New York Law Journal

VOLUME 273—NO. 90 $4.00 WWW.NYLJ.COM MONDAY, MAY 12, 2025 Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888 ALM.

©2025 ALM MEDIA PROPERTIES, LLC.

IN BRIEF

Trump Names Former Judge and DA Jeanine Pirro Interim US Attorney for Washington, DC

President Donald Trump on Thursday announced Fox News personality Jeanine Pirro will be appointed interim U.S. attorney for the District of Columbia.


Jeanine Pirro

"Jeanine is incredibly well qualified for this position, and is considered one of the Top District Attorneys in the History of the State of New York," Trump wrote in a social media post. "She is in a class by herself. Congratulations Jeanine!"

Pirro served as a former state judge and former top prosecutor for Westchester County, New York, before becoming a television host.

Trump made the announcement on his Truth Social account.

"During her time in office, Jeanine was a powerful crusader for victims of crime." the post said. "Her establishment of the Domestic Violence Bureau in her Prosecutor's Office was the first in the Nation. She excelled in all ways."

The announcement of Pirro's interim appointment came hours after Trump revealed in the Oval Office he was withdrawing the nomination of interim U.S. attorney Edward R. Martin Jr.

"He wasn't getting the support from people that I thought," Trump said of Martin, who appeared unlikely to win U.S. Senate confirmation after Democrats and U.S. Sen. Thom Tillis, R-North Carolina, made clear they would not support Martin's nomination to be the permanent top prosecutor for the U.S. Attorney's Office in Washington, D.C.

An interim U.S. attorney can typically serve up to 120 days in office.

Pirro's daughter Cristine Pirro Schwarzman is a Ropes & Gray partner.

—Sulaiman Abdur-Rahman

Boies: Trump's Law Firm Orders Are 'More Primeval Scream' Than 'Real Threat' »2

Founder of Failed Crypto 'Bank' Celsius Is Sentenced To 12 Years in Jail

The U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York issued a 12-year prison sentence to Alexander Mashinsky, the founder of what was once advertised as "the safest place for your crypto," the now-defunct Celsius platform.

U.S. District Judge John Koeltl's sentence also included 3 years of supervised release, and orders for Mashinsky, 59, to pay a $50,000 fine and to forfeit $48,393,446.

The sentence comes after Mashinsky pleaded guilty in December to commodities and securities fraud in connection to Celsius Network LLC, a crypto asset platform that held $25 billion at its height.

"Alexander Mashinsky targeted retail investors with promises that he would keep their 'digital assets' safer than a bank, when in fact, he used those assets to place risky bets and to line his own pockets," said U.S. Attorney Jay Clayton. "In the end, Mashinsky made tens of millions of dollars while his customers lost billions."


Alexander Mashinsky

The prison term is higher than the one year and one day sentence that Mashinsky's attorneys sought, but lower than the "at least" 20 year sentence requested by prosecutors for perpetrating what they consider to be one of the biggest frauds in crypto history.

Marc Mukasey, a founder of the New York trial boutique Mukasey Young and one of Mashinsky's attorneys, said he appreciates that Koeltl rejected the government's "draconian request."

"Still, even a day in prison is too much," Mukasey said in a statement.

Founded in 2017, Celsius was a cryptocurrency company that allowed customers to deposit digital assets into wallets, promising returns as high as 18% annually on assets.

After Celsius' collapse in the 2022 "crypto winter," federal prosecutors charged Mashinsky with misleading customers about core aspects of the business, including how » Page 4

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

First Department

PERSONAL INJURY: **Defamation action dismissed; article constituted communication in public.** *Cross River Bank v. Korangy Publishing Inc., Supreme Court, New York.*

LANDLORD-TENANT: **Court grants discovery for respondent challenging deregulation.** *562 West 174th Equities v. Mednik, Civil Court, New York.*

LITIGATION: **Judgment found for claimant in small claims abuse action.** *Y.L. v. M.V., Civil Court, New York.*

Second Department

FAMILY LAW: **Court grants split of parental custody and of marital funds.** *Meraj v. Meraj, Supreme Court, Nassau.*

CONSUMER PROTECTION: **Dismissal granted; consumers could not be misled by wrong label.** *Rittenhouse v. Beaumont Products Inc., Supreme Court, Suffolk.*

TRUSTS & ESTATES: **Court allocates interest in LLC to residuary beneficiaries.** *Estate of Stephen Schaffer, Surrogate's Court, Ulster.*

U.S. Courts

IMMIGRATION LAW: **Alien Enemies Act was not validly invoked by the Presidential Proclamation.** *G.F.F. v. Trump, SDNY.*

COMMUNICATIONS AND MEDIA: **Fired lawyer plausibly supports replevin elements in case over plaintiff's Dropbox files.** *William Gottlieb Mgmt. Co. LLC v. Carlin, SDNY.*

DISPUTE RESOLUTION: **Court confirms arbitration award that holds company CEO liable for fees, costs.** *Clearview AI Inc. v. Investigative Consultants Inc.,SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **Plaintiffs do not have third-party standing to assert claims on asylum refugees' behalf.** *Palisades Estates EOM LLC v. County of Rockland, SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **Legislative privilege doctrine bars depositions of legislators, legislative aide.** *DoorDash Inc. v. City of New York, SDNY.*

DECISION SUMMARIES, *Page 17*
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, *nylj.com*

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....6
Court Calendars.....8
Court Notes.....9
Decisions.....17
Expert Analysis.....3
Judicial Ethics Opinions.....6
Lawyer to Lawyer.....3
Legal Notices.....15
Outside Counsel.....4
Verdicts & Settlements.....5

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

Stay or Go? Some Partners And Associates Consider Exits At Firms Settling With Trump

BY PATRICK SMITH AND ABIGAIL ADCOX

PRESIDENT Donald Trump's deals with nine Big Law firms are pushing some lawyers to consider whether to exit those firms, recruiters and attorneys inside the firms say.

These sources say that the choices law firms have made—to make a deal with or fight Trump—are all leaving an impression on firm partnerships, firm morale, culture and unity.

An Am Law 100 firm leader who didn't make a deal with Trump and who spoke on condition of anonymity has observed several consequences of the Trump deals in the lateral market. "At some of those firms, people are upset at the decision and looking to move," the firm leader said, adding that those people are mostly litigators.

Their firms were "getting bombarded with pro bono requests from various White House referrals," the leader said. They were hesitant to represent clients "in certain categories," the law firm leader noted about some lawyers at the firms that settled. For instance, some lawyers at the firms that made deals with Trump are getting pitched for pro bono work for veterans that was "outside the scope of normal pro bono work for them" and they are getting asked to defend people who had been cited with illegal firearms possession, the firm leader said.

While recruiting partners to make a lateral move isn't normally a speedy process, the deals served to expedite the recruiting process of some lateral candidates, the firm leader said.

One industry insider, with knowledge of many of the firms, observed that the firms » Page 7



MICHAEL NAGLE/BLOOMBERG

The SEC's settlement with Ripple Labs is part of the agency's pivotal shift in enforcement strategy.

SEC Commissioner: Ripple Settlement Shows Regulator at War With Itself

BY MICHAEL A. MORA

WHILE the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission confirmed a settlement with Ripple Labs Inc. late Thursday, Commissioner Caroline A. Crenshaw urged the courts, in her dissent, "to take a long hard look at the Commission's attempt to claw back the meritorious claims it previously made, and gut its own enforcement program from the inside out."

And in the dissent, Crenshaw wrote that she has full confidence in the arguments the SEC made to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit on appeal over the Ripple litigation and equal confidence in the talent of the agency's attorneys who advanced those arguments—which motivated her dissent to the settlement.

"Our agency is, I fear, worried that the appellate court would issue a sound ruling that agreed with the legal argu- » Page 7



DIEGO M. RADZINSCHI/ALM

Unanswered legal questions remain as the Trump administration signals it might test the term limits of U.S. attorneys, sidestepping Senate confirmation and the courts.

Ambiguity Over Term Limits Means Courts May Have To Decide Next US Attorneys In Key Districts

BY EMILY SAUL

IN 1993, the U.S. attorney for the District of Puerto Rico resigned. Then-U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno appointed a man named Charles Fitzwilliam as interim U.S. attorney for the district.

After 120 days, Fitzwilliam's tenure lapsed and the bench stepped in.

And, for unclear reasons, the court did not appoint Fitzwilliam. Instead, the bench plucked a trial lawyer from the Department of Justice and installed him as the U.S. attorney for Puerto Rico.

And so began Guillermo Gil-Bonar's nearly nine-year stint as U.S. attorney.

Gil-Bonar's tenure is notable, due to both the length of his term and the way in which he was installed.

A court-appointed U.S. attorney is neither odd nor even uncommon. The law imbues judges with the authority to appoint a U.S. attorney. District courts all exer- » Page 4

Appellate Court Affirms NY's Even-Numbered Law; Says It Doesn't Infringe On County Government

BY BRIAN LEE

A DEMOCRATIC-sponsored law to shift certain local elections to even-numbered years — to coincide with larger-turnout elections for president, Congress and governor — is constitutional, a western New York appellate court has ruled.

The Appellate Division, Fourth Department's 5-0 memorandum decision on Wednesday, a sweeping reversal of a lower court opinion, decided eight consolidated lawsuits brought by various Republican plaintiffs.

Troutman Pepper Locke represents one of the plaintiffs, who are expected to seek a review by the New York Court of Appeals, the state's highest court.

The appellate court reasoned that the legislation is a general law, and it declined to adopt the plaintiffs' "novel legal theory" that the state cannot infringe on county governments' » Page 4

'A Personable Guy': SCOTUS Chief Justice Roberts Stands Behind Rule of Law During Western NY Appearance

BY BRIAN LEE

SUPREME Court Chief Justice John Roberts was a hit with the bar in his return to western New York.

Roberts participated in a fireside chat on Wednesday night, to commemorate the 125-year anniversary of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York.

During the conversation, Roberts pledged support for an independent judiciary, and denounced calls to impeach judges for unfavorable decisions.

Roberts, who hails from Hamburg, took questions from U.S. District Judge Lawrence Vilardo, a fellow western New York » Page 4


PBS/YOUTUBE

Chief Justice **John Roberts**, right, takes questions from U.S. District Judge **Lawrence Vilardo** at the 125th anniversary celebration of the U.S. District court for the Western District of New York on May 7 in Buffalo, N.Y.

Give Your Clients a Gift with Real Value.

Grant your clients unlimited access to award-winning legal news coverage with an ALM Gift Subscription.

Get Started
Visit at.law.com/gift

NewYorkLawJournal.com ALM.

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at

info@blaikiegroup.com

☐ Appeals ☐ Supersedeas
☐ Discharge Lien ☐ Executor
☐ Guardian ☐ Lost Instrument

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE BLAIKIE GROUP

111 John St., 16th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Fayth Vasseur
Colette M. Blaikie Christine Harding

www.blaikiegroup.com

New York Law Journal Inside

Trusts and Estates Update »3

A Wintery Mix
by Ilene Sherwyn Cooper

Aviation Law »3

Litigating MedFlight Crashes: Continuing Trends and Legal Issues
by Vincent C. Lesch

Outside Counsel »4

Considerations for AI Companies When Adopting Arbitration Clauses
by Alexandra Valenti, James Breen, Timothy Keegan and Jessica Pauley

Verdicts & Settlements »5

$4,4 million settlement in a motor vehicle case: **Plaintiff: Lack of Snow Chains on Sanitation Vehicle Caused Crash**

$3.75 million verdict in a worker/workplace negligence case: **Sanitation Driver Claimed Dislodged Truck Panel Caused Fall**

...and more

Judicial Ethics »6

Opinions From The Advisory Committee On Judicial Ethics

Calendar of Events »6

Online

Court Calendars

Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now **available weeks in advance** at nylj.com. Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. **Only at nylj.com.**

Online

Today's Tip

View the special sections online and download today's paper **at nylj.com.**

Online

Submit a legal notice for publication on **nylj.com**.

Online

Have you recently been involved in a major verdict or settlement?

Contact the **Law Journal** now, as your verdict, settlement or arbitration award could be entitled to publication in **VerdictSearch's** massive database—or further publicity with a front-page article by the Law Journal. Send your submissions to Law Journal bureau chief Andrew Denney at **adenney@alm.com** or to **verdicts@verdictsearch**.com.

Judge Presses Government on Reasoning Behind Susman Godfrey Executive Order

BY ABIGAIL ADCOX AND AMANDA O'BRIEN
WASHINGTON, D.C.

DURING a nearly two-hour hearing, U.S. District Judge Loren AliKhan last week repeatedly questioned the evidence behind the government's claims that Susman Godfrey engages in "unlawful discrimination," which the president claimed in his executive order against the firm.

The judge, hearing Susman Godfrey's motion for summary judgment and the government's dismissal motion, ultimately reserved judgment.

AliKhan pressed deputy associate attorney general Richard Lawson on the government's arguments that Susman Godfrey's statements on its website amounted to discrimination, as President Donald Trump's executive order alleged. "Yes or no?" she asked the government's lawyer about whether a firm prize for diversity was unlawful discrimination. "I would like an answer before you leave."

Lawson said the government's view is that the executive order falls under "executive discretion" in the interests of national security and under the executive branch's procurement power.

Representing Susman, Donald Verrilli at Munger, Tolles & Olson argued the executive order was issued in retaliation, including because of Susman's advocacy for Dominion Voting and for giving a charitable contribution to an organization, GLAD, that advocates for equal treatment of LGBTQ people, which he says were alluded to in section one of the executive order titled "background."

Lawson argued that section one was just "commentary" and doesn't call for any action to be taken. He echoed similar arguments the government has made in other law firm executive order litigation, which is that the executive order should not be ruled on in its entirety but looked at on a section-by-section basis.

Verrilli, a former solicitor general of the United States, said the whole point of the Susman Godfrey executive order "is to intimidate law firms into abandoning advocacy on behalf of clients." While Susman Godfrey is fighting that intimidation, "other firms are already acquiescing to that intimidation."He said the executive order threat against certain firms is one against the whole bar, with the "goal to prevent courts from hearing the best arguments."'Under-Represented' Groups

The judge continued to press Lawson on what proof the government was using to justify its conclusion that Susman Godfrey had engaged specifically in race-based discrimination.

"It doesn't seem like anything you have alleged" points to racial discrimination, the judge said as she questioned Lawson.

Lawson pointed to the firm's website usage of the word "underrepresented," noting the concern with that approach is that it is presuming there is an adequate representation based on race, ethnicity and gender, which he said runs counter to the U.S. Supreme Court's *Students for Fair Admissions* ruling.

The government's brief cited some additional statements from Susman Godfrey's website, including those on the firm valuing gender equality. "They're saying [this language] goes beyond what was at issue in *SFFA*," Verrilli said, about the Supreme Court decision that ruled race-based affirmative action in college admissions to be unlawful. "They don't remotely approach those at issue at SFFA."

Another issue the judge probed was section four of the executive order against Susman Godfrey, which, while not directly commanding the EEOC to investigate the discrimination allegations against Susman Godfrey, indicated that nothing in the Trump executive action would prevent or limit the EEOC's power to investigate firms under discrimination claims.

"I confess I have concerns about section four," the judge said to Verrilli. "Is section four actually doing something affirmative as opposed to limiting what could be construed as undermining an earlier order?"

In response, Verrilli acknowledged that Susman had not received an inquiry from the EEOC, but expressed concern that the firm would face an investigation from the agency and asked the judge to enter language prohibiting the EEOC from acting under the language and claims of discrimination mentioned under section one of the executive order.

Verrilli added that the firm's reputation and its "ability to attract and retain clients has been seriously damaged by what we believe to be baseless findings" from the executive order.

Security Clearance Review

Speaking about section two of the executive order pertaining to security clearance review, Verrilli said he wasn't aware of any Susman employees who had their security clearance revoked, but he pointed to several problems with the security clearance suspensions as a result of the executive order.

"If the president were to issue an executive order that no woman could receive security clearance or no Republican," there is not a chance it would be found justifiable, he said. "This executive order is a version of the same thing."

He also noted that the "exact same suspension" of security clearances was found in the executive order against Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison. Then it was rescinded within a week with "no change in circumstances to the trustworthiness of the lawyers" at Paul Weiss, Verrilli said.

Verrilli cited U.S. District Court Judge Beryl Howell's opinion in Perkins Coie's case against the government several times during his arguments, noting at one point that they "endorse" Howell's reasoning on a matter.While AliKhan reserved judgment on Thursday on a ruling, she indicated that she will "get something out in due course."In attendance at Thursday's hearing was Susman Godfrey managing partners Kalpana Srinivasan and Vineet Bhatia, among other attorneys from the firm.Susman's hearing is the latest development in law firm litigation against the Trump administration and comes days after Perkins Coie obtained summary judgment in its own case against the administration challenging an executive order. Howell's 102-page opinion called the administration's efforts against Perkins Coie "an unprecedented attack" on the principles of the U.S. judicial system.Besides Susman Godfrey, two other law firms, Jenner & Block and Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr are seeking to permanently block executive orders targeting their firms. All three have already obtained temporary restraining orders against the administration over the executive orders. The judges in Jenner and Wilmer's cases have yet to issue an opinion on their motions for a permanent injunction.

@ Abigail Adcox can be reached at aadcox@alm.com, Amanda O'Brien at aobrien@alm.com.

GC Group Championing Rule of Law Tops 500 Members Just Days After Launch

BY CHRIS O'MALLEY AND AMANDA O'BRIEN

A GROUP of general counsel that says it's standing against "executive branch attacks on lawyers and law firms" has amassed more than 500 members just 12 days after its launch.

Among the organizers of General Counsels United is Eric Whitaker, a former Tesla general counsel who's now chief legal officer of publicly traded 10x Genomics, a San Francisco-based gene-sequencing company. He wasn't immediately available for comment Wednesday, but on LinkedIn he says that the group is nonpartisan and that its purpose is to provide a forum for current and former GCs "to share information, resources and strategies on how to support the rule of law and the independence of the legal profession."

Teaming with Whitaker on the effort is Shirin Keen, a former general counsel of UnitedMasters, a Brooklyn, New York-based digital music distribution company.



COURTESY PHOTO

Eric Whitaker, Chief Legal Officer for 10x Genomics

On Linkedin, Whitaker said General Counsels United launched the evening of Friday, April 24, and by the next morning had surpassed 100 members. He announced in a LinkedIn post Tuesday that membership had surpassed 500, an achievement he said demonstrated "incredible interest and engagement from the legal community."

The group's launch comes on the heels of the early April launch of Law Firm Partners United. That group, which now claims more than 700 members, objects to President Donald Trump's executive orders unleashing retribution on Big Law firms that have represented clients the president considers enemies.

Like Law Firm Partners United, General Counsels United formed to foster private discussion, not to serve as a platform for public advocacy. General Counsels United operates as a private LinkedIn group, with no one other than members able to see who else has joined.

General Counsels United stipulates in the sign-up process that GCs who post comments are speaking only for themselves, not their employers, and all comments are treated under Chatham House Rule.

The arrangement will allow legal chiefs to avoid the awkward spot many found themselves in last month when they were asked to sign an amicus brief supporting Perkins Coie's lawsuit challenging Trump's executive order targeting the firm. Sixty-seven former or current GCs signed the brief. But because they felt compelled to get the OK from their employers, some were less than forthcoming about their professional credentials. For example, Ivan Fong, who's been Medtronic's GC for three years, is described as a former legal chief of 3M and Cardinal Health.

Last week, a federal judge barred the Trump administration from enforcing any aspect of the Perkins Coie executive order, finding that it violated the First, Fifth and Sixth amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

A one-page description of General Counsels United's mission makes clear that the group objects to the decision by nine law firms to settle with the Trump administration. The firms collectively have pledged to provide $940 million in pro bono services to causes supported by the administration.

"We can no longer trust that law firms will put their professional ethics and their clients' long-term interests first over political influence, fear of retribution, and their own short-term interests," General Counsels United stated.

"In order to innovate, our businesses rely on unbiased legal counsel to navigate regulatory environments and even to take positions adverse to the government, all free from political influence. When leading firms fail to unite in defending their own profession, we question how zealously they will advocate for us."

Whitaker's announcement of the group's formation has spurred more than 25 positive comments on LinkedIn.

"Super grateful for the support!" wrote Sumedha Ahuja, a partner at Perkins Coie.

"Thank you for standing up for the core principles of our profession," wrote Lucky Vidmar, associate general counsel and head of IP and AI litigation at Microsoft.

Wrote Michael Schnall-Levin, chief technology officer at 10x Genomics: "Proud of you for doing this, Eric. We will all look back at who had backbone during these years and who didn't."

Robert Chesnut, a former general counsel of Airbnb, confirmed to Law.com that he joined the group.

"I'm concerned like a lot of general counsel are," Chesnut said.

@ Chris O'Malley can be reached at comalley@alm.com, Amanda O'Brien at aobrien@alm.com.

Boies: Trump's Law Firm Orders Are 'More Primeval Scream' Than 'Real Threat'

BY ROSS TODD

AFTER I attended oral arguments last week in the AI copyright case involving Meta Platforms, I met up with the lawyer who argued on behalf of authors in the case—David Boies of Boies Schiller Flexner—for a wide-ranging discussion. The conversation touched on the wave of high-profile lawsuits involving Big Tech currently unfolding across the country, as well as Boies's concerns about artificial intelligence.

"The history of our planet is that whatever the dominant species is, the second species doesn't do well," he said at one point. "I think that 50 years from now, we will be a lot closer to chimpanzees in intellectual power than we will to computers."

As insightful as Boies was on the topic of tech, I'd like to focus this morning on what he said about the Trump administration's recent series of actions targeting Big Law firms.

"I think that weaponizing executive power to go after your opponents is very undesirable," Boies said. "I said that a year and a half [or] two years ago, when people were going after Trump, and I think that now."

Boies said it's clear that the president has the right to set policy, and that policy is often going to put people who have a point



COURTESY PHOTO

David Boies of Boies Schiller Flexner

of view at a disadvantage. "But I draw a distinction between that and going after people in a punitive way," Boies said. Boies said he could wrap his head around the administration's focus on universities deemed as doing an inadequate job of policing antisemitism on campus. "That is not so different than going after universities in prior administrations for not having enough equal opportunity initiatives or not providing enough money to women's sports."

But he added: "I don't think it is desirable to go after institutions, whether it's a university or a law firm, because somebody at that institution … is a political opponent."

What about Boies Schiller Flexner?

Boies himself has long been active in Democratic politics. The campaign donations of Boies Schiller lawyers and their families have skewed heavily blue. I asked Boies if he worried about ending up in the sights of the administration, as other large law firms have early in the second Trump presidency. He said he didn't.

"If we get targeted, one of two things is going to be true," he said. "Either we will have done something inappropriate, in which case we ought to be targeted because lawyers don't deserve any more immunity than anybody else. Or if we haven't been targeted unfairly, I've got confidence that the courts are going to adjudicate it."

Boies said he doesn't think he should be treated any differently just because he's a lawyer.

"People get subjected to enforcement actions all the time, in every walk of life," he said. "If that happens to lawyers, we are peculiarly well-suited to protect ourselves."

That said, Boies was surprised to see so many large law firms that did end up targeted by the administration decide to negotiate deals, especially since those who have decided to fight it out in court—Perkins Coie, Jenner & Block, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr and Susman Godfrey—have so far been successful.

"Everybody who's fought has won. And I think everybody who was approached knew that," Boies said. "Is there a cost to fighting? Is it inconvenient? Yes, but we represent clients all the time who are standing up for rights that they believe in, even though it's expensive and inconvenient and time-consuming. It surprised me that more law firms didn't do it."

Boies added that he hasn't talked to any partners at the settling firms about why they did what they did, so he doesn't have any particular insight about those decisions. When asked if he had spoken with partners at firms that decided to go to court, Boies said, "That I can't talk about."

Boies Schiller, it should be noted, did not sign onto the brief that more than 500 law firms joined backing Perkins Coie in its case. I asked Boies about that decision. He said that Boies Schiller, as a general practice, does not sign onto amicus briefs. "Occasionally, we will do amicus briefs with respect to a public pro bono issue that we're involved in," he said. But he said signing onto the brief in the law firm case would have been a "political statement" by the firm. "If we were going to be making a political statement, I think we would be making a political statement about people who are being adversely impacted, who are a lot more vulnerable and less able to take care of themselves," he said.

"I'm less worried about the effects of these kinds of actions on people who can take care of themselves," Boies said.

Still, Boies said he was surprised by the decision some firms made to negotiate with the administration rather than fight. "The courts were there. The courts were open," he said. "And not only were the courts open, but the courts were very receptive to acting very fast."

Boies said, as he has before, that it's a threat to the rule of law to make it hard for people to get legal representation. But he said that in his view, the administration's executive orders didn't pose that sort of threat. Even though they purported to bar firms' lawyers from federal buildings and threaten to cut government contracts with firm clients, Boies pointed out that they said they would be enforced "to the extent permitted by law … to the extent consistent with law."

"There's no doubt that those executive orders reflected unhappiness on the part of the administration with what the administration saw as the left-wing leanings of the law firms," Boies said. "But those executive orders were more primeval scream than they were a real threat."

Boies—like Berkeley Law Dean Erwin Chemerinsky—said he thinks if law firms had come together to fight, they would have won, and this issue would have disappeared quickly.

"If you lose five and nobody gives you anything, nobody likes to keep losing," he said. "What happened here was not because the power of the federal government squashed people. It's because people were not prepared to stand up and fight back in an environment in which they were going to win."

Would that fight have cost firms clients and profits? Maybe so, Boies said.

"Principles to which you only adhere when it is easy and costless aren't principles," he said.

@ Ross Todd can be reached at rtodd@alm.com.

Expert Analysis

TRUSTS AND ESTATES UPDATE

A Wintery Mix

As winter has left its mark over the past three months, so, too, did the Court of Appeals and the Surrogate's Courts throughout the state, as they examined important issues affecting the practice of trusts and estates. Consider the following decisions of interest.

By **Ilene Sherwyn Cooper**



Court of Appeals Examines In Terrorem Clause

In *Carlson v. Colangelo*, the Court of Appeals addressed, *inter alia,* the issue of whether the plaintiff triggered the in terrorem clause in the decedent's revocable trust when she commenced the underlying action against the trustee, and thereby forfeited her bequests.

The decedent had a pour over will that directed that all of his assets be disposed of pursuant to the terms of his revocable trust. Pursuant to the terms of the trust, the grantor directed that the trustee thereof distribute to the respondent all of his interest or the trust's interest in Dempsaco LLC.

The trust further provided that it was the grantor's wish that the respondent provide a stream of income not to exceed the sum of $350,000 to the plaintiff when the real property owned by the LLC was sold.

Finally, after satisfying the aforesaid provisions, the trustee was directed to distribute to the plaintiff the grantor's interest or the trust's interest in and to certain real property located in Cortlandt Manor, New York. In the event that the trust was deemed invalid or otherwise not in existence, the will contained similar dispositive provisions as the trust.

Both the will and the trust had in terrorem clauses, which provided in relevant part, that "in the event that any…beneficiary…or other individual (challenger) shall contest any aspect of this Trust, or the distribution of the grantor's assets pursuant to his Last Will, *inter vivos* Trust Agreement, beneficiary designations or non-probate beneficiary designations, or shall attempt to set aside, nullify, contest or void the distribution thereof in any way, then the grantor directs that such rights of such challenger shall be ascertained as they would have been determined had that challenger predeceased the execution of this instrument and the grantor, without living issue."

Two and a half years after the decedent's death, the trustee's counsel corresponded with plaintiff and informed her of the premises in Cortlandt Manor and the stream of income bequests. The letter explained that the trustee had decided that the decedent used precatory language regarding the stream of income; that the decedent overestimated his estate; and that there were no funds or assets to pay anything to plaintiff.

> In determining whether to accept late objections for filing, the court observed that consideration must be given to the reason for the delay, the extent of the delay, the deliberateness of the default, the prejudice which might result, and the merits of the objections.

The correspondence included a demand that plaintiff release the trustee and waive all rights to the stream of income, in exchange for the transfer of the real property to plaintiff.

Plaintiff refused to sign the release and requested a transfer of the property, cash and a copy of the trust document.

The trustee failed to satisfy any of the plaintiff's requests. Thereafter, plaintiff commenced an action in Supreme Court against the trustee, individually and as fiduciary, alleging that she had invested in Dempsaco LLC prior to the decedent's death, and thereby became a 50% owner of the company.

Plaintiff also made claims of breach of fiduciary duty, and demanded relief that included a request that the subject property be transferred to her, and that she be declared entitled to the income stream.

Ultimately, defendants moved for summary judgment arguing that plaintiff's claim to a 50% interest in the LLC triggered the in terrorem clause. Plaintiff opposed, contending that her action was meant to construe and enforce the trust and not to contest it.

Plaintiff also moved for summary judgment, and the Supreme Court granted defendant's motion, concluding that the "clear intent of the grantor…was to prevent…conduct that would delay or dilute the dispositions under the trust, place the grantor's game plan in jeopardy, and threaten the defendant's clear right to Dempsaco, LLC…"

Plaintiff appealed, and the Appellate Division granted a stay of the order. On the merits, the Appellate Division modified the Supreme Court's order by denying the defendants' motion for legal fees, and otherwise affirmed, finding that plaintiff's conduct had violated the in terrorem clause. The Court of Appeals granted plaintiff leave to appeal.

The court held that because plaintiff did not seek to challenge the trust, but merely sought to enforce its provisions as the grantor wrote and intended them, she did not violate the in terrorem clause.

Specifically, the court found that none of the causes of action and demands for relief by plaintiff contested the legality of the trust instrument. Nor did plaintiff challenge any of the trust's bequests.

To the contrary, the court noted that plaintiff sought distribution of her bequests in full accord with the trust and in satisfaction of the grantor's intent. Further, the court found that plaintiff's action to recognize her alleged 50% membership interest in Dempsaco was not a challenge to the trust's distribution of any part of the decedent's interest in the company.

» Page 8

ILENE SHERWYN COOPER *is a partner at Farrell Fritz.*

AVIATION LAW

Litigating MedFlight Crashes: Continuing Trends and Legal Issues

On Jan. 31 an air ambulance airplane crashed in a Philadelphia neighborhood killing all on board and two ground victims.

The tragic crash, caught on film, generated much deserved media attention because aviation was top-of-mind after the first fatal U.S. commercial airline crash in 17 years had taken place 2 days before on Jan. 29 in Washington DC, and because of the dramatic crash sequence that played out in Philadelphia.

The Learjet 55 air ambulance took off from Northeast Philadelphia Airport and only reached approximately 1,275 ft in altitude before plummeting to the ground. The plane nose-dived into a parking lot near Roosevelt Mall travelling about 11,000 ft per minute and exploded, causing a large fireball and post-crash fire.

Videos circulated on social media and news sites of shrapnel from the plane narrowly missing patrons in nearby businesses, and of witnesses rushing to the crash site as it burned.

The Jan. 31 Philadelphia crash was a reminder of the huge increase in medflight transport over the last 20 years, and the attendant increase in medflight crashes and fatalities. While helicopter medflight safety concerns have received the most attention, fixed-wing medflight crashes like the one in Philadelphia have increased as well.

This article will provide a brief overview of the medflight industry and the legal issues that often crop up in litigating medflight crashes on behalf of both injured patients and passengers, as well as injured flight and medical crew members.

By **Vincent C. Lesch**



Medflight Industry: A Brief Background

Before diving into legal issues, it is helpful to understand some background about the medflight industry and its growth up until recently.

Air medical transport falls into four categories: (1) scene response; (2) interfacility transfers; (3) specialty care and organ delivery; and (4) repatriation from outside the U.S. (the last category of which is not well documented in statistics).

As of 2020, about half of all domestic medflights were interfacility transport, and about one-third were scene response. *See* Lance Sherry & Charlie Wang, *A Statistical Overview of Fixed Wing Air Medical Transportation Operations in the United States (2019-2020)*, 41 Air Med. J. 359, 359 (2022).

> Many states allow more than just the direct employer of a claimant to take advantage of workers' compensation immunity. The tests and rationale vary.

Inter-facility transport is mostly handled by fixed-wing aircraft (as was the case with the Philadelphia crash), whereas scene response is handled by helicopters. Despite handling the smaller share of medflights overall, helicopters make up a larger share of fatal crashes. Still, there are significant numbers of fixed wing medflight crashes as well.

Two factors have driven the increase in fixed-wing medflight transport (such as the one that crashed in Philadelphia): (1) the shuttering of many smaller regional hospitals and consolidation of level 1 trauma centers in larger metropolitan areas; and (2) Medicare's decision in 2002 to cover the reasonable cost of air ambulance services. *See* Sherry & Wang, *Statistical Overview*, *supra*, at 359-60.

These two trends provided both a need to transport more patients farther from rural areas to city hospitals, and a chance for substantial profits for the companies performing the transports.

While there are risks to any aviation operation, the business model of medflight transport poses significant challenges. Medflights often only get paid if the medical transport ends up being necessary and they complete the transfer. A helicopter may arrive at an accident scene only to find out the first responders misevaluated the situation and an air medevac was not needed.

The flight will be a waste unless they find a reason to take the patient anyway. For fixed wing air ambulance transport, this creates a pressure to accept and complete the flight regardless of operational issues (fatigue, staffing, equipment, etc.) or situational factors (weather, airport capabilities, etc.).

This financial pressure to take questionable flights and transport patients who may not need or be medically stable enough to tolerate transport impacts safety and is likely part of the reason studies of accident data see higher fatal outcomes in medical flights compared with non-medical flights. *See, e.g.*, Daniel A. Handel & Thomas R. Yackel, *Fixed-Wing Medical Transport Crashes: Characteristics Associated with Fatal Outcomes*, 20 Air Med. J. 3, 149 (2011).

Because of these risks, and the volume of fatal helicopter crash cases, the National Transportation Safety Board issued recommendations to improve medflight safety, and the Federal Aviation Administration adopted special regulations for helicopter air ambulances. *See* 14 C.F.R. Part 135, Subpart L – Helicopter Air Ambulance Equipment, Operations and Training Requirements; FAA Advisory Circular No. 135-14B.

The FAA regulations and guidance set out specific, heightened requirements for certifying helicopter air ambulance operations. It requires specialized equipment, risk management, training and operational rules that helicopter air ambulances must comply with.

While the FAA has issued similar guidance for fixed-wing

» Page 7

VINCENT C. LESCH *is a partner at Kreindler & Kreindler.*

LAWYER TO LAWYER

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK
Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY



RANDY C. BOTWINICK
34 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death
- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents



Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid



JAY HALPERN
39 Years Experience

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over $100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI: 150 Alhambra Circle, Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134 P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

PALM BEACH: 2385 NW Executive Center Drive, Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431 P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

Toll Free: 1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | www.personalinjurylawyer.ws

NEED SOMEONE LOCATED?

CHARLES ERIC GORDON, ESQ.
INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
MISSING PEOPLE TRACED RESOURCEFULLY
Emphasis on Difficult and Unusual Cases

185 Froehlich Farm Blvd.
Woodbury, NY 11797
516.433.5065
sleuth32@aol.com
Services to Attorneys Only
Member:
Society of Professional Investigators
World Association of Detectives
NYS Academy of Trial Lawyers
REASONABLE FEES

CLM ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, LLC
PO Box 394, Montvale, NJ 07645
Forensic Investigations/Construction Management/Engineering
Craig L. Moskowitz, MBA, MS, PE, CME
PRESIDENT/MANAGING MEMBER
Contact Numbers: 866-432-4677 or 917-270-8822
Email: clmprofessionalengineer@gmail.com

- Slips, Trips and Falls
- Construction Accidents
- ADA Compliance
- Building Code Issues
- Electrocution Cases
- Construction Defect Matters
- Personal Injury Cases
- Storm Damage Claims
- HVAC/Plumbing Issues
- Vehicular Accident Reconstruction

And more

Payment arrangements/plans available.
SERVING THE ENTIRE STATE OF NEW JERSEY



Build Your Legal Team.
Go to lawjobs.com and choose the most qualified candidates.
lawjobs.com
Your hiring partner
ALM.

BERNARD D'ORAZIO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
NEW YORK CITY
DORAZIO-LAW.COM
JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT & DEBT COLLECTION LITIGATION

Reach your peers to generate referral business Lawyer to Lawyer For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850, or email crobertson@alm.com



Legal Compass: The World's Best Source of Law Firm Data.
Delve deep into legal insights on Financials, Lateral Moves, Diversity, Office Trends...
With proprietary, named data on 250,000+ Firms, Lawyers and Companies!

Request your FREE DEMO today at at.alm.com/LegalCompass

ALM. Intelligence | LEGAL COMPASS

Off the Front

US Attorneys

« Continued from page 1

cise this authority differently. In some courts, the decision is made by the chief judge. In others, it is by a group, sometimes called the Board of Judges. New York's Southern District, for example, has historically made this decision by board vote.

The contours of Gil-Bonar's story are relevant at a time when critics have questioned the ability of some of President Donald Trump's selections to fill the roles of top prosecutor.

Law professors in New Jersey have expressed deep concern over current interim U.S. Attorney Alina Habba of the District of New Jersey, with some calling her "fundamentally unqualified." Habba, a former defense attorney for Trump, has been sanctioned for filing a frivolous lawsuit and was threatened with jail by a federal judge in Manhattan because of her conduct in court.

Trump's nominee for D.C., Ed Martin, faced considerable backlash, ultimately leading Trump to withdraw his nomination less than 2 weeks before Martin's "interim" status expired.

In New York, U.S. Sen. Chuck Schumer, D-New York, said he would not vote through Trump's picks for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, former Securities and Exchange Commission chairman Jay Clayton and Long Island state court judge Joseph Nocella.

With their nominations blocked, Clayton and Nocella were appointed interim U.S. attorneys.

That decision means that judges in those districts—barring another nomination—could be poised to determine the next U.S. attorneys.

Trump's Thursday announcement that Attorney General Pam Bondi would install Jeanine Pirro, a former Westchester County, New York, district attorney, as interim U.S. attorney in the District of Columbia forecasts a potential attempt to sidestep that issue entirely.

Acting vs. Interim

The terms "interim" and "acting" are often confused when it comes to describing the position of U.S. Attorney. But they are not the same. The lengths of service are markedly different, as the positions are controlled by different statutes.

Interim U.S. attorneys are appointed by the U.S. attorney general, and are sometimes also called attorney general appointments. These appointments are governed by 28 U.S.C. § 546.

An interim U.S. attorney can serve for 120 days from appointment. At the end of that 120-day period, the statute says the district court may appoint a U.S. attorney for that district.

A court-appointed U.S. attorney can serve indefinitely if no one else acts, as illustrated by Gil-Bonar.

If the court does nothing and the president does not have a pending nomination, there are three possible outcomes. One outcome, as hinted by Pirro's appointment, will be discussed in the next section. Two of them are as follows: the court appoints someone, or the Vacancy Reform Act kicks in and someone is elevated or transferred to acting U.S. attorney.

An acting U.S. attorney can step into the role three ways.

Under the Vacancies Reform Act, the resignation of a U.S. attorney can be filled by an underling, typically the person's first assistant. A more recent example of this is the elevation of Matthew Podolsky to acting U.S. attorney for the Southern District of New York in February, following the resignation of interim U.S. Attorney Danielle Sassoon. Sassoon was appointed by former Acting Attorney General James McHenry III.

The president also has the authority to take one Senate-confirmed presidential appointee (PAS) and install them as U.S. attorney. This can be any Senate-confirmed appointee. It can be another U.S. attorney, but it does not have to be.

The third way someone can become an acting U.S. Attorney is if they are a General Scale 15 employee—the highest level of the federal employee pay grade—for at least 90 days prior to the vacancy arising. This designation is sometimes called GS-15.

Only the president has the power to appoint PAS or GS-15 acting U.S. attorneys. This person can be pulled from anywhere in the federal government.

An acting U.S. attorney can serve for 210 days, or 300 days if they become acting during a presidential transition period.

If there is a nominee for their position, the 210 clock of an acting is suspended during the nominee's pendency. If the nominee is rejected or withdrawn, a second 210 day period begins. If that nomination fails, the acting can serve for another 210 days. So three sets of 210 days, plus whatever time period the nomination is pending.

"The bottom line of all this," a former federal judge who requested anonymity told the New York Law Journal, "Is whether you're acting or you're interim, you can serve in those periods for a significant period of time.

Indefinite Interims?

Trump's installation of Pirro as "interim" reveals that the administration appears poised to test the length of service allowed by interim U.S. Attorneys.

It is unclear from the statute whether Martin's time as interim D.C. U.S. Attorney counts toward Pirro's 120 days.

"There's ambiguity," one former high-ranking DOJ official explained.

If it does, one reading is that Pirro would be unable to remain in the role beyond May 20, unless the Senate steps in and confirms her or she is appointed by the court.

But if Martin's term and Pirro's term are counted separately, it means the Attorney General could install a new interim every 119 days, effectively cycling through people to fill positions across the country.

Doing this, the official said, could "effectively sidestep" the appointment mechanisms put in place by Congress and might "subvert" the intent of § 546.

There are other gray areas as well.

For example, swapping or rotating U.S. attorneys.

"If the 120 days restarts with a new interim appointment, the same preferred candidates could be cycled through different offices," one former U.S. attorney explained. "At 119 days, Alina Habba could be moved to another district to replace someone else, who could then move to replace someone else. The same people could be rotated over and over."

But if the court interprets the law the first way and appoints a new U.S. attorney after 120 days, it could lead to a confrontation with the courts.

Observers said they think courts would be unlikely to wade into that territory.

"I think putting someone in over the objection of the president would be a little bit futile," another formerly senior DOJ official said.

Why? Because the president would likely try to fire them.

The Case of Geoffrey Berman

It is not clear from 28 U.S.C. § 546 who can remove a court-appointed U.S. attorney.

Under the U.S. Constitution, the power to remove an official usually rests with the role that appointed them, unless an alternate lever exists.

The U.S. Supreme Court has not yet weighed in on the question.

During Trump's first term, then-Attorney General William Barr attempted to remove then-SDNY U.S. Attorney, Geoffrey Berman. Barr did so via a press release, stating that Berman was "stepping down" and that Clayton, then the SEC Chair, would be nominated for the role. In the meantime, then-U.S. attorney for the District of New Jersey, Craig Carpentino, would serve as acting SDNY U.S. attorney—while continuing to lead the New Jersey office as well.

"I have not resigned, and I have no intention of resigning," Berman responded in his own press release.

In a public letter addressed to Berman, Barr wrote that he had asked Trump to remove Berman and that Trump had done so. In the same letter, Barr backed off the statement he would appoint Carpentino, and instead said Berman's number two, Audrey Strauss, would become acting SDNY U.S. attorney.

Berman stepped down.

Now at Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson, Berman did not respond to a request for an interview. In his 2022 book, "Holding the Line," Berman wrote that he would have moved to enjoin if he had been fired, "on the ground that I was court appointed and could not be fired by Barr or the president."

"I liked my chances," Berman wrote in the book. "I would have been prepared to litigate it all up to the Supreme Court."

Berman was not a presidentially-nominated U.S. attorney, confirmed with advice and consent of the Senate. Instead, he was first installed as an interim, and then appointed by the court.

According to his memoir, Berman clearly believed he could challenge removal by the president. Others don't see it that way.

There is a 1979 decision from the Office of Legal Counsel, which provides legal advice to the president and other executive agencies, that says the president can fire a U.S. attorney.

"Although a U.S. Attorney could try to challenge a termination, I have a lot of confidence that they would lose" in court, a former senior DOJ official said.

There's a strong separation of powers argument behind the notion the president can fire a court-appointed U.S. attorney, the official explained.

If a president cannot remove a court-appointed U.S. Attorney, it follows that the U.S. Attorney would be exercising executive power free from presidential control in contravention of Article II.

"Clearly, that won't work," another former DOJ official said.

Clearing Up the Ambiguity

The ambiguity around questions like the 120-day "clock" could have real-world impacts on litigation.

In Gil-Bonar's case, defendants tried to have their indictments thrown out, arguing the U.S. attorney lacks the constitutional authority to bring the charges.

At least one defendant convinced the District court in Puerto Rico to dismiss his indictment on the grounds Gil-Bonar's term was "illegal."

There are ways to slow litigation on this issue. One option: Bondi could start signing indictments, instead of the U.S. attorneys.

"Strategically, from a DOJ perspective, there are options, ways to try to prevent this challenge," a onetime DOJ lawyer said. "Some might think Bondi signing an indictment is deceptive. But what's to stop DOJ from trying?"

Another former U.S. attorney put it differently, and more succinctly.

"This," they said, "is a shit show."

@ Emily Saul can be reached at esaul@alm.com.

Outside Counsel

Considerations for AI Companies When Adopting Arbitration Clauses

BY ALEXANDRA VALENTI, JAMES BREEN, TIMOTHY KEEGAN AND JESSICA PAULEY

The use and development of Artificial Intelligence ("AI") has accelerated exponentially in recent years, as companies rush to solve historical industry inefficiencies with big data solutions, and shows no sign of slowing down in the coming years. In the fourth quarter of 2024, more than half of the global venture capital was distributed to companies with AI-based technology. Alex Irvin-Hunt, *AI Dominates Venture Capital Funding in 2024*, fDi-Intelligence (Jan. 8, 2025).

But whereas AI is increasingly a part of the business landscape, courts are just beginning to understand the technology's particularities. Arbitration associations such as the Silicon Valley Arbitration & Mediation Center ("SVAMC"), the American Arbitration Association ("AAA"), and JAMS, on the other hand, have more quickly adapted to meet technological and practical needs to accommodate AI by incorporating rules and guidelines intended to govern AI-related disputes and set protocols for the use of AI in proceedings generally.

As we see exponential growth in the development of AI, it is likely that we will see exponential—or close to it—growth in AI-related litigations and disputes. What only time will tell is whether the courts and dispute resolution bodies are ready for the potential influx of AI disputes and the novel issues and considerations that come along with them.

Silicon Valley Arbitration & Mediation Center

SVAMC released *Guidelines on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Arbitration* on April 30, 2024. *SVAMC Guidelines on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Arbitration*, SVAMC, 1(2024). The document is intended to guide the use of AI tools in arbitration proceedings, requiring participants who choose to use AI tools in arbitration preparation and proceedings to "make reasonable efforts to understand each AI tool's relevant limitations, biases, and risks and, to the extent possible, mitigate them." *Id.* at 9.

Notably, the SVAMC does not require parties disclose the use of AI tools, but prohibits the use of such tools to falsify evidence or mislead opposing parties or arbitrators. *Id.* at 11. The Guidelines do not explicitly prohibit the use of an AI tool to generate information outside of the record, but requires parties make appropriate disclosures and requires the arbitrator to "independently and critically" evaluate AI-derived information. *Id.* at 20.

> Arbitration associations… have more quickly adapted to meet technological and practical needs to accommodate AI.

American Arbitration Association

AAA released a document titled *Principles Supporting the Use of AI in Alternative Dispute Resolution* in November 2023. The paper is brief, less than two pages, and intended to reinforce the responsibilities of legal professionals in arbitration proceedings. Specifically, they warn against the assumption that "a technology can obviate a professional obligation." *Id.* at 1. The Principles require arbitrators and parties to exercise independent judgment over AI outputs, as arbitrators are ultimately responsible for their work product. *Id.* at 2. The publication does not speak to disclosure requirements.

JAMS

On April 23, 2024, JAMS released the arbitration rules to govern disputes involving Artificial Intelligence (*JAMS Artificial Intelligence Disputes Clause and Rules*, JAMS (June, 14, 2024), ("JAMS AI Rules")) announcing that "JAMS aims to equip legal professionals and parties engaged in dispute resolution with clear guidelines and procedures that address the unique challenges presented by AI, such as questions of liability, algorithmic transparency, and ethical considerations" (*JAMS Announces New Artificial Intelligence Disputes Clause and Rules*, JAMS (Apr. 23, 2024). The order is directed at disputes involving AI technology, rather than using AI in the preparation of materials used in the litigation of disputes. *Id.*

Definition of AI. Notably, JAMS defines "AI" broadly, as "machine-based system capable of completing tasks that would otherwise require cognition." JAMS AI Rules at Rule 1(e). This inclusive definition potentially covers a broad range of technologies, as tasks requiring human cognition can include "all forms of knowing and awareness, such as perceiving, conceiving, remembering, reasoning, judging, imagining, and problem solving." *Cognition and the Brain*, American Psychological Association (last visited March 25, 2025). This expansive definition of AI could allow for the JAMS AI Rules to apply to any technology with basic memory functions. Nearly all computers utilize both random-access memory (RAM) and hard-drives for the storage of data, which are commonly analogized to long- and short-term human memory.

