

IN BRIEF

Holland & Knight Expands New York Office To Support Future Growth

Holland & Knight is expanding its New York office presence by 20%, adding a full fifth floor to its location at 787 Seventh Ave., totaling 32,570 square feet in additional space, which the firm said will house up to 60 new attorney offices.

The new space comes just two years after Holland & Knight signed its 12-year lease for 130,290 square feet across four floors of 787 Seventh Ave. in 2024. The fifth floor, which will bring the firm to 162,860 total square feet in New York, will be ready for occupation in May 2027, the firm announced Wednesday.

Amy Leder, Holland & Knight's executive partner for the New York office, said in an interview that the firm is still making final decisions on space allotment for the new floor and may use part of it for conference space, which would cut down on total new attorney offices, but that in either case there will be substantial room for new talent come May 2027.

"We are, right now, at full capacity in terms of both our attorney and non-attorney staff, and so this will help with that," Leder said. "It will also give us room to expand as we opportunistically look at laterals."

Leder said that the firm is looking to add more attorneys who work in executive compensation, tax and financial services practices. The firm is looking for people in those practices in every office, not just New York, but New York does have a presence in those areas of the market, Leder said.

Mergers and acquisitions are also a key growth area for Holland & Knight in New York, as that practice performed particularly well in New York last year, according to Leder.

The firm has over 200 attorneys based in New York, with five new lateral partners joining that office in 2025 out of 68 total laterals firmwide. The office expansion comes after the firm's net income rose 13% last year, and follows the firm's decision to open an entirely new office in Seattle back in April 2025.

That new Seattle office is led by Scott MacCormack, one of last year's 68 laterals, whom

Holland & Knight hired after he suddenly stepped away from his firmwide managing partner role at Davis Wright Tremaine shortly before that office opened.

Continued growth in New York is a priority for the firm, according to its announcement of the office expansion. Since 2015, the firm said its head count in New York has gone up 62%.

The 32nd floor is currently being used by another tenant and will be made available to Holland & Knight in October, Leder said, at which point the firm will gut the floor and rebuild it to match the other four floors that the firm already occupies from level 28 through 31. There will also be a staircase added to connect the 32nd floor to the 31st, according to Leder.

—Ryan Harroff

HSF Kramer Hires Paul Hastings M&A Partner Trio in New York

HSF Kramer has announced the hire of three Paul Hastings M&A partners into its New York office.

Robert Leung, Mike Huang and Daniel Grossman have joined the firm's corporate M&A practice, with Leung set to serve as the firm's head of energy, mining and infrastructure for the Americas.

The partners have all been at Paul Hastings for nine years, all joining together in March 2017, from Boies, Schiller & Flexner.

The hires underpins HSF Kramer's strategic growth

plans in the U.S., particularly the addition of Leung to head up the Americas' energy, mining and infrastructure practice, with global CEO Justin D'Agostino previously identifying U.S. energy & natural resources as one of the priority areas of focus in the firm's five-year growth strategy.

Clients represented by the partners have included Caithness Energy, Glen-

» Page 4

Mirror Voting: Reform or Power Play?

»5

Inside Alleged Crypto Scheme That Burned Millions —Then Went Silent

BY MICHAEL A. MORA

BEN Pasternak and two of his cryptocurrency ventures were hit with a proposed class action in New York federal court, with investors alleging he ran a deceptive token operation that generated more than \$6 billion in trading volume while collecting millions of dollars in fees as the coins later collapsed.

The lawsuit brings claims under New York and California consumer protection laws, as well as common law claims, including negligent misrepresentation and unjust enrichment. It seeks damages on behalf of investors, including a California subclass, who purchased or held the tokens and suffered losses.

The plaintiffs, Joshua Lee and Pierre Montmeas—filed the 41-page

complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against the defendants Pasternak, B24 Inc., Believe Foundations, and several John Does—and are represented by Max Burwick of Burwick Law in New York.

"Our plaintiffs' suit against Ben Pasternak should put crypto founders on notice: If you make specific promises to consumers and don't deliver, plaintiffs' attorneys have a deep bench of legal theories to bring against you beyond the securities claims the industry is used to seeing," Burwick said. "The crypto and legal communities should expect that trend to accelerate."

Pasternak did not respond to a request for comment.

Pasternak, an entrepreneur, launched \$PASTERNAK in January 2025 through a

» Page 4



Charlie Javice, founder of Frank, center, exits Manhattan federal court on Sept. 29, 2025.

Judge Rejects Charlie Javice's Bid for New Trial Over Judicial Clerks' Davis Polk Ties

BY EMILY SAUL

FRANK founder Charlie Javice is not due a new trial on fraud allegations just because law clerks working the case went on to jobs at Davis Polk & Wardwell, a Manhattan judge has ruled.

Lawyers for the education startup creator—who was found guilty of defrauding JPMorgan Chase last year—asked U.S. District Judge Alvin Hellerstein of the Southern District of New York to vacate her conviction in November. Javice's defense team said they only learned after the trial that two of Hellerstein's former law clerks

served as summer associates at Davis Polk prior to their clerkships and planned to return to the firm afterward. Davis Polk served as outside counsel for JPMorgan Chase at trial.

But Hellerstein on Tuesday ruled that his ex-clerks' employment "did not create an appearance of partiality" and dismissed motions by Javice and her co-defendant, Olivier Amar, for a new trial.

Ronald Sullivan, representing Javice, wrote in his motion that the clerks were "active" participants in the proceeding and that one "participated in real time" during the trial when Hellerstein was handing

» Page 7

New York Settlement, Federal Guidance Highlight Danger of 'Ghost Networks'

BY BRENDAN PIERSON

NEW YORK Attorney General Letitia James last month reached a \$2.5 million settlement with EmblemHealth over claims that the insurer falsely advertised networks of mental health providers who were not really available, the latest of several similar settlements over so-called "ghost networks."

State and federal laws, including the 2021 No Surprises Act that primarily targeted surprise medical bills, require insurers to maintain up-to-date, accurate directories of in-network providers. But investigations by Congressional staffers and state regulators have found that, particularly in the area of mental health, providers listed as in-network are often not taking new patients, retired or otherwise unavailable.

In addition to her recent settlement with Emblem, James last year reached a settlement requir-



New York A.G. Letitia James

ing MVP Health Plan to overhaul its network. Both settlements resulted from "secret shopper" surveys in which staffers called listed in-network providers to see if they were taking new patients. The surveys found that more than 80% of Emblem's providers were unavailable, and that all of MVP's were unavailable.

» Page 4

Second Circuit Declines To Revive Investors' Challenge To Barclays' Reverse-Split Notes

BY ALYSSA AQUINO

THE U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit wouldn't revive litigation seeking to force Barclays PLC to rescind certain exchange-traded notes, ruling Tuesday that a reverse-split transaction involving the securities wasn't a "sale" under federal securities law.

A three-judge panel explained that the transaction wasn't a sale subject to the Securities Act, as holders of Barclays' iPath Series B S&P 500 VIX Short-Term Futures exchange-traded notes had neither gained nor lost anything when Barclays forced them to trade four for one.

"Barclays had an ironclad right to split the ETNs '[o]n any business day,'" according to a per curiam decision. "When it exercised that right, the Investors did not lose, or gain, anything; they simply traded in four ETNs for one ETN worth the same amount ... even the most skilled verbal manipulation cannot

transform such an involuntary and immaterial swap into a 'sale.'"

Barclays was represented by Sullivan & Cromwell. The note-holders were represented by Sbaiti & Company PLLC. Counsel for both parties didn't respond to requests for comment.

