced within three (3) business days of a determination of its invalidity by the Board of Elections, shall be returnable either on Friday, April 24, 2026, unless the Judge directs otherwise, at 10:00 a.m. in Part 30, Courtroom 623, located at 111 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007, or at such other time and place as required by the date on which the Board of Elections made its final determination.

In preparing their proposed Order to Show Cause, petitioners are directed to refrain from drafting proposed language directing the Board of Elections to produce in court, on the return date, all of the petition volumes, specifications of objections, and prima facie findings. Rather, petitioners are directed to draft proposed language calling for the Board of Elections to produce in court, on the return date, only the necessary documents. The balance of the Board of Elections documents can be produced at a later stage of the litigation.

The Appellate Division, First Department, has scheduled and reserved Tuesday, May 12, 2026, and if necessary, Wednesday, May 13, 2026, to consider appeals related to the Tuesday, June 23, 2026 primary election.

NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

New Administrative Judge Named in the 3rd Judicial District

Hon. E. Danielle Jose-Decker has been appointed Administrative Judge in the Capital Region's Third Judicial District. The appointment was made in consultation with Chief Judge Rowan D. Wilson and Appellate Division, Third Department Presiding Justice Elizabeth A. Garry.

As Administrative Judge, Justice Jose-Decker will oversee trial court operations in the Third Judicial District, encompassing Albany, Columbia, Greene, Rensselaer, Schoharie, Sullivan, and Ulster counties. She succeeds Justice Christina L. Ryba, who was recently elevated to the Appellate Division, Third Department.

Continued on page 10

APPELLATE TERM

60 Centre Street Room 401

10 A.M.

Commencing with the April 2026 Term, all oral arguments at the Appellate Term, First Department will be in person. Counsel and pro se litigants also have the option to submit.

The following cases are on for submission. No appearance is necessary.

New York County

SUPREME COURT

Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

Ex-Parte Motions Room 315, 9:30 A.M.

Special Term Proceedings Unsafe Buildings Bellevue Psychiatric Center Kirby Psychiatric Center Metropolitan Hospital Manhattan Psychiatric Center Bellevue Hospital

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 252 (60 Centre)
2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)
3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)
4 Kim: 418 (60 Centre)
5 Marcus: 320 (80 Centre)
6 King: 351 (60 Centre)
7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre)
8 Kotler: 278 (80 Centre)
9 Capitti: 355 (60 Centre)
11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)
12 Stroth: 422 (60 Centre)
13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)
15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)
17 Headly: 122 (80 Centre)
18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)
19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)
20 Kaplan: 422 (60Centre)
21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)
22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)
23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)
25 Parker: 1254 (111 Centre)
26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)
27 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)
28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)
30 Grieco: 623 (111 Centre)
31 Waterman-Marshall: 335 (60 Centre)
32 Kahn: 1127 (111 Centre)
34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)
35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)
36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)
37 Cantanzaro: 1127A (111 Centre)
38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)
39 Clynes: 331 (60 Centre)
40 Adams: 252 (60 Centre)
41 Moyne: 327 (80 Centre)
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)
46 Lantry: 103 (71 Thomas)
47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)
49 Zellan: 307 (80 Centre)
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)
51 Wilkofsky: 289 (80 Centre)
52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)
53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)
54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)
55 d'Auguste: 210 (71 Thomas)
56 Kelley: 204 (71 Thomas)
57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)
58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)
62 Chesler: 328 (80 Centre)
62 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)
65 Kingo: (80 Centre)

MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
MMSP-1: 1127B (111 Centre)
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule:

13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante (60 Centre)
ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)
ESC 3 Sealy 122 (80 Centre)
ESC 4 Gelfand 114 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREES 60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354
75R Burzio: Room 240
80R Edelman: Room 562
82R Wohl: Room 501B
83R Sambuco: Room 528
84R Feinberg: Room 641
88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324
Edleman: D 562
Edleman: L 158
Norton: 342
Liu: 620
Lundberg:620
Riley: 240

SPECIAL REFEREE 80 Centre Street

Leveille: 322C

SPECIAL REFEREE 71 Thomas Street

Cabrera: 300

SUPREME COURT Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M. 60 Centre Street

SUPREME COURT Motion Dispositions from Room 130 60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).
ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.
SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.
WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.
SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.
APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.
SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.
S—Stipulation.
C—Consent.
C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.
FINAL—Adjournment date is final

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Submission

1 101408/25 Calizare v. Jp Morgan Chase
2 101448/25 Cusack v. Campus Edu

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

Submission

1 100034/26 Cloud v. Care For The Homeless
2 100048/21 Galeone v. Thorpe Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc
3 100206/26 Gonzalez v. NYCHA
4 100193/26 Lozada v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
5 100272/24 Rodriguez v. Edward A. Caban
6 101286/25 Stoddard v. Metro. Transportation Auth. (NYC)

Paperless Judge Part

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

152480/25 112 Equities LLC v. Powell
152426/16 187 St. Mazal LLC v. Demurjian
161817/25 242/72 Owners Corp. Et Al v. Shalco Properties LLC Et Al
153697/26 Ajm Business Service, Inc. Et Al v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
651500/26 Akf Inc. v. Epic Auto Detailing LLC Et Al
654999/25 Allstate Ins. Co. And Any And All of Its Subsidiaries And Affiliates v. Rodriguez
154584/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Fagiri
163921/25 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Starboard Group of Richmond North
651839/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. NY Medical & Diagnostic Care
651501/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc
651532/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc
651538/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. NY Medical & Diagnostic Care
152520/23 Banks v. Vanessa Marc Spa
650843/26 Bekim Trenova v. Mirza
157072/24 Benitez Castro v. Gouverneur Court Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
652078/25 Bennett v. Bennett
153260/24 Bernhardt v. Bredin
157571/21 Bianco v. Second And 103
655424/25 Booth St. Rlty. v. 2700 Central Park Ave. Partners LLC Et Al
656384/25 Brookfield Corp. v. Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corp.
158000/23 Caceres Contreras v. Hp Sherman Creek Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
159919/22 Campbell v. Gill
850453/25 Citibank v. Future Iservice LLC Et Al
450203/25 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Katselnik & Katselnik Group, Inc.
161486/25 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
659229/25 Cw Investment Advisers v. The Continuum Co.
150205/25 Dabbas v. Si Soho Inc. Et Al
155784/21 Davey v. NY Univ. Et Al
655530/23 David A. Kaminsky & Associates v. Clarke
160856/24 Delmonico v. Eastern Venture LLC Et Al
805100/22 Delucia v. Tang
163482/25 Diaz v. 321 E. 48th
150533/26 Driffin v. Pit Bull Prod.s, Inc.
651620/26 E. Formella & Sons, Inc. v. Fortunato
100087/23 Evans v. Sharpe
850015/24 Fannie Mae v. 82-84 Wadsworth Ave Hldg. Co. LLC Et Al
652685/24 Fitterer v. Carone
152728/24 Gabri v. Cortinas Const. Corp Et Al
154287/25 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Advanced Ortho Dme Corp Et Al
159703/24 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Andrew D. Adlerstein
150438/24 Guaman v. Carmel Const. East
190075/25 Haddock v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
150146/26 Hk Prop. Dev. LLC Et Al v. Law Office of David E. Mollon Et Al
153651/26 Hossain v. The City Univ. of NY Et Al
651792/26 in The Matter of The Application For An Order Staying Arbitration Between Hartford Underwriter's Ins. Co. v. Mamet
151257/24 Inwood Ventura II LLC v. 30 Park Rlty. Co., LLC
659395/25 Ipfs of NY v. About Last Night Restaurant And Nightlife Group LLC
659185/25 John Hancock Life And Health Ins. Co. Et Al v. Nyi-Sirius LLC Et Al
850215/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Unknown Successor Trustee of The Martin Trepel Revocable Trust
157641/24 Jurado v. Rfr Rlty. LLC Et Al
166174/25 Kirton v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al
159861/22 Koller v. NYC Et Al
152080/26 Kruglov v. Cozen O'Connor Et Al
650073/26 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Stanley
153355/25 Lichtenstein v. Cohen
656262/25 Lightspeed Commerce USA Inc. v. The Wine And Spirits Boutique At Eastbridge LLC Et Al
652903/25 Lisa Bandelli, As The Administrator of The Estate of Michael Virgona, Individually And Derivatively, on Behalf of Kelly Varnell Virgona, Inc. v. Varnell
451227/26 Louz v. Holder
151192/23 Mak Chin v. Empire Resorts Inc. Et Al
950016/19 Mangan v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
154292/26 Manners v. Prod.ion Resource Group
161202/17 Marcuse M.D. v. Rudman
161215/19 Martinez v. Bop One North End LLC
150439/26 Mirabal v. Carma Laboratories Inc.
158630/23 Miranda v. Phoenix Sutton Str Inc. Et Al
151402/19 Murray v. NYU Langone Hosps.
153985/24 Naranjo v. NYC Et Al
659815/25 Nedd v. Port Auth. Trans-Hudson Corp.
652893/20 New Deal Rlty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
155939/25 O'Connor v. Jopal Gsb
154644/20 Palacios v. Ford Foundation
160716/23 Palaguachi v. Miklos Real Estate LLC Et Al
451475/26 People of The State of NY v. England, Thims & Miller Inc.
451480/26 People of The State of NY v. Green Island Import Inc
158618/25 Perez v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
160913/20 Perez v. NYC
805302/23 Pessolano v. De Silva M.D.
161631/25 Pierre v. Aff-Whga Mn11e Ltd. Partnership Et Al
152038/24 Quinonez Jaramillo v. A.W. & S. Const. Co. Inc. Et Al
659454/25 Ravenel v. Premium Merchant Funding 26 LLC
160155/24 Rejouis v. NYC Et Al
656675/25 Rock N' Shop NYC Inc., D/b/a Live By The Sword v. Karwacki
156545/25 Sala v. The NY County Public Administrator
163563/25 Scc Spv I v. Weeks
651674/25 Sig Rcrs C Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. Mj Group Hldgs. LLC Et Al
651361/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. Evp 27 St Marks Pl LLC Et Al
158520/22 Solis Matute v. Flintlock Const. Services LLC Et Al
651659/24 Sonix Carriers Inc. v. The Gap, Inc. Et Al
450508/25 State of NY v. Nieves
155644/25 Super Pc Systems Inc. v. D'Antigua NY Corp. Et Al
156525/25 Synchrony Bank v. Reinoso
159800/25 The Legal Aid Society v. Records Access Officer
164675/25 Tobacco 'n Vape Inc. v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al
161411/19 Travelers Prop. v. Vema Group
156742/17 Von-Sperling v. NYC Et Al
151705/23 Wamputsrik v. 2995 Coney Island LLC Et Al
850398/25 Wells Fargo Bank v. Pazmino-Schell
850040/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Lau Ma
850068/26 Wilmington Trust v. Wwp Office
150943/22 Zayas-Vasquez v. NYCHA Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

157557/24 132 West 14th St. Ground Lessee LLC Et Al v. Bey Metal USA LLC
654965/24 151 E 57 LLC v. Baxter
153694/26 1st Alliance Group v. Bank of America
166692/25 259-261 Halsey St LLC v. NYC Et Al
160933/24 298 East Village Owner LLC v. Stewart
655817/24 400 East 62nd Properties v. Grupo Cinemex
160853/25 500 Lincoln Owner v. Dhl Express (usa), Inc.
653016/22 630 West 52nd LLC v. Fresh Inventory Services
156835/25 979 Second Ave. LLC v. Yue Wah Chao A/k/a Winnie Chao Et Al
154726/19 Access Theater, Inc. v. Battery Dance Corp.
656128/25 Akf Inc. v. Kg Rentals LLC Et Al
651529/26 Akf Inc. v. Sangon Investments
163305/25 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Hvac Experts Inc
655247/24 Amtad LLC v. Sosh Architects
651286/24 Aptos v. Forman Mills Inc.
656075/23 Associated Industries Ins. Co., Inc. v. Ltd. Interior Group Corp. Et Al
951381/21 Axel v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951043/21 Baez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
153549/26 Bausch & Lomb Americas Inc. Et Al v. Novartis Finance Corp. Et Al
652505/19 Benson-Armer v. Schuchmann
166170/25 Berry-Amin v. A&E Real Estate Mgt.

654824/23 Bleriot Us Bidco Inc. Et Al v. Signature Aviation Ltd. F/k/a Signature Aviation Plc F/k/a Bba Aviation
951044/21 Boccuzzi v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
160650/25 Brea v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
156847/22 Carrion v. Walsam 160 Lexington Ave. Et Al
652175/23 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London v. Rhg Manpower Inc Et Al
159315/19 Chabla Remache v. Aldad & Sons Rlty., Inc.
155812/22 Chaglla Calucho v. 280 W 155th St. Owner
653433/24 Cherokee Funding II v. Express Funding of America
850036/24 Citibank v. Williams
151103/26 Clinton 510 Owners Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. Dipietro
162434/23 Coles v. Dreamcrew Ip
651166/23 Colony Ins. Co. v. Mt. Hawley Ins. Co.
951191/21 Costello v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
166417/25 Crescenzi v. NY Police Dept. (nypd) Et Al
162289/23 Crespin Molina v. Empire General Contracting & Painting Corp. Et Al
152496/20 Cruz Fuentes v. 65 Franklin LLC
154553/21 Cuello Carrasco v. NYCTA Et Al
155545/19 Cueva v. NYC
151028/23 Cumbo v. The Shubert Organization, Inc.
166753/25 Dann v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 411 East 53rd St. Condominium Et Al
950176/20 David Sanford v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
655737/23 Davidoff Law Firm Pllc v. Bertoni
163666/25 Dhl Express (usa), Inc. v. 500 Lincoln
655635/24 Dhl Express, Inc. v. Marchesa Hldgs. LLC
950192/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
163300/25 Duran Cornelio v. Shlufman
651415/26 E.C.C.O. III Enterprises, Inc. v. Posillico Civil, Inc./atlantic Pile & Foundation LLC, A Joint Venture D/b/a Atlantic Pile
153543/17 Elizabeth Canal v. Structure Tone Global
157364/20 Espinal Cabrera v. 50 Hymc LLC
155442/23 Febus v. Muslin
155360/24 Fils-Aime v. Fantis Transfer Corp
950672/21 Foley v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
654746/25 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2024 LLC v. Legends Electric LLC D/b/a Legends Electric LLC Et Al
656275/25 Francesco Gargano Md Pc v. United Healthcare, Inc.
950871/21 Galcik v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
161967/24 Gashi v. Surrey Investors Inc. Et Al
150857/26 Gibelli v. Colonial Van Lines, Inc.
153748/19 Gilinsky v. Ashforth Properties
162162/23 Golden Ins. Co. v. Vogrin & Frimet
159220/22 Goldstein v. Fontaine Owners Corp. Et Al
152799/24 Gomes Ribeiro v. Huerta
951384/21 Gonzalez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
951269/21 Grady v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
651256/25 Greyhawk North America L.L.C v. Fpg Maiden Lane
190084/23 Grosso v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
160324/25 Guevara v. The NYCHA
151884/26 Hall v. NYC Police Dept.
153071/21 Haut v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
651968/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Armaan 1 Petroleum Inc Et Al
651966/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Pelicans And Flamingos
651967/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Taquitos Chicago Inc. Et Al
650506/26 Hirschfeld Kraemer v. Stevens & Co.
153778/26 Howard v. NYCTA Et Al
101488/25 in The Matter of The Application of Changehe Cheng Et Al v. Hon. Shahabudden Ally
450411/26 in The Matter of The Application of Kimberly Fusco v. Teachers Retirement System of NYC Et Al
150565/26 in The Matter of The Application of Ray Holman v. NYC Et Al
159084/22 Inm Zv v. Schwartz
653553/22 Ins. Co. of Greater NY v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al
150620/26 Jaross v. Molinari
950920/21 Jimenez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
805360/21 Juan Carlos Licona-Rubio v. Coa 200 E 34th LLC
150162/25 Karmali-Rawji v. Trustwave.Trade Et Al
950462/20 Kelley v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951105/21 Kelly v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
654630/25 Ks Group LLC Et Al v. Ks Sussex Ave. Lp Et Al
161157/19 Kudelycz v. Jlj Enterprises, Inc.
156727/25 Latin v. The Dept. of Education of NYC
151596/20 Leban v. McGee Amusements Inc.
151475/26 Levinson v. Machinist
950805/21 Lewis Jr. v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
659290/25 Lightspeed Commerce USA Inc. v. Apricode Kds Et Al
950719/20 Loiola v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
951163/21 Lombardo v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
951297/21 Lukas v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951108/21 Lundberg v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
653886/24 Maiden Lane Medical v. Genovese
159158/25 Maldonado v. Stellar Bruckner LLC Et Al
951166/21 Mandragona v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951307/21 Marano v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950022/21 Martin v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950899/21 McGrath v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
653887/23 Mept 757 Third Ave. LLC v. Grant
650588/26 Mera Romero v. Garner Environmental Services Inc. Et Al
190004/22 Mitchell v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
805283/23 Mohtashami v. Rosenbaum M.D.
805210/18 Molter v. Lsg 105 West 28th
653535/22 Mt. Hawley Ins. Co. v. Pathforward Consulting, Inc.
156545/22 Neiderer v. Santullo
951197/21 Nieves v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
165306/25 Ozcan v. Equinox Hldgs. LLC Et Al
951206/21 Paratore Sr. v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
162742/25 Pavez v. A&E Real Estate Hldgs.
450750/24 People of The State of NY v. Yellowstone Capital LLC Et Al
951351/21 Pereira v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
152836/19 Picariello v. Turner Const. Co.
166726/25 Pinnacle Partners LLC v. O'Connor
656357/23 Popdust, Inc. v. Inkmango Inc.
165890/25 Privilege Underwriters Reciprocal Exch. v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Inc. Et Al
155195/17 Quinn v. Parkoff Operating Corp.
152937/24 Rahman v. Milos Hy, Inc. Et Al
162134/25 Ramos v. Shimonova
100506/23 Raymundo Grand Hodge v. NYC Et Al
603295/07 Regional Scaffolding & Hoisting v. Travelers Casualty & Surety Co. of America
655724/25 Renee v. Sisters Clarke Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
950741/21 Restuccio v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
650178/25 Retrospettiva, Inc. v. Linde-Griffith Const. Co.
452034/25 Reyes v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
850563/25 Ridgedale Fcu v. Chang
154086/22 Robles v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
951449/21 Rodriguez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
150885/24 Samuel v. Institute For Community Living, Inc. Et Al
156369/22 Schwartz v. Brookfield Asset Mgt.
850504/25 Sig Rcrs A/b Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. West 125th St. Equities LLC Et Al
850089/23 Signature Bank v. Newman
656344/25 Sinvin Rlty. v. 147 Spring St. Associates, Inc.
452002/16 Smith v. Jarbo
951261/21 Smith v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
162028/23 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Villar Polanco
163657/25 Surveillance Tech. Oversight Project v. Office of The Mayor of NYC
158860/19 Sweney v. Con Ed Co.
650032/26 Swift Sbf Hldgs. LLC v. Biz2credit Inc.
320245/26 Takayanagi v. Martinez
650210/26 Taormino v. Fischler
162159/23 The Fifth And Fifty-Fifth Residence Club Assoc. Inc. v. 2423-0476 Quebec Inc., A Canada Corp.
653043/25 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Zarkadas
158913/25 The Legal Aid Society v. Fire Dept. of NYC
158860/23 Trustees of NYC Dist. Council of Carpenters Pension Fund v. S&N Builders, Inc. Et Al
651672/21 U-Haul Co. of NY And Vermont, Inc. v. Otis Elevator Co.
654757/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Dbms Consulting, Inc. Et Al
850028/24 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Moran
160563/20 Urband-Gluck v. NYC
651265/24 Veolia Energy North America Hldgs., Inc. v. Enwave West Coast Hldgs.
154655/14 Villa v. Agbh Printing House Hldgs.
950737/20 W v. Archdiocese of NY
950865/21 Walcott v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
850282/25 Wells Fargo Bank v. Apf 28 W 44 Owner L.P. Et Al
655500/16 Winfield Cooper v. A&E Real Estate Hldgs.
150761/26 Wong & Liu Associates Inc. v. The State of NY Div. of Housing Community Renewal
152809/23 Yedid v. Sorkin
161033/21 Yedid v. Yedid
160377/24 Zolotarevsky v. Spring St. Owner LLC Et Al

E-Filing Submission Part

Adjourned for Working Copies Part

Part 1

Justice Adam Silvera
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

100087/23 Evans v. Sharpe
157809/20 Firstenberg v. La Rochelle 75 I LLC Et Al
159286/21 Martinez v. Martinez

Part 2

Justice Lori S. Sattler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3852
Room 212

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

251025/20 103 Prince Prop. LLC v. The Tax Commission of The City of New York
254658/21 122 Norfolk St. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250341/21 124 Seventh Ave. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
257178/18 143-5 Owners Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
266738/21 151 William Rlty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
240031/24 195e76 LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
260374/18 200 West End Ave. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
264837/17 205 East 59th St. A/k/a 205e59 v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
266603/20 220 East 60 v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
266723/21 234 East 46th St. Prop. Owner LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
240114/24 245 West 55th St. LLC v. Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
254574/23 265 East 66th LLC And 265 East 66th Hamilton LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
255690/15 319 Belvedere Rlty. Co. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
263816/20 34th St. Penn Assoc. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265534/22 40 Mercer Retail Hldgs. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
257629/24 40 West 34th St. Owner LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
240018/24 601 Resi Hldgs. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC And The Comm'r. of Finance of NYC
256329/24 614 West 56th St. Associates LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256917/21 731 Retail One LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256998/22 Blue Millennium Rlty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
259877/18 Broome-Thompson v. The Tax Comm.
250011/19 Crosstown Equities LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
258325/18 East 50th St. Investors LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256730/19 East End Owners Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
256945/17 Edison Mgt. Co v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
263599/22 Garment One Garage LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
258589/22 Gp Abitboul v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
250146/16 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
263663/16 K.G.S. Elizabeth LLC v. The Tax Comm.
263991/21 Lincoln Triangle Commercial Hldg. Co. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
253049/21 Mariners Gate v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
266721/21 Metro. 58th St. Associates v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
257245/18 Mhm Rlty. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
240036/22 Michael T. Cohen v. Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
259113/19 Milt Hldgs. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
252638/18 Nine Orchard Partners v. Tax Comm. of The
263022/21 Quik Park 61st St. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
261877/21 Rector Garage LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265576/20 Rudin East 55th St. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC

Court Calendars

COURT NOTES

Continued from page 9

U.S DISTRICT COURT

Southern District

Appointment of New Magistrate Judge
Application Deadline is May 1

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is accepting applications for a full-time Magistrate Judge position at New York, NY. The duties of the position are demanding and wide ranging and will include: (1) conduct of preliminary proceedings in criminal cases; (2) trial and disposition of misdemeanor cases; (3) conduct of various pretrial matters, including settlement proceedings, and evidentiary proceedings on delegation from the judges of the district court; (4) trial and disposition of civil cases upon consent of the litigants; (5) inquests and reports and recommendations on dispositive motions and evidentiary matters on reference from the judges of the district court; and (6) assignment of additional duties not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States.

The basic jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge is specified in 28 U.S.C., Section 636. To be qualified for appointment, an applicant must: (a) be a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands for at least five years; (b) have been engaged in the active practice of law for a period of at least five years (with some substitutions authorized); (c) be competent to perform all the duties of the office, of good moral character, emotionally stable and mature, committed to equal justice under the law, in good health, patient and courteous, and capable of deliberation and decisiveness; (d) be less than 70 years old; and (e) not be related to a judge of the district court.

A Merit Selection Panel composed of attorneys and other members of the community will review all applications and recommend in confidence to the judges of the district court five persons whom it considers best qualified. The Court will make the appointment(s) following an FBI and IRS investigation of the appointee(s). Each individual selected must comply with the financial disclosure requirements of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 and the Courthouse Ethics Act (CETA) of 2021. The Court seeks a wide range of highly qualified candidates. The current salary of the position is $229,908 per annum (effective 1/1/2026).

Candidates should submit hard copies of the applications to the physical address below and email a copy to: Magistrateapplications@nysd.uscourts.gov.

Edward A. Friedland
District Court Executive
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 820
New York, NY 10007-1312

An original plus fifteen (15) copies of a cover letter, resume and application must be received by May 1, 2026.

Application forms are available on the Court's web site: www.nysd.uscourts.gov.

NEW YORK CITY BAR

Bar Announces Leadership Transition
At Lawyer Assistance Program

The New York City Bar Association has announced that Eileen Travis, founding director of the City Bar's Lawyer Assistance Program (LAP), will retire after a quarter century of transformative service to the legal profession. Meredith Heller, a former chair of the City Bar's LAP Committee, has been named Travis's successor.

257182/18 S&P/dm 26 Dev. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265958/20 St. Giles Hotel v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
264301/23 The Infinity Flats Condominium v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265497/22 The Regatta Condominium v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
266061/21 Tribeca Acquisition Rlty Corp v. Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
254191/24 United Jewish Council-Bialystoker Synagogue Hdfc , Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
265703/21 West 54th Garage LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
259517/16 York Ave. Commons LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
257809/23 Yves Saint Laurent America, Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC
259301/15 Zoland Properties Inc. v. The Tax Comm. of NYC

Motion

266738/21 151 William Rlty. LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
266723/21 234 East 46th St. Prop. Owner LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
266721/21 Metro. 58th St. Associates v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
266061/21 Tribeca Acquisition Rlty Corp v. Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

159084/22 Inm Zv v. Schwartz
155195/17 Quinn v. Parkoff Operating Corp.
650178/25 Retrospettiva, Inc. v. Linde-Griffith Const. Co.
158860/23 Trustees of NYC Dist. Council of Carpenters Pension Fund v. S&N Builders, Inc. Et Al

Part 3

Justice Joel M. Cohen
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3287
Room 208

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

652227/19 All in 1 Spot With v. Noor Staffing Group
652685/24 Fitterer v. Carone
657021/19 Merriview Hill v. Prebenda
651659/24 Sonix Carriers Inc. v. The Gap, Inc. Et Al

Motion

652227/19 All in 1 Spot With v. Noor Staffing Group

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651541/26 36th Street Capital Partners v. Sybridge Technologies U.S. Hldgs. Inc. Et Al
650253/26 Baus Capital Partners, Inc. v. Temple Capital
653433/24 Cherokee Funding II v. Express Funding of America
656273/25 Manganelli v. Hiline Services
656551/25 Samuel Steven Jemal v. Jemal
651265/24 Veolia Energy North America Hldgs., Inc. v. Enwave West Coast Hldgs.

Motion

651541/26 36th Street Capital Partners v. Sybridge Technologies U.S. Hldgs. Inc. Et Al
650253/26 Baus Capital Partners, Inc. v. Temple Capital
656273/25 Manganelli v. Hiline Services
656551/25 Samuel Steven Jemal v. Jemal

Part 6

Justice Kathy J. King
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3312
Room 351

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

805062/24 A.S. v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp.
805116/24 Anderson Spencer v. Rodeo Md
805074/24 Arquimedes Antonio Alvarez Hernandez v. Bederson M.D.
450365/25 Bailous v. Community Home Care Referral Service, Inc. Et Al
805087/25 Barcelo v. Zbeda Md
805249/24 Bendes v. Davidson M.D.
805036/25 Bertisch v. Abusamra
805479/23 Bolan v. Jarnagin M.D.
805386/23 Borchert v. Hillard Md
805262/24 Calchera v. Sardar M.D.
805058/24 Calderon v. NY Presbyterian Cornell Medical Center Et Al
805182/24 Caputo v. Mount Sinai Doctors Et Al
805384/19 Col v. Scott
805113/19 E. S. F. A Minor By Her v. Winthrop Univ. Hosp.
805158/24 Errico-Nagar v. Doolan
805165/24 Evans v. Robbins M.D.
805122/22 Falchiere v. Vasyukevich M.D.
805205/25 Fernandez v. Sy Aesthetics Et Al
805331/18 Filacchione v. Marwin
805414/19 George Chen v. Barakat
805075/20 Godoy v. Eswar
805418/16 Henry v. Mues
805229/22 Hermy Orduna As Attorney in Fact For Clorinda Arrascue v. The Mount Sinai Hosp.
805329/22 Herrera v. Del Vecchio M.D.
800033/10 I. L. v. Maria Teaiwa-Rutherford
805328/24 Ivashkina v. Bagdonas M.D.
155476/17 Jaffe v. NY Presbyterian
805109/25 Johnson v. Isabella Geriatric Center, Inc. Et Al
101086/22 Justice v. Doft
805307/24 Laufer v. Solomon Schwartzstein
805113/22 Michalczuk v. Golfinos M.D.
805302/23 Pessolano v. De Silva M.D.
805019/20 Petsis v. Michaud Md
805256/25 Pilot v. Freund M.D.
805271/24 Pizarro v. Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al
805342/23 Robinson v. Weber
805406/20 Rogers v. Malhotra M.D.
805341/18 Silver v. Weiss
805097/25 So v. Lipson M.D.
805061/25 Tomlinson v. Hands of Hope Pt
805002/22 Torres v. Okubadejo M.D.
805450/23 Waitkins v. McCance M.D.
805096/19 Weidener v. Mansfield M.D.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

165890/25 Privilege Underwriters Reciprocal Exch. v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Inc. Et Al

Part 7

Justice Gerald Lebovits
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3746
Courtroom 345

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

161817/25 242/72 Owners Corp. Et Al v. Shalco Properties LLC Et Al
166461/25 Barbaz v. Carney
650843/26 Bekim Trenova v. Mirza
100725/22 Cashflow Capital LLC v. New World Art Center Inc. Et Al
450203/25 Comm'rs. of State Ins. Fund v. Katselnik & Katselnik Group, Inc.
163482/25 Diaz v. 321 E. 48th
150533/26 Driffin v. Pit Bull Prod.s, Inc.
160413/24 Goldberg Segalla Llp v. Ethan
159703/24 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Andrew D. Adlerstein
160166/24 Haller v. 57 Park Ops LLC
165989/25 Kirshner v. 269 West 72nd Owners Corp.
161774/24 Misevis v. Int'l House of NY Et Al
653253/22 Msme Mgt. v. Blue Planet Funding
659815/25 Nedd v. Port Auth. Trans-Hudson Corp.
154993/24 Quintero-Rodriguez v. Goldstein
659454/25 Ravenel v. Premium Merchant Funding 26 LLC
152986/25 Rupall v. Cozen O'Connor Et Al

Motion

166461/25 Barbaz v. Carney
100725/22 Cashflow Capital LLC v. New World Art Center Inc. Et Al
160413/24 Goldberg Segalla Llp v. Ethan
165989/25 Kirshner v. 269 West 72nd Owners Corp.
152986/25 Rupall v. Cozen O'Connor Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

160933/24 298 East Village Owner LLC v. Stewart
161217/23 708 Third Ave. Hldgs. v. 710 Third Ave. Partners LLC
656128/25 Akf Inc. v. Kg Rentals LLC Et Al
157241/24 Batista v. Amsterdam 2220 LLC Et Al
652505/19 Benson-Armer v. Schuchmann
451563/25 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Delouis
453239/24 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Structure Point LLC
656146/18 Gong v. Savage
100206/26 Gonzalez v. NYCHA
651387/25 Hd Supply Facilities Maint. v. Luxurban Hotels, Inc. D/b/a Hotel 57 By Luxurban
155201/25 Herman v. McKenna
655923/24 Hillman Housing Corp. v. Sheldon J. Stern
650506/26 Hirschfeld Kraemer v. Stevens & Co.
160446/22 Ira Rosenblum v. Wheeler
160806/25 Kitt v. 58-60 Manhattan Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
654630/25 Ks Group LLC Et Al v. Ks Sussex Ave. Lp Et Al
165306/25 Ozcan v. Equinox Hldgs. LLC Et Al
452302/25 Parkside Funding Group LLC v. Killybegs Const. Inc Et Al
152937/24 Rahman v. Milos Hy, Inc. Et Al
162134/25 Ramos v. Shimonova
656344/25 Sinvin Rlty. v. 147 Spring St. Associates, Inc.