Scope of Rules. The *JAMS Artificial Intelligence Disputes Clause and Rules* apply to any arbitration administered by JAMS in which the parties agreed to be bound by the Rules. JAMS AI Rules at Rule 1(a). In the absence of an agreement or other prescribed rules, the Rules apply where the dispute or claims are AI-related. *Id.*

Alterations from the standard *JAMS Comprehensive Arbitration Rules* include:

1. The JAMS AI Rules closely mirror the accelerated proceedings that may be optionally applied per the

» Page 8

ALEXANDRA VALENTI *is a partner at Goodwin Procter.* JAMES BREEN, TIMOTHY KEEGAN *and* JESSICA PAULEY *are associates at the firm.*

IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

the funds were being invested and the sustainability of the interest rates.

They alleged that Mashinsky used customer deposits to purchase hundreds of millions of dollars worth of CEL, Celsius' proprietary token, which netted him $48 million after he sold his own CEL.

—Alyssa Aquino

Paul Weiss Continues London Expansion With A&O Shearman Partner

A&O Shearman has suffered yet another departure, with a partner from its restructuring team walking to U.S. outfit Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison.

Partner Nick Charlwood is set to join Paul Weiss' growing London restructuring team, according to an announcement from the firm on Thursday.

Charlwood, whose practice focuses on complex, cross-border debt restructurings, insolvencies and special situations financings, was previously a legacy Allen & Overy lifer, having been at A&O for over 15 years prior to its merger with U.S. firm Shearman & Sterling last year, according to his LinkedIn profile.

A report by recruitment firm Edwards Gibson released yesterday showed that the firm had lost six partners in the last month alone, whereas analysis completed by Law.com last month showed that more than 60 A&O Shearman partners have exited the firm in the eight months since the firm set out plans to cut 10% of its equity partnership.

For Paul Weiss, this hire follows on from the launch of its London restructuring department following the addition of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld restructuring veteran Liz Osborne.

Commenting on the latest hire, Neel Sachdev, global co-chair of finance and capital markets and co-head of the London office, said: "Nick is a key hire for our rapidly growing restructuring group in London, driven by the increasing demand for our services in the market,"

"With Liz Osborne joining last year to lead our European restructuring efforts and the recent announcement that Richard Hornshaw will lead European disputes, Nick's addition further strengthens a team equipped to handle the most complex cross-border restructuring matters."

The last year has seen the U.S. firm launch a number of European-based practices including a European funds group with the hire of Kirkland & Ellis partner James King, and a Brussels-based antitrust practice.

A spokesperson from A&O Shearman said: "We thank Nick for the contribution he has made to the firm and wish him all the best for the future."

—Habiba Cullen-Jafar

Questions? Tips? Contact our news desk: **editorialnylj@alm.com**

Roberts

« Continued from page 1

native, and a classmate of Roberts at Harvard Law School.

"You could tell it was two old friends," reacted attendee Lauren Breen, president of the Bar Association of Erie County, whose staff helped with logistics for the dinner.

Vilardo asked Roberts if he agreed with most judges' contention that judicial independence is crucial.

"It's central," Roberts replied, later adding that the U.S. judiciary is a coequal branch of government that has the authority to interpret the Constitution as law, and strike down acts of Congress or the president.

"That innovation doesn't work if the judiciary is not independent. Its job is, obviously, to decide cases. But in the course of that, to protect the excesses of Congress or the executive and that does require a degree of independence," Roberts said to applause.

When asked about calls to impeach judges, Roberts said, "Impeachment is not how you register disagreement with decisions."

Vilardo quipped: "That's what we're there for, right?"

President Donald Trump adviser Steve Bannon reacted strongly to this portion of the exchange on X.

"We're not talking about impeachments over disagreements," Bannon said "It's over dereliction of duty."

Meanwhile, the chief justice said he doesn't take into account the practical consequences of high court rulings, such as ones that have resulted in more people possessing guns, more citizens having healthcare, and more same-sex marriages.

Doing so, he said, "would be substituting your own view for those who wrote the Constitution."

During the fireside chat, Roberts mentioned that he knocked on the door of his childhood home, where he enjoyed "free concerts" at a nearby fairground.

In an interview with the Law Journal, Breen, the bar president, said she had a chance to make small talk with Roberts during a reception before the dinner. She said she hadn't known that they grew up in neighboring towns. Roberts moved from New York with his family to Indiana when he was 10.

"Personable guy," Breen said.

The bar president added: "We have a really wonderful relationship between the bench and the bar in Erie County, and so we were thrilled to celebrate" the court's anniversary with the numerous federal judges in attendance.

"And that Judge Vilardo brought in the chief justice was just incredible," she said.

The Bar Association of Erie County had already signed onto the American Bar Association's statement in support of Roberts' prior public comments denouncing calls to impeach judges. That month, it also joined more than 100 bar associations signing onto an ABA resolution in support of the rule of law.

"We in Erie County support our judiciary 100% and it was really nice that the chief justice mentioned that," Breen said.

"It was really fun," said Breen. "We look forward to hosting more supreme court justices. Ruth Bader Ginsberg was here a few years ago, and that was a wonderful event as well. We feel really honored that he visited us, and it was an incredible night for 600 people."

@ Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com.

Questions? Tips? Contact our news desk: **editorialnylj@alm.com**

Law

« Continued from page 1

right to set terms of office for county officials.

"If we were to accept that argument, counties could insert into their charters all sorts of rights not included in the constitution and thereby give constitutional status to those rights," the court wrote.

The court was also unconvinced by the plaintiffs' argument that the savings clause of the state constitution insulated local governments from legislative acts such as this.

Assistant Solicitor General Sarah Rosenbluth represented Gov. Kathy Hochul's defense of the law.

"Gov. Hochul is committed to expanding voting rights for all New Yorkers, and this legislation marked a major step forward in increasing voter participation, addressing voter fatigue, and working towards a true representative democracy. This unanimous court ruling is a win for the voters of New York," " the governor's spokesman, Avi Small, said in a statement Friday.

The legislation amended county law to require that most elections take place in even-numbered years as of January 2025. It also applies to certain town and village elective offices. The change would not effect judicial races for family, county and surrogate courts, and town justice and district attorney.

The legislation was sponsored by state Sen. James Skoufis, D-Cornwall.

"Our local and county governments have direct, day-to-day impact on our families and communities and, yet, voter fatigue has led to disastrously low levels of voter turnout in odd-numbered years without presidential or congressional races on the ballot," Skoufis said in a statement. "Our common sense move to enact even-year elections will empower our voting public and will foster a more inclusive, more representative democracy."

State Supreme Court Justice Gerard Neri of Onondaga County had declared the law unconstitutional in October.

@ Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com.

Have a Move to Announce?

E-mail potential "On the Move" items to pkane@alm.com

Verdicts & Settlements

MOTOR VEHICLE

Plaintiff: Lack of Snow Chains On Sanitation Vehicle Caused Crash

Settlement: $4,400,000

Wayne King v. The City of New York, No. 150745/2019 Date: January 15, 2025

Court: Richmond Supreme

Plaintiff Attorney(s): Jeffrey A. Block; Block O'Toole & Murphy, LLP; New York NY for Wayne King S. Joseph Donahue; Block O'Toole & Murphy, LLP; New York NY for

Defense Attorney(s): Tricia Reddington-Testaverde; Assistant Corporation Counsel, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel; New York, NY for city of New York

Facts: On Jan. 8, 2018, plaintiff Wayne King, 39, a sanitation worker for the New York City Department of Sanitation, was assigned District 3, in Staten Island, to conduct salt-spreading operations following a snowstorm four days earlier. Upon arrival at District 3, King was assigned a sanitation truck and given the assignment to conduct salting operations on Richmond Hill Road, also known as Snake Hill Road, which has a section of roadway with a steep decline and a bend in the roadway to the right. However, King observed there were no chains on the truck's tires. As a result, when he proceeded down the hill, his sanitation truck slid on black ice and flipped over when it reached the bend in the roadway. King claimed injuries to his back, neck, legs and shoulders.

King sued his employer, the city of New York, alleging that the city was negligent for failing to ensure he could perform his work safely.

Plaintiff's counsel contended that a sanitation order was issued for the placement of chains on the tires of sanitation vehicles participating in snow remediation work on Jan. 3, 2018. However, counsel contended that despite the order, no chains were on the vehicle assigned to King. Plaintiff's counsel argued that the city was responsible for the happening of the accident by failing to provide King with the required snow chains for his sanitation vehicle's tires.

The city's counsel asserted that chains were not required, as the roadway was previously cleared of snow, as the storm had concluded several days earlier. Counsel also asserted that King was responsible for the accident because he was speeding.

Injury: Emergency medical services took King to Staten Island University Hospital. He claimed he sustained protruding cervical and lumbar discs and a herniated lumbosacral disc at the L5-S1 level. He alleged that as a result, he suffered from radiculopathy and radicular pain to his upper and lower extremities. At the hospital, King was noted to have pain in his left elbow, left arm, left knee and both shoulders, as well as pain in both legs with numbness in his right leg.

King was treated and released with instructions to remain out of work and follow up with medical providers. Following his discharge, King continued to follow up with various medical providers and began a course of conservative care, which included physical therapy, pain management and the use of medications.

Due to ongoing complaints, King underwent various diagnostic studies, including an MRI of his lumbar spine, which was performed on March 18, 2018. The MRI revealed a right, L4-5 foraminal disc protrusion abutting the right L4 nerve root with an annular tear and a left S1 pars defect and right S1 hemi-lumbarization. A follow-up MRI, on Nov. 6, 2019, revealed a disc herniation at L5-S1. An MRI of the cervical spine, performed on March 18, 2018, revealed a broad-based left paracentral disc protrusion at C4-5 and a left paracentral disc protrusion at C6-7, which moderately effaced the thecal sac on the left. An EMG of the upper extremities, performed on April 9, 2018, revealed left C6-7 radiculopathy. An EMG of the lower extremities, performed on May 11, 2018, revealed right L3-4 radiculopathy.

King claimed that because conservative care failed to alleviate his symptoms, he consulted with various surgeons and ultimately underwent multiple surgical procedures. On April 26, 2019, King underwent surgery on his right knee. The procedure was performed by Dr. Gregory Montalbano, an orthopedic surgeon. On March 2, 2020, King underwent an anterior cervical fusion at C4-6, which was performed by Dr. Erich Anderer, a neurosurgeon. On July 13, 2020, King underwent a right-sided transforaminal discectomy and fusion at L5-S1, which was also performed by Anderer.

King claimed that following the surgeries, he continued to have a decreased range of motion in his neck, lower back and right knee as a result of his ongoing pain. He alleged that this pain and decreased motion caused limitations to his physical activities.

King sought recovery of past and future loss of wages and benefits, future medical care costs, and damages for past and future pain and suffering.

Defense counsel disputed King's alleged economic losses, asserting that any loss of earnings would be covered by disability pensions and that lifetime medical care would be covered under his disability retirement.

Result: The parties established a $4.4 million settlement before trial.

WORKER/WORKPLACE NEGLIGENCE

Sanitation Driver Claimed Dislodged Truck Panel Caused Fall

Verdict: $3,750,00

Ruddy Nunez v. The City of New York and The City of New York Department of Sanitation, No. 517772/2019 Date: November 04, 2024 Plaintiff(s):

Court: Kings Supreme

Plaintiff Attorney(s): Nicholas P. Vincent Gonchar; Krentsel Guzman Herbert LLP; New York NY for Ruddy Nunez

Defense Attorney(s): Steven M. Distler; Assistant Corporation Counsel, Muriel Goode-Trufant, Acting Corporation Counsel; Brooklyn, NY for City of New York, City of New York Department of Sanitation

Facts: On Dec. 29, 2018, plaintiff Ruddy Nunez, 41, a driver for the New York City Department of Sanitation, was collecting garbage in a sanitation truck. He came outside the truck to pick up a load of trash.

Nunez then stepped on the truck's metal panel as he tried to get back inside the vehicle. The panel gave way, causing him to fall several feet. Nunez claimed elbow injuries.

Nunez sued the city of New York and the Department of Sanitation. He claimed that the defendants' negligence caused his fall.

According to plaintiff's counsel, five screws were supposed to attach the metal panel to the truck. However, two screws were missing on the subject truck, Nunez alleged.

Nunez testified that he called a supervisor from his route several hours before the accident and reported that the panel was ajar. Nunez claimed he was told to finish his route and to bring the truck back to the garage when he was done so a mechanic could look at it. Nunez avoided the panel for a few hours but ultimately fell when it dislodged.

The defense argued that the city had no notice of the defective panel. Defense counsel further maintained that Nunez should have inspected the inside of the truck before he took it out on his route.

The supervisor testified before trial that he did not remember receiving the call from Nunez. The supervisor maintained that if he had known about the defective panel, then he would not have let the truck onto the roadway.

Injury: Nunez was taken by ambulance to a hospital. He was treated and released.

Nunez was ultimately diagnosed with lateral epicondylitis in his right, dominant, elbow. He also had tears of the same elbow's radial collateral ligament and lateral extensor tendon.

In March 2019, Nunez underwent open surgery to repair the torn ligament and tendon. The procedure included a debridement of the extensor tendon. Nunez had physical therapy both before and after the surgery. His therapy ended in June 2019.

Nunez missed six months of work after the fall. He initially returned to the Department of Sanitation as a clerical worker but went back to his old job on the truck in early 2024.

Nunez testified that his elbow pain made it more difficult to perform household tasks. He sought recovery of damages for his past and future pain and suffering.

The defense argued that Nunez recovered within six months and did not have a permanent injury. The defense claimed that Nunez regained full range of motion in his elbow.

Result: The jury determined that the defendants were fully liable for the accident. The jury awarded Nunez $3,750,000.

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

Plaintiff Alleged Doctor Botched Circumcision

Verdict: $3,550,000

Dennis Mahoney and Sandra Mahoney v. Orville McLenan, M.D., Integrated Medical Professionals, PLLC, d/b/a Advanced Urology Centers of New York, Mercy Medical Center and Catholic Health Services of Long Island., No. 616713/2018

Court: Nassau Supreme

Plaintiff Attorney(s): William J. Maniatis; Clark Law Group PC; Melville NY for Dennis Mahoney,, Sandra Mahoney

Defense Attorney(s): George J. Wilson; Kelly, Rode & Kelly; Mineola, NY for Orville McLenan

Facts: In 2016, plaintiff Dennis Mahoney, 62, developed balanitis. He was referred by his primary care physician to Dr. Orville McLenan, a urologist in Freeport. On Feb. 2, 2017, McLenan performed an adult circumcision on Mahoney, where he allegedly removed too much penile skin.

Dennis Mahoney and Sandra Mahoney sued McLenan, Advanced Urology Centers of New York, Mercy Medical Center and Catholic Health Services of Long Island, alleging medical malpractice and negligence.

Mahoney testified that McLenan was more than four hours late to the procedure. On cross examination, McLenan admitted that although he was familiar with and at times utilized the practice of surgically marking a patient prior to surgery, no markings were made prior to this procedure. Mahoney's wife, Sandra Mahoney, was called by McLenan and told the procedure was successful. The operative report details no difficulties during the procedure.

A follow-up appointment was scheduled; however he was seen five days later due to bleeding that resulted from a "popped stitch." There was allegedly no mention at the follow up examination of any abnormalities or problems as a result of the circumcision procedure. Mahoney began having regular erections again within days of the follow-up examination, but these were reportedly accompanied by severe pain in the underside of his penis and testicular area.

Mahoney sought the opinion of another urologist, Dr. Raymond Sultan. He reported experiencing pain and erectile dysfunction with "minimal skin on shaft" of the penis and was recommended a reconstructive urologist consultation. Mahoney saw two reconstructive surgeons within two months of the circumcision procedure.

» Page 6

VerdictSearch

The cases that appear here are derived from VerdictSearch New York, an affiliate of the New York Law Journal. For more detailed reports from VerdictSearch, to request research, or to submit a case for publication, go to VerdictSearch.com or call 1-800-832-1900.



Submit your winning case and be featured in VerdictSearch's weekly and monthly newsletters as well as the Top Verdicts & Settlement publications

VerdictSearch has over 180,000 verdicts and settlements, its database is updated daily, and it's all available at your fingertips. Search for award amounts, expert witnesses and more to win cases and negotiate from a position of strength.

To submit your case, visit VerdictSearch.com/submit-case or contact VerdictSearch at 1-800-445-6823

GET A FREE 24-HOUR TRIAL
VerdictSearch.com

ALM.

New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888

Official Publication for the First And Second Judicial Departments

150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017

Gina Passarella,
Editor-in-Chief of Global Legal Brands

Joe Pavone,
Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solutions

Donald Chalphin,
Global Director of ALM Event Sales & Sponsorships

Andrew Denney,
Bureau Chief

Christine Simmons,
Deputy Bureau Chief

Submissions Editors:
Jade Lopez, Kristie Rearick

Reporters:
Alyssa Aquino, Brian Lee, Emily Saul

Art Department:
Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel,
Ryland West, photographer

Decisions:
Jason Ducena, William Thiess

Calendars:
Patricia Kane, editor

Production:
Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson,
Steve Warren

Web:
Lora Hollien

Copy Desk:
Sean Gossard

BOARD OF EDITORS

Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum,
Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton,
Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays,
Ruth S. Hochberger,
Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan,
Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston,
Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum,
Thomas Oliva, David Schulz,
Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo,
Mark C. Zauderer

EDITORS EMERITUS

Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen,
Robert B. Fiske Jr., Barry Kamins,
Charles G. Moerdler,
Herbert Rubin

ALM:

212-457-9400; 800-888-8300

New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958

Advertising: 212-457-9465

Legal Notices: 866-305-3058

ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Bill Carter, CEO
Richard Green, Chief Commercialization Officer
Mark Okean, Chief Financial Officer
Patrick Fuller, Chief Legal Industry Strategist
Erin Dziekan, Chief People Officer
Patrice Coughlin, Chief of Staff
Jimi Li, Chief Technology Officer
Richard Caruso, SVP, Product, Legal
Josh Gazes, SVP, Operations
Gina Passarella, SVP, Content, Legal

READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.

Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com

To access decisions and articles published in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com.

ALM.

The New York Law Journal
(ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020)
is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays by ALM,
150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level,
New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b) of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions, First and Second Departments, pursuant to authority conferred on them by Section 91[1] and [2] of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York as a newspaper of general circulation for the publication of legal notices in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the New York Law Journal, 150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request. The New York Law Journal® is a registered trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

Calendar of Events

MONDAY, MAY 12

NYC Bar (CLE)

Reasonable Accommodations in the Workplace: An Inside View from the EEOC, NYS Division of Human Rights, and NYC Commission on Human Rights
5 p.m. – 6:30 pm
CLE credits: 1.5
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB051225&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

Transitioning from the Federal Government to Private and Public Sector Roles: An Interactive Workshop and Job Search Program
5 p.m. - 7:30 pm
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CAM051225&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

ADR Forum Series: Ask the Litigators - What Makes for Successful Mediation?
6 p.m. - 7 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=ADR051225&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

NYC Bar (CLE)

White Collar Crime Institute
Event Time: 9 a.m. – 5:30 pm
Kickoff Reception 6 p.m. – 8 p.m.
CLE Credits: TBD
Institute Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/wcc/
Kickoff Reception Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/wcc/
Location: 42 West 44th Street, New York

Federal Bar Council (CLE)

Ponzi Scheme! The Unwinding
6:30 pm – 7:30 pm
Location: Daniel Patrick Moynihan US Courthouse
1 CLE credit
https://fbc.users.membersuite.com/events/a5720928-0078-cb2b-c831-0b480c7c69d5/details

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

Owning Your Development & Maximizing the Junior Attorney Experience
12:30 pm - 1:45 pm
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CAM051425&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

The 2025 Milton Handler Lecture on Antitrust
6:30 pm - 7:45 pm
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=CMTE051425&mcode=NYLJ
Location: 42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, MAY 15

NYC Bar (CLE)

Practical Strategies for Mitigating Microaggressions in Legal Practice
9 a.m. - 10:45 am
CLE credits: 2
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB051525&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, MAY 16

NYC Bar (CLE)

Insurers' and Insureds' Perspectives on Current Issues in D&O Liability 2025
9 a.m. –12 p.m.
CLE credits: 3
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB051625&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

Senior Lawyers Chatroom
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SEN051625&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

TUESDAY, MAY 20

NYC Bar (CLE)

The Do's & Don'ts of Zoning Lot Mergers & Development Rights Transfers in New York City
9:30 am –12:30 pm
CLE credits: 3
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=_WEB052025&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21

NYC Bar (CLE)

Marketing and Advertising Law 2025
9 a.m. –1 p.m.
CLE credits: 4
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?4&EventKey=_WEB052125&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

Small Firm Chats – Stay Connected with Your Peers and Us!
12 p.m. - 12:45 pm
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SLFC052125&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Bar@theBar
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BAR052125&mcode=NYLJ
Location: 42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, MAY 22

NYC Bar (CLE)

Litigating Land Use Article 78 Proceedings in NYC
12 p.m. - 2 p.m.
CLE credits: 2
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=_WEB042425&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

Withholding of Federal Funding Under the Trump Administration
6 p.m. – 7:30 pm
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=WFF052225&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

WEDNESDAY, MAY 28

NYC Bar (Non CLE)

The Afterlives of Books: A Discussion of Rare Books, Collection Histories, and International Cultural Heritage Law
6 p.m. – 7:30 pm
In-Person Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=HIST052825&mcode=NYLJ

» Page 7

Judicial Ethics

Opinions From the Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics

The Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics responds to written inquiries from New York state's approximately 3,600 judges and justices, as well as hundreds of judicial hearing officers, support magistrates, court attorney-referees, and judicial candidates (both judges and non-judges seeking election to judicial office). The committee interprets the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR Part 100) and, to the extent applicable, the Code of Judicial Conduct. The committee consists of 28 current and retired judges, and is co-chaired by the Honorable Debra L. Givens, an acting justice of the supreme court in Erie County, and the Honorable Lillian Wan, an associate justice of the appellate division, second department.

Opinion: 24-202/24-203

Digest: A judge may participate in the appointment or reappointment of the county jury commissioner, even if the commissioner's first-degree relative was the judge's election opponent.

Rules: Judiciary Law § 503; 22 NYCRR 100.2; 100.2(A); 100.3(E)(1); Opinions 23-16; 21-54; 19-128; 19-78; 16-84; 90-136.

Opinion: The inquiring judge serves on a county jury board pursuant to Judiciary Law § 503. The judge asks if it is ethically permissible to participate in the appointment or reappointment process for a prospective or incumbent jury commissioner whose first-degree relative by blood or marriage (i.e. a spouse, parent, or child) was previously an election opponent of the judge. Nothing in the inquiry suggests any particular acrimony during the campaign, and the judge is confident in their ability to be fair and impartial in discharging their judicial duties.

A judge must always avoid even the appearance of impropriety (see 22 NYCRR 100.2) and promote public confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality (see 22 NYCRR 100.2[A]). A judge must disqualify him/herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality "might reasonably be questioned" (22 NYCRR 100.3[E][1]).

We have seldom had the opportunity to address judicial ethics questions concerning a judge's service on a county jury board. Indeed, in Opinion 21-54, we concluded that we cannot advise on whether Part 8 of the Rules of the Chief Judge precludes a county jury board from appointing or reappointing a jury commissioner whose spouse has assumed full-time judicial office within the same county.

Since the present inquiry appears to be a matter of first impression, we turn to our precedent concerning direct political opponents for guidance. We have advised that a judge need not recuse when an attorney appearing before the judge was the judge's unsuccessful opponent in a political campaign a year and a half earlier (see Opinion 90-136). As we explained (id.):

Whether the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned in this case depends on the facts and circumstances, including the time elapsed, the bitterness of the campaign, and the personal quality of the campaign. This Committee is not in a position to pass on such factual issues and the judge must decide for himself or herself whether his or her impartiality might reasonably be questioned. Of course, if the judge doubts his or her ability to be impartial, the judge must disqualify himself or herself.

Likewise, we advised that a judge need not disqualify when an attorney appearing before the judge was the judge's recent election opponent in a now concluded political campaign during which the candidates challenged the sufficiency of each other's nominating petitions (see Opinion 19-78). We reasoned that the filing of litigation challenging nominating petitions is a "common circumstance in contested election campaigns" that does not by itself establish a level of "bitterness" mandating disqualification (id.). Again, we reiterated that the judge must "decide for himself or herself" whether, in light of the litigation or other circumstances touching upon the bitterness or personal quality of the campaign, his/her impartiality might reasonably be questioned (see id., citing Opinion 90-136; see also Opinion 16-84 [reaching the same conclusion where the attorney who previously represented the judge's election opponent appeared before the judge]).

Here, the potential conflict is far less direct, as the prospective or incumbent jury commissioner was never the judge's election opponent, but is only a first-degree relative of that former election opponent. Moreover, the factual circumstances as described do not evince bitterness or acrimony during the judge's campaign. Accordingly, we conclude there is no reasonable basis to question the judge's impartiality with respect to participating in the appointment or reappointment process for the prospective or incumbent jury commissioner (cf. Opinion 19-128 [concluding that a village trustee's spouse may serve as village court clerk, even though a village trustee may not]). We note that it is ethically immaterial if the judge's spouse volunteered on the campaign of the commissioner's relative's election opponent(s), as "we have routinely acknowledged that spouses retain independent political identities" (Opinion 23-16).

Accordingly, we conclude this judge may participate in the appointment or reappointment of the county jury commissioner, even if the commissioner's first-degree relative was the judge's election opponent.

Verdicts

« Continued from page 5

The first, Dr. Neil Grafstein, who noted complaints of penile shortening and painful erections due to tethering of penile skin confirmed on physical exam as tethering and webbing of ventral penile skin with "frenulum essentially anastomosed to scrotal skin." Grafstein recommended a conservation course of treatment with creams followed by a surgical procedure to remove the scrotal skin within weeks.

Mahoney sought a second opinion with Dr. Steven Brandes, who also confirmed penoscrotal tethering after circumcision and hidden penis. Brandes recommended and performed a surgical revision on April 12, 2017 including scrotaplasty and correction of penoscrotal tethering and penile reconstruction. Mahoney experienced relief at the release of the penis from the scrotal tissue, but was still unable to engage in sexual intercourse. Brandes informed Mahoney that a split thickness skin graft was indicated, but warned that return of feeling in the area of the skin graft was not guaranteed.

Mahoney went forward with the surgical procedure, which included penile reconstruction, further release of penoscrotal tethering and a split thickness skin graft taken from a donor site on his left leg.

Mahoney followed up with nine appointments over three months. The plaintiff reported that sensation in the penis had not returned to a sufficient level to maintain an erection during sexual intercourse.

Defense claimed there was no mention of difficulty during the surgery and according to the operative report, there was no attachment of scrotal skin during the circumcision.

Injury: Mahoney claimed physical pain and suffering from the failed circumcision procedure resulting in multiple revision surgeries, scarring, loss of penile sensation, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of consortium and society and a complete loss of their intimate relationship.

According to the plaintiff's counsel, Mahoney had suffered the removal of too much penile skin, penoscrotal tethering to the underside of the penis with pain upon becoming erect, hidden penis, penile shortening and that all of these issues required treatment with reconstructive surgery, which had mixed results.

He also underwent a second revision surgery including penile reconstruction, correction of penile chord with split thickness skin grafts harvested from the left leg and was left with permanent scarring. In addition, he claimed permanent loss of penile sensation at the site of the skin grafts and inability to maintain erection during intercourse.

Defense focused on the size of the skin sample sent to pathology following the circumcision. Testimony was elicited from both plaintiff's experts and through the defense's own medical expert testimony, indicating that the skin is both elastic and under tension from muscles while on the body, but once removed, the skin begins to shrink immediately and placement in formalin shrinks the pathology sample.

In addition, defense argued the penoscrotal tethering was a result of the normal healing process. Plaintiff's counsel moved to preclude any expert testimony regarding such a causation theory, noting that it had not been disclosed in either the defendant's Bill of Particulars or the defendants 3101(d) expert disclosure.

The court initially granted that motion, however, the defense later moved to preclude plaintiff's counsel from claiming a specific departure for attachment of scrotal skin to the penis despite the fact that the Bill of Particulars listed that specific departure question and the Plaintiff's 3101(d) set forth that theory as well.

The court denied the defendant's application, but in doing so also changed the prior ruling to allow the introduction of the defense healing theory.

Plaintiff's counsel introduced the medical records of three surgeons who saw Mahoney post circumcision, none of which established or even mentioned his injuries and conditions were the result of the healing process. In addition, the treating records of the first reconstructive urologist noted that the scrotal skin was "anastomosed" to the penis.

Plaintiff's counsel elicited testimony from their expert that "anastomosis" is the surgical connection between two structures. The defense expert had noted "anastomosis scars" at the site of the skin grafts and cross examination elicited that such scarring was indicative of a surgical connection of the skin grafts to the penis. Further cross-examination established that the treating doctor's notation of anastomosed scrotal skin to the penis was evidence of a surgical connection, and that McLenan was the only surgeon who had performed surgery at that time.

Result: The jury found McLenan departed from the standard of care and awarded the plaintiffs $3,550,000 in damages.



Off the Front / Expert Analysis

Exits

« Continued from page 1

that did deals have been more divided in the aftermath of their deals, both internally and because of the public blowback.

As part of that division, the insider said, some of the firm leaders who have struck a deal are having some second thoughts about their decision to negotiate with Trump.

The nine firms that have brokered "pro bono" deals with the president include Kirkland & Ellis; A&O Shearman; Simpson Thacher & Bartlett; Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft; Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison; Latham & Watkins; Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom; Milbank; and Willkie Farr & Gallagher.

Representatives for all nine firms didn't reply to requests for comment.

Stay or Go?

Immediately after the Trump deals were announced, there weren't significant effects on the firms' partner recruitment. Indeed, some of the firms settling with Trump have hired laterals in the weeks after the deals were announced.

While there haven't been mass exits at those firms either, there have been some noticeable departures in the weeks after those deals were announced, including Paul Weiss' Steven Banks, who left to represent the Coalition for the Homeless with The Legal Aid Society, and Jeh Johnson, who will be co-chair of the board of trustees at Columbia University. (Both lawyers, in public statements, didn't link their career decisions to how their law firms handled political pressure.)

And amid Cadwalader's deal talks with the White House, the firm saw the exit of a 14-lawyer real estate group. (The lateral group did not mention the Trump deal in public remarks.)

Meanwhile, Joseph Baio, a senior counsel at Willkie, retired in the days after that firm announced a deal with Trump, while some associates from Kirkland, Simpson, Latham, and Willkie resigned, citing their opposition to the firms' deals with Trump.

While the White House deals have caused some frustrations within the partnerships at these firms, many who contemplate leaving are concerned they could face a similar fate at another firm.

"We received calls from partners who were disappointed in their firm's decision, but in most cases, it didn't move past venting to us and deciding to hold tight," said Dan Binstock, a recruiter and partner at Garrison. "They realized that leaving a firm because of an agreement could have massive ramifications if the new firm they join ended up doing the same."

Another law firm recruiter, Jeffrey Lowe, echoed that he has heard from attorneys at these firms who are frustrated, some of whom expressed an interest in a lateral move, but there are concerns with moving firms.

"While it's easy for them to identify the firms that are directly fighting the orders, there is a concern that they move from one firm that has settled with the administration to another firm that hasn't done anything yet, but might in the future," said Lowe, market president of Washington, D.C., for CenterPeak.

An associate at one of the firms making deals with Trump noted that overall associate reaction at the firm has changed since the firm deals were first announced. At first, frustrated associates were speaking up and making their voice heard and even considering leaving.

Now there's a feeling of "apathy," and associates understand that firm leaders won't change their attitude toward the deals, the associate said. While many associates still believe the deals were not good, "not everybody has the privilege to move," due to student loan debt or other obligations. "Very few of us can move on the spot."

And echoing the recruiters' point, it's not clear which other law firm will make a deal with the White House in the future, and which government and nonprofit jobs will be affected, the associate noted.

Some associates are now saying they will change firms at the end of this year or early next year, after bonuses have been paid out, the associate said.

It's not that the deals are being viewed as more reasonable, the associate said, but it's more "self-preservation and choosing where you are going to expend your energy."

Now, some associates are less enthusiastic about promoting their firm to others, the associate said, adding this means they are less willing to go to law school events, less willing to recruit summer associates, and less willing to recommend their law firms for other associate candidates.

Partnership Impact

Ronald Minkoff, chair of the professional responsibility group at Frankfurt Kurnit Klein + Selz, has seen the effects that impactful events can have on a partnership.

"Any major problem in a firm, one that threatens the existence of the firm itself, is going to have some long-lasting cultural effects," Minkoff said in an interview. "It is something partners will talk about for years—whether they did the right thing or not."

As to this particular circumstance, though, Minkoff said the short-term effects, such as "grumbling about leadership," would likely be present. However, he didn't believe those actions would be enough to destabilize a firm of the size of the firms that made a deal with the White House.

Les Corwin, of counsel at Duane Morris and author of a book on Big Law partnership agreements, said that although there may be internal disagreements and grumblings about the decisions some firms made, he said the structure of most of the partnership agreements put the authority to make those decisions squarely on a select group of leadership personnel. And the partnership knows what they signed up for.

"We are dealing with a situation where the decisions are being made by the managing partner, the executive committee, the management committee, or a small group within the firm. The firm has given that person or persons the ability to make these kinds of decisions. And to abide by them," Corwin said.

Both Corwin and Minkoff, who both have a strong understanding of evolving partnership dynamics, said the culture of the firms that lean more heavily in corporate practice areas likely also influenced their decision.

"I know from the work that I do, representing lawyers from firm to firm, the kind of money that capital markets, finance and M&A partners are getting compared to what litigators are getting," Minkoff said. "It's a substantial difference and indicates the influence they have in the firms. A lot of this was having the mindset to make a deal, avoid a fight, and avoid risking these huge practice areas that the government would make it impossible for them to practice."

Minkoff added that he wasn't making a value judgment on the decisions.

"I'm not saying right or wrong either way, as they (the law firms and attorneys) are victims too," he said. "Do you pay ransom to the kidnappers or not? That is the question they were confronted with. Obviously, in a corporate-controlled setting, they are not going to pick fights with the federal government."

Meanwhile, the law firms that are fighting have been brought closer together with more cohesion and positive sentiment, "fueled by common interests in preserving the rule of law and pushing back together," according to the industry insider with knowledge of many firms.

Perkins Coie, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, Jenner & Block, and Susman Godfrey have all sued the Trump administration over its executive orders against the firms. Perkins Coie obtained a summary judgment to void the order, while Jenner, Wilmer and Susman have moved to also void the order and are waiting final court rulings.

Representatives from Jenner & Block, Perkins Coie and Susman Godfrey did not respond to a request for comment.

A Wilmer representative declined to comment for this article, but a person familiar with Wilmer's collective mindset now said, "It's in the firm's DNA to fight for its ability to zealously represent its clients and the rule of law."

"Those are core aspects of its culture, which is why its lawyers and business professionals have rallied around its decision to challenge the unconstitutional order," the person added.

Christine Simmons contributed to this report.

@ Patrick Smith can be reached at pasmith@alm.com.

Crashes

« Continued from page 3

air ambulance operations, the guidance is older and nonbinding. *See* FAA Advisory Circular No. 135-14A. These regulations and guidance can often be a helpful standard to reference in negligence cases to demonstrate a violation of the standard of care.

The aircraft used as air ambulances are often heavily modified general aviation aircraft, such as the Pilatus PC-12 and Beech King Air. Many of these aircraft have been long in service, having been converted to air ambulances only later in their service life. In 2019-2020, for instance, the average age of air ambulance aircraft was 26 years old, and the median age was 25 years. *See* Sherry & Wang, *Statistical Overview*, *supra*, at 362.

The Philadelphia crash demonstrates why it is so important for operators to follow the stringent operating standards for medflight.

The National Transportation Safety Board is still investigating the crash, but the massive fireball is a reminder of the primary reason that medflight crashes are so rarely survivable – air ambulance aircraft carry oxygen tanks on board for patient care, which explode in crashes and post-crash fires.

The crash also occurred right after takeoff and the full fuel tanks added to the conflagration. The data bears this risk out: there were significantly more aircraft fires in medical flight crashes and those fires significantly increased the odds of a fatal outcome. *See* Handel & Yackel, *Fixed Wing Medical Transport*, *supra*, at 150-151.

Frequent Legal Issues

Patient, Crew or Bystander? – General Aviation Revitalization Act

Victims of medflight crashes often must contend with the General Aviation Revitalization Act (GARA), a federal statute that sets an 18-year statute of repose on products liability claims related to general aviation aircraft and components. *See* Pub. L No. 103-298, 108 Stat. 1552 (reprinted in notes for 49 U.S.C. §40101).

Since many air ambulances are converted general aviation aircraft and the median age of the medflight fleet is older than 18 years, GARA can pose a problem for claimants seeking to pursue a products liability claim concerning a medflight crash.

GARA contains an exception, however, that specifically benefits medflight patients injured or killed in medflight crashes:

> if the person for whose injury or death the claim is being made is a passenger for the purposes of receiving treatment for a medical or other emergency…

GARA provides a further exception for ground victims of a crash, like the two Philadelphians who died in the Jan. 31 crash:

> if the person for whose injury or death the claim is being made was not aboard the aircraft at the time of the accident …

These exceptions remove the GARA statute of repose as an issue for the patient and ground victims of a medflight crash. They do not, however, apply to any pilots or medical crew transporting the patient.

Since fatal medflight crashes nearly always involve multiple fatalities of both patients and passengers or crew, as well as ground victims, claimants may face different legal options that need to be carefully evaluated and may lead to very different litigation strategies.

Even for those claimants whose claims are subject to GARA, there is often a viable products liability case. While air ambulances are often older than GARA's 18-year statute of repose, aircraft components are frequently life limited, needing replacement or overhaul significantly more often than 18-years.

Any product liability claim evaluation must therefore go much deeper into the aircraft's history and maintenance than just the age of the overall airframe.

Can the Crew Sue?

In a non-products liability case against operators or maintainers of an air ambulance aircraft for their operational negligence, the crew of a medflight crash must also confront whether their tort claims are barred by worker's compensation exclusivity.

If the pilot or medical crew were employed by the same company that owned and operated the aircraft, then workers' compensation is almost certainly their only remedy. Medflight operations, however, are often not so simple.

> Again, the analysis must be closely evaluated under the controlling law and employment facts confronted by each injured party in any medflight crash.

Many medflight operators are part of large conglomerates or structured as multiple different companies. It is not unusual for the medical providers on board a medflight aircraft (i.e., nurses, paramedics, etc.) to be employed separately from the pilot(s). Part of this stems from the history of the medflight industry.

Years ago, most medflight operators were hospital affiliated. *See* Sherry & Wang, *Statistical Overview*, *supra*, at 360. They provided all the medical services, staff, and equipment. They either owned and operated their own aircraft or contracted an independent operator.

When Medicare began covering the reasonable cost of medflights, the economics of medflight changed drastically and independent operators proliferated. While hospital affiliated medflights are usually not-for-profit, independent operators are almost always for profit. Usually, independent medflight companies employ both medical and flight crews, and own and operate their own aircraft.

The significant profits available in the medflight space also attracted private equity investors and a wave of consolidation.

As of 2017, two private equity owned helicopter air ambulance companies made up 64% of the Medicare market, and the same two companies plus another smaller private equity owned company represented 62% of the Medicare market for fixed wing air ambulances. *See* Loren Adler, Kathleen Hannick and Sobin Lee, *High air ambulance charges concentrated in private equity owned carriers*.

Despite the increase in independent medflight operators and the wave of consolidation, there remains a decent chance that different entities employ the medical and flight crews involved in a medflight crash.

This might be because it involved an older hospital affiliated operator that has contracted out the aviation part of its operation. It could also be a historical quirk of an independent operator's organization due to a past acquisition or merger, absorbing one independent operator into a larger private equity owned conglomerate.

There are also independent operators who have always kept their medical and aviation businesses separate, perhaps to simplify regulatory compliance or gain other benefits from separation, since both medicine and aviation are heavily regulated in their own unique ways. The structure of the potential defendants and the employment of all potential claimants needs to be carefully evaluated.

Just because the medical crew is employed by a separate entity from the aircraft operator or pilots' employer does not mean the workers' compensation bar is no longer a concern. Whether medical crew member victims are still within the scope of a medflight operator's workers' compensation immunity will depend on the applicable state's workers' compensation law.

Since many medflight transports cross state lines and involve medical and flight crews who commute from one state to another for work, the first step in evaluating this question will be determining the venue for your claims and what state's workers' compensation law will apply under that venue's choice of law principles.

Once the applicable state law is determined, how that law applies to the facts at hand must be determined. Many states allow more than just the direct employer of a claimant to take advantage of workers' compensation immunity. The tests and rationale vary.

In Nevada, for instance, an entity other than the direct employer can still be immune from a tort suit under the workers' compensation statute if the separate entity is considered a "statutory employer" or "statutory co-employee" of the injured worker.

Nevada law often affords subcontractors and independent contractors the same status as "employees" for the purpose of determining the scope of workers' compensation immunity. Just as a worker could not sue their employer if a co-worker injured them on the job, the injured worker often cannot sue an independent contractor who is a "statutory co-employee" in Nevada.

To determine whether the separate entity qualifies as a "statutory co-employee" in non-construction contexts, the Nevada courts utilize the "normal work" test from *Meers v. Haughton Elevator*, 101 Nev. 283, 701 P. 2d 1006 (1985). In short, the test is whether the work done for the direct employer by the separate sub- or independent contractor entity "is, in that business normally carried on through employees rather than independent contractors.."

In the air ambulance context, if the medical crews are employed by a separate entity from the aviation crews that operate the aircraft, then the aviation entity is not performing the same "normal work" as the medical crew's employer. Instead, each entity has specialized and does not perform the same "normal work" as the other. There, separately employed medical crews have a strong tort claim against a separate aviation entity.

Some other state laws follow this theme, but add other elements or factors to consider, such as whether the company seeking immunity exercised supervision or control over the work performed by the injured worker. *See, e.g.*, *Alsadi v. Intel Corp.*, 519 F. Supp. 3d 611, 633-34 (D. Ariz. 2021).

Again, the analysis must be closely evaluated under the controlling law and employment facts confronted by each injured party in any medflight crash. With the proper analysis, however, the varied corporate structures and employment arrangements used by medflight companies can often leave open a tort remedy for medical crew members who may have appeared barred by workers' compensation.

In conclusion, medflight aviation has unique risks that result in high accident rates. Medflight victims face significant challenges in seeking justice after the crash. Workers' compensation rules may shield the operator from negligence claims, including those arising from pilot negligence.

Under federal law, aircraft manufacturers are protected by the General Aviation Revitalization Act for aircraft older than 18-years. A patient killed or injured in a medflight accident and ground victims do not face these same hurdles and have a much better chance of success in civil litigation.

Ripple

« Continued from page 1

ments already laid out by the Commission," Crenshaw said. "That would undermine the agency's new apparent mission of dismantling our crypto enforcement program and eroding investor protections. For these reasons, I cannot support our settlement."

'Innovation and Risk'

The SEC filed an enforcement action against Ripple, its CEO, Bradley Garlinghouse, and its executive chair, Christian A. Larsen, in 2020. The SEC alleged that the sale of Ripple Lab's XRP tokens amounted to a $1.3 billion unregistered securities offering.

U.S. District Judge Annalisa Torres, who presides in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, held on summary judgment that Ripple did sell unregistered securities to the direct buyers, characterized as "institutional buyers," but that the crypto exchange did not sell unregistered securities to the secondary market, labeled "programmatic buyers" who purchased the XRP token through platforms or exchanges.

But the SEC disagreed with the partial ruling and filed an appeal to overturn that decision. The court also ordered Ripple to stop breaking the law against selling securities and issued a $125 million fine, which the crypto exchange appealed.

Meanwhile, under the Biden administration, then SEC Chair Gary Gensler, with the backing of the Commission, issued Wells notices and sued a broad array of crypto companies. Those actions led to hundreds of millions of dollars in campaign donations from the industry ahead of the 2024 presidential and congressional elections.

Among the recipients was Donald Trump, whose presidential platform included promises to create a strategic digital asset reserve and to end the SEC's "war on crypto."

Trump has mostly followed through on his promises while also facing calls of corruption based upon his family's pivot, ahead of and following the election, to investments in the digital asset space—for instance, impeding the passage on Thursday of a first-of-its-kind bill aimed at regulating cryptocurrencies because of the president's ties.

Now, the SEC has announced that it obtained a settlement with Ripple, Garlinghouse and Larsen to resolve the Commission's civil enforcement action. In doing so, the SEC confirmed the defendant's announcement in March that the agency ended its four-year legal battle against the exchange.

And the settlement provides that the Commission and Ripple would jointly request the district court to issue an indicative ruling that would dissolve the injunction against Ripple in the district court's Aug. 7 final judgment, as well as order the release of the escrow account holding the more than $125,000 civil penalty imposed by the final judgment, with $50 million paid to the Commission in full satisfaction of that penalty and the remainder returned to Ripple.

Following that, the settlement provides that the Commission and Ripple will seek a limited remand to the district court for that relief, after which they would move to dismiss their respective appeals from the final judgment, which are currently pending in the Second Circuit.

"The Commission's decision to exercise its discretion and seek a resolution of this pending enforcement action rests on its judgment that such resolution will facilitate the Commission's ongoing efforts to reform and renew its regulatory approach to the crypto industry, not on any assessment of the merits of the claims alleged in the action," the SEC said in a statement.

Arlo Devlin Brown, a partner at Covington & Burling and former chief of the Southern District of New York's public corruption unit, said in an email that the settlement with Ripple is part of the SEC's continuing pattern of resolving or pausing crypto-related litigation until it develops and announces rules for the regulation of digital assets.

"It's far from clear when we'll get those rules, though, as efforts to enact a new regulatory regime have been stalling in Congress," Brown said. "Until new regulatory guidance is issued, the crypto world will continue to operate in a vacuum. The SEC's decision to back off has encouraged players in the industry to push the envelope in both innovation and risk, but how this all shakes out in the end is anyone's guess."

@ Michael A. Mora can be reached at mmora@alm.com.

Have an event to list?
E-mail the details to pkane@alm.com

Have a Move to Announce?
E-mail pkane@alm.com

Calendar

« Continued from page 6

Location:
42 West 44th Street,
New York
Contact: Customer Relations
Department, 212-382-6663 or
customerrelations@nycbar.org

THURSDAY, MAY 29

Federal Bar Council (CLE)
Environmental Justice 101:
Past, Present, and Future?
6:15pm – 7:30pm
Location:
Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP
CLE Credit TBD
https://fbc.users.membersuite.com/events/a5720928-0078-c2d9-c5f3-0b4824802ee4/details

NYC Bar (Non CLE)
Yoga for Lawyers
7 p.m. - 7:45 pm
Webinar Registration Link:
https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=YOGA052925&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations
Department, 212-382-6663 or
customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, MAY 30

NYC Bar (Non CLE)
Senior Lawyers Chatroom
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link:
https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SEN053025&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations
Department, 212-382-6663 or
customerrelations@nycbar.org

READER'S SERVICES

For questions regarding reprints and permissions, call 347-227-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.

For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

Send decisions of interest to decisions@alm.com

Outside Counsel / Expert Analysis

AI

« Continued from page 4

JAMS Comprehensive Arbitration Rules & Procedures;

2. The guidelines created procedures covering the production and expert review of AI product technical information; and

3. JAMS created a protective order specifically for the use in AI technology disputes that provides increased levels of confidentiality designations as default.

Id. at Rule 16.1.

Production of AI Systems. JAMS's rules outline a specific procedure for the production of "any AI systems or related materials, including, but not limited to, hardware, software, models and training data," which must be made available to "one or more expert(s) in a secure environment" for purposes of litigating the dispute. *Id.* Additionally, at the parties' request, an arbitrator will select one or more experts to inspect the AI systems and produce related materials. Experts are selected from a list of experts maintained by JAMS, and the output of the expert is limited to a written report addressing questions posed by the arbitrator. *Id.*

Expedited Procedures. JAMS incorporated its pre-existing expedited dispute process, which is optional in disputes generally, into the Rules. *Id.* Absent other prescribed rules, disputes or claims administered by JAMS that are AI-related are governed by these expedited procedures. *Id.*

Protective Order. JAMS also adopted an AI Disputes Protective Order that applies to disputes covered by the Artificial Intelligence Disputes Clause. *Id.* The protective order creates both "confidential" and "highly confidential" designations for non-publicly available materials. *Protective Order Pursuant to the JAMS Artificial Intelligence Disputes Rules*, JAMS, (June, 14, 2024).