The notes traded under the ticker "VXX," according to the decision.

The decision upholds an order from U.S. District Judge Lewis Liman for the Southern District of New York dismissing the case, which was one of several to hit the courts after Barclays lost its status as a Well-Known Seasoned Issuer.

With that status, Barclays was able to issue unlimited amounts of securities under open-ended shelf registration statements filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Following an enforcement action in 2017, Barclays lost the filing privilege and could only offer preregistered securities.

In 2022, the bank admitted to accidentally issuing more securities than preregistered

» Page 4

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

Court of Claims

CIVIL RIGHTS: **Employees' actions in alleged wrongful confinement claim privileged; dismissal granted.** *Flow v. State of New York, Court of Claims, New York.*

First Department

CONTRACTS LAW: **Failure to update address with secretary of state allows court to uphold default judgment.** *Hapag-Lloyd (American) LLC v. Adon Distribution Inc., Supreme Court, Bronx.*

Second Department

CREDITORS' & DEBTOR'S RIGHTS: **Court denies compensation to subcontractor not licensed to perform plumbing work.** *Glory R Construction Inc. v. 651923 18 Ave LLC, Supreme Court, Kings.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **At risk of compromising plaintiff's relationship with counsel, subpoena quashed.** *Xie v. Oh, Supreme Court, Queens.*

FAMILY LAW: **Court grants support forcing husband to pay child support to disabled child until age 26.** *JMC v. VC III, Supreme Court, Nassau.*

PERSONAL INJURY: **Summary judgment offer to submit incident report of dog bite attack denied by court.** *Monaco v. Korte, Supreme Court, Suffolk.*

U.S. Courts

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: **Qualified immunity denied to corrections officer in pretrial detainee sexual abuse case.** *Russell v. Scott, 2d. Cir.*

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: **Court dismisses officer's bias claims for failure to state constitutional violations.** *Hernandez v. The City of New York, SDNY.*

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **Court dismisses challenge to subway expansion for lack of standing, jurisdiction.** *Jefferson v. MTA, SDNY.*

EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION: **Court grants summary judgment to Mercy College over race bias and contract claims.** *Rodgers v. Mercy Coll., SDNY.*

PRODUCTS LIABILITY: **Retailer P.C. Richard fraudulently joined; remand denied in heater injury suit.** *Hill v. P.C. Richard & Son LLC, EDNY.*

DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, nylj.com

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....	6
Corporate Update.....	5
Court Calendars.....	8
Court Notes.....	8
Decisions.....	17
Expert Analysis.....	3
Lawyer to Lawyer.....	3
Legal Notices.....	14
Outside Counsel.....	4
Real Estate Trends.....	5

See page 2 for complete
Inside lineup.

The law's never been this funny



COLLECT THE ENTIRE SERIES FROM T.C. MORRISON!

READERS' FAVORITE

"Sharp, witty, and laugh-out-loud hysterical ... a modern-day Mark Twain."

HARRY WOODS

former president Oklahoma Bar Association

"From beginning to end, the farce flows brilliantly. ... I highly recommend this well written book to lawyers and nonlawyers alike."



TORTSRUSBOOK.COM

New York Law Journal Inside

Admiralty Law »3 Has Marine Insurance Become a War Risk? by James E. Mercante	Intellectual Property »3 USPTO Guidance on Discretionary Denial Adds U.S.-Based Operations by Catherine Nyarady and Crystal Parker	Outside Counsel »4 Liability in the Age of Autonomous Rideshares by Billy Cooper	CORPORATE UPDATE »5 Corporate Securities: Mirror Voting: Reform or Power Play? by John C. Coffee, Jr.	Slumping Marriott Timeshare Business Elevates Insider to GC by Michael Gennaro	Online ➤ Have you recently been involved in a major verdict or settlement? Contact the Law Journal now, as your verdict, settlement or arbitration award could be entitled to publication in VerdictSearch's massive database—or further publicity with a front-page article by the Law Journal. Send your submissions to Law Journal bureau chief to verdicts@verdictsearch.com .
Online ➤ Court Calendars Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now available weeks in advance at nylj.com . Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. Only at nylj.com .		Online ➤ Today's Tip View the special sections online and download today's paper at the Law Journal Download Center. Only at nylj.com .	Intel CLO's Pay Spiked 55% In 2025, a Year of Tumult And Big Court Wins by Michael Gennaro	Dropbox's Legal Chief Nearly Became 'Six Million Dollar Man' in 2025 by Chris O'Malley	
			Online ➤ More Corporate columns are archived at nylj.com .		

White House AI Policy Framework Offers Little Clarity to Enterprises

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

ON MARCH 20 the White House released its National Policy Framework for Artificial Intelligence, a document containing a set of recommendations to Congress from the Trump administration.

Much like a pair of executive orders issued in February and December last year, experts say, the framework is unlikely to have an immediate impact on companies' behavior and the functioning of state laws, but it may create greater regulatory uncertainty for companies developing and deploying AI systems.

Clear Intent, Uncertain Outcome

The policy framework makes clear that the current administration is largely concerned with eliminating potential roadblocks to the rapid development and diffusion of generative AI systems, urging Congress to overrule some existing state laws and avoid establishing new regulatory bodies.



Jay Sabin, Brach Eichler

Jay Sabin, an attorney with Brach Eichler, explained, "The White House perspective is the generative AI industry should be deregulated or unregulated in the main."

This presents a sharp contrast to the approach many state legislatures have taken to regulating the development and use of AI systems, which has largely focused on protecting citizens in high-risk contexts such as employment discrimination and health care.

"It's wanting the industry to flourish as a driver or a philosophy, versus the states [asking], 'How do we regulate this in a way that's thoughtful without killing the golden goose?'" said Ashley Taylor, a partner at Troutman Pepper Locke and co-leader of the firm's



Ashley Taylor, a partner at Troutman Pepper Locke

state attorneys general practice.

The newly released framework is unlikely to resolve the differences in approach between the federal government and the states any time soon. The federal government has not passed any sweeping legislation governing the development and deployment of AI to date, and the current Congress has struggled to pass major bills more generally.

Moreover, the newly released framework differs in important ways from draft legislation issued by Republican Sen. Marsha Blackburn of Tennessee in the aftermath of December's executive order. Blackburn's legislation, for instance, would create a cause of action allowing copyright holders to sue developers for training AI models on their content without

explicit permission, whereas the White House framework notes that the administration does not believe training AI models on copyrighted works constitutes copyright infringement.

Battle With the States

In both December's executive order and the new policy framework, the White House emphasized directly confronting state regulations through legal challenges brought by the federal government and a desire for explicit congressional preemption of existing state laws.

While this approach might seem to hold out the hope of unified standards governing the development and deployment of AI, the ability of the national government to oppose uniformity on the states is limited. Federal preemption of state laws is only allowable in some areas, the boundaries of which are subject to litigation.

"Given the White House initiatives, the developers and purveyors and users of generative AI are now having to ask whether that local regulation will be enforce-

able," Sabin said. "Even with explicit preemption, the developers and AI app purveyors and users are going to be left with a decent degree of uncertainty."

State attorneys general are likely to vigorously contest any legal challenges or federal legislation attempting to overturn state law, and they will also retain the power to apply existing laws in fields like consumer protection and privacy to the development and application of AI.

"State AGs in particular have repeatedly said that the public debate is conflating AI regulation and existing consumer protection laws as applied to AI functions," Taylor said. "Even if there is preemption relative to AI, that doesn't prevent application of existing consumer protection laws to AI."