Part 9

Justice Linda M. Capitti
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3848
Room 355

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

365590/23 Immordino Borner v. Borner

Part 11

Justice Lyle E. Frank
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3314
Room 412

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

651839/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. New York Medical & Diagnostic Care
101448/25 Cusack v. Campus Edu
651635/26 Gagandeep Lakhmna As Trustee of The Sohan Trust v. Upsilon Gallery
656237/21 Goldstein v. Goldstein
150146/26 Hk Prop. Dev. LLC Et Al v. Law Office of David E. Mollon Et Al
659395/25 Ipfs of NY v. About Last Night Restaurant And Nightlife Group LLC
659185/25 John Hancock Life And Health Ins. Co. Et Al v. Nyi-Sirius LLC Et Al
156525/25 Synchrony Bank v. Reinoso
164675/25 Tobacco 'n Vape Inc. v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al

Motion

651635/26 Gagandeep Lakhmna As Trustee of The Sohan Trust v. Upsilon Gallery
656237/21 Goldstein v. Goldstein

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651887/26 56th Lexington Holding v. Board of Mgrs. of The 140 East 56th St. Condominium
158858/24 Agulnek v. Agulnek
655737/23 Davidoff Law Firm Pllc v. Bertoni
654470/25 Greenwich Hldg. W. 23 v. 315 West 23rd St. Owners Corp. Et Al
151823/26 Haberstroh v. NYC Dept. of Social Services Et Al
655663/25 Harris v. Brown Harris Stevens Residential Sales
653553/22 Ins. Co. of Greater NY v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al
156727/25 Latin v. The Dept. of Education of NYC
656252/21 Savinis v. Aaron Bollman And Co. Inc.
153798/26 Singer v. Bezark
651439/26 Zmz Spring Tavern Inc. D/b/a Soho Room v. 124 Commercial Lp Et

Motion

651887/26 56th Lexington Holding v. Board of Mgrs. of The 140 East 56th St. Condominium
158858/24 Agulnek v. Agulnek
654470/25 Greenwich Hldg. W. 23 v. 315 West 23rd St. Owners Corp. Et Al
151823/26 Haberstroh v. NYC Dept. of Social Services Et Al
655663/25 Harris v. Brown Harris Stevens Residential Sales
656252/21 Savinis v. Aaron Bollman And Co. Inc.
153798/26 Singer v. Bezark
651439/26 Zmz Spring Tavern Inc. D/b/a Soho Room v. 124 Commercial Lp Et

Part 12

Justice Leslie A. Stroth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3273
Room 232

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

651532/26 American Transit Insurance Company v. Focal Supply Inc
100697/08 Genger v. Genger
159861/22 Koller v. NYC Et Al
161215/19 Martinez v. Bop One North End LLC

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

163305/25 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Hvac Experts Inc
157663/19 Barbosa v. NYC School
152037/23 Chubb Nat. Ins. Co. v. White Glove Moving & Storage
159902/22 Cornelius v. Purple Rlty., Inc. Et Al
651416/24 Dalbir Singh & Associates v. Singh—11 A.M.
655635/24 Dhl Express, Inc. v. Marchesa Hldgs. LLC
159757/23 Eastman v. Your Vet 1
157364/20 Espinal Cabrera v. 50 Hymc LLC
158138/24 Rodriguez v. Thor 215 West 116th St. LLC Et Al
100272/24 Rodriguez v. Edward A. Caban

Part 14

Justice Arlene P. Bluth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3219
Room 432

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

654732/25 Akf Inc. v. Dynamic Kitchen Bath And Flooring LLC Et Al
160993/20 Ballard v. Mlg 904 Housing Dev. Et Al
652637/21 Digital Seven LLC v. Joseph Bryan
651174/21 Four Arrow Funding, Inc. v. Meir Bernstein LLC
151192/23 Mak Chin v. Empire Resorts Inc. Et Al
152496/15 Mehling v. Corinthian Condominium
159697/20 Mszanski v. Pierre Congress Apts. LLC
151402/19 Murray v. NYU Langone Hosps.
652893/20 New Deal Rlty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
155939/25 O'Connor v. Jopal Gsb
160913/20 Perez v. NYC
151479/20 Reyes-Guevara v. 722 Metro. LLC
161411/19 Travelers Prop. v. Vema Group
151287/24 Veterans Hosp.ity Group v. Gm Canmar Residence Corp. Et Al

Motion

160993/20 Ballard v. Mlg 904 Housing Dev. Et Al
159697/20 Mszanski v. Pierre Congress Apts. LLC
151479/20 Reyes-Guevara v. 722 Metro. LLC

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

152496/20 Cruz Fuentes v. 65 Franklin LLC
153543/17 Elizabeth Canal v. Structure Tone Global
160324/25 Guevara v. The NYCHA
653998/20 Marcum Llp v. Park Pl. Dev. Primary
656357/23 Popdust, Inc. v. Inkmango Inc.

Part 15

Justice Jeanine R. Johnson
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 116

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

365426/22 Bisgeier v. Lunetta
365338/24 Da Cruz v. Gomez
304392/19 Elliott-Jackson v. Jackson
321056/22 Morris-Perry v. Morris-Perry
365176/25 Penaranda v. Garcia

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

365574/25 Lipner v. Luxenberg
320245/26 Takayanagi v. Martinez

Part 17

Justice Shlomo S. Hagler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3283
Courtroom 335

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

159127/20 Almonte v. NYU Langone Hosps.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

Motion

152425/23 Lv Individually And As Natural Parent on Behalf of Minor Child Jv v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Part 19

Justice Lisa A. Sokoloff
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3979
Room 540

Part 20 ADR

Justice Deborah A. Kaplan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3300
Courtroom 422

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

158632/23 Stuart v. Kg Pumping Corp

Part 24 Matrimonial Part

Justice Michael L. Katz
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3285
Courtroom 325

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

350006/20 Ader v. Ader—3 P.M.
350006/20 Ader v. Ader
304871/14 El Hadj v. El Hadj—10:30 A.M.
321220/24 Fontenla v. Romero-Fontenla
365032/24 Romano v. Romano

Motion

350006/20 Ader v. Ader
321220/24 Fontenla v. Romero-Fontenla
365032/24 Romano v. Romano

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

320621/23 St Louis v. St Louis

Part 26

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 438

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

305106/18 Gould v. Kontogiorge
350030/17 O'Neil v. O'Neil

Part 28

Justice Aija Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

365197/25 Friend v. Friend
365737/25 Jarvis Iv v. Jarvis
321136/23 Mokhtari Sharghi v. Saadat
321001/24 Thomas v. Moss

Motion

365197/25 Friend v. Friend

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

321719/23 Darwish v. Darwish

Part 30V

Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

Motion

154807/26 Blackburn v. Davis
154738/26 Davis v. Board of Elections in NYC Et Al
154885/26 De Los Santos v. Castellanos
154677/26 Diallo v. Oconnor Manasia
154678/26 Fall v. Oconnor Manasia
154808/26 Guardiola v. Sanchez
154887/26 Purvis v. Nero
154679/26 Reyes v. Ahart
154729/26 Sairitupac v. Sanchez
154884/26 Sanchez v. Guardiola
154730/26 Wong v. Cheng

Part 33

Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

Part 34

Justice Dakota D. Ramseur
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4370
Room 341

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

651538/26 American Transit Insurance Company v. New York Medical & Diagnostic Care
651792/26 in The Matter of The Application For An Order Staying Arbitration Between Hartford Underwriter's Ins. Co. v. Mamet
158520/22 Solis Matute v. Flintlock Const. Services LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

155442/23 Febus v. Muslin
656275/25 Francesco Gargano Md Pc v. United Healthcare, Inc.
150857/26 Gibelli v. Colonial Van Lines, Inc.
650588/26 Mera Romero v. Garner Environmental Services Inc. Et Al
156545/22 Neiderer v. Santullo

Part 37 IAS Part

Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

Part 39

Justice James G. Clynes
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3619

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

650331/25 290 Grand LLC v. The Grandaddy LLC Et Al
653577/23 353 W48 Partners v. Turn Key Office Suites
154961/25 Espinal v. Hawthorne Ventures LLC
160807/24 Gold v. Skin Spa NY - Upper West Side
651101/26 Goode v. East 124 Garage Corp. Et
153355/25 Lichtenstein v. Cohen
651430/25 Longa v. Triborough Bridge And Tunnel Auth.
654448/24 Lower East Side Associates LLC v. Site 3 Dsa Owner LLC Et Al
161631/25 Pierre v. Aff-Whga Mn11e Ltd. Partnership Et Al
659161/24 Pirs Capital v. Spice Alliance LLC Et Al
152038/24 Quinonez Jaramillo v. A.W. & S. Const. Co. Inc. Et Al
163563/25 Scc Spv I v. Weeks
160467/25 Sigler v. Baldan
650236/26 Sobel Network Shipping Co., Inc. v. Veil Cosmetics
161180/21 Tatis v. The Chinatown Planning Council Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
453777/24 NYC Et Al v. 21647 LLC
160569/24 Tipp Floor Covering Inc. v. Citibank
650313/25 Unger v. Juin
651825/23 Wvjp 2018-3 v. Bomel San Diego Equities LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

153694/26 1st Alliance Group v. Bank of America
651166/23 Colony Ins. Co. v. Mt. Hawley Ins. Co.
155360/24 Fils-Aime v. Fantis Transfer Corp
153748/19 Gilinsky v. Ashforth Properties
156702/21 Hantz v. Hillman Housing Corp.
659290/25 Lightspeed Commerce USA Inc. v. Apricode Kds Et Al
154374/23 Qiao v. Nobutaka Inc. Et Al
651672/21 U-Haul Co. of NY And Vermont, Inc. v. Otis Elevator Co.

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

659128/24 Azur Limited v. Barokas
652673/25 John Sutherland LLC v. Adjmi
653385/25 Keys Capital Ltd. v. Qureshi
659248/24 Metro Athletic Medicine & Fitness P.C. v. NY Presbyterian Hosp.

Motion

652673/25 John Sutherland LLC v. Adjmi
653385/25 Keys Capital Ltd. v. Qureshi

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651597/18 Doctors Allergy Formula v. Valeant Pharmaceuticals
850282/25 Wells Fargo Bank v. Apf 28 W 44 Owner L.P. Et Al

Part 40TR Judicial Mediation

Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

156858/20 545-7 East 5th St. Associates v. Ghorchian
657421/19 63rd & 3rd NYC LLC v. Rsc Group LLC
150165/17 Aig Prop. Casuality v. Sf Const. Services, Inc.
450195/18 Bradley v. NYC
157267/14 Cackett v. Gladden Properties
160924/18 Campos v. Lam Group
150984/20 Chuvasheva v. Hudson Meridian Const.
160766/17 Dasilva v. Super P57
452797/15 Dasilva v. Toll First Ave.
153540/20 Disarro v. Emblemhealth Inc
160633/19 Dresner v. NYC
158728/15 Fleurant v. NYC
154238/21 Guzman v. Apex Housing Dev.
152439/22 Hargraves v. NYC Et Al
158297/18 Jones v. NYC Dept. of
152534/19 Jones v. Hudson Meridian Const.
158573/19 Khaimov v. NYC Et Al
153022/15 Lane v. NYC
152911/22 Lieberman v. Bcd Delancey LLC Et Al
161223/19 Mather v. Hfz Kik 30th St. Owner LLC
153756/20 McAleavey v. NYC
160384/19 Moise v. NYC
151205/22 Montesdeoca Peralta v. 55 Liberty Owners Corp. Et Al
159603/20 Morillo v. Eustace
158476/18 Palazzo v. Chanel, Inc.
157449/23 Pena v. NYC
653514/18 Pizzarotti Ibc v. A.L. One Const., Inc.
162748/15 Price v. Riverside Radio Dispatcher, Inc. Et Al
154157/17 Rainbow Home Improvement, Inc. v. Thor Milford Retail
153403/19 Ramos v. NYC
151648/20 Santoli v. Eastside Ventura LLC
152437/18 Simon v. 4 World Trade Center LLC
155960/19 Singh v. Vijay & Sharan Cab Corp.
156083/19 Spoto v. Matos
150220/22 Staneski v. NYC
158301/23 Storelli v. NYC Et Al
158806/20 Taitelbaum v. 20125 Owners Corp.
156189/19 Talamo v. NYC
152882/20 Tech. Ins. v. Hudson Meridian Const.
154809/22 Van Hook v. Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. Et Al
656844/20 Wing on Rlty., Inc. v. Db Ins. Co., Ltd. (us

Part 44

Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3370
Room 321

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

365578/23 Alam v. Jain
365549/22 Gleason v. Johnson
365118/25 Lando v. Siegel
321420/25 Patil v. Zaware

Motion

365578/23 Alam v. Jain
365118/25 Lando v. Siegel

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

166692/25 259-261 Halsey St LLC v. NYC Et Al
151884/26 Hall v. NYC Police Dept.
450411/26 in The Matter of The Application of Kimberly Fusco v. Teachers Retirement System of NYC Et Al
322809/21 Schraier v. Schraier
322261/24 Soldo v. Friedman
163657/25 Surveillance Tech. Oversight Project v. Office of The Mayor of NYC

Part 45 Commercial Div.

Justice Anar Rathod Patel
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3632
Room 428

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

654018/25 Scg Plaza Inc. Et Al v. Lee
650210/26 Taormino v. Fischler
655500/16 Winfield Cooper v. A&E Real Estate Hldgs.

Part 48 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrea Masley
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3265
Room 242

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

655424/25 Booth Street Realty v. 2700 Central Park Ave. Partners LLC Et Al
651530/24 Clearway Energy Group LLC v. Power Electronics USA Inc.
650715/26 Clough v. Zurich American Ins. Co. Et Al
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavenir Systems, Inc.
650731/25 Psalms Creative v. Safra Nat. Bank Et Al
653841/24 Ubs Americas Inc. Et Al v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. Et Al
652937/25 Weinstein v. Networks Presentations LLC Et Al
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

Motion

653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavenir Systems, Inc.
850079/25 Wilmington Trust v. 90 Fifth Owner

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
656426/25 Bceg Amsterdam Partners v. Amsterdam Capital, Inc.
654824/23 Bleriot Us Bidco Inc. Et Al v. Signature Aviation Ltd. F/k/a Signature Aviation Plc F/k/a Bba Aviation
659816/25 Pae Sterling 1 LLC v. Old Mutual Alternative Risk Transfer Insure Ltd.
659500/25 Stewart Title Guaranty Co. v. Paramount Vista Nat. Land Services
659356/24 Varda Chocolatier Inc. v. Shamban
652933/25 Vcv Digital Solutions LLC v. Koning Corp. Et Al

Motion

659816/25 Pae Sterling 1 LLC v. Old Mutual Alternative Risk Transfer Insure Ltd.

Part 49 Commercial Div.

Justice Margaret A. Chan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4033
Room 252

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Motion

304181/19 Beit-Halachmy v. London

Part 53 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrew S. Borrok
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3304
Room 238

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651286/24 Aptos v. Forman Mills Inc.
651221/24 NY 24th St. Vi LLC Et Al v. Dhs Fraco LLC Et Al

Part 54 Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

651241/25 King Contracting Group NY Inc. v. Bober
652615/21 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

654965/24 151 E 57 LLC v. Baxter
653016/22 630 West 52nd LLC v. Fresh Inventory Services
603295/07 Regional Scaffolding & Hoisting v. Travelers Casualty & Surety Co. of America

653012/19 Taxi Tours Inc. v. Go NY Tours, Inc.
651160/21 Trb Acquisitions LLC v. Jack Yedid
652467/22 Welkin Mechanical v. NYC

Motion

653012/19 Taxi Tours Inc. v. Go NY Tours, Inc.

Part 57

Justice Sabrina Kraus
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3195
Room 218

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

153697/26 Ajm Business Service, Inc. Et Al v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
950208/21 Andrews v. Our Lady Star of The Sea Et Al
100260/26 Attawwab-Cherry v. Con Ed Co. of NY Inc
950362/20 Baldassano v. Roman Catholic Parish Church Et Al
950632/20 Burke v. Congregation of Christian
150662/20 Connolly v. Foreman
950683/20 Doe Whose Initials Are A.L. v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951079/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950308/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950763/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
951109/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Parish Church of Our Lady Star of The Sea.
950915/21 Ferrie v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
152652/26 Kew Gardens Hills 68th Road Block Assoc., Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Standards And Appeals Et Al
154644/20 Palacios v. Ford Foundation
950611/21 R. v. Madison Square Boys & Girls
950752/20 Tucci v. The Roman Catholic Diocese of Bklyn.

Motion

100260/26 Attawwab-Cherry v. Con Ed Co. of NY Inc
150662/20 Connolly v. Foreman
152652/26 Kew Gardens Hills 68th Road Block Assoc., Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Standards And Appeals Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

951043/21 Baez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951044/21 Boccuzzi v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950541/21 C.B. v. Catholic Charities of The
159315/19 Chabla Remache v. Aldad & Sons Rlty., Inc.
950393/21 D. v. Girl Scouts of The United
950176/20 David Sanford v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950301/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950346/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950571/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950643/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950672/21 Foley v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951384/21 Gonzalez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
951269/21 Grady v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950920/21 Jimenez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
950462/20 Kelley v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951151/21 Knapp v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950719/20 Loiola v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
951163/21 Lombardo v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
100193/26 Lozada v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
951297/21 Lukas v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951108/21 Lundberg v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950022/21 Martin v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950645/20 Orgel v. Agudath Israel of America
154086/22 Robles v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese NY Et Al
951449/21 Rodriguez v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951261/21 Smith v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950737/20 W v. Archdiocese of NY

Part 59

Justice Debra A. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3351
Room 331

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Melissa A. Crane
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3310
Room 248

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

650762/25 Dti-Dsic v. 930-Dsic
451475/26 People of The State of NY v. England, Thims & Miller Inc.
451480/26 People of The State of NY v. Green Island Import Inc

Part 61 Commercial Div.

Justice Nancy M. Bannon
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3169
Room 232

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

656384/25 Brookfield Corp. v. Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corp.
654720/24 U.S. Technologies Communication Corp. D/b/a Netceed v. Altice USA, Inc. F/k/a Neptune Hldg. Us Corp.
850154/25 Wilmington Trust v. 505 Bronx Equities LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

161485/24 Garda Capital Partners Sarl Et Al v. Schonfeld Strategic Advisors LLC
650618/19 Max v. Alp, Inc.
650032/26 Swift Sbf Hldgs. LLC v. Biz2credit Inc.

Motion

161485/24 Garda Capital Partners Sarl Et Al v. Schonfeld Strategic Advisors LLC
650618/19 Max v. Alp, Inc.

Transit Authority Settlement Part

60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3281
Room 408

80 CENTRE STREET

Part 4

Justice Judy H. Kim
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3580
Room 308

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

162130/24 Bantug v. 5421 Equities LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

151028/23 Cumbo v. The Shubert Organization, Inc.
653887/23 Mept 757 Third Ave. LLC v. Grant
156369/22 Schwartz v. Brookfield Asset Mgt.

Part 5 City Part

Justice Hasa A. Kingo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3374
Room 320

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

156216/20 7030 Body Transformation LLC v. Elya
161866/19 Benjamin Freeman v. Harmonia Hldgs. LLC
150803/18 Frydman v. Verschleiser
153651/26 Hossain v. The City Univ. of NY Et Al
805150/21 Huntley v. NYCH&HC And
150304/19 Verschleiser v. Frydman

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651415/26 E.C.C.O. III Enterprises, Inc. v. Posillico Civil, Inc./atlantic Pile & Foundation LLC, A Joint Venture D/b/a Atlantic Pile
805360/21 Juan Carlos Licona-Rubio v. Coa 200 E 34th LLC
805210/18 Molter v. Lsg 105 West 28th
152836/19 Picariello v. Turner Const. Co.

Part 8

Justice Lynn R. Kotler
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3572
Room 278

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

152426/16 187 St. Mazal LLC v. Demurjian
163921/25 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Starboard Group of Richmond North

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651966/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Pelicans And Flamingos
162742/25 Pavez v. A&E Real Estate Hldgs.

Part 21 City Part

Justice Richard A. Tsai
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3738
Room 280

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

158795/19 Alea v. NYCTA
160120/16 Alphons-Cooke v. Haynes
154892/24 Arias v. NYC Et Al
160167/24 Begum v. NYCTA Et Al
150459/21 Berard v. NYC Et Al
161348/23 Boris v. NYC Et Al
157024/19 Borneo v. NYCTA
452387/25 Boulden v. NYCTA Et Al
157543/22 Brady v. The NYCTA Et Al
150058/20 Buffalino v. NYC
157187/19 Campbell v. NYCTA
151445/25 Cardoso v. NYCTA Et Al
154505/24 Coote v. NYC Et Al
158355/21 Crum v. The NYCTA
163803/25 Davis v. NYCTA
156974/22 Delegal v. NYC Et Al
451680/17 Deleon v. NYC
159448/21 Delgado v. NYCTA Et Al
150989/23 Diallo v. NYCTA Et Al
160486/22 Downes v. NYC
150993/24 Fernandez v. NYCTA Et Al
151282/19 Floyd v. Chery
158014/19 Floyd v. Cruz
156803/21 Ford v. NYC Et Al
150246/20 Fratto v. NYC
161850/25 Gardner v. NYCTA Et Al
450719/23 Gebremedhin v. NYC Et Al
155349/23 Ghattas v. NYCTA Et Al
159111/15 Gibbs v. NYCTA
152896/20 Glatzer v. NYCTA
160067/23 Golden v. NYC Et Al
165540/25 Graziano Jr. v. NYC Et Al
156875/20 Gregoire v. NYCTA
150875/20 Guelce v. NYCTA
158160/23 Han v. NYCTA Et Al
156259/24 Haxhitasi v. NYCTA Et Al
158316/22 Hoyle v. NYCTA
152948/23 Javed v. NYCTA Et Al
155861/18 Kille v. NYCTA
154362/25 Levy v. NYCTA Et Al
154588/17 Levy v. NYC
451227/26 Louz v. Holder
153560/16 Michaels v. Port Auth. of NY & Nj
452799/23 Mohamed v. NYCTA Et Al
157208/23 Moreno v. The NYCTA Et Al
152713/21 Najdek v. Mta Bus Co. Et Al
158618/25 Perez v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
151425/24 Ramos v. NYCTA Autority Et Al
151970/22 Rickiram v. NYCTA
165538/25 Rivera v. The NYCTA
158646/24 Rivera v. Mabstoa Et Al
157412/24 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
162488/19 Sanchez v. NYC
166488/25 Sanfo v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
654673/19 Scottdale Ins. Co. v. NYC
154004/22 Sewell v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
156742/25 Smith v. NYCTA Et Al
452129/24 Spadaro v. NYC Et Al
152797/22 Stone v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
151681/24 Tanico v. NYCTA Et Al
158177/24 Thomas v. The NYCTA Et Al
155044/22 Torres v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
162321/25 Tucker v. NYC Et Al
156669/18 Vargas v. NYCTA
152759/21 Webb v. NYC Et Al
156751/20 West v. NYC
158840/22 Whitehead v. Stewart
152308/22 Wilson v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
155761/24 Winiger v. NYCTA Et Al
450631/20 Zibak v. NYCTA

Motion

156259/24 Haxhitasi v. NYCTA Et Al
154362/25 Levy v. NYCTA Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

154553/21 Cuello Carrasco v. NYCTA Et Al
155545/19 Cueva v. NYC
153778/26 Howard v. NYCTA Et Al
160495/20 Metro. Transportation v. Board of Mgrs. of The
452034/25 Reyes v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
101286/25 Stoddard v. Metro. Transportation Auth. (NYC)

Motion

160495/20 Metro. Transportation v. Board of Mgrs. of The

Part 22 Motor Vehicle

Justice Christopher Chin
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3271
Room 136

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

153260/24 Bernhardt v. Bredin
159919/22 Campbell v. Gill
155011/23 Cruz v. 226 Lafayette Co., Inc. Et Al
155784/21 Davey v. NY Univ. Et Al
158658/22 Dean v. Grubhub Hldgs. Inc. Et Al
152728/24 Gabri v. Cortinas Const. Corp Et Al
150438/24 Guaman v. Carmel Const. East
161202/17 Marcuse M.D. v. Rudman
161237/25 Medaglia v. Corti
158630/23 Miranda v. Phoenix Sutton Str Inc. Et Al
150527/23 Murillo Urbina v. Bhuyan
157504/22 Quashawn Holt v. Rxr Const. Services LLC.
156545/25 Sala v. The NY County Public Administrator
450736/22 Vaval v. Singh
151705/23 Wamputsrik v. 2995 Coney Island LLC Et Al
156041/19 Wilson v. Lambert

Motion

155011/23 Cruz v. 226 Lafayette Co., Inc. Et Al
158658/22 Dean v. Grubhub Hldgs. Inc. Et Al
161237/25 Medaglia v. Corti
150527/23 Murillo Urbina v. Bhuyan
157504/22 Quashawn Holt v. Rxr Const. Services LLC.
450736/22 Vaval v. Singh
156041/19 Wilson v. Lambert

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

152580/24 Alvi v. S.M.G. Supply Inc. Et Al
161067/23 Anderson v. Gul
159830/24 Anderson v. Akkaf
157201/21 Askew v. Mohamed
161727/24 Baerga v. Hernandez
153380/23 Bassingthwaighte v. Palladino
161607/23 Bennett v. Stone
160758/24 Boone III v. Motivate LLC Et Al
158643/20 Chan v. NYC
161543/23 Contin v. Tomasuolo
160755/24 Cruz v. Wienbroer
154725/24 Daniyan v. Aguilera
153665/25 Delshad v. Quertani
163300/25 Duran Cornelio v. Shlufman
160418/23 Ennis v. Ar Equipment Leasing
155325/23 Ferriera v. Morales
157030/21 Gomez v. Latipov
159898/24 Guerrero Enriquez v. Isaev
153960/25 Haeeun Jung v. Veras
150261/23 Hairston v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al
154152/25 Hassan v. A & A Indopak
157722/23 Jackson v. Verizon Services Corp. Et Al
158982/24 Jackson v. Norman
162523/23 Khatib v. Vadnai
156868/23 Lasharn Edwards v. Johnson
151596/20 Leban v. McGee Amusements Inc.
159014/23 Lebron v. Delacruz
151735/24 Lohan v. Cruz
160728/21 Magid v. Schilt
151983/25 Marin v. Singer
152712/25 Matos Abreu v. Vinicius Vidal De Araujo
151126/23 Millan v. Nozil
162151/24 Molina Tellez v. Beard
159710/24 Mulligan v. Gomez Medina
150527/23 Murillo Urbina v. Bhuyan
158727/24 Ortiz v. Doe
160415/23 Osman v. Pepperman
159493/23 P v. Hiltzik
162306/23 Paez Lora v. Encore Group (USA)
160330/24 Pichardo Martinez v. Diarra
150476/25 Price v. Davis
157318/23 Quinn v. Lu
156071/24 Ramirez v. Mouzakitis
159678/24 Rivas v. Awuah
157693/24 Rivas v. Gaston Almonte
159090/24 Rolando v. Heidelberg Materials Northeast-Ny LLC.
160455/24 Rosenfeld v. Islam
151574/24 Sala v. Smith
153371/25 Savinon v. Sonae Shin
156801/20 Scheimberg v. Lahey Service Corp.
161268/23 Smith v. Hossain
452002/16 Smith v. Jarbo
160564/24 Spektor v. Steinmetz
151114/24 T. v. Jennings
162352/23 Thompson v. Rivera
158820/21 Yan v. Martinez
152174/22 Yang v. Weiss
152607/24 Zupan v. Kolotripides

Part MED-2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
646-386-3689
Room 106

Early Settlement Part 1

Justice Miles J. Vigilante
80 Centre Street
Room 106

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

155427/19 Parker v. Trustees of The Spence

Early Settlement Part 2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
Room 106

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

153447/21 Comito v. NYC Et Al
159300/20 Gil v. NYC
159218/21 Harvis v. NYC
159964/20 Mantione v. Big Bowl
154783/24 Santana v. NYC Et Al
153462/20 T. v. NYC
158636/20 Valdez v. Gil

Part 27

Justice Denise M Dominguez
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5625
Courtroom 289

Part 41

Justice Nicholas W. Moyne
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3984
Room 327