> JAMS aims to equip legal professionals and parties engaged in dispute resolution with clear guidelines and procedures that address the unique challenges presented by AI.

Courts

By litigating in courts, rather than an Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") forum, companies with AI technology at the center of a dispute can face extended timelines for resolution. In 2024, the median time of a federal civil trial from filing to completion was over 33 months. *Table T3- U.S. District Courts – Trials Statistical Tables for the Federal Judiciary (Dec. 31, 2024)*, United States Courts, (Dec. 31, 2024). While litigation timelines are largely agnostic to a company's product, discovery procedures vary by court and can have additional repercussions for AI-focused technologies.

Production of AI Systems. The extent to which AI core technology is discoverable is determined by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and local court rules. We are only just beginning to see how courts will approach the scope of discovery with respect to artificial intelligence's immense training datasets and iterative algorithms.

A recent case highlights the extent to which companies in the AI industry may be required to produce training and algorithm data. In *Tremblay v. OpenAI, Inc.*, Tremblay accused OpenAI of using copywritten material to train AI products. OpenAI produced two training datasets, and Tremblay requested that the Court compel OpenAI to produce a third dataset––the dataset used to train the GPT-4 model. Trembly argued there was no basis under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the exclusion of the dataset, and specifically that the dataset was reasonably accessible without undue burden or cost under Rule 26(b)(2)(B). Joint Discovery Letter Brief filed by Paul Tremblay et. al. at 1, *Tremblay v. OpenAI, Inc.,* 716 F. Supp. 3d 772 (N.D. Cal. 2024) (3:23-cv-03223), 1 n.1, ECF No. 254.

In response, OpenAI attempted to produce a limited dataset, arguing that the training dataset is "staggeringly enormous" and that the production would "raise a significant risk of exposing competitively sensitive data." *Id.* at 3. The judge did not find the burden of production to be unduly burdensome and ordered the production of the contested dataset. Aruni Soni, *OpenAI to Provide Training Dataset to Authors in Suit (Correct)*, Bloomberg Law (Jan. 28, 2025). The court did agree with OpenAI that the production should be sealed, keeping OpenAI's training data private for the time being. *Id.*

Conclusions

To date, JAMS is the only arbitral tribunal to release a set of guidelines directed the resolution of disputes involving AI technology. Companies in the AI industry may wish to take advantage of JAMS's procedures and draft arbitration provisions accordingly.

Meanwhile, courts and arbitral tribunals will continue to grapple with the novel issues presented by disputes involving this technology, and legal practitioners' use of AI tools in the preparation of pleadings and other legal documents.

Letters Welcome

The Law Journal welcomes letters from its readers for publication. They must contain the names and addresses of correspondents. Letters should be of reasonable length and submitted with the understanding that all correspondence is subject to the editorial judgment of the newspaper in considering duplication, length, relevancy, taste and other criteria. Letters may be e-mailed to:

@ | adenney@alm.com

Mix

« Continued from page 3

Plaintiff merely sought what she asserted was hers and nothing more. The fact that plaintiff did not ultimately establish that she held any interest in the company did not trigger the clause – the court finding that the triggering event of an in terrorem clause depends on the nature, and not the merits, of the plaintiff's claim. *Carlson v. Colangelo*, 2025 NY Slip Op -2264.

Late Filing of Objections Allowed

Before the Surrogate's Court, Columbia County, was a contested probate proceeding in which the petitioner moved to dismiss the objections filed by the respondents on the grounds that they were untimely, and otherwise without merit.

> Within this context, the court noted that the beneficiary's primary concerns with the nominated executor were lodged with the Supreme Court litigation pertaining to the Citibank proceeds.

The propounded instrument was dated Sept. 9, 2020. On the return date of citation, the parties by their respective counsel entered a scheduling order requiring that written discovery be completed by Sept. 30, 2024, and depositions be completed by Oct. 31, 2024.

SCPA 1404 examinations were conducted and completed on Nov. 21, 2024, and the transcripts thereof were served on respondents' counsel on Nov. 27, 2024.

Pursuant to the terms of the scheduling order, objections were to be filed within ten days of the receipt of the transcripts, i.e. on or before Dec. 9, 2024. However, instead, respondents filed their objections on Dec. 13, 2024.

Upon the filing of the motion to dismiss, respondents' counsel sent a letter to the court containing certain representations, and on the basis of same, requested that the court accept the untimely objections for filing. In response, the court "so ordered" the letter, and accepted the objections subject to the petitioner's motion to dismiss.

In determining whether to accept late objections for filing, the court observed that consideration must be given to the reason for the delay, the extent of the delay, the deliberateness of the default, the prejudice which might result, and the merits of the objections.

In seeking to explain respondents' four-day delay in filing their objections to probate, counsel explained, *inter alia*, that he had left the office for the day before he received the SCPA 1404 transcripts, that the office was closed for two days thereafter for the Thanksgiving holiday, that all of the respondents lived out of state, and that he failed to calendar the due date of the objections, which was inadvertent.

Finding that these reasons were acceptable "realities" in the practice of law, and recognizing that the petitioner asserted no prejudice arising from the delay, the court, in the exercise of discretion, found sufficient basis to accept the late objections.

In reaching this result, the court rejected petitioner's contentions that an affidavit of merit by the respondents' counsel was a prerequisite to granting respondents relief under the circumstances.

Towards that end, the court relied on the decision in *In re Seusing,* 1998 WL 35421035 (Sur. Ct. Nassau County), in order to hold that it would not require the respondents to demonstrate entitlement to judgment as a matter of law to overcome their four-day default.

The court reasoned that it would potentially be a monumental task presented to an objectant if he or she was forced to present a meritorious challenge to a will immediately after the date objections should have been filed.

On the other hand, the court held that its decision did not relieve respondents of the need to show some merit to their claims regarding the propounded will. Under the circumstances, the court held that this required, at the very least, an examination of the facial sufficiency of the objections.

To this extent, the court found that respondents' objections to probate on the grounds of duress and undue influence were of sufficient merit to permit their late filing. However, the court concluded that the circumstances alleged by the respondents were insufficient to support their alternate claim for the imposition of a constructive trust with respect to the sale proceeds of decedent's interest in a closely-held corporation.

Specifically, the court determined that respondents failed to allege a promise that had been made by the decedent relative to the subject proceeds, or demonstrate that a transfer of the proceeds had been made in reliance on any such promise.

Respondents' contention that the decedent had wanted to give the sale proceeds to them was also found unavailing to sustain a claim for a constructive trust.

Accordingly, the court granted petitioner's motion to dismiss those objections to probate predicated on a theory of constructive trust, and in all other respects, denied the relief requested. *In re Ingleburger*, 85 Misc3d 1220 (A) (Sur. Ct., Columbia County 2025).

Nominated Executor Found Eligible to Serve

In *In re Murrell,* the Surrogate's Court, New York County, was confronted with an application by a beneficiary of the estate requesting a determination that the nominated executor under the propounded will was ineligible to serve as fiduciary, pursuant to the provisions of SCPA 707 (1)(d), and that she be appointed in her place and stead.

Specifically, the beneficiary alleged that the nominated executor had engaged in self-serving conduct, including the conversion of the decedent's assets at Citibank prior to the decedent's death.

The ownership of these proceeds, which were held in a joint account between the decedent and the nominated executor, was the subject of a Supreme Court action that had been commenced by the decedent prior to her death.

While the court recognized that the testator's selection of a fiduciary was to be accorded deference, it equally noted that it had the authority to limit the authority of a fiduciary in order to best protect the estate and its beneficiaries, which could include a requirement that a bond be posted even where the will dispensed with one.

Within this context, the court noted that the beneficiary's primary concerns with the nominated executor were lodged with the Supreme Court litigation pertaining to the Citibank proceeds.

Indeed, to this extent, the court opined that if the nominated executor were appointed fiduciary, she would at once become a plaintiff in the action, as well as a defendant, and thereby be placed in a conflicted role.

Nevertheless, the court found that disqualification of the nominated executor was not warranted, and could be accommodated by limitations in the letters precluding her from representing the estate in the Supreme Court action, and the posting of a bond.

Accordingly, preliminary letters testamentary were issued to the nominated executor within these parameters.

Further, the court held that it was authorized to appoint a temporary administrator of the estate in order to conserve its assets. Therefore, in view of the circumstances, the court appointed the beneficiary the temporary administrator of the estate with authority limited to representing the estate in the Supreme Court action. *In re Murrell*, 2025 NYLJ LEXIS 663 (Sur. Ct., New York County).

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

CALENDAR FOR THE JUNE TERM

TUESDAY, MAY 13

2 P.M.

23/1441(1) People v. Monet Duzant
25/518 Fortson v. Thompson
24/2359 H., Children
24/7348 Leftt v. Blodgett
24/6942 Zhakiyanov v. Ogai
23/5131 People v. Kevin Avila
24/3637 Stein v. Rockefeller University Hospital
24/1587 Grove Equities v. Diaz
23/70 People v. Robert Wilson
25/723 Arencibia v. SilverLining, Inc.
23/4831 Theroux v. Resnicow
24/2502(2) EXRP 14 Holdings v. LS-14 Ave
22/4028 People v. David Martin
24/3651N Blinbaum v. Chan

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

2 P.M.

23/6308 People v. Ryan Cruzado
25/1075 Martinez v. City of NY
24/7155 R., Olga v. Olga M.
24/3480 Real World v. 393 West Broadway
24/6199(2) Tahari v. Narkis
22/2716(1) People v. Ruddy Osias
24/3749 Patel v. Maybank Kim Eng Securities
24/6455 Gamma USA v. Pavarini McGovern LLC
19/1916(2) People v. James Rackover
24/5726 McCann v. Ship Wrecked Bar
25/561 Island Consolidated v. Grassi & Co.
17/2055(1) People v. Brandon Vilella
24/4431 H. G., an Infant v. NYC Transit Authority
24/3449N Valley National Bank v. 252 W. 31 St. Corp.

THURSDAY, MAY 15

2 P.M.

23/4332 People v. Jared McGowan
24/5290 2 Cap Investments v. Frog Investments
24/4581 S., Lulu v. Rahmel H.
24/403 Promenade Nelson Apts v. NYS Division Housing
24/3042 Mevram Services v. Quadrum Hospitality
22/4348 People v. Juan Cordero
24/1838 Cuzco v. Broome Property
24/3765 Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's v. Itzhak Nissanoff Inc.
23/5321 People v. Eric Daniel
24/3437 Staff v. Luen Nam Realty
24/4546 Prete v. JJ Hoyt LLC
25/217 JLJ Productions v. Amazon.com
20/2165 People v. Donald O'Toole
24/7606N Bykovtseva v. DTH Capital

TUESDAY, MAY 20

2 P.M.

22/5282 People v. Christopher Walls
24/1743(3) U.S. Bank v. Chait
24/2868 A./M., Children
24/1245 Stallard v. NYC Police Department
24/5495 Miracle NY Properties v. Nayber 18
23/5124 People v. Kirby Hiciano
24/1788(2) Singer v. De Blasio
20/2475 People v. Kevin Davis
24/5160 268 W. 12th Owners Corp. v. Kunst
25/1377 Ross v. Onegevity Throne Health Tech
23/3406 People v. Chaquona Wood
21/447 People v. Lazareth P.
24/3474N Reyes v. City of NY
25/907N Metropolitan Partners v. Nerney

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21

2 P.M.

23/3142 People v. Anthony Stokes
24/1121 Tucker v. All Metro Home Care
24/6625 B., Kevin v. Tanisha H.
25/666 HSBC Bank v. Amponsah
24/3461 Yang v. Knights Genesis
19/5317 People v. Pierre Maycock
24/3971 Berrones v. 130 E. 18 Owners
24/5695 People v. Jonathan Hernandez
25/830 Ceratosaurus Investors v. B2C Alternative Equity
24/5643 Perez v. Norman's Cay Group
22/2018 People v. Jonathan Cedeno
23/1003 People v. Marianella Diaz
24/4777N Roberts v. City of NY
23/4703N PanWest NCA2 v. Rockland NCA2

THURSDAY, MAY 22

2 P.M.

24/449 People v. Devin Webbert
23/1164 Stuyvesant Town v. NYS Division Housing
24/6655 M., Damineh v. Bedouin J.
24/4926 Weatherspoon v. Mazal Ubracha 101
19/3413 People v. Hector Hernandez
24/4837 Board of Managers v. Miller
24/5100 Daniello v. J.T. Magen & Company
23/4282 People v. Sergio Celleri
19/2012 People v. Damien Bell
24/6572(2) One River Run v. Milde
25/744 Olympic Galleria Co. v. Sitt
24/1069 People v. Adam Rivera
25/682N Rosario v. Hallen Construction
24/6500N Prospect Capital v. Morgan Lewis

TUESDAY, MAY 27

2 P.M.

23/1713 People v. Kamal Dockery
24/3382 Szymczyk v. Hudson 36
22/5411 M., Children
24/2742N avarro v. Joy Construction
21/633 People v. Sophia Fearing
24/4463 Rubin v. Sabharwal
24/3348(2) Spin Capital v. Golden Foothill Insurance
23/2079 People v. Sean Bryan
20/2147 People v. Pedro Vega
24/3450 Felton v. St. Joseph Hospital
24/4168 Providence Construction v. Silverite Construction
23/629 People v. Dominick Tarazona
24/5204(3)N Slabakis v. Poyiadjis
24/3721N Associated Industries v. Farahnik

WEDNESDAY, MAY 28

2 P.M.

23/5635 People v. Joyquin McCall
24/3476 Toomer v. NYC Housing Authority
24/5095 J., Jeselle v. Alexis J.
22/4211 People v. Dante Thomas
24/3092 Chatham Capital v. Platinum Asset
24/1510 People v. Shanasier Frasier
24/4099 Wollman v. Seven Seas Union
19/2853 People v. Josue Maldonado
24/1955 People v. Jawaun Sims
24/4524N ational Community v. Midtown Coalition
24/2297 Palmer v. City of NY
24/4520(2)N Arena Limited v. Chalets LLC
24/5964N Wyse v. Amtrust North America
24/3801N Idahosa v. MFM Contracting

THURSDAY, MAY 29

2 P.M.

22/5759 People v. Lisandro Cabrera
23/6379 Abrams v. Abrams
24/6705 M., J'Quan v. Zhonvel B.
24/2301 Hasan v. Macerich Company
23/5980 People v. Rockeem M.
24/6749 Cooper v. Arbor Realty Trust
23/6001 Goon v. Grand Central Partnership
19/2033 People v. Akram Joudeh
23/4355 McCoy v. Lvovsky
24/5780 American Infertility of NY v. Kushnir
23/3936 People v. Kareem Lowndes
24/5061N Spay, Inc. v. ASMF Holdings
24/7800(3)N AT&T Mobility v. Grupo Salinas

TUESDAY, JUNE 3

2 P.M.

23/3918 People v. Daniel Citalan
23/4993(2) 600 Associates v. Illinois Union Insurance
24/589 P., Juan v. Wendy R.
24/2304(1) Engley v. 639 Jefferson Place
24/6083(1) Engley v. City of NY
24/1734 People v. Jaiden Dechabert
24/7029(6) Ametek, Inc. v. Goldfarb
25/1066 Board of Managers v. 45 East 22nd St.
24/7033 L./S., Children
22/3375 People v. Charles Kenyatta
22/2774 People v. Anthony Messina
24/1568 Tavarez v. 920 E 173rd St.
24/5424N Passantino v. City of NY

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 4

2 P.M.

22/2808 People v. Kayjon Yizar
24/5395 Badesch v. Fort 710 Associates
24/4865 S., Jodeci v. Sheila M.
24/3927(1) Zhang v. Chu
24/3273(1) Zhang v. Chu
24/514 People v. Sterling Stewart
24/1108 Pereira v. 509 W 34th
24/7534 Kohler v. West End 84 Units
24/2207 Cedeno v. Bollyky
24/741(1) People v. Jefter Dominguez
23/6133(1) People v. Jefter Dominguez
24/3196 Robles-Lopez v. E.S.H. Family Corp.
25/1321N Stafford v. A&E Real Estate
24/3247(2)N Board of Managers v. World-Wide Holdings

THURSDAY, JUNE 5

2 P.M.

20/2149 People v. Nicholas Wallace
17/2821 Etrade Bank v. DelValle
23/2411 U., Cheryl v. Ehigie U.
18/3965 People v. Eric Keaton
20/569 People v. Jevon Eddy
24/5315 State Division Human Rights v. C & A Central
23/5737(6) J Carey Smith v. 11 West 12 Realty
24/7901 Board of Managers v. Park Park Associates
23/1348 People v. Rigoberto Deleon
23/4914(2) Alcan Harbor v. Assurant Group
22/2458 People v. Sonia Taylor
25/569N Ghatak v. McKinsey & Company
25/1060N 1240 El Grant Highway v. 1240 Edward Grant

***

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

9:30 A.M.

25214/19 De La Cruz v. Aquinas High School

10 A.M.

153982/20 Gaitan v. 18 EAST 18th Street
653697/24 Hofstra v. United Educators

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

10 A.M.

650330/20 AT&T Mobility v. Grupo Salinas
655852/21 Interebar Fabricators v. C.B. Contracting Corp.
655018/23 Handl NY v. Identity Group
656352/20 260 Mott Realty v Eli Halili LLC

2 P.M.

659287/24 PV 1508 CIA v. Singer
650032/20 Colliers International v. City Hall Commons LLC

FRIDAY, MAY 16

9:30 A.M.

653548/24 Board of Managers v Malcolm Shabazz Development

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21

10 A.M.

650314/24 Exceptional Media v. Chainalysis, Inc.

THURSDAY, MAY 22

10 A.M.

653409/23 Davidoff Hutcher & Citron LLP v. McLendon

WEDNESDAY, MAY 28

10 A.M.

654176/22 BankUnited v. Gray-Line

TUESDAY, JUNE 3

10 A.M.

9153/19 Anderson v. Anderson

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 4

10 A.M.

652901/24 Rego Park Lender v. Golyan

FRIDAY, JUNE 20

10 A.M.

813946/21 Liu v. Consolidated Scaffolding Inc.

New York County

SUPREME COURT

Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

Ex-Parte Motions
Room 315, 9:30 A.M.

Special Term Proceedings
Unsafe Buildings
Bellevue Psychiatric Center
Kirby Psychiatric Center
Metropolitan Hospital
Manhattan Psychiatric Center
Bellevue Hospital

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
2 Sattler, J.: 212 (60 Centre)
3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)
4 Kim: 308 (80 Centre)
5 Kingo: 320 (80 Centre)
6 King: 351 (60 Centre)
7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre)
8 Kotler: 278 (80 Centre)
9 Waterman-Marshall: 355 (60 Centre)
11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)
12 Stroth: 328 (80 Centre)
13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)
13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)
15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)
17 Hagler: 335 (60 Centre)
18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)
19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)
20 Kaplan: 422 (60Centre)
21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)
22 Clynes: 136 (80 Centre)
23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)
24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)
25 Tingling: 1254 (111 Centre)
26 Perry, P.: 684 (111 Centre)
27 Dominguez: 289 (80 Centre)
28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)
29 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)
30 McMahon: Virtual (60 Centre)
32 Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
33 Rosado: 442 (60 Centre)
34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)
35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)
36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)
37 Engoron: 418 (60 Centre)
38 Nock: 1166 (111 Centre)
39 Clynes: 307 (80 Centre)
41 Moyne: 327 (80 Centre)
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)
46 Latin: 210 (71 Thomas)
47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)
49 Chan: 252 (60 Centre)
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)
51 Chesler: 543 (60 Centre)
52 Johnson: 307 (80 Centre)
53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)
54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre)
55 d'Auguste: 103 (71 Thomas)
56 Kelly: 204 (71 Thomas)
57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)
58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)
59 James, D.: 331 (60 Centre)
62 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)

MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
MMSP-1: 1127B (111 Centre)
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule

Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante 106(80 Centre)
ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREES
60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354
75R Burzio: Room 240
80R Edelman: Room 562
82R Wohl: Room 501B
83R Sambuco: Room 528
84R Feinberg: Room 641
88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324

JHO/SPECIAL REFEREES
80 Centre Street

81R Hewitt: Room 321
87R Burke: Room 238
89R Hoahng: Room 236

SPECIAL REFEREE
71 Thomas Street

Judicial Hearing Officers

Part 91 Hon. C. Ramos
Part 93 Hon. Marin

SUPREME COURT Motion Calendars Room 130, 9:30 A.M. 60 Centre Street

SUPREME COURT Motion Dispositions from Room 130 60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).
ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.
SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.
WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.
SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.
APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.
SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.
S—Stipulation.
C—Consent.
C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.
FINAL—Adjournment date is final

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part

MONDAY, MAY 12

Submission

1 101287/23 Soares v. C/o Joel Isaacson & Co.

Paperless Judge Part

MONDAY, MAY 12

154062/23 237 East 20 LLC v. Sd Second Ave. Prop. LLC Et Al
659733/24 Aline Studio v. Sutton Manor Apts., Inc.
652301/16 Ambase Corp. v. 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC
652365/25 American Choice Capital LLC v. Beitia Enterprises LLC D/b/a Med Laser Outlet Et Al
154221/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Blaise
653280/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Armstrong
154992/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Dwayne White Et Al
653309/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Roberts
150862/20 Anzurez Benitez v. 5462 125th Rlty. LLC
155399/24 Astar LLC v. Fabular Lao
153059/24 Atamian v. NYC
157155/24 Banco Bradesco S.A. v. Rafael Safdie
653217/22 Beautyfix Medical Pllc Et Al v. Beauty Touch By Alla Et Al
160437/15 Brown v. NYC
161568/24 Capital One v. Bishop
159718/24 Cavalry Spv I v. Fox
158288/16 Chattah v. Butter Group
157688/21 Chouman v. NYC Et Al
154502/24 Coppola v. Coppola
952013/22 Daenzer v. Wilhelmina Models, Inc. Et Al
652486/25 Davis & Gilbert Llp v. Coffee Card, Inc.
150955/22 Dendariarena v. Via Transportation Et Al
190310/24 Devine v. 3m Co. Et Al
655014/24 Dkc Group Hldgs. v. Reece, Inc.
650297/25 Dmg Usc Student Income Master Lp v. Dmg Investments LLC
159092/24 Fairfax Financial Hldgs. Ltd. Et Al v. Exis Capital Mgt., Inc. Et Al
150016/22 Feroze v. 57 Irving Th (NY) LLC Et Al
161105/21 Fifth Partners LLC v. Punch House Flatiron LLC Et Al
154631/23 Figaro v. NYC Et Al
190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
453747/24 Frederick v. Perez
153861/23 Gambino v. 27 Hldgs. I LLC Et Al
152961/21 Garcia v. New Puck Corp.
159840/24 Granda v. Westbuilt Const. Mgrs. LLC Et Al
153027/24 Hazenberg v. Reisner
155457/22 Hes v. Zirkin
159241/22 Hilsen v. NY Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center Et Al
656253/21 Holand v. The Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America Et Al
161188/23 Horton v. 350 East 86 LLC Et Al
153526/25 Horton v. Celtic Services NYC Inc. Et Al
154579/19 Hosbein v. NYCTA
651643/20 in The Mater of The v. Realya Crown Heights
652583/25 J & J Global Investments v. Zip-Flyer
160729/24 Jdra v. O'Shea
156554/18 Jewelers Mutual Ins. v. Forty Seventh Fifth Co.
656200/23 Jianying Knitting Factory v. Louise Paris
650234/25 Kikov v. Alishaev
650700/24 Kovacs v. Amc Networks Inc. D/b/a Ifc Center
152374/24 Kuvshynov v. Fox News Network
651893/20 Laborin v. Madera Tech. Partners
154475/20 Larson v. 245 E. 19 Rlty. LLC
805307/24 Laufer v. Solomon Schwartzstein
154887/25 Law Offices of Michael S. Lamonsoff v. William Schwitzer & Associates
651781/24 Leeding Builders Group LLC v. 1461-1469 Third Ave Owner LLC Et Al
158584/24 Luc v. 215 W. 75th St. Owners Corp. Et Al
452452/24 Mei v. Tzu Tai Tsao Corp Et Al
162225/24 Miranda v. Southern Cross LLC Et Al
159740/24 Monaco v. The NYCTA Et Al
157885/23 Moore v. Finite Homes Housing Dev. Co., Inc. Et Al
155224/25 Moya v. NYC Et Al
153751/23 Mullman v. 3cd E78 LLC Et Al

153112/22 Navy Fed. Credit Union v. Gomez
652893/20 New Deal Rlty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
651362/24 Newmark & Co. Real Estate, Inc. v. 752 Wea LLC Et Al
805027/22 Nova Palaquibay v. Small Thngs Grow Midwifery
650812/25 NY Community Financial LLC v. F&H Convenience Inc. Et Al
453443/24 NY Schools Ins. Foundation, Inc. v. Wright Risk Mgt. Co.
650778/25 Old Republic Ins. Co. v. Joshua Noel Arce
151723/25 Onh Liquidating Trust As Trustee And Exercising The Voting Rights of The Membership Interests of 1 Westend Ph-A LLC v. Schwartz
654081/22 Oswald v. 3/10 Tenants' Housing Corp. Et Al
651037/25 Par Plumbing Co. Inc. v. Statewide Const. Services of Ny, Inc.
157930/24 Parejo v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
652404/25 Pirs Capital LLC v. Master Entertainment LLC Et Al
153806/25 Ponder v. Souk Bohemian LLC Et Al
151868/25 Promesa Foundation, Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Housing Preservation & Dev. Et Al
654522/24 Quinta LLC v. Jk Resources LLC
653122/23 Rhythm Energy, Inc. Et Al v. V3 Capital Group
659355/24 Riverside Study Center, Inc., in Its Individual Capacity As Prop. Owner, And As Assignee of Claims By Subcontractors. v. Design Dev. Nyc, Inc. Et Al
159102/24 Robinson v. Vicchio
154095/23 Rodriguez Pena v. Harlem-Valley Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
157144/17 Rodriguez v. Riverside Center Site 5
160022/21 Samhoun v. 372 Jericho Corp
650014/25 Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. v. Mt. Hawley Ins. Co. Et Al
653274/14 Shaira Const. Corp. v. 131 & 137 7th Ave. South
155882/23 Shuda v. Love Jag Sidhu Corp. Et Al
152791/24 Sierra Cruceta v. Grand Slam Family Club Corp. Et Al
850401/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. 4 St. Lukes Pl. Inc. Et Al
151541/19 Simmons v. Gateway I Tp4 Housing
451393/25 Soltanian v. NYS Dept. of Financial Services
155985/19 Soukouna v. Ean Hldgs.
160686/24 Sunstone Associates v. Giovanni
150834/24 The Board of Mgrs. of The 111 Leroy Condominium v. Pmg Leroy St. Dev. LLC Et Al
650436/24 Tkj Atrium Hosp.ity Inc. v. 805 Third NY LLC
190202/21 Tolleson v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc. Et Al
160967/24 Vandross v. Rolph Laroche Et Al
156049/21 Villanueva v. Rambarran
654284/25 Watkins Security Agency of D.C., Inc. v. Core Dc LLC
155218/22 Wolosz v. Erzuli
651222/25 Workhouse 46 LLC v. 21 West 46th St. LLC Et Al
159994/24 Wu v. Zilka
153423/23 Yautibug Yumisaca v. Leeding Builders Group LLC Et Al
161201/21 Yearwood v. Shop Fair Corp Et Al
451643/20 Zakaria v. NYCTA
157013/19 Zapata v. Santiago
652349/24 Zhang v. Gurung
155221/22 Zhiminaicela v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

651350/24 11 W 116th St. LLC v. Second Providence Baptist Church, Inc.
160880/24 118 East 18th St. Residence LLC v. Jiang
240031/24 195e76 LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
850063/20 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Garcia
850053/24 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Gersbeck
152476/25 Ahuja v. Board of Mgrs. of The Corinthian Condominiums Et Al
150840/24 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Lane
153579/23 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Schad
154634/25 Akf Inc v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A.
155558/25 All Data Health LLC v. Rashan
451012/23 Alvarez v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al
652412/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. All Boro Medical Rehabilitation Pllc
652506/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Galina Supply Inc.
652376/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. McCulloch Orthopaedic Surgical Services
652419/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Park Pl. Medical Imaging
652375/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Shop Rx Pharmacy Inc
190133/22 Andrew Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Bailey Controls And Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
650753/25 Aosm T1 Nj LLC v. Capsule Manufacturing Inc. Et Al
152085/14 Ardi v. Miller
655401/24 Arnett v. Algin Mgt. Co LLC Et Al
161934/23 Ayala v. Robert B. Samuels, Inc. Et Al
158461/23 Banyai v. Sheard
154074/24 Berkley Ins. Co. v. Gringer & Sons Et Al
152385/23 Breiding v. High Hopes Films
158705/20 Cain v. Long Island RR.
654105/23 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Fresko Brands Corp. D/b/a Nuggett Downtown Grill D/b/a Aj's Uptown Bar And Grill Et Al
160397/22 Chantiles v. Tavern on The Green Et Al
155282/19 Chubb Indemnity Co. v. Uberto
651193/25 Chubb Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Berman
650567/25 City Nat. Bank v. Rightway Cleaning LLC Et Al
650572/25 City Nat. Bank v. Rightway Restoration LLC Et Al
157777/24 Cohen v. Hope West 118th St. Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
160152/22 Coton v. 3694 B'way. Associates LLC Et Al
153295/19 Dammacco v. Host Hotels & Resorts
155164/25 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
651581/25 Doberge Torte LLC Et Al v. Hernandez
950461/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
152688/25 Fontanez v. Bon Tool Co.
150647/20 Gawronski v. Global 1845 B'way. LLC
152848/24 George v. Edison Ballroom LLC Et Al
154385/25 Gonzalez v. Monpat Const., Inc.
452956/24 Gracia v. Carrion Jr.
805195/18 Gruenspecht v. Upper East Side Pain
850353/23 Hc Suites Owners Assoc., Inc. v. Meacham
850036/20 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Fitzgerald
659152/24 Husa Mgt. Co., LLC v. Four M Capital
654687/24 in The Matter of The Petition of State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Feliz
190211/23 Kaplan v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
154120/24 Keybank Nat. Assoc. v. Stetson
651341/20 Kim v. Xp Securities
652968/23 Lawrence v. Nucor Const. Corp.
651541/25 Lslny v. Greene
190131/22 Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
155991/24 M & J Mechanical Corp. v. Caldwell & Walsh Bldg. Const., Inc. Et Al
652952/24 Mercury Public Affairs v. Golden Health Initiative, Inc.
651200/24 Mercury Public Affairs v. Regulatory Compliance Assoc., Inc.
655031/23 Midway Wind v. Lloyd's Syndicate Csl 1084 Et Al
161966/24 Millman v. Frisch
650446/25 Mir Hanson Associates v. Urban Life Inc. Et Al
659545/24 Mistry v. Mistry
150833/24 Morales Guzman v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al
450813/25 NYCHA v. Victor
452693/24 NYCHA v. Vittoro
159395/21 Oquendo v. Approved Oil Co. of Bklyn., Inc. Et Al
190155/21 Palmentiero v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
659890/24 Pdnyc LLC Et Al v. 27th St. Prop. Owner
158304/19 Piekut v. Diallo
156309/21 Pope v. NYCHA Et Al
652698/25 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan Llp v. Desktop Metal, Inc.
850385/24 Republic Funding Group LLC v. City Towers Ltd. Et Al
153775/18 Rosa v. NYCHA
654479/24 Rs Capital Ventures v. Exact Capital Group
100887/22 S. v. Sun River Health / Brightpoint
654908/22 Saes Rlty. Group LLC Et Al v. Sternklar
190254/21 Sears v. Aerco Int'l, Inc., Et Al
156365/22 Singh v. 88-94 St. Nicholas Pl. Rlty. Inc. Et Al
654122/24 Sm Financial Services Corp., As Assignee of Deborah J. Piazza, As Chapter 7 Trustee of Donadio & Olson, Inc. v. Webb
152322/20 Solidgold Rlty. v. Bkny USA LLC
153881/24 Song v. Santiago
450543/24 State of NY v. Rodriguez
159916/22 Strickland v. NYC Et Al
159207/21 Suarez v. Coa 200 E 34th LLC Et Al
157164/23 Suleiman Rifai v. Mta NYCTA Et Al
650871/25 Sun v. Pulsedata, Inc. Et Al
150464/25 Trikam NY 940-8 v. 940 8th Ave. LLC
654995/18 Turner Const. Co. v. Clarendon Nat. Ins.
659719/24 Van Benschoten v. Hamilton Air Co. Inc.
652047/21 Vector Media Hldg. Corp. v. Iconic Digital Displays
151578/22 Vial v. Paragon Jv Prop III LLC Et Al
650680/25 Videoapp Inc. v. Dark Alley Media

E-Filing Submission Part

Adjourned for Working Copies Part

Part 1

Justice Adam Silvera
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, MAY 13

652795/12 267 Edgeco LLC v. NYC
157998/18 Barcellos v. NYC
159006/17 C. v. NYC
156493/17 Campos v. NYC
153383/20 Chang v. NYC
155802/13 Crump v. NYC
451484/21 Eren v. NYC
158728/15 Fleurant v. NYC
156900/21 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
151592/22 Kollenda v. Prupes
155003/15 Middleton v. NYCTA
450963/20 Mushaiev v. Starrett 280 B'way. Lessee
151750/17 Patino-Gomez v. NYC
151189/22 S. v. NYC Et Al
156189/19 Talamo v. NYC
162516/19 Watson v. NYC

Part 2

Justice Lori S. Sattler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3852
Room 212

MONDAY, MAY 12

155457/22 Hes v. Zirkin
154574/19 Staller v. Board of Mgrs. of 163
652373/18 Todd English Enterprises v. Hudson Home Group

Motion

154574/19 Staller v. Board of Mgrs. of 163

TUESDAY, MAY 13

655329/21 12 East 46th LLC v. Nat. Medical Fellowships, Inc.
154275/23 135 East 57th St. v. Pierre Michel, Inc. D/b/a Pierre-Michel At 57, Inc.
240031/24 195e76 LLC v. The Tax Comm. of NYC Et Al
653974/22 368 Amsterdam Ave. LLC v. The Morgan Condominium Et Al
651364/21 Arch Specialty Ins. Co. v. Nautilus Ins. Co. Et Al
654293/23 Cheng v. 50 Lex Dev. LLC Et Al
650608/23 City Nat. Bank v. D'Angelo
152437/23 Comm-U LLC v. Charnin-Aker
150213/23 Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. v. M.N.C. General Contractors Corp. Et Al
655125/17 Contegiacomo And Associates v. Lecoq
159348/20 Ellison Systems, Inc. Et Al v. Shine & Co. Llp Et Al
153728/22 Evanston Ins. Co. Et Al v. Old Republic Ins. Co. Et Al
652010/23 Flagstar Bank v. Zebian Int'l Facades Solutions New York, Inc. Et Al
655994/21 in The Matter of The General Assignment For The Benefit of Creditors of Canvas Home v. 3pl Center
156074/22 Kamal v. Muss Dev. LLC Et Al
652968/23 Lawrence v. Nucor Const. Corp.
653828/16 Lituma Manuel v. Tycoon Const.
453035/22 Meadows v. Morris
155081/23 Mikhailov v. Pak
651979/23 Modopayments v. Volvo Financial Services LLC
157992/23 Parbaty v. Hyundai Marine & Fire Ins. Co., Ltd.
162439/14 Perez v. Church of The Incarnation
150085/24 Portfolio3 LLC v. Gordon
656473/21 Rosemex, Inc. Et Al v. Srs Enterprises, Inc.
159225/21 Sherman v. Orsid Rlty. Corp Et Al
653924/21 Srs Enterprises, Inc. v. Rosemex, Inc. Et Al
153908/22 The Murray Hill Terrace Condominium v. 3rd & 36th LLC Et Al

Motion

152437/23 Comm-U LLC v. Charnin-Aker
159348/20 Ellison Systems, Inc. Et Al v. Shine & Co. Llp Et Al
652010/23 Flagstar Bank v. Zebian Int'l Facades Solutions New York, Inc. Et Al
156074/22 Kamal v. Muss Dev. LLC Et Al
150085/24 Portfolio3 LLC v. Gordon

COURT NOTES

NEW NOTE!

U.S DISTRICT COURT

Southern District

Court Seeks Candidates For Criminal Justice Act Panel

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is seeking applicants for the SDNY Criminal Justice Act (CJA) Panel. Applications are available on the court's website at https://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/forms/cja-panel-membership-application.

The CJA Panel is comprised of private attorneys who are authorized to serve as appointed defense counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. To qualify for a position on the CJA Panel, attorneys must be members in good standing of the bar of the Southern District and have proven experience and competency in the field of federal criminal defense work.

Applications will be reviewed by a committee of attorneys that will forward its recommendations to the Southern District Board of Judges' Defender Services Committee. The Court is committed to increasing the diversity of the applicant pool and encourages qualified female and minority lawyers to apply for positions.

Candidates can apply to be a member of the Foley Square Panel or the White Plains Panel or both. CJA Panel attorneys commit to being "on duty" one day every four to six months if a member of the Foley Square panel and approximately one day every month if on the White Plains panel. On that "duty day," CJA attorneys represent clients when the Federal Defender has a conflict; the hours of duty are from 9:00 a.m. until the closing of the Magistrate Judge's Office, which is sometimes after 5:00 p.m. CJA Panel members serve a three-year term and are reimbursed at the rate of $175/hour for in-court and out-of-court time.

"We are fortunate to have such talented and skilled attorneys dedicated to providing indigent defendants with the representation to which they are constitutionally entitled," said United States District Judge Vernon Broderick who is Chair of the Southern District's Defender Services Committee.

Southern District Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain said, "Our exemplary panel of CJA lawyers provides representation that is both excellent and essential. Panel attorneys ensure the protection of defendants' constitutional rights and uphold the Rule of Law. We look forward to being able to invite additional outstanding practitioners to join them in this important work."

Attorneys can also apply for membership on panels that represent defendants in capital cases and in non-trial work, primarily habeas corpus proceedings. In addition, the Southern District also sponsors a mentoring program that helps identify and prepare experienced state court practitioners for appointment to the Panel. While the mentorship program is aimed at increasing the diversity of the Panel, the program is open to all. Contact Peter Quijano at 212-686-0666 or Anthony Ricco at 212-791-3919 for more information on the mentorship program.

U.S.COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Court Seeks Applications for Federal Public Defender For the Northern District of New York

Application Deadline is May 16

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for the position of Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of New York. The term of office is four years, with potential for appointment to successive terms. The current authorized annual salary is $195,200.

The Federal Public Defender, functioning under the authority of 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(g)(2)(A) and the Criminal Justice Act Plan for the Northern District of New York, provides criminal defense services to individuals unable to afford counsel. The Office of the Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of New York has offices in Albany and Syracuse. The Federal Public Defender supervises a staff of assistant federal defenders, federal capital appellate resource counsel, investigators, paralegals, a mitigation specialist, and support personnel.

The website for the office is: Office of the Public Defender Northern District of New York.

Applicants must satisfy the following conditions:

(1) be a member in good standing in the bar of the state in which the candidate is admitted to practice;

(2) have a minimum of five years criminal practice experience, preferably with significant federal criminal trial experience, which demonstrates an ability to provide zealous representation of consistently high quality to criminal defendants;

(3) possess the ability to effectively administer the office, including the following management areas:
- Budget, procurement, and travel
- Human resources
- Space, facilities, and property;

(4) have a reputation for integrity; and

(5) demonstrate a commitment to the representation of those unable to afford counsel.

As the chief executive of the Office of the Federal Public Defender, the Federal Public Defender holds ultimate responsibility for the administration of the Office. The Office serves as a resource center for all practicing federal defense attorneys in the District, providing regularly scheduled training programs as well as advice and counsel when needed. The Federal Public Defender works nationally with other federal defenders on evolving issues in federal criminal law and other areas of shared concern.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. A Merit Selection Committee will review all applications and interview the most qualified candidates. With consideration of the District Court's recommendation, the Committee will refer the best qualified candidate to the Court of Appeals for selection and appointment. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability. The selected nominee will be required to complete a background investigation prior to appointment. The Federal Public Defender may not engage in the private practice of law.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov. Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than May 16, 2025.

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Scheduling Dates For Primary Election Appeals

The Clerks' Offices of the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division Departments release the following joint scheduling announcement:

The Departments have scheduled and reserved the following dates to consider appeals related to the June 24, 2025 primary elections:

First Department: Tuesday, May 6, 2025 (Wednesday, May 7, 2025 if necessary)

Second Department: Wednesday, May 7, 2025

Third Department: Friday, May 9, 2025

Fourth Department: Tuesday, May 6, 2025

The Court of Appeals has scheduled Tuesday, May 13, 2025 to consider appeals and motions for leave to appeal related to primary election matters.

All parties, election boards and affected courts are expected to proceed expeditiously with all phases of election matters so that the requirements of these special sessions and the Election Law can be met. Please consult with the respective Clerks' Offices for details about meeting the timing requirements of each Court.

Because there is limited time available between the primary election sessions of the Appellate Division Departments and the Court of Appeals, parties who seek to have matters placed upon the Court of Appeals motions or appeals calendars must contact the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office for filing instructions promptly (usually immediately upon release of the Appellate Division decision).

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT

Pro Se Electronic Filing of Documents

Parties who are not represented by an attorney (known as self-represented or pro se individuals) are not permitted to electronically file documents in CM/ECF without a court order. The preferred methods of delivery of court filings are United States Mail and hand delivery to the Clerk's Office of either courthouse.

The Eastern District of New York does provide a method of electronic delivery for self-represented parties who cannot mail or hand deliver documents to the courthouse via this link: https://prose.nyed.uscourts.gov/. This delivery method replaces any earlier versions and cannot be used to file initial complaints in a new case. All pro se parties who use the electronic delivery method must provide a valid email address and must follow all document filing guidelines which are available on the court's web site: www.nyed.uscourts.gov.

Pro se parties may contact the Clerk's Office at either courthouse for assistance:

United States District Court
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201
718-613-2665

United States District Court
Eastern District of New York
100 Federal Plaza
Central Islip, NY 11722
631-712-6060

Part 3

Justice Joel M. Cohen
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3287
Room 208

MONDAY, MAY 12

652164/24 20230930-Dk-Butterfly-1, Inc. Et Al v. Edelman
652301/16 Ambase Corp. v. 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC
651643/20 in The Mater of The v. Realya Crown Heights
651542/21 Raphael Rebhan v. H F Z Capital Group
158055/23 Simpson v. Chassen

Motion

652164/24 20230930-Dk-Butterfly-1, Inc. Et Al v. Edelman
158055/23 Simpson v. Chassen

TUESDAY, MAY 13

656279/23 133 W52 St Hldgs. LLC Et Al v. Beekman Reim LLC Et Al
652203/24 Berkovec v. Blue Matrix I
850395/24 Loancore Capital Credit Reit LLC v. The Suspenders Bldg. LLC Et Al
652669/24 Lsc West 36th & 39th St LLC v. 28 W. 36th St. Sole Member LLC Et Al
161966/24 Millman v. Frisch
654309/24 Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. v. Rcm Living Hldgs.
656259/21 Perlbinder v. Perlbinder
655732/21 Perlbinder v. Perlbinder
151094/24 Perlbinder v. Perlbinder
656006/24 Ravich v. Tcw LLC Et Al
652047/21 Vector Media Hldg. Corp. v. Iconic Digital Displays

Motion

656259/21 Perlbinder v. Perlbinder
655732/21 Perlbinder v. Perlbinder

Part 6

Justice Kathy J. King
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3312
Room 351

MONDAY, MAY 12

805307/24 Laufer v. Solomon Schwartzstein

TUESDAY, MAY 13

805302/22 Anderson v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
805195/18 Gruenspecht v. Upper East Side Pain
805306/21 Levine v. The Metro. Foot And Ankle Group Et Al
805128/19 Matthews v. Kimmelstiel

Part 7

Justice Gerald Lebovits
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3746
Courtroom 345

MONDAY, MAY 12

154221/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Blaise
158288/16 Chattah v. Butter Group
654348/22 Clinton 43 Hldgs. Owner LLC A/a/o Clinton 43 Hldgs. LLC v. Motorwala
159011/24 Corrente v. Hunter Roberts Const. Group
655056/22 Elk Central Properties Orchard LLC v. Great Clock Out LLC Et Al
161105/21 Fifth Partners LLC v. Punch House Flatiron LLC Et Al
153027/24 Hazenberg v. Reisner
158772/24 Kearse v. Jpmorgan Chase & Co. Et Al
657010/21 Kent v. Avijan
101265/24 Lichaw v. Lichaw
651990/24 Ll 1361 Lexington Ave LLC v. Ciner
154223/24 Lowry v. Mercedes-Benz Manhattan, Inc.
157092/21 Manhattan Telecommunications Corp. A/k/a Mettel v. Chicago House And Social Service Agency Et Al
450245/19 NYS Div. of v. Zara Rlty. Hldg. Corp.
653124/24 NYU Langone Hosps. Et Al v. United Healthcare Ins. Co. Et Al
160818/23 Parilla v. 122 Rlty. (2012) LLC Et Al
452343/23 Peterman v. Seth A. Harris Pllc Et Al
151715/24 Reeds v. Highpoint Associates Xii
157144/17 Rodriguez v. Riverside Center Site 5
654935/22 S&M Industries v. Advantage Platform Services, Inc. D/b/a Advantage Capital Funding
155683/24 Saga Mgt. Group Inc. v. Ihms LLC D/b/a The Pierre Hotel
653398/22 Yes I Can Services, Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
652349/24 Zhang v. Gurung

Motion

654348/22 Clinton 43 Hldgs. Owner LLC A/a/o Clinton 43 Hldgs. LLC v. Motorwala
101265/24 Lichaw v. Lichaw
155683/24 Saga Mgt. Group Inc. v. Ihms LLC D/b/a The Pierre Hotel

TUESDAY, MAY 13

652375/25 American Transit Insurance Company v. Shop Rx Pharmacy Inc
659708/24 Performline, Inc. v. Solid Financial Technologies, Inc.
650014/25 Seneca Ins. Co., Inc. v. Mt. Hawley Ins. Co. Et Al
650436/24 Tkj Atrium Hosp.ity Inc. v. 805 Third NY LLC

Motion

659708/24 Performline, Inc. v. Solid Financial Technologies, Inc.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

651350/24 11 W 116th St. LLC v. Second Providence Baptist Church, Inc.
652376/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. McCulloch Orthopaedic Surgical Services
655401/24 Arnett v. Algin Mgt. Co LLC Et Al
650154/25 Byzfunder NY LLC v. Mica Rentals, Inc. Et Al
161355/21 Glodek v. Fine Arts Dev. Laboratories, Inc.
652921/24 Hernandez v. 804 Lexington Ave. LLC Et Al
654687/24 in The Matter of The Petition of State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Feliz
654535/21 Interested London Underwriters Subscribing To Policy No. Umr-B0595jl-399018j A/s/o Lf Sportswear And Lp Stores New York, Inc. v. Alba Services, Inc. Et Al
155813/22 Joshua Mgt. LLC v. Hamza
651541/25 Lslny v. Greene
155991/24 M & J Mechanical Corp. v. Caldwell & Walsh Bldg. Const., Inc. Et Al
659545/24 Mistry v. Mistry
157956/22 Nbr Investment Properties v. Avista Capital Hldgs.
159450/19 Quan v. Macy's
653510/24 Shahirfar v. Franchey
152322/20 Solidgold Rlty. v. Bkny USA LLC
157415/23 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. A/s/o Guanzhou Liu v. Rael Fire Protection
155069/25 The Bldg. At 575 Fifth Office Owner LLC v. Bt Flooring Solutions
158462/23 The Rector v. The Abbey Condominium
154224/24 Travelers Excess And Surplus Lines Co. As Subrogee of 25 Park Row Condominium v. Rael Maint. Corp. Et Al
653323/23 Yan v. Rael Maint. Corp. Et Al

Motion

650154/25 Byzfunder NY LLC v. Mica Rentals, Inc. Et Al
157956/22 Nbr Investment Properties v. Avista Capital Hldgs.
159450/19 Quan v. Macy's
155069/25 The Bldg. At 575 Fifth Office Owner LLC v. Bt Flooring Solutions

Part 12

Justice Leslie A. Stroth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3273
Room 232

MONDAY, MAY 12

159718/24 Cavalry Spv I v. Fox
650234/25 Kikov v. Alishaev
154887/25 Law Offices of Michael S. Lamonsoff v. William Schwitzer & Associates
652404/25 Pirs Capital LLC v. Master Entertainment LLC Et Al
153423/23 Yautibug Yumisaca v. Leeding Builders Group LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

161637/18 144 Sullivan St. v. Weiss
656440/23 Ahmed v. Hampton's Fresh Deli, Inc. Et Al
156740/19 Aig Prop. Casualty v. Estate of Mary Alyce
159549/20 Antolino Gambino v. Fbg Wall LLC
650753/25 Aosm T1 Nj LLC v. Capsule Manufacturing Inc. Et Al
154074/24 Berkley Ins. Co. v. Gringer & Sons Et Al
155282/19 Chubb Indemnity Co. v. Uberto
157777/24 Cohen v. Hope West 118th St. Housing Co., Inc. Et Al
154538/17 Colon v. NYCH&HC And
150976/22 Da Silva v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al
151210/21 De Novais Santos v. 509 W 34
153808/25 E-Distributors Inc. v. Automation Express Services LLC Et Al
158144/19 Fireman's Fund Ins. v. Estate of Mary Alyce
158159/21 Fischer v. B'way. Apple
154463/23 Guanipatin v. Turner Const. Co. Et Al
154246/21 Hamilton v. 208-214 E 25th St LLC
160412/20 Howard Pooler v. 550 Madison Fifth LLC Et Al
157631/22 Iglesia v. 2143 Acp LLC Et Al
151619/22 Konstandakopoulos v. Brookfield Properties (USA) LLC Et Al
159083/20 Macmonigle v. 26 West 39th LLC
161415/19 Marrero v. Signature Bank
160927/20 Martucci v. 500 W25th Owner LLC
154605/23 Mendez Mendez v. Jt Magen & Co. Inc Et Al
155500/23 Nikolai v. 211 East 46th Owners
150753/25 Old Friend Photobooth v. Trakas
156041/24 Pantazatos v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. in NYC Et Al
160762/18 Peralta v. Ernst & Young Llp
153712/24 Sacks & Sacks Llp v. Calano & Culhane Llp
160847/19 Segura v. Verizon NY Inc.
158531/20 Shesah LLC v. Xenopoulos
157886/23 Stanko v. 767 Fifth Partners LLC Et Al
151216/21 State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. A/s/o Neil Stein v. Aries Const. Co., Inc.
150725/21 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. 63 Co. LLC Et Al
156655/18 Tabor v. 148 Duane LLC
151945/22 Tabor v. Swig
155584/20 Utica First Ins. v. Master Fire Systems Inc.
650168/25 Vasquez v. Mobileshack Inc. Et Al
157255/21 Wyman v. Employees Only Enterprises
158402/20 Yates v. 568 B'way. Prop. LLC
651543/23 Zurich American Ins. Co. v. Accident Fund Ins. Co. of America Et Al

Part 14

Justice Arlene P. Bluth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3219
Room 432

MONDAY, MAY 12

652893/20 New Deal Rlty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
655839/17 NY Dangerous LLC v. Librot
153818/23 Nrt NY LLC D/b/a The Corcoran Group v. Ross
654081/22 Oswald v. 3/10 Tenants' Housing Corp. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

650657/22 734 B'way. LLC v. Ud 736 B'way. LLC
155202/19 Fed. Ins. Co. v. Lawrence White
655793/21 Lloyd v. Scott
158848/22 Seck v. Ms Deli Gourmet I Corp. Et Al
151445/20 Stascak v. 21 Park Pl. NY Llp
151050/24 Barrocas v. Deejayzoo
652952/23 Rhynland Energy Hldgs. LLC v. Howard Advisors LLC Et Al
104289/10 Sutton Apts. Corp. v. Bradhurst 100 Dev. LLC
650680/25 Videoapp Inc. v. Dark Alley Media

Motion

151050/24 Barrocas v. Deejayzoo
652952/23 Rhynland Energy Hldgs. LLC v. Howard Advisors LLC Et Al
104289/10 Sutton Apts. Corp. v. Bradhurst 100 Dev. LLC

Part 9

Justice Linda M. Capitti
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3848
Room 355

MONDAY, MAY 12

320125/24 Chu v. Yuan

TUESDAY, MAY 13

365033/24 Bauer v. Boglino
305625/19 Curran v. Selby-Curran
365488/23 Cypriano v. Mitchell
365492/20 Mitchell v. Burke

Part 11

Justice Lyle E. Frank
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3314
Room 412

MONDAY, MAY 12

653217/22 Beautyfix Medical Pllc Et Al v. Beauty Touch By Alla Et Al
157956/22 Nbr Investment Properties v. Avista Capital Hldgs.
651037/25 Par Plumbing Co. Inc. v. Statewide Const. Services of Ny, Inc.