Sabin noted that the new framework may represent a recognition that challenging state laws one by one is a difficult way to achieve systemic change, given the long timelines of litigation. "One interpretation is an acknowledgement that implicit federal preemption [through litigation] is a tough

row to hoe, and more efforts are going to be spent on trying to push through legislation."

Enterprises building and using AI systems broadly hope to avoid both uncertainty about the rules they are, or will be, operating under and a heterogenous patchwork of state regulations they have to adhere to.

While federal legislation may in time help establish a baseline that mitigates some of the regulatory turmoil companies are operating under, the divided nature of regulatory authority in the U.S. means a varied landscape between states is likely to be a permanent feature, much as it has been in privacy.

"States are going to regulate AI, it's just a question of whether they do it through the state legislature or through enforcement actions and state AGs," Taylor said. "Even if a bill passed at the federal level, ... there's going to be a body of regulatory law developed, it's just going to be developed in settlements and injunctive relief."

@ Benjamin Joyner can be reached at benjamin.jjoyner@alm.com.

State AGs Ask Federal Judge to View HPE-Juniper Merger With 'Great Skepticism'

BY SULAIMAN ABDUR-RAHMAN

HEWLETT Packard Enterprise Co.'s \$14 billion acquisition of Juniper Networks Inc. could potentially face future legal challenges if a California federal judge rejects the U.S. Department of Justice's proposed settlement for resolving allegations the HPE-Juniper merger harms competition.

"It is possible for mergers to be challenged by entities other than the United States government," U.S. District Judge P. Casey Pitts of the Northern District of California said Monday during a hearing addressing whether the proposed settlement between the DOJ and HPE is in the public interest.

A coalition of state attorneys general have asked Pitts to reject the proposed settlement, arguing HPE's willingness to divest certain assets and license the source code for Juniper's enterprise-grade wireless



Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co. headquarters in Spring, Houston

local area networking solutions is "inadequate" and "not reasonable."

The Trump administration in January 2025 filed a complaint seeking to prevent Spring, Texas-based

HPE from acquiring the assets of Sunnyvale, California-based Juniper, but the parties later reached a proposed settlement agreement last summer to resolve the govern-

ment's antitrust concerns.

Represented by Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, Freshfields and Sidley Austin, HPE defended the merits of its Juniper acquisition at Monday's hearing and argued the DOJ's Antitrust Division never had a "prima facie" case to support its merger-enforcement complaint.

Samuel Liversidge, a partner at Gibson Dunn in Los Angeles, said the source code for Juniper's Mist AI Ops for WLAN was a "focus of the DOJ's complaint" and that the proposed remedy would likely result in a "tier 1 competitor" buying a license for Juniper's innovative asset.

Pitts said the Trump administration could voluntarily dismiss the case, adding it would be a "constitutional problem" if he ordered the executive branch to pursue enforcement action.

But Pitts asked the intervening states what is wrong with HPE hiring outside counsel and exercising its "right to petition the govern-

ment" to settle the DOJ's antitrust claims.

The District of Columbia and states of California, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Washington and Wisconsin argued HPE used Sidley Austin partner William Levi and MRDLaw founder and managing partner Mike Davis to persuade the Justice Department to reach a settlement agreement subject to federal court approval.

"We should not act like any of this bears the hallmarks of regularity," an attorney for the intervening states said at Monday's two-hour hearing. "The [DOJ's] Antitrust Division was totally cut out of this. HPE had actually drafted the competitive impact statement in this case. They totally abdicated their responsibility on that."

The hearing directly addressed Gail Slater's sudden exit as the DOJ's chief antitrust enforcer in

February, with the intervening states arguing the departures of Slater and her former deputies Roger Alford and William "Bill" Rinner is a reason for Pitts to "view the settlement with great skepticism."

Slater's team alleged a merger between HPE and Juniper would cause an estimated \$63 million in anticompetitive harm, according to statements the parties made at Monday's hearing.

Pitts did not make any immediate rulings from the bench Monday but said he plans to file a decision "as quickly as possible."

HPE consummated its merger with Juniper in July 2025 and must divest its Instant On networking business and license the source code for Juniper's WLAN software to DOJ-approved licensees if Pitts grants final approval of the proposed settlement.

@ Sulaiman Abdur-Rahman can be reached at sulaiman.abdur@alm.com.

SEC, CFTC Guidance Brings Crypto Clarity Industry Has Sought, Experts Say

BY DAN NOVAK

INTERPRETIVE guidance defining cryptocurrency assets released last week by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Commodity Futures Trading Commission goes a long way to providing the regulatory clarity the Trump administration has been promising the industry, legal experts said.

Most notably, the guidance defined four asset categories: digital commodities, digital collectibles, digital tools and stablecoins that fall under the 2025 GENIUS (Guaranteeing Essential National Infrastructure in U.S. Stablecoins) Act. None are generally subject to federal securities laws and fall under CFTC oversight, the guidance stated.

That leaves just one crypto asset class subject to securities laws and SEC oversight: digital

securities, or traditional securities that are tokenized, the guidance added.

"We're not the 'Securities and Everything Commission' anymore," SEC Chair Paul Atkins said in announcing the guidance at a crypto industry event last week.

The SEC and CFTC have provided fundamental clarity on crypto and have materially improved the overall regulatory framework, said attorney Dario de Martino. There is now a usable taxonomy that clarifies that most crypto assets are not themselves securities, he added.

"Market participants have been vexed by the lack of regulatory clarity," said de Martino, co-chair of the global fintech and blockchain group at Allen Overly Shearman Sterling. "And, for the past decade, there's been an inability for U.S. companies to operate in the United States in the



J. Scott Colesanti, Hofstra University

digital asset market space because of this lack of regulatory clarity."

While four of the five crypto assets the agencies describe are

not securities in and of themselves, how they are sold and offered can be a security. The guidance reinforces the application of the Howey test, a legal standard devised in 1946 for determining whether a transaction is an investment contract subject to securities laws.

"A digital commodity is a crypto asset that is intrinsically linked to and derives its value from the programmatic operation of a crypto ... rather than from the expectation of profits from the essential managerial efforts of others," the guidance states.

Hofstra University law professor J. Scott Colesanti said the document satisfies both those in favor of strong regulation and those who want to foster innovation in the sector. It was also a smart move by the SEC and CFTC to collaborate on the document, as the agencies have often been at odds over jurisdiction in crypto markets, he added.

The agencies may have seen the need to collaborate or risk being completely left out of any protocol governing crypto markets, said Colesanti, who has written extensively on cryptocurrency regulation. The GENIUS Act largely overlooked the SEC and CFTC, leaving regulatory jurisdiction for stablecoins to federal and state banking regulators.

"I think it's no accident that the SEC and CFTC, who were engaged in a prolonged turf war over who's going to govern crypto, came to their senses and said, 'Let's work together,'" he added.

The guidance does not carry as much weight as formal rule-making. But the agencies saw a quicker route to providing market clarity through staff interpretation, rather than through the time consuming notice and comment rulemaking process, Colesanti said.

Informal guidance and reinterpretations may also be more apt to withstand challenge, while formal agency rules are "final" and enjoy less judicial deference since the demise of the Chevron doctrine, he added.

De Martino, of A&O Shearman, said the CFTC has spent decades supervising complex derivatives markets and certainly has the expertise to properly regulate crypto. The question is whether the agency will be provided the resources, he added.

"The issue is not about competence," de Martino said. "The issue is more capacity, scope, and whether Congress ultimately will give it the tools and resources to supervise what will now become a much larger slice of digital asset activity."

@ Dan Novak can be reached at dan.novak@alm.com.