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

152480/25 112 Equities LLC v. Powell
654540/25 133 Frederick Rlty. Inc. v. Gloria Homes Apts L.P. Et Al
654685/22 31-61 Vernon Blvd LLC v. S.W.G. Services Corp. Et Al
654498/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Van Lindt
654227/25 Bacchus v. Merrell
161200/24 Ciccone v. Donlon
653667/24 Cory A. Lang v. Luis Cenedese, Individually And As Trustee of The Atilio A. Cenedese Revocable Trust, As Mgr. of Inwood Redi Car Inc., And As Mgr. of 715 Heights Corp.
653134/24 Faber v. The Board of Mgrs. of The Loft 14 Condominium
158362/24 Ferreira Goncalves v. Apawamis Club Et Al
654746/25 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2024 LLC v. Legends Electric LLC D/b/a Legends Electric LLC Et Al
150063/24 Garvin Const. Prods. v. Mastro Brothers, Inc. Et Al
154287/25 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Advanced Ortho Dme Corp Et Al
650653/20 Jonas By Her Attorney in v. Guernsey's
166174/25 Kirton v. Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al
650073/26 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Stanley
150822/23 Mareza I. Estevez v. The United Synagogue of Conservative Judaism D/b/a Nativ
151775/25 Outten & Golden v. Nunn
659890/24 Pdnyc LLC Et Al v. 27th St. Prop. Owner
452836/22 Prop. Clerk v. Porto
154158/24 Stone v. Romark Rlty.
159800/25 The Legal Aid Society v. Records Access Officer

Motion

654227/25 Bacchus v. Merrell
161200/24 Ciccone v. Donlon
151775/25 Outten & Golden v. Nunn
659890/24 Pdnyc LLC Et Al v. 27th St. Prop. Owner

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

160853/25 500 Lincoln Owner v. Dhl Express (usa), Inc.
656075/23 Associated Industries Ins. Co., Inc. v. Ltd. Interior Group Corp. Et Al
166170/25 Berry-Amin v. A&E Real Estate Mgt.
163666/25 Dhl Express (usa), Inc. v. 500 Lincoln
654746/25 Fora Financial Asset Securitization 2024 LLC v. Legends Electric LLC D/b/a Legends Electric LLC Et Al
150565/26 in The Matter of The Application of Ray Holman v. NYC Et Al
162159/23 The Fifth And Fifty-Fifth Residence Club Assoc. Inc. v. 2423-0476 Quebec Inc., A Canada Corp.
653043/25 The Law Office of Alexander Paykin v. Zarkadas
158913/25 The Legal Aid Society v. Fire Dept. of NYC
654757/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. D/b/a U.S. Bank Equipment Finance v. Dbms Consulting, Inc. Et Al
150761/26 Wong & Liu Associates Inc. v. The State of NY Div. of Housing Community Renewal
160377/24 Zolotarevsky v. Spring St. Owner LLC Et Al

Part 50

Justice J. Machelle Sweeting
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5639
Room 279

Part 51 Matrimonial Part

Justice Lisa S. Headley
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3846
Room 122

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

159127/20 Almonte v. NYU Langone Hosps.
365457/21 De La Rosa v. Colter
652903/25 Lisa Bandelli, As The Administrator of The Estate of Michael Virgona, Individually And Derivatively, on Behalf of Kelly Varnell Virgona, Inc. v. Varnell
150439/26 Mirabal v. Carma Laboratories Inc.
153985/24 Naranjo v. NYC Et Al
320594/21 Ramirez v. Carrasquillo
452935/23 Shaukat v. Martinez

Motion

322818/21 Joly v. Beltrao-Joly
452935/23 Shaukat v. Martinez

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

162289/23 Crespin Molina v. Empire General Contracting & Painting Corp. Et Al
309188/13 Dwyer v. Dwyer
162162/23 Golden Ins. Co. v. Vogrin & Frimet
161157/19 Kudelycz v. Jlj Enterprises, Inc.
152425/23 Lv Individually And As Natural Parent on Behalf of Minor Child Jv v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
320826/24 Russo v. Chambers

Part 65

Justice Denis M. Reo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3887
Room 307

Part 73R Special Referee

Justice Diego Santiago
60 Centre Street
Room 354

Part 75R Special Referee

Justice Stephen S. Burzio
60 Centre Street
Room 240

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

365098/21 Jordan v. Jordan
365004/24 Wickstrom v. Benedict

Part 81R Special Referee

Justice Lancelot B. Hewitt
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3680
Room 321

Part 84R Special Referee

Justice Jeremy R. Feinberg
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3207
Room 641

Part 87R Special Referee

Justice Joseph P. Burke
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5541
Room 238

Part 88R Special Referee

Justice Deborah E. Edelman
60 Centre Street
Room 158

Part 89R Special Referee

Justice Sue Ann Hoahng
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3676
Room 236

71 THOMAS STREET

Part 13

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

190075/25 Haddock v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
190053/24 Shah v. Aerco Int'l

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

190084/23 Grosso v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190004/22 Mitchell v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al

Part 18

Justice Alexander M. Tisch
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3472
Room 104

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

950016/19 Mangan v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651529/26 Akf Inc. v. Sangon Investments
951381/21 Axel v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951191/21 Costello v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950192/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950871/21 Galcik v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
100048/21 Galeone v. Thorpe Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc
951105/21 Kelly v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950805/21 Lewis Jr. v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951166/21 Mandragona v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951307/21 Marano v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950899/21 McGrath v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951197/21 Nieves v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951206/21 Paratore Sr. v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
951351/21 Pereira v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950741/21 Restuccio v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950865/21 Walcott v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Part 23

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

190075/25 Haddock v. Amchem Prods., Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
190053/24 Shah v. Aerco Int'l

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

190084/23 Grosso v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al
190004/22 Mitchell v. A.W. Chesterton Co. Et Al

Part 29

Justice Leticia M. Ramirez
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 311

Part 36

Justice Verna L. Saunders
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3733
Room 205

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651967/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Taquitos Chicago Inc. Et Al

Part 46

Justice Richard Latin
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3279
Room 210

Part 55

Justice James D'Auguste
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3289
Room 103

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

157622/25 Ahsani v. Khan
655660/24 Arena Special Opportunities Fund v. Greenwave Tech. Solutions, Inc.
650013/25 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Nerney
656675/25 Rock N' Shop NYC Inc., D/b/a Live By The Sword v. Karwacki
154643/15 Rodriguez v. NYC
450765/26 NYC v. Militana
115336/10 Total Asset Recovery v. Metlife, Inc.

Motion

157622/25 Ahsani v. Khan
650013/25 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Nerney
154643/15 Rodriguez v. NYC
450765/26 NYC v. Militana
115336/10 Total Asset Recovery v. Metlife, Inc.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

160650/25 Brea v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
166513/25 Premier Hotels LLC Et Al v. 58 West 47th St. LLC Et Al
453192/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 723 3rd Ave.
152809/23 Yedid v. Sorkin
161033/21 Yedid v. Yedid

Motion

166513/25 Premier Hotels LLC Et Al v. 58 West 47th St. LLC Et Al
453192/25 NYC v. The Land And Bldg. Known As 723 3rd Ave.

Part 58

Justice David B. Cohen
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-636-3347
Room 305

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

157641/24 Jurado v. Rfr Rlty. LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

651109/26 1199seiu United Healthcare Workers East v. Garnet Health Medical Center D/b/a Garnet Health Medical Center-Catskill F/k/a Catskill Regional Hosp.
156835/25 979 Second Ave. LLC v. Yue Wah Chao A/k/a Winnie Chao Et Al
154726/19 Access Theater, Inc. v. Battery Dance Corp.
100034/26 Cloud v. Care For The Homeless
166417/25 Crescenzi v. NY Police Dept. (nypd) Et Al
161967/24 Gashi v. Surrey Investors Inc. Et Al

Part 56

Justice John J. Kelley
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-5281
Room 204

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

805399/21 Boikai Individually v. White Plains Hosp. Et Al
805260/25 Chertok v. Novitsky M.D.
805100/22 Delucia v. Tang
805155/24 Hart v. Beth Israel Medical Center Et Al
805206/24 Koufallakis v. Haber M.D.
805331/25 Moore v. Rajpurohit
805334/21 Strominger v. Pamoukian M.D.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

805212/25 Guillaume v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al
805283/23 Mohtashami v. Rosenbaum M.D.
158888/23 Samari Ijezie v. Lana Rozenberg

111 CENTRE STREET

Part 25 Guardianship

Justice Ilana J. Marcus
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5675
Room 1254

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

160155/24 Rejouis v. NYC Et Al
156742/17 Von-Sperling v. NYC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

153071/21 Haut v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
100506/23 Raymundo Grand Hodge v. NYC Et Al
158860/19 Sweney v. Con Ed Co.

Part 35

Justice Phaedra F. Perry
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 684

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

152520/23 Banks v. Vanessa Marc Spa
150205/25 Dabbas v. Si Soho Inc. Et Al
655530/23 David A. Kaminsky & Associates v. Clarke

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

653307/20 3868 Broadway Realty v. Burger Joint New York II
162434/23 Coles v. Dreamcrew Ip

Part 31

Justice Kathleen C. Waterman-Marshall
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4296
Room 623

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

651500/26 Akf Inc. v. Epic Auto Detailing LLC Et Al
656262/25 Lightspeed Commerce USA Inc. v. The Wine And Spirits Boutique At Eastbridge LLC Et Al
152309/20 Nest Seekers v. Daniel Group
450508/25 State of NY v. Nieves

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

157557/24 132 West 14th St. Ground Lessee LLC Et Al v. Bey Metal USA LLC
153549/26 Bausch & Lomb Americas Inc. Et Al v. Novartis Finance Corp. Et Al
655578/24 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London Et Al v. Todaro Brothers Inc.
651968/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Armaan 1 Petroleum Inc Et Al
162028/23 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Villar Polanco
152592/25 Veksler v. Wipro

Motion

655578/24 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London Et Al v. Todaro Brothers Inc.
152592/25 Veksler v. Wipro

32 Mortgage Foreclosure Part

Justice Francis A. Kahn, III
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5607
Room 1127B

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

154584/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Fagiri
850453/25 Citibank v. Future Iservice LLC Et Al
651620/26 E. Formella & Sons, Inc. v. Fortunato
850015/24 Fannie Mae v. 82-84 Wadsworth Ave Hldg. Co. LLC Et Al
850215/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Unknown Successor Trustee of The Martin Trepel Revocable Trust
154292/26 Manners v. Prod.ion Resource Group
651674/25 Sig Rcrs C Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. Mj Group Hldgs. LLC Et Al
850398/25 Wells Fargo Bank v. Pazmino-Schell
850040/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Lau Ma
850068/26 Wilmington Trust v. Wwp Office

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

850036/24 Citibank v. Williams
850563/25 Ridgedale Fcu v. Chang
850504/25 Sig Rcrs A/b Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. West 125th St. Equities LLC Et Al
850089/23 Signature Bank v. Newman
850028/24 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Moran

Part 38

Justice Ashlee Crawford
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3235
Room 1166

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

153881/25 Altschuler v. Watch Fantom, Inc. D/b/a Catapultx D/b/a Qortex Inc. Et Al
659295/24 Eastern Funding LLC v. Ghost Auto & Towing Inc Et Al
152080/26 Kruglov v. Cozen O'Connor Et Al
162103/23 Matchett v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 322 West 57th St. Condominium Et Al
150464/22 Quinn McCabe Llp v. 132w26 Owner LLC Et Al
651361/25 Sig Rcrs D Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. Evp 27 St Marks Pl LLC Et Al
651116/20 Sugaree Rlty. v. Assary Grocery Corp.
450770/16 Triborough Bridge And Tunnel v. Smith

Motion

153881/25 Altschuler v. Watch Fantom, Inc. D/b/a Catapultx D/b/a Qortex Inc. Et Al
162103/23 Matchett v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 322 West 57th St. Condominium Et Al
150464/22 Quinn McCabe Llp v. 132w26 Owner LLC Et Al
651116/20 Sugaree Rlty. v. Assary Grocery Corp.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

166753/25 Dann v. The Board of Mgrs. of The 411 East 53rd St. Condominium Et Al
150162/25 Karmali-Rawji v. Trustwave.Trade Et Al
166726/25 Pinnacle Partners LLC v. O'Connor

Part 42

Justice Emily Morales-Minerva
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3237
Room 574

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

654999/25 Allstate Ins. Co. And Any And All of Its Subsidiaries And Affiliates v. Rodriguez
651501/26 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Focal Supply Inc
652078/25 Bennett v. Bennett
101408/25 Calizare v. Jp Morgan Chase
659229/25 Cw Investment Advisers v. The Continuum Co.
151257/24 Inwood Ventura II LLC v. 30 Park Rlty. Co., LLC
155644/25 Super Pc Systems Inc. v. D'Antigua NY Corp. Et Al
656047/25 Verde Electric Corp. v. Railworks Transit LLC Et Al

Motion

656047/25 Verde Electric Corp. v. Railworks Transit LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

655817/24 400 East 62nd Properties v. Grupo Cinemex
151103/26 Clinton 510 Owners Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. Dipietro
651256/25 Greyhawk North America L.L.C v. Fpg Maiden Lane
150620/26 Jaross v. Molinari
151475/26 Levinson v. Machinist

Part 47

Justice Paul A. Goetz
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3743
Room 1021

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

157004/24 630 West 52nd LLC v. Horing Welikson Rosen & Digrugilliers Pc Et Al
151925/22 85 Tenth Ave. Associates v. L'atelier NYC LLC Et Al
150928/22 Alsaffar v. 44 Dev. LLC
154128/24 Antoniou v. The Tjx Companies, Inc. Et Al
154690/24 Arce v. Applejack Diner Et Al
161419/23 Cabrera Tejada v. NYC Et Al
452813/23 Comm'rs. of The NYS Ins. Fund v. 2361 31st LLC
155314/24 Dehoyos-Mincey v. Deena Rlty. Corp.
160856/24 Delmonico v. Eastern Venture LLC Et Al
161040/24 Don v. Px Global Advisors LLC Et Al
154766/21 Elizabeth A. Eden v. Sutton House, Inc.
159607/17 Elizabeth Kennedy v. New York City Health And
159156/20 Elizabeth Stauber v. Board of Directors of 8
155696/23 F. v. NYC Et Al
150359/25 Feigen v. Hamill
156010/23 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Angela Abramov Plischtejew
154632/23 Hatkoff v. NY Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
155249/24 Juarez-Condido v. Anh Em Hosp.ity Group LLC Et Al
155679/24 Keenan v. Bloomberg
155187/24 Lopez v. Thermo Tech Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
154062/20 Martinez v. Rite Aid of NYC Inc.
160716/23 Palaguachi v. Miklos Real Estate LLC Et Al
152439/20 Peralta v. Board of Mgrs. of 200
153432/25 Pieczykolan v. 902 Associates L.P. Et Al
659350/24 Px Global Advisors LLC Et Al v. Don
156634/24 Rapone v. 485 Seventh Ave. Associates LLC Et Al
156223/24 Riddick v. Graham
150096/24 Rivera v. Prospect Mgt. Et Al
150666/24 Rodriguez v. Ft. George 602 LLC Et Al
153010/23 Rosa v. Archdiocese of NY A/k/a Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
151538/23 Ruiz Moreno v. Forsyth
150465/23 Sanchez v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey
154996/23 Schwartz v. Swift Studios LLC Et Al
160395/24 Soto v. Sensa Rlty. LLC Et Al
153914/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Deberry
152832/25 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Hanna
151910/24 The Legal Aid Society, Inc. Et Al v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
151755/23 Vazquez v. Golden Gate Rehabilitation And Health Care Center LLC Et Al
157738/23 Watson v. Mary Manning Walsh Nursing Home Co., Inc.
100748/22 Williams v. NYCHA

Motion

157004/24 630 West 52nd LLC v. Horing Welikson Rosen & Digrugilliers Pc Et Al
150928/22 Alsaffar v. 44 Dev. LLC
161040/24 Don v. Px Global Advisors LLC Et Al
150359/25 Feigen v. Hamill
659350/24 Px Global Advisors LLC Et Al v. Don

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

152799/24 Gomes Ribeiro v. Huerta
450750/24 People of The State of NY v. Yellowstone Capital LLC Et Al
655724/25 Renee v. Sisters Clarke Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al
160563/20 Urband-Gluck v. NYC

Part 52 City Part

Justice Carol Sharpe
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3742
Room 1045

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

153447/21 Comito v. NYC Et Al
161486/25 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
159218/21 Harvis v. NYC
159964/20 Mantione v. Big Bowl
154783/24 Santana v. NYC Et Al
153462/20 T. v. NYC

Part 62 City Part

Justice Ariel D. Chesler
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3274
Room 1127A

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

451326/22 Boerke v. NYC Et Al
156263/21 Boyle v. NYC Et Al
159472/21 Brown v. Urgiles
161012/22 Carmona v. The Dept. of Education of NYC Et Al
155167/22 Connolly v. Empire Dev. Fund LLC Et Al
158721/21 De La Rosa v. NYC Et Al
150921/26 Fisher v. NYC Et Al
159300/20 Gil v. NYC
452032/22 Grant v. Hamida
151990/23 Green v. NYC Et Al
151821/26 in The Matter of The Application of Kathleen Ryan v. NYC Dept. of Education
152579/19 Johnson v. NYC
153841/21 Linton v. NYC Et Al
159930/17 Lopez v. NYC
156516/21 Malloy v. NYC Et Al
155642/23 Margold v. Tully Const. Co. Inc. Et Al
155682/20 Mendez v. NYC
157520/21 Mendoza v. NYC Et Al
161007/22 Mitchell v. McGuire
158900/21 Morales v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
152644/26 Mossey v. NYC
161219/23 Mulero v. Gates Rollins
154281/19 Palermo v. NYC Et Al
159656/22 Pena v. NYC Et Al
159253/22 Sutton v. NYCH&HC Corp.
157315/20 Tirone-Winston v. NYC Et Al
158636/20 Valdez v. Gil
151229/19 Winckelman v. NYC
155193/24 Zarek v. NYC Et Al

Motion

451326/22 Boerke v. NYC Et Al
150921/26 Fisher v. NYC Et
151821/26 in The Matter of The Application of Kathleen Ryan v. NYC Dept. of Education
152644/26 Mossey v. NYC

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

159220/22 Goldstein v. Fontaine Owners Corp. Et Al
159158/25 Maldonado v. Stellar Bruckner LLC Et Al

Integrated Domestic Violence Part

Justice Tandra L. Dawson
100 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3868
Room 1604

CRIMINAL TERM

Part Tap A

Justice Biben
Phone 646-386-4107
100 Centre St.
Room 1100, 9:30 A.M.

Part Tap B

Justice Statsinger
Phone 646-346-4044
100 Centre St.
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Geovani Armstrong
Marcos Ayala
Yarden Cohen
Richard Ducket
Luis Duran
Michael A. Fiechter
Quadir Holloway
Clifton Howard
Jabron Ligon
Christopher Perez
Caleb Perna
Juan Rivera
Roberto Rodriguez Vasquez
Ricky Rosa
Carlos Sanchez
Jason Sanchez
Melquan Williams
Naeem Woodson

Part 22

Justice Mennin
Phone 646-386-4022
Fax 212-295-4890
111 Centre Street
Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

True Womack

Part 23

Justice N. Ross
Phone 646-386-4023
Fax 212-295-4891
100 Centre Street
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31

Justice D. Kiesel
Phone 646-386-4031
Fax 212-401-9260
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32

Justice Carro
Phone 646-386-4032
Fax 212-401-9261
100 Centre Street
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37

Justice Adlerberg
Phone 646-386-4037
100 Centre Street
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41

Justice Dwyer
Phone 646-386-4041
Fax 212-401-9262
100 Centre Street
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Peter Clark
Malik Cooke
Nikaury Garcia
Nicademus Garner
Ndiouga Gaye
Gregory Green
Tyrone Hayes
Cristian Lopez
Jose Martinez
Damien Mitchell
Anderson Reyes
Justin Rivera
Michael Rivera
Jorge Sandoval
Isaiah Sapp
Robert Stover
Ionnis Zarmakoupis

Part 42

Justice Wiley
Phone 646-386-4042
Fax 212-401-9263
111 Centre Street
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Quashawn Gibbs

Part 51

Justice Edwards
Phone 646-386-4051
Fax 212-401-9264
100 Centre Street
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

Part 52

Justice T. Farber
Phone 646-386-4052
Fax 212-401-9265
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 53

Justice Rodney
Phone 646-386-4053
100 Centre Street
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

Part 54

Justice Antignani
Phone 646-386-4054
111 Centre Street
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 56

Justice Drysdale
Phone 646-386-4056
111 Centre Street
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

Part 59

Justice J. Merchan
Phone 646-386-4059
Fax 212-295-4932
100 Centre Street
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 61

Justice Clott
Phone 646-386-4061
Fax 212-401-9266
100 Centre Street
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Jamel Williams

Part 62

Justice M. Jackson
Phone 646-386-4062
Fax 212-401-9267
100 Centre Street
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Barry Heinfling
Andre Skinner

Part 63

Justice Hong
Phone 646-386-4063
111 Centre Street
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66

Justice Pickholz
Phone 646-386-4066
Fax 212-401-9097
111 Centre Street
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71

Justice L. Ward
Phone 646-386-4071
Fax 212-401-9268
100 Centre Street
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

Part 72

Justice R. Stolz
Phone 646-386-4072
Fax 212-401-9269
100 Centre Street
Room 1123, 9:30 A.M.

Part 73

Justice Roberts
Phone 646-386-4073
Fax 212-401-9116
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Jahson Jordan

Part 75

Justice Mandelbaum
Phone 646-386-4075
111 Centre Street
Room 583, 9:30 A.M.

Part 77

Justice Obus
Phone 646-386-4077
100 Centre Street
Room 1536, 9:30 A.M.

Part 81

Justice C. Farber
Phone 646-386-4081
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1317, 9:30 A.M.

Part 85

Justice Hayes
Phone 646-386-4085
Fax 212-401-9113
111 Centre Street
Room 1523, 9:30 A.M.

Part 92

Justice Mitchell
Phone 646-386-4092
Fax 212-295-4914
111 Centre Street
Room 1234, 9:30 A.M.

Part

Justice E. Biben
Phone 646-386-4093
111 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 93

Justice Scherzer
Phone 646-386-4093
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 95

Justice D.Conviser
Phone 646-386-4095
Fax 212-401-9137
111 Centre Street
Room 687, 9:30 A.M.

Part 99

Justice Burke
Phone 646-386-4099
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1530, 9:30 A.M.

Part N-SCT

Justice Peterson
Phone 646-386-4014
Fax 212-401-9272
100 Centre Street
Room 218, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Aradhika Bagchi

Court Calendars

Part IDV

Justice Dawson
Phone 646-386-3579
Fax 212-884-8938
100 Centre Street
Room 1604, 9:30 A.M.

SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate Hilary Gingold
Surrogate Rita Mella
31 Chamber's Street
New York, NY`

See court's webpage for information about appearances: Visiting Surrogate's Court | NYCOURTS. GOVs

Bronx County

SUPREME COURT

EX PARTE AND URGENT MOTIONS PART

The Following is the List of Sittings in the Ex Parte Urgent Motions Part on the Dates Specified:

TRIAL TERM
718-618-1248

Day Calendar

Court Notices
Key to Submission
Motion Calendar

FS = Fully submitted.

FSN = Fully Submitted, No Opposition

ADJ=adjourned to the marked date for oral argument in the above calendar part. Answering papers are to be submitted on the original return date in Room 217.

Mental Hygiene Part

Justice TBA

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every Wednesday, commencing at a time TBA.

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted in person at Bronx Supreme Court-Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx NY 10451, Room TBA, every Thursday, commencing at a time TBA.

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted virtually for the Community Assisted Outpatient Treatment Calendar at Bronx Supreme Court- Civil Term, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451, Room TBA, every 2nd and 4th Friday of each month, commencing at a time TBA.

Mortgage Foreclosure Sales

Mortgage foreclosure sales in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Bronx, are conducted at the Bronx County Courthouse, located at 851 Grand Concourse, Courtroom 711, commencing at 2:15 p.m.

Auction information is available at the following link: https://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/12jd/Bronx/Civil/civil_Foreclosure_Information.shtml.

Foreclosure Department contact information: Email: bxforeclosure@nycourts.gov.; Phone: 718-618-1322.

Trial Assignment Part

Justice Joseph E. Capella
Phone 718-618-1201
Room 711, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, APRIL 27

804042/22 Acevedo v. Gty-Cpg (qns/bx) Leasing, Inc. Et Al
32589/19 Aiosa v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical
34247/19 Almeida v. Miller
813720/21 Baker v. Camacho-Pichardo
801889/22 Battle v. Hiqma Tran LLC Et Al
28406/20 Bettye McElrath v. Morningside Acquisition I
302956/15 Broughton v. 553 Marcy Ave. Owners
801293/21 Brown v. Hackett
24795/18 C v. West Farms Family Practice
22556/16 C. v. Billy Beez USA LLC
815994/21 Cepeda v. Roche
32971/19 Cotto v. Bautista
34645/19 Dejesus v. NYCTA
25256/18 Diaz v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical
34608/19 Estela v. Big Dream Developers LLC
800312/21 Estrella v. 3505 Rlty. Partners LLC
27455/19 Figueroa v. Jimenez-Gonzalez
806611/23 Ginyard v. 313 E 141 St Rlty. Corp. Et Al
31557/17 Gomez v. Batista
809705/23 Hardy v. Occean
302007/16 Hernandez v. 1192-1204 Ocean Ave. LLC.
813280/22 Hernandez v. Lunger
20968/18 Hicks-Kenner v. Gomez
804445/22 Hines v. Lafayette Nelson Apts. LLC. Et Al
23722/17 Infante v. 150 Aurora Grocery Corp
24667/18 Jerido v. Syangtan
810324/22 Johnson v. Donzo
27073/20 Llerena v. 975 Park Ave. Corp.
34256/18 Macal v. B.Q.N. Car Service Corp.
26229/17 Mihileas v. Concourse One Co. LLC
35277/19 Moore v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface
812675/22 Morrison v. Kittel
22780/16 Munoz v. NYCTA
27567/20 Murray v. Mta
21420/19 Natividad v. 600 Associates LLC
31897/18 Nunez v. NYCTA
304309/13 Ortiz v. Boamah
803048/23 Ortiz-Green v. River Park Bronx Apts., Inc. Et Al
305140/14 Perez v. NYCTA
810928/21 Petway v. Ilo R.N.
29857/20 Randolph v. 1199 Housing Corp.
300258/17 Severo v. Bk Rlty. Mgt.
21894/20 Singleton v. Nasaridze
22193/18 Soto v. Puente & Puente, Inc.
24638/19 Tejeda v. Em Rlty. Mgt. Corp.
25776/18 Velazquez-Garcia v. Delacruz-Hernandez
24940/18 Ventura v. Campbell
811978/21 Warren v. Melrose Court Condominium Et Al

ADR Part

Phone 718-618-3081
Room 701A

Part 2

Justice Elizabeth A. Taylor
Phone 718-618-1275
Room 710, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

811278/24 Bermudez v. Bx 2860 LLC
819192/24 Davis v. NYCHA
808781/23 Jones v. Gramatan Prop. Mgt., Inc. Et Al
800215/25 N.W. v. 1085 Washington Partnership
819372/24 Pina Torres v. Pina Ogando
802853/25 Shuler v. Highbridge House Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

806440/22 Bonhome v. 2265 Davidson Rlty. LLC Et Al
815266/21 Caraballo Muniz v. 475 Willis Ave. LLC Et Al
33268/19 Carrero-Santiago v. Asphalt Green, Inc.
26497/20 German v. 1221 Sheridan Tic 83 LLC
32563/19 Holley v. NYCH&HC And
801512/22 Lipsett-Arroyo v. Bronxdale Central, Inc.
811366/22 O'Reilly v. Longwood Residences Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
809196/24 Santiago v. Selby Transportation Corp. Et Al
34628/18 Ward v. NYCHA

MONDAY, APRIL 27

5742/25 Bako v. Instagram LLC
5073/25 Peguero v. Genao
809900/23 Rodrigues Dos Santos v. 80 Clinton Pl. LLC Et Al

Part 3

Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

20292/19 A. v. NYC
25746/20 Borodin v. NYC
25584/17 Browning v. NYC
820579/24 Camara v. NYC Et Al
25865/20 Cambrelen v. NYC
810949/24 Clase Gomez v. Johnson
31287/19 Corona v. NYC
813528/23 Delacruz v. NYC Et Al
818213/23 Difo v. McGlynn, Hays & Co., Inc.
806008/23 Duran Castillo v. NYC Et Al
805768/23 Enriquez v. NYCHA Et Al
801830/24 Flores v. Feliz
808253/25 Gomez v. NYC Et Al
32361/20 Guy v. NYC
820490/24 Gyasi v. NYC Et Al
801829/24 Hernandez Fragoso v. Wilson
25216/20 Holmes v. NYC Et Al
25835/19 Hussein v. NYC
807174/25 Iglesias Hernandez v. NYC Et Al
804121/26 in The Matter of The Application of K.M. Et Al v. NYC Et Al
802424/26 in The Matter of The Petition of Lorenza Suarez v. NYC Et Al
809512/24 J.D.C By His Father And Natural Guardian Domingo Caceres Jr. Et Al v. NYC
807263/22 Jennings v. NYC Et Al
820736/25 King v. NYC
811875/21 Laboy v. Criscuolo Plaza Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
815866/23 Lewis v. NYC Et Al
20779/16 Mariani v. NYC
813581/22 Marte v. Phantom Carting, Inc. Et Al
809157/25 Negron v. 2120 Third Ave. Corp. Et Al
21584/20 Nushi v. NYC
812109/22 Ortiz v. 2131 Presidential Assets LLC Et Al
812998/24 P.C. v. NYC Et Al
800682/21 Pringle v. City
803644/21 Quinonez v. NYC Et Al
801886/22 Richardson v. NYC Et Al
812103/24 Santos v. NYC Et Al
806049/23 Smalls v. NYC Et Al
4403/25 Smith II v. The NYC.
809136/21 Stewart v. NYC Et Al
814354/22 Tlatelpa v. NYC
817645/21 Wilson v. NYC Et Al