Part 15

Justice Jeanine R. Johnson
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 116

MONDAY, MAY 12

309244/13 Loh v. Steadman
365117/24 Pollack v. Pollack
303080/22 Satchell v. James

TUESDAY, MAY 13

302315/16 Turner-Serbin v. Serbin

Part 17

Justice Shlomo S. Hagler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3283
Courtroom 335

MONDAY, MAY 12

952318/23 Forman v. English
156627/19 Maxwell v. 1113 York Ave. Rlty.

Motion

952318/23 Forman v. English

TUESDAY, MAY 13

151347/19 Hollenberg v. Cyc Fitness Partners LLC

Part 19

Justice Lisa A. Sokoloff
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3979
Room 540

Part 20 ADR

Justice Deborah A. Kaplan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3300
Courtroom 422

TUESDAY, MAY 13

161468/23 Parks v. NYCTA Et Al

Part 24 Matrimonial Part

Justice Michael L. Katz
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3285
Courtroom 325

MONDAY, MAY 12

365289/24 Balksy v. Mason—9:30 A.M.
365289/24 Balksy v. Mason
365093/23 Brown v. Prosevski—10 A.M.
365372/20 Kugelman v. Nanes—9:30 A.M.

Motion

365289/24 Balksy v. Mason

TUESDAY, MAY 13

365826/23 Agard v. Eagle-Agard—10 A.M.
321220/24 Fontenla v. Romero-Fontenla—9:30 A.M.
312210/16 Goldy v. Samson—10:30 A.M.

Part 26

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 438

Part 28

Justice Aija Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543

TUESDAY, MAY 13

365210/24 Bergier v. Bergier
365110/24 Furcal v. Furcal Severino
321929/23 Keayes v. Keayes
365140/24 Ortega v. Ortega
300804/23 Smith-Menjivar Maldonado v. Maldonado
365195/22 Tremain v. Tremain

Motion

300804/23 Smith-Menjivar Maldonado v. Maldonado

Part 30V

Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

TUESDAY, MAY 13

805398/22 Al-Qublani v. NYCH&HC Corp.
101365/19 Baird v. James Borin
805248/24 Regalado v. Bahar Np
805366/19 Roman M. Shelepanov By The v. Mount Sinai Health System
805018/20 Zamir v. Blyumberg

Motion

805398/22 Al-Qublani v. NYCH&HC Corp.
805018/20 Zamir v. Blyumberg

Part 33

Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

MONDAY, MAY 12

150955/22 Dendariarena v. Via Transportation Et Al
159092/24 Fairfax Financial Hldgs. Ltd. Et Al v. Exis Capital Mgt., Inc. Et Al
152374/24 Kuvshynov v. Fox News Network
151868/25 Promesa Foundation, Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Housing Preservation & Dev. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

154510/25 493 Amsterdam LLC v. De Dominguez
161425/24 App Funding Beta LLC v. Yns Mart Inc. Et Al
152385/23 Breiding v. High Hopes Films
150380/25 Eskanos v. Greenpoint Mortgage Funding Inc
154218/20 Henry v. 40 Worth St. Associates
154120/24 Keybank Nat. Assoc. v. Stetson
158750/23 Lewis v. Fly-E Group, Inc. Et Al
153329/24 Lewner v. Cohen
655579/24 North Mill Credit Trust v. Nats Mountain House LLC Et Al
160470/21 O'Connor v. Fourth Wall Restaurants
650600/25 Odeh 26 LLC v. Neuffer Fenster (plus) Turen Gmbh A.K.A Neuffer Windows (plus) Doors
100378/25 Olave v. Hamoudeh
153935/22 Rocha Barroso v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al
156365/22 Singh v. 88-94 St. Nicholas Pl. Rlty. Inc. Et Al
156321/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center, Inc. Et Al
450543/24 State of NY v. Rodriguez
153261/24 Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Deen
450212/21 Vasquez v. 158 Mgt. LLC Et Al
161433/23 Werner Jr v. 383 8th LLC Et Al

Motion

154510/25 493 Amsterdam LLC v. De Dominguez
161425/24 App Funding Beta LLC v. Yns Mart Inc. Et Al
150380/25 Eskanos v. Greenpoint Mortgage Funding Inc
154218/20 Henry v. 40 Worth St. Associates
158750/23 Lewis v. Fly-E Group, Inc. Et Al
153329/24 Lewner v. Cohen
655579/24 North Mill Credit Trust v. Nats Mountain House LLC Et Al
160470/21 O'Connor v. Fourth Wall Restaurants
650600/25 Odeh 26 LLC v. Neuffer Fenster (plus) Turen Gmbh A.K.A Neuffer Windows (plus) Doors
100378/25 Olave v. Hamoudeh
153935/22 Rocha Barroso v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al

Court Calendars

- 156321/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center, Inc. Et Al
- 153261/24 Stillwater Prop. & Casualty Ins. Co. v. Deen
- 450212/21 Vasquez v. 158 Mgt. LLC Et Al
- 161433/23 Werner Jr v. 383 8th LLC Et Al

Part 34

Justice Dakota D. Ramseur
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4370
Room 341

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 157688/21 Chouman v. NYC Et Al
- 155424/23 G.M. v. Old B'way. Hotel Inc. Et Al
- 159241/22 Hilsen v. NY Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center Et Al
- 160022/21 Samhoun v. 372 Jericho Corp
- 156708/20 Steven Tishman v. Himmel & Meringoff
- 161404/23 Williams v. Tower 111 LLC Et Al

Motion

- 155424/23 G.M. v. Old B'way. Hotel Inc. Et Al
- 156708/20 Steven Tishman v. Himmel & Meringoff
- 161404/23 Williams v. Tower 111 LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 451012/23 Alvarez v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al
- 155136/23 Angulo Lage v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. in NYC
- 151582/22 Araujo v. 7 Hanover Fee Owner Co. LLC Et Al
- 151468/22 Azcona v. Amazon.Com Services LLC Et Al
- 160177/22 Barnett v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank
- 154215/22 Becerra v. Qb Dev. Owner
- 161104/20 Ben-Dor v. Alchemy Consultant LLC Et Al
- 157417/22 Castro v. Hfz 235 West 75th St. Owner LLC Et Al
- 160397/22 Chantiles v. Tavern on The Green Et Al
- 151934/22 Cimino v. 1114 6th Ave. Owner LLC Et Al
- 160152/22 Coton v. 3694 B'way. Associates LLC Et Al
- 151540/23 Coyne v. 509 W 34
- 157299/22 Damian Gonzlez v. Penguin House Tenants Corp. Et Al
- 154107/21 Decena v. 931 Amsterdam Hldgs.
- 160841/20 Deniet v. Woodhaven Nursing Home Et Al
- 952160/23 Doe v. NYC Et Al
- 159071/22 Ellis v. Nep West 119th St. Properties
- 158017/22 Fallon v. Executive Plaza Condominium Et Al
- 160488/23 Faustino Valerio Castro v. 520 Fee Owner 2 LLC Et Al
- 153608/23 Ferreira Lima v. Pavarini McGovern
- 153505/22 Freund v. 155 West 75 LLC
- 152272/23 Gelder v. Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center Et Al
- 153649/22 Guledani v. Neuropsychological Services of Astoria Pllc Et Al
- 657440/19 Hilton Wiener v. Zenk
- 154179/22 House v. Sanctuary Hotel Et Al
- 152991/22 Intriago v. Urban Atelier Group
- 151516/23 Jimenez v. Summit Security Services, Inc. Et Al
- 153255/23 Jimenez v. Hamilton Pl. Associates
- 155926/22 Low-Ford v. NYCHA (NYCHA)
- 652497/19 Ma v. Chen
- 150377/23 McNeil v. Taino Towers Pharma Inc. Et Al
- 657502/19 Metro. Builders of NY v. Reec West 125th St. LLC
- 156858/23 Miceli v. 35th St. Associates Et Al
- 157690/19 Michael Page Int'l v. Icon Rlty. Mgt. LLC
- 152966/23 Morelowski v. 9 East 75th St. LLC Et Al
- 152210/23 Nicholas v. Amc Entertainment Hldgs., Inc. D/b/a Amc Lincoln Square 13 Et Al
- 154128/23 Oakley v. Sma Equities
- 153412/22 Oliver v. Leonard
- 154136/23 Paguay v. Triton Const. Co. Et Al
- 158776/22 Pan v. Harlem Urban Dev. Corp. Et Al
- 151502/23 Paucar-Moscoso v. Leeding Builders Group LLC Et Al
- 153754/22 Peguero v. Bop Se
- 155804/22 Pinkcombe v. Randev
- 153723/21 Pope v. 237 Park Owner LLC Et Al
- 150272/23 Porto v. Tjm
- 650306/22 Prial v. Kroll Bond Rating Agency
- 153744/23 Rivera v. 55th Clinton Associates
- 158447/22 Robles v. Otis Elevator Co.
- 100887/22 S. v. Sun River Health / Brightpoint
- 158352/21 Salazar-Hererra v. 5 Bryant Park Prop. Investors Iv
- 150998/23 Salvado v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
- 154039/22 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Stukes
- 155872/23 Taha v. 34th St. Partnership, Inc. Et Al
- 650476/18 Tantaros v. Krechmer
- 654174/20 Three Lincoln Center 59e v. New Care Ventures
- 155874/22 Torres v. NYCHA
- 157238/22 Verbovski v. Standard Int'l Mgt.
- 159832/22 Walters v. 682 Academi St. LLC Et Al
- 653569/20 Zimmerman v. 410-57 Corp.

Motion

- 657440/19 Hilton Wiener v. Zenk
- 650306/22 Prial v. Kroll Bond Rating Agency
- 650476/18 Tantaros v. Krechmer

Part 37
IAS Part

Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 155722/24 Godek v. Fardy
- 653043/24 Gourvitz Communications Inc. v. Tangible Play, Inc.
- 805027/22 Nova Palaquibay v. Small Thngs Grow Midwifery
- 150834/24 The Board of Mgrs. of The 111 Leroy Condominium v. Pmg Leroy St. Dev. LLC Et Al
- 654855/23 Zurich American Ins. Co. v. NY Marine And General Ins. Co. Et Al

Motion

- 155722/24 Godek v. Fardy
- 653043/24 Gourvitz Communications Inc. v. Tangible Play, Inc.
- 654855/23 Zurich American Ins. Co. v. NY Marine And General Ins. Co. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 652882/24 Elmo Hldgs. LLC v. La Teranga, Inc. Et Al
- 161782/24 The North Flats LLC v. NYC Loft Board Et Al
- 654995/18 Turner Const. Co. v. Clarendon Nat. Ins.
- 659719/24 Van Benschoten v. Hamilton Air Co. Inc.

Motion

- 652882/24 Elmo Hldgs. LLC v. La Teranga, Inc. Et Al
- 161782/24 The North Flats LLC v. NYC Loft Board Et Al

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 651523/25 Broadway Eb-5 Fund v. Ready Capital Corp. Et Al

Motion

- 651523/25 Broadway Eb-5 Fund v. Ready Capital Corp. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 655908/20 Chen v. 215 Chrystie Venture LLC
- 652024/17 Dongwu v. NYC Regional
- 650465/22 Lam Group Et Al v. Anthony T. Rinaldi LLC D/b/a The Rinaldi Group Et Al
- 651686/23 Reigo Securitization Sponsor 2021-1 v. Northwind Financial Corp. Et Al
- 650871/25 Sun v. Pulsedata, Inc. Et Al
- 652265/18 Yrn LLC v. Migos

Part 40TR
Judicial Mediation

Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 655285/19 3488 Jerome Partners LLC v. Tropical Dairy Farm Corp.
- 155880/20 45-47-49 Eighth Ave. LLC v. Soho Cleaners And Laundry
- 160960/23 630 6a LLC v. U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. As Trustee of The Cabana Series IV Trust
- 153899/16 Ace American Ins. Co. v. Con Ed Co.
- 150587/22 American Express Nat. Bank v. Meimaris
- 152514/20 American Transit Ins. v. Aaamg Leasing Corp.
- 159647/19 Andriola v. Schindler Elevator Corp.
- 156646/24 Anonymous v. Wittmer
- 157415/22 Associated Industries Ins. Co., Inc. v. Farahnik
- 652109/23 Barone v. Green Esq
- 159466/16 Bayas v. Edison Mgt. Co., L.L.C.
- 151013/18 Bldg. Solutions NYC Corp. v. Borough Const. Group LLC
- 654341/18 Caribbean Queen Inc. v. Tan Buddha Wholesale
- 151654/22 Cedillo Suarez v. Aecom Tishman Et Al
- 100982/20 Chmiel v. Rozger
- 157365/22 Fama v. The American Museum of Natural History Et Al
- 154755/17 Ferrez v. 150-13 89th LLC
- 151658/15 Fidelity Nat. Title v. Berkshire Abstract & Title
- 150259/17 Fuentes v. 616 First Ave. LLC And Jds
- 158878/19 G. v. Pret A Manger (USA) Ltd.
- 157888/14 Harry Weiss Inc. v. Diamond Star Jewelry Inc.
- 161853/18 Herrera-Martinez v. Jcm Jane St. Associates LLC
- 160196/16 Hyland v. Mfm Contracting Corp.
- 151841/21 Jehle v. Jack Resnick & Sons
- 805377/18 Karanevich-Dono v. Hass
- 805269/19 Kaufman v. NYU Langone Health System
- 154180/23 Ketoret 57 LLC v. Akdeniz Mediterranean Cuisine, Inc. Et Al
- 657125/17 Khadem v. Stonehill & Taylor
- 653717/22 Lakhi General Contractor, Inc. v. Saga Mgt. Group Inc. Et Al
- 161869/19 Maldonado v. 133 E. 58th St. LLC
- 805201/20 Marienthal v. Choudry
- 653887/23 Mept 757 Third Ave. LLC v. Grant
- 153924/22 Morgan v. Heffran
- 154427/17 Munoz v. Jds Seagirt LLC
- 153448/18 Muratori v. NYC
- 655619/23 New Gold Equities Corp. v. Lin
- 650159/23 Nuyken v. Cachette Capital Mgt. LLC Et Al
- 654796/23 Owens v. New Empire Corp. Et Al
- 155960/17 Philbert v. 52 Hamilton Pl NYC Corp (a
- 156924/17 Pianoforte v. NYCHA
- 151580/20 Pigranel Mgt. Corp. v. Fajga
- 650984/22 Qi v. Famous Sichuan NY Inc. Et Al
- 162374/23 Ramirez Cabrera v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
- 109311/11 Sharpe v. Shabbat LLC
- 651800/21 Sterling Landlord Corp. Et Al v. Axis Ins. Co.
- 155027/21 Stewart v. Ruiz
- 159057/17 Stonehill & Taylor v. Khadem
- 152681/22 Tamez v. Agjmurati
- 161102/18 Uceta v. All Services Leasing, Inc.
- 150692/20 Valverde v. Marshall's
- 157188/20 Winterrowd v. 63rd St. Young LLC

City Cases

- 159647/19 Andriola v. Schindler Elevator Corp.
- 652109/23 Barone v. Green Esq

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 153367/22 A. v. Sunshine Daycare of Harlem LLC
- 651401/23 Ahmed v. Icer of 622 St. Nicholas LLC
- 160413/21 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Grand Chance Hldg. Ltd.
- 159840/22 Alfaro Cruz v. Regent Associates Et Al
- 154579/18 Bartel v. Caballero
- 160086/22 Bayne v. The Loc God
- 653734/24 Beacon Consulting Group, Inc. v. Trisura Specialty Ins. Co. Et Al
- 154067/20 Begam v. Regine Fashion, Inc.
- 650388/24 Bellwood NY Inc. v. 119 Ave. A Rlty. Corp. Et Al
- 657308/20 Ben-Ari v. Davidov
- 152564/21 Bland v. Sanchez
- 157497/22 Board of Mgrs. of 31 W 11 Condominium v. Lela Goren
- 154746/22 Bolshakova v. 603-607 West 139 Bcr
- 157149/22 Brache-Moran v. Stf 247 Audubon Ave. Hldg. LLC
- 652976/24 Braze, Inc. v. Efuse, Inc.
- 152385/23 Breiding v. High Hopes Films
- 157116/23 Brito v. Element-West 59th St. LLC
- 159634/22 Carchipulla v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al
- 160008/22 Cardenas v. 517 West Properties LLC
- 158368/23 Cespedes v. Solow Bldg. Corp. Et Al
- 653026/24 Cfs Enterprises, Inc. D/b/a Cfs Steel Co. v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al
- 160515/22 Colon v. Rios Senior Residence Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
- 451824/24 Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Enright Marble & Tile Corp.
- 655710/24 Dahlcore v. Citileaf Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
- 452649/24 Daimler Trust v. Dickens Aip
- 157673/22 Dervisevic v. Jones
- 157557/22 Duchimaza v. NYCHA
- 157809/20 Firstenberg v. La Rochelle 75 I LLC Et Al
- 156904/23 Franzone v. 245 Park Ave. Prop. LLC Et Al
- 156223/22 Gardner v. South Mehari LLC And Et Al
- 154330/22 Hansen v. Tkg-Storagemart Partners
- 654019/24 Hcls Consulting LLC v. Pervaiz Iqbal Physician Pc
- 159169/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. 21st Century Chiropractic Care Et Al
- 160525/22 Johnson-Frett v. Whga Robinson Park Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
- 153480/24 Joseph v. Esra Rlty. LLC Et Al
- 158084/22 K.M.A.G. Rlty. Corp. v. Smh Support Corp. Et Al
- 154968/22 Limon v. Equinox Hldgs., Inc. Et Al
- 160601/19 Lozada v. Casablanca Mgt. LLC
- 156119/22 Lucarini v. Metro-North Commuter RR.
- 158549/22 Magramm v. Regency Towers LLC Et Al
- 805062/21 Mandell v. Rahaman
- 652019/23 Mannese Distribution Inc. v. Chep (USA) Inc. Et Al
- 650480/25 McKinsey & Co., Inc. Et Al v. Nat. Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh
- 150015/23 Megna v. Tutor Perini Corp. Et Al
- 160934/22 Mikheeva v. Hard Assets
- 155984/22 Miller v. Group 868, Inc. Et Al
- 157401/22 Morales v. Rambles Real Estate
- 150953/22 Mumford v. East Harlem Pilot Block Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
- 158161/22 Munoz Brisita v. Aecom Tishman Et Al
- 158911/22 Nelissen v. Mfm Contracting Corp. Et Al
- 656975/19 Orlando Decorating, Inc. v. Geomatrix Installations, Inc.
- 653345/24 Orme v. Mitchell
- 153680/20 Osario v. NYC
- 651826/21 Pizzarotti v. Precision Builders Group Ltd.
- 655469/24 Precision Builders Group Ltd. v. Aaa Windows & Doors Corp. Et Al
- 155942/23 Rivera v. Rref II 34 Desbrosses Owner
- 152322/24 Rizk v. Brooks
- 158270/20 Rybak v. Platta
- 653530/24 Safdeye v. Jaguar Land Rover North America
- 450665/24 Samson McA LLC v. S & S Buidling & Dev. LLC Et Al
- 160095/18 Schnur v. Balestriere
- 653274/14 Shaira Const. Corp. v. 131 & 137 7th Ave. South
- 152980/19 Shaw v. Lendore
- 157212/23 Skikas v. 188 Ave. C Food Corp Et Al
- 154182/22 Solano v. Global 1845 B'way. LLC Et Al
- 652476/24 Suntex Apparel Inc. v. B'way. Imports LLC Et Al
- 151228/24 Terry v. Lamia's Fish Market Et Al
- 152410/22 The Gap, Inc. v. James Hunt Const. Co., Inc.
- 652058/24 Tobias v. 126 Riverside Drive Corp.
- 653382/24 Uhpc Solutions North America v. Mageba North America Corp.
- 154809/22 Van Hook v. Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. Et Al
- 150769/20 Vargas Rochez v. 222 East B'way. Prop.
- 159412/22 Vazquez v. 116 West 80th St.
- 153601/21 Velasquez v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. in NYC Et Al
- 157391/22 Verizon NY Inc. v. Jlj IV Enterprises, Inc.
- 650560/25 Weiss v. Jefferies Strategic Investments
- 158834/19 Winters v. W2001z/15cpw Rlty.
- 656202/23 Young v. USAA Casualty Ins. Co.

City Cases

- 151228/24 Terry v. Lamia's Fish Market Et Al

Part 44

Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3370
Room 321

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 321107/24 Collado Espinal v. Gomez
- 365508/24 Papadeas v. Barker
- 365065/24 Raz v. Bernthal Raz
- 365530/22 Robinson v. Henderson
- 303525/19 Sapir-Rosen Zina v. Rosen
- 365002/24 Subin v. Subin
- 365004/24 Wickstrom v. Benedict
- 320966/23 Wilson v. Silverstein

Motion

- 320966/23 Wilson v. Silverstein

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 309995/10 Douglas v. Douglas
- 365102/19 Ebisawa v. Kaplan
- 321373/24 Gil v. Lozada
- 320246/20 Lebedev v. Migdal
- 365215/24 Quandt v. Quandt
- 365069/24 Scherl v. Scherl
- 365016/25 Unice v. Unice

Motion

- 309995/10 Douglas v. Douglas
- 365069/24 Scherl v. Scherl

Part 45
Commercial Div.

Justice Anar Rathod Patel
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3632
Room 428

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 655500/16 Stafford v. A&E Real Estate Holdings

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 650567/25 City Nat. Bank v. Rightway Cleaning LLC Et Al
- 651637/24 Crep Dallas Hotel LLC v. Collier
- 652451/24 Prod. Spring LLC Et Al v. Baby Brezza Enterprises
- 650581/25 Seen Media Group v. Henderson

Part 48
Commercial Div.

Justice Andrea Masley
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3265
Room 242

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 655240/18 Bank of India v. Anaya Gems, Inc.
- 651244/25 Glencore Ltd. v. Kamca Trading S.A. Et Al
- 656284/23 Golden v. Nichinson
- 656694/19 Macarthur v. Doeblin
- 653122/23 Rhythm Energy, Inc. Et Al v. V3 Capital Group
- 652041/24 Shanghai Zhongda Wincome Co., Ltd. v. 250 W Investments Inc. Et Al

Motion

- 651244/25 Glencore Ltd. v. Kamca Trading S.A. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 652513/20 Bernstein-Deitcher v. Gurwitz
- 652377/21 Grayco Alternative Partners v. Stone Green Capital LLC
- 657577/19 McMillian v. Out-Look Safety LLC

Motion

- 652513/20 Bernstein-Deitcher v. Gurwitz
- 652377/21 Grayco Alternative Partners v. Stone Green Capital LLC
- 657577/19 McMillian v. Out-Look Safety LLC

Part 49
Commercial Div.

Justice Margaret A. Chan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4033
Room 252

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 651535/25 in The Matter of The Application Interest Financial v. Drivewealth

Motion

- 651535/25 in The Matter of The Application Interest Financial v. Drivewealth
- 158055/23 Simpson v. Chassen

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 652698/25 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan Llp v. Desktop Metal, Inc.

Part 53
Commercial Div.

Justice Andrew S. Borrok
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3304
Room 238

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 651306/25 Arini Credit Master Fund Ltd. Et Al v. Ardagh Group S.A. Et Al
- 654268/24 Clear Haven Investment Fund v. Zags Spv 1 LLC Et Al
- 160904/20 Kostyatnikov v. Hfz Capital Group LLC
- 654506/23 Related Fund Mgt. v. Franklin St. Ins. Services

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 655157/24 Faintych v. Atelier 4, Inc.
- 151029/25 Go NY Tours Inc. D/b/a Topview Sightseeing v. Vector Media
- 654132/23 Puritan Partners LLC v. Breezer Hldgs.
- 654190/21 Richmond Global Compass Fund v. Nascimento
- 654908/22 Saes Rlty. Group LLC Et Al v. Sternklar
- 654196/21 Seaton v. Babad
- 653808/19 Vector Media v. Go NY Tours Inc.
- 654094/23 Wheels Up Partners v. Exclusive Jets

Motion

- 151029/25 Go NY Tours Inc. D/b/a Topview Sightseeing v. Vector Media
- 653808/19 Vector Media v. Go NY Tours Inc.

Part 54
Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 651388/24 Nexamp Capital v. Dimension Me 1 LLC Et Al
- 659454/24 Radio Ingraham v. Rosenberg
- 656220/23 Radio Ingraham v. Villanova Media Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 652032/21 Epac Technologies Ltd v. Interforum S.A.
- 650787/24 I.A.T.S.E Nat. Health & Welfare Fund Et Al v. Avenu Insights & Analytics
- 651341/20 Kim v. Xp Securities
- 654150/24 Twist v. Simply Active Cosmetics, Inc., A Delaware Corp. Et Al

Motion

- 654150/24 Twist v. Simply Active Cosmetics, Inc., A Delaware Corp. Et Al

Part 57

Justice Sabrina Kraus
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3195
Room 218

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 950380/20 Covello v. NYC Dept. Et Al
- 952013/22 Daenzer v. Wilhelmina Models, Inc. Et Al
- 156554/18 Jewelers Mutual Ins. v. Forty Seventh Fifth Co.
- 950051/21 Little v. Archdiocese of NY
- 950735/20 S. v. NYC
- 151541/19 Simmons v. Gateway I Tp4 Housing
- 950656/20 T. v. NYC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 951369/21 Abusaid v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
- 950060/19 Ark86 v. Archdiocese of NY
- 950451/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
- 950014/20 G. v. Archdiocese of NY
- 951391/21 I.E. Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al

Part 59

Justice Debra A. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3351
Room 331

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 153861/23 Gambino v. 27 Hldgs. I LLC Et Al
- 651893/20 Laborin v. Madera Tech. Partners
- 155218/22 Wolosz v. Erzuli

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 161089/22 Fenton v. 509 W 34 L.L.C. Et Al
- 153758/23 Hooks v. Azure Hldgs. II
- 152163/23 Idris v. Row NYC Hotel Et Al
- 152860/23 Roldan Allauca v. Rose Associates, Inc. Et Al
- 156433/18 Sanchirico v. Rkrj Associates, Inc. Et Al
- 151766/23 Vargas v. 141st St.

Part 60
Commercial Div.

Justice Melissa A. Crane
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3310
Room 248

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 652720/23 A Participations Ltd. Et Al v. Infinity Q Capital Mgt. LLC Et Al
- 655014/24 Dkc Group Hldgs. v. Reece, Inc.
- 650297/25 Dmg Usc Student Income Master Lp v. Dmg Investments LLC
- 651305/24 Elmann LLC Et Al v. Circle Jay Glass LLC Et Al
- 652583/25 J & J Global Investments v. Zip-Flyer
- 654522/24 Quinta LLC v. Jk Resources LLC
- 850030/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Mdbzjggs

Motion

- 651305/24 Elmann LLC Et Al v. Circle Jay Glass LLC Et Al
- 850030/25 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. Mdbzjggs

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 654459/22 475 Fifth Owner LLC Et Al v. T-C 475 Fifth Ave. Venture LLC Et Al
- 652524/24 Bb Energy USA LLC v. Keyera Energy Inc
- 651069/24 Blumenfeld v. Smith
- 651653/24 Ceco Studios v. Wcb Hldgs.
- 654434/24 Fed. Ins. Co. v. Mt. Hawley Ins. Co.
- 650792/25 in The Matter of An Application of Dr. Henry Bellutta v. Pilla
- 653396/23 Theometrics v. Grey

Motion

- 650792/25 in The Matter of An Application of Dr. Henry Bellutta v. Pilla

Part 61
Commercial Div.

Justice Nancy M. Bannon
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3169
Room 232

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 654052/24 Aew Partners Real Estate Fund Viii v. Cerco Bridge Loans 6 LLC
- 659355/24 Riverside Study Center, Inc., in Its Individual Capacity As Prop. Owner, And As Assignee of Claims By Subcontractors. v. Design Dev. Nyc, Inc. Et Al

Motion

- 654052/24 Aew Partners Real Estate Fund Viii v. Cerco Bridge Loans 6 LLC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 654587/24 Cmtg Jpm Term Funding LLC v. Chetrit
- 659797/24 Cmtg Jpm Term Funding LLC v. Chetrit
- 655150/24 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC v. Chetrit
- 655162/24 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC v. Chetrit
- 650072/25 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC Et Al v. Chetrit
- 654720/24 U.S. Technologies Communication Corp. D/b/a Netceed v. Altice USA, Inc. F/k/a Neptune Hldg. Us Corp.

Motion

- 654587/24 Cmtg Jpm Term Funding LLC v. Chetrit
- 659797/24 Cmtg Jpm Term Funding LLC v. Chetrit
- 655150/24 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC v. Chetrit
- 655162/24 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC v. Chetrit
- 650072/25 Cmtg Lender 10 LLC Et Al v. Chetrit
- 654720/24 U.S. Technologies Communication Corp. D/b/a Netceed v. Altice USA, Inc. F/k/a Neptune Hldg. Us Corp.

Transit Authority
Settlement Part

60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3281
Room 408

80 CENTRE STREET

Part 4

Justice Judy H. Kim
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3580
Room 308

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 161568/24 Capital One v. Bishop
- 153526/25 Horton v. Celtic Services NYC Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 654105/23 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Fresko Brands Corp. D/b/a Nuggett Downtown Grill D/b/a Aj's Uptown Bar And Grill Et Al
- 453085/24 Dept. of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC v. Deseta
- 654479/24 Rs Capital Ventures v. Exact Capital Group

Part 5
City Part

Justice Hasa A. Kingo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3374
Room 320

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 452452/24 Mei v. Tzu Tai Tsao Corp Et Al
- 151770/18 Valencia v. Doyban

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 153359/22 Abramov v. 230 Pas Spe LLC Et Al
- 154896/22 Allison v. NYC Et Al
- 152528/16 Angus Mackenzie v. NYC, Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. And Tme Warner Cable NYC LLC
- 151011/22 Annam v. NYC Et Al
- 161602/19 Bailey v. NYC
- 150374/25 Bryan v. NYC Et Al
- 450144/23 Bryant v. Triborough Bridge And Tunnel Auth. Et Al
- 154224/20 Carlton Spivey v. NYC
- 158699/16 Carmona v. NYC
- 159105/20 Conyers v. NYC Et Al
- 150559/21 Crawford v. Battery Park City Auth.
- 160709/19 Dawkins v. Bailey House, Inc.
- 157903/20 Diallo v. NYC
- 100248/20 El-Bey v. NYC Police
- 161020/20 Engles v. 150 Hg Venture LLC
- 156338/22 Garcia Nunez v. Fireball Restaurant Et Al
- 159758/21 Gaviola v. NYC Et Al
- 100104/19 Greathouse v. NYC Manhattan
- 153071/21 Haut v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
- 151232/20 Holtje v. NYC
- 156084/20 Hudson v. Dyckman Sherman Rlty.
- 157499/21 Jackson v. NYC Et Al
- 159220/21 Jenkins v. NYC
- 156147/24 Konate v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
- 150455/21 Kuka v. NYC Et Al
- 150785/21 Lazarri v. NYC
- 155754/19 Luna v. NYC
- 158961/19 Manglani v. NYC
- 156074/20 NY Marine & General v. NYC
- 451327/22 Oquendo v. NYC Et Al
- 150630/20 Ortego Sabate v. Deco Towers Associates LLC
- 157558/22 Padeh v. NYC
- 156139/20 Penkoff v. NYC
- 162289/24 Perry Jr. v. NYC Et Al
- 157354/20 Plana v. United Christian
- 156309/21 Pope v. NYCHA Et Al
- 160201/19 Reyes v. Uddin
- 159551/21 Santiago v. NYC Et Al
- 154451/22 Saunders v. NYC
- 153188/20 Sudderth v. NYC Et Al
- 160525/20 T. v. NYC
- 150331/18 Varghese v. NYC
- 157818/17 Villar v. NYC
- 160253/24 Vivas v. NYC Et Al
- 101368/19 Williams v. NYC
- 152612/22 Wright v. NYC Et Al
- 154891/24 Younghans v. Qwick Kurb Inc. Et Al
- 159302/19 Zimmerman v. NYC Et Al
- 155841/20 Zorrilla v. NYC

Motion

- 450144/23 Bryant v. Triborough Bridge And Tunnel Auth. Et Al
- 100248/20 El-Bey v. NYC Police
- 153071/21 Haut v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et Al
- 156147/24 Konate v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
- 157354/20 Plana v. United Christian
- 154891/24 Younghans v. Qwick Kurb Inc. Et Al
- 159302/19 Zimmerman v. NYC Et Al

Part 8

Justice Lynn R. Kotler
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3572
Room 278

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 652105/25 Chelsea Hotel Owner LLC v. Berg

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 158647/14 116 Park Deli Inc. v. NYC
- 151582/17 116 Park Deli Inc. v. NY Heating Corp.
- 152426/16 187 St. Mazal LLC v. Demurjian
- 158871/14 Al-Rousan v. NYC
- 155411/15 Allstate Ins. Co. v. NYC
- 152307/17 Allstate Ins. Co. v. NY Heating Corp.
- 151628/15 Alshyef v. Muramatsu
- 152388/17 Alshyef v. NY Heating Corp.
- 100179/16 Amadi v. Sephora Inc.
- 153700/14 Arias-Amacosta v. Con Ed
- 655592/19 Aronov v. Rosenfeld
- 654109/15 Banco Indusval S.A. v. Goncalves
- 153102/14 Barrios v. Con Ed Co.
- 152738/15 Belliard v. NYC
- 155878/15 Borrero v. Con Ed Co.
- 152402/17 Borrero v. Hallen Const. Co.
- 158705/20 Cain v. Long Island RR.
- 155821/15 Calvert Apts. LLC v. NYC
- 152350/17 Calvert Apts. LLC v. Hallen Const. Co.
- 158646/14 Christina's Wine & Liquor v. NYC
- 151853/17 Christina's Wine & Liquor v. NY Heating Corp.
- 450658/17 NYC v. Con Ed Co.
- 152349/17 Connaughton v. NY Heating Corp.
- 155817/15 Continental Casualty Co. v. NYC
- 152347/17 Continental Casualty Co. v. Hallen Const. Co.
- 652027/15 Costello v. NYC
- 152335/17 Daniels v. NY Heating Corp.
- 161198/14 Daniels v. NYC
- 162000/14 Davids Tax Preparation LLC v. NYC
- 151804/17 Davids Tax Preparation LLC v. NY Heating Corp.
- 153052/22 Davis v. Rh 118 West 137 St. LLC
- 155820/15 Dongbu Ins. Co. As v. NYC
- 152346/17 Dongbu Ins. Co. As v. Hallen Const. Co.
- 151145/16 Eileen La Puma v. Con Ed of New
- 153014/15 Eileen La Puma v. Consol. Edision of New
- 152348/17 Fed. Ins. Co. As v. Hallen Const. Co.
- 159000/15 IIJima v. Con Ed Co.
- 161595/14 Joseph v. NYC
- 451909/17 Joseph v. NY Heating Corp.
- 451696/17 Joseph v. Spanish Christian Church
- 151061/17 La Puma v. NY Heating Corp.
- 156194/15 Mfg Enterprises Corp. v. Con Ed of New
- 151808/17 Mfg Enterprises Corp. v. NY Heating Corp.
- 157698/14 Midtown Fish & Meat Market v. NYC
- 151953/17 Midtown Fish & Meat Market v. NY Heating Corp.
- 151578/17 Mn Business Group, Inc., The v. NY Heating Corp.
- 150810/16 Mor Diao v. Con Ed of New
- 158778/14 Najd v. NYC
- 151386/17 Najd v. NY Heating Corp.
- 151725/15 Nelson v. NYC
- 159395/21 Oquendo v. Approved Oil Co. of Bklyn., Inc. Et Al
- 155875/15 Perez v. Con Ed Co.
- 152414/17 Perez v. NY Heating Corp.
- 160696/14 Pizarro v. NYC
- 152879/22 Quinn v. Tishman Const. Corp. D/b/a Aecom Tishman Et Al
- 153775/18 Rosa v. NYCHA
- 155800/15 Rossy v. NYC
- 154614/15 Salas v. Con Ed Co.
- 152327/17 Salas v. Plumbing Works, Inc.
- 157640/14 Salgado v. Con Ed, Inc.
- 159876/14 Scott v. NYC
- 155791/15 Spanish Christian v. NYC
- 152387/17 Spanish Christian v. NY Heating Corp.
- 152718/15 Strakosch v. NYC
- 160851/21 T. v. Holiday Inns Inc. Et Al
- 151564/15 Virgo And v. Muramatsu
- 152374/17 Virgo v. NY Heating Corp.
- 158414/15 Viva Marketing, Inc. v. Con Ed Co.
- 158446/14 Your Health Pharmacy, Inc. v. NYC
- 151809/17 Your Health Pharmacy, Inc. v. NY Heating Corp.

Part 21
City Part

Justice Richard A. Tsai
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3738
Room 280

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 154579/19 Hosbein v. NYCTA
- 159740/24 Monaco v. The NYCTA Et Al
- 152487/22 Singh v. Long Island Rail Road Et Al
- 451643/20 Zakaria v. NYCTA

Motion

- 152487/22 Singh v. Long Island Rail Road Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 157164/23 Suleiman Rifai v. Mta NYCTA Et Al

Part 22
Motor Vehicle

Justice Christopher Chin
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3271
Room 136

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 159256/23 Ahmad v. Briggs III
- 453229/22 Aldia v. Andrews
- 153428/23 Anderson v. Diallo
- 153724/24 Aristizabal Torres v. Cardenas Rincon
- 159839/21 Bancroft v. Islam
- 157641/23 Benichou v. Frye
- 150036/24 Bontempo v. Gopuff Health
- 153637/24 Branco v. Han
- 153790/24 Campbell v. Holland
- 157339/22 Cannistraci v. Lewis
- 157098/23 Castro Rivera v. Matthews
- 150599/23 Castro v. Dennehy
- 159396/22 Chisholm v. Goodwin
- 160598/22 Chong v. Haimoudat
- 155682/23 Clemente Ramos v. Chen
- 153309/24 Cortorreal Baez v. Julien Jr.
- 159403/23 Cruz v. Alsawaby
- 154462/23 Darling v. Shafiul
- 154744/20 Diaz v. Ryder Truck Rental Lt
- 158902/21 Elliott v. Genao
- 152366/22 Encarnacion v. Poconos Express Inc Et Al
- 150262/24 Ernest Martin v. Mta Bus Co. Et Al
- 159956/22 Fairley v. Micinnis
- 153569/24 Forman v. Emelec Truck, Inc. Et Al
- 453747/24 Frederick v. Perez
- 156902/23 Galindo v. Sweeney
- 153824/23 Graham v. Brown
- 153289/24 Green v. Guenifi
- 161067/21 Hamilton v. Hanfymahmoud
- 151797/17 Hart v. Rodriguez
- 152890/22 Hernandez Guevara v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
- 151305/24 Holguin v. Zhu
- 153764/24 Irwin v. Metro North Commuter RR.
- 158083/23 Jimenez v. Hernandez
- 160071/23 Jocelyn v. Hub Truck Rental Corp. Et Al
- 151399/21 Khan v. Guerra
- 153818/24 Kim v. Haimoudat
- 154990/23 Kim v. Neo Taxi Corp. Et Al
- 153390/23 Landazuri v. Huang
- 154771/22 Leacock v. Karsch
- 159014/23 Lebron v. Delacruz
- 151322/23 Lee v. Hernandez
- 157688/23 Lora v. Roadway Movers Inc Et Al
- 158820/23 Martinez v. Williams
- 153620/22 McClain v. Firpo
- 153580/19 McNeil-Sampson v. Perez
- 153803/24 Mena v. Dimaggio
- 162225/24 Miranda v. Southern Cross LLC Et Al
- 150538/23 Monegro v. Feliz
- 154645/22 Nina Mende v. Milady Antolina Salcedo De Andrade, Boro Transit, Inc., And Snt Bus, Inc.
- 162037/19 Oldham v. Con Ed Co. of NY Et Al
- 151619/21 Olguin v. Wright
- 154708/19 Ovalle v. Kim
- 157930/24 Parejo v. Fed. Express Corp. Et Al
- 158460/22 Perez v. Donlan Trust Et Al
- 153458/24 Poole v. Douglas
- 156740/22 Reyes-Felix v. Barias
- 158864/21 Rios-Santoni v. Rahman
- 159102/24 Robinson v. Vicchio
- 153867/24 Rodriguez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
- 160400/19 Seeram v. Le Noble Lumber Co., Inc.
- 150758/22 Setta v. Jalyan Towing & Recovery Corp Et Al
- 155882/23 Shuda v. Love Jag Sidhu Corp. Et Al
- 452265/24 Singh v. Mta Bus Co. Et Al
- 155985/19 Soukouna v. Ean Hldgs.
- 153725/24 Spiteri v. Farque
- 151288/21 Stewart v. Toshmatov
- 150445/24 Strazza v. F&T Trucking Co. Inc Et Al
- 450652/24 Taveras v. Flores Guzman
- 160967/24 Vandross v. Rolph Laroche Et Al
- 156182/21 Vargas v. Dharma Mgt. Et Al
- 154973/23 Velarde v. Bedford Taxi
- 156049/21 Villanueva v. Rambarran
- 154910/23 Wood v. Wruble M.D.
- 159994/24 Wu v. Zilka
- 155285/23 Wynter v. Jalal
- 152364/22 Yun v. Chabrol
- 157013/19 Zapata v. Santiago
- 156174/22 Zida v. Professional Line Corp. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 158461/23 Banyai v. Sheard
- 150833/24 Morales Guzman v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al
- 158304/19 Piekut v. Diallo
- 153881/24 Song v. Santiago

Part MED-2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
646-386-3689
Room 106

Early Settlement
Part 1

Justice Miles J. Vigilante
80 Centre Street
Room 106

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 160246/19 Bonilla v. Bpp St Owner LLC
- 159711/20 Cruz v. 987 Amsterdam Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
- 156781/21 Fields v. Morton Williams Supermarkets, Inc.
- 156440/17 Francis v. Turner Const. Co.
- 160673/20 Garrido v. 200 Lenox Ave.
- 151085/22 Hargrove v. NY Cibao Furniture Inc., Et Al
- 160875/22 Hirst v. Manier
- 158591/21 Jewelers Mutual Ins. Co. on Its Own Behalf And As Subrogee of K & D Diamonds, Inc. v. S.H. Zell & Sons
- 151443/18 Jimenez v. St. Nicholas Ave. Housing
- 155161/19 K. v. NYC
- 152490/17 Munoz v. Isabella Geriatric Center Inc.
- 159572/21 Ortiz v. NYCHA
- 159790/17 Principis Capital LLC. v. Anne's LLC
- 159127/19 Rosa v. 200 Mb Corp.
- 159283/20 Simpson v. Beacon B'way. Co.
- 158806/20 Taitelbaum v. 20125 Owners Corp.
- 157077/21 Valera v. Tower157

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 161519/19 Angen v. De Jesus
- 160687/21 Blumin v. Keyland NY LLC Et Al
- 159445/19 Bravo v. Rph Hotels 51st St.
- 160406/20 Bukowski v. Manhattan Chelsea Market
- 150176/21 Dewinter v. Equinox Greenwich Ave., Inc.
- 156795/18 Edry v. East 37th St. LLC.
- 159274/21 Fendley v. 402 Associates LLC
- 152243/23 Fuchs v. Millenium Mechanical Services Inc.
- 655243/19 Kaplan v. 55th St. Apts. Inc.
- 158645/18 Morocho v. Trust For Cultural Resources
- 152206/21 Narvaez v. 12 West 31st St. Corp.
- 150512/19 Ortiz v. James E. Fitzgerald, Inc.
- 654950/20 Paulmil Cafe, Inc. v. Evolver Hldgs. Corp.
- 152726/20 Pilapanta v. Hudson 888 Owner LLC
- 155382/21 Rodriguez v. Vs 125
- 157598/17 Scott v. Dewitt Rehabilitation And
- 151766/23 Vargas v. 141st St.
- 156669/21 Velazquez-Sierra v. Magnificent Urban Restoration Ltd. Et Al

Early Settlement
Part 2

Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld
80 Centre Street
Room 106

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 453229/22 Aldia v. Andrews
- 154744/20 Diaz v. Ryder Truck Rental Lt
- 160832/22 Fernandez Solano v. Marios Express Service, Inc. Et Al
- 156902/23 Galindo v. Sweeney
- 153824/23 Graham v. Brown
- 161067/21 Hamilton v. Hanfymahmoud
- 151399/21 Khan v. Guerra
- 154771/22 Leacock v. Karsch
- 153580/19 McNeil-Sampson v. Perez
- 154645/22 Nina Mende v. Milady Antolina Salcedo De Andrade, Boro Transit, Inc., And Snt Bus, Inc.
- 151619/21 Olguin v. Wright
- 154708/19 Ovalle v. Kim
- 160400/19 Seeram v. Le Noble Lumber Co., Inc.
- 156182/21 Vargas v. Dharma Mgt. Et Al
- 154910/23 Wood v. Wruble M.D.
- 152364/22 Yun v. Chabrol

Part 27

Justice Denise M Dominguez
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5625
Courtroom 289

Part 39

Justice James G. Clynes
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3619
Room 307

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 159711/20 Cruz v. 987 Amsterdam Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
- 152961/21 Garcia v. New Puck Corp.
- 159840/24 Granda v. Westbuilt Const. Mgrs. LLC Et Al
- 158591/21 Jewelers Mutual Ins. Co. on Its Own Behalf And As Subrogee of K & D Diamonds, Inc. v. S.H. Zell & Sons
- 151723/25 Onh Liquidating Trust As Trustee And Exercising The Voting Rights of The Membership Interests of 1 Westend Ph-A LLC v. Schwartz
- 159572/21 Ortiz v. NYCHA
- 159790/17 Principis Capital LLC. v. Anne's LLC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 150512/19 Ortiz v. James E. Fitzgerald, Inc.