Need a smart Expert Witness?
ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

www.almexperts.com • 888-809-0133

Expert Analysis

ADMIRALTY LAW

Has Marine Insurance Become a War Risk?

Shipping is again on the world stage. From pile-ups of ships in port due to longshoremen strikes, to collapsing bridges and fatalities resulting from marine collisions. But with the unexpected and more global issue being the conflict of war, the maritime industry is on everyone's radar. What is known to the general public only scratches the surface of the enormous uncertainties and legal issues that involve shipowners, charterers, professional mariners and cargo interests. But one thing is for certain... somewhere in every one of these disputes stands (or topples) marine insurance.

Marine insurance is nearly as imperative as fuel to keep ships moving with the confidence and comfort that if something goes wrong, insurance protection offers some solace. However, as seen in recent weeks, marine insurance protection for vessel owners is not so 'strait' forward. Vessel interests are at risk of major uninsured losses and exposures by continuing to operate (or not) in the Persian Gulf. The now infamous Strait of Hormuz is at its narrowest only 21 miles, yet 20% of the world's oil and gas pass through it enroute to global markets. It is a chokepoint that has become a chokehold.

Shipowners and charterers are naturally concerned about attacks that can destroy the ship, cargo and endanger the crew. Commercial ship traffic is for the most part at a standstill with concerns of being struck by a missile or hitting an explosive mine. Marine insurers are either giving notice of cancellation of war risk protection or charging hefty additional premiums to keep the coverage intact. Some premium charges

JAMES E. MERCANTE is a partner at Gallo Vitucci Klar. He is president of the Board of Commissioners of Pilots of the State of New York and US Navy Captain. JOANNA M. GRILLO, maritime associate at Gallo Vitucci Klar, assisted in the preparation of this article.

By James E. Mercante



can be as much as 10% or more of a ship's value for hull insurance. Thus, for a ship valued at \$50 million, coverage for a voyage extending beyond the basic war risk transit period, can cost \$5.0 million or more for.

There has been talk of the US Navy being deployed to escort ships through the Strait. But combatants are susceptible to mines and missiles as well. In addition, in response to insurance cancellations by private insurers, the

Whether marine insurance for hull losses, cargo, delay, seizure, business interruption, and Protection & Indemnity (P&I) coverage is effective in the first place during war, or remains in effect, is a primary concern.

Administration has called upon the US International Development Finance Corporation (DFC) to launch a maritime reinsurance plan to provide war risk coverage for ships. This plan, according to a DFC press release, would "restore confidence in maritime trade, help stabilize international commerce, and support American and allied businesses operating in the Middle East during the conflict with Iran". See DFC Media Release March 6, 2026. A government reinsurance plan is imperative during this conflict, since private reinsurance agreements contain a specific war and terrorism exclusion.

This would not be the first time the government sails into the marine insurance market. In 1914, Congress passed the War Risk Insurance Act, which established the Bureau of War Risk Insurance

within the Treasury Department. See *The History of Insurance Coverage in War*, *Smyrl Insurance* (2024). This was during World War I. The Act provided coverage for American ships and cargo "against loss or damage by the risks of war". This allowed the U.S. to continue participating in global commerce throughout the war.

Whether marine insurance for hull losses, cargo, delay, seizure, business interruption, and Protection & Indemnity (P&I) coverage is effective in the first place during war, or remains in effect, is a primary concern. A question also arises as to who is responsible for maintaining the war risk coverage... the vessel owner or the charterer, or both?

The EBB and Flow of War Risk Insurance

The Free of Capture and Seizure (FC&S) Warranty in an ocean marine policy excludes war risks coverage from hull insurance. Thus, losses incurred from mines, torpedoes, war and confiscation are not covered. Vessel owners are therefore expected to purchase separate war risk insurance for hull and machinery.

Marine hull war risk insurance normally covers only physical loss or damage to a vessel. Such policies often exclude losses that arise merely out of a ship or cargo's delay, if no physical damage occurred. Therefore, when a vessel is seized, a valid claim under the policy does not necessarily arise without physical damage. However, under War Risk 'Blocking and Trapping' coverage, if the owner does not have access to a ship that's stuck or detained for six months or more, a claim for Constructive Total Loss (CTL) may be payable by the marine insurer. This became a major issue in the Ukraine-Russia conflict.

Vessel owners can also purchase war risk insurance against P&I risks. P&I insurers offer Protection and Indemnity coverage for third-party claims against vessel owners that are proximately caused by risks associated with

» Page 7

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

USPTO Guidance on Discretionary Denial Adds U.S.-Based Operations

On March 11, 2026, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a memorandum from Director John A.Squires entitled "Additional Discretionary Institution Considerations—U.S. Manufacturing and Small Business Use of AIA Proceedings."

This memorandum effectively broadens the USPTO's discretionary framework, introducing new factors that prioritize U.S.-based manufacturing for the Director to consider when determining whether to institute inter partes review (IPR) and post-grant review (PGR) proceedings under the America Invents Act (AIA). The March 2026 memorandum builds upon several previous USPTO policy changes from 2025, which vastly increased the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's (PTAB or Board) use of discretionary denial, resulting in fewer petitions being instituted than in previous years.

A Brief History of the PTAB And Discretionary Denials

IPRs and PGRs, both introduced in 2012 after the enactment of the AIA, are adversarial proceedings for challenging the validity of an issued patent before the PTAB. Once a petition for IPR or PGR is filed with the Board, the patent owner has three months to submit a preliminary response, and then three Administrative Patent Judges (APJs) have three additional months to determine whether to "institute" IPR or PGR proceedings.

Institution leads to litigation-like proceedings before the Board involving additional briefing, limited discovery, a hearing, and a final decision on the patentability of the claims at issue. Since their introduction, IPRs have been extremely popular with petitioners defending against patent litigation claims in parallel district court proceedings,

CATHERINE NYARADY and CRYSTAL PARKER are litigation partners at Paul, Weiss, Rikkind, Wharton & Garrison. ERIC WESTERHOLD and GREGG STEPHENSON, associates at the firm, assisted in the preparation of this column.



By Catherine Nyarady



And Crystal Parker

serving as a cost-effective and expedient way to try to invalidate asserted patents.

The USPTO Director is permitted to institute IPRs under 35 U.S.C. §314(a) if there is a "reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition." However, the AIA does not set forth circumstances in which the direc-

IPRs and PGRs, both introduced in 2012 after the enactment of the AIA, are adversarial proceedings for challenging the validity of an issued patent before the PTAB.

tor must institute an IPR, leaving the ultimate authority to the director's discretion. In the absence of explicit statutory guidelines, traditional standards for discretionary denials were set forth in precedential decisions by the board. Most notably, the Board commonly applied a six-factor test for discretionary denials called the Fintiv Factors:

1. whether the court granted a stay or evidence exists that one may be granted if an *Inter Partes* proceeding is instituted;
2. proximity of the court's trial date to the Board's projected statutory deadline for a final written decision;
3. the investment in the parallel proceeding by the court and the parties;
4. overlap between issues raised in the petition for *Inter Partes* review the parallel Federal Court proceeding;

5. whether the petitioner and the defendant in the parallel proceeding are the same party; and
6. other circumstances that impact the Board's exercise of discretion, including the merits.

In June 2022, former USPTO Director Katherine Vidal issued a memorandum adopting the use of "Sotera stipulations," in which a petitioner could avoid discretionary denial under the *Fintiv* Factors by filing a stipulation in a parallel district court proceeding stating that if IPR is instituted, petitioner would not pursue in the district court action any ground raised or that could have been reasonably raised in an IPR. The board's use of the *Fintiv* factors and petitioners' ability to avoid their application using *Sotera* stipulations provided a predictable, albeit formulaic framework for analyzing one's chances of discretionary denial before the board.