MONDAY, APRIL 27

23616/20 A.R. v. NYC
34420/19 Abraca v. NYC
816253/24 Agyei v. NYC Et Al
812774/23 Almanzar v. NYC Et Al
300173/19 Ampratwum v. NYC
804342/23 Anazco De Los Santos v. NYC Et Al
34616/20 Anderson v. 1432 Vyse Ave
811972/21 Anderson v. Deegan Motel Corp. Et Al
805962/23 Arevalo v. Doe
29801/18 Ayoubi v. Livermore
801682/22 Banks v. NYC Et Al
816769/23 Barry v. NYC Et Al
802249/23 Batista Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
23426/19 Batista v. NYC
815037/23 Benjamin v. NYC
801826/21 Bent v. NYC Et Al
304746/14 Berrios v. NYC
803950/23 Billings v. NYC Et Al
26945/18 Blackwood v. NYC
809043/23 Blake Sr v. Mdbzjggs LLC Et Al
807506/22 Bowens v. NYC Et Al
806074/23 Brito v. NYC Et Al
804530/21 Brookshire v. NYC
20661/18 Burgos v. NYC
20215/19 Cabrera v. Alvarado
28617/20 Camarena v. NYC Et Al
801261/23 Campos v. NYC Et Al
815589/21 Carrasquillo v. Grand Concourse Rlty. Corp. Et Al
807955/24 Carrera-Candelari v. NYC Et Al
807346/24 Castro v. NYC Et Al
817173/24 Ceballos v. NYC Et Al
24737/19 Centeno v. NYC
33267/19 Claire v. NYC
817377/21 Clark v. NYC Et Al
819771/23 Claudio v. NYC Et Al
819773/23 Claudio v. NYC Et Al
34221/18 Cohen v. NYC
31941/19 Collazo v. Alvarado
803266/23 Conde v. NYC Et Al
303749/14 Corbett v. NYC
26021/16 Cox v. NYC
803298/23 Crespo v. NYC
805299/23 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
26900/20 Cruz v. NYC
817419/24 Cullay Ajo v. NYC Et Al
813888/22 D. v. NYC Et Al
801805/22 Darby v. NYC Et Al
809234/23 Davis v. NYC Et Al
815825/23 De La Rosa De Puello v. NYC Et Al
20975/17 Dejesus v. NYC
805650/23 Dekattu v. NYC Et Al
810850/21 Del Rio v. Hostos Community College Et Al
27771/18 Dickerson v. NYC
810277/23 Dunn v. NYC Et Al
303581/16 Early v. NYC
812239/22 Elliott Jr. v. NYC
819413/23 Encarnacion v. NYC Et Al
805934/24 Espinal Grullon v. NYC Et Al
32546/20 Espinal v. NYC Dept.
804548/22 Espinal v. NYC
807818/24 Farmer v. NYC Et Al
811320/23 Feliz v. NYC Et Al
808230/24 Fellows v. NYC
22507/19 Ferreira v. NYC Dept. of Parks And Recreation Et Al
815786/24 Ferrer v. 901 Hunts Point Ave. LLC. Et Al
20777/16 Figueroa v. NYC
815146/24 Francis v. NYC Et Al
24664/20 Frazier v. NYC
29762/17 Garcia v. NYC
21918/18 Goff v. NYC
801063/22 Great American Ins. Co. v. NYC Et Al
804552/22 Grey v. NYC Et Al
816457/23 Guzman v. NYC Et Al
813093/21 Halls v. NYC Et Al
810970/21 Harry v. NYC Et Al
28652/17 Henriquez v. NYC
814871/21 Hershenhorn v. NYC Et Al
816297/22 Hussain v. Henriquez
806206/24 Izquierdo v. Hephaistos Developing
26608/15 J------- v. City
809512/24 J.D.C By His Father And Natural Guardian Domingo Caceres Jr. Et Al v. NYC
806829/22 J.M An Infant By Her Mother And Natural Guardian Madeline Ruiz And Et Al v. NYC Et Al
25107/20 Jackson v. NYC
25824/16 James v. 1380 Randall Ave. Corp.
820033/23 Jimenez v. NYC Et Al
26546/17 Johnson D'Amore v. NYC
34625/19 Jones v. 1-27 East Fordham Road
31310/17 Jones v. NYC
817912/24 Joseph v. NYC
802944/24 Kelly v. NYCHA Et Al
805506/22 Kennebrew v. NYC Et Al
807564/25 Killingbeck v. NYC
803502/22 Knt Discount 99c Store, Inc. v. NYC Et Al
806123/21 Kookmin Best Ins. Co., Ltd. A/s/o P Alvarez Associates Corp. v. NYC
32549/20 Lalor v. NYC
807807/23 Lambert v. NYC Et Al
814109/22 Larregui v. NYC Et Al
24566/19 Leal v. NYC
813381/23 Lenhardt v. NYC Et Al
34250/19 Luciano v. NYC
808236/21 M v. NYC Et Al
816276/24 M. Infant By Mother v. NYC Et Al
809890/23 M. v. NYC Et Al
810561/24 M. v. NYC Et Al
20398/12 M.T.D. Halal Inc. v. NYC
817108/22 Maddox v. NYC
807285/24 Madramootoo v. NYC Et Al
32586/18 Maguire v. NYC
808392/22 Mamadou v. NYC
24605/20 Marmolejos v. NYC
30030/19 Mason v. NYC
302808/16 Mateo v. NYC
23462/19 Matthews v. NYC Et Al
812198/23 McCarron v. Melhado
801046/24 McLean Jr. v. Hutchinson
818427/23 McVeigh-Gavin v. NYC Et Al
807807/22 Medina v. NYC Et Al
800354/22 Mejia Padilla v. NYC Et Al
812956/24 Mendez v. NYC Et Al
20294/20 Mendez v. NYC
805484/23 Mendoza v. NYC Et Al
805120/23 Miller v. NYCHA And Et Al
27420/20 Miranda v. NYC
806522/22 Moore v. NYC Et Al
801857/21 Morales Lopez v. Lot
810752/24 Morales v. NYC Et Al
810184/22 Moran v. NYC Et Al
809837/23 Muscati v. NYC
23129/96 Muschette v. NYC
23345/15 N.S. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Education
302830/15 Narvaez v. NYC
804130/24 Nazer v. NYC
801587/21 O'Carroll v. NYC Et Al
808922/24 Ortiz Beato v. NYC
22929/20 Ortiz v. NYC
811074/23 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
805436/22 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
34640/19 Ortiz v. NYC
801544/24 Owes v. NYC Et Al
813544/22 P. T. v. NYC Et Al
32260/18 P. v. Board of Education of
809239/21 Pascual v. NYC Et Al
803377/22 Pastrana v. NYC Et Al
818372/23 Paulino Rodriguez v. Bocachica
803778/23 Pellerano v. NYC
813363/21 Perdomo v. NYC Et Al
817381/24 Perez v. NYC
805385/22 Petel Yengue Meat Corp. Et Al v. NYC Et Al
804828/22 Pizarro v. NYC Et Al
800041/23 Polanco v. Ochoa
25879/20 Ponder v. NYC
31577/17 Querido v. NYC
803445/24 R. v. NYC Et Al
808027/21 Ramnath v. Parkchester South
22882/20 Ramos v. NYC
806563/23 Ramos v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
813450/23 Reyes v. NYC Et Al
802767/24 Reyes v. Martinez
801795/23 Riley v. NYC Et Al
808783/24 Rodriguez Sanchez v. NYC Et Al
23800/20 Rodriguez v. NYC
802858/21 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
27251/19 Rodriguez v. NYC
816481/21 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
800590/23 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
32587/20 Ruiz v. NYC
805652/23 S. v. NYC Et Al
809706/23 Sabino v. NYC
815898/23 Santos De Rivera v. NYC Et Al
814752/22 Saunders v. NYC Et Al
810714/22 Scaglione v. NYC Et Al
810171/24 Scott v. NYC
801531/24 Serrano Jr. v. NYC Et Al
814501/23 Sterling v. NYC
820324/23 Tapia v. NYC
809675/24 Taylor v. NYC Et Al
808514/24 Thelismond v. NYC
816280/24 Thomas Jr. v. NYC Et Al
30627/17 Tieniber v. NYC
808463/24 Tifa v. NYC Et Al
816515/24 Torres v. The Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
804330/22 Travelers Prop. Casualty Co. of America A/s/o Sell-Mar Enterprise, Inc. Et Al v. NYC
20677/20 Troyano v. Fairclough
804985/24 Turcios v. NYC
800638/24 Valdez v. NYC Et Al
817926/22 Valentin Ventura v. White
801064/24 Vasquez Jr v. NYC
809456/22 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
30232/19 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
800855/24 Veloz v. NYC Et Al
810152/24 Veras v. NYC Et Al
806062/23 W. v. P.S./m.S. 37 The Multiple Intelligences School Et Al
810876/23 Walker v. NYC
805552/22 Williams v. NYC Et Al
820630/23 Wilson v. NYC Et Al
814006/23 Wright v. NYC Et Al
802788/24 Yusuff v. NYC Et Al
816801/22 Zenteno v. NYC Et Al

Part 4

Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

810714/23 Bay Park Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation v. Cummings
808606/24 Magnet Mgmt Dev. LLC v. Pegram
822576/25 Midland Credit Mgt. v. Avelino
804661/24 Ortiz v. 2305 Sedgwick Rlty. LLC Et Al
818499/25 Sanchez v. Gelco Fleet Trust Et Al
813160/22 Santana De Vandepool v. Bragreis Rlty. Corp Et Al
22484/04 Torres v. NY Westchester

Part 5

Justice Alison Y. Tuitt
Phone 718-618-1224
Room 415, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

24505/19 A & S Waterproofing v. C. P. Restoration, Inc.
801867/22 Canela v. Davson
809243/23 Gonzalez v. 89 Ave Rlty. LLC Et Al
33088/19 James v. Tamrak Mgt. Inc.
25928/19 Perez v. Gallego
32029/20 Quick v. Ramadar
24726/16 Restrepo v. NYC
811499/23 Richards v. Esrt Empire State Bldg.
816371/22 Rosa Iris Rodriguez v. 1136 Sherman Ave. LLC Et Al
21629/19 Shim v. Project Renewal Inc.
806239/23 Tejeda v. Citywide Mobile Response Corp. Et Al
816638/23 Urena v. Wallack Mgt. Co, Inc. Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

810828/22 A. v. 3091 Rlty. LLC
810251/23 Ames v. 301 Morris Ave. Rlty. LLC Et Al
800024/24 Arzu v. Hertz Vehicles
812491/24 Austin v. Reedy Associates LLC D Et Al
817314/24 Bell v. Ullah
804233/25 Benis v. Dunn Beulah Xv
22736/19 Bricker v. Hudsoncrest Properties, Inc.
813506/24 Brito v. Alice L. Kulick 2
816147/23 Cassanova v. North Shore Towers Apts. Inc. Et Al
807003/22 Dell v. State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. Et Al
807534/25 Diaz v. Thomas
811341/24 Fleming v. Ovalle
802142/25 Garcia v. Doe
30049/20 H. v. Mapes Boulevard Housing
811783/24 L.G. v. Sbh Group Hldgs. Lp Et Al
801225/25 Luna Sanchez v. McLane Co., Inc. Et Al
813645/23 Martinez Brito v. Macombs Village Associates L.P. Et Al
813503/21 McKenzie v. The Hudson Companies Inc. Et Al
807453/24 Milone v. Ffn Partners LLC Et Al
803146/25 Nunez v. A&F Trucking
805542/24 Paez v. Singh
809085/24 Randall v. Acosta
818238/25 Reyes Bencosme v. Ostrager
820187/23 Smith v. Reyes
812410/24 Tejada v. 2640 Marion Ave. Owners, Inc.

MONDAY, APRIL 27

802133/26 3488 Jerome Partners LLC v. Fruit Bin Corp. Et Al
21071/18 Brown v. Window King LLC
816349/24 Matos Segura v. Monegro
800539/25 Richards-Binns v. Portmore Delivery Service Corp. Et Al
806543/24 Upadhyayula v. Uber Technologies
808639/25 W v. Ib Motors Inc.

Part 6

Justice Laura G. Douglas
Phone 718-618-1246
Room 811, 9:30 A.M.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

22172/18 Bunche Consulting v. St. Francis of Assisi School
28247/19 Calix v. 71 Park Ave. South
30923/19 Malave v. Moreno
20134/20 Peralta v. Polo

MONDAY, APRIL 27

819849/25 Barry v. Highbridge Apts. Estates LLC
822913/25 Camacho v. Ventura Reyes
815557/25 Peralta v. Proforward, Inc. Et Al

Part 7

Justice Wilma Guzman
Phone 718-618-1288
Room 624, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

810553/25 Carrion v. Morales
811361/25 Grullon v. Belcher
27679/18 Hernandez v. NYC
814229/25 N. v. Wentt

MONDAY, APRIL 27

801969/24 Atkins v. Alvarez
808208/25 Aybar Espaillat v. NYC Economic Dev. Corp. Et Al
816758/21 Bautista-Perez v. Windsor Owners Corp.
818110/24 Browder v. Russell Inst. Col. M.E.
822054/25 Brown v. NYCTA Et Al
813303/24 Carson v. Sanchez
24350/19 Crisanto Alvarez v. 810 River Ave. Housing
801221/21 D.M. v. 1317 Boston LLC Et Al
801254/25 Dempsey v. Morine
811032/25 Fernandez v. NYCTA Et Al
819910/25 Garcia Cuello v. Orellana
303251/11 Garcia v. 2179 Rlty. Const.
809399/21 Guinan v. Renewal Housing Dev.
822583/25 Guzman v. Meronnee
822233/25 Hall v. NYCHA
806328/24 Henley v. 1781 Nereid LLC Et Al
814467/25 Hernandez v. Marrero
816405/25 Hyatt v. Nelson
816909/25 J.R. v. 2010 Powell LLC
805540/22 Lynn's Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Co. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Et Al
813039/24 Maalem v. NYCTA Et Al
810148/25 Medina v. 2075-2081 Wallace Ave. Owners Corp Et Al
816884/25 Mendez v. Bridge Rockaway Housing Dev. Fund Co.
815146/25 Misku v. Hp Whitlock Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
806126/25 Morales Molina v. Press Builders, Inc. Et Al
805043/25 Nembhard v. Hp Bronx Park East Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc Et Al
28407/20 Nivar v. Cabrera-Sanchez
824899/25 Reyes v. Johnson
814898/24 Riojas Pena v. Milestone Const. Corp. Et Al
811545/23 Roberts v. Vasadze
800691/25 Romero v. Cardinal Foods
817029/25 Sudirjo v. Conteras
816825/25 Synchrony Bank v. Rivera
816483/25 Tuck v. Zipcar Inc Et Al
819622/25 Wong v. Foxx LLC Et Al

Part 8

Justice Bianka Perez
Phone 718-618-1205
Room 704, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

22807/19 Asencio Valdez v. Abby Associates Corp.
809698/24 Bobian v. White And Blue Corp Et Al
28843/19 Brito v. Century Elevator Maint.
816178/25 Chin v. Bravo Saquicili
819127/24 Cuza Jr v. Diallo
807746/25 Grant v. Royal Waste Services Et Al
815868/25 Grube v. Quinones
816636/25 Hernandez v. NYCHA
22825/17 Howard Jr. v. Ellis Wright
802670/24 Koita v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al
28202/18 Lumezi v. NYC
817813/24 Mendez Netzahuatl v. Bronxcare Health System
816661/24 Payano v. Royal Waste Services, Inc. Et Al
801018/25 Rabin v. Baubacar
818603/24 Ramirez v. Doe
820066/23 Ramirez v. Wentz
816515/25 Sisalima Zumba v. Morabito
801254/22 Slattery v. Billy's Sports Bar Et Al
808381/24 Sorano v. Giuffrida
28655/18 Tabera v. Martinez
818401/24 Torres v. Able Mgt. Estates LLC Et Al

Part 9/33

Justice Myrna Socorro
Phone 718-618-1625
Room 708, 9:30 A.M.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

28254/16 Doe v. Bloomberg L.P.
26517/17 Inoa v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al

MONDAY, APRIL 27

34360/20 Amezquita v. NYCTA
819361/22 Bah v. NYCTA D/b/a Mta NYCTA Et Al
816027/24 Borman v. Avis Budget Car Rental LLC Et Al
811098/22 Boyd v. NYC Et Al
26130/19 Brown v. NYCTA
25957/19 C. v. NYC
301568/15 Cardona v. MABSTOA
815182/24 Christina Almanzal v. The Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
30311/18 Eka-Abila v. Gordon
31091/17 Evans v. Moreno Jr
28229/20 Flores v. NYC
6013/25 Foster v. NYCTA
804864/24 Fuentes v. NYCTA Et Al
31496/19 Galeano-Velasquez v. NYCTA
33949/18 Garcia Marte v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface NYCTA Et Al
26581/17 Garcia v. NYCTA
800991/21 Gibson v. NYCTA
27709/20 Gillespie v. NYCTA
801578/21 Gomez v. NYCTA
810011/21 Graham v. The Metro. NYCTA Et Al
32991/19 Guallpa-Guaman v. Mta NYCTA
27886/19 Jean-Etienne v. NYCTA
31975/18 Kofi v. NYCTA
809804/23 Lee v. The Mta Bus Co. Et Al
811450/23 Leon v. NYCTA Et Al
816872/24 Lewis v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
301270/16 Martin v. NYCTA
306649/14 Martins v. NYCTA
811344/21 Nieves v. NYCTA Et Al
302074/13 Padron-Garcia v. NYCTA
28193/19 Perez v. NYCTA
302529/15 Ramos v. Mabstoa
26784/19 Reyes v. NYCTA
802716/24 Rodriguez v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
28790/17 Rosado v. NYCTA
804975/24 Scarlett v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al
802922/21 Scullark Dade v. NYCTA
27821/17 Stephenson v. NYCTA
815866/24 Sumaila v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al
817133/21 Vargas v. Moreno
29450/20 Vega v. Golding
815497/24 Williams v. NYCTA Et Al

Part 11

Justice Mary Lynn Nicolas-Brewster
Phone 718-618-3229
Room 405, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

804679/24 A. Q. An Infant Under The Age of Eighteen (18) By His Mother And Natural Guardian v. Rivera
25933/20 Aboagye v. Jelen
27519/19 Adinolfi v. Rada
807710/21 Ajala v. Cohen
801460/23 Alcantara-Matos v. 1701 Eastburn Rlty.
803592/24 Alicea v. Ruiz
807902/24 Bacchus v. Ahamed
30190/20 Bartley v. Gaines
804837/23 Bellony v. George Estates LLC Et Al
30047/20 Bonilla v. Leonelli
819066/22 Butten v. Amazon Logistics, Inc. Et Al
808021/22 Buzell v. Gordon
803760/23 Castillo v. Del Rosario Fernandez
807376/23 Coronel-Aguilar v. R&F Limo. Inc Et Al
36327/17 Delilah Seda v. Rc Wholesale Meats Inc. Et Al
32817/19 Depena v. Suarez
801511/22 Emerald Services Corp. Et Al v. 1024 Clinton Prop. Owner LLC Et Al
814983/22 Espinal Checho v. Waste Hauling Inc. Et Al
810358/22 Espinal v. Thapa
804893/22 Fernandez v. Morales
31357/17 Figueroa v. 7714 Entertainment Corp.
807621/21 Fortuna-Grullon v. Quality King Distributors, Inc. Et Al
816612/25 Garcia v. 2807 Rlty. LLC
816190/23 Gibson v. Nurberdiyev
29528/18 Goggins v. American United
819128/25 Gomez v. 3905 Assoc LLC
28750/18 Gomez-Espinal v. 3052 Inc.
807746/25 Grant v. Royal Waste Services Et Al
26968/19 Gutierrez v. Tryax Rlty. Mgt. Inc. Et Al
24130/17 Hahn v. Lee
21673/20 Harrow v. Carlin
816566/22 Hazera v. Vijil-Gonzalez
802606/23 Islam v. Community Care Ems LLC Et Al
24973/20 Itzhaki v. Gamil
801158/24 James v. Bado
22147/20 Jean-Francois v. Batista
801232/24 Johnson v. NYC Et Al
801290/21 Juarez v. Mena Ramos
801241/22 Lazu v. Graybar Electric Co., Inc. Et Al
803445/21 Luther v. Breaking Ground Housing Et Al
808204/24 Major v. Mgm Yonkers, Inc. Et Al
812955/22 Mamporia v. Castillo
801526/22 Manning v. Jackson
805712/21 Martinez Rodriguez v. Hudson Meridian Const.
812294/22 Medina v. Shah
810279/23 Monegro v. D-J Ambulette Service, Inc. Et Al
32762/20 Nunez v. Lucero
819113/22 Olaniyan v. Perez
804006/26 R. v. Bethany Christian Church of The Assemblies of God, Inc. Et Al
21683/20 Ramirez v. Vasquez
25881/19 Robert Fischer As The Administrator of The Estate of Joseph Fischer v. Port Auth. of New
810260/24 Rodriguez-Hoyos v. Myrtle Owner LLC Et Al
810363/24 Rosa v. Boston Tremont Housing Developement Fund Corp. Et Al
805265/23 Rosenberg v. Freedman Packaging Corp. Et Al
35539/20 Ruiz v. Penske Truck Leasing Corp. Et Al
21251/19 Ruiz v. Mtlr Corp.
804262/22 Saeteros v. Denali Mgt. Inc Et Al
24516/20 Sanchez v. S.U.S. Real Estate of Bronx, Inc. Et Al
800691/24 Santiago v. Dans Taxi, Inc. Et Al
806417/22 Sarfo v. Con Ed Co. of NY Et Al
800597/21 Scatliffe v. Morocho
800550/21 Sosa Pena v. Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
806588/21 Suero v. Stephens
33841/20 T. v. Flores
811796/24 Torres Becerra v. Ip Mortgage Borrower LLC Et Al
800599/23 Valdez Polanco v. Amazon Logistics, Inc. Et Al
25047/18 Vargas v. Reynoso
806082/25 Walker v. Hudson Pointe Acquisition
30492/19 Williams v. NYCTA

Part 13

Justice Patsy Gouldborne
Phone 718-618-1236
Room 401, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

819496/23 Cisse v. Fuller
810179/23 Collado-Lopez v. R&L Transfer Inc. Et Al
304019/16 Conolly v. NYC
816047/25 Harper v. Kone, Inc.
802105/24 Jimenez v. Lee
807839/23 Pichardo v. Nordquist
816470/23 Rodriguez v. Rosales-Bonilla
819013/23 Silvestri v. Dibartolo
26238/16 Soumahoro v. Concepcion
33095/19 Torres v. Al Hajeh
814042/23 Veloz Olivo v. R & L Transfer, Inc. Et Al

Part 12

Justice Kim A. Wilson
Phone 718-618-1396
Room 414, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

811320/22 Aucapina v. Martinez
804391/24 Bramwell v. Webb Ave. Co. LLC Et Al
26229/16 Edward v. Binberk Rlty. Corp
809729/24 Goff v. Hamilton Transit LLC Et Al
35254/19 Golding v. 679 Magenta Rlty. LLC
812555/21 Martinez v. The Riverbay Corp.
810771/22 Solis v. River Park Towers Garage
806241/22 Tejeda Nunez v. Parkash
800349/21 Tidoe v. Ceron

Part 14

Justice John A. Howard
Phone 718-618-1244
Room 607, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

806019/24 Reid v. Garcia Paulino

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

30966/20 Vines v. Nguyen

MONDAY, APRIL 27

804220/24 Contreras Ateno v. 419 3 St
806029/26 in The Matter of The Petition of J.G. Wentworth Originations v. NY Life Ins. Co. Et Al
27794/20 Martinez v. Field View Transportation

Part 15 (MV)

Justice Ben R. Barbato
Phone 718-618-1395
Room 702, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

34632/20 Ciriaco v. Rigo Limo-Auto Corp

MONDAY, APRIL 27

807599/22 Asamoah v. Atkinson
812591/21 Morales Jr. v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
816648/22 Santiago v. Rahaman

Part 16

Justice Sophia B. Hershman
Phone 718-618-1196
Room 601, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

806053/21 274 E. 175 Realty v. John
816422/22 Benjamin v. Penn 122 LLC
807900/23 Board of Mgrs. of The Boatyard Condominium Suing on Behalf of The Unit Owners v. Allen
809995/25 Calle v. Gbindy Kiadee Et Al
810528/25 Carter v. Martinez Sasso
802442/23 Colon Rochez v. Global 1845 B'way. LLC Et Al
816277/22 Encarnacion v. Gch Fordham Road LLC Et Al
817667/22 Escudero Chavarry v. Rxr 2413 Third Owner LLC Et Al
817200/23 Espinoza v. 1395 Bristow LLC Et Al
34386/20 Gonzalez v. Navillus Tile, Inc., D/b/a
802144/23 Harris v. Concourse Flatiron Associates L. P. Et Al
33238/19 James v. Stb Housing Dev. Fund
801810/25 Jorge v. NY Trucking Co. Inc. Et Al
801641/22 Joseph v. Bdg Sufka
31034/18 Lopez v. Port Auth. of NY & Nj
804294/23 Marte Rodriguez v. Carroll Pl. Associates
811510/22 Mendieta Bustamente v. Y & L Interior LLC Et Al
804709/24 Mondragon v. 653 Mace Dev. LLC Et Al
805148/23 Montanez v. NYCHA
31097/18 Morales v. Ilium Properties
26421/19 Moreno-Santos v. Real Builders Inc.
814855/22 Onofre Munoz v. Kdl Const. & Dev. Group LLC Et Al
804995/23 Paulino-Guzman v. Marshalls of Ma, Inc. Et Al
813214/22 Reynario Rojas Fuentes v. Be Bronx Builders
818768/22 Ruiz Cuevas v. Cf East 72 Owner LLC Et Al
818274/22 Sarango Barba v. Blue Sky Builders, Inc. Et Al
804855/23 Tamaquiza Ichapanta v. 101 Lincoln Associates Prop. LLC Et Al
819798/24 Varela Carrasco v. The Colley Group LLC Et Al
805268/25 Wakefield v. Alejandro
808306/24 Williams v. Cruz III

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

804171/26 in The Matter of The Infant P.K.E. By His Mother And Natural Guardian Maquita Evans v. East Tremont Childcare Developement Center
303849/13 Jimenez v. Arka Memory Cab Corp.
817394/25 Jm v. 1309 Harrod Rlty. LLC Et Al
810344/21 John McMorrow v. Siilvercup Studios Associates Ltd. Partnership Et Al
801294/21 Woods v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical Services Inc. Et Al

MONDAY, APRIL 27

811508/23 Amica Foods Inc v. 2m Associates, Inc. Et Al
807805/23 Amoatia v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
803610/23 Arrindell v. Vno Bruckner Plaza LLC
813525/25 Baez v. Izhak Wizner
802129/23 Caines v. 3d Pavement Solutions
802890/23 Casey v. Tung on Social Club Inc. Et Al
811071/24 Cathay Bank v. You
813043/23 Cato v. Cuevas
816137/23 Diallo v. Goday
814974/22 Diaz Martinez v. Craps LLC Et Al
800563/23 Freedom Mortgage Corp. v. Commonwealth Mortgage Co. of America
810196/23 Green Climate Group LLC Et Al v. Lopez Ortega
810041/22 Jiang v. Metro. NYCTA Et Al
822881/25 Mercure Jr. v. Torres Medrano
821094/24 Montano v. Albany Mgt. Group
818429/22 Morozova v. Lydig Shopping Center Et Al
802076/23 P.D.J. Simone Rlty. Co. v. Miranda
800884/25 Quiroz Palma v. Charles
809056/23 Ramirez v. Lu
816892/22 Ramos v. 3961 Carpenter Ave. Assoc. LLC Et Al
811558/25 Ruiz Mena v. Malkowski
813070/23 Sebco Laundry Systems, Inc. v. Yoli Chili LLC Et Al
803637/22 Servillo v. Cuozzo
804191/25 Sillah v. R & M NY Partners 1 Inc Et Al
803334/25 Thiam v. Whedco Bronx Commons LLC Et Al
812540/21 Tigsilema Ichapanta v. East Side Home Stead
810373/24 Victor v. Dream Builders A NY Co. Et Al
811426/24 Villaquiran v. Ag-Spaxel 16 Wade Prop. Owner
817262/24 Yulfo v. Db Real Estate Assets I LLC Et Al

Part 17

Justice Erin Noelle Guven
Phone 718-618-3212
Room 409, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

20116/20 Baltazar v. 902 Jennings St. Owner LLC
802357/22 Dominguez Pina v. Ramsay
801482/23 Hilario Graterol v. Dosumu
812050/23 Lajara v. Cajuste
31698/18 Louden v. Saddam
26809/19 Munoz v. Mid-Bronx Housing
803026/23 Ramirez v. Davalos
807635/25 Rutherford v. Inside Out Interior
806142/25 Zhong v. Gonzalez

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

814128/22 Araujo v. Vasquez
27261/18 B. v. 218 Gourmet Deli & Tobacco
34337/20 Bess v. Doumbouya
812122/23 Casale v. Schupak
807130/23 Elysee v. Medrano-Torres
806108/24 Hutchinson-Turner v. Febbo
801746/22 Nanna-Montgomery v. Khalifa
815084/23 Olea v. Marke
819048/22 Ramnarine v. Fernandez
811401/23 Ramos Gutierrez v. Smith
813827/22 Rivera v. Saou
815638/23 Rosario v. Diaby

MONDAY, APRIL 27

802125/23 Almanzar v. Gonzalez
811504/24 Burrell v. Haven Propco I Grand Concourse LLC Et Al
802305/23 Cameron v. Mta Et Al
814538/22 Cruz v. Patel
818582/25 Greene v. Alston
23333/18 Maldonado v. 1254 S LLC
811399/23 Marin-Garrido v. Darling Ingredients Inc Et Al
801747/22 Matos v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
27068/20 Mendez v. Morningside Condos
804209/23 Moultrie v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
813773/22 Munoz v. NYC Et Al
802138/23 Munoz v. Rockland Auto Repair, Inc. Et Al
808883/21 Olivences v. P&S Select Foods Inc. Et Al
807033/23 Seepaul v. Darling Ingredients, Inc. Et Al
817762/23 Tejada-Matias v. Certified Auto Parts of Bridgeport, Inc. Et Al
814476/22 Vasquez v. Vasquez
804533/23 White v. Sayrange
1283/26 Zapata v. NYCHA

Part 18

Justice Wanda Y. Negron
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 602, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

812085/23 Anderson v. Anderson Glenn
34/25 Jean-Guillaume v. Jean-Guillaume
814530/23 Lopez v. Lopez

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

812209/22 Fragin v. Fragin
5603/24 King v. King
817777/22 Rojas v. Rojas-Lema
36199/14 Roman v. Sosa
809635/25 Siegel v. Ortiz

MONDAY, APRIL 27

814530/23 Lopez v. Lopez
6343/23 Sandoval Garcia v. Rodriguez Orantes

Part 19

Justice Alicia Gerez
Phone 718-618-1377
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

812016/22 Bates v. Neuberg Md
20864/19 Liranzo v. Caputo Md
804315/21 Rosario v. The NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
802814/24 Z.G. An Infant By His Mother And Natural Guardian Crystal Galarza Et Al v. Baron M.D.