Part 41

Justice Nicholas W. Moyne
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3984
Room 327

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 157155/24 Banco Bradesco S.A. v. Rafael Safdie
- 650205/22 Clark Wilson Inc. v. Varriano
- 654691/22 Fong v. Sherry-Lehmann Inc.
- 653232/23 Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein Llp v. Pecora
- 651781/24 Leeding Builders Group LLC v. 1461-1469 Third Ave Owner LLC Et Al
- 650376/22 Olek, Inc. v. Avalonbay Communities, Inc. Et Al
- 654897/22 Segal v. Sherry-Lehmann Inc.

Motion

- 650205/22 Clark Wilson Inc. v. Varriano
- 654691/22 Fong v. Sherry-Lehmann Inc.
- 654897/22 Segal v. Sherry-Lehmann Inc.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 152085/14 Ardi v. Miller
- 161934/23 Ayala v. Robert B. Samuels, Inc. Et Al
- 452956/24 Gracia v. Carrion Jr.
- 659890/24 Pdnyc LLC Et Al v. 27th St. Prop. Owner
- 159207/21 Suarez v. Coa 200 E 34th LLC Et Al

Part 50

Justice J. Machelle Sweeting
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5639
Room 279

Part 51
Matrimonial Part

Justice Lisa S. Headley
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3846
Room 122

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 365169/22 Briasouli v. Tayler
- 365472/22 Faruolo v. Faruolo
- 312779/05 Tippens v. Richan

Motion

- 365169/22 Briasouli v. Tayler
- 365472/22 Faruolo v. Faruolo
- 312779/05 Tippens v. Richan

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 365136/21 Allen v. Allen
- 365629/23 Brooks v. Brooks
- 320840/23 Flynn v. Mahoney
- 365481/23 Humphreys v. Humphreys
- 365636/23 Norwood v. Norwood

Motion

- 365136/21 Allen v. Allen
- 320840/23 Flynn v. Mahoney

Part 73R
Special Referee

Justice Diego Santiago
60 Centre Street
Room 354

Part 75R
Special Referee

Justice Stephen S. Burzio
60 Centre Street
Room 240

Part 81R
Special Referee

Justice Lancelot B. Hewitt
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3680
Room 321

Part 84R
Special Referee

Justice Jeremy R. Feinberg
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3207
Room 641

Part 87R
Special Referee

Justice Joseph P. Burke
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5541
Room 238

Part 88R
Special Referee

Justice Deborah E. Edelman
60 Centre Street
Room 158

Part 89R
Special Referee

Justice Sue Ann Hoahng
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3676
Room 236

71 THOMAS STREET

Part 13

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

MONDAY, MAY 12

- 190093/24 Daly v. Abb, Inc., Et Al
- 190359/18 Deacon v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
- 190310/24 Devine v. 3m Co. Et Al
- 190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
- 190344/18 Grytten v. Air & Liquid Systems
- 190152/18 Jennings v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
- 190057/19 Lappas v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
- 190106/18 Moore v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co.
- 190144/18 Servedio v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
- 190202/21 Tolleson v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

- 190121/22 Anderson v. Avon Prod.s, Inc. Et Al
- 190133/22 Andrew Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Bailey Controls And Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
- 190191/18 Dinapoli v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
- 190064/20 Epifania v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
- 190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
- 190165/20 Frankini v. Aerco Int'l
- 190160/22 John Goedderz v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., As Successor-By-Merger To Buffalo Pumps, Inc. Et Al
- 190211/23 Kaplan v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
- 190166/20 Koehler v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
- 190008/23 Kyle Beagle And Matthew Beagle v. Abb

190131/22 Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
190155/21 Palmentiero v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190341/18 Robert Baker v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., As Successor-By-Merger To Buffalo Pumps, Inc. Et Al
190254/21 Sears v. Aerco Int'l, Inc., Et Al
190202/21 Tolleson v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc. Et Al

Part 18

Justice Alexander M. Tisch
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3472
Room 104

MONDAY, MAY 12

160027/24 510 West 144th Assoc. LLC v. Asbestways Solutions Corp.
451393/25 Soltanian v. NYS Dept. of Financial Services

Motion

160027/24 510 West 144th Assoc. LLC v. Asbestways Solutions Corp.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

652412/25 American Transit Insurance Company v. All Boro Medical Rehabilitation Pllc
452543/22 B. v. The Salvation Army Et Al
951274/21 Carvalho v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
950461/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950242/19 Doe v. Wilhelmina Models, Inc.
950131/19 Hammond v. Our Lady of Mt. Carmel Roman Et Al
951271/21 Lannen v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al
155577/22 Li City Ventures LLC D/b/a Modern Spaces v. Ascent Dev. LLC Et Al
951259/21 Liguori v. Madison Square Boys & Girls Club Et Al
158851/22 Meehan v. Corporate Mezuyon
950201/21 N. v. Archdiocese of NY
950636/20 Nunez v. NYC
950420/21 S. v. NYC
951014/21 S. v. Archdiocese of NY
950755/20 Sovacool v. NY
950237/20 Thomas v. Archdiocese of NY

Part 23

Justice Eric Schumacher
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3736
Courtroom 304

MONDAY, MAY 12

190093/24 Daly v. Abb, Inc., Et Al
190359/18 Deacon v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
190310/24 Devine v. 3m Co. Et Al
190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
190344/18 Grytten v. Air & Liquid Systems
190152/18 Jennings v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
190057/19 Lappas v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190106/18 Moore v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co.
190144/18 Servedio v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190202/21 Tolleson v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

190121/22 Anderson v. Avon Prod.s, Inc. Et Al
190133/22 Andrew Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Bailey Controls And Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
190191/18 Dinapoli v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc.
190064/20 Epifania v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co
190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al
190165/20 Frankini v. Aerco Int'l
190160/22 John Goedderz v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., As Successor-By-Merger To Buffalo Pumps, Inc. Et Al
190211/23 Kaplan v. Amchem Prod.s, Inc., N/k/a Rhone Poulenc Ag Co., N/k/a Bayer Cropscience Inc Et Al
190166/20 Koehler v. Abb, Inc. Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc Et Al
190008/23 Kyle Beagle And Matthew Beagle v. Abb
190131/22 Lucano v. Abb, Inc, Individually And As Successor in Interest To Ite Circuit Breakers, Inc. Et Al
190155/21 Palmentiero v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al
190341/18 Robert Baker v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., As Successor-By-Merger To Buffalo Pumps, Inc. Et Al
190254/21 Sears v. Aerco Int'l, Inc., Et Al
190202/21 Tolleson v. Advance Auto Parts, Inc. Et Al

Part 29

Justice Leticia M. Ramirez
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 311

Part 36

Justice Verna L. Saunders
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3733
Room 205

MONDAY, MAY 12

656253/21 Holand v. The Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America Et Al
154055/22 Romero v. 249 W 28th St. Properties

TUESDAY, MAY 13

154634/25 Akf Inc v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A.
651193/25 Chubb Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Berman

Part 46

Justice Richard Latin
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3279
Room 210

MONDAY, MAY 12

160039/22 Artiles v. Macklowe Properties Et Al—11:30 A.M.
158666/22 Calderon Flores v. 498 Seventh—10 A.M.
151316/23 Conte v. The Related Companies—9:30 A.M.
151912/23 Dimauro v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al—10:30 A.M.
100661/19 Hernandez v. The Estee Lauder Companies Inc. Et Al—11 A.M.
152026/22 Kadah v. Kadah—10 A.M.
153751/23 Mullman v. 3cd E78 LLC Et Al
157608/22 Procel v. Bop Se LLC Et Al—11:30 A.M.
154095/23 Rodriguez Pena v. Harlem-Valley Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
153210/23 Ross v. Prince/elizabeth—10:30 A.M.
155221/22 Zhiminaicela v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

160879/23 Ramon v. Third And Thirty Four LLC Et Al—2:15 P.M.

Part 55

Justice James D'Auguste
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-3289
Room 103

MONDAY, MAY 12

150862/20 Anzurez Benitez v. 5462 125th Rlty. LLC
154502/24 Coppola v. Coppola
652486/25 Davis & Gilbert Llp v. Coffee Card, Inc.
157292/24 Gomez v. Chessa
155224/25 Moya v. NYC Et Al
653274/14 Shaira Const. Corp. v. 131 & 137 7th Ave. South

Motion

157292/24 Gomez v. Chessa

TUESDAY, MAY 13

155558/25 All Data Health LLC v. Rashan
652506/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Galina Supply Inc.
155164/25 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
652952/24 Mercury Public Affairs v. Golden Health Initiative, Inc.
651200/24 Mercury Public Affairs v. Regulatory Compliance Assoc., Inc.
654122/24 Sm Financial Services Corp., As Assignee of Deborah J. Piazza, As Chapter 7 Trustee of Donadio & Olson, Inc. v. Webb

Part 58

Justice David B. Cohen
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-636-3347
Room 305

TUESDAY, MAY 13

150101/17 1890 Adam Clayton Powell LLC v. Dept. of Housing
651266/15 398 Ph LLC v. Ross
101183/20 Anonymous v. Anonymous
161416/18 Arsenault v. Triumph Const. Corp.
157070/24 Bachrach v. Morris
100513/23 Bako v. Pier Sixty LLC
159116/13 Bannister v. Streicher
152363/21 Barbaro v. Goldman Sachs Headquarters
153960/24 Barics v. Wenger
153088/24 Cardello v. NYC Et Al
155219/23 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's v. Boxart Inc. Et Al
151093/20 Chen v. Lucky Success LLC
157456/19 Cotto v. 685 First Rlty. Co.
159194/19 Cruceta v. Renue Systems of Ny-Nj LLC
153295/19 Dammacco v. Host Hotels & Resorts
150208/22 Diaz v. Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center Et Al
154749/25 Doe v. Marriott Int'l, Inc. Et Al
655598/16 Express Hardware & Bldg. v. New Holland Rlty. LLC
153354/22 Farias v. Carve Food Emporium Inc. Et Al
160632/19 Fireman's Fund Ins. v. Isseks Bros., Inc.
100439/25 Gavrieli v. NYC Taxi And Limo. Comm.
150647/20 Gawronski v. Global 1845 B'way. LLC
100487/22 Gottfried v. Herald Towers
157709/22 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Altai Corp. D/b/a Get Ready Med Supply Et Al
154988/23 Great Northern Ins. Co. A/s/o Artisan Partners Hldgs. Lp v. Addison Phs Corp.
160227/19 Hartman v. Wvh Housing Dev. Fund
156521/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Orthopaedics
156479/22 Hossain v. Moinian Dev. Group LLC Et Al
153979/25 in The Matter of The Application of 250 E. 63 Rlty. LLC v. 249 E 62nd LLC Et Al
152542/25 in The Matter of The Application of Forrester's Towing Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Consumer And Worker Protection
157917/22 Johnson v. NYCHA
152306/20 Kane v. Lighton Industries Inc.
161374/20 Kleinplatz v. 253 West 16 Owners, Inc. Et Al
161727/18 Levy v. Roosevelt Island Operating
160544/21 Li v. Manhattan School of Music Et Al
150910/20 Markisic v. Nordstrom, Inc.
152787/20 Martin v. Guerrero
152734/19 Matasane v. Allborogh Personal Care Inc.
156835/23 McFadden v. Caraccilo
151711/20 Paladino v. Cojocaru
153340/22 Parra Mendez v. 171 Calyer Main Owner LLC Et Al
162103/19 Paulino v. Barclays Capital, Inc.
157533/21 Philadelphia Indemnity Ins. Co. As Subrogee of 220 Fifth Ave. LLC v. Addison Phs Corp.
156195/17 Pomatto v. Renaissance Housing
155915/21 R.R. v. The NYCHA Et Al
151410/19 Rapisarda v. Soho Broom Condos
160605/20 Samson Hldgs. LLC v. Con Ed Co. of NY Inc. Et Al
160552/20 Solorzano v. Ninety Five Wall St.
150450/25 The Jewish Board of Family And Children's Services, Inc. v. 114 West 124 LLC
154127/25 Wesler v. 25 B'way. Office Properties

Motion

101183/20 Anonymous v. Anonymous
157070/24 Bachrach v. Morris
157456/19 Cotto v. 685 First Rlty. Co.
154749/25 Doe v. Marriott Int'l, Inc. Et Al
100439/25 Gavrieli v. NYC Taxi And Limo. Comm.
156521/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Orthopaedics
153979/25 in The Matter of The Application of 250 E. 63 Rlty. LLC v. 249 E 62nd LLC Et Al
152542/25 in The Matter of The Application of Forrester's Towing Inc. v. NYC Dept. of Consumer And Worker Protection
160544/21 Li v. Manhattan School of Music Et Al
150450/25 The Jewish Board of Family And Children's Services, Inc. v. 114 West 124 LLC
154127/25 Wesler v. 25 B'way. Office Properties

Part 56

Justice John J. Kelley
71 Thomas Street
Phone 646-386-5281
Room 204

MONDAY, MAY 12

805295/19 Diaz v. Grana
805271/21 Gonzalez v. Medtronic Plc Et Al
805300/24 Jagieniak v. Glassman D.D.S.
805278/15 Rivera v. McAvey
805036/22 Singh v. Valenstein
805037/19 Stevens v. Cutler
805127/22 Tucci v. Varlotta D.O.

Motion

805278/15 Rivera v. McAvey

TUESDAY, MAY 13

805237/21 Ayoub v. Kassir M.D.
805243/22 Baron v. Schoor M.D.
805241/23 Espinal v. Samuels M.D.
805230/22 Flores v. Union Square Eye Care LLC Et Al

111 CENTRE STREET

Part 25 Guardianship

Justice Ilana J. Marcus
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5675
Room 1254

Part 35

Justice Phaedra F. Perry
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3016
Room 684

MONDAY, MAY 12

653280/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Armstrong
154992/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Dwayne White Et Al
653309/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Roberts
154631/23 Figaro v. NYC Et Al
160729/24 Jdra v. O'Shea
650812/25 NY Community Financial LLC v. F&H Convenience Inc. Et Al
453443/24 NY Schools Ins. Foundation, Inc. v. Wright Risk Mgt. Co.
153806/25 Ponder v. Souk Bohemian LLC Et Al
161062/23 Spell v. Alexander

TUESDAY, MAY 13

160880/24 118 East 18th St. Residence LLC v. Jiang
150840/24 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Lane
150464/24 Blount v. Circle 1 LLC Et Al
151022/24 Brown v. Greater Harlem Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
152927/24 Brunson v. Paper Source
160722/23 Calle Sarmiento v. Skyline Restoration Inc. Et Al
150627/24 Cavell v. Vf Corp. D/b/a The North Face Soho Et Al
153669/24 De La Cruz v. Edel Family Mgt. Corp. Et Al
160580/23 Dhillon v. 80 Varick St. Group
158891/21 Edwards v. 417 Fifth Ave Real Estate
152688/25 Fontanez v. Bon Tool Co.
150184/24 Garcia v. Rcpi Landmark Properties
152848/24 George v. Edison Ballroom LLC Et Al
151230/24 Graziano v. Mfm Contracting Corp.
158282/23 Gregory Jr. v. West Side 11th & 29th LLC Et Al
151676/24 Guzzardo v. Macy's, Inc., A/k/a Macy's of New York, A/k/a Macy's, A/k/a Macy's Retail Hldgs., LLC
158564/22 Horgan v. 550 Washington Owner (de) LLC Et Al
151826/24 Lazarini v. Monterey 96th St.
162501/23 Leon Wamputsrik v. Hp Marcus Garvey Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
151442/24 Loja Fajardo v. Rb Rlty. Capital LLC Et Al
162044/23 Marion v. Vornado Rlty. Trust Et Al
151293/24 Martinez v. NYCHA
154740/23 Mendoza v. Hp Savoy Park II Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
450813/25 NYCHA v. Victor
161915/23 Pinto v. Smyrna Ready Mix Concrete
159649/23 Quimbay-Romero v. Crosscity Const. Corp. Et Al
160605/23 Ribeiro Costa v. West 207 Grocery Owners
152008/24 Rodriguez v. Hp Promenade Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc. Et Al
157401/23 Rosenthal v. NYCHA
150359/24 Sadler v. C&C Apt. Mgt. LLC Et Al
152211/24 Sanchez v. Madame Tussauds NY
151246/23 Serna v. Adam's European Contracting, Inc. Et Al
157848/23 Soler v. The Tjx Companies, Inc.
151635/24 Sumba v. 770 B'way. Owner
150071/24 Thomas v. NYCHA
159902/21 Thompson v. NYCHA
153056/23 Yunga Morocho v. Canido Basonas Const. Services

Part 31

Justice Kathleen C. Waterman-Marshall
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4296
Room 623

MONDAY, MAY 12

155399/24 Astar LLC v. Fabular Lao
650700/24 Kovacs v. Amc Networks Inc. D/b/a Ifc Center—10 A.M.
650700/24 Kovacs v. Amc Networks Inc. D/b/a Ifc Center
651362/24 Newmark & Co. Real Estate, Inc. v. 752 Wea LLC Et Al
650778/25 Old Republic Ins. Co. v. Joshua Noel Arce
160686/24 Sunstone Associates v. Giovanni

TUESDAY, MAY 13

650712/23 111 John Rlty. Corp. v. Morovati D.D.S.
650438/22 112-113 West 75th St. LLC Et Al v. Euro Tech P&H Contracting, Inc. Et Al—2:15 P.M.
655732/23 Aders-Taylor v. Atmosphere Kitchen And Bath LLC Et Al—2:15 P.M.
150413/23 Admiral Indemnity Co. A/s/o Saga House Condominium v. Braeside 9 Us Ltd. Partnership Et Al
652419/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Park Pl. Medical Imaging
654532/23 Board of Mgrs. of 1 Great Jones Alley Condominium v. Downtown Re Hldgs. LLC Et Al—2:15 P.M.
453235/21 NYC v. 1305 Properties LLC
452881/23 Comm'rs. of The NYS Ins. Fund v. 477 Madison LLC—2:15 P.M.
652805/24 Equinox East 53rd St., Inc. v. 10e53 Owner LLC—2:15 P.M.
155517/24 Gerzog v. Four Thirty Rlty. LLC—2:15 P.M.
153593/24 Glaudin v. NYC Et Al—2:15 P.M.
152845/24 Gonzales v. 291 B'way. Rlty. Associates—2:15 P.M.
151383/25 Hanganu v. NYC Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene
158362/17 Hawkins v. Manhattan Nursing Home—2:30 P.M.
100042/25 Herskovic v. Iag
653822/24 Homelink Int'l Inc. v. Law Offices of Sanjay Chaubey—2:15 P.M.
659152/24 Husa Mgt. Co., LLC v. Four M Capital
653596/22 Laguardia 510 Condominium Corp. v. Hameyha Enterprise Inc., Et Al—2:15 P.M.
651596/20 Lana And Samer, Inc. v. Jon 2—2:15 P.M.
650438/23 Lovell Safety Mgt. Co., L.L.C. v. Par Wall Finishing Corp.—2:15 P.M.
150336/24 Mercado v. Skanska USA Bldg. Inc. Et Al—2:15 P.M.
655031/23 Midway Wind v. Lloyd's Syndicate Csl 1084 Et Al
650446/25 Mir Hanson Associates v. Urban Life Inc. Et Al
155803/24 Municipal Credit Union v. Orbe
152676/25 Pasinetti v. Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai
650666/24 Skyline Builders Group v. 415 East 80th St. Housing Corp.—2:15 P.M.
655080/24 Spch Multifamily Credit Ops 1 LLC v. Const. Mgt. & Dev. - California
659354/24 Tangtiwatanapaibul v. Tom & Toon Inc Et Al
450140/23 The Comm'rs. of The State Ins. Fund v. Par Wall Finishing Corp.—2:15 P.M.
651329/24 Tsiskakis v. Pinehurst House Inc.—2:15 P.M.

Motion

650712/23 111 John Rlty. Corp. v. Morovati D.D.S.
453235/21 NYC v. 1305 Properties LLC
100042/25 Herskovic v. Iag
155803/24 Municipal Credit Union v. Orbe
152676/25 Pasinetti v. Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai
655080/24 Spch Multifamily Credit Ops 1 LLC v. Const. Mgt. & Dev. - California
659354/24 Tangtiwatanapaibul v. Tom & Toon Inc Et Al

32 Mortgage Foreclosure Part

Justice Francis A. Kahn, III
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-5607
Room 1127B

MONDAY, MAY 12

850401/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. 4 St. Lukes Pl. Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

850063/20 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Garcia
850053/24 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Gersbeck
154177/22 Duce Const. Corp. v. Kdbf Ventures LLC Et Al
850053/19 Freedom Mortgage Corp. v. Boukani
850353/23 Hc Suites Owners Assoc., Inc. v. Meacham
850036/20 Hny Club Suites Owners Assoc. Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Fitzgerald
850385/24 Republic Funding Group LLC v. City Towers Ltd. Et Al

Motion

154177/22 Duce Const. Corp. v. Kdbf Ventures LLC Et Al
850053/19 Freedom Mortgage Corp. v. Boukani

Part 38

Justice Ashlee Crawford
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3235
Room 1166

MONDAY, MAY 12

651300/24 Abbott Resource Services Co. v. Moore St. Bldg. Corp. Et Al
653107/23 Adorama, Inc. v. Earthcam, Inc.
654061/20 Aerok Inc v. Dyc Corp
158839/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Catala
656137/17 Bernauer v. Safdesign Inc.
159335/20 Canadian Erectors Ltd. v. Feinstein Iron Works, Inc.
653073/18 Diamond v. Nima Asset Mgt. LLC
652748/19 Dynamic Const. v. G&G Floor Designs, Inc.
651037/19 Epstein Becker & Green v. Samson Mgt. LLC
653378/20 Fidelity Nat. Title v. Sky Abstract Corp.
101202/18 Forwood v. Elzbieta Pacnya
153366/18 Gaia 118th St. v. Walters
151856/24 Gray v. Difazio Industries
154762/21 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Far Rockaway Medical P.C. Et Al
657067/19 Herrera v. American Museum of The
160940/21 Honeybee Services Inc. v. Dpc New York, Inc. Et Al
655377/21 Kapitus Servicing, Inc. v. Midwest Motoplex
150459/24 Reyes Salazar v. 34 Hillside Ave.
656245/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tribeca Hosp.ity
101287/23 Soares v. C/o Joel Isaacson & Co.
159625/16 Tavares v. Toll Brothers, Inc. A/k/a
651850/21 The Elsa Zegelstein Revocable Living Trust v. Bodywork Station NY Inc Et Al
652401/20 Wyse v. Amtrust North America, Inc.

Part 42

Justice Emily Morales-Minerva
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3237
Room 574

MONDAY, MAY 12

659733/24 Aline Studio v. Sutton Manor Apts., Inc.
652365/25 American Choice Capital LLC v. Beitia Enterprises LLC D/b/a Med Laser Outlet Et Al
161450/23 Aurora General Const. v. Okuyama
152395/25 Bayou v. 355 West 20th St. Corp.
160942/20 Beckford v. Greenwich Heights Corp.
150016/22 Feroze v. 57 Irving Th (NY) LLC Et Al
151085/22 Hargrove v. NY Cibao Furniture Inc., Et Al
656200/23 Jianying Knitting Factory v. Louise Paris
157885/23 Moore v. Finite Homes Housing Dev. Co., Inc. Et Al
151495/21 Seemungal v. NYS Dept. of
152791/24 Sierra Cruceta v. Grand Slam Family Club Corp. Et Al
451123/22 NYC v. Piller
654284/25 Watkins Security Agency of D.C., Inc. v. Core Dc LLC
154335/22 Wiggins v. NY Univ. Et Al
651222/25 Workhouse 46 LLC v. 21 West 46th St. LLC Et Al
652202/23 Yawand-Wossen v. Ah Associates, Inc. Et Al

Motion

152395/25 Bayou v. 355 West 20th St. Corp.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

651581/25 Doberge Torte LLC Et Al v. Hernandez
452693/24 NYCHA v. Vittoro
150464/25 Trikam NY 940-8 v. 940 8th Ave. LLC
151578/22 Vial v. Paragon Jv Prop III LLC Et Al

Part 47

Justice Paul A. Goetz
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3743
Room 1021

MONDAY, MAY 12

154062/23 237 East 20 LLC v. Sd Second Ave. Prop. LLC Et Al
161188/23 Horton v. 350 East 86 LLC Et Al
154475/20 Larson v. 245 E. 19 Rlty. LLC
153112/22 Navy Fed. Credit Union v. Gomez

TUESDAY, MAY 13

152476/25 Ahuja v. Board of Mgrs. of The Corinthian Condominiums Et Al
153579/23 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. v. Schad
650572/25 City Nat. Bank v. Rightway Restoration LLC Et Al
154385/25 Gonzalez v. Monpat Const., Inc.
157736/23 Japa Serrano v. Rsh Properties LLC

Part 52 City Part

Justice Carol Sharpe
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3742
Room 1045

MONDAY, MAY 12

153059/24 Atamian v. NYC

Part 62 City Part

Justice Ariel D. Chesler
111 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3274
Room 1127A

MONDAY, MAY 12

160437/15 Brown v. NYC
158584/24 Luc v. 215 W. 75th St. Owners Corp. Et Al
161201/21 Yearwood v. Shop Fair Corp Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

159916/22 Strickland v. NYC Et Al

Integrated Domestic Violence Part

Justice Tandra L. Dawson
100 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3868
Room 1604

MONDAY, MAY 12

365484/23 Hopkins v. Hopkins

CRIMINAL TERM

Part Tap A

Justice Biben
Phone 646-386-4107
100 Centre St.
Room 1100, 9:30 A.M.

Part Tap B

Justice Statsinger
Phone 646-346-4044
100 Centre St.
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22

Justice Mennin
Phone 646-386-4022
Fax 212-295-4890
111 Centre Street
Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

Part 23

Justice N. Ross
Phone 646-386-4023
Fax 212-295-4891
100 Centre Street
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31

Justice D. Kiesel
Phone 646-386-4031
Fax 212-401-9260
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32

Justice Carro
Phone 646-386-4032
Fax 212-401-9261
100 Centre Street
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37

Justice Adlerberg
Phone 646-386-4037
100 Centre Street
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41

Justice Dwyer
Phone 646-386-4041
Fax 212-401-9262
100 Centre Street
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

Part 42

Justice Wiley
Phone 646-386-4042
Fax 212-401-9263
111 Centre Street
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

Part 51

Justice Edwards
Phone 646-386-4051
Fax 212-401-9264
100 Centre Street
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

Part 52

Justice T. Farber
Phone 646-386-4052
Fax 212-401-9265
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 53

Justice Rodney
Phone 646-386-4053
100 Centre Street
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

Part 54

Justice Antignani
Phone 646-386-4054
111 Centre Street
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 56

Justice Drysdale
Phone 646-386-4056
111 Centre Street
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

Part 59

Justice J. Merchan
Phone 646-386-4059
Fax 212-295-4932
100 Centre Street
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 61

Justice Clott
Phone 646-386-4061
Fax 212-401-9266
100 Centre Street
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 62

Justice M. Jackson
Phone 646-386-4062
Fax 212-401-9267
100 Centre Street
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

Part 63

Justice Hong
Phone 646-386-4063
111 Centre Street
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66

Justice Pickholz
Phone 646-386-4066
Fax 212-401-9097
111 Centre Street
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71

Justice L. Ward
Phone 646-386-4071
Fax 212-401-9268
100 Centre Street
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

Part 72

Justice R. Stolz
Phone 646-386-4072
Fax 212-401-9269
100 Centre Street
Room 1123, 9:30 A.M.

Part 73

Justice Roberts
Phone 646-386-4073
Fax 212-401-9116
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 75

Justice Mandelbaum
Phone 646-386-4075
111 Centre Street
Room 583, 9:30 A.M.

Part 77

Justice Obus
Phone 646-386-4077
100 Centre Street
Room 1536, 9:30 A.M.

Part 81

Justice C. Farber
Phone 646-386-4081
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1317, 9:30 A.M.

Part 85

Justice Hayes
Phone 646-386-4085
Fax 212-401-9113
111 Centre Street
Room 1523, 9:30 A.M.

Part 92

Justice Mitchell
Phone 646-386-4092
Fax 212-295-4914
111 Centre Street
Room 1234, 9:30 A.M.

Part

Justice E. Biben
Phone 646-386-4093
111 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 93

Justice Scherzer
Phone 646-386-4093
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 95

Justice D.Conviser
Phone 646-386-4095
Fax 212-401-9137
111 Centre Street
Room 687, 9:30 A.M.

Part 99

Justice Burke
Phone 646-386-4099
Fax 212-401-9270
100 Centre Street
Room 1530, 9:30 A.M.

Part N-SCT

Justice Peterson
Phone 646-386-4014
Fax 212-401-9272
100 Centre Street
Room 218, 9:30 A.M.

Part IDV

Justice Dawson
Phone 646-386-3579
Fax 212-884-8938
100 Centre Street
Room 1604, 9:30 A.M.

SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate Hilary Gingold
Surrogate Rita Mella
31 Chamber's Street
New York, NY`

See court's webpage for information about appearances: Visiting Surrogate's Court | NYCOURTS. GOVs

Bronx County

SUPREME COURT

EX PARTE AND URGENT MOTIONS PART

The Following is the List of Sittings in the Ex Parte Urgent Motions Part on the Dates Specified:

-

TRIAL TERM
718-618-1248

Day Calendar

Court Notices
Key to Submission
Motion Calendar

FS = Fully submitted.

FSN = Fully Submitted, No Opposition

ADJ=adjourned to the marked date for oral argument in the above calendar part. Answering papers are to be submitted on the original return date in Room 217.

* * *

MENTAL HYGIENE PART

Justice Betty Owen Stinson

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted at Jacobi Hospital, Pelham Parkway and Eastchester Road, Room 8E20, and North Central Bronx Hospital, 3424 Kossuth Avenue, Room 13A32, on alternate Wednesdays commencing at 9 A.M.

A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted at Bronx Psychiatric Center, 1500 Waters Place, Thompson Building, First Floor every Wednesday at 10:30 A.M. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

Mortgage Foreclosure Sales in Supreme Court, Bronx County are no longer conducted in Room 118M. All Mortgage Foreclosure Sales in Supreme Court, Bronx County are conducted in Room B-129 (Ground Floor). Sales will be conducted on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Fridays at 2 P.M. No Mortgage Foreclosure Sales shall be conducted in Bronx County on Thursdays

Trial Assignment Part

Justice Joseph E. Capella
Phone 718-618-1201
Room 607, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

814757/21 Abreu Monegro v. L & R & G Contractors Corp.
813108/23 Alejo v. Highbridge House Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
32777/18 Allen v. Columbia Florist, Inc.
807490/21 Barrera v. Sharma
32978/18 Callender v. Torres
33025/18 Concepcion v. NYC
30370/17 Cosme v. NYC
806931/22 Darkoaa v. 210 Associates
803126/23 Darlene G. Lanausse v. St. Barnabas Hosp. Et Al
804119/22 De La Rose-Puello v. Lumber Trans. Corp. Et Al
24764/18 Delarosa v. Loureiro
22323/20 Estevez v. Deboe Const. Corp.
28189/19 F. v. Egbuna
817237/21 Galloway v. Arthur Clinton Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
20292/17 Hernandez v. Western Beef Retail, Inc.
28913/20 Johnson v. Rosario
33931/18 M. v. Sconzo
33231/20 Madera v. 980 Prospect
301661/14 Martinez-Zorilla v. Drekaj
819233/22 McKenzie v. Morrison
28726/16 Mejia v. Mta Bus Co.
25986/19 Miller v. Housing Now Co.
27167/19 Morgan v. Gaye
303356/16 Moss v. Moss
22890/13 Pacheco v. Montefiore Medical Center
20756/20 Parra v. Diaz
27125/18 Qarri v. 171 E. 205th St. Corp.
24225/17 Reyes v. 1875 Atlantic Ave
812738/21 Reyes v. Pele
809118/22 Richards v. Copper Retail Jv LLC Et Al
805309/21 Roseau v. Su D.D.S.
22445/20 Ruffen v. NYC
23106/20 Sevilla v. City Works NY Inc.
24636/19 Thompson v. Fojas
26287/19 Vianela v. Mota
22520/15 Wilkerson v. Americare, Inc.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

24938/19 1855 Bronxdale Rlty. Corp. v. Casey
29873/20 A. v. NYCTA
802468/22 Ajtunixcoy v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
805815/21 Ascencion-Escobar v. Ulloa
814235/21 Babala v. Kurilov
26685/15 Bagagem v. Riverdale Country School, Inc.
22789/16 Bianchi v. Mason
803204/21 Bond v. Ravikoff
804413/23 Bonsenor Castillo v. Kraus Mgt. Inc. Et Al
806140/22 Brown v. Murphy
32370/19 Campbell v. Porcelli
31834/17 Cintron v. Krasniqi Rlty. Corp
380005/15 Citimortgage v. Earl
802971/23 Colon v. Jw Consulting, Inc. Et Al
25678/20 Colon-Savinon v. Manhattanville Sbv LLC
24263/13 Corleto v. Henry Restoration
21589/19 Cuesto v. Lugo Castano
25378/19 Dehaney v. NYCH&HC
22844/19 Domenech De Taveras v. Aac Cross County Mall LLC
816345/21 Espacia v. Emerald 2727 Universe LLC Et Al
818912/22 Fraser v. The Parkchester South Condominium, Inc. Et Al
803292/23 Gallo v. Sanchez
28003/17 Gamez v. Sandy Clarkson LLC
25754/19 Garcia v. NYC
30985/20 Garcia v. Santiago
801760/21 Gilmore v. Greene
23434/18 Glover v. Gazivoda Mgt. LLC
21896/19 Golder v. Petrou
32396/20 Gopee v. Cvs Albany
25730/20 Grey v. Sally Funding IV
42339/19 Heredia v. Khan
801384/21 Hunter v. Cruz Echavarria
27266/18 J.C. v. 2419 D LLC.
30235/18 Jimenez v. Nival
305616/13 Jimenez v. Bhagwati
20502/19 Kashem v. Rojas
816424/22 Kone v. Martinez Hilario
805774/22 Konteh v. Walker-Neal
806671/24 Laureano v. Betancourt
22791/20 Martinez v. Derieux
22716/20 Mascia v. Pinar M.D.
800556/23 Miller v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
34060/19 Nieves v. Casa Bldg. Materials Inc.
803875/21 Parler v. Dalessandro Silva
803386/21 Payton v. Berrosa Auto Corp.
21504/16 Ramirez v. Oladetimi
25489/20 Ramirez v. Singh
301711/15 Ramos v. Sherman Ave Three Inc
25210/19 Rios v. Muhlfelder
34191/20 Rivera v. 450 144th Hldgs. LLC
813016/21 Robles v. Best Deal Car Service, Inc. Et Al
35830/20 Rojas v. Miami Wireless LLC
806588/22 Rutledge v. Stagg Group LLC Et Al
300359/17 Sanchez v. Ismael Car Service Inc.
809540/21 Sinclair v. Action Ambulette Inc Et Al
21564/18 Soto v. Zhang
30425/20 Spears v. Newsom
22499/20 Urena Mora v. Gohagan
807523/21 Valentin v. H.E.L.P. USA, Inc. Et Al
29067/18 Ventura v. Marte
32242/20 White v. Underhill Rlty. LLC
28993/18 Woods v. Harris-Camden Terminal

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

26347/20 Abdel Rahim v. Gvc Ltd
22344/18 Alvarado v. Crocco
21561/20 Amarteifio v. Cardinal Health 414
808004/21 Badillo v. Tavarez-Vasquez
31061/19 Baena v. Calderon
32457/18 Biggs v. Wheeler & Wheeler, Inc.
819881/23 Bohan v. Pagan
20870/20 Boyd v. Hambone Mgt. Corp.
28952/17 Brown v. Cornucopia Logistics LLC
802335/21 Bueno v. American United
809930/21 Bun v. Yerry
804098/23 De Martino v. Terry
34431/19 Delgado v. Nyp Hldgs., Inc.
27166/15 Fernholz v. Riem Taxi Inc.
303129/16 Frias v. 105 East Clark Associates
810051/21 Gyamfi v. Lc Grocery Store
29550/18 Harewood v. American United
809654/22 Hay v. Lerebours
814389/21 Hernandez v. Obispodeaza
33570/19 Higdon v. Pimentel
808975/21 J. v. Neighborhood Renewal Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
810324/22 Johnson v. Donzo
26191/16 Kalemi v. Mount Sinai Hosp.
31822/20 Kearns v. Godwin Co.
800006/22 Khanam v. Polanco
30947/19 Lindsay v. Tampa Transport LLC
36264/17 Manon v. Peguero
27287/18 Melendez v. Truffles II
807863/21 Ouattara v. Alex Auto Sales
27423/17 Pacheco v. F&Y Deli Grocery Corp
801093/21 Pasquale v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering
22852/16 Penzo v. Deleon
26227/18 Perkins v. Mahy
28190/16 Pessoa v. Wyckoff Upreal
34079/19 Phrasier v. Artiles
20747/20 Plunkett v. Qp Hosp LLC
25936/20 Ramos v. Brannelly
804949/21 Rhoden v. Kingsbridge Optometric Eye Care
25023/16 Rivera v. NYCTA
812477/21 Rowe-Owen v. Douglas
22882/14 Scott v. Logan Bus Co. Inc.
35431/19 Thompson v. Mejia
28969/18 Williams v. Konate

ADR Part

Phone 718-618-3081
Rooms 701M-701A

Part 2

Justice Elizabeth A. Taylor
Phone 718-618-1275
Room 710, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

808178/25 Anthony Partners LLC v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.
812031/24 Barabanova v. Peguero
808536/23 Davis v. Bello
571/25 Kempson v. Dept. of Bldgs.
820920/24 Malinowski v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

29627/18 Jefferson v. Bronx Harbor Health Care

Part 3/33

Justice Mitchell J. Danziger
Phone 718-618-1207
Room 707, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

801629/21 A v. NYC
811880/22 A. v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
800962/23 Abrantes v. NYC
809881/23 Acevedo v. NYC
802545/23 Alicea v. Roman Catholic Church of St. Clare of Assisi Et Al
27759/16 Alicea v. NYC
810656/22 Almodovar v. NYC Et Al
21437/19 Almonte v. Con Ed, Inc.
804609/22 Alow v. NYCH&HC Corp
31715/18 Alvarado v. City
800641/23 Alvarez v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
815894/22 Anticona v. NYC Et Al
32468/20 Aponte v. NYC Et Al
800562/21 Appiahsam v. NYC
800961/24 Araque Salazar v. NYC Et Al
814420/23 Arez v. NYC
301758/11 Arias v. NYC
816709/23 Ashbourne v. NYC Et Al
28532/20 B.B. v. Fairmont Neighborhood School
22385/20 Bartholomew v. NYC
808273/22 Bazemore v. NYC Et Al
807326/23 Beatty v. NYC Et Al
20118/14 Beckhusen Sr. v. NYC
816056/22 Belduma Zumba v. NYC Et Al
809644/21 Benavides v. NYC Et Al
808466/21 Bernard v. Samuelson
32531/19 Bishop v. Suarez
25746/20 Borodin v. NYC
803636/22 Bowles v. NYC Et Al
26834/16 Brassil v. NYC
813692/21 Brown v. NYC Et Al
816783/22 Brown v. 2600 Creston Ave Owner
812203/23 Brown v. NYC Et Al
807469/22 Burch v. Andricosky
812163/23 Burgess v. NYC Et Al
807236/23 Burgos De Leon v. NYC Et Al
812366/23 Burke v. NYC Et Al
815146/23 C.B. Infant By Mother Amarice Ennis-Butler Et Al v. NYC Et Al
808944/22 Caceresolivo v. NYC
810436/23 Calo v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp.
820222/23 Campbell v. NYC
807916/22 Cedeno v. NYC Et Al
816968/22 Cepeda v. Reliant Rlty. Services
817531/21 Chambers v. NYC Et Al
801447/21 Chandia v. NYC Et Al
818098/22 Cofield v. NYC
817710/22 Colberg v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
811739/21 Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. v. NYC
814958/21 Cooper v. NYC
26021/16 Cox v. NYC
809983/22 Cruz Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
31247/20 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
820150/23 Cuevas v. NYC
806983/22 D'Annucci v. Oxley
816921/22 D v. NYC Et Al
27595/17 D-G v. NYC
800088/24 Daniels v. NYC Et Al
804436/23 Davis v. NYC Et Al
819288/23 Davis v. NYC Et Al
816192/23 Davis v. NYC
810849/23 De Leon v. NYC Et Al
802606/21 Deleon v. NYC
21890/20 Diaz v. NYC
812757/22 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
817474/23 Dukes v. NYC Et Al
812302/22 Duverney v. NYC Et Al
817190/23 Echevarria v. City
820258/23 Elianor v. NYC
813659/22 Emptage v. NYC
815314/21 Espinal Martinez v. Ortega
807940/23 Evans v. NYC Et Al
818087/22 Everastico v. NYC
803070/22 Feliciano v. NYC
28820/19 Ferguson v. NYC
28376/19 Folly v. Figueroa
31906/18 G. v. NYC
806261/23 Garcia Serrano v. NYCHA Et Al
811127/23 Garcia v. NYC Et Al
801744/23 Garcia v. NYC
812674/21 Garnier v. NYC Et Al
816207/21 Genao v. NYC Et Al
810637/22 Gibbs-Sanders v. Restani Const. Corp. Et Al
809859/22 Gigliotti v. Sharif
817292/22 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
805979/22 Gonzalez v. NYC
818743/22 Guerrero v. NYC
814154/23 Guity v. NYC Et Al
802641/23 Gunter v. NYC
34435/20 Gurley v. NYC Et Al
35339/20 Guzman v. NYC
813450/22 H. v. NYC Et Al
802605/21 Hall v. NYC Et Al
819270/23 Hamilton v. NYC
29206/19 Hamm v. NYC
31600/20 Harris v. NYC Et Al
303257/12 Hector v. NYC
809589/23 Hernandez v. NYC Et Al
810699/23 Hernandez v. Rodriguez
20093/14 Hernandez v. NYC
805160/23 Holder v. NYC Et Al
813866/22 Ienczmionka v. El Sol Contracting And Const. Corp. Et Al
34969/20 Irvine v. NYC
34394/18 J.C. v. NYC Et Al
813186/21 J.V. Et Al v. NYC Et Al
816527/22 Jacob v. NYC Et Al
819045/23 James v. NYC Et Al
819066/23 James v. NYC Et Al
801822/22 Jenkins v. NYC Et Al
809147/22 Jones v. Edwards
802986/23 Jordan v. Bronx Parking Dev. Co. LLC Et Al
816827/22 Julio v. NYC Et Al
24108/20 Jute v. NYC Et Al
805506/22 Kennebrew v. NYC Et Al
817018/23 King v. NYC
32549/20 Lalor v. NYC
811734/23 Lee v. NYC Et Al
801480/21 Lewis v. NYC Et Al
25240/20 Linton v. NYC
801315/22 M. v. NYC
802594/23 Maguire v. NYC Et Al
302342/15 Marino v. NYC
802837/21 Martinez v. NYC
23805/20 Martinez v. NYC
806067/23 Martinez v. NYC Et Al
804297/22 McCall v. NYC
814248/21 McMillan v. NYC Et Al
813114/22 Melendez v. 2707 Barnes Associates
809784/22 Mendes Dasilva v. El Sol Contracting And Const. Corp. Et Al
814389/22 Middleton v. NYC Et Al
801209/23 Miroshnikov v. NYC Et Al
25880/16 Mokeme v. NYC
817339/23 Munoz-Beato v. Morris Ave. Owners
30350/18 Nasyrova v. NYC
25346/16 Nieves v. NYC
31312/20 Olivera v. NYC Et Al
22315/16 Ortiz v. NYC
808193/22 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
302142/12 Pacheco v. NYC
800228/22 Patterson v. NYC Et Al
818628/22 Patterson v. Gray
304387/10 Peralta v. Manhattan & Bronx
813964/23 Perez v. NYC Et Al
27132/17 Pivetz v. NYC
30582/19 R v. Public School/middle School 20
801013/21 R. v. NYC
806840/21 Ramos v. NYC Et Al
813281/23 Reyes v. NYC Et Al
801886/22 Richardson v. NYC Et Al
814537/23 Rivera v. 526-530-534 E 138 LLC Et Al
803513/22 Rivera v. NYC Et Al
801557/21 Rivera v. Torres
304305/12 Rivera v. NYC
800146/24 Roa Mateo v. NYC Et Al
816920/23 Roberts v. Montefiore Hosp. And Medical Center Et Al
819437/23 Rocco v. NYC
801492/23 Rodriguez Morales v. NYC
814975/22 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
21032/16 Rodriguez v. NYC
810912/21 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
812841/23 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al

28530/20 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
819847/23 Romero v. NYC Et Al
818438/23 Sacaza v. NYC Et Al
25983/17 Sanchez Gamboa v. NYC
27305/18 Sands v. NYC
21039/20 Saricopoulos v. Bill Wolf Petroleum Corp
812079/22 Serebrennik v. NYC
35425/20 Serrano v. NYC
23243/19 Smith v. NYC
815026/21 Smith v. NYC
800818/22 Soto v. NYC Et Al
800516/23 Tammy J. Scott Robinson v. NYC Et Al
806248/21 Taveras v. NYC Et Al
809124/21 Taveras v. NYC Et Al
28271/20 W v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
33828/18 Ward-Romero v. NYC
817285/23 Watson v. NYC
806391/23 Williams v. NYC
804560/23 Williams v. NYC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

800391/24 1720 Unico Inc. v. NYC
816353/22 Alford v. NYC Et Al
820794/24 Anguah v. Dominguez
800664/24 As Father And Natural Guardian of Infant Plaintiff Lys v. NYC Et Al
810588/24 Avant v. NYC Et Al
814081/24 Baez v. Norfleet
802968/22 Barrera v. Bruschi
810376/24 Batista v. NYC
816233/24 Bigelow v. NYC
804982/24 Burgos v. NYC Et Al
810584/24 Capuzello v. NYC
812556/24 Carroll v. 4050-60 White Plains Rd. LLC Et Al
809468/24 Casado v. NYC Et Al
803848/23 Castillo v. NYC Et Al
801068/23 City & County Paving Corp. v. Mach Group, Inc. Et Al
819154/23 Clarke v. NYC Et Al
805994/22 Collins v. NYC Et Al
812778/22 Collins v. NYC Et Al
802273/24 Craft v. NYC
804117/22 Dardanian v. NYC Et Al
815145/21 Dash v. NYC Et Al
810855/24 Deadwiley v. NYC
803925/24 Devers v. NYC
810550/23 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
815938/23 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
814538/24 Dixon v. NYC
817696/24 Estepa v. NYC Et Al
815778/24 Farrison v. NYC Et Al
817386/22 Feelings v. NYC Et Al
803888/24 Fort v. The NYCHA Et Al
807799/24 Genao v. 797 E. 142nd St. LLC.
815788/24 Gonzalez v. NYC; Et Al
817215/23 Goodwin v. NYC Et Al
816167/21 Griffin v. NYC
814383/24 Guida v. NYC
804490/24 Gumbs v. Rojas
812458/23 Gutierrez v. Schindler Elevator Corp. Et Al
817229/24 Hamilton-Cossou v. NYC Et Al
802829/23 Harrison v. NYC Et Al
816741/24 Harrison v. NYC Et Al
25466/20 Hernandez v. NYC
802949/24 Hernandez v. NYC
812812/24 Jackson v. NYC Et Al
817234/22 Jones v. NYC
804219/22 Kelley v. NYC Et Al
820775/24 Kurtz v. NYC Et Al
816275/24 Lozada v. NYC Et Al
810263/24 Lozada v. NYC Et Al
814635/24 Lugo v. NYC Et Al
812376/24 M.R. v. NYC Et Al
805257/25 Maguire v. NYC Et Al
818892/22 Malave Torres v. Betances Vi Partners LLC Et Al
809852/24 Martin v. NYC
805301/23 Martinez Garcia v. NYC Et Al
803867/25 Matagrano v. Antonacchio
820287/23 McConnell v. NYC Et Al
22735/12 McCord v. NYC
818808/23 McKnight v. NYC
806956/21 Menendez v. NYC Et Al
818627/24 Narvaez Reyes v. NYC Et Al
814537/22 Nasyrova v. NYC Et Al
809678/21 Olanibi v. NYC Et Al
819347/23 Patterson v. NYC
809850/24 Perez v. NYC Dept. of Correction Et Al
805552/23 Peters v. NYC Et Al
812269/24 Pinto Correia v. NYC Et Al
803547/23 Ramirez v. NYC Et Al
816136/24 Richards v. 44 Hc LLC Et Al
804520/24 Rodriguez v. City
811954/24 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
811229/24 Rodriguez v. NYC
815748/24 Roper v. Negron
817567/24 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
806735/24 Rosario v. NYC
815762/24 Ross v. NYC; Et Al
803556/23 Ruiz v. NYC Et Al
809593/24 Russell v. NYC; Et Al
801261/24 Sandra Garcia v. NYC Et Al
810438/22 Serrano v. NYC Et Al
817908/24 Speights v. Cuevas-Lopez
808394/24 Stewart v. NYC Et Al
812113/23 T. v. NYC Et Al
810353/24 Tejada De Fernandez v. NYC Et Al
28040/19 Univ. Heights v. NYC
812858/24 Vajeeprasee-Grieger v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
804148/23 Vargas v. NYC Et Al
817384/22 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
812267/24 Walker v. NYC Et Al
808283/22 Ward v. NYC Et Al
817015/22 Watts v. NYC
800275/24 Wright v. NYC Et Al
803771/24 Yenny Perez v. NYC Dept. of Education

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

28190/19 Abdulla v. NYC
30460/19 Alvarez v. Gonzalez
21934/15 Arana v. NYC
814772/21 Braxton v. NYC Et Al
25520/19 Cabrera v. NYC
802894/22 Cordero-Narvaez v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
302243/16 Deleon III v. NYC
819486/22 Diaz v. NYC Et Al
29458/19 Epps v. B540 Trading
26645/15 Flores v. NYC
26212/14 Francis v. NYC
26650/20 Grant v. Walker
24469/19 Hernandez v. NYC Dept.
25835/19 Hussein v. NYC
27951/16 Kubanik v. NYC
802190/22 Maldonado v. NYC
809324/22 Marmolejos v. Rlty. Group North LLC Et Al
26485/17 Mason v. NYC
30188/20 McPherson v. NYC
33550/19 Millan v. Bam Rlty. Corp.
30627/18 Morales v. NYC
27269/20 Morris v. NYC Et Al
24170/15 Perez v. NYC
23956/19 Price v. NYC
310859/11 Robertson v. NYC Dept. of Homeless
811087/22 Taylor v. NYC Et Al
23807/19 Turner v. NYCHA
27723/19 Wilkerson v. Tineo
20928/17 Woodard v. NYC

Part 4

Justice Andrew J. Cohen
Phone 718-618-1212
Room 413, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

800604/24 Baker v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.
814973/24 Ballard v. Dairyland USA Corp. D/b/a The Chef's Warehouse, Inc. Et Al
814867/24 Decort v. Rosario
804475/24 Encarnacion v. Wilane
816703/24 Herrera v. Rodriguez Fernandez
815432/24 Johnson v. 355 East 165th St. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
821524/24 Lockhart v. Bist
812764/24 Lopez Frias v. Uptown Bronx Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
817536/24 Lopez v. Uztrans, Inc. Et Al
820366/24 Montenegro v. 1236 Grand Concourse LLC Et Al
818713/24 Mora Sanchez v. T&G Brokerage LLC. Et Al
801991/21 Ojeda v. Morocho
818426/24 Perez Martinez v. Steinke Jr
817506/24 Portorreal v. Herrera
803883/24 Quinonez-Mateo v. 850 Longwood Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
820181/23 Reyes Guillen v. 2820 Decatur Ave. LLC Et Al
820566/24 Rose v. Donato
800183/25 Santelises Figueroa v. 1245 S LLC
811774/24 Sergio Teutle v. Croyden Apts Inc Et Al
819017/23 Smith v. Jovada Rlty. Corp. Et Al
802136/25 Zuniga v. NYCHA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

812382/23 Adeghe v. Rcb Apts. Associates L.P. Et Al
27114/18 Guareno v. Bronxcare Health System
27724/18 Jackson v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical
815174/22 Jimenez v. American Dream Home Improvement, Inc. Et Al
808377/22 Lugo Arias v. Uni-Heights Realtors Corp.
801475/22 Nelson v. B&H Foto & Electronics Corp. Et Al
304301/13 Padilla v. The Madison Square Garden
800050/23 Rodriguez v. 1414 Walton Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al

Part 5

Justice Alison Y. Tuitt
Phone 718-618-1224
Room 415, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

21006/20 Baker v. Applebee's Neighborhood
808912/21 Jedlicka v. 2020 True LLC
34426/19 Jenkins v. New Gold Equities Corp.
801811/23 S.T. v. Riverdale Country School
819790/24 Vidal v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank

TUESDAY, MAY 13

820606/23 Choi v. Rey Bernal
812186/23 Pesantez Marquez v. Design N Safety, Inc. Et Al

Part 6

Justice Laura G. Douglas
Phone 718-618-1246
Room 811, 9:30 A.M.