Discretionary Denial Overhaul in 2025

Since early 2025, the USPTO has reoriented its approach to discretionary denials of IPRs and PGRs, particularly in cases involving parallel district court litigation. In February 2025, then Acting Director Coke Morgan Stewart formally rescinded former Director Vidal's June 2022 memorandum regarding the use of *Sotera* stipulations.

With that rescission and a follow-on memo in March 2025 from the Chief Administrative Patent Judge Scott R. Boalick, the USPTO shifted to a holistic, flexible application of the PTAB's discretionary denial precedent, in which the *Fintiv* factors and timely-filed *Sotera* stipulations were considered "highly relevant" but "*not dispositive*." Thus, impacting the predictability of the previous *Fintiv* factor and *Sotera* framework.

At the same time, the USPTO centralized discretionary denials at the Director level. On March 26, 2025, then Acting Director Stewart issued a memorandum titled "Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management," which

» Page 7

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at info@blaikiegrou.com

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE BLAIKIE GROUP

- ☐ Appeals
- ☐ Discharge Lien
- ☐ Guardian
- ☐ Supersedeas
- ☐ Executor
- ☐ Lost Instrument

111 John St., 16th Floor, New York, New York 10038

212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Colette M. Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding

www.blaikiegrou.com

LAWYER TO LAWYER

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK

Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY



RANDY C. BOTWINICK 34 Years Experience

- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death



- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents

Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid



JAY HALPERN 39 Years Experience

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI 150 Alhambra Circle Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134 P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

PALM BEACH 2385 NW Executive Center Drive Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431 P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

Toll Free: 1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | www.personalinjurylawyer.ws

Reach your peers to generate referral business

LAWYER TO LAWYER

For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850 or email crobertson@alm.com

THE NEW YORK NO-FAULT ARBITRATION ATTORNEY TO THE PERSONAL INJURY MEMBERS OF THE BAR



ANDREW J. COSTELLA JR., ESQ.

CONCENTRATING IN NO-FAULT ARBITRATION FOR YOUR CLIENTS' OUTSTANDING

MEDICAL BILLS & LOST WAGE CLAIMS

SUCCESSFULLY HANDLING THOUSANDS OF NO-FAULT CLAIMS Proud to serve and honored that New York's most prominent personal injury law Firms have entrusted us with their no-fault arbitration matters

LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW J COSTELLA JR., ESQ., A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

600 Old Country Road, Suite 307, Garden City, NY 11530 (516) 747-0377 | arbmail@costellalaw.com

New York Law Journal



Give Your Clients a Gift with Real Value.

Grant your clients unlimited access to award-winning legal news coverage with an ALM Gift Subscription.

Get Started Visit at.law.com/gift

Off the Front

Crypto

« Continued from page 1

platform called Clout, later rebranded as Believe, telling users he had “0 ownership” in the project to build trust among retail investors wary of insider allocations, per the complaint. It surged in market value to \$80 million before collapsing by more than 95% within a week.

The plaintiffs alleged in the complaint that Pasternak repeated similar actions across multiple tokens, generating hype, encouraging users to hold the tokens through price declines, and promising a buyback mechanism funded by platform fees. However, those buybacks either

failed to materialize or came too late to offset losses.

In October, Pasternak allegedly forced holders of \$PASTERNAK, rebranded as \$LAUNCHCOIN, to migrate to a new token, \$BELIEVE, which increased the total supply by roughly one-third and allocated the newly created tokens to insiders. The complaint says that investors who failed to complete the migration on time lost their holdings entirely.

The plaintiffs also accused Pasternak and affiliated entities, including B24 and the Believe Foundation, of extracting tens of millions of dollars in transaction fees while token values declined. The platform processed an estimated \$6 billion in trading volume and generated roughly \$54

million in fees, according to the complaint.

The plaintiffs alleged that Pasternak ultimately went silent after October 2025, leaving investors without updates or recourse. He later resurfaced to promote a new product, described as Believe v2, centered on sentiment-based trading, marking what the lawsuit calls a third major restructuring of the project in less than a year.

“As of the date of this filing, Pasternak has not made an original post on X since October 29, 2025,” the complaint states. “The official @believeapp account has not posted since January 13, 2026.”

Michael A. Mora can be reached at michael.mora@alm.com.

‘Ghost’

« Continued from page 1

Emblem, in addition to making a monetary payment and correcting its network directory, must allow members to see an out-of-network provider while paying in-network co-pays and deductibles if they cannot reach an in-network provider within 10 days, or 24 hours for urgent care.

California Attorney General Rob Bonta also reached a \$40.5 million settlement with Health Net of California last year over inaccurate networks, including a \$12 million monetary payment and an investment of \$28.5 million to bring its network up to date.

While direct enforcement has largely been left to states, new guidance last month from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ Office of Inspector General for insurers that administer Medicare Advantage plans also includes recommendations to avoid ghost networks.

“Out-of-date directories can lead to negative impacts on enrollees, administrative sanctions, and liability for making false state-

ments,” Morgan Lewis & Bockius attorneys wrote of the guidance, noting that HHS-OIG “recommends quarterly outreach to providers, independent verification of network adequacy, analysis of claims data to prevent ghost networks, reviewing and tracking enrollee complaints, and use of secret shopper surveys.”

These developments highlight that health insurers need to be proactive to avoid liability for ghost networks, attorneys say.

“Compliance can be difficult,” said Troutman Pepper Locke partner Chris Carlson. “You have to keep your eye on the ball all the time.”

Carlson pointed to several steps that insurers can take to limit their risk.

“A common theme you see in all of these settlements is requiring verification cycles,” in which the insurer has to audit its network periodically—in Emblem’s case, 90 days, Carlson said.

“You’re never going to be 100% accurate,” Carlson added, partly because of high turnover in the mental health field. But regular audits are a way for an insurer to show that it is trying to comply

with its obligation to maintain a real network, reducing its risk, he said.

Carlson also said that it is important for insurers to identify who within their organization is responsible for the state of the network.

“We have seen in the past that employee turnover or changing initiatives can result in these knowledge gaps,” he said.

Carlson also stressed that, while New York and California are “the tip of the spear” thanks to their large, well-resourced attorney general offices, ghost networks are not a partisan issue.

Georgia’s Consumer Access to Contracted Healthcare requires insurers to demonstrate sufficient availability of in-network providers. Oklahoma’s legislature is currently considering a bill that would require insurers to arrange for patients to see an out-of-network provider while paying in-network costs if they cannot reach an in-network provider in a reasonable time.

It is, Carlson said, “an issue everyone seems to be able to get behind.”

Barclays

« Continued from page 1

between July 2019 and October 2021, and initiated a rescission offer to recall the excess debt. The reverse-split at the center of the circuit case occurred in April 2021, and VXX ETN holders attempted to sell their notes through the process. The bank rejected their claims, prompting the litigation.

The investors argued that Barclays violated two provisions of the Securities Act by conducting the reverse-split—Section 12 by selling notes without proper registration, and Section 11 for alleged

misstatements on a registration statement.

Liman rejected both claims, ruling that the reverse-split wasn’t a sale and that the investors hadn’t linked their notes to the disputed filing. The circuit upheld Liman on both counts.

The panel noted that the reverse-split was mandatory, meaning that investors had no choice in the matter. Section 12, the appellate judges stressed, was designed to promote the disclosure of information necessary for investors to make informed decisions.