MONDAY, APRIL 27

816458/24 Alcantara Marti v. Springer M.D.
30362/19 Santiago v. McKean

Part 20

Justice Veronica G. Hummel
Phone 718-618-1240
Room 408, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

30951/17 Hossain v. The NYCTA
811574/24 in The Matter of The Apllication of Quest Home Improvement, Inc. v. R G Auto Collision Corp. Et Al
811222/24 J. v. Hallen Center Inc D/b/a The Hallen School
812390/21 Riverspring Licensed Home Care Services Agency Inc. v. Scherzer

Part 21

Justice Matthew Parker-Raso
Phone 718-618-1435
Room 706, 9:30 A.M.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

813685/21 Basurto v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. Et Al

MONDAY, APRIL 27

21380/20 Altairi v. 493 Fulton LLC
20125/20 Beqaraj v. Esrt 1400 B'way.
29131/19 Burgos Flores v. Dalton Schools, Inc.
29093/19 Centeno v. Second Brook Properties
29837/19 Colon-Aponte v. Rxr Soyo Exalta Owner LLC
25049/20 Cuevas v. 105 South St.
33507/19 Gil De La Madrid Mena v. Pennbus Realties LLC
29402/20 Gomez v. Riverbay Corp.
816520/24 Hayles v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Et Al
807443/24 Hicks v. Residential Mgt. (ny), Inc. Et Al
20746/20 Jimenez Ortiz v. Habitat For Humanity Almat
815925/23 Laplante v. NYC Dept. of Transportation Et Al
20784/19 Loja v. Kenmare And Mulberry
300543/17 Lopez v. Dougherty
21339/19 Mays v. Lend Lease (us) Const.
24461/20 Mori Servan v. Es Builders Group LLC
817148/22 Nesbitt v. McWilliams
810513/23 Perez v. A&C Four Wings, Inc.
803669/24 Persaud v. Pueblo En Marcha Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
812863/21 Sanchez v. Mike & Mark LLC
800616/21 Ventura Rochez v. Comunilife La Central
808125/23 Villa v. Baba LLC

Part 22

Justice Marissa Soto
Phone 718-618-1193
Room 709, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, APRIL 27

816471/21 Brady v. Fordham Bedford Housing Corp. Et Al

Part 24

Justice Shawn T. Kelly
Phone 718-618-1248
Room 623, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

804137/21 Ahammad v. Sylla
1215/26 Audiffred v. Alvarez
804071/24 Buonocore v. Lagrippo
800046/24 Casino v. James
803865/25 Castilla Hurtado v. Morton-Barrow Owners Corp. Et Al
31972/19 Chica v. Fountain Seaview B3 Housing
805249/25 Connell v. Mel Mgt. Corp.
821381/25 Cruz Garcia v. Moore
811234/24 Dais v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
819466/25 Danso v. Gonzalez
806636/24 De Los Santos Ramirez v. Voltair Solar LLC Et Al
809962/24 Dejesus v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
818549/25 Delarosa v. Toribio
807749/25 Delgado v. Uuganbayar
816176/23 Diallo v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
809755/25 Diaz-Martinez v. Cp Associates LLC
811099/25 Douglas v. Gty-Cpg (qns/bx) Leasing
800240/24 Figueroa v. 1661 Toppings Rlty. LLC
812585/23 Franklin Ave Estates v. Helping Hand Day Care LLC Et Al
811351/24 Hamilton v. Gonzalez
806562/21 Hanley v. Bruckner Boulevard Owner
820694/23 Hines v. 1161 Sherman Rlty. Corp Et Al
817472/24 Issaq v. Lora
803792/25 Kodua v. Francisco Rodriguez Sanatana, And Hermany Inc.
821120/24 Lagares v. Bah
809485/23 Lewis v. S. Bertram, Inc. Et Al
811519/25 Marrero v. Pv Hldg. Corp Et Al
821151/24 Martinez v. Davis Jr.
801499/25 McFadden v. Ra Leasing LLC Et Al
809726/25 Medina v. Madden
816179/25 Miller v. Shin
811770/24 Mola v. Nunez
805573/25 Nyarko v. Earle M Jorgensen Co. Et Al
811297/25 Outlaw v. Paulino-Santana
802044/23 Pink v. Perez
814314/25 Polanco v. Delacruz-Beato
23962/18 Punter v. Car Rentals LLC Et Al
801039/24 Reyes v. Gonell
29322/20 Rivera v. Matiz Architecture Plcc
803450/24 Robinson v. Maplebear, Inc. D/b/a Instacart
818371/24 Roman v. Finger Mgt. Corp. Et Al
817252/21 Sangurima Zhinin v. 3530 Wayne
811639/25 Simmons v. Carty
809969/24 Tejada v. Rosario
803664/25 Velasquez v. Otg Jfk T5 Venture
29377/19 Velasquez v. Story Ave. East Residental

Part 25

Justice Mary Ann Brigantti
Phone 718-618-1252
Room 407, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

817327/22 Gonzalez v. Caroline's Spa Corp Et Al
804280/23 Sacco & Fillas v. Law Office of Dorcas Owusu-Frimpong

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

302277/07 Cole v. 1015 Concourse Owners Corp.

Part 26

Justice Paul L. Alpert
Phone 718-618-1617
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

806326/22 425 East 153 St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. v. Brown
808060/25 Aquino v. Northwind LLC.
809331/24 Avila v. Jsaf Capital LLC. Et Al
34256/19 Baez v. Jova Rlty. L.L.C.
805631/22 Bardina v. Chestnut Hldgs. of New York, Inc. Et Al
802231/25 Barton v. Fnu
812544/24 Behler v. Banana Kelly Community Improvement Assoc. Inc. Et Al
816409/22 Benjamin v. Project Renewal Et Al
803566/23 Bhandari v. Flat Rate Movers
818864/22 Bidyaram-Ramjit v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
807100/21 Brown v. Montefiore Medical Center
815932/24 Camara v. Singh
809843/22 Campbell v. Johnson Md
20540/19 Carr-Cameron v. Xsport Fitness
806663/23 Cohetero v. 153rd St. Rlty. LLC Et Al
801725/22 Correa v. Veggacada
816318/24 Dorsey v. 1255 Stratford LLC
815331/24 Duran v. Bree Transport
806625/25 Escotto v. NYCHA
26777/17 Estate of Leonor Osorio v. Abc Corp.
813724/23 Excellent Dental Inc. v. 12 E. 167 LLC Et Al
818650/24 Galloway v. Thompson
800823/24 Garcia v. Rodriguez
805619/25 Gilchrist v. Silberstein
815570/23 Gonzaga Ovalles Pena v. NYCHA
811310/25 Gonzalez v. 2335 Crotona Ave. LLC .
800632/25 Guinand v. Mega Contracting Group LLC Et Al
802847/24 Harris v. Cozzini Bros. Inc. Et Al
803558/22 Harris v. Walgreen's
811168/24 Hickson v. Riverbay Corp. Et Al
804692/23 Hidalgo v. 81 Rlty. Co. Et Al
821081/24 Iwuagwu v. Lopez
807068/23 Jackson v. P&C Merrick Rlty. Co., LLC Et Al
813617/21 Lantigua v. Young And Booby Rlty. Corp.
807806/23 Lavar v. The Port Auth. of New York And New Jersey Et Al
812105/25 Martinez Rentas v. Rodriguez Flores
28494/17 Martinez v. Valentine
816476/23 Melendez v. Wertzberger
806609/22 Miranda v. Ac Penguin Prestige 1 Corp.
800790/22 Mitchell v. Nysandy5 Nbp32 LLC Et Al
817816/24 Montiel De La Rosa v. Wiranegara
803529/25 Newell v. Lewis
27729/20 Ortiz v. Western Beef Retail, Inc.
34103/18 Paulino v. R.G. Ortiz Funeral Home, Inc.
804611/22 Pridgen v. Peace Fast Food Inc
22135/20 Ramos v. St. Barnabas Hosp.
803005/21 Recio Rodriguez v. Concourse Flatiron
817966/24 Reyes v. Cogent Waste Solutions LLC Et Al
806594/25 Reyes v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
806859/25 Rivera v. Trinity Centre LLC Et Al
810459/24 Rivera v. Pacific Smith Rlty. LLC.
801431/24 Robinson v. Olanrewaju
810679/22 Rodriguez v. 204 Rlty. LLC Et Al
809330/22 Rodriguez v. Melrose 3rd Associates LLC Et Al
804899/22 Rodriguez v. Castillo East LLC Et Al
808616/22 Rosario v. Marne Rlty.
810526/23 Sanchez Hernandez v. Abacus Bldg. Innovations, Inc. Et Al
802751/22 Santos De La Rosa v. J. Novel Mgt. LLC
807665/24 Sawyer v. Gourmet & Organic
804909/23 Sessions v. Riverbay Corp.
811757/24 Silva v. Sd Builders And Const. LLC. Et Al

806399/25 Tavarez v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
818949/25 Twi-Laq Industries Inc. v. Hoffman Investors Corp. D/b/a Seneca Bldg. Corp.
27896/20 U.G. v. Ian A. Ricketts
5170/25 Watson v. Gm Financial
808348/24 Wright v. Prince Food Corp

Part 27

Justice Naita A. Semaj
Phone 718-618-1226
Room 622 9:30 A.M.

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

813825/23 Adon v. Citywide Mobile Response Corp.
813837/25 Bailey v. 17-19 West 108 St. Et Al
809726/24 Chicaiza v. Advance Homes Assoc., Inc.
818618/24 Lee v. 132 Bronx
381391/08 Newrez LLC D/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage v. Norma J. Bloomfield

MONDAY, APRIL 27

35211/15 Bank of NY Mellon v. Rodriquez
32162/15 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust v. Seidel
35654/18 Hsbc Bank USA v. Greene
804549/24 Hsbc Bank USA v. 213 Energy LLC Et Al
811707/21 Morales v. Hp Amanda's Cove Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
32725/17 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Melanie Bishop

Part 28

Justice Sarah P. Cooper
Phone 718-618-1254
Room 402, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

819627/23 Carter v. Carter
2824/25 Sarmiento Encalada v. Calle Alvarez

MONDAY, APRIL 27

817980/25 Curiel v. Curiel
5758/17 Holmes-Howard v. Howard Sr.
814453/25 Pinczower v. Pinczower
802620/25 Richards v. Richards

Part 29

Justice Veronica Romero Guerrero
Phone 718-618-1479
Room 701, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

6731/18 Calleja-Merced v. Merced

MONDAY, APRIL 27

813035/25 Clemente v. Balbuena
801770/24 Jackson v. Bristow
804203/22 Lopez v. Estepa
802980/23 Samuel v. Samuel

Part 30

Justice Erik L. Gray
Phone 718-618-1320
Room 703, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

820474/24 Aguila Abrego v. Olijarczyk
806338/25 Aktur v. Perez
819376/23 Arthur v. Rpai Fordham Pl. Retail
810157/22 Bass v. Berio
821104/24 Bello v. Daly Ave. LLC Et Al
807799/21 Bunker v. Judlau Contracting, Inc.
804326/25 Davila v. Nemos Enterprise Group
807749/25 Delgado v. Uuganbayar
809317/25 Elder v. Mubarez
803077/25 Gueye v. Mondal
807738/25 Gutierrez Cuevas v. Owen
816407/24 Hadi v. Normington
814309/24 Hall v. Crossroads III Community
812070/24 Ham v. Edge Const. And Concrete LLC Et Al
802430/25 Hunter v. Volunteers of America Greater New York, Inc.
805441/25 Kennedy v. Weeks Rlty. Associates LLC
809378/24 Lamey v. Penfield LLC
800760/25 Lewis v. Zandani
823064/25 Linton v. Rodriguez
809705/24 Malcolm v. Hernandez
820011/24 Mattei v. Western Express
801390/23 Olivera v. 28 South Div. Owner LLC Et Al
818751/25 Ordonez Mejia v. Sosa Diaz
802224/24 Paz v. Klondike Mgt., Inc.
816577/24 Pelzer v. Mlkp Bronx Rlty. LLC Et Al
808365/24 Pena Monegro v. Diallo
811122/25 Perez v. Arce
810685/24 Quinonez v. Bangura
812477/23 Ricks v. NYCHA
800647/24 Ruiz v. Roman Catholic Church of St. Nicholas of Tolentine
813666/24 Santiago v. Reyes Montes
814550/24 Santiago v. Uuganbayar
35464/20 Santos Almanzar v. Sp Associates of NY LLC.
806724/24 Solomon v. Jaquez
819044/23 Spencer v. Barry
817641/24 Suarez Cruz v. 168-30 Hillside Ave Corp. Et Al
808727/24 Tristaino v. Hutch 40 LLC. Et Al
805122/24 Valentin v. Us Papi Car Service Et Al
804124/25 Vasquez Diaz v. A3n Logistics LLC Et Al
802485/24 Ventura-Hernandez v. Morrisania Apt. LLC
809091/23 Willis v. The NYCHA

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

808884/24 Aponte De Cabrera v. 50 East 172 St. Rlty. LLC Et Al
826579/25 Arias Valdiviezo v. Cacioppo
813258/24 Baez v. Russell
813830/25 Bashir v. Tzuban Tzoc
821039/24 C3 Rlty. Associates LLC v. Bastian Jr.
817914/22 Caio v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Inc. Et Al
807499/25 Campomanes Lopez v. Duran
3350/25 Castellanos v. Bronx Works Inc
813666/23 Chicaiza-Rodriguez v. Sees Group LLC Et Al
810175/23 Cubas v. Sep Pius
809486/24 Dejesus v. Milon
800040/23 Diallo v. Qlr Three Inc. Et Al
807891/24 Garcia v. Hermany Inc. Et Al
816013/24 Gonzales v. Soto
818138/23 Gonzalez v. Ferrara Bros.
805031/25 Hernandez v. Penske Truck Leasing Et Al
809548/25 Hernandez v. Mendez
820477/24 James v. Reardon Metalworks
818017/24 Jimenez v. 8300 Talbot St. Owners Corp. Et Al
33729/19 Martinez Garay v. Comunilife La Central
803612/25 Morales v. Barnett
805669/21 Polanco v. Hutchinson Pkwy. Apts., Inc. Et Al
820867/24 Ramirez-Payano v. John Doe
802598/25 Ramos v. Chen
812782/23 Rengifo Rangel v. Geel Webster Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
814185/23 Reynoso v. Boyd
814600/24 Sanchez v. Casa Bldg. Materials Inc. Et Al
814231/24 Segura v. 160 Dyckman Rest. Corp. Et Al
817075/23 Silva v. Diaz
806877/24 Stokes v. NYC Et Al
810478/21 Suazo v. Ali
807740/25 Thompson v. 1314 Seneca Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
806544/25 Valentin v. Gonzalez-Perez
34786/20 Zenteno Luna v. Gc Capital Group LLC

Part 31/32

Justice Fidel E. Gomez
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 403, 9:30 A.M.

Part 34

Justice Michael A. Frishman
Phone 718-618-1349
Room 705, 9:30 A.M.

Part 35

Justice Raymond P. Fernandez
Phone 718-618-1216
Room 625, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, APRIL 27

802477/23 Abubakarr Konteh And Fatoumatta Sankanu v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
817784/22 Alhamoud v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
800395/25 An Infant v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
800396/25 An Infant v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806635/22 Arthur Individually v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806631/22 Arzu-Avila v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
807621/24 Augustus v. Kulig
818654/22 B. v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
810577/24 B.K. By His Mother And Natural Guardian Haja Kamara Et Al v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
809392/22 Baio v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc., Et Al
818195/22 Brannigan v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806643/22 Bush v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
806611/22 Campbell Individually v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
807284/23 Ceesay v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc., Et Al
801231/22 Cham v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
801093/22 Cham v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
801229/22 Cisse v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
808375/22 Clayton v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation LLC Et Al
801863/24 Colon v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
800948/24 Cortes v. Leab Hldg. Corp
819195/22 D. v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806623/22 Davis Individually v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
803550/22 Davis v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc.; Et Al
805523/24 Davis v. Hubbard
801232/22 Demba v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
817248/22 Diallo v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
800393/25 Dieng v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
801926/22 Drame v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
811444/22 Drammeh v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation LLC Et Al
806524/22 Dukureh v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
800344/25 Genuine Plumbing And Heating LLC v. Bronx Seaview
806633/22 Green Individually v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806634/22 Hammad Individually v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806625/22 Howard v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
899999/23 in Re 333 East 181st St. Fire v. This Document Applies To All Cases
810921/24 in Re: The Application of The Progressive Casualty Ins. Co. v. To Stay The Arbitration Sought To Be Had By Plushette Sullivan
810430/22 Jagana v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810412/22 Jagana v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810434/22 Jambang v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810431/22 Jangan v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810427/22 Jangana v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
812177/23 Jawara v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810435/22 Jobarteh v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
801178/22 Johnson v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation LLC Et Al
810590/22 Joseph v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
819181/22 K. v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation LLC Et Al
810440/22 Kamara v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
800388/25 Kantako v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
801230/22 Keita v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
1183/26 Ludwig v. Dept. of Homeless Services
801956/22 Maliyamu Darame Sankano v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc., Et Al
800011/23 Maroney v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
818193/22 Mohamed Cherif v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
811721/24 Montano v. Upsco United Parcel Service Co. A/k/a United Parcel Service Inc. Et Al
817947/22 Murray v. Lopez Perez
809043/24 Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. As Subrogee of East Tremont Partners v. Bui
815204/25 Orange v. Narino Rlty. LLC
818151/22 Paez v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
809139/25 Park-Carter v. Spartan Auto Group
808216/24 Peralta v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
819796/23 Quiroz Guerrero v. Central Properties
819049/22 R. v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
806638/22 Reid Individually v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
807831/24 Rodriguez v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
819200/22 S. v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
802825/23 Sanchez Gamboa v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
812122/24 Scott v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
804681/22 Sedibeh v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
817189/25 Story54 LLC v. The Melrose Court Condominium Et Al
801226/22 Sylla v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
810437/22 T v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
810436/22 T v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
816199/22 Tapsoba v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
801929/22 Tijan Janneh v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation LLC Et Al
806619/22 Touray v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
806637/22 Trawally v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
817897/22 Valdez v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
818774/24 Wague v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
821169/25 Walters Bowman v. City Queens Inc Et Al
806615/22 Waring v. Bronx Park Phase III Preservation
800254/24 Warren v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
806626/22 Williams v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
800255/24 Wright v. Bronx Phase III Housing Co., Inc. Et Al

Part 36

Justice Edit Shkreli
Phone 718-618-1972
Room 410, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

810952/23 Caraballo v. Saini
802872/21 Collins v. Taylor
802946/26 in The Matter of The Application of Cesar Umana Maldonado v. NYC Et Al
806540/24 Laing v. City Livery Leasing Bklyn., Inc. Et Al
803248/25 Parkash 2691 v. Kingsbridge Deli Corp.
808127/23 Pena v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al
808448/21 Perez-Santos v. Guerrero
804127/21 Temple v. New Hitech Wireless, Inc.
810870/22 Thompson v. Djibril
800958/22 Vaccari v. Cheung

MONDAY, APRIL 27

820542/24 Acheampong v. Lisa S. Dozier Funeral Service Inc. Et Al
808868/25 Acosta Mercedes v. 50 East 196 St LLC
819210/25 Alrubahyai v. Ruiz Aguilar
816764/25 Concepcion v. Crotona Housing Associates
809614/21 Delgado v. McKechnie Jr
31832/18 Gimenez v. St. Augustine Apts. LLC.
804561/24 Gomez v. Genfi
808150/25 Harrison v. Chandan Subarna
809782/24 Hutton v. McGuire
804059/22 Jenkins Alfred v. Sajid Const. Corp. Et Al
818114/25 Leonardo De Leon v. Maspeth Supply Co., LLC Et Al
814300/21 Marrone v. Wblm 14 Lecount Owner LLC Et Al
812903/25 McFarlane v. The NY Times Co. Et Al
813072/24 Mendez v. Wright
35064/20 Mendez v. Bedford 203 Housing
817082/24 Mendoza v. Lafayette Towers Nelson Apts. LLC Et Al
32663/20 Morales v. Eib Riverale Crossing
811960/21 Moussa v. Milea Leasing Corp. Et Al
816356/25 Ness And v. NYC Et Al
800198/22 Oblitas Torres v. 106 West 56th St. Prop. Investors III
802839/25 Pena v. Bronx Preservation Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
817455/25 Quezada Francisco v. Ovalle
811120/25 Ramos v. Francisco
812464/24 Rojas Fermin v. Rojas-Acevedo
813417/25 Rosario De Reyes v. 1240 Sheva Rlty. Housing Devleopment Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
812519/23 Schwartz v. Larosiliere
33660/20 Taveras v. HPDc2 Housing Dev.
800241/22 Vanegas Navas v. Hp River Crest Housing Dev. Fund Co.
24284/19 Vasquez v. Tremont Renaissance Housing
815220/24 Vaughn v. Santos
800010/22 Vigil Granados v. 661 Manida St. LLC Et Al

Part 37

Justice Joaquin E. Orellana
Phone 718-618-1672
Room 701A, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

811745/23 Becerra Guerrero v. Centre Pointe Developers LLC Et Al
810829/22 Cabrera v. Macombs 1504
801368/23 Fernandez v. Featherbed Dev. LLC Et Al
817361/24 Kevin Rodas v. Congregation Yetev Lev Blooming Grove Et Al
813356/24 Lopez v. Kabir
807053/23 Nunez Suarez v. Gramercy Park House LLC Et Al
810018/22 Rodriguez v. Mhl Capital II LLC

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

812176/22 Cortes v. Queens 148 LLC
807021/22 Del Aguila Lara v. Plaxall, Inc.
809625/25 Guzman v. Hudson Meridian Const. Group Et Al
804677/22 Huaman v. 280 W 155th St. Owner
811746/23 Lopez Pozo v. Winston Churchill Owners Corp. Et Al
814875/23 Remy v. B'way. & 56th St. Associates
812543/23 Sirico v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
812677/22 Stengel v. NYCTA Et Al
807016/22 Tejada Tejada v. Hzl Rlty. LLC Et Al
805191/23 Wagner Maicol Bravo Goicochea v. Mega Contracting Group LLC Et Al

CRIMINAL TERM

Part SCA

Justice Villegas
Phone 718-618-1378
265 East 161st Street
Room 390, 9:30 A.M.

Part IDV-SCT

Justice Flores
Phone 718-618-1067
265 East 161st Street
Room 420, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Shadron Rambert
Shakeim Rivera

Part JD/T

Justice Lieb
Phone 718-618-1097
265 East 161st Street
Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

Part TRP

Justice Yearwood
Phone 718-618-1103
265 East 161st Street
Room 340, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Jayson Dargan
Dysean Reddick
Jose Santos
Juan Torres
Ernest Williams

Part 11

Justice Mitchell
Phone 718-618-1076
265 East 161st Street
Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

Part 12

Justice Michels
Phone 718-618-1016
265 East 161st Street
Room 660, 9:30 A.M.

Part 14

Justice Busching
Phone 718-618-1034
265 East 161st Street
Room 690, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

David Keith

Part 15

Justice Park
Phone 718-618-1019
265 East 161st Street
Room 500, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Justin Acevedo

Part 17

Justice Bowen
Phone 718-618-1106
265 East 161st Street
Room 350, 9:30 A.M

Part 18

Justice Fabrizio
Phone 718-618-1007
265 East 161st Street
Room 620, 9:30 A.M

Part 19

Justice Collins
Phone 718-618-1025
265 East 161st Street
Room 680, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Daquann Alford
Marisa M. Aponte
Hargenys Cruz
Karriem Duvall
Luigi Fernandez
Jovan Fields
Destiny Fuentes
Alejandro Jorge Colon
Saquan Lemons
Malik Leslie
Shyhiem Martin
Oscar Olivencia
Khalil Salahuddin
Jayden Sanchez
Manola Talbert
Lorenz Thorp

Part 21

Justice Powell
Phone 718-618-1133
265 East 161st Street
Room 650, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22

Justice McCormack
Phone 718-618-1001
265 East 161st Street
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Sh-Ward Bee

Part 24

Justice Hornstein
Phone 718-618-1073
265 East 161st Street
Room 440, 9:30 A.M

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Jose Brito
Peair Davis
Eduardo Encarnacion
Jaylen Jackson
Rafael Perez
Ahmed Scott
Daniel Scott
Sharon S. Werts
Alicea William

Part 26

Justice An
Phone 718-618-1109
265 East 161st Street
Room 360, 9:30 A.M.

Part 27 (DV)

Justice Stone
Phone 718-618-1031
265 East 161st Street
Room 590, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Andy Luna

Part 28

Justice Clancy
Phone 718-618-1049
265 East 161st Street
Room 560, 9:30 A.M

Part 29

Justice Rodriguez-Morick
Phone 718-618-1118
265 East 161st Street
Room 430, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31

Justice Rosenblueth
Phone 718-618-1022
265 East 161st Street
Room 670, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Isiah Allen
Richard Bolton
Destin Burgess
Eric Castro
Abdoul Diallo
Kenny Feliz
Jahzere Hines
Ruben Maldonado
Luis Matos Vargas
Devon K. Poteat
Jeanette Ramos
Ronald Santos
Jevon Stewart
Eduar Taveras
Emmanuel Vidal

Part 32

Justice Roberts
Phone 718-618-1019
265 East 161st Street
Room 500, 9:30 A.M.

Part 33

Justice Isales
Phone 718-618-1046
265 East 161st Street
Room 380,

Part 70

Justice Lewis
Phone 718-618-1094
265 East 161st Street
Room 330, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71

Justice Steed
Phone 718-618-1004
265 East 161st Street
Room 310, 9:30 A.M

Part 75

Justice Bruce
Phone 718-618-1043
265 East 161st Street
Room 540, 9:30 A.M.

Part 77

Justice Goldberg
Phone 718-618-1058
265 East 161st Street
Room 550, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Alex Arias
Halbert Burke
Halbert B. Burke
George F. Chiffriller
Victor Martinez
Ismelda Monegro
Jason Ruiz
Elizabeth Stukes
Kebbeh Sulayman
Jonathan Westberry
Glen Williams

Part 96

Justice Morales
Phone 718-618-1082
265 East 161st Street
Room 460, 9:30 A.M

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Jaylyn Bell
Luis Figueroa
Edward Gueits
Lamon Hawkins
Terrell Kinard
Ramon Molina
Tyrell M. Scott
Jaquan Smith
Raul Thomas
Marck Urena Fernandez

SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate
Nelida Malave-Gonzalez
Phone 718-618-2350
Courtroom 406

Second Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

THURSDAY, APR. 23

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Barros, J.P., Warhit, Taylor and Quirk, JJ.

24/02190 Matter of W. (Anonymous), Aaliyah; W. (Anonymous), Annalyse; W. (RI)
24/02191 Matter of W. (Anonymous), Aaliyah; W. (Anonymous), Annalyse; W. (Anony (RI)
24/07523 Matter of S. (Anonymous), Ariel; M. (Anonymous), Vaughn; Administratio (Q)
24/07525 Matter of S. (Anonymous), Ariel; M. (Anonymous), Vaughn; Administratio (Q)
24/01471 Desrosiers v. U.S. Bank National Association (S)
24/04280 Desrosiers v. U.S. Bank National Association (S)
25/03381 Matter of 4720 Avenue Inc. v. County of Nassau (N)
25/09117 Matter of 4720 Avenue Inc. v. County of Nassau (N)
25/04836 122nd Street, LLC v. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (K)
23/10554 Williams v. New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation (K)
24/02678 Matter of 15 East 19th LLC v. Niblack (K)
25/01718 Matter of T.C. v. New York City Department of Education (K)
25/08101 Rosado-Vargas v. KCT Abstract, LLC (K)
24/13513 Racines v. Son (Q)
23/08602 Ira and Larry Weinstein, LLC v. Mill Avenue Development LLC (K)
24/12612 JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association v. Browne (Q)
23/03670 Oshiro v. City of New York (W)
25/00879 Crosby Gibraltar, LLC v. Arora (Q)
25/02347 Landry v. K&A Engineering Consulting, P.C (W)
24/05843 Nationstar v. Greenfeld (K)
24/08319 Pena v. Perez (K)
25/04003 Pena v. Perez (K)
24/06188 Bank of America v. Noe (K)
24/06189 Bank of America v. Noe (K)

FRIDAY, APR. 24

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Dillon, J.P., Wooten, Love and Goldberg Velazquez, JJ.

22/02296 People v. Augustus, Tyshawn (K)
24/02742 People v. Perez-Molina, Antonio (O)
23/03675 People v. Gjurashaj, Zef (O)
22/02955 People v. Shamim, Ahmed (Q)
26/03450 Matter of O. M. (Anonymous), Jefferson D. (R)
26/03452 Matter of O.M.(Anonymous), Jefferson Donaldo (R)
23/05797 Matter of Meguira v. Smallberg (Q)
23/05798 Matter of Meguira v. Smallberg (Q)
24/04491 Matter of Smallberg v. Meguira (Q)
24/12453 Rahman v. B&K Realty Associates, LLC (K)
24/05099 Zhang v. Jun Wei Inc. (W)
24/12535 VK Dental Group, Inc. v. Tender Touch Physical Therapy, P.L.L.C. (K)
25/00245 VK Dental Group, Inc. v. Tender Touch Physical Therapy, P.L.L.C. (K)
25/07095 111 Heitz PL Inc. v. Rodriguez (N)
24/13211 Webb v. Primez Trucking, Inc. (K)
23/11481 Newhouse v. SSMG Realty LLC (R)
24/09132 Newhouse v. SSMG Realty LLC (R)
24/09137 Newhouse v. SSMG Realty LLC (R)
24/00269 U.S. Bank National Association v. Benitez (N)
24/05921 Benitez v. U.S. Bank National Association (N)
25/10999 Doctor v. Northern Manor Geriatric Center (R)
25/12498 Doctor v. Northern Manor Geriatric Center (R)
25/12500 Doctor v. Northern Manor Geriatric Center (R)
24/12210 Matter of DePasquale v. New York City Employees' Retirement System (K)
24/09070 Matter of Puccio v. Department of Education of City of New York (K)
25/02111 Licatesi Law Group, LLP v. Bachu, Bedesi & Nguyen (K)

MONDAY, APR. 27

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Connolly, J.P., Chambers, Ventura and Ottley, JJ.