Part 7

Justice Wilma Guzman
Phone 718-618-1288
Room 624, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

804035/21 Adams v. Lic Site B-1 Owner
816051/24 Archer v. Bencosme
819807/24 Ayala v. Josephine Colon 2984 Irrevocable Trust
28560/20 Berdecia v. Waterbridge Court Square
803896/21 Boyle v. Waldo Gardens, Inc.
813737/24 Bravo De Leon v. Uber Technologies Inc Et Al
801513/21 Cohen v. Compass Point
303246/11 Galue v. Independence 270
809862/24 Genis v. Velazquez Herrera
812711/23 Gordon v. Espinal
817261/24 Green v. Chum Pelaez
809445/23 Green v. Ps Southern Blvd., Inc. Et Al
303495/16 Hermine A Patterson v. Beth Abraham Nursing Home
803373/24 Jose Bejarano Otero v. on Star Mgt. LLC Et Al
813537/23 Joseph v. Bah
814282/23 Koroci v. Drmbre-35th LLC
815106/24 Marchese v. Kone Inc. Et Al
820281/23 Martin v. Basit
1111/25 Martinez v. NYCHA Eastchester Gardens
28283/19 Pitang v. Underbruckner Rlty. Co., LLC
30979/20 Quinones Garcia v. Monadnock Const., Inc.
812118/22 Rastoder v. Zeta Charter Schools, Inc. Et Al
816751/23 Rivera v. 40th Ave Dutch Kills Rlty. LLC Et Al
803944/23 Robert Perelmuter v. Barksdale
813693/21 Ruffin v. Scf Cedar LLC Et Al
809190/22 Thomas v. Weinberger
33967/18 Torres Principe v. City Livery Leasing Bklyn. Inc. Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

812572/24 Ayala v. 416 W46h LLC Et Al
804677/25 Kulvinder v. Pinedaleon
814358/21 Rosado v. Yonkers Racing Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

815011/21 NYC v. 2710-2712 East Tremont LLC. Et Al

Part 8

Justice Bianka Perez
Phone 718-618-1205
Room 704, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

21244/20 Allen v. Spoiled Rotten Trans Inc.
22589/13 Hernandez v. Sanchez
806871/25 J.G. Wentworth Originations v. Metro. Life Ins. Co. Et Al
25796/18 Lugo v. 1516 Beach Ave. Rlty. Corp
30782/19 Padilla v. Western Beef Retail, Inc.
810236/22 Perry v. Riverbay Corp.
807632/23 Rivera v. Shop-Rite Supermarkets, Inc. Et Al
302433/16 Rodriguez v. Avondale Care Group LLC
808545/23 Young v. Vilmar

Part 9 (LPM)

Justice Myrna Socorro
Phone 718-618-1625
Room 402, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

34134/20 Edwards v. NYC Et Al
300803/12 Jorge v. NYC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

809610/24 Fulton v. Patel
807285/24 Madramootoo v. NYC Et Al
33426/19 Yacelga v. NYC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

800961/25 Agramonte v. NYC Et Al
811072/24 Ambrister v. NYC Et Al
807176/25 Aviles v. NYC Et Al
807217/25 Aviles v. NYC Et Al
809491/24 Baljit v. NYC Et Al
806189/25 Bosques v. NYC Et Al
800149/25 Bright v. NYC Et Al
801063/25 Carr v. NYC Et Al
804804/25 Clayton v. NYC Et Al
805946/25 Dash v. NYC Et Al
806084/25 Denheart v. NYC Et Al
804803/25 Dollard v. NYC Et Al
805944/25 Figueroa v. NYC Et Al
820307/24 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al
806077/25 Grant v. NYC Et Al
820885/24 Grullon v. NYC Et Al
820754/24 Hannah v. NYC Et Al
811168/24 Hickson v. Riverbay Corp. Et Al
805410/25 Perez v. NYC Et Al
820944/24 Salmon v. NYC Et Al
804805/25 Samuel v. NYC Et Al
804630/25 Santana-Feliz v. NYC Et Al
811439/24 Suarez v. NYC Et Al
804257/25 Valarezo v. NYC Et Al
804608/25 West v. NYC Et Al
803277/21 Wilson v. NYC Et Al
802824/23 Wright v. NYC Et Al

Part 13 (MV)

Justice Patsy Gouldborne
Phone 718-618-1236
Room 706, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

800517/23 Abouo v. Ean Holdings
816599/23 Abrar v. Payamps-Martinez
811534/23 Adewuyi v. Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. Et Al
815336/23 Al Khawlani v. Md

Court Calendars

35334/20 Andrews v. Caddle
805146/23 Aquino v. Lynx Logistics LLC Et Al
801177/24 Arafat v. Citta Car
817255/23 Aranda v. Hooper-Jones
808084/23 Arias v. Mendez
816586/23 Arias v. Ibrahima
806754/24 Asare v. The Salvation Army Et Al
804294/24 Attuah v. Knight
813127/23 Ayukawa v. Ortiz
804149/22 Baez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
802523/23 Baker v. Ngassaki
802615/24 Balbuena Torres v. Brehon
804049/24 Bamba v. Smith
23522/18 Banks v. Henry
29743/20 Barnes v. Goodwin
813684/23 Bautista v. Rivas
801521/23 Bean v. Swindell
801939/24 Bennett v. Uber Technologies, Inc., Et Al
807606/23 Bernard v. Menendez
807244/24 Boadi v. Stamford Uniform Linen Towel And Napkin LLC Et Al
814981/22 Bonsu v. Plechko
803020/24 Borrero v. Clerge
815592/23 Brens v. Ross
809477/23 Brissett v. Yeuri Transport Inc. Et Al
812551/23 Brown v. NYC Et Al
810229/23 C. v. Pioneer Transportation Corp. Et Al
42017/24 Cabrera-Vargas v. Verizon NY Inc Et Al
817365/23 Caceres v. Castelan
812465/23 Calderon v. Bluetriton Brands, Inc. Et Al
309861/09 Camacho v. Cilindrello
813299/23 Campbell v. Tremm Associates LLC D Et Al
32005/20 Caroline Torres v. Chevalier
817066/23 Carrasco Lara v. Williams
803911/24 Carter v. Atlantice Veal And Lamb Inc. Et Al
812122/23 Casale v. Schupak
801008/21 Castillo v. Ryder Truck Rental
813892/23 Castillo v. Singleton
808789/24 Castro Suero v. Manhattan Painting And Decorating Corp Et Al
817379/23 Ceballos v. NYCTA Et Al
25468/16 Chaudhry v. Dominguez
808787/23 Cisse v. Kramer
815891/23 Clarke v. Lima
802881/23 Coward v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
802291/24 Cruz v. NYC Et Al
808040/24 Dagba v. Cloyd
800156/22 Daniels v. Bukholts
21564/17 Darden v. Nerypayano
805385/23 Defay v. Rosado
805711/24 Dejesus v. L & W Supply
814112/23 Diallo v. Carrington
804507/21 Dieng v. Kim
804054/24 Dilone v. Stephen J. O'Brien
814276/23 Dingle v. NYCHA Et Al
803077/21 Dixon v. NYCTA
809897/23 Doolan v. Kamran
804556/21 Dorizard v. Ramsunahi
804858/24 Dorsey v. T7t, Inc. Et Al
800287/24 Duran De Hernandez v. Winstanley
814983/22 Espinal Checho v. Waste Hauling Inc. Et Al
800160/24 Estudillo v. Ragusa
804201/24 Evanson v. Lin
800931/24 Felix v. Bradley Limo, Inc. Et Al
803782/24 Figue v. Slattery
814020/23 Flores v. Korucuma
800030/22 Flores v. Eastern Emerald Group LLC Et Al
26109/19 Floyd v. Charles M. Dwyer Inc.
806367/24 Funez v. Maiga
816928/23 Garcia v. John Graham
806626/24 Garcia v. Peterson
804601/22 Garista v. Hernandez
801392/24 Gaulke v. Popovic
29279/18 Gay v. Young
813001/23 Geliga-Vazquez v. Moussa
800259/24 Gibson v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical Services Inc. Et Al
802082/24 Giddings-Cox v. Mateo
802124/24 Gill v. Lami
814681/23 Goita v. Klein
800734/24 Gomez Jimenez v. Abad
800136/24 Gonzales v. 360 Pas Owner LLC Et Al
20411/19 Granda v. Wright
26429/18 Green v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface NYCTA (mabstoa) Et Al
23145/19 Green v. Flatbush Moving Van Co.
811428/23 Griffin v. Harrison
807810/24 Guaba v. Lorinda Enterprises Et Al
801021/24 Gutierrez v. Bujaj
800848/24 H. v. Santana
810392/23 Hall v. Rosario
810753/23 Hanson v. NYCTA Et Al
29522/20 Haynes v. Carmichael
813439/23 Henderson v. Miller-Gardner
801301/24 Hernandez De Rubio v. Campbell's Auto Express Et Al
817419/23 Holloway v. Singh
807075/23 Hood v. Doe
806108/24 Hutchinson-Turner v. Febbo
803472/24 Ibar v. Thomas
807986/24 Islam v. Century Maint. & Supply
34521/19 J. v. NYC
801254/24 J. v. Rodriguez Alvarado
805219/22 Jackson v. Rahman
29614/17 Jenkins v. Pkp Taxi, Inc.
815694/21 Johnson v. Sontag
813742/23 Johnson v. Jejote
802823/24 Jordan v. Jung
811830/23 Karim v. Story
804563/23 Keene v. Spencer
805608/24 Kelly v. Suarez
23103/20 Kerr v. Sanchez Rodriguez
804726/23 Kim v. Oviawe
811345/23 Kirk v. American United Transport Inc Et Al
806540/24 Laing v. City Livery Leasing Bklyn., Inc. Et Al
24486/15 Lara v. Cortijo
807053/24 Lavezzari v. Saavedra
804347/24 Lee v. Martinez Torres
813234/23 Linares v. Molina
817359/23 Liriano v. Rittie
809632/23 Lloyd v. Rhino Freight Systems LLC Et Al
804100/24 Lopez Gerez v. Winstanley
817203/23 Lopez Hernandez v. Ramos
801265/24 Macias-Rodriguez v. Taub
806582/24 Macri v. Abraham
808204/24 Major v. Mgm Yonkers, Inc. Et Al
805537/23 Marino v. Cordero
809327/23 Marrero v. Fofana
813093/23 Mbaye v. Chavarriaga
800540/24 McMillian v. Ward
806158/24 McNeal v. Pablu
805245/23 Mejia v. Sima Sea LLC. Et Al
800969/23 Mena v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
810947/23 Mercedes Reyes v. Pierson
809432/23 Milandou v. Singh
805896/24 Minaya v. Polanco
802114/24 Montes De Oca Bautista v. Alam
812111/22 Mootoo v. Winklaar
802278/24 Mora-Polanco v. Rodriguez
805395/24 Morales Jr. v. Doe
28481/20 Morales v. American United Transportation
811142/23 Morales v. Theus
815974/23 Morris v. Martinez
813882/22 Moses v. Irrazaba
32896/19 Motta v. Barrie
802138/23 Munoz v. Rockland Auto Repair, Inc. Et Al
804886/24 Negron v. 3 Amis Corp. Et Al
801559/21 Neita v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical
26020/20 Nivar Saldana v. Harris
816114/23 Noriega v. East Coast Petroleum, Inc. Et Al
813338/22 Norman v. Cabrera
808582/24 Norman v. Lizza
808229/23 Ortiz v. J & O Xpress Corp. Et Al
810835/23 Ortiz v. Call-A-Head Corp. Et Al
23076/19 Owens v. Std Trucking Corp.
815286/23 Papadopoulou v. Silvia
807530/23 Pascual v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
807903/23 Passanante v. Breeg Taxi Corp. Et Al
817024/23 Perez v. Capellan Casado
800272/23 Powell v. Castillo
812629/23 Puello v. Burton
812299/23 Rauls v. Stroman
815476/22 Redcross v. Mv Transportation Inc Et Al
802723/24 Reyes Villar v. Ochoa
27898/18 Riley v. Qlr Five
803291/24 Rios v. Md
801681/24 Rivera v. American United Transportation Inc.. Et Al
804385/24 Rivera v. Chowdhury
25404/20 Rivera v. Feliciano-Casiano
817039/23 Rodriguez De Villanueva v. Mateo
812897/23 Rodriguez-Toro v. Sibaweihi
803223/24 Rojas v. Cedeno Martinez
800747/21 Rojas v. Rodriguez
809086/24 Roman v. Hub Truck Rental Corp Et Al
807678/23 Romero v. Lewis
807573/21 Ronald Fells v. Sarinas Limo & Car Service Inc. Et Al
810003/21 Rosado v. Miah
805470/24 Rosario v. Savell
812582/23 Rosario v. Salomon
815638/23 Rosario v. Diaby
803141/24 Ruiz v. Witter
809314/22 Sanchez v. Harper
815551/23 Sanchez v. Macagnone
815247/22 Santiago Castillo Lora v. Solano Corp. Et Al
816794/23 Saulter v. Monica Mignott
800571/23 Segarra v. Delia
813386/23 Shahjahan v. Vasquez
800826/24 Shakor v. Castillo
811341/23 Sheley v. Ali
810049/23 Smith v. Jod Enterprise Inc. Et Al
25172/20 Solano v. American United
805514/24 Solomon v. Henry
34347/20 Soto Tejada v. St. Hilaire
807146/24 Staggers v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
806604/24 Straker v. Uk Import Motors Inc Et Al
804116/24 Suazo v. Bell
803935/24 Sukhram v. Temco Logistics, Inc. Et Al
806749/23 Surles Jr. v. Yonkers Kia Et Al
811748/22 Todd v. Payne
809324/23 Toribio v. Rahouli
800198/23 Tull v. Oakley
816636/21 Vanterpool v. Gaddy
808843/23 Vargas Santana v. Dukure
814051/23 Vasti v. Hossain
803229/24 Velez-Martes v. Russo
813676/23 Veliz-Zamora v. Western Express Inc Et Al
806266/24 Ventura-Garcia v. Lombardo
808856/24 Vicioso v. Manny Kay LLC Et Al
803285/24 Villanueva v. Doe
817312/23 Walker v. Martinez
813024/22 Ward v. Fraser
810398/23 Watkins v. Doe
24903/20 Watson v. NYC
801619/24 Wesley v. Martinez
804533/23 White v. Sayrange
808411/23 Williams v. Farayola
809553/23 Williams v. Garciareyes
815095/23 Williams v. Garcia
807987/23 Williams v. Herrera
805492/24 Williams-Bandoo v. Kanneh
806852/24 Wynter v. Wolper
803343/24 Zhu v. Marano
800682/24 Zorilla-Mora v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

801855/23 Antwi v. Islam
25825/20 Batista v. Vega
804059/24 Brown v. Empress Ambulance Service
802187/21 Bryan v. Spencer
811284/22 Gonzalez v. Bright Car Wash Inc. Et Al
811834/21 Hernandez v. Algahim
812300/22 Hernandez v. Atwa
816245/23 Lendof Genao v. Doe
819121/23 Recio Gonzalez v. Newkirk
807024/23 Rodriguez v. Road Runner Moving And Storage Et Al
805060/23 Rosado v. Taggart
814311/21 Salcedo v. Cecarelli
803664/22 Saleh v. Chavez
811763/22 Shell v. Suman
813477/22 Tavares Garcia v. Brockenberry
817350/22 Vasquez v. Arias-Batista
34132/19 Villar v. Lawrence
819519/23 Williams v. Lape III
24877/19 Yancheff v. Fontan

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

20431/19 Agar v. Lyft
21733/19 Agosto v. Catehis
23660/19 Alexander v. Picaro
32450/19 Amoateng v. Amoah
31125/19 Amparo v. Guzman
805533/21 Anokye v. Hodge
25655/20 Arroyo v. Kepecs
810837/23 Bally v. Vasquez
28818/18 Barker v. Rodriguez-Soto
21353/18 Bell v. Ack Towing & Transportation
27224/19 Bell v. Milea Leasing Corp
29162/18 Beltrandi v. Rafique
817477/23 Bernardez v. Ibba
25453/20 Bonner v. Ouedraogo
804897/21 Bowers v. Shillingford
33657/18 Brito v. Premier Trailer Leasing, Inc.
811901/21 Burks v. Cajilema
23515/18 Butler v. Onischuk
34335/19 Byrd v. Countywide Transportation
28160/19 Capellan v. Morales
816763/21 Chin v. Torres-Echevarria
816302/21 Christine Feliciano As Parent And Natural Guardian of A.M. An Infant Et Al v. Myke's Mobile Repair Corp Et Al
29071/17 Clarke v. Castro
25464/20 Colbert v. NYC Et Al
22011/19 Davis v. Morris
805138/21 Dejesus v. Ferreras-Feliz
24542/20 Diallo v. Brunini
804256/21 Diaz v. Ean Hldgs.
804513/22 Diaz-Minaya v. Busumuru
808452/21 Dosdos Jr. v. Preciosa N. Vega Vasquez Et Al
34500/20 Douglas v. Mgm Yonkers, Inc.
35708/20 Ebanks v. Singh
27698/19 Estay v. Gonzalez
30323/19 Estevez v. Compito
815230/21 Estrella-Reynoso v. Hasiwar
812218/22 Ferreira v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
815712/22 Figueroa v. Reyes Feliz
34099/20 Foster v. Idrissi
33679/18 Freeman v. Sewpersaud
808006/22 Fuentes v. Barber
809370/21 Gaddist v. Hertz Vehicles LLC Et Al
820549/23 Garcia v. Geico General Ins. Co.
22379/19 Gomez v. Edwards
24540/19 Grace v. Galay
803516/22 Graham v. Bligh
802904/21 Green v. Larrosa
30520/19 Grullon v. Clancy
812329/23 Harrell v. Davis
21447/20 Hazzard v. Pena
807600/22 Hector v. Olivieri
32554/20 Hernandez v. Cepin-Liriano
28445/20 Herrera v. Jules
29418/20 Holton v. Manuel H. Const. Corp.
813682/21 in The Matter of The Petition of Bahodur Sodikzoda v. The Black Car Fund
815079/22 Irish v. Karilen
33081/19 Jimenez v. Guzman
26631/18 Jiraud v. 2656 Decatur Ave. Housing
24527/19 John v. Ean Hldgs.
808186/21 Johnson v. Feliz Matos
808444/21 Jones v. De Jesus
31034/19 Jordan v. Citywide Mobile Response Corp.
28099/20 Jorge v. Argueta-Rosales
803537/22 Junjulas v. Hart
23078/19 Kandhai v. Manganiello
32550/20 Kante v. United Parcel Service, Inc.
815162/21 Knight v. Cabrera
25880/18 Knight v. Sano Car LLC
23723/20 Kosseh v. M.A.K. Produce
21006/19 Lajara v. Fernandez Fernandez
20428/20 Lee v. Bonifacio
304242/11 Leonardo v. Porter
26324/20 Lyles v. Gonzalez
800765/21 Lyons v. McNeil
811337/21 M. v. Geico Ins. Co.
29711/19 Martinez v. Tobin
30522/20 Martinez v. Jk's Westchester Restaurant Corp.
32203/19 Martino v. Koita
29102/18 Matias-Reyes v. Gonzalez Aucancela
35322/20 McFarlane v. Wilson
21021/18 McLaurin v. NYC
805622/21 Medina v. Laboy
20607/18 Melhado v. Tomlinson
20834/19 Mendez v. Durgaj
32861/20 Minaya v. Driban
29064/20 Mincey v. Hundley
24345/19 Mitchell v. Barbour
23745/20 Molina-Matos v. 330 E. 204 Associates
27626/19 Monclova v. Pioneer Transportation Corp.
31042/20 Morales v. Hendrickson
806451/22 Morales v. Pena Tapia
30119/18 Moye v. White
34231/18 Muhammad v. Hedges
35308/19 Munoz v. Elzoeiry
809149/21 Negron v. Bender
24905/19 Nunez v. Piazza
807834/21 Olalere v. Deleon-Mojica
29189/20 Ouedraogo v. Stremouchow
32629/18 Pabon v. Woods
26480/19 Panameno v. Casco
803146/24 Parham v. Doe First And Last Names Being Fictitious
800644/22 Pearce v. Torres
805944/21 Pierre v. Lyft, Inc.
26459/20 Ramirez v. NYC Dept. of
803090/24 Ramirez-Villa v. Pankovic
21635/19 Rempart v. NYCTA
815821/22 Reyes v. Hamilton
26108/20 Reynoso v. Katheis
806676/21 Richardson v. Brown
814619/21 Robinson v. Felix
804352/21 Rodriguez v. Torres Espinal
29538/18 Romero v. Jimenez
806637/21 Rosado v. Fedex Ground Package Systems, Inc. Et Al
807822/21 Salinas v. Perullo
817636/21 Sampayo Denis v. Hossain
24539/18 Sanchez v. Segarra
816003/21 Sanchez v. Castillo
29383/18 Sanchez v. Jason
25434/20 Sanchez v. Greer
23040/20 Sanders-Valdes v. American United
31687/19 Santos v. Gubernot
29111/19 Shepherd-Clark v. NYC Royal Contracting Corp
31694/18 Smallwood v. Multari
809577/21 Smith v. Bronx Blvd Automotive Corp. Et Al
802960/22 Smith v. Divito
802190/21 Sosa v. Espinalsoto
20807/19 Staka v. Carson
33064/18 Sukhlal v. Morrison
814726/21 Tapia v. Cisse
31195/19 Tavarez v. Brooks
31125/18 Tobar v. Jimenez-Castillo
807745/22 Tolentino v. De La Cruz
20536/19 Toro v. Universe Towing, Inc.
816524/21 Urena v. Stanganelli
26070/19 Valdez v. Bultron
815033/23 Vasquez v. Cuesta
42018/23 Veloz Moronta v. Reyes-Rumaldo
31642/19 Villalona v. Budin
34717/20 Vu v. Barthelemy
814699/21 Wells v. Clarke
34000/19 White v. Reilly
801142/21 Williams v. Felder
23391/20 Williams v. Sevilla-Banegas
29578/18 Yoon v. Shi
20350/20 Zacatelco v. Chambers

Part 12

Justice Kim A. Wilson
Phone 718-618-1396
Room 414, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

804221/21 2814 Morris LLC v. Ortega
801310/24 Adderley v. Silvera
804168/23 Anderson v. 920 Prospect Gardens Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
820052/23 Bailey v. 2880 Jerome Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
816773/21 Bravo v. 2406 Rlty. LLC Et Al
818673/23 Brown v. Ahmed
305552/14 Butler v. Bronx River Rehab/nursing
816398/24 Campisano v. Recio
807725/24 Colon v. Portnoy
809261/24 Colon v. Alsharri
818640/23 Dooley v. Adair
810328/24 Edwards v. Okyere
806375/24 Espejo v. Kerr
814709/24 Estate of Roberto Aquino v. Nazrullaev
309151/12 Feliciano v. Jeromecrest Rlty. Corp.
31067/20 Hillard v. Patrick
36455/17 J. v. Casella Houses Housing
809848/24 Jimenez De Rodriguez v. Ceballos
802496/24 Kula v. Mateo Gonzalez
812754/24 Larcher v. Medina
811587/23 McKoy v. Barry
810197/22 N.M.S. Infant By Her Mother And Natural Guardian Amerthyst Huggins And Amerthyst Huggins Individually v. NYC Et Al
806909/24 Oliviery v. Ricky Auto Care
811429/23 Ortega Navarro v. Gelco Fleet Trust Et Al
806833/24 Ortiz Garcia v. Familia
813350/24 Perkins v. Gold Standard Seafood Imports Corp. Et Al
807859/22 Peterson v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
819291/23 Ponce v. Foohing Kitchen Et Al
809071/24 S. Lauderdale v. Raising Cane S Chicken Fingers Et Al
803830/24 Santos Perez v. Western Express Inc Et Al
805144/24 Shergunov v. Mechitas Taxi Inc. Et Al
810771/22 Solis v. River Park Towers Garage
817547/23 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. A/s/o Sidney Nicholson v. Taveras
819499/23 T&T Electrical Corp. v. Fg-Ph Corp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

26697/20 1946tremont1b LLC v. Nawal Rlty., Inc. Et Al
803777/23 Boozier v. Carmen Nails Spa LLC
806138/24 Bush v. Sengalese Hair Braiding, Inc.
809506/23 Casey v. William K. Chan A/k/a Bill Kam Chan
802480/23 Cruz Velasquez v. Jerome Enterprises
817067/24 Herrera v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. (mvaic)
805635/24 Holguin v. Vargas
814388/24 in The Matter of The Applicaton of American Transit Ins. Co. v. McBride
803029/24 Jalloh v. Gashi
811798/23 Javier v. Macy's Bklyn.
810754/23 Key-Quinn v. The Parkchester South Condominium, Inc. Et Al
805196/23 Lynch v. Boynton LLC Et Al
807171/22 Marmolejos Bueno v. Highbridge Community Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
36004/17 Marrero v. Rivka
810192/24 Martinez v. Lombert Bueno
806128/21 Morrobel v. Marco Rlty. Associates L.P. Et Al
807084/24 Payano Durant v. Soto
818933/22 Quevedo v. Lebron
21641/15 Radparvar v. Vitucci
807338/24 Rainey v. 2499 Sedgwick Dev. LLC Et Al
24211/16 Ruiz v. Saint Lucy's School
807382/22 Santana v. 3320 Reservoir Rlty. LLC Et Al
815621/22 Santiago v. 1199 Housing Corp. Et Al
802795/23 Thomas v. NYCHA
816911/22 Trochez v. 310 Grand Concourse LLC Et Al
806578/23 Uriarte v. 4720 Jr LLC Et Al
818966/23 Vista Food Exch. Inc. v. Flavorsum Foods LLC Et Al

Part 14 (MV)

Justice John A. Howard
Phone 718-618-1244
Room 708, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

22737/18 Duarte v. NYC
26728/17 Garris v. Saleh
25410/18 Rios v. Metro. Transportation
27897/17 Sajid v. Patterson Transportation
22385/18 Suazo v. Doe
810620/22 Trotman v. Torrez-Rodriguez
26268/18 Ventura v. Lubman

TUESDAY, MAY 13

802530/24 Alba v. Greenvale Trucking Inc. Et Al
807238/23 Ambroise v. Moumouni
817021/22 Anthony Roget v. Faatima Smalls Et Al
805943/24 Behelak v. Krukman LLC Et Al
816858/23 Benoit v. Guzman Martinez
24239/18 Camacho v. Camara
811887/23 Campbell v. Nivar
813153/21 Carswell v. Espinal
815316/22 Castillo v. Alfaro
816544/21 Chawla v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
32892/19 Eadicicco v. Gallo Jr
813480/22 Gomez Gaviria v. Royal One Trucking LLC Et Al
816267/22 Guzman Martinez v. Benoit
813137/22 Hargraves v. Melchizedek
810164/24 Henriquez v. Ramos Apolinar
29199/20 Hernandez v. Metro.
810744/22 Hill v. Bermudez
804816/22 Lespiegle v. Terry
802185/24 Lindsey v. Molina
803627/23 Maldonado v. Trinidad
809008/22 Moore v. Stevens
801676/21 Nicasio-Luna v. Nival
803715/24 Osoria v. Balde
807542/23 Reyes v. Verizon Services Corp. Et Al
806166/23 Rodriguez v. Royal One Trucking Et Al
819250/23 Rodriguez v. Hybrid Mechanical Corp. Et Al
815547/22 Smalls v. Melchizedek
815216/21 Suzzath v. Orangeburg Subaru Et Al
811713/21 V. v. Tirro
800080/22 Valentin v. Hostos

Part 15 (MV)

Justice Ben R. Barbato
Phone 718-618-1395
Room 702, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

24738/19 Buntin v. Martinez
806160/21 Cedano v. Mendoza
21558/17 Connor v. Hurricane Mgt. Corp.
801186/22 Dogbey v. Bongan Cars Inc. Et Al
25277/20 Nelson v. Venture Leasing
26410/20 Pullum v. Venture Leasing LLC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

26195/19 Urena v. Alokam
29506/19 Urena v. Alokam

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

803476/21 Abdalkwy v. Metro Livery Leasing LLC Et Al
815508/21 Almanzar v. Vasquez
31306/19 Amezquita v. Peguero
800940/23 Argueta v. Kelly
33891/18 Betances v. American United
813359/22 Booker v. Mjj Service Inc. Et Al
816496/23 Caraballo Pena v. Cowan Intermodal Group
21736/20 Cartagena v. Velasquez
24906/19 Ceballos v. Gwb Caribbean Express Inc.
27299/18 Colon v. Martinez
302149/11 Colon v. NYCTA
815126/23 Cruz Almonte v. Choi
802349/23 Diallo v. Prospect Transportation Inc. Et Al
805636/22 Duran v. Nxk Corp D/b/a Ambu-Trans Ambulette Et Al
803558/23 Dymczyk v. Chen
803724/21 Espinal v. Rivas
820132/23 Estwick v. Bhuiyan
24887/14 Figuereo v. Caggino
800497/22 Floyd v. Yakouba
817701/21 Garcia v. Artrey
808838/22 Houndeton v. NYCTA Et Al
806608/24 Lindao Alvario v. Cocho Corp. Et Al
807011/23 Lopez v. Orthodell
817294/22 Martinez v. The Hertz Corp. Et Al
814958/23 Mays v. Zou
815416/22 McLeish v. Delvis Hernandez-Sanchez
818644/22 Metellus v. Rudofsky
20912/20 Morrison v. Nofal
31067/18 Ortiz v. Leitao
816534/22 Palacio Perez v. Flagship Logistics Lcc Et Al
817099/22 Pedraza Garcia v. Super Wheels, Inc. Et Al
803727/21 Pena Nunez v. Rodriguez
808127/23 Pena v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al
807342/21 Perez v. Clark
300978/17 Perez-Feliz v. Samacost Car And Limo
812371/21 Peterson v. Yadav
26510/17 Robinson-Scott v. Juarez
808312/24 Roman v. Selby Transportation Corp.
23326/20 Skrelja v. Brown
806267/23 Troy v. German Mayancela Loja
804600/22 Valle v. The NYCTA Et Al
816769/21 Young v. Ortiz

Part 16

Justice Robert T. Johnson

Part 18

Justice Wanda Y. Negron
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 622, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

816170/22 Gerimci v. Gerimci

TUESDAY, MAY 13

3849/21 Adames v. Cabral
4637/22 Babers v. Miller
5350/24 Cardozo v. Nunez
805946/23 Echavarria v. De Leon Guzman
511/23 Gomez-Perez v. Perez
4475/23 Haynes v. Haynes
1878/21 Mack v. Ohamara
4187/23 Matos v. Figueroa
813384/24 Moe v. Brown
816914/24 Munoz v. Ramirez
810814/22 Nilaj v. Nilaj
2897/21 Olmo v. Olmo
3217/21 Pichardo v. Valle
1348/22 Romero v. Perez
4015/23 Sanford-Willis v. Sanford-Willis
809301/24 Singh v. Singh
4172/23 Singleton v. Singleton
4942/22 Stephens v. Eugene-Stephens
1118/23 Stewart v. Dumas
2841/21 Sumowuo v. Sumowuo
816495/22 Toro v. Santiago

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

808218/23 Fraser-Melara Murillo v. Melara Murillo
803848/24 Leahy v. Leahy
805108/24 Martinez v. Najera
802749/21 Palermo-Feliz v. Feliz

Part 19A

Justice Alicia Gerez
Phone 718-618-1377
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

28377/19 Birmingham v. NYCH&HC Corp.
809418/23 David Escobar v. Morningside Acquisition I
24405/19 Guerrero v. NYCH&HC And
27781/19 Martin v. Jewish Home Lifecare
820383/23 Miguel Alexander Osorio And Francia Peralta Leduc v. Foster M.D.
24853/19 Serrano Jr v. St. Barnabas Hosp.
820480/23 Tirado v. United Odd Fellow And Rebekah Home D/b/a Rebekah Rehab Extended Care Center

TUESDAY, MAY 13

31062/19 Fusco v. Mace Avenue Medical
809664/22 Holley v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
818535/23 Kpowbie v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al
26319/17 Lara v. Lincoln Medical Center
813631/23 Litwack v. Mount Sinai Queens Hosp. Et Al
805789/25 M. v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
806197/23 Malterre v. Verghese George
815619/23 McKever v. NYCH&HC Corp.
33363/19 Mota v. St. Patricks Home
810927/22 Nazar v. NY Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
27474/19 Paulino v. NY & Presbyterian
21253/20 Reyes v. Workmen's Circle Home
21692/16 Rosario v. Katsigiorgis
35843/20 Rouse v. Bainbridge Nursing
23003/17 Samtula v. NYCH&HC And
815301/23 Schiefelbein v. Eskandar M.D.
26096/20 Smalls v. Abraham Operations
812943/21 Thompson v. Tcprnc
31627/17 Torres v. Wayne Center For Nursing And

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

20490/09 Budet v. N.Y.C.H. & H. Corp.
23786/16 Connell v. King Harbor Multicare Center
22891/14 Hemingway v. Noakita Allen
816383/23 Morales v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp.
817512/21 Pruitt v. Park Gardens Rehabilitation And Nursing Center LLC
806694/23 Stevens v. NYCH&HC Corp.
30029/20 Wagner v. Plaza Rehabilitation & Nursing

Part 20

Justice Veronica G. Hummel
Phone 718-618-1240
Room 703, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

821050/24 East Coast Customs Automotive Inc. Et Al v. NYC Office of Administrative Trials And Hearings Et Al

Part 21

Justice Ashlee Crawford
Phone 718-618-1435
Room 401, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22

Justice Marissa Soto
Phone 718-618-1193
Room 709, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

810090/21 Advanced Orthopedics And Sports Medicine Institute v. Ellis
803616/24 Ayala Linares v. 33rd St. Ventures
809079/24 Badillo Benitez v. Rivera
804382/22 Baidya v. Mondal
70071/20 Bailey v. The Roman Catholic Parish Et Al
820658/23 Balseca v. 1475 Macombs Rd
809074/24 Baptist v. Celentano Provisions Inc Et Al
805390/24 Beato Cruz v. Alarcon Centeno
804481/24 Beato v. Giron
809176/24 Beeks v. Hp Park Lane Preservation Housing Dev. Fund Co. Inc Et Al
817718/24 Berrian v. Uss Services Inc Et Al
803915/23 Bongiorno v. Mendoza Brothers Cleaning Services
807640/24 Brown v. Cb Livery Leasing LLC Et Al
4705/24 Burke v. Pet Smart
817289/24 Castillo v. Camilo
815952/24 Chinnery v. Buchanan
816540/23 Cruz v. M & A Tavern LLC
812562/24 Diaz v. 2206 Rlty. Corp. Et Al
810243/24 Esparza v. Kotes Foods
810555/24 Ferreira Ventura v. James E. Fitzgerald, Inc. Et Al
811111/24 Fritts v. Sheridan Inc. Et Al
808940/24 Gainer v. Tangredi
808861/23 Garcia v. Friendly Motor Inn Et Al
800308/23 Gaviola v. Thomas C. Montera, Inc. Et Al
817290/23 Glover v. Barrie
813249/23 Gutierrez v. Rvmim LLC Et Al
811378/24 Guzman v. NYCHA
818346/24 Hidalgo Polanco v. 1356 Walton Ave. LLC
813569/23 Highsmith v. Ngo
809998/24 Hughes v. Jimenez Garcia
819054/24 Islam v. Brown
816669/24 Issabere v. United Neighbors Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
807127/23 Jackson v. Lemle & Wolff, Inc. Et Al
813834/24 Jimenez v. Zayas
800289/24 Kyei v. NYCTA Et Al
809189/24 Laureano v. Walker
42009/24 Lowery v. Northwood Rlty. Corp. Et Al
811830/24 Maliza Curillo v. 1351 Jerome Hldgs. LLC Et Al
813944/23 Mensah v. Carrano
802605/24 Mussenden v. Urena
807404/23 Navarro v. Rvmim
809131/24 Nunez v. T&T Fresh Produce Inc Et Al
819342/23 Ortiz Jr. v. Rodriguez
813309/24 Payano v. Rosh Mgt. LLC Et Al
814801/24 Pellecier v. 3422 Knox Pl. LLC Et Al
811685/24 Plasencia Reinoso v. Cedacero
814698/21 Ramirez v. Factory Direct Enterprises
818289/24 Reynoso v. 236 E. 138th LLC Et Al
813338/23 Rincon Jr v. Victory Mitsubishi Et Al
812305/24 Rochester v. Francisco
813049/24 Rodriguez v. 2065 LLC
806514/23 Sirimbo Mamaz v. 3130 Bailey LLC Et Al
306251/14 Solano v. Nat. RR. Et Al
815541/23 St-Surin v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
803511/24 Swann v. NYCTA Et Al
810994/23 Thomas v. Prisma Rlty. LLC
802707/24 Torillo v. Juiced Transit LLC Et Al
811777/24 Vargas v. Rosado
813574/24 White v. Valcortlandt Rlty. Inc. Et Al
814815/24 Whyte v. Doherty
813301/24 Williams v. Bryan
803981/24 Williamson v. Metro Clean Super Laundromat Inc. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

818759/22 Arocho v. 50 Fordham LLC Et Al
812614/21 Beltre Rosa v. Velazquez
801717/24 Colon Rochez v. Mac Safety Nyc, Inc.
805393/24 Guevara Hurtado v. 203 St. North
809083/22 Holder v. 2510 Westchester Ave. Associates LLC Et Al

Part 25

Justice Mary Ann Brigantti
Phone 718-618-1252
Room 407, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

818674/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Bagayoko

TUESDAY, MAY 13

804138/24 E. v. Arthur Avenue Residence
801174/21 Folk v. Andrews Plaza Housing
818769/22 Mateo v. 1200 Castle Hill Rlty. Corp. Et Al
810878/23 Matias v. NYCHA
807242/24 Mojica v. NYC Et Al
23084/20 Moran v. 2075 Creston Ave. Rlty. LLC
802257/22 O'Neal v. Dalee Rlty. LLC. Et Al
24798/20 Pozo Reyes v. Sol Goldman Investments
24185/20 Tavarez v. Poe Affiliates L.P
28718/20 Telles v. Rowland Rlty. Group LLC
806980/22 V. v. The NYCHA Et Al
33673/20 White v. Belair Corp.
816244/23 Zhu v. 4525 & 4555 Apts. Corp.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

804461/21 Blunt v. NYC Et Al
805427/23 Castillo v. Fiori
23618/18 Gomez v. NYC
29549/17 Haider v. Landau II LLC.
22304/19 Hernandez v. 36-38 West Gun Hill Road Corp.
24335/15 Marrero v. 1 West LLC
21954/20 Rivera v. Ivory 1150 Concourse Corp.
20994/18 Torres v. Crown Prospect Associates

Part 26

Justice Paul L. Alpert
Phone 718-618-1617
Room 408, 9:30 A.M.

Part 27

Justice Naita A. Semaj
Phone 718-618-1226
Room 701 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

35205/18 Citimortgage, Inc. v. Scott
35548/14 Deutsche Bank v. Robinson
36630/19 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust v. Cabrera
815438/23 Schmatz v. Ramnarine
813185/21 Vita Salamone Individually As Co Trustee v. Salamone
380239/13 Wells Fargo Bank v. Chapman

TUESDAY, MAY 13

819288/24 Alcantara v. Karikan Reef LLC. Dba Johnnys Reef
812072/24 Che'tonya Pryce v. Sherman Creek Rlty. Corp. Et Al
805269/24 Corona v. Nunez
801009/25 Cuevas Vican v. Miah
804352/24 De La Hoz v. 178 Rlty. LLC
802633/25 Diallo v. American United Transportation Et Al
813517/24 Maxwell v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
802891/25 Olivieri v. Velez
810547/24 Woney v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

805967/24 Castillo v. Espinosa
800011/22 Espinal v. Dhs Fraco Et Al
812467/22 Jimenez v. Mgm Resorts Int'l Et Al
812694/23 Niksa v. NY School Const. Auth. Et Al
807518/23 Perez Peralta v. J & N Const. Group Corp. Et Al
804665/24 Rodriguez Rosa v. Memadet Rlty. Corp.

Part 28

Justice Latia W. Martin
Phone 718-618-1254
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

29115/20 Corniel v. Corniel

Part 29

Justice Shawn T. Kelly
Phone 718-618-1248
Room 623, 9:30 A.M.

Part 30

Justice Erik L. Gray
Phone 718-618-1320
Room 602, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

804879/25 2275 Washington v. Sylvester
804302/24 Andaluz v. Klondike Mgt. Inc. Et Al
820498/24 Bass v. Grullon
805980/23 Caleno v. 3178 Villa Bsd Et Al
804208/22 Castro v. Betita
809181/23 Chumbe v. Gabay & Ameri II LLC Et Al
801124/21 Corona Diaz v. 138 Shobro
815891/24 Felix v. Vidal Vega
804330/24 Fiordaliza v. 2676 Gc LLC
35478/20 Fisher v. Tutor-Perrini
801526/21 Flores v. Bpdc Housing Dev.
817621/23 Gilmontesino v. Matos
806868/23 Gomez v. 1303 Needham Rlty.
812885/24 Grullon Nunez v. 4 Season Express Inc Et Al
816567/24 Guadalupe v. Roberts
815910/24 Hamilton v. Middleton
812918/24 Haughton v. Spa Castle Inc. Et Al
805678/21 Hinostroza v. Wilder Balter Partners, Inc.