“Subjecting such compulsory splits to the Securities Act thus would do nothing to advance

its ‘purpose,’” according to the decision.

The panelists further agreed that investors hadn’t traced their post-split notes to the faulty registration, an April supplement.

“That supplement’s own terms show that it does not cover those ETNs but rather governs the ‘initial sale of the [post-split] ETNs’ that Barclays still held in its inventory, and which it had thus not distributed via the split,” the panel said.

Circuit Judges John Walker Jr., Richard Sullivan and Joseph Bianco sat on the panel.

Alyssa Aquino can be reached at alyssa.aquino@alm.com.

Outside Counsel

Liability in the Age of Autonomous Rideshares

Uber. Waymo. Lyft. For more than a century, car accident law has revolved around one central question: Who was driving? But what happens when no one is? Rideshare companies are already partnering with autonomous vehicle developers in select markets. Fully driverless vehicles are not theoretical anymore. They are operating on public roads. As this model expands, the familiar structure of motor vehicle liability begins to shift.

If an autonomous rideshare vehicle causes a crash, there may be no human driver to blame. So where does liability go?



By Billy Cooper

- The rideshare platform operating the fleet
 - A third-party maintenance provider
 - A mapping or sensor supplier
- Determining responsibility requires untangling contractual relationships and understanding how control is distributed among corporate entities.
- And unlike a typical two-car collision, these cases may involve multinational companies with layered

transportation company? Is it a product distributor? Is the liability governed by commercial fleet insurance? Are there contractual indemnification agreements between the tech company and the rideshare operator?

As these vehicles expand into more jurisdictions, state legislatures and courts will continue to refine the legal framework. For now, much of the terrain remains unsettled.

Unsettled law does not mean injured people lack rights. It simply means the litigation will be more complex.

The Human Element Isn’t Gone

Even in a driverless world, decisions are made by people.

- Engineers design the software.
- Executives decide when to deploy it.
- Companies choose testing protocols.
- Corporations determine how quickly to push updates.

Autonomy does not eliminate accountability. It redistributes it. The question becomes whether companies exercised reasonable care in developing, testing, and deploying these systems on public roads.

From Driver Negligence To System Failure

Traditional auto cases focus on human behavior: speeding, distraction, intoxication, failure to yield. Autonomous cases focus on systems.

Was the software functioning properly? Did the vehicle’s sensors detect hazards? Was the mapping data accurate? Did the system receive proper updates? Was there a mechanical defect in the hardware?

The investigation becomes less about eyewitness testimony and more about data logs, code analysis, and engineering records.

In other words, the case starts to look less like a standard car accident and more like a product liability claim.

Who Sits at The Defense Table?

When a driverless rideshare vehicle is involved in a serious crash, the list of potential defendants may expand quickly:

- The vehicle manufacturer
- The autonomous technology developer

BILLY COOPER is the managing director at Billy Cooper Law, a Westchester-based personal-injury law firm.

The Data War

Autonomous vehicles generate enormous amounts of data. That data may include: speed and braking inputs; sensor detection history; camera recordings; GPS tracking, and system override logs. Preserving that data immediately after a crash is critical. Without prompt legal action, key information can be overwritten or lost.

In future litigation, digital reconstruction may replace human recollection as the primary evidence.

The courtroom will increasingly rely on engineers, programmers, and technical experts alongside accident reconstructionists.

The Insurance Question

Traditional rideshare cases revolve around driver “app status.” Autonomous fleets raise different issues.

Is the platform operating as a

The Bottom Line

As rideshare moves toward autonomous fleets, liability will not disappear. It will evolve.

When there is no driver to blame, responsibility may shift to the entities that built, programmed, maintained, and deployed the technology. The law has always adapted to innovation. It will adapt again. But one principle remains unchanged: when preventable harm occurs, someone is responsible.

The future of transportation may be autonomous. The future of accountability should not be.

IN BRIEF

« Continued from page 1

farne Group, Intercomp, Javelin Global Commodities, Nexus Group and SiriusPoint.

Discussing the hires, D’Agostino said: “Adding this level of strategic M&A talent further strengthens our US platform and underscores our commitment to long-term investment and sustained growth in the region.”

Paul Schoeman, executive partner for the U.S., added: “These three partners have the background and skill sets vital for growth to enhance our M&A platform, especially in the rapidly changing energy, infrastructure and mining sectors.

“Their arrival underscores the commitment HSF Kramer has to attracting top-level talent in key markets.”

Paul Hastings has seen high flux in its partnership in recent times, with two group heads leaving earlier in February to join Sullivan & Cromwell’s fast-expanding London office, following the departure of Paul Hastings Paris partner Olivier Deren and three more lawyers to French firm Gide Loyrette Nouel the week prior.

Paul Hastings did not immediately respond to a request for comment.

—Melina Block

Daily columns in the Law Journal report developments in laws affecting medical malpractice, immigration, equal employment opportunity, pensions, personal-injury claims, communications and many other areas.

Lazard GC Hired From Apollo Cracks Highest-Paid List in First Full Year

Helping advise Lazard Inc. on key leadership transitions at the financial advisory and asset management giant helped earn Christian Weideman \$4.4 million in 2025.

Lazard’s proxy statement, filed Monday with the Securities and Exchange Commission, provided the first look into Weideman’s compensation since he became its general counsel in October 2023.

Weideman had been general counsel of Apollo Global Management since 2019 and had tall shoes to fill when arriving at Lazard—those of Scott Hoffman, who’d been legal chief for nearly 23 years.

Hoffman retired in September 2023. That year, the company reported that he earned \$15.0 million, though most of it—\$11.3 million—was via pension cash-out payments and separation payments mandated under a retention agreement he’d previously signed.

SEC rules require public companies to report pay for their half-dozen or so most highly compensated executives.

Weideman’s compensation secured him the last spot. The largest portion of his pay was \$2.6 million in stock grants. His salary was \$750,000, and his bonus was \$937,500.

In conjunction with that move,

Kenneth Jacobs, who’d been executive chairman, became senior chairman.

Weideman’s other achievements included boosting “engagement between firm business leaders and major outside law firms.”

Such ties have helped Lazard benefit from the resurgence of merger-and-acquisition activity.

For example, Lazard served as financial adviser to Constellation Energy in last month’s agreement to buy energy company Calpine for \$26.6 billion.

Lazard also advised Kellanova in its December sale to Mars Inc. for nearly \$36 billion.

Kellanova was the snack foods business of the former Kellogg Co. and makes such foods as Pringles and Pop-Tarts.

Weideman is no stranger to deal-making, having spent nearly seven years at Apollo—initially as general counsel for litigation and regulation.

He also was former chief of staff and a deputy general counsel at the U.S. Department of Treasury. Before that, Weideman was an associate counsel to President Barack Obama.

Weideman began his career as an associate at Williams & Connolly. He earned his law degree from Stanford and his bachelor’s degree from Penn State.

In 2025, Lazard’s revenue rose 2%, to \$3.1 billion, boosted by record revenue from its financial advisory business.

—Chris O’Malley

Erlbaum

« Continued from page 1

did not convince the court that blue laws violated the separation of church and state, but his argument instilled a passion in his then-15-year-old son, who watched the proceeding.

“The court was a place where an individual could fight for his rights in a public forum,” Erlbaum said in a 1990s profile in the Queens County Bar Association. “After that,

I missed a lot of school because I would play hooky to go to the courthouse to watch trials.”