19/01876 People v. Arjune, Latchman (S)
25/02186 People v. Paruolo, Anthony (N)
24/13213 Matter of J. (Anonymous), Xaria; R. (Anonymous), Omari; R. (Anonymous) (Q)
24/13216 Matter of J. (Anonymous), Xaria; R. (Anonymous), Omari; R. (Anonymous) (Q)
25/11128 Gladysz v. Gladysz (S)
24/03237 Matter of 63-38 Austin LLC v. Niblack (Q)
24/07254 Bank of New York Mellon v. Portz (N)
25/01416 Railey v. City of New York (K)
24/06365 McVea v. Honsaker (O)
25/03232 McVea v. Honsaker (O)
25/07830 McVea v. Honsaker
24/13420 American Transit Insurance Company v. Singh (K)
25/01339 Ulma Form Works, Inc. v. Pasenti Concrete, Inc. (O)
25/03811 Ulma Form Works, Inc. v. Pasenti Concrete, Inc. (O)
25/03796 Siniscalchi v. Siniscalchi (W)
25/07030 Peru Leasing Limited Partnership v. Barayev (Q)
25/01570 Rampmaier-Verdon v. Genovesi (S)
25/00407 Gottfried v. ServiceChannel Holdings, Inc. (N)
24/09999 U.S. Bank National Association v. Barrera (S)
24/07585 Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company v. Huerta (Q)
25/03053 Matter of Charles v. New York State Office of Children and Family Servi (K)
24/08928 Standoff, LLC v. Sehgal (Q)
22/10175 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Varma (Q)

TUESDAY, APR. 28

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Iannacci, J.P., Christopher, Voutsinas and Hom, JJ.

25/07971 People v. L.F. (S)
25/04604 People v. Urbina, Santos (S)
24/09728 Matter of Zacharie v. Pennicott (K)
25/04415 Ortiz v. City of New York (K)
25/02821 Moses v. Mathew (Q)
24/07335 Matter of 1 Holland Avenue LLC v. Niblack (Q)
24/03388 Matter of Bellingham Estates 26, LLC v. Niblack (K)
25/00639 Matter of Norberto v. Schroeder (K)
25/04787 Hicks v. Nassau University Medical Center (N)
25/07916 Hicks v. Nassau University Medical Center (N)
25/03703 Matter of NBM Development LLC v. Tax Commission of City of New York (RI)
25/02430 Citadel Medical Management, Inc. v. Statcare Urgent & Walk In Medical (K)
22/01437 Matter of Prince v. St. Charles/Resurrection Cemetery (S)
25/00330 Matter of Robert's Premier Development,LLC v. Central Pine Barrens Join (S)
24/07304 Bank of New York v. Neely (Q)
25/02476 Sabillon v. Furcic (Q)
25/02693 Lakeview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Domicello (Q)
24/06370 Hunter v. Stone Source, LLC (S)
25/01061 Stewart v. 4101 Austin Boulevard Corp. (Q)
25/04373 US Bank National Association v. Horne (K)
23/11575 Wilmington Trust National Association v. Caneva (W)

WEDNESDAY, APR. 29

2 P.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Duffy, J.P., Barros, Warhit and Ottley, JJ.

25/01273 Williams v. Briarwood MP LLC (Q)
25/01277 Williams v. Briarwood MP LLC (Q)

Brathwaite Nelson, J.P., Wooten, Taylor and Goldberg Velazquez, JJ.

24/04748 People v. Marshall, Dujuan (D)

THURSDAY, APR. 30

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Duffy, J.P., Genovesi, Dowling and McCormack, JJ.

26/03997 People ex rel. Kousouros on behalf of Lin v. Warden of Rikers Island
24/13465 People v. Kamara, Alihosene (Q)
21/08269 People v. Ishmael, Darryel (Q)
22/00376 People v. Youngs, Robert M. (O)
23/05088 People v. Babchuk, Orest (K)
18/02859 People v. Baker, Joseph L. (D)
23/11636 People v. Daniels, William (Q)
24/06460 Matter of Dossantos v. Rinck (N)
24/10237 Mantel v. South Nassau Communities Hospital (N)
25/01897 Mantel v. South Nassau Communities Hospital (N)
24/02591 Clarke v. Horatio Management LLC (K)
24/09630 Zuccaro v. AJ Berriman, LLC (K)
25/01138 Anthony Birkla et al v. Cincinnati Terrace Associates, LLC et al (K)
25/02255 Odekirk v. Fulton Commons Care Center Inc. (N)
24/04221 MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Rodriguez (N)
24/04222 MTGLQ Investors v. Rodriguez (N)
22/06312 Smith v. Abby-Lovelace (K)
24/09749 Chaimovitz v. Exler (K)
25/01062 PHH Mortgage Corporation v. Daly (RO)
25/04418 Maxon v. Maxon (W)
24/09687 Schmeisser v. 685 First Realty Company, LLC (Q)
24/13503 Choudhry v. Community Urgent Care, P.C. (K)

FRIDAY, MAY 1

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Barros, J.P., Brathwaite Nelson, Love and Quirk, JJ.

23/04789 People v. Hallett, Vincent (RI)
24/07794 People v. Nagle, Ryan P. (O)
25/04559 People v. Lee, Paul (Q)
24/00453 People v. Johnson, Rasheem (K)
22/06984 People v. King, Saheam (S)
18/09256 People v. Munoz, Danny (K)
25/07384 Matter of Z. P. (Anonymous), Nuria D. (N)
23/10206 Matter of F. (Anonymous), Aiyana; F. (Anonymous), Naomi; Suffolk Count (S)
24/10537 Matter of Coplin v. Starkey (RO)
21/09228 Patiwana v. Shah (N)
25/02138 Gerringer v. Parker Jewish Institute for Health Care & Rehabilitation (N)
25/12353 Gerringer v. Parker Jewish Institute for Health Care & Rehabilitation (N)
24/09468 Streppone v. OTG JFK T5 Venture, LLC (Q)
24/02580 US Bank v. Sofer (K)
24/06915 U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. NYC Stars Holding Corp. (Q)
25/04887 Matter of Polizzotto & Polizzotto, LLC v. Kohn (N)
23/09646 HSBC Bank USA v. Angione (O)
25/04394 Fortier v. State of New York (S)
25/06575 Khan v. Salem (N)
24/03484 Matter of Jewish Press, Inc. v. New York City Department of Education (K)
24/09589 Bank of New York Mellon v. Fortis (W)
24/12800 Bank of New York Mellon v. Fortis (W)

MONDAY, MAY 4

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Dillon, J.P., Ford, Ventura and Goldberg Velazquez, JJ.

24/10654 People v. Lema-Tenemaza, Manuel M. (N)
24/12701 Matter of Roopnauth v. Tajeshwar (Q)
25/03567 Matter of Maslava v. Oppedisano (D)
24/06113 Sinanis v. Sinanis (W)
25/07886 Carver v. 2181 Pacific St. (K)
25/05507 Desmarattes v. Big Indie Pictures, Inc. (K)
25/05504 Sheth v. Scotto (K)
25/06423 E-J Electric Installation Co. v. 3rd Track Constructors (N)
24/05818 Kawik v. Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (Q)
24/05069 Simpson v. Poughkeepsie City School District (D)
23/00689 Matter of S. (Anonymous), Lucy (RI)
25/02455 HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Shetty (N)
23/07938 U.S. Bank, N.A. v. Headley (Q)
25/03977 Greenwald v. 366 South 5ST, LLC (K)
25/03978 Greenwald v. 366 South 5ST, LLC (K)
24/03877 Matter of C & G Empire Realty LLC v. Niblack (Q)
25/06931 Guastella v. Tejada (K)
24/11568 Camano v. City of New York (K)
25/03325 Matter of Village of Port Chester v. Westchester County Board of Electi (W)
25/03864 Matter of Heller v. Rettaliata (S)
25/08223 Wilson v. Andrade (W)

TUESDAY, MAY 5

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Iannacci, J.P., Warhit, Wan and Golia, JJ.

24/04818 People of State of New York v. Kantrow (S)
23/11245 Matter of M. (Anonymous), Allison; Administration for Children's Servi (K)
25/13274 Jiang v. Reep (RI)
25/03885 Cowen v. Landsman (S)
24/10575 Federal National Mortgage Association v. Prieto (Q)
24/05372 De La Hoz v. 941 Washington Avenue LLC (K)
25/07117 Huey Pin Liew v. Flushing Plaza Commercial, LLC (Q)
25/14925 Kumar v. Verini (N)
25/08864 Baez v. City of New York (K)
24/07449 Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas v. Tucci (N)
24/12041 Joao v. Adam Developers Enterprises, Inc. (K)
25/06749 Finamore v. David Ullman, P.C. (K)
25/01337 Matter of Bueno v. Village of Haverstraw (RO)
24/01859 Placide v. New York Presbyterian Weill Cornell Hospital (K)
24/07199 Dutton v. City of New York (K)
25/05693 Austin Staffing Inc. v. 3192 Atlantic Ave LLC (K)
25/03048 Jacobi v. Village of Saddle Rock (N)
25/12917 Slater v. Slater (S)
24/10573 Matter of 33rd Street Ventures, LLC v. Niblack (Q)
24/13387 Reid v. Casey (Q)

APPELLATE TERM

2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

***

BROOKLYN, NY

Day Calendar

WEDNESDAY, APR. 29

9:30 A.M.

Buggs, J.P., Mundy, Lin, JJ.

23/00220 Melissa Phillip v. Leman Manhattan Preparatory School
24/01044
25/00102 Grand Plus Supply, Inc. A.A.O. Erica F. Hamilton v. Geico Indemnity Company
25/00481 Discover Bank v. Mark Lewis
25/00770 Mohamed Tibta v. 156 E. 21, LLC and Ammar Omar; "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/00917 47-05 Center Spe, LLC v. Narul A. Hack; "John Doe" and "Jane Doe"
25/01104 J & Gs Restoration Inc. v. Metro Contractors LLC.
25/01261 Tristate Psychological Services, P.c., A.A.O. Reginald Francois v. Nationwide General Ins Co.
25/01317 Health Value Med, P.c., A.A.O. Akeel Tasheed v. Allstate Insurance Company

***

9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

***

MINEOLA, NY

Day Calendar

THURSDAY, MAY 7

9:30 A.M.

Driscoll, P.J., Walsh, Vazquez-Doles, JJ.

23/00785 People v. Luis Zhanay Zarate
24/00387 People v. Joseph Scardino
24/00700 People v. Norbin Hernandez-Zuniga
25/00433 People v. Antonio Grieci
25/00810 People v. Magdi Sourour
24/01344 Gregory Strzelichowski v. Quintrall R.l. Reynos And Gfs Transport, LLC
25/00503 Sandya Shetty v. Acea Consulting Group, LLC; Glenn L. Kaufman
25/01347 George A. Balalaos v. Joan Bernstein

***

CENTRAL ISLIP, NY

Day Calendar

THURSDAY, APR. 23

9:30 A.M.

Driscoll, P.J., Conway, Liccione, JJ.

24/00700 People v. Norbin Hernandez-Zuniga
24/00026 Liliana Z. Diaz v. Viorel Cristea
24/00902 People v. Diane Heckman
25/00396 Marie Arcoleo v. Perfect Body Image, LLC, Alexa Osani, Taylor Roesel, "John Doe", and Patrick Scomello
25/00510 People v. Diane Heckman
25/01394 Belvedere Housing, L.p. v. Ana Rivera, Brooklyn Lugo, Joshua Lugo, and Christopher Tabares
25/01395 Belvedere Housing, L.p. v. Latifah Smith
25/01396 Belvedere Housing, L.p. v. Marisa Abrego
25/01432 Belvedere Housing, L.p. For Lake Street Apartments v. Laquisha Newkirk and Deonte Brown

Have a Move to Announce?

E-mail Patricia.Kane@alm.com

Kings County

SUPREME COURT

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions.

Please see the Justices' information sheets for further instruction regarding Uniform IAS practices and procedures.

Part Assignments/RJI

Intake Part

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1592
Room 282

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

506108/26 Anderson v. Chen
528410/25 Baltzer v. Haley & Aldrich Const. Services, Inc. Et Al
542811/25 Bardales v. Williams
528730/25 Benjamin v. Greenblatt
519820/25 Bey v. 111 East 48th St. Hldgs.
543598/25 Brathwaite v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. (mvaic)
502166/26 Carty v. Fuchs
515982/24 Century Lefferts Pl. Partners LLC v. Robert
538670/25 Chan v. Andrews
528084/25 De La Cruz Valdez v. Sharf Machinery LLC
504439/25 Deme v. Odeko Inc. Et Al
519755/25 Dor v. Zhu
529489/24 Edri v. Advanced Recovery Equipment And Supplies
533018/25 Fagan v. Thompson
502400/26 Fairmont Ins. Brokers LLC v. Large Auto Brokerage Inc. D/b/a L.A. Brokerage Inc.
529781/25 Gaspard v. Fresh Direct
505946/25 Gfe NY v. Glover Logistics, Inc. Et Al
501964/26 Goldberger v. Mansour
501130/26 Gomez v. Kingstone Ins. Co.
535519/25 Gonzalez v. Harmon
534574/25 Granger v. Oddo
520893/25 Gssg v. Bklyn. Deli 18
506905/25 Han v. Fulton Gardens 1584
525906/25 Henriquez v. Chin
515196/25 Henry v. Sweetgreen, Inc. Et Al
503853/26 Ilend Advance LLC v. Blue Clouds Health Care Inc. Et Al
502949/25 in The Matter of The Application of Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. Wilson
524712/24 Itria Ventures LLC v. Torres-Henderson Education Resources
500215/26 Jerome v. Koren
532890/25 Jervey v. Chen
500535/26 Jimenez Vargas v. O'Donoghue
533729/25 John v. Congregational Snf
511457/25 Kurbanova v. Katz Rlty. Group Et Al
529107/25 Legeraton-Edwards v. Silberger
522842/22 M&T Bank v. Branch
500193/26 Marrero v. Small
524511/25 Masanotti v. Milea Leasing of Bklyn.
501578/26 Maza Barbecho v. Johnson
521278/25 Mazile v. Mushtaq
868/25 McLeod v. 319 Lenox Road LLC
522517/25 Melbourne v. Macerich Prop. Mgt. Co.
528418/25 Morales v. 8324 24th Ave LLC Et Al
501987/26 Ochoa v. Smart Interiors Inc. Et Al
502498/26 Paulino Jimenez v. Allstate 12 Corp. Et Al
518461/23 Peak Prop. And Casualty Ins. Corp. v. Philogene
505575/26 Pena De Espinal v. Afonso
534863/24 Pena-Sanchez v. Marrero
529299/25 Ramirez v. Skellige Logistics Inc. Et Al
542337/25 Ramirez v. Ean Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
522446/24 Rodriguez Saavedra v. Hyundai Lease Titling Trust Et Al
500408/26 Ruffin v. Halsey Apts. LLC Et Al
542219/25 Ruth v. Ilyayeva
536791/25 Samuel v. Bklyn. Center For Rehabilitation And Residential Health Care Et Al
530331/25 Schwartz v. Depanneur
1237/25 Shaw v. Slal Const. Inc
540813/25 Sistrunk v. Evans Jr
536927/25 Slate Advance LLC v. Expert Staffing Partners Inc Et Al
537235/25 Smith v. Marquez
543102/25 Smith v. Rodriguez
503008/21 State Nat. Ins. Co. v. Hiscox Ins. Co. Et Al
526908/23 Sukhachova v. Ofshtein Law Firm
516478/24 Titan Capital Solutions v. 795 Fairfield Circle LLC Et Al
531423/25 Trysler, Inc. v. Barone Steel Fabricators, Inc.
541028/25 Tyre v. Bobby Buka
541492/25 Vivian Capital Group LLC v. Hawkeye Enterprises LLC D/b/a Pet Supplies Plus Et Al
529599/25 Whaley v. Higher Education Loan Auth. of The State of Missouri Et Al
541539/25 Williams v. Interfaith Medical Center
526514/23 Xu v. Reed Trucking Inc. Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

504337/22 A.R. Conelly, Inc. Et Al v. 1717 East 8 St LLC Et Al
502177/26 Abreu v. Five Star Home Health Care Agency, Inc.
507846/26 Ace Funding Source LLC v. The Perfect Impression Inc Et Al
538686/25 Ahmed v. Brown
540842/25 Arcuri v. Tabak
541381/25 Bakhronov v. Ulloa
503357/26 Ben-Moshe v. Roadway Moving & Storage, Inc. Et Al
505885/25 Bermudez v. Chinchilima
518587/22 Brabham v. Heney
515208/24 Brown v. Rojas
532748/25 Burgos v. Klagsbald
506558/26 Capital Two Corp. D/b/a Superfast Capital v. Coastline Hospice Inc. Et Al
524713/25 Carrington v. Phillips-Eaddy
544729/25 Castro v. Todd III
540891/25 Cesar v. Caesar
542677/25 Chacker v. Flagg Court Owners Corp. Et Al
540475/25 Chekurashvili v. A. Oliveri & Sons Inc J & J Truking (nejana Corp T/a) Nejana Co. Et Al
539530/25 Chipix v. Cona
504162/26 Cityline Funding Group, Inc. v. Jmc Home Delivery LLC Et Al
534179/25 Cooks Faulk v. Cabrera
540537/25 Da Costa v. on Star Mgt. LLC Et Al
501010/26 Emdin v. 75 Fourth Ave LLC
514578/25 Excellent Contracting LLC v. Tjre LLC Et Al
504292/26 Flores v. Andrews
543347/25 Gutierrez Rodriguez v. Singh

Court Calendars

507395/25 Gutierrez v. La Gitana Panaderia Woodhaven Corp. Et Al
509226/26 Hasan v. Saad
540354/25 Hicks v. Chawdhury
528912/25 Hossain v. Benjamin
534766/25 J. v. NY Edge, Inc. Et Al
544551/25 Jaramillo Bermudez v. Giuliani
534720/25 Johnson v. Blackburn
537359/25 Joseph v. Franklin Templeton Companies
508652/26 Kalantarova v. Tacuri Bernabe
530246/24 Karimov v. Khayatov
504957/26 Kotcherova v. Memorial Sloan Kettering Hosp. For Cancer And Allied Diseases Et Al
511255/25 Lasker v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
537091/25 Levchenko v. Tc Electric LLC Et Al
540641/25 Louis v. Eunicetin Goode
501387/24 Lullu Hldgs. LLC v. Maurice Degennaro
502046/25 Massey v. Boswell
521151/18 McIntosh v. Sainnoval
540286/25 Mercado v. Benedek
504931/26 Papazaharias v. Maraachli
543685/25 Paradise v. 192-194 Flatbush Ave.
508330/25 Perez Urrieta v. New Genesis Christian Center, Inc. Et Al
540310/25 Pierre v. Ingravallo
509936/25 Prince v. Singh
520494/25 R. v. Hussain Nawab
538393/25 Rosario v. Reichert
501638/26 Roto-Rooter Services Co. v. Castle
532065/25 Rudyakov v. Coney Island Site Nine Houses, Inc. Et Al
510050/25 Sadler v. Azad
502314/26 Simmons v. Almanzar
522911/25 Simon v. Lasluisa
530524/25 Soto Ramos v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC Et Al
526933/25 Southwood Financial v. Saenz
542971/25 Tamaquiza v. Krol
525064/25 Troise v. Dd Ip Holder LLC Et Al
534685/25 Ultimate Capital Funding v. Mendez Communications Inc. Et Al
515281/25 Vasquez v. Uzokov
541593/25 Vazquez Miranda v. Pandeya
545116/25 Vicuna v. Goodwill Industries of Greater NY And Northern New Jersey, Inc.
501777/26 Wardlaw v. Pret A Manger (USA) Ltd.
541151/25 York v. Acres Auto Parts And Recycling Inc Et Al
521471/25 Zn v. Roach

Part ADR-COMM
Justice Richard Montelione
360 Adams Street
Phone 718-500-4012
Courtroom 574

Commercial Division Part 4
Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
515068/23 Harris v. Holder

Conference
518739/19 Bklyn. Tabernacle v. Thor 180 Livingston LLC
513440/15 Spetner v. Dan

Motion
523161/24 Enriched Home Care Agency, Inc. v. Wage Parity Experts
18063/11 Torres v. Nicholson

Commercial Division Part 6
Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
515068/23 Harris v. Holder
507847/25 Td Bank v. 146 Linden Blvd. Et Al
504492/23 Umb Bank v. The Taureau Group Inc.

Motion
500844/26 241 13 St. Funding LLC v. 241 13th St. LLC Et Al
516808/22 936 Kings Hwy. Funding LLC v. Y & L Rlty. #1 Corp Et Al
5466/09 Avh East Corp. v. Hart & Myrtle Auto Ltd
504188/21 Bankunited v. Nancy Fancy 10
508144/25 Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Americas v. Mellon Mgt.
514034/25 Finwise Bank v. Giga Tents, Inc. Et Al
534093/25 Finwise Bank v. Ls Auto Body & Repair Shop LLC Et Al
508697/25 Four Leaf Fed. Credit Union F/k/a Bethpage Fed. Credit Union v. Cooper Highland Rlty. 295 LLC Et Al
99/26 Gayle v. Jp Morgan Chase Bank
529357/24 Hanover Community Bank v. Begum
535945/22 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Toju Rlty. Corp. Et Al
507482/25 Jy in Stencil L.P. v. 216 Greene Ave Group LLC Et Al
517680/23 La Maison De Jy L.P. v. Sanchez
541234/25 Sig Rcrs A/b Mf 2023 Venture LLC v. 648 Myrtle Ave LLC
527900/25 Stormfield Spv I v. 323 Washington Ave.
507847/25 Td Bank v. 146 Linden Blvd. Et Al
526656/21 Toorak Capital Partners LLC v. Xyz 1535 Pacific LLC Et Al
526663/21 Toorak Capital Partners LLC v. Xyz 42 Van Buren LLC Et Al
541222/25 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. 33 Brevoort LLC
505979/26 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Gratten Rlty. Inc. Et Al
541411/25 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Yellinek
530306/24 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Waterloo Equities LLC Et Al
528624/25 Umb Bank v. 781 Prospect Pl Corp A/k/a 781 Prospect Pl. Corp Et Al
538608/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Marine 1487 Rlty.
507559/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1113 Jefferson LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
537903/22 Toorak Capital Partners v. 79 Vanderbilt LLC

Motion
537903/22 Toorak Capital Partners v. 79 Vanderbilt LLC

Commercial Division Part 8
Justice Leon Ruchelsman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1604
Room 276

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
530020/21 Gagliardi v. Candal Rlty. Corp.
512687/19 Solovey v. Aag Crepe House Inc.
519921/21 Steve Jackson v. Mhany 2013 Hldgs. Housing Dev. Fund Corp.

Commercial Division Part 10
Justice Larry D. Martin
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1634
Room 741

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
503789/16 Puma v. Gressel

Commercial Division Part 12
Justice Reginald Boddie
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9127-1594
Room 366

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
507118/26 Abreu v. Ahmad
510149/15 Azrak v. Carter Enterprises LLC
518985/19 Bais Yaakov of Bklyn. v. Yeshiva Torah Vodaath, Inc., Et Al
530713/23 Big City Outdoor LLC v. Jtre 23 Ws LLC Et Al
523589/22 Bukobza v. Buzhaker
509828/19 Delex Inc. v. Mizerota
509248/25 Faxa Inc. v. Plaza Const. LLC
539952/25 Gambarian v. Starovoyt
518775/25 Georgiades Brothers Rlty. v. Ellis Equities
518351/23 Gis Assets LLC v. Klein
533424/24 Global Merchant Cash Inc. D/b/a Wall St. Funding v. Ocgp Hldgs.
513225/20 Herkimer Gardens LLC v. Gm Excavation And Const. Corp. Et Al
538051/25 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Allcare Medical Services
541706/25 Iglesia Pentecostal Unida Hispana De Bklyn., Inc. v. Cr Myrtle Properties
519957/20 Kats v. Raziyev
501037/17 Old Republic Nat. Title v. Leibler
524604/25 Parkview Advance LLC v. Torre Fuerte Ins. Inc Et Al
513984/21 Phipps v. Kuonen
536767/25 Sinclair Funding Group, Inc. v. Halligan Services LLC D/b/a Halligan Services Et Al
533615/24 U-Haul Co. of NY And Vermont, Inc. Et Al v. Aaron
540478/25 Vance Associates LLC v. One Flatbush Ave. Prop.
540672/25 Velocity Capital Group LLC v. American Bldg. Prods.
545182/25 Vigilante Sr. v. Vigilante Jr.

Motion
507118/26 Abreu v. Ahmad
510149/15 Azrak v. Carter Enterprises LLC
518985/19 Bais Yaakov of Bklyn. v. Yeshiva Torah Vodaath, Inc., Et Al
530713/23 Big City Outdoor LLC v. Jtre 23 Ws LLC Et Al
523589/22 Bukobza v. Buzhaker
509248/25 Faxa Inc. v. Plaza Const. LLC
539952/25 Gambarian v. Starovoyt
518775/25 Georgiades Brothers Rlty. v. Ellis Equities
518351/23 Gis Assets LLC v. Klein
533424/24 Global Merchant Cash Inc. D/b/a Wall St. Funding v. Ocgp Hldgs.
513225/20 Herkimer Gardens LLC v. Gm Excavation And Const. Corp. Et Al
538051/25 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Allcare Medical Services
541706/25 Iglesia Pentecostal Unida Hispana De Bklyn., Inc. v. Cr Myrtle Properties
519957/20 Kats v. Raziyev
501037/17 Old Republic Nat. Title v. Leibler
524604/25 Parkview Advance LLC v. Torre Fuerte Ins. Inc Et Al
536767/25 Sinclair Funding Group, Inc. v. Halligan Services LLC D/b/a Halligan Services Et Al
533615/24 U-Haul Co. of NY And Vermont, Inc. Et Al v. Aaron
540478/25 Vance Associates LLC v. One Flatbush Ave. Prop.
540672/25 Velocity Capital Group LLC v. American Bldg. Prods.
545182/25 Vigilante Sr. v. Vigilante Jr.

Med Mal Trial Readiness Part
Justice Ellen M. Spodek
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1620
Room 723

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 5
320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 6
Justice Genine D. Edwards
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9799
Courtroom 775

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
524029/19 217 Rockaway Developers NY LLC v. George
516808/22 936 Kings Hwy. Funding LLC v. Y & L Rlty. #1 Corp Et Al
5466/09 Avh East Corp. v. Hart & Myrtle Auto Ltd
504188/21 Bankunited v. Nancy Fancy 10
518739/19 Bklyn. Tabernacle v. Thor 180 Livingston LLC
506758/16 Bushwick Partners Rlty. LLC v. Flushing Stewart LLC
506275/19 Calamia v. Diaz
12605/15 Dabney v. Western Beef Retail Inc.
508144/25 Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Americas v. Mellon Mgt.
523161/24 Enriched Home Care Agency, Inc. v. Wage Parity Experts
509436/23 Evurich Pitkin LLC v. U.S. Bank Trust
534093/25 Finwise Bank v. Ls Auto Body & Repair Shop LLC Et Al
508697/25 Four Leaf Fed. Credit Union F/k/a Bethpage Fed. Credit Union v. Cooper Highland Rlty. 295 LLC Et Al
502793/17 Garner v. Benchabbat
509621/19 Giaimo v. Sunrise Senior Living
535945/22 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Toju Rlty. Corp. Et Al
511085/14 Lipari v. 2121 Shore Condominium
511604/23 Liquidibee 1 LLC v. Alrod Logistics Inc Et Al
522015/19 NYCTL 1998-2 Trust v. Schwartz
506374/15 Ollivierre v. Strictly Unique Mgt.
523154/17 Palache v. Campbell-Greenwood
168/26 Patterson v. NYCHA
510082/17 Rollins v. Rimpel
508761/17 Shuler v. Armas
513440/15 Spetner v. Dan
507847/25 Td Bank v. 146 Linden Blvd. Et Al
526656/21 Toorak Capital Partners LLC v. Xyz 1535 Pacific LLC Et Al
526663/21 Toorak Capital Partners LLC v. Xyz 42 Van Buren LLC Et Al
18063/11 Torres v. Nicholson
500796/21 Turapova v. Matcharashvili
504492/23 Umb Bank v. The Taureau Group Inc.
510735/22 Vailes v. Ht-Jericho Ltd. Partnership Et Al
507559/22 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1113 Jefferson LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
501488/20 Diamantopoulos v. Orefice
509129/21 R. v. Montenegro
537903/22 Toorak Capital Partners v. 79 Vanderbilt LLC

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 7
Justice Consuelo Mallafre Melendez
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9405
Courtroom 561

Default Judgment Motion Part
360 Adams Street
Courtroom TBA

THURSDAY, APRIL 23

Motion
525025/23 Nostrand Place 2 v. Rosner

Jury Coordinating Part
Justice Kenneth P. Sherman
360 Adams Street
Courtroom 224
347-296-1771