812014/21 Jabour v. Rxr 2413 Third Owner LLC Et Al
813636/24 Jimenez Ramos v. Schwartz
812886/24 Lewis v. Cablevision Systems Corp. Et Al
800599/24 Lopez v. Penske Truck Leasing Co Et Al
802414/24 Luna De Abreu v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
813553/22 Mareira Ostaiza v. 3187 Grand Concourse Ave.
803292/22 Mesa Tepoz v. Siedlecki Const. Co., Inc.
801390/23 Olivera v. 28 South Div. Owner LLC Et Al
813952/24 Ortiz v. Greenberg
813496/21 Paca Roldan v. Mono LLC Et Al
802224/24 Paz v. Klondike Mgt., Inc.
28491/19 Penn v. Rigo Limo Auto Corp. Et Al
817569/24 Plasencia v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al
802814/21 Psychopedas v. Triborough Bridge And Tunnel
805016/23 Ramon Miranda v. Top Rock Dev. And Const. Corp. Et Al
811108/21 Rodriguez Uriarte v. 875 4th Ave. Acquisition LLC Et Al
800666/21 Romano v. Site 3 Dsa Owner LLC
813253/22 Saldana Fonseca v. Lrc Const. LLC Et Al
812936/24 Shapiro v. Diarrassouba
812583/21 Shepherd v. Prohealth Care Associates
817294/24 Sherman v. Pemberton-Ozuna
800307/24 Singleton v. 181-187 City Island LLC Et Al
819434/23 Smith v. Structural Preservation Systems
804416/21 Tello Ortega v. 85 Jay St.(bklyn.)

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

813709/24 Ailla Ushca v. NYC Et Al
805402/24 Almonte v. Rodriguez
808401/22 Alvarado Jr. v. Citnalta Const. Corp. Et Al
809403/21 Alvarenga Baires v. Acacia Sendero Verde II Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
807803/24 Alvarez De Leon v. Institute For Community Living Inc Et Al
814719/24 Antigua Santana v. Moreno
812822/21 Aquino v. NYC Et Al
806544/23 Brinson-Isabell v. 3110 Bronx Corp.
34910/20 Carranza-Rafael v. Lrc Const. LLC
802713/23 Carrasco Davila v. Fourth St. Rlty. Hldg. LLC Et Al
813666/23 Chicaiza-Rodriguez v. Sees Group LLC Et Al
820370/23 Cotterell v. Delarosa
800154/21 Delgado v. 2648 Bainbridge Ave
808553/23 Dutan Cepeda v. Be Bronx Builders
800071/23 Fuentes Cabrera v. The Mark 95 LLC Et Al
820209/24 Garcia Sosa v. Sirrom Estates LLC Et Al
803338/21 Garcia v. 42 Broad St. West Owner LLC Et Al
804486/24 Jimenez v. Park Ave. Rlty.
803006/23 Karolis v. Mjm Associates Const. LLC Et Al
812985/22 Lino Herrera v. 414 Gerard Owner LLC Et Al
812999/22 Lopez Cativo v. 3745 Riverdale Ave. LLC Et Al
35428/19 Lopez v. NYCHA
809964/24 Love v. NYC Et Al
805746/22 Maciel v. Design N Safety Inc Et Al
809665/23 Martinez v. Henningson
804605/21 Martinez v. Ant Yapi Civic NY LLC
817854/24 Matagrano v. 1320 Odell Associates LLC Et Al
805320/23 Melendez v. Ben Hur Amsterdam LLC Et Al
810981/21 Mendez Granada v. Lo Sardo General Contractors, Inc. Et Al
809227/21 Mendoza Garcia v. 525 Delaware LLC Et Al
806099/24 Mieses Huges v. Reservoir Associates
811712/24 Nolasco-Peguero v. Kitikova
802600/23 Nunez v. Bronx Veterinary Center Et Al
808996/23 Pasochoa Llumitasig v. Gw Universe LLC Et Al
803315/23 Perez Guevara v. United Mashadi Jewish Community of America, Inc. Et Al
814875/23 Remy v. B'way. & 56th St. Associates
806522/23 Reyes Carranza v. Artimus Const. Inc. Et Al
807565/24 Samuel v. The Public Adminstrator of Bronx County Et Al
811743/23 Santillan Navarro v. Olmstead Estates, Inc. Et Al
810094/21 Singh v. E.M.V. Contracting Corp. Et Al
808850/24 Smith v. 333 Cypress LLC Et Al
811160/24 Sproul v. Paulino-Lancer
807156/24 Veloz v. Bradford-Henry

Part 32 (COM)

Justice Fidel E. Gomez
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 403, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

803049/25 1915 Rlty. LLC v. Seneca Ins. Co. Et Al
815847/24 Ascendus Inc. v. Sore
812455/22 B&V Dev. v. Rocco & Son Ironwork, Inc.
807771/24 Baco Enterprises, Inc. v. Feinstein Iron Works, Inc. Et Al
811140/24 Baez Prop. Dev. LLC v. Williams
35022/20 Bullaro v. Ledo, Inc. Et Al
806072/24 Castro Zelaya v. Bradley & Parker, Inc. Et Al
812796/23 Cedeno v. Martinez
800392/24 Clayton v. Bissor
812257/24 Defrancesco v. Volvo Cars USA LLC Et Al
818326/22 Dejesus v. Aguila
814125/24 Diaz v. Zero Below Trucking Corp. Et Al
811470/23 Ducasse v. Seck
820727/24 Emmy Capital Group LLC v. Kims Kloset LLC Et Al
806567/23 Garcia-Sena v. Lunegov
808306/22 Green Castle A Mgmt Corp v. B&V Dev. LLC Et Al
818304/24 Honest Funding LLC v. Kolachi Foods LLC Et Al
806911/25 Jnr Flooring, Inc. v. Hudson Meridian Const. Group LLC Et Al
805347/22 Katsikoumbas v. Katsikoumbas
810883/23 Lewis v. Ganesh
809465/23 Lozada v. Rodriguez
813899/24 M&D Door & Hardware LLC v. Bolivar Builders LLC Et Al
815063/23 Malcolm v. Uber Technologies Inc Et Al
800506/24 McGowan v. Macinnes
809858/21 Montalvo v. Morales-Ewedemi
805474/24 Ortega v. Caraballo
801349/23 P. v. Fernandez Jr
801808/24 Roberts v. Rigo Limo-Auto Corp. Et Al
815729/23 Springs v. Gonzalez
814071/22 Start Elevator v. Newgent Mgt. Corp Et Al
33030/20 Tabacco v. Mota
800100/23 Thompson v. Calix Transport Inc Et Al
819379/24 Union Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. Twerski
802370/25 Vrc Companies v. Eastchester Rehabilitation & Health Care Center
810582/22 Walters v. Morillo

Part 34

Justice Michael A. Frishman
Phone 718-618-1349
Room 705, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

807141/21 Arzu v. Patel M.D.
807621/22 Collado v. Essen Medical Associates
803971/22 Godfrey v. Bay Park Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation
818261/24 Nakesha Edwards v. St. Vincent Depaul Residence
800481/23 Pena v. Tcprnc
800275/22 Ranieri v. Providence Rest, Inc.
807435/24 Rivera Aviles v. Shein Orthopedics Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

23033/20 Almanzar De Varga v. Bronxcare Health System Et Al
805704/21 Barrett v. Gustave M.D.
810599/23 Brea v. Amin M.D.
806593/23 Gonzalez v. Gill
816995/21 Henry v. Providence Rest, Inc.
812271/22 Henry v. Talathi
803993/23 Jean-Louis v. Cowan D.O.
810994/21 Johnson v. Misbahuddin M.D.
818422/22 Mava D. Banton-Chambers v. Kalache M.D.
814440/21 Patrick Thomas As Administrator of The Estate of Evadne Iona Hester v. Polcino M.D.
810060/23 Pozarycki v. Hebrew Home For The Aged At Riverdale Et Al
800243/24 Ramos v. Sudar Singh Prabahar M.D.
814425/24 Raphael v. Unger M.D.
814813/22 Rivera v. Camacho M.D.
32837/20 Rodriguez v. Montefiore Medical Center
25280/20 Williams v. Aboumohamed Md

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

29046/20 Boone v. Hochster Md
801613/23 Calderon v. Gandhi D.D.S.
28420/20 Campbell v. Hand in Hand Together
802435/21 Carter v. Japanwalla
816744/22 Crawford v. Co-Op City Chiropractic
20784/17 D. v. Montefiore Medical Center
25856/20 Dandridge v. Brookdale Hosp.
802236/21 Ellison v. Manzione
30171/18 H. v. Abbott
28970/20 Hector Ramos v. Workmen's Circle Multicare
800241/21 Jenkins v. Brafman
30053/17 Johnson v. Montefiore Medical Center
816150/22 Jones v. West Side Gi
806071/23 L E v. Bonilla-Martir Md
805522/21 Nicholson v. Karkowsky M.D.
814906/21 Patricia Fitzgerald-Powell As Proposed Administrator of The Estate of Anthony Powell Jr v. Fieldston Operating LLC D/b/a Fieldston Lodge Care Center Et Al
818453/22 Raju v. Aligene M.D.
814346/21 Raven Lora v. Schiff M.D.
817505/22 Remigio v. Choi M.D.
27607/20 Reyes v. Fielding
804220/22 Rossy v. Monahemi
34507/19 Suleiman v. Dowd

Part 35 (DCM)

Justice Raymond P. Fernandez
Phone 718-618-1216
Room 625, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

803089/24 Acevedo v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al
804581/22 Antoine v. Bauduy
814695/24 Austin v. Barbee
813821/23 Aviles v. Valdesia Mgt. Corp.
809544/24 Barrett v. Alvarez
813114/23 Dawson v. Edwards
812615/23 De Leon Soto v. Cs Rlty. Associates LLC Et Al
807410/24 Diallo v. Strawberry Hacking Corp. Et Al
802279/24 Foat v. Blandon
810194/24 McArdle v. Edgewater Park Owners Co-Op., Inc. Et Al
805015/25 Paul v. Garcia
812939/24 Rodriguez v. Hub Truck Rental Corp. Et Al
816447/24 Rosado v. Roth Jr
804528/24 Samson McA LLC v. Aspen Business Works
813661/24 Soriano v. Gray
810631/24 Ulerio v. Perez

TUESDAY, MAY 13

803071/25 Chambers v. Elbeshbeshy
814612/24 De La Cruz Perez v. NYCHA Et Al
815931/24 Pichardo v. Dl Peterson Trust Et Al
802327/25 Rodriguez v. Adino
806454/25 Solano v. Kamara
817196/24 Tart Jr v. Lamnica
820382/24 Tavarez v. 2622 LLC Et Al
816653/24 Tejada v. Keiley

WEDNESDAY, MAY 14

819119/23 Battiste v. Mirza
803056/23 Ceesay v. Higgins
808449/24 Molina v. Melrose Court Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
804238/24 Rosario v. Sullivan

CRIMINAL TERM

Part SCA
Justice Rivera
Phone 718-618-1378
265 East 161st Street
Room 300, 9:30 A.M.

Part T11 (Trial)
Justice Mitchell
Phone 718-618-1076
265 East 161st Street
Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

Part C
Justice Lieb
Phone 718-618-1097
265 East 161st Street
Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

Part IDV-SCT
Justice Flores
Phone 718-618-1067
265 East 161st Street
Room 420, 9:30 A.M.

Part JD/T
Justice Lieb
Phone 718-618-1097
265 East 161st Street
Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

Part TRP
Justice Fabrizio
Phone 718-618-1103
265 East 161st Street
Room 340, 9:30 A.M.

Part 11
Justice Mitchell
Phone 718-618-1076
265 East 161st Street
Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

Part 12
Justice Michels
Phone 718-618-3623
265 East 161st Street
Room 570, 9:30 A.M.

Part 14
Justice Busching
Phone 718-618-1034
265 East 161st Street
Room 660, 9:30 A.M.

Part 15
Justice Tba
265 East 161st Street
9:30 A.M.

Part 16
Justice Bruce
Phone 718-618-1043
265 East 161st Street
Room 540, 9:30 A.M.

Part 17
Justice Tbd
Phone 718-618-1106
265 East 161st Street
Room 350, 9:30 A.M

Part 18
Justice Yearwood
Phone 718-618-3629
265 East 161st Street
9:30 A.M

Part 19
Justice Collins
Phone 718-618-1058
265 East 161st Street
Room 550, 9:30 A.M.

Part 21
Justice Powell
Phone 718-618-1133
265 East 161st Street
Room 690, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22
Justice McCormack
Phone 718-618-1001
265 East 161st Street
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 23
Justice Villegas
Phone 718-618-1046
265 East 161st Street
Room 380, 9:30 A.M.

Part 24
Justice Hornstein
Phone 718-618-1073
265 East 161st Street
Room 440, 9:30 A.M

Part 27 (DV)
Justice Stone
Phone 718-618-1031
265 East 161st Street
Room 590, 9:30 A.M.

Part 28
Justice Clancy
Phone 718-618-3638
265 East 161st Street
Room 560, 9:30 A.M

Part 29
Justice Rodriguez-Morick
Phone 718-618-1118
265 East 161st Street
Room 430, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31
Justice Zimmerman
Phone 718-618-1022
265 East 161st Street
Room 670, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32
Justice Rosenblueth
Phone 718-618-1019
265 East 161st Street
Room 500, 9:30 A.M.

Part 60
Justice Barrett
Phone 718-618-1007
265 East 161st Street
Room 620, 9:30 A.M.

Part 70
Justice Lewis
Phone 718-618-1103
265 East 161st Street
Room 340, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71
Justice Steed
Phone 718-618-1004
265 East 161st Street
Room 610, 9:30 A.M

Part 73
Justice Tba
Phone 718-618-1085
265 East 161st Street
Room 510, 9:30 A.M.

Part 75
Justice Bruce
Phone 718-618-1043
265 East 161st Street
Room 540, 9:30 A.M.

Part 77
Justice Parker
Phone 718-618-1025
265 East 161st Street
Room 680, 9:30 A.M.

Part 78
Justice Marcus
Phone 718-618-1001
265 East 161st Street
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 96
Justice Morales
Phone 718-618-1082
265 East 161st Street
Room 460, 9:30 A.M

SURROGATE'S COURT

Surrogate
Nelida Malave-Gonzalez
Phone 718-618-2350
Courtroom 406

Second Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

MONDAY, MAY 12

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Genovesi, J.P., Ford, Wan and Love, JJ.

22/04995 People v. Simon, Keachane (K)
21/06939 People v. Edwards, Ethel Mae (N)
22/06806 Matter of Thomas v. Thomas (N)
22/06810 Matter of Thomas v. Thomas (N)
22/06811 Matter of Thomas v. Thomas (N)
22/06819 Matter of Thomas v. Thomas (N)
22/06820 Matter of Thomas v. Thomas (N)
24/03735 Matter of A. (Anonymous), Hanah; A. (Anonymous), Rahim; Orange Count (O)
25/01636 Matter of A. (Anonymous), Hanah; A. (Anonymous), Rahim; Orange Count (O)
24/00343 Biagini Realty v. Brightman (O)
23/09113 Matter of Rozof v. Rozof (K)
24/00368 Shannon v. Astoria 2101 LLC (Q)
24/04213 HSBC, National Association v. Schneps (N)
23/12388 HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Decker (S)
24/09603 Magarin-Reyes v. Gonzalez (S)
24/07000 Janover LLC v. Smith (N)
23/09681 Barrack v. Village of Piermont (RO)
23/01359 Chiloyan v. Chiloyan (RI)
23/08998 Chiloyan v. Chiloyan (RI)
24/11442 Custom Crafted Management Solutions, Inc. v. Elmont Fire District (N)
23/10411 Ortega v. Transdev Services, Inc. (N)
24/01494 Ortega v. Transdev Services, Inc. (N)
23/05186 23-35 Bridge Street LLC v. Excel Automotive Tech Center, Inc. (K)
20/09296 Chapa Products, Corp. v. MVAIC
24/06009 Goodluck v. Azeez (K)
22/07736 Saxby v. City of New York (K)

TUESDAY, MAY 13

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Connolly, J.P., Christopher, Voutsinas and Hom, JJ.

25/05327 Matter of Stora v. New York City Board of Elections (K)
22/02307 People v. Emanuel, Joshua (W)
23/01257 Matter of W. (Anonymous), Viris (K)
24/02117 Matter of Royal v. Royal (K)
24/09872 Tuala v. Empire Developers & Restoration Corp. (K)
23/10736 Meraj v. Walgreens Co. (K)
24/05506 Matter of Sawwan v. Farhoud (K)
24/09034 Sanchez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (K)
24/02195 Mullins v. Sharma (S)
24/05906 Sharma v. Mullins (S)
24/04037 Matter of Halpern v. White (S)
24/00399 Palm Avenue Hialeah Trust v. 2166 Dean LLC (Q)
24/03111 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Benson (W)
24/05785 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Benson (W)
24/05239 Ficalora v. Almeida (K)
24/02360 Weaver v. Krakoviak (K)
24/02366 Weaver v. Krakoviak (K)
23/07309 U.S. Bank National Association v. Williams (P)
23/11483 U.S. Bank National Association v. Williams (P)
21/04814 Matter of Fleischer v. Friedman (Q)
24/06847 Stein v. Stein (S)
24/09437 Matter of 7-Eleven, Inc. v. Town of Oyster Bay (N)
24/04476 Matter of Bates, Deceased (P)
23/00580 25-01 Newkirk Avenue, LLC v. Everest National Insurance Company (K)

THURSDAY, MAY 15

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Brathwaite Nelson, J.P., Taylor, Ventura and McCormack, JJ.

23/03843 People of State of New York v. Gould (W)
23/08288 People of State of New York v. Gould (W)
22/08999 People v. Richards, Fitzgerald (RI)
24/05827 People v. Colonna, Anthony J. (N)
24/04941 Matter of Sanchez v. Thai (N)
24/04943 Matter of Sanchez v. Thai (N)
23/06431 Matter of Voyager Continental Casualty Company v. Anderson (Q)
24/03413 Alnoukari v. Mahmoud (K)
24/04857 Impact Equities 2016 LLC v. Johnson (K)
24/07175 Matter of Hereford Insurance Company v. Joyner (K)
24/07207 Naranjo v. City of New York (Q)
24/07009 Viana v. All Island Recycle and Rubbish Removal (S)
24/00717 Trim v. New York City Transit Authority (K)
24/03841 U.S. Bank National Association v. Valencia (Q)
24/02945 Matter of Potter v. Incorporated Village of Ocean Beach (S)
24/04557 Tyrell v. Copelin (D)
19/13047 Matter of De La Pava, deceased (S)
24/03368 Babad v. Oratz (K)
24/08594 Laporte v. New York City Housing Authority (K)
23/09824 J. P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herschmann (RO)
23/11152 Kurtanidze v. Fasino (K)

FRIDAY, MAY 16

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Barros, J.P., Chambers, Warhit and Golia, JJ.

22/02626 People v. Johnson, Otis (K)
22/09896 People v. Cheristin, Joshua (Q)
24/01513 Matter of Rahim v. Braden (K)
23/06541 Matter of Herry v. Perry (K)
23/08808 Kistamma v. Saintilus-Alce (N)
24/01173 Kistamma v. Saintilus-Alce (N)
24/09003 Jordan v. Eureka Christian Fellowship Inc. (K)
24/02200 224 Lefferts Avenue Housing Development Fund Corporation v. Haile (K)
24/02554 S. v. City of New York (K)
24/07150 U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Rao (N)
24/11837 Tapia v. Enterprise FM Trust (Q)
24/10091 Harris v. RCH Holdings, LLC (D)
24/07370 I. v. I. (K)
24/11049 Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Ricks (RI)
23/10530 U.S. Bank National Association v. Relyea (D)
23/08742 Lubarsky v. City of New York (RI)
24/00931 Lubarsky v. City of New York (RI)
23/06326 Mark v. Trimarco (S)
24/11453 New York Packaging II, LLC v. Merchants Distributors Inc. (N)
24/12436 Wilson v. 1025 II LLC (N)
24/05082 Zimmerman v. Vazquez (W)
23/10132 Pratt Paper (NY), Inc. v. Atlanta Gear Works, Inc. (RI)

MONDAY, MAY 19

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Iannacci, J.P., Miller, Dowling and Love, JJ.

22/08212 People v. Ceballos, Christian (Q)
24/03567 People of State of New York v. Van Leer (S)
19/13004 People v. Battle, Maurice (Q)
24/01625 Matter of C. (Anonymous), Jamari O; Department of Social Services (RO)
24/06638 Lall v. Noisette (Q)
24/00554 Gomez v. City Livery Leasing Brooklyn Inc. (K)
24/02897 Rivera v. River Loft Condominium (K)
24/01463 Marsh Sanctuary, Inc. v. Town of Mount Kisco (W)
24/01283 K.K. Machine Co., Inc. v. Grillo (Q)
24/02898 Swiatocha v. Koenigsdorf (S)
24/10428 Moustakas v. Giardina (S)
23/11135 Matter of Mills Pond Nursing and Rehabilitation Center v. New York Stat (S)
23/08578 Moses v. Bensason (K)
22/08598 HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Berry (K)
20/09221 Deutsche Bank National Trust v. Tlatelpa (K)
24/04124 Khanam v. Bank of America (Q)
24/11591 Miah v. Lugo (Q)
23/11951 Impagliazzo v. Judlau Contracting Inc. (K)
24/09626 Kelly v. Pedersen (S)

TUESDAY, MAY 20

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Dillon, J.P., Christopher, Wan and Hom, JJ.

25/05005 People ex rel. Leavitt, on behalf of Manswell v. Maginley-Liddie
22/09667 People v. Jones, Rayvon (K)
22/09668 People v. Jones, Rayvon (K)
22/09010 People v. Martines, Ramon (N)
24/03323 Matter of Roman v. Deceus (Q)
24/05473 Matter of Langenhahn v. Langenhahn (S)
23/11115 US Bank National Association v. Stuart (Q)
24/09472 Hello Beautiful Salons, Inc. v. Dimoplon (K)
24/12060 Hello Beautiful Salons, Inc. v. Dimoplon (K)
24/04564 Matter of Chinese Christ Gospel Church v. OATH (Q)
24/01751 Matter of Oglesby, deceased (Q)
24/03087 Matter of Oglesby, Deceased (Q)
24/06532 Cortez v. Kapoor (K)
24/04725 Kedex Properties LLC v. Trisura Specialty Insurance Company (Q)
22/04622 Mitchell v. City of New York (Q)
22/07586 Tootsie Realty Inc. v. Klein (K)
23/12265 Siltz v. Golub Corporation (O)
24/01726 U.S. Bank National Association v. Warner 26 Inc. (N)
22/01270 Town of Blooming Grove v. Simon (RO)
24/02731 HSBC v. St. Hillaire (K)
23/09018 Romano v. Welsbach Electric Corp. (K)
24/05644 Abdiev v. Struett (K)
24/02896 Friedman v. MTGLQ Investors LP (K)

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Duffy, J.P., Ford, Landicino and Ventura, JJ.

24/04610 People v. Gioia, Holden (P)
23/00221 People v. Thompson, Aaron (K)
23/02513 People v. Babatunde, Olayinka (K)
21/03706 Placide v. 455 Bainbridge Street, LLC (K)
21/09114 Finn v. Piesco (RO)
24/10923 Aboagye v. Aboagye (S)
23/08573 Matter of Group BFSI, Inc. v. STP Brokerage, Inc. (S)
24/02990 Granovskaya v. 24 Hour Fitness USA Inc. (K)
24/06779 Khoshayev v. Edelstein (K)
24/06531 Red Target, LLC v. Kun Resources (S)

APPELLATE TERM

2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

***

BROOKLYN, NY

Day Calendar

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21

9:30 A.M.

Mundy, J.P., Buggs, Quiñones, JJ.

22/00258 People v. Adonis Medrano
22/00738 People v. Benjamin Rosario
22/01047 People v. Joseph Gallinaro
24/00385 955 Seneca, LLC v. Kings Juice Bar, Inc.
24/00480 Medtech Medical Supply, Inc. aao Mary George v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
24/00611 Jules F. Parisien, aao Brandy Dinnall v. Permanent General Assurance Corp.
24/00946 Burke 2 Physical Therapy, P.C., aao Torres, Ruben v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
24/00984 955 Seneca, LLC, v. Kings Juice Bar, Inc.
24/01043 Lena Harvey, Manta Jean-Baptiste, Jasmine Kitchen, and Steven Slowe v. Miller Avenue Group, LLC and Aaron Nathans; HPD

***

9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

***

Mineola, NY

Day Calendar

THURSDAY, MAY 15

9:30 A.M.

Driscoll, J.P., Goldberg-Velazquez, Conway, JJ.

24/00095 People v. Natan Krasnyanskiy
24/00292 People v. Brandon Pinckney
23/01309 120 North Sea Road Corp. v. Schmidt Bros, Produce Co., Inc., d/b/a Schmidt's Market and Dennis P. Schmidt; "Jane Doe" and "John Doe"
24/00392 Ny Harmony Property, LLC v. Kimberly Bastible; Luke Bastible By Guardian Ad Litem Bradley D. Schnur, Esq., Richard Valez, and Claire Valez
24/00560 Long Island Anesthesia Phys, L.P.V. Kizzanne Probherbs
24/00599 Sr 10 W, LLC v. Joseph Soufeh and Melody Soufeh; "John Doe " and "Jane Doe"
24/00872 Labriola Properties, Inc. v. Madame Butterfly Cakes, Inc. and Micheline G. Cummings
24/00881 Jdj Gateway, LLC v. Michelle M. Kelly; John Di Iorio, M.d.

Kings County

SUPREME COURT

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions.

Please see the Justices' information sheets for further instruction regarding Uniform IAS practices and procedures.

Part Assignments/RJI

Intake Part

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1592
Room 282

MONDAY, MAY 12

502361/25 Abdelrahim v. Tang
518055/24 Allen v. The NYCHA Et Al
530144/24 Banks v. Griffith
504249/25 Barrera v. Hamui
533249/24 Barrios Rabanales v. Congregation Chaisek Schlomo
502475/25 Brumigin v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. (mvaic)
507558/25 Butler v. Nizovitin
505762/25 Carmona De La Rosa v. Mes Brokerage Inc. Et Al
504967/25 Carvajal v. Khalavsky
501701/24 Castor v. Almedia Trans Inc. Et Al
519560/24 Chernobrodskaya v. 3096 Owners Corp
519868/24 Cook v. Bryan
503026/25 Danelia v. Sallustio
531832/24 Daniel v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
523707/24 De Abreu v. 416 C LLC Et Al
519949/24 Diaz Chetilan v. E & M Rlty.
500987/23 Fermin Caldwell v. Seneca Health Inc., D/b/a Seneca Health Pharmacy
512629/24 Fitzgerald v. Nunez
531824/24 Fleurimond v. Hernandezalvarez
533180/24 Greene v. Perez-Santanaria
527925/24 Guadeloupe v. Aminov
531735/24 Haro v. Crunch Fitness
533949/24 Hassan v. Stroud
530257/24 Holder Jr. v. Action Carting Environmental Services, Inc. Et Al
528605/24 Ickowicz v. Everett
502242/25 Jasiewicz v. 72 Unit Co. Et Al
527920/24 Joseph v. Li
509619/24 Kaczkowski v. Country-Wide Ins. Co.
535205/24 Khan v. Fuchs
516214/24 Lacaille v. Lacaille
503265/25 Legend Advance Funding II LLC v. USA Relocation Services LLC Et Al
501010/25 Legrand v. Wallace
503339/23 Lomedico v. Mlj Contracting Corp. Et Al
530210/24 Lytvynenko v. Trinidad
506020/25 McKenzie v. Sawan
506966/25 Melanko v. Velazquez
531827/24 Mian v. Time Fly
513310/24 Moreno v. Preparate Corp.
526996/24 Munroe v. NYCTA Et Al
509743/24 Ortiz v. NYC Economic Dev. Corp. Et Al
526033/24 Padilo v. Jpm Funeral, Inc. Et Al
532550/24 Palacios v. The Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
514286/24 Patrick-Stephen v. Security Cab Corp. Et Al
503344/24 Paulino v. 508 W172 LLC Et Al
522160/24 Pierre v. Martinez
500500/25 Rich v. Boro Park Operating Co
534024/23 Roncancio v. One Ninety Rlty. Co.
531153/24 Ruggiero v. Papava
507932/24 Sanders-Peay v. Milestone Restoration, Inc. Et Al
527164/24 Santiago v. Porter
534720/23 Sealey v. Morlamorales
503730/25 Shaposhnikova v. Atlantic Bapaz LLC
502329/25 Singh v. Perez Gonzalez
507967/25 Swed v. Cohen
513366/23 Taher v. Prestance Services
529369/22 The Board of Mgrs. of The 179 Monroe St. Condominium v. Williams
504410/25 Toxqui v. Gibbs
521344/24 Vasquez v. Hinds
532577/24 Vazquez v. Bklyn. Auto Mall
522854/24 Vetrano v. Allstar Automotive Corp. Et Al
509795/24 Vista Design & Dev. Corp. v. Joyful Adult Day Care Center, Inc. Et Al
518181/24 Weicheng Zhao v. Zurrow
521270/24 Zagare v. Garry
516866/24 Zilber v. Devi Flowers Corp. Et Al
531969/24 Zizzo v. Lucas

TUESDAY, MAY 13

518217/24 Abarca Rodriguez v. Kelco Const., Inc. Et Al
524019/24 Alcantara Siguenza v. Monadnock Const., Inc. Et Al
521787/24 Alexandre v. Maurice
531176/24 Allstate Ins. Co. v. Diallo
531671/24 Altamirano Perez v. Rahman M.D.
531197/24 Antoine v. Lux Credit Consultants
528697/24 Auguste v. Stephenson
506227/25 B. v. Home Depot, U.S.A., Inc. Et Al
534612/24 Bessard v. Mills
500309/25 Betances v. A&D Ho LLC
520778/23 Brookshire v. Atraoui
501140/25 Bushkov v. Akhmedova
525886/24 Caldera v. 2795 Fulton St LLC Et Al
504200/25 Carmo Dos Santos v. Gowanus Cubes
524773/24 Casey v. Cossuto
510186/24 Castillo v. Mennonite Unites Revival Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
518013/24 Cellantenna Corp. v. Bop Greenpoint H-3 LLC Et Al
23303/10 Citimortgage v. Sultan
527716/24 Curtin v. American Copper Bldg. LLC Et Al
500718/24 Custom Living Co LLC v. McBean Clarke
530433/24 Davidova v. Waterview Towers Inc.
522496/24 De Jesus De Rosario v. Sackman, Inc.
534786/24 Delva v. B. Clark Associates, Inc.
502392/25 Evbagharu v. Chowdhury
534473/24 Figueroa v. Pet Centro Inc. Et Al
530188/24 Fulop v. Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co.
523342/24 Gamil v. Hossain
507830/24 Gonzalez Alvarez v. Horowitz
524028/24 Grayson v. NYCHA
522606/24 Grier v. NYCHA
528871/24 Guadalupe v. Ghumman
500807/25 Jimenez Beltran v. Bayside Gowanus Owner
519464/23 Johnson v. The St. John's Pl. Family Center Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
531554/24 Kaleemlahi v. Parra Vasquez
505920/25 Kolenovic v. Grogan Jr.
524584/20 Laforet v. Meah
505163/23 Malamudman v. Beth Israel Medical Center D/b/a Mount Sinai Beth Israel
522651/24 Mantis Funding LLC v. Tomorrows Promise LLC Et Al
512248/22 Mayes v. Gerber Group Ltd. Partnership Et Al
503204/25 McKinney v. Petrosino
520711/24 McQueen v. Loshinsky
531523/24 Moore v. Forest City Ratner Companies
534153/23 Mulokandov v. Ach Tov V Chesed Et Al
503499/25 Navarrete Calle v. Cabrera
518765/24 Nicaisse v. Fresh Direct
522033/24 Niyazmurdova v. Chamorro Garcia
524060/24 Paniagua v. Enyinaya Nwakor
502951/25 Parris v. Roseus
529665/24 Pietras v. Mip Hldgs.
514741/24 Reeder v. Islam
503411/25 Rios v. Torres
532907/24 Sawan v. Savarese
529016/24 Seferi v. LLC
515368/24 Tap-Tee Rlty. Inc. v. Terruso
503839/25 Thomas v. Bardouille
502172/25 Triunfel v. Lineage Foodservice Solutions
528270/24 Urizar v. Sciame Const. LLC Et Al
510638/21 Voltaire v. Sinai I Inc. Et Al
531119/24 Watt v. Adjetey
502308/25 Wilson v. Ugbomah-Ragbir
531107/23 Y. v. Ukunc
528269/24 Zamora v. Sciame Const. LLC Et Al

Part ADR-COMM

Justice Richard Montelione
360 Adams Street
Phone 718-500-4012
Courtroom 574

MONDAY, MAY 12

521420/24 Green v. Wilson

TUESDAY, MAY 13

507299/24 Premium Merchant Funding 26 v. Caesar's Pasta LLC D/b/a Caesar's Pasta Et Al

Commercial Division Part 4

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

MONDAY, MAY 12

20230/09 Bac Home Loans Servicing Lp v. Herbst
512899/21 in The Matter of The Application of Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. For An Order Staying The Arbitration of Lydia Santiago And David Cruz

TUESDAY, MAY 13

15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
13826/12 Mtglq Investors v. Powell

Commercial Division Part 6

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

MONDAY, MAY 12

20230/09 Bac Home Loans Servicing Lp v. Herbst
512899/21 in The Matter of The Application of Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. For An Order Staying The Arbitration of Lydia Santiago And David Cruz

TUESDAY, MAY 13

15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
13826/12 Mtglq Investors v. Powell

Commercial Division Part 8

Justice Leon Ruchelsman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1604
Room 276

MONDAY, MAY 12

511547/23 Alanis Trujillo v. NYCTA Et Al
530715/24 Chen v. Koon
533429/24 Cohen v. NYC Et Al
524895/23 David Benscher v. NYC Et Al
529861/24 Grigalashvili v. Combs
528231/24 Hernandez Lopez v. NYC Et Al
510623/24 Joseph v. NYC
527961/23 Kiksman v. NYC Et Al
510515/19 Lang v. NYC
521523/23 Luther v. NYCTA Et Al
512982/24 Marafino-Savino v. No 8 Residence LLC Et Al
516036/24 Martinez v. NYC Et Al
523709/24 McGeary v. NYC Et Al
524068/24 Oliviera v. Kinght
502705/24 Onishchenko v. 1440 Ocean Pkwy.
533983/23 Perez v. NYCTA Et Al
531746/24 Reyes v. NYC Et Al
522127/24 Smith v. NYC Et Al
526596/24 Snype v. Digiovanna
529290/24 Sutton-Thomas v. NYCTA Et Al
527533/24 Torres v. NYC Et Al
518172/24 Torruella v. Burns
522639/24 Vartanyan v. NYC
523217/24 Vera v. NYC Et Al
527790/24 Vidlyvana v. Young Israel's Yeshiva of Brighton And Avrom Zvi, Inc. Et Al
532452/24 Voltaire v. NYCTA Et Al
515058/24 Washington v. NYC Et Al
501733/21 Xiao v. Tolibjon Sobirov
530673/24 Zamora Chapa v. Pacheco Sanchez
529358/24 Zborovskaya v. NYC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

391/18 Boyd v. Mr Cooper
525701/20 Briggs v. NY Univ. Langone Health Systems Et Al
505640/22 Castelli v. Maimonides Medical Center
504558/20 Claude v. 1580 Associates Ltd. Partnership
507446/21 Fox v. Fox
530853/22 Franco v. 8224 Bay Pkwy. LLC Et Al
516171/22 Gaudino v. Griffin
507876/23 Gayle v. Lakouetene
509817/17 Gumbs v. NYC
506534/22 Hamilton v. Twa Hotel Et Al
514299/21 James v. Sandy
501306/23 Johnson v. Lavin
520824/21 Johnson v. 538 Logan LLC Et Al
509102/20 Minogue v. NY Presbyterian-Methodist Hosp.
508321/21 Muniz v. Jones
531612/23 Perez Mendez v. Jablonski
529457/22 Scott v. Suleiman
511424/19 Sirleaf v. Embassy Lounge L.I.C
511953/23 Toxanbayev v. Stewart
519958/22 Winkler v. Labq Clinical Diagnostics LLC.
526485/21 Xoquic v. Khamyod
531107/23 Y. v. Ukunc

Commercial Division Part 10

Justice Larry D. Martin
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1634
Room 741

TUESDAY, MAY 13

514833/21 U.S. Bank v. 123 Powell LLC Et Al

Commercial Division Part 12

Justice Reginald Boddie
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9127-1594
Room 366

MONDAY, MAY 12

531571/21 Digital Direct And More Inc. v. Dialectic Distribution
520530/17 High Class Rlty. Sb v. 8629 Bay Pkwy.
502517/23 The Avanza Group v. Sds Leonard

TUESDAY, MAY 13

530170/23 Alliance Tri-State Const. Inc. v. Abax, Inc. Et Al
528218/21 Alpine Ready Mic Inc. v. Happy Living Dev.
515010/21 Bayport Funding v. Zhi Liu
524092/24 Century Medical And Dental Center v. Ultimate Billing, Inc. Et Al
506255/24 County Agency Inc. v. Match One Staffing
519813/24 Finkelstein v. Keller
527098/24 Huebner v. Elberg
519553/21 Savelli v. Elite Protection Services Corp. Et Al

Med Mal Trial Readiness Part

Justice Ellen M. Spodek
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1620
Room 723

TUESDAY, MAY 13

522483/24 Dadde v. Quest Diagnostics Inc. Et Al
501897/18 Gaskin v. Schulman And Schachne
505163/23 Malamudman v. Beth Israel Medical Center D/b/a Mount Sinai Beth Israel
525030/17 McDowell v. Vincent
22822/12 Murray v. Ruggiero
518561/21 Solomon v. Scott-Hazel

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 5

320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 6

Justice Genine D. Edwards
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9799
Courtroom 775

MONDAY, MAY 12

523985/19 Sangster v. Kennedy Pavilion Rh LLC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

530037/21 Barnwell v. Wyckoff Heights Medical Center Et Al
512668/23 in The Matter of The Application For An Order Staying The Arbitration Between Hereford Ins. Co. v. Ouaziz
513010/23 in The Matter of The Application of American States Ins. Co. v. Luzardo Diaz
509928/23 S. v. Spring Creek Gardens Condominium Et Al

Med Mal Early Settlement Part 7

Justice Pamela Fisher
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-404-9651
Courtroom 525

MONDAY, MAY 12

513128/20 Anderson v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
511839/21 Byzfunder LLC v. Jennifer Leann Winstead Et Al
502427/23 Dixon v. Velcek M.D.
514443/23 Garcia Perez De Gutierrez v. NYCH&HC Corp.
515463/22 Lawery v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
526747/24 Lowe v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
506912/22 Madeo v. Dzukaeva
500484/19 Pierre v. Grueso
518812/24 Sanders v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
500816/24 Susan Kovac A/k/a Suzan Kovacs v. Brian Shee
510287/24 Varabyova v. NYCH&HC Corp.
510545/22 Velasquez v. NYCH&HC Corp.
516849/24 Victoria Fishman As Administratrix of The Estate of Marat Fishman Et Al v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
518498/23 W. v. NYCH&HC Corp.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

505665/21 Addison v. Sl Taxi Inc. Et Al
513518/17 B.A.M.C. v. Suquilanda
525175/18 P v. Atwell

Default Judgment Motion Part

360 Adams Street
Courtroom TBA

TUESDAY, MAY 13

Motion

520281/22 121 Albany Ave LLC v. McSwain Rev 121 Albany Avenue
500013/25 242 Nevins, Inc. v. Akm Const. NY Corp.
507331/23 242 Nevins, Inc. v. Major Auto Show, Inc. Et Al
507267/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Abdelhamid
506040/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Able Chiropractic P.C. Et Al
510320/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Able Chiropractic P.C. Et Al
509458/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center
507563/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center, Inc. Et Al
508093/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center, Inc. Et Al
506226/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Arce Medical & Diagnostic Service
508086/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Aruna Funding Group, Inc. Et Al
511516/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Av Chemists Pharmacy LLC Et Al
507956/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Bmm Life Essential Services, Inc. Et Al

508928/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Capital Chiropractic
508962/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Citimed Complete Medical Care P.C. Et Al
508931/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Rodriguez Md
502955/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Wilkins
508305/22 Anoiske v. NY Dobo LLC Et Al
504968/24 Bobe v. 382 Wadsworth I LLC
519766/24 Bousaleh v. Lopez
530797/23 Brown v. Vil Saint
523597/24 Budnyatsky v. Accomplished Hldg. LLC Et Al
512652/24 Cocha v. Allstate Ventures LLC Et Al
530087/24 Delroy Home Improvement, Inc. Et Al v. Waterman
526732/24 Estupinan v. Ddg 362 Ave U Owner LLC Et Al
522817/24 Feliciano v. Ynh Const. NY LLC Et Al
526758/24 Foye v. Mj-Mc Home Health Care Agency, Inc. Et Al
510720/24 Francois v. Fortune
501752/21 Gentile v. Sclafani
514579/22 Goings v. Bhatti
517180/24 Hans v. Greuner Md
501962/24 Holland v. Downer
503232/25 Howard v. 133 Wyckoff Hldg. LLC Et Al
516500/23 Infant By Her Father And Natural Guardian Hakim Bougoffa v. T & Y Prop. LLC
516967/24 Jenkins v. Gateway Prop. Mgt. LLC Et Al
514616/24 Kovalenko v. Kocherginn
535215/24 L v. Lerner
523482/24 Lewis v. 1134 St. John's Pl. LLC
521814/24 Lewis v. Caton
509026/24 Lusmat v. Ramsay
529703/23 Martinez v. E & J Lawrence Corp.
522723/24 McCormick v. 1407 Pacific LLC Et Al
513665/23 Merchan Fajardo v. Franklin 33 LLC Et Al
515450/24 Patrick v. 196 Albany Realties
533322/24 Piszel v. 378 Greenpoint LLC Et Al
515324/24 Reynolds v. Two Liberty Church LLC Et Al
526793/24 Saint Gerard v. Scott
522058/24 Sanchez Moran v. Northside Housing Corp. Et Al
509596/24 Silverline Services v. Robin's Nest Childcare Center
535674/24 Slate Advance v. Town & Country Transportation Co. Et Al
522613/23 Spano v. Le Marien Hotels & Resorts
513971/22 Stabile v. Mione Laudicina
507759/23 Starr Opay LLC v. Cirino
509073/24 Tejada-Mendez v. Polizzotto
536566/23 Turnbull v. 150 Martense LLC Et Al
507912/24 United Metro Energy Corp. v. Power Petroleum Services Corp Et Al
536921/23 Williams v. 930 Putnam Ave. Corp Et Al
504309/19 Witherspoon v. Tivy Trucking Inc. Et Al

Jury Coordinating Part

Justice Kenneth P. Sherman
360 Adams Street
Courtroom 224
347-296-1771

MONDAY, MAY 12

507205/21 Advanced Framers And Interiors LLC v. Sunshine Const. USA Inc. Et Al
508248/22 Alvarado v. Reis De Melo
537653/22 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Scob LLC
525770/22 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Scob LLC
523057/19 Amigon v. Sarah Small Photography LLC
516782/18 An Infant By Her Mother And v. Bermejo
531513/21 Aneke v. Wallace
509424/22 Antar v. Ave. R Sephardic Congregation
522261/20 B. v. Rhee
511582/19 Barr v. Salem Truck Leasing Inc.
513837/23 Brooks v. NYU Langone Hosps. Et Al
522729/18 Burton v. Sea Crest Acquisition 1
515098/20 Bushra v. Alizai
513239/20 Camacho v. Laurel Wood Properties I
509257/23 Campbell v. Richards
520313/20 Casila v. Arms Acres
510285/15 Claudio v. Bklyn. Hosp. Center
526806/22 Curtis v. Fulton 2004
527671/21 Daley-Campbell v. Roache-Harris
525544/21 Delacruz v. Hp Sunset Park III Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
517512/17 Deleg v. 4 West 37th St. LLC
525100/21 Diallo v. Forbes
510395/21 Djalalov v. Abualya
530578/21 Douglas v. Zambrano
515973/20 Douglas v. Cruz-Labrada
502770/22 Echevarria v. Lopez
526888/21 Ferreira Miranda v. 2815 Atlantic Hldgs. LLC Et Al
509650/20 Fletcher v. Hosen
527480/22 Flores Barrera v. Florin-Jaker
511035/21 Fortunat v. Roney
512253/20 Francis v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
519069/21 Garcia v. 844 Knickerbocker LLC Et Al
520963/21 Georges v. Mercury Paint Corp. Et Al
517627/18 Giliotti v. Gupta
509990/21 Gioeli v. Magro
535676/22 Goldman v. Mancuso
524810/18 Gomez v. Tilden Estates LLC
518297/18 Gorodetsky v. Avshalumov
5858/12 Hayes v. Guzman
523853/19 Henry v. Resorts World Casino New
6813/16 Hosannah v. 2527-2529 Church Group
530552/22 Hughes v. Quick Check Foods, Inc. D/b/a Ctown Supermarket Et Al
519960/18 Jean-Gilles v. Small
516965/18 Jenkins v. NYCHA
500031/22 John v. Fleurine
515265/20 Kirkland v. Doe
522254/19 Larson v. Singh
2324/18 Leibowitz v. Khelemsky
503178/18 Leser v. NYCH&HC And
504444/21 Maldonado v. Marin
508948/21 Marte v. Erath
515893/22 McGhee v. 105 Hull Group LLC Et Al
524866/19 Menczer v. Kingstone Ins. Co.
504573/19 Mendoza v. 204 Forsyth St. LLC
511025/18 Michelle Wasserman v. City of New York Et Al
502332/22 Mitchell v. Kassebaum
507657/21 Morales v. Persam Pitkin LLC
502048/21 Ndoci v. Trinity Northeast Bklyn. Ltd. Partnership Et Al
503334/22 Nicolich v. Dione
512352/23 Nixon v. Kingsview Homes, Inc. Et Al
518308/22 Pervezheera v. Sanmartin
504213/22 Petrova v. Wallace
505986/21 Porfirio v. Ean Hldgs. LLC Et Al
505647/21 Pruss v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
509231/18 Rahmanfar v. Rosenbaum
505301/22 Roache-Harris v. Marson
528845/21 Robinson v. S&L Summit Empowerment
517909/18 Rodriguez v. Avshalumov
522624/19 Rodriguez v. Westerman Const.
518108/19 Roshko v. Ortikov
519630/22 Seguin v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank
504541/21 Small v. Fulton Star
502838/22 Smith v. Artis
519868/21 Smith v. H. Schrier & Co., Inc. Et Al
516434/20 Solis Salazar v. Wall 2 Mgt.
500229/22 Stanbury v. Cruz-Labrada
511027/18 Steier v. NYC Dept. of
522433/19 Su v. 16 East 39th St. LLC
514245/19 Swiergalski v. Perlbinder Hldgs. LLC
502992/18 Szabo v. House of Yes Inc.
507529/22 Tolbert v. McKenzie
530948/22 Triunfel v. Then
518845/22 Umarov v. Pico Jr.
516704/20 Velez v. 119 Park Slope LLC. Et Al
500696/22 Watson v. Zambrano
500656/21 Williams v. Gadalla