Erlbaum presided over the assault trial of former state Sen. Hiram Monserrate in 2009, finding him guilty of recklessly causing physical injury to his companion, but clearing the politician of two more serious charges of felony assault.

Erlbaum presided over another cardinal matter that made its way to the state’s top court, concerning child endangerment charges that involved victims who weren’t

physically struck.

His 1999 ruling determined that a defendant who attacked his ex-girlfriend while her children hid in a bedroom, listening to their mother’s screams, the defendant’s yelling and the breaking glass, could be convicted of endangering the welfare of the children, even though they hadn’t been struck.

The Court of Appeals upheld the ruling in 2000.

Brian Lee can be reached at brian.lee@alm.com. X: @bleereporter

Renew your subscription by phone!

New York Law Journal
Call 1-877-256-2472

Be sure to reserve your space in the upcoming

New York Law Journal

Litigation

Sections

please contact: Shawn Phillips

Phone: (215) 557-2340

Corporate Update

Intel CLO’s Pay Spiked 55% In 2025, a Year of Tumult And Big Court Wins

BY MICHAEL GENNARO

INTEL Corp. Chief Legal Officer April Miller Boise helped navigate the chipmaker through one of the most tumultuous years in its history in 2025, one marked by the appointment of a new CEO, a major investment by the U.S. government, sweeping layoffs—and huge litigation victories.

The board recognized Boise’s work by awarding her compensation totaling \$10.2 million, a 55% increase from the \$6.6 million she earned a year earlier, according to the company’s proxy statement filed Monday with the Securities and Exchange Commission.



April Miller Boise, Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Intel

The 58-year-old Santa Clara, California-based company, for decades the global leader in chip-making, became a laggard in recent years, ceding leadership positions in the AI race to Nvidia and other more nimble competitors.

In March 2025, the board hired CEO Lip-Bu Tan to right the ship. He immediately imposed stricter financial discipline and flatter management while unleashing sweeping layoffs, leaving Intel with 85,200 workers at the end of 2025, a 22% drop from a year earlier.

Tan also cut a novel deal with the Trump administration, which converted previously awarded, unpaid CHIPS and Science Act grants and Secure Enclave funding into a 10% stake. The deal was aimed at increasing the company’s competitiveness while fortifying domestic chip manufacturing.

Investors so far are bullish on Tan. Since his first day as CEO, Intel’s stock has risen 141%.

As Intel’s dramatic restructuring grabbed headlines, the company quietly scored a string of big victories in court.

In March 2025, a federal judge dismissed a shareholder lawsuit accusing Intel of concealing problems within its foundry business. The day Intel disclosed those problems, the company’s shares plunged, wiping out \$32 billion in stock market value.

» Page 7

Slumping Marriott Timeshare Business Elevates Insider to GC

BY MICHAEL GENNARO

ANDREW Marcus, a Baker & Hostetler alum who has spent the past decade rising through Marriott Vacations Worldwide’s legal ranks, is the new general counsel of the Orlando, Florida-based timeshare giant.

Marcus’ appointment comes on the heels of the recent announcement that Jim Hunter, who’d been GC since before hotel giant Marriott International spun off the business into a stand-alone public company in 2011, is retiring.



Andrew Marcus, incoming General Counsel

Marriott Vacations Worldwide’s legal team has offices in California, Hawaii and Florida, as well as London and Singapore. It supports more than 120 vacation ownership resorts with some 700,000 owner families.

Marcus, who has served as senior vice president and deputy general counsel, brings to the role experience in global sales and marketing, government affairs, privacy, intellectual property, and labor and employment.

Before joining Marriott Vacations in 2015, Marcus was principal at Aran Management in Orlando, providing legal and consulting advice to companies in the hospitality, real estate and vacation industries.

Earlier, Marcus served more than a decade as legal chief of Tempus Resorts International, a timeshare resort and golf course developer, and nine years at Baker & Hostetler, the final three years as a partner.

» Page 7

CORPORATE SECURITIES

Mirror Voting: Reform or Power Play?

Mirror, Mirror on the Wall, Tell us please the fairest system of them all. The allies of activist hedge funds (AHFs) have decided that index funds should lose their votes because they tend to vote the wrong way (i.e., against the nominees of AHFs in activist-led proxy contests). Seeing the greatest threat to American corporate governance to lie in the concentrated voting power held by index funds (and particularly the “Big Three”—namely, BlackRock, Vanguard and State Street), they have presented a simple remedy: make index funds vote for our candidates by denying them discretionary voting power. To be sure, the Big Three do tend to vote against AHF candidates—frequently but not, as I have recently shown, uniformly. If this justifies disenfranchising the Big Three, Donald Trump might similarly disenfranchise those Democratic Congress members who fail to rise and applaud him when he directs them to do so at his State of the Union address. Both reforms may go a bridge too far.

Nonetheless, some scholars believe we should disenfranchise index funds. Earlier this month, Professor Jonathan Macey, an able scholar with a political position on the right, wrote in the Columbia Blue Sky Blog that the “lackadaisical and often politically motivated voting” by index funds is undermining American corporate governance and justifies canceling index funds’ voting rights in proxy contests. Not a balanced conclusion because it fails to explain why the Big Three

have breached any duty and wholly ignores the recent efforts of the Big Three to revise their voting procedures to respond to their customers’ concerns.

The Big Three hold giant portfolios (well over 1,000 companies) and cannot feasibly monitor them in the way that an AHF can monitor the much smaller number of firms in its portfolio. As a result, the Big Three may follow a decision rule that many reasonable persons follow in a variety of contexts: they fear the unknown evil more than the known evil. For example, the incumbent management at a corporation under attack by an AHF may be less than impressive, but the AHF may seem even more erratic and also use means that are open to question. For example, it is a common practice for AHFs to invite other institutional investors to a quiet meeting at which it informs them that it is contemplating a proxy contest against a target corporation, explains its reasoning, and asks them if they could support its effort. This sounds as if it is seeking consulting advice, but, make no mistake, this is a deliberate effort to pass material non-public information to allies.

In reality, the AHF is asking the institutional investor to join its “wolfpack” of allies and buy the target’s stock before the proxy contest is publicly announced. Such tipping is legal because the AHF owes no fiduciary duty to the target’s shareholders. Although some may have ethical concerns about this practice, those institutions able to swallow their ethical doubts and buy the stock before the public announcement of the forthcoming proxy contest are virtually guaranteed a significant one day profit when the proxy contest is announced. In this light, if we are considering market

reforms, maybe it is the tipping AHF and its institutional tippees (and not the index funds) who should have their voting rights suspended in these transactions. After all, the index funds who voted against the AHF’s proxy proposal did nothing even remotely unethical.

A second reason that the major index funds tend not to support AHF proxy proposals involves the desire of index funds to receive a control premium when control shifts. Assume that Elliott Investment Management, the largest of the AHFs, announces that it will seek either four or five seats on Target Corp’s nine person board. If it is seeking five seats, it is attempting to obtain outright control (but it will not be buying more shares as it already holds just over 10% of Target’s common stock). If it seeks only four seats, it may still obtain

transactions with Target). This explanation is a more realistic alternative to Professor Macey’s claim that index funds engage in “lackadaisical and often politically correct voting”. In short, if the Big Three vote down Elliott’s effort to acquire control without paying a premium, there may still be a successor transaction (by Elliott or someone else) that offers terms more to the Big Three’s liking (possibly, a merger at a premium).