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
537644/23 Aiken v. Trinchetta
524764/23 Alexander v. Tobarmejia
507754/19 Alli v. Jerry Uduevbo Md.
511585/21 Antioco v. Antioco
513062/20 Apolinaris v. Ghally
501250/22 Arce v. Atewogboye
509859/23 Ashby v. Poseidon NY
529011/22 Babaeva v. Harvard II Rlty. LLC
504769/25 Badr v. 7602 5th Ave LLC
509829/18 Barron v. Kelly
509419/23 Bass v. Macalde
514661/21 Bhagwandeen v. Liana
504766/21 Borges Pereira v. 38 Stratford Hldgs. LLC Et Al
527618/22 Burgess v. Excell Communications, Inc. Et Al
515072/19 Cabrera Vasquez v. North 8 Hldgs. LLC
504181/23 Carrasco Yanes v. 37th St. Hldgs. LLC Et Al
508825/19 Chein v. Rite Aid of New York, Inc.
519087/23 Cohen v. Monsey New Square Trails Corp. Et Al
516135/22 Covington v. Lopez
528407/23 Crawford v. Sheares
517700/22 Croal v. Romero
507833/22 Cruz v. Farrell
510205/20 De La Cruz Ventura v. Tristate Crane LLC
523487/23 Diaz v. Ali
525987/21 Douglas v. Sdr Trucking
520426/20 English v. Frake
536434/23 Espinal v. Scott
505521/15 Estate of Dora Veytsman v. Hamilton Park Nursing
503930/23 Evans v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
529125/21 Fairweather v. Livingston Owner
504943/24 Fajnland v. C.Y. Empire Corp. Et Al
507435/21 Florentino v. 1223 Bushwick LLC
502085/21 Forte v. Brown
521043/22 Fryar v. Gianfia Corp. Et Al
502763/22 Garcia v. Rohit
516175/21 Gewirtzman v. Jewish Board of Family And Children's Services
524585/21 Gillyard v. Reznick
531799/21 Girgenti v. Venezia
501488/24 Grant v. Ojpe Corp Et Al
529520/23 Gulliver v. Mobin
510364/22 Hayslett v. Melmo Trans LLC Et Al
501490/23 Jacques v. Cabrera
509158/22 Janz v. C.A.C. Industries Inc. Et Al
507458/20 Jean-Etienne v. Cargin
504195/22 Johnson v. Camba Inc. Et Al
512479/22 Jones v. Joseph
500618/19 Joseph v. Blake
522055/23 Juillet v. Vucetovic
508404/22 Kelly v. 3602j Owners Corp.
530572/21 Khan v. Cozy Cab Corp. Et Al
520724/16 Khan v. Kozlenko
520134/20 Khmelmytska v. NYC Et Al
519309/19 Klimaszewska v. Goldstein
503179/22 Krybus v. Mmg Prop. Hldgs. LLC Et Al
517198/20 Kulygina v. Bonello
513481/23 Leandre v. NYCTA Et Al
508395/24 Lee v. Allstar Automotive Corp Et Al
511466/21 Lewis v. Charles
511718/22 Lopez v. Custom Landscaping & Lawn Care Inc. Et Al
500987/18 Louis Joachim v. Gibson
4093/15 Lugo v. Marcus Garvey Residential
521489/19 Lustig v. Brown
507662/20 Manchimov v. 186n6 Owner LLC Et Al
510614/20 Marks v. Alliance Health Operations
503953/23 Marmol v. Tov Mgt. Corp. Et Al
522577/20 Massie-Bulone v. Kallergis
529643/23 McCall v. Smith
506481/21 Merlin Rouse v. Tichenor
517006/22 Miselevich v. Vaysberg
515274/21 Mohammed v. Go Bus
510775/20 Moina v. Matress Direct Inc
505027/23 Morales v. NYCHA
523540/21 Morales v. Ilin
507881/18 Nelson v. Bklyn. Hosp. Center
520013/24 Numanov v. Lindor
506075/22 Orimabogunje v. Attique
523360/23 Osmond v. Rosenberg
508070/18 P. v. Garcondorvil
532307/23 Paulino v. Beltre
506045/20 Peralta Tiburcio v. Banks
530361/23 Perlstein v. Casey
525982/19 Pertsovskiy v. Dark Horse Hosp.ity LLC
522784/23 Peskoff v. Revel Transit Inc. Et Al
504557/23 Phillips v. Cortelyou 2902 LLC
503341/21 Pyatigorskaya v. Borisova
516254/17 Rafael A. Coronel v. Indra Chandra
504482/23 Reichman v. 104 Pierrepont Owners Corp. Et Al
503405/19 Reiley v. New Force Const. Corp
525268/21 Reyes v. 300 South Rlty. Corp Et Al
520599/22 Richard McDougal v. Cooks
500622/24 Rivas v. Sanchez
522818/21 Rivera v. Wicik
512561/19 Riveras-Cruz v. Goncalves
510134/24 Ross v. Sidawy
509706/20 Rybak v. Hallen Const. Co.
529647/22 S v. All My Children Day Care And Nursery School Et Al
503035/22 Santos Marte v. Cypress Hills Cemetery
504705/24 Sawyers-Richards v. Congregation Lubavitch of East Flatbush Inc.
527910/22 Seda v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
524413/17 Shepard v. Thamar Corp.
515842/17 Shuster v. 56 Leonard LLC
503578/22 Simons v. Herskowitz
510522/22 Sinclair v. Union Leasing Trust Et Al
525729/23 Skeete v. Munoz Uribe
514042/18 Smith v. C&C Ambulette Service Corp.
510726/24 Spatola v. Crescent Car & Limo, Inc. Et Al
501573/22 St. John's Spiritual Baptist Church v. Cox
512954/21 Stanton v. Desrosiers
536643/22 Stavinsky v. Gio & Gabo Inc. Et Al
509807/24 Stowe v. Alazzi
520744/18 Sukhachova v. King's Gourmet Food Corp.
524583/20 Sydney v. Fitzroy
504224/22 Tannis v. Gonzalez
515803/20 Taylor v. Turjeman
535499/23 Torres v. Sinai I Inc. Et Al
526315/22 Vashkur v. Diallo
503493/20 Veronica Davis As The Executor of The Estate of Lawson Davis v. NY Congregational Nursing Center
526488/20 Victoria v. Cayambe
525495/18 Walker v. Latchman
504682/19 Warren v. Dhaiti
503457/24 Weine v. Spuyten Duyvil LLC Et Al
507628/18 William Harvin v. Jvr Cooling And Heating Inc

Motion
507754/19 Alli v. Jerry Uduevbo Md.
511585/21 Antioco v. Antioco
509859/23 Ashby v. Poseidon NY
529011/22 Babaeva v. Harvard II Rlty. LLC
515072/19 Cabrera Vasquez v. North 8 Hldgs. LLC
508825/19 Chein v. Rite Aid of New York, Inc.
510205/20 De La Cruz Ventura v. Tristate Crane LLC
525987/21 Douglas v. Sdr Trucking
505521/15 Estate of Dora Veytsman v. Hamilton Park Nursing
507435/21 Florentino v. 1223 Bushwick LLC
531799/21 Girgenti v. Venezia
500618/19 Joseph v. Blake
520724/16 Khan v. Kozlenko
519309/19 Klimaszewska v. Goldstein
511466/21 Lewis v. Charles
4093/15 Lugo v. Marcus Garvey Residential
506481/21 Merlin Rouse v. Tichenor
510775/20 Moina v. Matress Direct Inc
525268/21 Reyes v. 300 South Rlty. Corp Et Al
520599/22 Richard McDougal v. Cooks
512561/19 Riveras-Cruz v. Goncalves
503035/22 Santos Marte v. Cypress Hills Cemetery
520744/18 Sukhachova v. King's Gourmet Food Corp.
515803/20 Taylor v. Turjeman
520448/20 Victoria v. Cayambe
507628/18 William Harvin v. Jvr Cooling And Heating Inc

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
528101/23 Akunna v. Montanino
527290/23 Alamo v. Guo
505738/21 Allicock v. Canales Transportation Corp. Et Al
501338/23 Ambroise v. Uber Technologies Inc. Et Al
520879/24 Andrew v. Odunze
520598/21 Antonio Santiago v. 875 4th Ave. Acquisition LLC
525346/22 Arroyo v. Ec A1 Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
515728/23 Ayala v. Kurkowski
517271/24 Baker v. Alam
503777/20 Barlotta v. Uber Technologies Inc Et Al
520422/24 Bazarova v. The Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
524892/18 Beltrani v. Truck Lea Gabrielli
511634/23 Benitez v. Hayes
513164/24 Berthold v. Hossain
526930/21 Biba Orellana v. 775 Washington Opco LLC Et Al
501372/23 Blount v. Atkins USA LLC
509345/23 Bolden v. Afzal
511583/23 Bolivard v. Edge Limo, Inc. Et Al
504792/23 Boswell v. NYU Langone Health Et Al
504082/24 Cameau v. Whyte
531217/21 Castro v. Ashfaq
532927/22 Coelho v. Juns Const. Inc. Et Al
514015/20 Conroy v. Jacob
505457/22 Cordoba Carvajal v. Morales
533451/23 De Marte v. Allied Transit Corp. Et Al
532553/22 Delgado Chavez v. 500 Kingsland Ave.
521181/18 Diaz v. Pastrana
516897/20 Diaz v. The NYCHA Et Al
519604/22 Dimatteo v. Rosales
513497/23 Dominguez v. Elancieux
511740/22 Espinal v. Szaniszlo
523236/24 Ettinger v. Blachman
525431/18 Filenger v. Maimonides Medical Center
501172/15 Fronc v. Holloway
523444/20 Garcia Arenas v. 625 Warren LLC Et Al
531799/21 Girgenti v. Venezia
536070/22 Goduadze v. Oriole Rlty. Corp.
506624/23 Gomez v. Ya Jiang Rlty. LLC Et Al
530970/21 Gonzalez v. Livingston Owner LLC
521325/21 Hector v. Protek Locating, Inc. Et Al
518222/23 Hernandez v. Garcia
509018/24 Huaranga v. Sarr
502964/23 Javeria v. 24 Ave Car Service, Inc. Et Al
505410/23 Johnson v. Singh
506497/23 Kelly v. Bennett
506768/21 Kennedy v. S&K Distribution Et Al
504237/22 Khabinskiy v. John
533568/23 Khasanov v. Pena
517647/20 Kifayeh v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
522985/22 King-Blake v. Lyft Inc. Et Al
512386/23 Klar v. Centeno
521991/20 Layne v. Djuraev
520409/22 Li v. McCalman
516429/20 Lin v. Qlr Two Inc. Et Al
502818/24 Makary v. Chen
503300/24 Mamian Palacios v. Lao
507603/23 Marquez Briceno v. Morse
511003/21 Mason v. Collymore
513346/23 McEwan v. Hira
525564/23 Mejia Malera v. Tmm Express Inc Et Al
529873/22 Mejia Sanchez v. Ana's Opus Ltd. Et Al
502761/21 Miah v. 328-334 8th Ave.
504402/22 Miller Jr v. Durran
505828/20 Mills v. Moore
528464/22 Mitchell v. 130 Adelphi Housing
511995/21 Molina-Sarmiento v. Pizzarotti
523814/24 Moreland v. Bah
515158/23 Munroe v. One Lenox LLC
524338/24 Nelson v. Baker
517923/22 Paine v. Reiger Taxi 9 LLC Et Al
4835/09 Plaza v. Lee
502909/23 Presentacion-Echevarria v. Y.M. Express Inc. Et Al
502431/21 Quintana v. Ohel Children's Home And Family Services, Inc. Et Al
517168/24 Rajkumar v. Gambino
513886/22 Ramseur v. Black Horse Carriers LLC Et Al
501493/22 Rosario v. Donato Plumbing Inc Et Al
533005/22 Russo v. Udegbunam
522387/23 Sabatino v. Paulino Sanchez
504949/22 Samuylov v. Espinoza
502940/24 Sandhaus v. Geico Ins. Co.
524521/23 Saunders v. Dennis
520160/24 Shanghie v. Sultan
511952/20 Stein v. Yeshiva Bnos Ahavas Israel Viznitz Et Al
535230/22 Thomas v. Bentances
508128/23 Torres v. Garabito
524638/20 Trushchev v. Elmoutaoukil
502899/23 Urman v. Izsak
514761/24 Wheeler v. Rahimov
515591/22 White v. Metro. Paper Recycling, Inc. Et Al
504117/24 Wilson v. Konate
514029/24 Yosef v. Hayat

Non-Jury Trial Readiness Part
Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Courtroom 774

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
515068/23 Harris v. Holder

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
508819/21 Ambush Alarm And Electronics, Inc. v. Malayev
521367/17 Axt v. Salomon Hldgs. LLC
521837/20 Salomon Hldgs. LLC v. Axt

City Trial Readiness Part
Justice Donald S. Kurtz
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1596
Courtroom 480, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
524521/19 Anderson v. NYCTA
524442/23 Ayers v. NYCTA Et Al
515058/19 Contreras v. Shah
508059/22 Echevarria v. NYCTA
524623/23 Franklin v. NYCTA Et Al
515043/19 Gauze v. The NYCTA
524030/21 Heredia v. NYCTA Et Al
507562/21 Loduca v. Williams
516671/19 Morales v. NYCTA
520887/21 Navasardyan v. NYCTA Auth., Metro. Transportation Auth., NYC Depratment of Sanitation, NYC Dept. of Transportation, NYC, Servpro of Long Island City, Dljd Inc. And Home Clean Home Inc.
508602/23 Sansone v. NYCTA Et Al
504196/15 Stuart v. NYCTA
505779/22 Suglam v. NYCTA Et Al
527060/22 Wiltshire v. Fox Stephan

Central Compliance Part
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1626
Courtroom 282

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
3331/19 543 Lexington Ave. LLC v. Davis
527429/23 Adjmi v. Odzer
508778/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Health Nexus Inc. Et Al
504253/25 An Infant v. Pknyc Inc.
506589/25 Andino v. Howard Ave. Associates
515925/18 Augustine v. Guildnet, Inc.
529843/24 Babb v. 271 Parkside LLC Et Al
529110/24 Barrafato v. Rite Aid of New York, Inc. Et Al
505482/23 Basnight Trucking, Inc. v. Int'l Truck Repair
517218/25 Bautista v. John Doe Et Al
533509/24 Bobokulov v. Bonilla
537650/23 Brito Villafana v. Sanchez
529408/23 Brooks v. Prophete
505737/25 Bukulova v. Welarathna
534320/24 Busingye v. Ahsan
500314/25 Cabrera v. Njl Auto Inc Et Al
510271/25 Camacho v. 296 B'way. Rlty. LLC Et Al
533718/24 Catello v. Modebadze
516328/25 Chasiguasin Nacipucha v. Singh
533916/22 Collective Brokers v. James
528404/24 Cora v. Kone Inc.
517474/25 Coronel Peralta v. Martinez Hidalgo
505298/25 Crookendale v. Sun River Health Inc. Et Al
500547/25 Cruz v. Rahman
534998/24 Daley v. Bms Battrey, Inc. Et Al
519952/25 Dangadze v. C. Francis Const. Corp. Et Al
517066/25 Desire v. Jay in Myrthi
511566/25 Dume v. Cantey-Moise
511224/25 Dunn v. All Right Laundromat Et Al
529106/23 Ebanks v. 509 Saratoga LLC Et Al
502392/25 Evbaghru v. Chowdhury
515669/25 Favelyukis v. Gateway Center Properties Phase II Owner LLC
534586/24 Fenix Capital Funding v. Maritza Wyche-Smith /dba Ritz Walton Wedding Collection/the Ritzwalton Wedding Collection/ ritz Walton Collection Et Al
527139/24 Fletcher v. Lorna Rawle As The Trustee of Lorna Rawle Revocable Trust Et Al
511025/25 Gao v. Golab
520924/23 Global Merchant Processing LLC v. Xshiendrez Inc Et Al
511642/25 Great City Plumbing Inc. Et Al v. President Sai
515068/23 Harris v. Holder
522535/23 Henry And v. NYCHA Et Al
534984/24 Hester v. Hester
508396/22 Huang v. Lala Glam Spa Inc. Et Al
508369/13 Jane Blyke And Leonid Kuvykin v. NY Community Hosp.
529222/24 Jean-Louis v. Crescent Car & Limo Inc Et Al
501773/25 Jones v. Afzaul
493/24 Joshua v. Derlis
506400/25 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Skori
529458/24 Kakhniashvili v. Etienne
534899/24 Khaydarov v. Bonilla
526880/24 Lord v. Chama Hldg. Corp.
515865/24 Luna v. Westbury Flats LLC Et Al
519593/25 Lvnv Funding LLC v. Blair
520223/24 Lynch v. NYCHA Et Al
524597/24 Makhmudov v. Kolesnikov Trucking Inc Et Al
525480/23 Martinez-Marino v. Lamaj
527140/23 Matthews v. Sacco
527947/19 Mendez v. Aka Madelon Weider
500696/23 Nagy v. 642 Lee Rlty.
514908/25 Neelon v. Hutchinson
505446/25 Nesbitt v. NYC Et Al
523665/23 Nickle v. Matthews
505748/25 Osadebamwen v. Old Republic Ins. Co.
528754/24 Pagan v. Word of Mouth Home Improvement Contractors
527728/23 Phoenix Bldg. Supply Inc. v. Pasenti, Inc. Et Al
538843/25 Pierre v. Elrac
520090/24 Rodilies Muniz v. Keller
509823/24 Rodriguez v. Lacy
503793/23 Sambula v. Modi Rlty. Inc. Et Al
507932/24 Sanders-Peay v. Milestone Restoration, Inc. Et Al
534720/23 Sealey v. Morlamorales
511684/25 Sharapova v. Reizis Rlty. Corp. Et Al
506756/25 Shaxobiddinov v. Fedex Ground Package System Inc Et Al
510309/25 Smetanin v. Rabinowitz
526179/24 St. Louis v. C F & Bros Transportation Et Al
519921/21 Steve Jackson v. Mhany 2013 Hldgs. Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
506989/25 Tukvadze v. Matone
526434/24 Weiss v. New Rez
521212/25 Wynwood Capital Group LLC v. Freight Dispatch Service Inc Et Al
521181/24 Yahya v. Porsena
504657/23 Yard v. Najjar
506443/25 Zaizouny v. Szklarz

Motion
513483/20 Abouhegab v. Zafrani
523550/22 Adames Reyes v. 366 Jb Rlty. LLC Et Al
510650/25 Ahmad v. Shimko
522371/22 Almonte Ramos v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al
518410/19 Aminov v. Unizo Real Estate NY One
509447/22 Asaraii v. Pioneer Laundromat
522731/22 Avila Nixon v. Luna
522947/25 Awais v. Marcelin
527987/23 Brown v. NYCHA
516485/21 Callender v. Rutland Road Houses
533530/23 Campbell v. Newyork-Presbyterian Bklyn. Methodist Hosp.
2075/19 Case v. Brookdale Hosp. Medical Center
503706/20 Castillo v. Nos Rlty. LLC
505668/24 Chernyaev v. Macys Inc.
532856/23 Clarke v. Meadowwood At Gateway Condominium
525959/23 Cumberbatch v. Healthquest Health Center LLC Et Al
526439/21 Cunningham v. Okafor
511203/23 Darisme v. Uber Technologies Inc., Et Al
521649/23 De Jesus Villegus v. Elghanayan
520132/24 Demyanovich v. Levit M.D.
521286/22 Domingo Escobar v. Toyota Lease Trust Et Al
507941/24 Duran Rosado v. Gillies
506648/21 Erazo v. 70 Schermerhorn Owners
513334/24 Espinosa Ramirez v. NYCHA Et Al
563/23 Felix v. Travieso
510947/22 Fletcher v. Macy's, Inc. Et Al
519533/20 Gennuso v. Joseph
506500/23 Guachichulca v. Amazon Logistics Inc. Et Al
518673/22 Gulley v. Aldi, Inc. Et Al
526464/24 H v. 2935 Op LLC
512484/23 Hernandez v. Madison Security Group, Inc. Et Al
515236/24 Hurtado Gutierrez v. Gowanus President Owner
506173/23 Idelfonso v. Gtway Inc. Et Al
528132/23 Johnson v. Port Orchard Corp. Et Al
522949/19 Khakian v. Machado
516260/22 Lontsi v. The Hallen Const. Co., Inc. Et Al
534958/22 Maher v. Metro. Ave. Associates Inc.
533174/21 Martinez v. Khokhar
530047/21 Munroe v. The Schulman And Schachne Institute For Nursing And Rehabilitation, Inc. Et Al
507924/25 Neilstrong v. Seput
523212/22 Noblecilla v. Fortis Dumbo Acquisition
502805/22 Parker v. Flores
155/22 Rickles v. Leader
519868/22 Riggs v. Woodbury 136 LLC
504777/22 Rodriguez Santos De Veras v. Best Trails And Travel Corp. Et Al
523856/18 Rodriguez v. Ti Ozone Park Storage LLC
26213/11 Scale v. Yuric Cab Corp.
524314/23 Sicajan Choch v. Harrison Rlty. LLC Et Al
532918/22 Similcar v. Neighborhood Restore Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
519841/20 St. Jules v. Matthews
518106/20 T v. Gershkovich Md
510530/24 Torres v. Artisan Podiatry
516361/23 Uddin v. Guerra
408/23 Vogel v. Corporan
797/22 Warner v. Medical Arts Sanitarium, Inc.
528918/24 Warren v. Herring
510999/23 Watson v. Unique Freight Lines
521971/21 Yusupov v. Cruz Munoz

FRIDAY, APRIL 24

Motion
523647/19 Alvarado v. Shoe Show, Inc.
510510/21 Bandilli v. Sheyngaru
520406/22 Bell v. Cushman & Wakefield, Inc. Et Al
521012/23 Borbor Cevallos v. Yc Atlantic LLC Et Al
535945/23 Brodzeli v. 3075
514836/22 Burnett v. Linden Boulevard Properties LLC
508171/22 Campos v. Jacobs Dev. Corp. Et Al
530600/24 Cano v. Certified Carting Et Al
529831/21 Cheek v. Pirri Jr.
508452/25 Cowen v. Budai
531925/24 Crespo v. Pratt Institute
501982/22 Cunningham v. Fedex Ground Package System, Inc. D/b/a Fedex Ground Et Al
536395/23 Davila v. Cp Vii Llc Owner
520491/23 Diaz Milano v. Chowdhury
503122/19 Ferreira v. Neptune South Commercial LLC
505648/23 Flores v. Target Const. LLC Et Al
536210/23 Fraser v. Zammit
504234/24 Gomez v. Khaykin
512024/20 Guillaume v. Brown
509241/23 Hessenthaler v. Linden Rlty. Group
516759/23 Ingram v. House Nicholas
507096/23 Investment Science v. Bank of America
510471/20 J.P. An Infant By Her Father v. Agbim
523222/23 Jaikaran v. Ernesto
516219/24 Joseph v. McNulty
518971/25 Khan v. Nieto
510906/24 Lashley v. Wga Transit LLC Et Al
518821/19 Lebedowicz v. Judlau Contracting, Inc.
537806/25 Lo v. Ng
528075/19 Lopez v. Tress
526154/24 Luna v. Btg Const.
535830/25 Mamatkulov v. Ezgo Inc. Et Al
504584/24 Mathurin v. Madera
524228/18 McCarter v. Ogiesoba
503290/25 Miles v. Meziane
506992/25 Nivar Vasquez v. Myrtle Choice LLC
506460/22 Pasochoa Chisag v. Global 1845 B'way. LLC Et Al
533431/23 Rodriguez v. Pulaski Homes LLC Et Al
527559/19 Shmukler v. 180 Madison Prisa II LLC Et Al
500537/20 Soriano Vasquez v. Paccione M.D.
513654/22 St. Louis v. Revishvili
503754/24 Thompson v. Morelcastillo
515756/24 Toshpulotov v. Alvarado Espinal
536749/23 Villegas v. 2864 Atlantic Rlty. LLC Et Al
522644/23 Vivas v. NYC Et Al
518519/19 Williamsel v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
522135/24 Wynn v. Butt M.D.
502779/23 Zhindon Rivera v. The Roman Catholic Church of St. John The Baptist Et Al

Foreclosure Res Part 1
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1104
Courtroom 756

Foreclosure Res Part 2
Justice Mark Partnow
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1656
Courtroom 441

Foreclosure Part Conf. A
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9124
Courtroom 361

Part 2
Justice Aaron D. Maslow
320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
514893/18 A. v. Inner Force Tots Inc.
501338/23 Ambroise v. Uber Technologies Inc. Et Al
502931/17 Mushkudiani v. Racanelli Const. Group

Motion
501338/23 Ambroise v. Uber Technologies Inc. Et Al
502931/17 Mushkudiani v. Racanelli Const. Group

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
504031/26 2104 Fulton v. Yameogo
632/22 252 Norman Ave. Tenants Assoc. v. Hoffman
538903/25 Alliant Credit Union v. Karmel
285/26 Barnett v. Hassan
507196/26 Board of Mgrs. of The Meadowwood At Gateway Condominium v. Marks
532036/22 Callahan Jr. v. The Home Depot Et Al
517134/23 Clearstone Fund LLC v. Renew All Const. & Repair LLC Et Al
526624/25 Covizon v. Kreutzer
523289/22 De Souza v. B Contractors Group
508516/26 Deutsch v. Berliner
528692/24 Fitzgerald v. Wise
518620/23 Global Merchant Cash Inc. D/b/a Wall St. Funding v. R Davis Services Inc D/b/a Maxx A/c & Heating Et Al
525987/25 Goldtop LLC v. Kellius
542582/25 Green Valley v. Clean Build Restoration LLC Et Al
543125/25 Harris v. The Bklyn. Union Gas Co. Et Al
525710/23 Hernandez v. Sileo
509241/23 Hessenthaler v. Linden Rlty. Group
508453/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Truist Bank
513857/25 Integon Indemnity Corp. Et Al v. Garcia
521963/25 Itria Ventures LLC v. Ann Marie Zagha Dba Legacy Reality And Funding Et Al
501391/26 Itty v. Varughese
526798/25 Jiang v. Sun
520446/25 Joseph v. Cardoso
529029/25 Kerr v. Shiam
525032/23 Khalilov v. Nightwell Logistics, Inc. Et Al
519249/23 Lindo v. Danino
518125/24 Mapp v. Kingstone Ins. Co.
526557/25 Mediterranean Shipping Co. (USA) Inc. v. Ganiu
528278/22 Metivier v. Dream Ride Inc Et Al
511422/25 Moussignac v. Mama Prop. LLC Et Al
512576/22 Noel v. Noel
544661/25 North Valley Precision LLC Et Al v. Overton Funding LLC
506245/25 Portillo v. Jorayev
531408/25 Rafailov v. United Parcel Service Inc Et Al
514389/24 Robinson v. NYC Loft Board Et Al
519855/24 Rodriguez Barcelo v. Queens Boulevard Rlty. Co., LLC Et Al
544554/25 Soldatov v. Kellogg
535262/23 Superb 196 Vermont LLC Et Al v. Nash
505254/20 Tsoi v. Stillwell Plumbing Supplies
527166/25 Unified Funding LLC v. Kalkan Capital USA LLC Et Al
541015/25 Vasilenko v. Shorefront Operating
522228/23 Vox Funding LLC v. Turf Partners LLC Et Al
519242/24 Walker v. Baron Auto Emporium Et Al
500330/25 Wedlock v. Salty Dog Et Al
514326/22 Zina Rhames v. Platinum Home Halth Care

Motion
504031/26 2104 Fulton v. Yameogo
632/22 252 Norman Ave. Tenants Assoc. v. Hoffman
538903/25 Alliant Credit Union v. Karmel
285/26 Barnett v. Hassan
507196/26 Board of Mgrs. of The Meadowwood At Gateway Condominium v. Marks
532036/22 Callahan Jr. v. The Home Depot Et Al
517134/23 Clearstone Fund LLC v. Renew All Const. & Repair LLC Et Al
526624/25 Covizon v. Kreutzer
523289/22 De Souza v. B Contractors Group
508516/26 Deutsch v. Berliner
528692/24 Fitzgerald v. Wise
518620/23 Global Merchant Cash Inc. D/b/a Wall St. Funding v. R Davis Services Inc D/b/a Maxx A/c & Heating Et Al
525987/25 Goldtop LLC v. Kellius
542582/25 Green Valley v. Clean Build Restoration LLC Et Al
543125/25 Harris v. The Bklyn. Union Gas Co. Et Al
525710/23 Hernandez v. Sileo
509241/23 Hessenthaler v. Linden Rlty. Group
508453/26 Highland Hill Capital LLC v. Truist Bank
513857/25 Integon Indemnity Corp. Et Al v. Garcia
521963/25 Itria Ventures LLC v. Ann Marie Zagha Dba Legacy Reality And Funding Et Al
501391/26 Itty v. Varughese
526798/25 Jiang v. Sun
520446/25 Joseph v. Cardoso
529029/25 Kerr v. Shiam
525032/23 Khalilov v. Nightwell Logistics, Inc. Et Al
519249/23 Lindo v. Danino
518125/24 Mapp v. Kingstone Ins. Co.
526557/25 Mediterranean Shipping Co. (USA) Inc. v. Ganiu
528278/22 Metivier v. Dream Ride Inc Et Al
511422/25 Moussignac v. Mama Prop. LLC Et Al
512576/22 Noel v. Noel
544661/25 North Valley Precision LLC Et Al v. Overton Funding LLC
506245/25 Portillo v. Jorayev
531408/25 Rafailov v. United Parcel Service Inc Et Al
514389/24 Robinson v. NYC Loft Board Et Al
519855/24 Rodriguez Barcelo v. Queens Boulevard Rlty. Co., LLC Et Al
544554/25 Soldatov v. Kellogg
535262/23 Superb 196 Vermont LLC Et Al v. Nash
505254/20 Tsoi v. Stillwell Plumbing Supplies
527166/25 Unified Funding LLC v. Kalkan Capital USA LLC Et Al
541015/25 Vasilenko v. Shorefront Operating
519242/24 Walker v. Baron Auto Emporium Et Al
500330/25 Wedlock v. Salty Dog Et Al
514326/22 Zina Rhames v. Platinum Home Halth Care

Part 3
Justice Kerry J. Ward
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9400
Courtroom 575, 9:30 AM

Part 4
Justice Consuelo Mallafre Melendez
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9405
Courtroom 561, 9:30 AM

Part 5A
Justice Eric I. Prus
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1646
Courtroom 938, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
551434/23 Abukar v. Arte
552283/24 Genovese v. Genovese
550212/20 Korycki v. Korycki
551899/25 Leonardo v. Mosquea
536151/18 Mark v. Bobb
504351/25 Maughn v. Pook
550152/24 Pochron v. Murphy
513042/20 Werdiger-Roth v. Roth

Conference
551434/23 Abukar v. Arte
552283/24 Genovese v. Genovese
550212/20 Korycki v. Korycki
551899/25 Leonardo v. Mosquea
504351/25 Maughn v. Pook
550152/24 Pochron v. Murphy

Motion
550212/20 Korycki v. Korycki
536151/18 Mark v. Bobb
513042/20 Werdiger-Roth v. Roth

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
550408/18 Cooley v. Cooley
550125/24 Deering v. Deering
500157/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin
550736/24 Leon v. Toussaint
553440/21 Nemorin v. Nemorin III

Conference
550408/18 Cooley v. Cooley
550125/24 Deering v. Deering
500157/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin
553440/21 Nemorin v. Nemorin III

Motion
550408/18 Cooley v. Cooley
500157/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin
550736/24 Leon v. Toussaint

Part 5F
Justice Rachel Amy Adams
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1636
Courtroom 929, 9:30 A.M.

Part 5G
Justice Jeffrey S. Sunshine
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1654
Courtroom 941, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
552138/24 Garofalo v. Del Mar Luna
550517/26 Geiger v. Geiger
552425/24 Gillespie v. Herb Gillespie

Conference
552138/24 Garofalo v. Del Mar Luna
550517/26 Geiger v. Geiger

Motion
552138/24 Garofalo v. Del Mar Luna
550517/26 Geiger v. Geiger

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
550955/23 Greenspan Zalta v. Zalta
552371/24 Leykind v. Leykind

Part 5J
Justice Theresa Ciccotto
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1632
Courtroom 961

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
552608/23 Cyrille v. Cyrille
551874/22 Gorga v. Lisi-Gorga
553350/21 Mochernyak v. Mochernyak

Motion
552608/23 Cyrille v. Cyrille
551874/22 Gorga v. Lisi-Gorga

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
551729/24 Damon v. Damon
551207/24 Forde v. Forde
553027/25 Katz v. Schleifer
552193/20 Perez v. Lam
551974/24 Theodore-Alerte v. Alerte

Conference
551729/24 Damon v. Damon
551207/24 Forde v. Forde
553027/25 Katz v. Schleifer
552193/20 Perez v. Lam
551974/24 Theodore-Alerte v. Alerte

Part 5L
Justice Lorna J. McAllister
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1572
Courtroom 974, 9:30 A.M.