Motion

502770/22 Echevarria v. Lopez
522433/19 Su v. 16 East 39th St. LLC

TUESDAY, MAY 13

500375/21 Acosta v. Polonia Democratic Club, Inc. Et Al
508427/21 Acuna v. Townhouse Builders Inc. Et Al
520004/20 Adames v. Dithold Associates
503692/21 Alston v. NYC Et Al
517699/21 Alvarez Cueto v. 1470 Rlty. LLC Et Al
511614/20 Aman v. Lexia Transportation & Bus Co., Inc. Et Al
507925/20 Andino v. Ganiev
517351/19 Applebaum v. Cangro
525502/20 Associated Mutual Ins. Co-Op. v. Sky Bike Tech. Inc.
515207/23 Avalishvili v. Araus Munoz
501681/19 Barrett v. Mira Group Inc.
518653/19 Barretto v. Newkirk Partners LLC
522340/19 Battle v. Rosario
511722/22 Blackman v. Choi
500399/21 Brown v. Asti Hldg. Corp. Et Al
511104/19 Brown v. Bank of America, Inc.
527637/19 Browne v. Ramirez
524726/20 Burton v. Brown
527018/22 Byrd v. 27 Bed Stuy Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
523673/22 Caesar v. Tadjimakhmedov
515272/22 Canela v. Pina
520041/21 Cohen v. Cineas
512158/19 Colorado Balanta v. Wu
513376/19 Contreras v. Cadman Associates LLC.
504347/23 Cooke v. Herry
525968/21 Czerwinska v. Boruta
512894/19 D&N Lending v. Lifestyles Media And
515487/21 Davis v. George
523975/20 De Queiroga Oliveira v. Flushing & Little Nassau LLC Et Al
515366/22 Diaz Abreu v. Borkowski
525015/20 Ellerby v. Yeh
527670/19 Estevez Rodriguez v. Hudson Valley Idealease
516566/23 Faiad v. Schwartz
517629/19 Ferguson As Administrator of v. Bones
517043/20 Fisher v. Metro NY Dealer Stations
507564/23 Flood v. Balde
503455/17 Fulmore v. 835 Third Ave. Family
519450/19 Gagliardotto v. Azord
532064/21 Gonzalez v. 452-53rd St. Rlty. Corp.
516402/23 Gu v. Hossain
500838/22 Helvy v. Tbg Xinan Crystal Hill
518607/21 Hernandez v. Km Limo Service Inc. Et Al
537641/22 Herry v. Don Quixotes Services Corp. Et Al
510394/22 Hodge v. Bailey
509763/22 Jackson Jackson v. Kavakeb
523927/20 Javed v. Venture Leasing LLC Et Al
523101/16 Johnson v. Rimpel
516276/20 Jones v. Sohail
504635/19 Jones v. 211 11 Northern LLC
514045/21 Kagen v. Zhao
518061/21 Khan v. Cordero
515247/17 Khatamov v. Garcia
531550/21 Kravchenko v. Weathersbe
520044/19 Kuznetsova v. 141 Livingston Owner
514938/19 Lespinas v. NYC
515388/16 Levasseur v. Temitope
506683/19 Lokshin v. Edelkopf
510416/22 Mahoney v. Torres
520300/20 Martinez v. Sav LLC
527741/21 McCullen v. NYCHA
532821/21 Medina v. Practical Plumbing And Heating Inc Et Al
505783/17 Melendez v. Doe
515156/19 Mickens v. Cheema
504627/16 Millien v. Belizaike
517255/19 Montaque v. Fideli
536788/22 Moore v. Ditmars Park Two LLC Et Al
511134/21 Nivens v. Appelman
520453/19 Nunez v. Troll
520857/21 O.P. v. Youssef
504141/20 Ocasio v. Diouf
503190/22 Ortiz v. Rosado
507042/19 Parra v. Jalloh
516927/20 Patterson v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC Et Al
501853/19 Perez v. Trust
513997/22 Phillips v. Lesperance
508850/20 Province v. Nieves
505504/19 Ramirez Castillo v. Wu
530668/22 Ratliff v. Tikal Const. NY Corp Et Al
531385/22 Reilly v. The O'Neill Condominium Et Al
513264/21 Remfort v. Tejada
507858/21 Rinchino v. Slovac Roman Catholic Church of The Holy Family Et Al
509189/18 Rivera v. NYCH&HC
516046/23 Rodney v. Pepaj
526310/22 Rodriguez v. Bop Greenpoint D LLC Et Al
520316/21 Romero v. Crotona Housing Associates
516285/19 Rosario v. Sunbelt Rentals, Inc.
524315/23 Rosarion v. Poltcheck
502004/22 Ruziev v. Corrigan
516186/21 Ryan v. H & P Trucking Et Al
517264/20 Saavedra v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC Et Al
514574/20 Scott v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al
524634/18 Serratore v. 5123 14th Ave. LLC
510372/21 Shoaib v. Cordero
520416/23 Short v. Yuen Ot
510097/21 Simon v. Simon
510434/21 Simon v. Wu
512666/22 Simpson v. Abedin
508777/21 Sinclair v. Blackman
514100/20 Slater v. Hamilton Park Multicare
515755/20 Spinard v. Le
523998/19 Tejeda v. 1994 Kfc LLC
520556/18 Tevet v. Ramirez
520533/20 The Bklyn. Tabernacle v. Holland & Knight Llp Et Al
507871/22 Thomas v. Fried
516985/21 Turbi-Medrano v. Chaudhry
507875/19 Valentin v. Shuttleworth
525380/20 Venegas Almendariz v. 508 Waverly LLC Et Al
523122/21 Whitt v. Rutland Nursing Home, Inc. Et Al
515736/21 Williams-Davis v. Cotton
501973/19 Zensky v. Clipper Equity LLC

Court Calendars

Non-Jury Trial Readiness Part

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Courtroom 774

MONDAY, MAY 12

533205/22 American Transit Insurance Company v. Mk Dme Inc
504688/23 Atlas Concrete Corp. v. Awl Industries Inc
20230/09 Bac Home Loans Servicing Lp v. Herbst
20972/08 Beltway Capital LLC v. Ais Services LLC
5980/16 Buckheit v. Aiken
503161/19 Congregation Imrei Yehudah v. Sirico
518934/20 Converging Capital v. Leifer
505615/22 Cumberbatch v. Compere
506533/22 Fleetway Capital Corp v. Era Tours Int'l, Inc. Et Al
512899/21 in The Matter of The Application of Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. For An Order Staying The Arbitration of Lydia Santiago And David Cruz
505089/22 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Alex Auto Body, Inc.
516802/20 Lista v. Andrews
514652/22 Long Island Minimally Invasive Surgery v. Tubbs
1667/18 Lyons v. Jason Rlty. Associates LLC
515523/19 M & Ss Group Inc. v. U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc.
521477/21 Sadiq v. Discobath Prod.s, Inc.
505366/21 Sylvan v. Sylvan Jr.
504494/22 Tohkie v. Remh

TUESDAY, MAY 13

15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
13826/12 Mtglq Investors v. Powell

City Trial Readiness Part

Justice Donald S. Kurtz
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1596
Courtroom 480, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

532715/22 Allen v. Buggs
507780/23 Andong Nfana Avomo v. Cuccia
512928/21 Andrade v. NYC Et Al
511042/20 Becker v. NYC Et Al
512452/18 Burrell v. NYC
500281/17 NYC v. Prudenti's Restaurant on The
19121/13 Delacruz v. Ehf Rlty. Corp.
501665/18 Fiumara v. Con Ed Co.
523576/21 Griffin v. NYC Et Al
536904/23 Jacob-Morris v. Venuza
521924/20 Machitidze v. NYC Et Al
508652/19 Macmillan v. NYC Et Al
527916/21 Mark v. NYC
513059/21 Martinez v. NYC Et Al
511812/22 Parrish v. NYC Et Al
24895/10 Scott v. NYC
500292/24 Thomas v. NYC Et Al
22623/12 Vasquez v. Dodard
506936/20 White v. NYC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

527281/21 Acosta De Reyes v. NYC Et Al
500032/21 Blakely v. NYC Et Al
515546/20 Canelo v. NYC Et Al
515271/18 Carvey v. NYC
509563/17 Cassarino v. NYC
513337/20 Christian v. NYC Et Al
522659/20 Edwards v. NYC
513035/18 Footman v. 840-842
523116/22 Gaudioso v. NYC Et Al
529102/21 Gordon v. Athina Rlty. LLC Et Al
513957/16 Jadadic v. NYC
524845/19 L. v. NYC
523223/19 R v. NYC
502484/23 Rozenberg v. NYC Et Al
523580/20 Taylor-Griffith v. NYC Et Al
514088/20 V.A. An Infant By Her Father And Natural Guardian Edward Altman Et Al v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
523521/19 Villanueva De Abreu v. Battista

Central Compliance Part

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1626
Courtroom 282

MONDAY, MAY 12

Motion

517155/24 Balaban v. Vatsyk
516982/24 Baptiste v. Reiss Wholesale Hardware Co. Inc. Et Al
509142/22 Belizaire v. Sanpeppas Jerk Chicken Express
526034/21 Boyd v. Diallo
534977/22 C. v. Korn
501760/23 Calcano v. Hajar
519998/19 Calderon v. Friasmazara
528537/23 Campbell v. George
524156/18 Del Preore v. NYC
520983/23 Duvall v. Minkoff
524324/22 Fevry v. Doe
510615/20 Findlay v. Approved Transportation Services Inc. Et Al
521158/21 Gina v. New Hope Family Worship Center Et Al
537389/22 Gomez v. Espejo
508737/24 Gutierrez Campoverde v. Congregation Divrei Yoel Et Al
532072/21 Gutierrez v. Lieberman
516815/22 Holder v. 9 East 75th St. LLC Et Al
515804/24 Jones v. Omogun
515119/22 Lewis v. Garcia
533368/21 Lowy v. Congregation Siyata Dishmaya
519991/21 Mantilla v. Guzman Jr
513162/20 Martinez v. Jimmy Jazz, Inc. Et Al
534649/23 McHue v. Christina Anthony Rlty. Associates Corp. Et Al
502141/22 Mickens v. Adult Resources Center, Inc. Et Al
515411/23 Murray v. Laz Parking NY 1
502938/22 Ojeda v. American Golf Corp. Et Al
508775/22 Ortiz v. 168 Force Tube Ave. LLC
524358/21 Oscar v. Val Quality Service Inc Et Al
535011/22 Palacio Zapata v. Throop Corners Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
530534/24 Parker v. NYC School Const. Auth. Et Al
517497/18 Pierre v. Diaz-Castillo
510937/22 Pretto v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
521669/20 Randall v. Dotc Delivery LLC. Et Al
503810/23 Rivlin v. Khamidov
516488/24 Rodriguez v. Avid Waste Systems, Inc. Et Al
513528/23 Rosa v. Penske Truck Leasing Corp. Et Al
510829/20 S. v. Grtz Services Inc. Et Al
502785/18 San Miguel v. Qb & Son LLC
520235/20 Santos v. 60 Hudson Owner LLC Et Al
508993/20 Skylar v. Grtz Services Inc.
503471/21 Tavera v. Ridge Harbor Owners Corp. Et Al
534118/22 The Board of Mgrs. of The 394 Vanderbilt Condominium v. 394-396 Vanderbilt Ave NY Corp. Et Al
513510/24 Thompson v. Centennial Elevator Industries, Inc.
522042/22 Toaquiza Ushco v. 1 Sullivan Residences LLC Et Al
504421/20 Tomlinson v. The Estee Lauder Companies Inc. Et Al
509825/22 Valenzuela Cisneros v. Bklyns. Consts. & Renovations Corp Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

505267/20 1470 39th St. LLC v. Goldberg
537876/22 80-82 Adelphi St. Condominium v. 80-82 Adelphi St. LLC
503899/24 Abrahams v. Lundi
501805/24 Accident Fund Ins. Co. And Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London A/s/o M & M St. Pauls LLC v. Mercius
505702/24 Ahmed Gamaa v. Singh
515779/24 Akobir v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
514192/24 Allen v. Iacona
522754/23 Andrews v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
505086/24 Arango v. G. Franklin Corp. Et Al
508821/24 Barder v. Brisenault
535448/23 Breve v. Lana Co-Op. Apts. Inc. Et Al
525701/20 Briggs v. NY Univ. Langone Health Systems Et Al
510122/24 Briggs v. Paul
516687/24 Bristol v. Thomas
532592/23 Burgess Jr. v. Morales
527565/23 Bush v. Family Dollar Et Al
536001/23 C. v. Corby
513667/24 Campbell v. Campbell
505640/22 Castelli v. Maimonides Medical Center
510044/24 Choe v. Grigoriani
504558/20 Claude v. 1580 Associates Ltd. Partnership
536667/23 Coward v. Super Fresh & Feel Beauty Inc. Et Al
514172/24 Datilus v. Body
520792/22 Davis v. Seagate Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al
514439/23 Delince v. Hp Sunset Park L Housing Dev. Fund Co.
526628/23 Delzotti v. Kings County Hosp. Et Al
533614/23 Duran v. Hadjidiakos
505235/24 Ebf Hldgs. v. Emek Renovation Corp. Et Al
500225/24 Edwards v. Kanterman
512850/24 Escalona v. Dunham
508728/24 Espinal v. Kept Rlty. LLC Et Al
523347/23 Fenix Capital Funding v. Elevents
530391/23 Forrester v. Goldsmith
532956/23 Garcia v. Hollander
525868/23 Gardner v. 447 Fulton
534437/22 Germanakos v. Uber Technologies Et Al
507067/24 Girgenti v. 3107 Quentin Road
537286/23 Gonzales v. Stedman
536309/22 Gonzalez Gomez v. 43rd Rlty. Group LLC Et Al
515454/24 Grill v. Garcia
533322/23 H. v. Junius-Liberty Dev. LLC Et Al
512140/24 Hadzijic v. State Farm Ins.
523854/23 Ham v. Vii Stars Fish Market, Inc., Et Al
509464/24 Hasan v. Nichols
523069/23 Iruka Capital Group LLC v. Pinnacle Gc, Inc. D/b/a Pinnacle Const. Et Al
530641/23 Islam v. Cadet
505448/24 Jasharevic v. Cai
520824/21 Johnson v. 538 Logan LLC Et Al
501752/24 Jones v. Val Quality Service, Inc. Et Al
508370/24 Kenkadze v. Sangare
508943/24 Konig v. Sandhaus
513873/24 Lewis v. Kandhola
500249/24 Loja v. Heartfelt Townhouse Builders LLC Et Al
536826/22 Mamakas v. Petrychenko M.D.
504392/24 Maurice v. Renaissance Equity Hldgs. LLC G Et Al
500531/24 Maza v. Spearhead Contracting LLC Et Al
513574/24 McQueen v. Jansen Jr.
514209/24 Medina v. Lilac Lilac Taxi
504741/24 Melchor v. Philippe
511666/24 Metayer v. Gordon
509102/20 Minogue v. NY Presbyterian-Methodist Hosp.
529357/22 Mirzaev v. Alcance Victoria-Victory Outreach Church Et Al
508000/24 Mitchell v. Emmons
505768/21 Mode Unltd. Inc. v. Alloy Const. LLC
510998/24 Monzio v. Doherty
500197/24 Moreira Parra v. L & M Builders Group LLC Et Al
510507/24 Murphy v. Chb Transport, Inc. Et Al
508349/24 Ordover v. Drake Trustee
514477/24 Paneto v. Derimay
515964/24 Peebles v. Ferrantino Fuel Corp. Et Al
531612/23 Perez Mendez v. Jablonski
503783/24 Piccolo v. Jalex Cargo LLC Et Al
509336/24 Rajabboev v. Guzy
532553/23 Ramirez v. Barnwell
511556/24 Randall v. NY Presbyterian Bklyn. Methodist Hosp.
532686/22 Rodriguez v. Wyckoff Heights Medical Center
537577/23 Rodriguez v. Duran
525110/22 Rojas Pacheco v. Mono LLC Et Al
533468/22 Santiago v. 724-726 Dekalb
510709/24 Santos Nunez v. Fratello Const. Corp.
509423/24 Scott v. Ean Hldgs. LLC Et Al
529457/22 Scott v. Suleiman
508586/24 Sirleaf v. Milo 34 Corp. D/b/a Anemos Et Al
511424/19 Sirleaf v. Embassy Lounge L.I.C
155/24 Spitz v. Desrivieres
528252/23 St. John v. Atlantic Pacific Hldgs. LLC Et Al
509332/24 Sullivan v. Leah Jallah
507371/24 Tchankvetadze v. Frino
532910/22 NYC Police Dept. v. Morgenshtern
507157/24 Udeh v. Casual Films, Inc. Et Al
526485/21 Xoquic v. Khamyod
527006/23 Young v. Estate of George Power

Motion

527903/23 415 Realty v. Sebco Laundry Systems, Inc.
509717/21 Amirova v. 482 A Prop. LLC Et Al
503509/22 Bellorin v. Ray Builders, Inc. Et Al
517809/22 Cagua Cagua v. 2727 Homecrest LLC Et Al
531468/23 Campbell v. Elias
504023/22 Chati v. NYC Et Al
502495/23 Davison Jr. v. Kong
514059/20 Donnelly v. NYC Et Al
507853/21 Fisherman v. Bay Shore Gardens Owners, Inc. Et. Al.
516688/23 Golovnya v. Huayua
503645/22 Gomez v. Bklyn. Events Center
507145/21 Haggard v. 225 Parkside LLC Et Al
506173/23 Idelfonso v. Gtway Inc. Et Al
509158/22 Janz v. C.A.C. Industries Inc. Et Al
504103/21 Joseph v. Alexis
516207/24 Mann v. Friedman
504392/24 Maurice v. Renaissance Equity Hldgs. LLC G Et Al
505028/23 McDaniel v. 609 Myrtle Ave LLC Et Al
511351/24 Montilla v. 133-20 Rockaway Blvd Rlty. LLC Et Al
501974/23 Myers v. American United Transportation Inc Et Al
516258/18 Picone v. Prince Plaza Dev. Corp.
501983/19 Ponce v. Ess Prisa III Owner LLC
524428/19 Raugalas v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
525788/21 Sabogal v. Kings Drug & Surgical Corp. D/b/a Kings Pharmacy & Surgical Et Al
536374/23 Santin v. Target Const. LLC Et Al
529642/24 Tidiane Wague As Proposed Administrator of The Estate of Mahamadou Dansogo v. G&F Systems Inc Et Al
511995/23 Veronica Mitchell v. Hopkins Center For Rehabilitation And Healthcare
508344/22 Vicente v. Kew Gardens Apts. LLC. Et Al
505207/23 Zev Zafir Inc. v. Scholes Senior Housing Ltd. Partnership Et Al

Foreclosure Res Part 1

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1104
Courtroom 756

Foreclosure Res Part 2

Justice Mark Partnow
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1656
Courtroom 441

Foreclosure Part Conf. A

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9124
Courtroom 361

Part 2

Justice Aaron D. Maslow
320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

MONDAY, MAY 12

503324/22 Perez Rosario v. Parra Siguencia

Part 3

320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

Part 4

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9265
Courtroom 461, 9:30 A.M.

Part 5A

Justice Eric I. Prus
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1646
Courtroom 938, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

551212/22 Ardila v. Holzinger

Conference

551212/22 Ardila v. Holzinger

Motion

551212/22 Ardila v. Holzinger

TUESDAY, MAY 13

54098/12 Shteerman v. Shteerman

Part 5C

Justice Carl Landicino
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1599
Courtroom 738

Part 5F

Justice Rachel Amy Adams
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1636
Courtroom 929, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

551389/22 Alvarez v. Fernandez
550220/23 Benshushan-Kahn v. Moshe
552201/23 De Mendonca v. De Mendonca
53473/17 Fowlkes v. Fowlkes
551630/24 Ignacio v. Ignacio
55450/19 Laine v. Laine
54574/16 Murray v. Murray
550392/24 Shostak v. Blazhkevych
552191/23 Vaknin v. Vaknin

Conference

550220/23 Benshushan-Kahn v. Moshe
552201/23 De Mendonca v. De Mendonca
551630/24 Ignacio v. Ignacio
55450/19 Laine v. Laine
550392/24 Shostak v. Blazhkevych

Motion

552201/23 De Mendonca v. De Mendonca
53473/17 Fowlkes v. Fowlkes
54574/16 Murray v. Murray
552191/23 Vaknin v. Vaknin

TUESDAY, MAY 13

53686/18 Barda v. Barda
50055/17 Bochner v. Bochner
552072/24 Hamilton v. Hamilton
54182/17 Jones v. Jackson
550154/23 Owens v. Owens
551410/21 Vatel v. Vatel
550931/23 Zombori v. Zombori

Conference

552072/24 Hamilton v. Hamilton
550154/23 Owens v. Owens

Motion

53686/18 Barda v. Barda
50055/17 Bochner v. Bochner
54182/17 Jones v. Jackson

Part 5G

Justice Jeffrey S. Sunshine
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1654
Courtroom 941, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

50214/11 Paz v. Burgos

Motion

50214/11 Paz v. Burgos

Part 5J

Justice Theresa Ciccotto
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1632
Courtroom 961

MONDAY, MAY 12

551951/20 Adib v. Saleh
552216/22 Assoulin v. Assoulin
550354/22 Ausch v. Ausch
552204/23 Cieslik v. Cieslik
550478/20 Prescott v. Prescott
550498/22 Scaturro v. Nieves

Conference

551951/20 Adib v. Saleh
552216/22 Assoulin v. Assoulin
550354/22 Ausch v. Ausch
552204/23 Cieslik v. Cieslik

TUESDAY, MAY 13

53083/19 Abrahamsen v. Abrahamsen
550554/25 Ergashev v. Ergasheva
52328/19 Francis v. Francis
550241/24 Hunter v. Kahn
551922/23 Louis Charles v. Cazeau
550878/23 Mendez v. Bloom Mendez

Conference

53083/19 Abrahamsen v. Abrahamsen
52328/19 Francis v. Francis
551922/23 Louis Charles v. Cazeau
550878/23 Mendez v. Bloom Mendez

Motion

53083/19 Abrahamsen v. Abrahamsen
550554/25 Ergashev v. Ergasheva

Part 5K

Justice Debra Silber
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1102
Courtroom 524, 9:30 A.M.

Part 5L

Justice Lorna J. McAllister
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1572
Courtroom 362, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

551822/20 Chambers v. Chambers
551338/23 Chellew v. Glick
54868/14 Maiorescu v. Koegel
551956/21 Valembrun v. Valembrun
550648/22 Vargas v. Encalada Tapia

Conference

551338/23 Chellew v. Glick
551956/21 Valembrun v. Valembrun
550648/22 Vargas v. Encalada Tapia

Motion

551822/20 Chambers v. Chambers
54868/14 Maiorescu v. Koegel
551956/21 Valembrun v. Valembrun

TUESDAY, MAY 13

550546/23 Aigozhayeva v. Jukenov
553316/21 Holden v. Ehrsam
550288/21 Rozmarin v. Yarmolitskiy

Conference

550546/23 Aigozhayeva v. Jukenov
550288/21 Rozmarin v. Yarmolitskiy

Motion

550288/21 Rozmarin v. Yarmolitskiy

Part 5Q

Justice Joanne D. Quinones
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1632
Courtroom 961

Part 5T

Justice Delores J. Thomas
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9211
Room 924, 9:30 A.M.

Part 7

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9477
Courtroom 456, 9:30 A.M.

Part 8

360 Adams Street
Courtroom 756

Part 9

Justice Debra Silber
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1102
Courtroom 524, 9:30 A.M.

Part 10

Justice Lorna J. McAllister
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1572
Courtroom 362, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

551822/20 Chambers v. Chambers
551338/23 Chellew v. Glick
54868/14 Maiorescu v. Koegel
551956/21 Valembrun v. Valembrun
550648/22 Vargas v. Encalada Tapia

TUESDAY, MAY 13

550546/23 Aigozhayeva v. Jukenov
553316/21 Holden v. Ehrsam
550288/21 Rozmarin v. Yarmolitskiy

Part 11

Justice Delores J. Thomas
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9211
Room 924, 9:30 A.M.

Part 12

Justice Reginald Boddie
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1458
Courtroom 741, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

531571/21 Digital Direct And More Inc. v. Dialectic Distribution
520530/17 High Class Rlty. Sb v. 8629 Bay Pkwy.
502517/23 The Avanza Group v. Sds Leonard

TUESDAY, MAY 13

530170/23 Alliance Tri-State Const. Inc. v. Abax, Inc. Et Al
528218/21 Alpine Ready Mic Inc. v. Happy Living Dev.
515010/21 Bayport Funding v. Zhi Liu
524092/24 Century Medical And Dental Center v. Ultimate Billing, Inc. Et Al
506255/24 County Agency Inc. v. Match One Staffing
519813/24 Finkelstein v. Keller
527098/24 Huebner v. Elberg
519553/21 Savelli v. Elite Protection Services Corp. Et Al

Part 15

Justice Steven Fisher
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-404-9651
Courtroom 525, 9:30 A.M.

Part 16

Justice Leon Ruchelsman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1604
Courtroom 276, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

511547/23 Alanis Trujillo v. NYCTA Et Al
530715/24 Chen v. Koon
533429/24 Cohen v. NYC Et Al
524895/23 David Benscher v. NYC Et Al
529861/24 Grigalashvili v. Combs
528231/24 Hernandez Lopez v. NYC Et Al
510623/24 Joseph v. NYC
527961/23 Kiksman v. NYC Et Al
510515/19 Lang v. NYC
521523/23 Luther v. NYCTA Et Al
512982/24 Marafino-Savino v. No 8 Residence LLC Et Al
516036/24 Martinez v. NYC Et Al
523709/24 McGeary v. NYC Et Al
524068/24 Oliviera v. Kinght
502705/24 Onishchenko v. 1440 Ocean Pkwy.
533983/23 Perez v. NYCTA Et Al
531746/24 Reyes v. NYC Et Al
522127/24 Smith v. NYC Et Al
526596/24 Snype v. Digiovanna
529290/24 Sutton-Thomas v. NYCTA Et Al
527533/24 Torres v. NYC Et Al
518172/24 Torruella v. Burns
522639/24 Vartanyan v. NYC
523217/24 Vera v. NYC Et Al
527790/24 Vidlyvana v. Young Israel's Yeshiva of Brighton And Avrom Zvi, Inc. Et Al
532452/24 Voltaire v. NYCTA Et Al
515058/24 Washington v. NYC Et Al
501733/21 Xiao v. Tolibjon Sobirov
530673/24 Zamora Chapa v. Pacheco Sanchez
529358/24 Zborovskaya v. NYC Et Al

TUESDAY, MAY 13

391/18 Boyd v. Mr Cooper
525701/20 Briggs v. NY Univ. Langone Health Systems Et Al
505640/22 Castelli v. Maimonides Medical Center
504558/20 Claude v. 1580 Associates Ltd. Partnership
507446/21 Fox v. Fox
530853/22 Franco v. 8224 Bay Pkwy. LLC Et Al
516171/22 Gaudino v. Griffin
507876/23 Gayle v. Lakouetene
509817/17 Gumbs v. NYC
506534/22 Hamilton v. Twa Hotel Et Al
514299/21 James v. Sandy
501306/23 Johnson v. Lavin
520824/21 Johnson v. 538 Logan LLC Et Al
509102/20 Minogue v. NY Presbyterian-Methodist Hosp.
508321/21 Muniz v. Jones
531612/23 Perez Mendez v. Jablonski
529457/22 Scott v. Suleiman
511424/19 Sirleaf v. Embassy Lounge L.I.C
511953/23 Toxanbayev v. Stewart
519958/22 Winkler v. Labq Clinical Diagnostics LLC.
526485/21 Xoquic v. Khamyod
531107/23 Y. v. Ukunc

Part 17

Justice Lillian Wan
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1587
Courtroom 541, 9:30 A.M

Part 18

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9477
Courtroom 456, 9:30 A.M.

Part 19

Justice Theresa Ciccotto
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1632
Courtroom 961, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

551951/20 Adib v. Saleh
552216/22 Assoulin v. Assoulin
550354/22 Ausch v. Ausch
552204/23 Cieslik v. Cieslik
550478/20 Prescott v. Prescott
550498/22 Scaturro v. Nieves

TUESDAY, MAY 13

53083/19 Abrahamsen v. Abrahamsen
550554/25 Ergashev v. Ergasheva
52328/19 Francis v. Francis
550241/24 Hunter v. Kahn
551922/23 Louis Charles v. Cazeau
550878/23 Mendez v. Bloom Mendez

Part 20

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9265
Courtroom 461, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9265
Courtroom 456, 9:30 A.M.

Part 24

Justice Lisa Ottley
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1225
Courtroom 479

MONDAY, MAY 12

507016/21 Burke v. Maimo I Jv
517325/20 Campbell v. Edwin's Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
507298/21 Carr v. The Protestant Dutch Reformed Church of The Town of Flatlands Et Al
502505/22 Chapman v. Abuhammoud
512048/22 Chico v. Coverall North America, Inc.
514259/20 Green v. Red Apple Surf Rlty. III LLC Et Al
502259/24 in The Matter of The Application of Abraham Figueroa F/k/a Ademir Herrera v. The Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
509533/22 Joseph v. Batei Avos Inc
504393/18 London-Wilkinson v. Bnn Fulton Flushing Owner LLC
504386/22 Marcellino v. Mendoza
8767/15 Michel v. Rubin
521252/20 Miller v. Landing A Associates LLC Et Al
513532/21 Mohammed v. Service Finance Co.
529575/23 Navigators Ins. Co. v. Metro. Rlty. Exemptions Inc.
524142/18 Ojelade v. Akhtar
513011/22 Padgett v. Ullah
509672/21 Paul v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
503105/20 Prospect Acquisition I. LLC D/b/a Downtown Bklyn. Nursing And Rehabilitation Center v. Northern
530308/21 Ricketts v. Berg & David Pllc
514850/21 Russell v. Rite Aid Corp. Et Al
513568/19 Sagbay v. Bedford Emr Hldgs.
517449/22 Sanpedro v. Concern Pitkin Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
536090/22 Sarwar v. Bermingham
515124/21 Simmons v. Singh
521386/21 Soliman v. Savva
513366/23 Taher v. Prestance Services
518517/22 Taylor v. Gogiashvili
517183/24 Velocity Capital Group LLC v. Air Tel Systems LLC Et Al
518166/22 Villacreses Villalta v. Schombs

Motion

507016/21 Burke v. Maimo I Jv
517325/20 Campbell v. Edwin's Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
507298/21 Carr v. The Protestant Dutch Reformed Church of The Town of Flatlands Et Al
502505/22 Chapman v. Abuhammoud
512048/22 Chico v. Coverall North America, Inc.
514259/20 Green v. Red Apple Surf Rlty. III LLC Et Al
502259/24 in The Matter of The Application of Abraham Figueroa F/k/a Ademir Herrera v. The Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp.
509533/22 Joseph v. Batei Avos Inc
504393/18 London-Wilkinson v. Bnn Fulton Flushing Owner LLC
504386/22 Marcellino v. Mendoza
521252/20 Miller v. Landing A Associates LLC Et Al
513532/21 Mohammed v. Service Finance Co.
529575/23 Navigators Ins. Co. v. Metro. Rlty. Exemptions Inc.
524142/18 Ojelade v. Akhtar
513011/22 Padgett v. Ullah
509672/21 Paul v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
503105/20 Prospect Acquisition I. LLC D/b/a Downtown Bklyn. Nursing And Rehabilitation Center v. Northern
530308/21 Ricketts v. Berg & David Pllc
514850/21 Russell v. Rite Aid Corp. Et Al
513568/19 Sagbay v. Bedford Emr Hldgs.
517449/22 Sanpedro v. Concern Pitkin Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
536090/22 Sarwar v. Bermingham
515124/21 Simmons v. Singh
521386/21 Soliman v. Savva
518517/22 Taylor v. Gogiashvili
517183/24 Velocity Capital Group LLC v. Air Tel Systems LLC Et Al
518166/22 Villacreses Villalta v. Schombs

Part 25

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9265
Courtroom 461, 9:30 A.M.

Part 26

Justice Donald S. Kurtz
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1596
Courtroom 480, 9:30 A.M.

Part 29

Justice Wayne P. Saitta
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1582
Courtroom 724, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

520492/21 Hersko v. Hersko Aka Zev Dov Hersko Aka Berel Hersko

Part 35

Justice Karen Rothenberg
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1644
Courtroom 574, 9:30 A.M.

Part 36

Justice Bernard J. Graham
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9405
Room 561, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41

Justice Larry D. Martin
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1634
Courtroom 741, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

514833/21 U.S. Bank v. 123 Powell LLC Et Al

Part 43

Justice Mark Partnow
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1656
Courtroom 441, 9:30 A.M.

Part 52

Justice Francois A. Rivera
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1618
Courtroom 556, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

511533/21 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Celisca
523316/24 Const. Affairs, Inc. v. Sydan Global
528358/24 in The Matter of The Application of Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. Morris
527061/23 Mirzamakhmudova v. Home Attendant Service of Hyde Park
530666/23 Sampaio-Lopes v. D'Angelo

Part 57

Justice Lawrence Knipel
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Courtroom 774, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

20230/09 Bac Home Loans Servicing Lp v. Herbst
512899/21 in The Matter of The Application of Unitrin Safeguard Ins. Co. v. For An Order Staying The Arbitration of Lydia Santiago And David Cruz

TUESDAY, MAY 13

15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter
13826/12 Mtglq Investors v. Powell

Part 63

Justice Ellen M. Spodek
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1620
Courtroom 725, 9:30 A.M.

TUESDAY, MAY 13

522483/24 Dadde v. Quest Diagnostics Inc. Et Al
505163/23 Malamudman v. Beth Israel Medical Center D/b/a Mount Sinai Beth Israel
22822/12 Murray v. Ruggiero
518561/21 Solomon v. Scott-Hazel

Motion

522483/24 Dadde v. Quest Diagnostics Inc. Et Al
505163/23 Malamudman v. Beth Israel Medical Center D/b/a Mount Sinai Beth Israel

Part 65

Justice Loren Baily-Schiffman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9078
Courtroom 297, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66

Justice Richard Velasquez
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1118
Courtroom 469, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

530878/23 American Country Insurance Company v. Pio Villalona Batista
510240/19 Henry v. Xhakli

Part 67

Justice Kenneth P. Sherman
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1771
Courtroom 224, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

502770/22 Echevarria v. Lopez
522433/19 Su v. 16 East 39th St. LLC

Part 70

Justice Wavny Toussant
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9236
Courtroom 438, 9:30 A.M.

Part 73

Justice Peter Sweeney
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9013
Courtroom 761, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, MAY 12

535216/24 350 Bklyn Realty Llc v. Francis
520109/24 Andre v. Affordable Housing Real Estate Corp. Et Al
527715/19 Araujo v. Monadnock Const., Inc.
500576/25 Av Bradford LLC v. Sunwest Mortgage Co., Inc.
4167/13 Bartosiewicz v. Metro. Transportation
521788/24 Board of Mgrs. of The 500 4th Ave. Condominium v. Smith
514393/23 Carrington v. Safe Coach Bus, Inc. Et Al
513022/23 Chappell Jr. v. Brito
536862/23 Charles v. Fiendcity 2 Corp Et Al
500114/25 Doe v. Diocese of Bklyn. Et Al
522443/20 Golson v. Safe Coach Bus, Inc. Et Al
503475/25 Halpern v. Gruenbaum
519474/21 Huerta v. Quickdropper LLC.
533415/24 Kvirikeishvili v. Defelice
530584/24 Metskhvarishvili v. Tavares
522528/23 Newkirk v. Safe Coach Bus Inc. Et Al.
517971/22 Nussen v. Nowakowski
527715/22 One Horse & Two Snakes Inc. Et Al v. Elgizawy
526106/21 Peters v. Caton Towers Owners Corp
511662/23 Peters v. Caton Towers Owners Corp.
502760/23 Rosatti v. Acciarello
507474/22 The Legal Aid Society v. 111 Livingston LLC

Motion

535216/24 350 Bklyn Realty Llc v. Francis
520109/24 Andre v. Affordable Housing Real Estate Corp. Et Al
527715/19 Araujo v. Monadnock Const., Inc.
500576/25 Av Bradford LLC v. Sunwest Mortgage Co., Inc.
4167/13 Bartosiewicz v. Metro. Transportation
521788/24 Board of Mgrs. of The 500 4th Ave. Condominium v. Smith
514393/23 Carrington v. Safe Coach Bus, Inc. Et Al
513022/23 Chappell Jr. v. Brito
536862/23 Charles v. Fiendcity 2 Corp Et Al

Court Calendars Continued On Page 18

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LAWJOBS.COM When results matter

#1 Global Legal Job Site
Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson **Phone:** 212.457.7850 **Email:** crobertson@alm.com

SUMMONS

LEGAL NOTICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF KINGS, PERSONAL INJURY ACTION (LABOR LAW), INDEX # 517476/2022, RICKY SMITH and TAMARA SMITH, Plaintiffs, v. 1206 EASTERN LLC, 1206 EASTERN PARWAY LLC and HLB CONSTRUCTION CORP., Defendants; ACCIDENT DATE: May 31, 2022, ACCIDENT SITUS: 1206 Eastern Parkway, in the Borough of Kings, City and State of New York; TYPE OF CASE: Labor Law – Personal Injuries; To the Above named Defendants 1206 EASTERN LLC, 1206 EASTERN PARWAY LLC and HLB CONSTRUCTION CORP.: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint. The Attorneys for Plaintiffs have an office in the County of New York. Trial to be held in the County of Kings. The basis of the venue designated is a defendant's principal place of business. TO DEFENDANT 1206 EASTERN LLC, 1206 EASTERN PARWAY LLC and HLB CONSTRUCTION CORP., THE FOREGOING SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT IS SERVED UPON YOU BY PUBLICATION, pursuant to an Order by Hon. Caroline Piela Cohen, Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, dated the seventeenth day of April, 2025, duly entered by the County Clerk on the Twenty-First day of April, 2025. A Notice of Entry of the aforementioned Order was filed on April 22, 2025, and mailed to you. The relief requested is money damages for conscious pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, past and future medical expenses, past and future lost wages and benefits, and all items recoverable under New York State law for the defendants' violations of the New York State Labor Law Sections 240(1), 241(6) and 200/common-law negligence, industrial codes, and other laws, statutes and regulations pled by plaintiffs. That YOU owned, leased, maintained, managed, controlled and retained contractors to perform construction at the above-mentioned situs on and prior to May 31, 2022; further, were negligent, careless and reckless in the ownership, operation, management, control, and performance of said construction causing the plaintiff Ricky Smith, an employee of Straight Arrow Solutions, to suffer serious and severe injuries when he fell several stories due to an unguarded roof skylight opening, violating Labor Law Sections 240(1), 241(6) and 200/common-law negligence, industrial codes, and other laws, statutes and regulations pled by plaintiffs. Plaintiff Tamara Smith has a loss of consortium claim. The sum demand is $10,000,000.00. NOTICE YOU ARE IN DANGER OF HAVING A DEFAULT JUDGMENT ENTERED AGAINST YOU IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND TO THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT WITHIN THE ABOVE-MENTIONED TIME FRAME. Please immediately contact your homeowner's insurance and/or relevant insurance carrier. If you do not respond to the summons and complaint by serving a copy of the answer on the attorney for the plaintiffs who filed this proceeding against you and filing the answer with the court, a default judgment may be entered against you. Speak to an attorney or go to the court where your case is pending for further information on how to answer the summons. YOU MUST RESPOND BY SERVING A COPY OF THE ANSWER ON THE ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFFS AND FILING THE ANSWER WITH THE COURT. Dated: April 22, 2025. ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS: SACKS AND SACKS, LLP, One Battery Park Plaza, Suite 240, 2nd floor, New York, New York 10004, 212-964-5570, 212-349-2141 Fax, adiamond@sacks-sacks.com
7861 a28-M my19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

48-15 AJB LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/28/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 48 Waverly Avenue, East Rockaway, NY 11518. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
6960 a7-M my12

SALES

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT. BRONX COUNTY. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., Pltf. vs. CLARENCE A. JOHNSON, et al, Defts. Index #0032667/2017. Pursuant to judgment of foreclosure and sale entered Feb. 26, 2020 and order appointing successor referee entered May 3, 2024, I will sell at public auction in Room 711 of the Bronx County Courthouse, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY on June 2, 2025 at 2:15 p.m. prem. k/a 663 East 234th Street, Bronx, NY a/k/a Block 4995, Lot 119. Approx. amt. of judgment is $737,165.00 plus costs and interest. Sold subject to terms and conditions of filed judgment and terms of sale and the right of the United States of America to redeem within 120 days from the date of sale as provided by law. YESENIA BARRANTE-ISIBOR, Referee. MARGOLIN, WEINREB & NIERER, LLP, Attys. for Pltf., 575 Underhill Blvd., Ste. 224, Syosset, NY 11791. #102204
7317 a28-M my19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

ASHLEY SPITZER, LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 4/3/25. Office in NY Co. SSNY desig. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Lynn Gartner Dunne Frigenti & Renke, LLP., 445 Broadhollow Rd., Ste. 105, Melville, NY 11747. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. Principal business loc: 1385 York Ave., Apt. 7B, NY, NY 10021.
7038 a7-M my12

BEDFORD JBG LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 12/06/24. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 298 Montrose Road, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
6961 a7-M my12

FRANKLIN USA LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/03/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: David Demarco, 1 Canterbury Rd, Glen Head, NY 11516. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7039 a7-M my12

JACK'S HARDWARE SERVICES LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/21/2025. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o George Royer, 3202 Third Avenue, Bronx, NY 10451, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
7028 a7-M my12

JCR REALTY SERVICES LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/21/2025. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o George Royer, 3202 Third Avenue, Bronx, NY 10451, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
7027 a7-M my12

MVMH HOLDING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/27/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, DHC Legal, c/o Andreas Koutsoudakis, 605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10158. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
6963 a7-M my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HIVE PILATES, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/9/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 95 Horatio St, 5F, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act.
6957 A07 F My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Speak Up New York LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/29/24. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #182522, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
5874 A14 M My19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Notice of Formation of Manhattan Health - NP in Family Health, Physical Therapy, Chiropractic, Acupuncture PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/27/2025. Office Loc: NY County. SSNY designated agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 300 East 56th Street, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: Medicine.
7350 A14 M My19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JUSTIN STONE INVESTORS LLC f/k/a JONATHAN STONE INVESTORS LLC. Articles of Organization filed with Sec. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/20/2024. Office location: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of process to 435 East 65th Street, #12C, New York, NY 10065. Purpose: any lawful purpose.
4246 A07 F My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SRG CAPITAL GROUP LLC. Articles of Organization filed with Sec. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/10/2025. Office location: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of process to 54 W 39th St, 15th Fl, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful purpose.
4244 A07 F My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SRG REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT LLC. Articles of Organization filed with Sec. of State of NY (SSNY) on 01/10/2025. Office location: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail a copy of process to 54 W. 39th St, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: any lawful purpose.
4245 A07 F My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JUNK MASTERS PRO LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/22/24. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 27 Williams Dr, Massapequa Park, NY 11762. Purpose: any lawful act.
6427 A07 M My12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ANCOLIE COACHING LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to Ancolie Coaching LLC, 331 West 21st Street, 4FE, Mew York, NY, 10011. Purpose: any lawful act.
7024 A14 M My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BIG TRUCK REPAIRS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/20/24. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 4385 Wickham Ave Apt 1, Bronx, NY 10466. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act.
5521 A14 M My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION of GAIA ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTIONS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/30/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 151 Miriam Parkway, Elmont, NY 11003. Purpose: any lawful act.
7341 A14 M My19

Notice of formation of N & H HOME CLEANING SERVICE LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/27/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 90 Main St, Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any lawful act.
7393 A14 M My19

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Snappie Handyman LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/26/2025 . Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1050 Jackson Ave, Franklin Square, NY 11010. Purpose: any lawful act.
7280 A14 M My19

6 BLOX VI, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Jeanette Prymas C/O Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Reg Agent: Jeanette Prymas, Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7394 a14-M my19

600 WEST 52ND STREET LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/06/14. Latest date to dissolve: 05/05/2114. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 372 W. 36th Street, New York, NY 10036. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
7377 a14-M my19

FOUNDATIONS

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF JON AND KATHY SAVITZ FOUNDATION For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 122 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10168 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Jonathan Savitz.
7873 my12

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF JON AND KATHY SAVITZ FOUNDATION For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2022 is available at its principal office located at 122 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10168 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Jonathan Savitz.
8183 my12

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF JON AND KATHY SAVITZ FOUNDATION For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2023 is available at its principal office located at 122 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10168 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Jonathan Savitz.
8184 my12

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF Laureine and David Greenbaum Family Foundation For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 10040 East Happy Valley Road #453, Scottsdale, AZ 85255 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is David Greenbaum, President
8098 my12

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF The Frances and Peter Robotti Foundation For the calendar year ended December 31, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 82 Avery Avenue, Patchogue, NY 11772 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Maria Suydam.
8352 my12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

6 BLOX IX, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Jeanette Prymas C/O Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Reg Agent: Jeanette Prymas, Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7397 a14-M my19

6 BLOX VIII, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Jeanette Prymas C/O Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Reg Agent: Jeanette Prymas, Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7396 a14-M my19

Mimamakim LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sect'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/6/2025. Office: Bronx County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 1969 Haight Ave, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: any lawful act.
7390 a14-M my19

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of GOLDEN TOUCH CLEANING & MAINTENANCE LLC. Application for authority filed with Secretary of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2025. Office loc: Bronx County. LLC formed in NJ on 9/28/2011. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and mailed to 420 Melville Ave, Lakewood, NJ 08701. Cert. of LLC filed with NJ Secretary of State, PO Box 620, 20 West State St, 6th Fl, Trenton, NJ 08618. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
6534 A07 M My12

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

368 WEST 46 STREET, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/04/03. Latest date to dissolve: 12/31/2053. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 372 West 46th Street, New York, NY10036. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
7374 a14-M my19

ARRYJ LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/11/2025. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 176 W. 86th St, Unit 11B, NY, NY 10024. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7392 a14-M my19

6 BLOX VII, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/08/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Jeanette Prymas C/O Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Reg Agent: Jeanette Prymas, Cardworks, Inc., 101 Crossways Park Drive West, Woodbury, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7395 a14-M my19

New York Law Journal assumes no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

STATERE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/25/2025. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Charles F Stouls, 475 Hudson Street, Upper Apt, NY, NY 10014. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose.
7391 a14-M my19

ARLEMAR REAL ESTATE 61 LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 10/13/2022. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: The LLC, 485 Madison Avenue, 16th Floor, New York, NY 10022, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose.
7029 a7-M my12

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Sigrud LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 276 First Ave, Apt 12E, New York, NY 10009. Purpose: any lawful act.
6246 A07 M My12

Notice of Formation of Poptimist LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 2/17/25. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 300 W. 55th St., Ste. 17P, New York, NY 10019. Purpose: Any lawful activity.
7347 A14 M My19

THE BEST JOBS ON THE BIGGEST LEGAL NETWORK
lawjobs.com

ALM. REPRINTS & LICENSING

Maximize Your Recognition

To compete in today's highly crowded legal marketplace, you need an added edge over your competitors. Highlighting your recognition in ALM publications provides an authoritative and convincing way to impact your target audience. With ALM reprints, e-prints, permissions and plaques, you can showcase the acknowledgement that you have earned and leverage that endorsement.

Order your custom reprints today.
Contact: 877-256-2472 | reprints@alm.com

almreprints.com ALM.



Lateral Hires | Benchmark Financials | Strategic Merger Analysis | Diversity Insights | Events & Retreats Insights | Emerging Legal News | Surveys & Reports

Legal Compass: The World's Best Source of Law Firm Data.
Delve deep into legal insights on Financials, Lateral Moves, Diversity, Office Trends...
With proprietary, named data on 250,000+ Firms, Lawyers and Companies!

Request your FREE DEMO today at at.alm.com/LegalCompass

ALM. Intelligence | LEGAL COMPASS

CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
New York Law Journal
www.nylj.com
ALM. An Integrated Media Company

TO PLACE, CORRECT OR CANCEL CLASSIFIED ADS:
Contact: Carol Robertson
Phone: 212 457 7850
E-mail: crobertson@alm.com
Monday thru Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM
A sales representative will confirm receipt.

ERROR RESPONSIBILITY NOTE
Please check your ad the first day it appears. All ads placed by telephone are read back for verification of copy content. In the event of **New York Law Journal** error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We assume no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.
New York Law Journal reserves the right to edit, reject, cancel or correctly classify any ad.

DEADLINES:
Line Ads: Tuesday through Friday editions:
11:00 AM one day prior to publication
Monday edition: Friday 12:00 Noon
Display Ads: 11:00 AM two days prior to publication
CONFIDENTIAL BOX NUMBER REPLIES:
You may respond to ads with Box numbers using any method below:
E-mail your resume to:
NYLJobs@alm.com (indicate box# in subject)
Fax your resume to:
646-822-5028 (indicate box # on cover sheet)
Please do not enclose writing samples unless specifically requested.

IF YOU'RE THINKING OF USING US YOU SHOULD

GUARANTEED

Subpoena Service, Inc.

1-800-PROCESS
or 908.776.2377



WE USE BODY CAMS

Reasonably Priced Where Available

(FAX) 800.236.2092 - info@served.com - www.served.com