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
551305/24 Jendayi v. Lacey Jr
551129/23 Payel Gupta v. Richard Garrabrant
553108/21 Simpson v. Simpson
552615/20 Venezia v. Venezia

Conference
551305/24 Jendayi v. Lacey Jr
551129/23 Payel Gupta v. Richard Garrabrant
553108/21 Simpson v. Simpson
552615/20 Venezia v. Venezia

Motion
553108/21 Simpson v. Simpson
552615/20 Venezia v. Venezia

Part 5Q
Justice Joanne D. Quinones
320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1353
Rm. 19.36

THURSDAY, APRIL 23
520364/21 Anderson v. Archdiocese of New York
520364/21 Anderson v. Archdiocese of NY
520465/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Diocese of Bklyn.

Part 6
Justice Joy Campanelli
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-404-9651
Courtroom 441, 9:30 A.M.

Part 7/22
Justice Inga M. O'Neale
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9477
Courtroom 456, 9:30 A.M

Part 8
Justice Cenceria P. Edwards
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1590
Courtroom 756

FRIDAY, APRIL 24
513898/18 Citibank N.A. As Trustee in v. Hightower
522308/17 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust v. Molyaev
525821/20 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co., As Trustee For Ameriquest Mortgage Securities Inc., Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-R10 v. Tucker
3012/08 Dlj Mortgage Capital, Inc. v. Santana
12064/13 Fed. Nat. v. Bah
509070/16 Fed. Nat. Mortgage Assoc. v. Lahijani
513194/15 Fifth Third Mortgage Co. v. Evans
510782/25 Kaiser v. Fox
524201/25 Kss v. Hua Yang Inc.

Court Calendars Continued On Page 18

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LAWJOBS.COM When results matter

#1 Global Legal Job Site
Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson **Phone:** 212.457.7850 **Email:** crobertson@alm.com

FOUNDATIONS

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF ANDREE WILDENSTEIN DORMEUIL AND ROGER DORMEUIL FOUNDATION. For the calendar year ended DECEMBER 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 80 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100, ARMONK, NEW YORK 10504 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is PAMELA CARR.
24630 a23

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF MARCIA & PHILIP ROTHBLUM FOUNDATION. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at 546 Fifth Ave, 22nd FL, New York, NY 10036 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Joyce Weidenaar.
24634 a23

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF THE JOHN & ANNA MARIA PHILLIPS FOUNDATION. For the calendar year ended DECEMBER 31, 2025 is available at its principal office located at DEGAETANO & CARR, ESQ., 80 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE, ARMONK, NEW YORK 10504 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is ANDREA CAIRONE.
24628 a23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

GOLTZ PSYCHIATRY, PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/10/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 2 Shatel Road, Plainview, NY 11803. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Medicine.
23917 a9-Th my14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HANNAH KRYSTAL MD, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/6/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to Hannah Lauren Krystal, MD, 145 West 67th St, New York, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful act.
23580 A02 Th My07

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SCULPTED SPIRIT MENTAL HEALTH COUNSELING, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/14/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 169 Madison Ave, Ste 15830, New York, NY 10016. Purpose: any lawful act.
24202 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ACUPUNCTURE IN NEW YORK PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/2/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to P/B/A: 41 River Terrace, #1206, New York, NY 10282. Purpose: any lawful act.
19654 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Herbie Law PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/25/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 413 W 14th Street, Suite 200, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act.
22728 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Liam Reilly Psychology, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to P/B/A: 127 W 96th St. Suite 1B, New York, NY 10025. Purpose: any lawful act.
22644 M19 Th A23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

AW UNION SQUARE PARTNERS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 175 Great Neck Road, Ste 407, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24261 a16-Th my21

ARDEN REALTY, L.L.C.. Filed with SSNY on 03/22/1995. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1274 49TH ST, PMB #164, BROOKLYN, NY 11219. Purpose: Any Lawful
24619 a23-Th my28

AW UNION SQUARE PROMOTE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 175 Great Neck Road, Ste 407, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24262 a16-Th my21

BEYOND MOTHERHOOD LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/06/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 488 Madison Avenue, 23rd Floor, Attn: Barry Posner, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24252 a16-Th my21

HICKSVILLE FOOD BG LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/14/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 272 N Broadway, Hicksville, NY 11801. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24241 a16-Th my21

SILVER LINDEN RESIDENTIAL LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/14/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 43 Wisteria Ave., Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24240 a16-Th my21

TWIN PINES HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/07/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 7600 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 402, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any lawful purpose
24250 a16-Th my21

1832 NARRAGANSETT AVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/2026. Office loc: Bronx County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Minh Phuong Bui, 6828 Ingram Street, Forest Hills, NY 11375. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24584 a23-Th my28

5698 SANTIAGO CIRCLE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/15/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 4 Pine Drive, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24653 a23-Th my28

AD CORNERSTONE DEVELOPMENT LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/14/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 100 Old Country Road, Suite 103, Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24579 a23-Th my28

ASIAN COMMUNITY INSURANCE BROKERAGE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/25/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Ting Ye, 20 West 33rd Street, 6th Fl., Ste#2006A, NY, NY 10001. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24586 a23-Th my28

COUSIN ANNE'S CANINE CLUB LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/17/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 332 E. 93RD STREET, APT. 1D, NEW YORK, NY 10128. Purpose: Any Lawful
24613 a23-Th my28

DLC ESTHETICS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/03/2026. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 120 ELGAR PL APT 27C, BRONX, NY 10475. Purpose: Any Lawful
24621 a23-Th my28

DOT DISTRIBUTION LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: David Yiu Kwong Fong, 208 Melbourne Road, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24582 a23-Th my28

HEIGHTS ESTATES LLC. Filed with SSNY on 01/26/2004. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1274 49TH ST., STE 164, BROOKLYN, NY 11219. Purpose: Any Lawful
24610 a23-Th my28

HOUSE OF SYNTAX LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 28 LIBERTY STREET, FL 35, NEW YORK, NY 10005. Purpose: Any Lawful
24611 a23-Th my28

HUDSON HERITAGE HOLDINGS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/15/26. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 30 Park Place, Apartment 52C, New York, NY 10007. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24651 a23-Th my28

KCR FOREVER REALTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 19 Maple St, Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24654 a23-Th my28

LAVRICH ADVISORY LLC. Filed with SSNY on 03/10/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 10 WEST END AVE, APT #7G, NEW YORK, NY 10023. Purpose: Any Lawful
24616 a23-Th my28

MBI NY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/02/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 3512 Bay Ct, Seaford, NY 11783. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24585 a23-Th my28

M&D JOSEPHS REALTY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/01/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 44 Secor Road, Scarsdale, NY 10583. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24593 a23-Th my28

NDL 305 LLC Art of Org. filed with the SSNY on 12/01/2025. Office: NEW YORK COUNTY. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, ZENBUSINESS INC., 41 STATE STREET, SUITE 112, ALBANY, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24614 A23 Th My28

POST AVENUE, L.L.C.. Filed with SSNY on 03/20/1995. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1274 49TH ST, PMB #164, BROOKLYN, NY 11219. Purpose: Any Lawful
24617 a23-Th my28

SUNVIEW REALTY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/21/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Pjeter Lelcaj, 31 Durst Place, Yonkers, NY 10704. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
24592 a23-Th my28

TAUMAN HOLDINGS 19F LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 2/26/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 45 Parker St., Lexington, MA 02421-4917. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. Principal business loc: 175 West 95th St., NY, NY 10025.
24590 a23-Th my28

THOMPSON 65 REALTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/14/26. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 215 Devoe Avenue, Yonkers, NY 10705. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
24649 a23-Th my28

TriHaus RE, LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 11 N Moore St, New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act.
24587 a23-Th my28

KRS ACCOUNTING SERVICES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/23/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 10 Linwood Avenue, Farmingdale, NY 11735. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23642 a2-Th my7

New York Law Journal assumes no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

VICARIOUS HOSPITALITY GROUP, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/19/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 12 North Park Avenue, Rockville Centre, NY 11570. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23641 a2-Th my7

803-807 MNR LLC Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 4/1/2026. Off. Loc.: NASSAU Co. SSNY desig. As agt. upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 813 Middle Neck Road, Great Neck, NY 11023. General Purposes.
23904 a9-Th my14

DRAGONS PATH TAE KWON DO - HAPKIDO ACADEMY, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/18/10. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, Parkway Station, P.O. Box 622462, Bronx, NY 10462. Purpose: Any lawful purpose
23920 a9-Th my14

FESTEVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/07/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 42 West 39th Street, 6th Fl, NY, NY 10018. Reg Agent: John Behette, 42 West 39th Street, 6th Fl, NY, NY 10018. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23909 a9-Th my14

ONE CHANCE MEDIA STAFF LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/07/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 447 West 36th Street, Fl 4, NY, NY 10018. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23910 a9-Th my14

Simple Spaces Real Estate LLC, Arts of Org filed with SSNY on 10/18/24. Off Loc: New York County, SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of process to: The LLC, 89 Division St, New York NY 10002. Purpose: to engage in any lawful act.
23886 a9-Th my14

SMNM PROPERTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/22/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 154 Roxton Road, Plainview, NY 11803. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23921 a9-Th my14

SPRINGFIELD PROPERTY HOLDINGS 120 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/31/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 55 Dogwood Road, Searingtown, NY 11507. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23922 a9-Th my14

TRE COLLECTIVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/07/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Gabrielle Daddino, 191 Rockaway Ave., Garden City, NY 11530. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23908 a9-Th my14

WOLF PACK PARTNERS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/01/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 2640 Merrick Road, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any lawful purpose
23919 a9-Th my14

Counter Restaurant NYC LLC filed w/ SSNY 2/19/26. Off. in NY Co. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to David Pines, 136 E. 79th St, NY, NY 10075. Any lawful purpose.
24247 Apr23 th May28

ARCHADIA GROUP LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/06/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 20 Vanderventer Avenue, Suite #100 East, Port Washington, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
22817 m19-Th a23

RED LINE EQUITIES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/13/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 176 Mineola Boulevard, Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
22816 m19-Th a23

RUTHYS PEEK-A-BOO PLACE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/11/26. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 633 E 139th Street, Apartment #1, Bronx, NY 10454. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
22818 m19-Th a23

248 EAST 33 LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/2/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o Goldberg & Bokor, LLP, 505 Chestnut St, Cedarhurst, NY 11516. Purpose: any lawful act.
23213 m26-Th a30

926 ROUTE 35 EVACB LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, C/O Short Term Capital LLC, 255 Glen Cove Road, 2nd Fl, Carle Place, NY 11514. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23203 m26-Th a30

ARNNO LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/20/2026. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to, c/o Dunn Lambert, LLC, Tae Y. Cho, Esq., 15 East Midland Ave, Suite 3D, Paramus, NJ 07653, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity
23195 m26-Tha 30

BROWVALE 5247 LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 135 Woodhill Ln, Manhasset, NY 11030. Purpose: any lawful act.
23216 m26-Th a30

DG WINES LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/24/2026. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: 440 Van Brunt Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11231, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23210 m26-Th a30

EMERALD CUT CAPITAL LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Pravesh Nigam, 56W 45th St, 6th Floor, NY, NY 10018. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23202 m26-Th a30

HWY REALTY LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 2/26/26. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 3150 Rochambeau Ave., Ste. A, Bronx, NY 10467. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
23207 m26-Th a30

KARA KOHN-GARDNER LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Kara Kohn-Gardner, 301 West 105th St., Apt 4F, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23200 m26-Th a30

MBRB1, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Michael S. Bernstein, 111 East 85th Street, Apt 8D, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23199 m26-Th a30

Penta Dev.145th LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County, SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o The LLC, One Plaza Rd, Greenvale, NY 11548. Purpose: any lawful act.
23220 m26-Th a30

TerrApex Environmental LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o The LLC, 544 Parker Ave, Levittown, NY 11756. Purpose: any lawful act.
23215 m26-Th a30

LAWJOBS.COM
#1 Global Legal Job Site
Ranked by Alexa

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ISABEL NEW YORK LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/2/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 156 E 30th St, 6A, New York, NY 10016. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
23256 A02 Th My07

NOTICE OF FORMATION of The Govberg Group LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 8/26/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 239 Park Ave S, PHC, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
22475 A02 Th My07

Notice of Formation of ESSENCE HAUS PILATES, LLC. Articles of Organization filed with SSNY on 02/21/2026. Office Location: Westchester County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Gloria LaCourse, 15 Lincoln Avenue, Apartment 1, Tuckahoe, NY 10707. Purpose: any lawful purpose.
23631 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Lil Lamb Post LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 152 East 118th St, New York, NY 10035. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
22429 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Night Sky Getaways LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/4/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 108 W 39th St, Ste 1006 PMB2348, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful act.
23173 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Slow Blue Blink LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/31/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 10 West 15th St, #208, New York, NY 10011. Purpose: any lawful act.
23916 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of VFPGSM, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 777 Third Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10017. Purpose: any lawful act.
23838 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of VOLBEX LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/4/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to R/A: Entity Protect Registered Agent Services LLC, 447 Broadway 2nd Fl. - #3000, New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act.
23872 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LEATRANSLATION LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/13/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 725 W 184th St 1F, New York, NY 10033. Purpose: any lawful act.
24239 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MARITIME NYC LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on March 16, 2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to P/B/A: Ruth Bibby, 167 Madison Avenue, Ste 205 #4800, New York, NY 10016. Purpose: any lawful act or activity.
23840 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MESTUN COSMO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/26/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to: 145 East 48th Street, Apt 32B New York, NY 10017 Purpose: any lawful act.
24259 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Myka NY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 7/17/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Registered Agents Inc., 418 Broadway, Ste R, Albany, NY 12207. R/A: Registered Agents Inc., 418 Broadway, Ste R, Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: any lawful activity.
24260 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of New York Care Side Physician Medicine P.L.L.C. Arts of Org. filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 11/20/25. Office location: Bronx County. SSNY is designated as agent of PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. List of names and addresses of all original members and managers available from SSNY. Purpose: Medicine.
24264 a16-Th my21

Notice of Formation of OLA Logistics LLC, filed with SSNY on 03/18/2026. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to LLC: 1250 Grant Ave Apt3, Bronx NY10456. Purpose: any lawful act or activity.
24268 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of PASTRY PORT LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/26/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #573822, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
23726 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of PAWPOINT DIAGNOSTICS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/13/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 31 Union Square West Apt 11E, New York, NY 10003. Purpose: any lawful act.
24254 A16 Th My21

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Atlas Home Performance, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/27/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 3630 White Plains Rd, #1041, Bronx, NY 10467. Purpose: any lawful act.
24431 A23 Th My28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HHH SEVENTY TWO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/17/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to: 212 W. 72nd St., Unit 17A, NY, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful act.
24581 a23-Th my28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of IRON CLUB LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/20/26. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to: 6 Grace Ave., Ste. 300, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful act.
24557 a23-Th my28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MILOS WESTFIELD LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 8/9/2021. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1981 Marcus Ave, Ste E117, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: any lawful act.
24620 A23 Th My28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of T&T VAC LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/13/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #195398, New York, NY 10003. R/A: Legalzoom USCA, Inc., 45 Main St, Ste 238, BK, NY 11201. Purpose: any lawful act.
24531 A23 Th My28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of de Seine Productions LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23901 Apr9 th May14

See *Decisions of Interest* only at NYLJ.COM

CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
New York Law Journal
www.nylj.com

TO PLACE, CORRECT OR CANCEL CLASSIFIED ADS:
Contact: Carol Robertson
Phone: 212 457 7850
E-mail: crobertson@alm.com
Monday thru Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM
A sales representative will confirm receipt.

ERROR RESPONSIBILITY NOTE
Please check your ad the first day it appears. All ads placed by telephone are read back for verification of copy content. In the event of **New York Law Journal** error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We assume no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.
New York Law Journal reserves the right to edit, reject, cancel or correctly classify any ad.

DEADLINES:
Line Ads: Tuesday through Friday editions:
11:00 AM one day prior to publication
Monday edition: Friday 12:00 Noon
Display Ads: 11:00 AM two days prior to publication
CONFIDENTIAL BOX NUMBER REPLIES:
You may respond to ads with Box numbers using any method below:
E-mail your resume to:
NYLJobs@alm.com (indicate box# in subject)
Fax your resume to:
646-822-5028 (indicate box # on cover sheet)
Please do not enclose writing samples unless specifically requested.

SALES

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT WESTCHESTER COUNTY FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION, Plaintiff against SHAMEL FOYE, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC, 420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 840, New York, NY 10170. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered November 25, 2025, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at Lobby of the Westchester County Courthouse, 111 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., White Plains, NY 10601 on May 18, 2026 at 10:30 AM. Premises known as 644 Hanover Place, Mount Vernon, NY 10552. Sec 165.50 Block 2202 Lot 6. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the City of Mount Vernon, County of Westchester and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is $629,976.41 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 66645/2023. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 9th Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. For sale information, please contact XOME at www.Xome.com or call (844)400-9633. Russell A Fayer, Esq., Referee File # 23-15676NY
23676 a16-Th my7

SUPREME COURT - COUNTY OF BRONX NYCTL 1998-2 TRUST AND THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, AS COLLATERAL AGENT AND CUSTODIAN, Plaintiffs -against- WILLIAMSBRIDGE RISING MANAGEMENT CORP., et al Defendant(s). Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered herein on January 5, 2023, I, the undersigned Referee will sell at public auction at the Bronx County Supreme Court, Courtroom 711, located at 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY, 10451 Bronx, NY on May 18, 2026 at 2:15 p.m. premises situate, lying and being in the Borough of Bronx, County of Bronx, City and State of New York, known and designated as 4862 and Lot 75 on the Bronx County Tax Assessment Map. Said premises known as 940 EAST 227TH STREET, BRONX, NY Approximate amount of lien $2,254.36 plus interest & costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment and Terms of Sale. Index Number 28279/2019E. DAVID LESCH, ESQ., Referee Phillips Lytle LLP Attorney(s) for Plaintiffs 100 South Clinton Avenue, Suite 2900, Rochester, NY 14604
23635 a16-Th my7

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT BRONX COUNTY US BANK TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY BUT SOLELY AS OWNER TRUSTEE FOR VRMTG ASSET TRUST, Plaintiff against RADEK SMEJKAL, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Knuckles & Manfro, LLP, 120 White Plains Road, Suite 215, Tarrytown, NY 10591. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered February 27, 2020, and amended on September 16, 2025, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Bronx County Courthouse, Courtroom 711 at 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York on May 11, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 1429 Leland Avenue, Bronx, NY 10460. Block 3901 Lot 56. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Borough and County of Bronx, City and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is $721,205.97 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 35546/2015E. Cash will not be accepted at the sale. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 12th Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and the Bronx County foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Leonard Aloi, Esq., Referee File # 3000-001029
23457 a9-Th a30

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT NEW YORK COUNTY THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON F/K/A THE BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS CWALT, INC. ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-60T1 MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-60T1, Plaintiff against R. TARA MILLER, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Leopold & Associates, PLLC, 80 Business Park Drive, Suite 110, Armonk, NY 10504. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered October 30, 2020, and amended on February 20, 2025, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at Room 252 at the Supreme Court, New York County, 60 Centre Street, New York, New York on May 5, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 216 East 47th Street Unit 6A a/k/a 216-218 East 47th Street Unit 6A, New York, NY 10017. Block 1320 Lot 1309. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Borough of Manhattan, City, County and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is $1,234,730.57 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 850163/2014. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 1st Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Referee will only accept a certified bank check made payable to the referee. Daniel Marotta, Esq., Referee File # 7754511
22852 a2-Th a23

SALES

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT BRONX COUNTY ROUNDPOINT MORTGAGE SERVICING CORPORATION, Plaintiff against RAFAEL SOLANO, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Stern & Eisenberg, P.C., 20 Commerce Drive, Suite 230, Cranford, NJ 07016. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered March 22, 2019, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Bronx County Courthouse, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY in Courtroom 711 on any Monday except holidays on May 4, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 1297 Intervale Avenue, Bronx, NY 10459. Block 2973 Lot 76. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Borough and County of Bronx, City and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is $439,588.55 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 32051/2016E. For sale information, please visit ww.Auction.com or call (800) 280-2832. During the COVID-19 health emergency, Bidders are required to comply with all governmental health requirements in effect at the time of the sale including but not limited to wearing face coverings and maintaining social distancing (at least 6-feet apart) during the auction, while tendering deposit and at any subsequent closing. Should a bidder fail to comply, the Referee may refuse to accept any bid, cancel the closing and hold the bidder in default. Bidders are also required to comply with the Foreclosure Auction Rules and COVID-19 Health Emergency Rules issued by the Supreme Court of this County in addition to the conditions set forth in the Terms of Sale. Ralph D. Amicucci, Esq., Referee File # NY-13300120-16
23175 a2-Th a23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HIDDEN COSTS, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/31/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23913 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LUDICO TRIBECA LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/23/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 99 Hudson St., 8th Fl., Ste. 801, NY, NY 10013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23914 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MIROMA FOUNDER NETWORK LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: Elsley Court 20-22 Great Titchfield St., London, W1W 8BE, United Kingdom. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23891 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of OWS 902 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/30/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23912 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE FORT NYC II LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543, regd. agent upon whom and at which process may be served. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23894 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE STEMMA AFFORDABLE HOUSING LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/18/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 345 East 102nd St., Ste. 305, NY, NY 10029. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o The Does Fund, Inc. at the princ. office of the LLC. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23898 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE STEMMA MANAGING MEMBER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/18/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 345 East 102nd St., Ste. 305, NY, NY 10029. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o The Does Fund, Inc. at the princ. office of the LLC. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23899 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF FORMATION of EMPIRE STATE ADR LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/5/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 5 Schenck Ave, Apt 3E, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful act.
21829 M19 Th A23



LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HUDSON RILEY CONSULTING, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to: 2700 Broadway, Unit 9B, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: any lawful act.
22750 m19-Th a23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Insight to Impact Partners LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/14/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 56 W 105th St, Apt 3C, New York, NY 10025. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
22829 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of NYC Advisory Group L.L.C. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/15/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 250 E 53rd St, Apt 2402, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act.
22727 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 1481 BOSTON ROAD LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 6/24/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1074 Morris Park Ave, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: any lawful act.
15876 M26 Th A30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of DIETZ-MOSS PUBLISHING LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/2/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 105 E 63rd St, Apt 4B, New York, NY 10065. P/B/A: 244 Fifth Ave, Ste A248, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act.
22681 M26 Th A30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 49 TERRACE HEIGHTS ASSOCIATES LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 369 East 62nd St., NY, NY 10065. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Heights Real Estate Company at the princ. office of the LLC. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22813 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of AMBIANCE WELLNESS AND BEAUTY LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 200 Winston Dr., Unit 220, Cliffside Park, NJ 07010. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22802 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BRSMelan LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 335 Madison Ave., 14th Fl., NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22825 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of EnTech CM Solutions, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: Soudabeh Bayat, c/o EnTech, 17 State St., 36th Fl., NY, NY 10004. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22824 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of IRONDALE RISK ADVISORS LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Peter de Boisblanc, 1460 Broadway, NY, NY 10036. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22822 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of KODSI FAMILY 2026 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/09/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Marie El Kodsi, 150 Central Park South, Apt. 1701, NY, NY 10019. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22800 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LES PLANCHES NY LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/09/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 229 West 60th St., NY, NY 10023. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22801 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of TH135 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22828 Mar19 th Apr23

Reach your peers to generate referral business
LAWYER TO LAWYER
For information, contact Carol Roberston at 212.457.7850 or E-mail crobertson@alm.com

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE 1908 NEW YORK LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 650 Fifth Ave., 15th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22821 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of TRACEY TOWERS PRESERVATION LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 116 E 27th St., 11th Fl., NY, NY 10016. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Real estate investment.
22811 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CFL Advisory, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State (SSNY) on 1/15/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Mr. Charles F. Lowrey, Jr., 320 West End Ave, Apt. 15A, NY, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful activity.
23192 Mar26 th Apr30

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

BOOTH STREET REALTY LLC, Cert of Formation filed in NJ 7/8/2020,Authority filed NY DOS 7/19/23,NY Co.S/S C/O Booth Street Management LLC 49 E Palisade Ave,3rd Fl.,Englewood,NJ 07631.NJ Agent:Diversified Corporate Services 750 Rte 202 S, Ste 410,Bridgewater,NJ 08807,Auth Officer:NJ Dept. of Treasury 33 W State St, 5th Fl, Trenton, NJ 08608.
24267 a16-Th my21

460 Waverly Avenue LLC Auth. filed w/ SSNY 3/27/26. Off. in Nassau Co. Cert of Form filed w/ SSDE 3/26/26. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to the LLC, 85 S. Service Rd, Plainview, NY 11803. Add. maintd. in DE: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Name & add. of auth. officer in DE where Cert of Form filed: SSDE, Corp Dept, 401 Federal St, Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose.
23732 Apr9 th May14

Picky Group LLC Auth. filed w/ SSNY 3/23/26. Off. in NY Co. Cert of Form filed w/ SSDE 2/9/26. Process served to SSNY - desig. as agt. of LLC & mailed to 136 E. 79th St, NY, NY 10075. Add. maintd. in DE: Corp1, Inc., 614 N. Dupont Hwy, Ste. 210, Dover, DE 19901. Name & add. of auth. officer in DE where Cert of Form filed: SSDE, 401 Federal St, Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose.
23734 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of CALIMPO GLOBAL LLC. Application for Authority filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/16/2026. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in NJ on 8/13/2024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 84 Delanco Dr, Parsippany, NJ 07054. NJ address of LLC: 74 Main St, First Fl, Woodbridge, NJ 07095. Arts of Org filed with NJ Dept. of Treasury, 33 West State St, 5th Fl, Trenton, NJ 08608-1214. Purpose: any lawful act.
23644 A02 Th My07

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of EKNIKAN CAPITAL LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/21/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 1/2/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to P/BA: 368 Ninth Ave, New York, NY 10001. DE address of LLC: 16192 Coastal Hwy, Lewes, DE 19958 County of Sussex. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity.
22432 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of NOVIX MANAGEMENT, LLC. App. for Authority filed with Secretary of State of NY (SSNY) on 8/20/2025. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Alaska (AK) on 7/14/2025. SSNY desig. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 70 Little West St, Apt 4K, New York, NY 10004. AK address of LLC: 200 W 34th Ave, #977, Anchorage, AK 99501. Purpose: any lawful activity.
15902 A09 Th My14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of FortHill Holder 2, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 06/12/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/27/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23896 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Zoraya Pharmaceuticals, LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 2/26/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/15/25. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 20 W. 33 St, Fl. 7, NY, NY 10001. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, PO Box 898, Dover, DE 19903. Purpose: any lawful activity.
22789 m19-Th a23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 640 EVERGLADES ISLAND, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/13/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/02/14. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808-1674. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22820 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BELVERON REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 08/28/06. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 550 California St., Ste. 620, San Francisco, CA 94104. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22810 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BMZ GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22807 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of ETERNAL LEO PRODUCTIONS LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/05/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 02/28/23. Princ. office of LLC: 9336 W. Washington Blvd., Culver City, CA 90232. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of the State of DE, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22799 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PIM GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22808 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of DELTA SIERRA INTELLIGENCE LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/14/25. Princ. office of LLC: 520 N Pkwy., Golden Beach, FL 33160. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22823 Mar19 th Apr23

Notice of Qual. of 24 WEST INVESTOR LLC, Authority filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 03/23/2026. SSNY is designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 450 Seventh Avenue Ste 1703, NY, NY 10123. Address required to be maintained in DE: 310 Alder Rd, Po Box 841, Dover, DE 1990. Cert of Formation filed with DE Div. of Corps, 401 Federal St., Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
23201 m26-Th a30

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of GO 409 LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 80 Fifth Ave., Ste. 1201, NY, NY 10011. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23888 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of GO DEY LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 02/27/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 80 Fifth Ave., Ste. 1201, NY, NY 10011. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23889 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of MERCER STREET PHA, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/19/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/17/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23887 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of SFR 2026-2 DEPOSITOR LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/30/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/24/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
23911 Apr9 th May14

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of ANS GROWTH, LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 3/6/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 3/25/2024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to P/B/A: 120 E 34th St, Apt 16G, New York, NY 10016. DE address of LLC: 131 Continental Dr, Ste 305, Newark, New Castle, DE 19713. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity.
22790 M26 Th A30

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 40 WEST 25TH STREET OWNER LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 12/02/25. Princ. office of LLC: 100 Ave. of the Americas, 16th Fl., NY, NY 10021. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19801. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22804 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of C STREET ADVISORY GROUP, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 07/13/21. Princ. office of LLC: 285 Madison Ave., Ste. 1200, NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Strategic advisory and communications.
22812 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of RGN-MCA NEW YORK IX, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/12/26. Princ. office of LLC: 15305 Dallas Pkwy., 12 Fl., Addison, TX 75001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808-1674. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22803 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of RMM GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22805 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PMGC GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22806 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 63 MADISON DF PARTICIPANT LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/05/26. Princ. office of LLC: 1 Vanderbilt Ave., NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22827 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF REGISTRATION of CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 4/2/2026. Office location: NY County. LLP formed in Massachusetts (MA) on 2/1/2005. SSNY designated as agent of LLP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP, C/O Managing Partner, Two International Place, Boston, MA 02110. Principal address in NY: 1330 Avenue Of The Americas, 23rd Floor, New York, NY 10019. Cert. of registration filed with Secy. of Commonwealth of MA at 1 Ashburton Pl, Boston, MA 02108. Purpose: any lawful activity.
24381 A23 Th My28

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SUNSET PLOT, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 8/28/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LP to 70 Little West St, Apt 4K, New York, NY 10004. Purpose: any lawful act.
15901 A09 Th My14

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 575 5TH RETAIL OWNERS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/06/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Tarter Krinsk & Drogin LLP, Attn: Brian J. Beller, Esq., 1350 Broadway, NY, NY 10018. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
22798 Mar19 th Apr23