Now, let’s see how the impact of “mirror voting” affects the outcomes. Under the system’s rules, the votes of the Big Three are disqualified. Instead, these shares will be largely voted for the slate nominated by Elliott (the exact calculation will depend on how retail shareholders and others voted). But if we assume that the Big Three held around 25% of the outstanding shares of Target, then it seems likely that nearly that

As a result, the Big Three may follow a decision rule that many reasonable persons follow in a variety of contexts: they fear the unknown evil more than the known evil.

de facto control, as five of the nine seats on Target’s board are held by independent directors who are not controlled by Target’s management. Either way, however, the Big Three (who probably hold at least 25% of Target’s stock) stand to receive little. They would prefer if Elliott proposed instead a merger with at least a normal 25% premium. Elliott sees no need, however, to pay such a premium.

On announcement of its proxy contest, the stock price of Target rises about 8% on that one day, but no more (possibly because its shareholders are concerned about whether Elliott may use its new controlling status to pursue self-dealing

percentage will be voted for Elliott’s nominees. Next, assume that Elliott owns 11% of Target and has a loyal “wolfpack”, holding another 11% and supporting its proposal (most of which shares were purchased after the wolfpack members were tipped as to Elliott’s plans). This adds up to a total of 47% of the outstanding shares, which should be a majority of the vote cast (as an 85% turnout would be very high for a proxy vote). If these numbers are even roughly accurate, corporate executives should recognize that “mirror voting” could quickly destabilize their world.

The foregoing analysis does not, however, take into

» Page 7



Don’t just settle for the most visibility.
Get the right visibility.

Lawjobs.com Advantage

The Lawjobs.com global network reaches attorneys, paralegals, business development executives, and legal professionals thousands of times a day, including 99% of the NLJ 250.

Experience the new Lawjobs.com today.

LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

ALM.

Dropbox’s Legal Chief Nearly Became ‘Six Million Dollar Man’ in 2025

BY CHRIS O’MALLEY

TELEVISION’S bionic man Steve Austin can step aside; Dropbox chief legal officer William Yoon is the new “Six Million Dollar Man”—if you round up.

Yoon earned \$5.94 million in 2025, his first year as legal chief of the San Francisco-based tech company, according to Dropbox’s proxy statement filed Monday with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Yoon, who previously served as an associate general counsel at Facebook and a product counsel at Google, joined Dropbox in 2013. He rose to vice president and chief privacy officer.

Then, last March, Yoon was named to succeed Bart Volkmer, who had been the file-storing service’s chief legal officer since 2020. Volkmer departed Dropbox after nearly 14 years for undisclosed reasons.

The parting appeared amicable, with co-founder and chief executive officer Drew Houston saying at the time: “It’s hard to imagine Dropbox without him.”

In announcing Volkmer’s successor, Houston called Yoon “a tenured product and privacy lawyer” and voiced confidence in Yoon’s “ability to lead our legal organization through our company’s next stage.”

Of Yoon’s nearly \$6 million in pay, \$5.1 million is in the form of stock awards, according to the proxy statement. Yoon, 47, received another \$375,000 last year in nonequity incentive plan compensation.

His salary before becoming a listed top executive was \$420,000, which has been raised to \$475,000 as legal chief.

In comparison to Yoon’s nearly \$6 million in total pay, predecessor Volkmer earned \$4.3 million in his last full year of 2024.

In Yoon’s dozen years at Dropbox he has worked matters including commercial litigation, product counseling, privacy and regulatory issues.

Before working on legal matters at Facebook and Google, Yoon was an associate at Foley & Lardner. He earned his law degree from Northwestern University in 2006 and has a bachelor’s in government/Latin American studies from Cornell University.

Dropbox’s legal department has faced at least four class action lawsuits filed in federal courts in California after hackers allegedly breached the company’s document signing platform.

Dropbox has also faced patent infringement claims by a group known as Motion Offense before the U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board. The board ruled in Dropbox’s favor last July in a case in which Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr served as outside counsel.

Dropbox was founded in 2007 by Houston and Arash Ferdowsi, who were then students at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

The company reported 2025 revenues of \$2.5 billion, down 1% from 2024. Net income rose 12% to \$508.4 million.

@ Chris O’Malley can be reached at chris.omalley@alm.com.

Expert Analysis / Corporate Update / Off the Front / Disciplinary Proceedings

Guidance

« Continued from page 3

bifurcated discretionary factors from merits analysis, with parties invited to submit targeted discretionary briefing. Notably, the director himself, not the PTAB panel, would now render all final discretionary denial decisions.

If the director determines that he will exercise discretionary denial in a particular IPR or PGR, institution is denied prior to the projected date for the institution decision on the merits. The March 26, 2025 memorandum also introduced new factors to the discretionary denial inquiry, including the “settled expectations of the parties,” which traditionally favored non-institution for patents that had been granted for some time.

Reinforcing these recent changes, the Federal Circuit recently confirmed that the director’s instructions to the Board regarding discretionary denial decisions are an exercise of unreviewable executive discretion. Specifically, the Federal Circuit held that the director’s instructions governing discretionary denials are nonbind-

ing policy statements exempt from the Administrative Procedures Act notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures.

March 2026 Guidance on US-Based Manufacturing

Under the March 2026 memorandum, the director will consider three new factors when determining whether to institute IPR and PGR proceedings:

- (1) the extent to which any products accused of infringement in a parallel proceeding are manufactured in the United States or are related to investments in American manufacturing operations;
- (2) the extent to which any products made, sold, or licensed by the patent owner that compete with the accused products are manufactured in the United States; and
- (3) whether the petitioner is a small business that has been sued for infringement of the patent at issue.

The memo also states that when evaluating domestic manufacturing, the USPTO will look beyond final

assembly to consider the extent to which components of a product are made in the United States and whether U.S.-made products are sent abroad for further processing.

The memo also states that when evaluating domestic manufacturing, the USPTO will look beyond final assembly to consider the extent to which components of a product are made in the United States and whether U.S.-made products are sent abroad for further processing.

For method claims, the relevant products are the devices used to carry out the method. Small business status will be assessed based on all relevant facts, including the Small Business Administration’s size standards and USPTO fee eligibility requirements. The new guidance applies to all IPRs and PGRs in which the due date for a patent owner discretionary brief has not yet elapsed.

The USPTO’s Rationale For Weighing U.S.-Based Manufacturing

In setting forth standards for IPR and PGR institution decisions, the

AIA requires the director to consider their effect on “the economy, the integrity of the patent system, the efficient administration of the office, and the ability of the office

to timely complete proceedings.” See 35 U.S.C. §§316(b), 326(b).

The March 2026 memorandum relies on this statutory authority and also cites extensive off-shoring of U.S. manufacturing, “particularly in the electronics and computer industries,” as well as recent studies from the Departments of Commerce and Homeland Security documenting the economic and national security damage caused by these trends. According to the memorandum, the loss of domestic manufacturing “bear[s] directly on the director’s statutory obligation to consider the effect of institution standards on the economy and the

integrity of the patent system.”

The memorandum also noted that despite the potential for IPRs and PGRs to protect American businesses, the most frequent users of these proceedings are “large companies that have stated in public financial disclosures that they do not have a significant, existing manufacturing presence in the United States, nor are they taking concrete steps to invest in American manufacturing.” Thus, the memorandum frames the new discretionary factors as useful for “gathering data” about the extent to which AIA proceedings give a “tactical advantage” to companies not committed to U.S.-based manufacturing.

Potential Impacts of the Updated Guidance

The USPTO’s new guidance may give an advantage for domestic manufacturers at the PTAB, whether they be arguing for or against institution. In particular, small U.S.-based businesses facing patent infringement suits in district court may receive more favorable consideration when petitioning for IPR or PGR, providing an alternative to costly years-long patent litigation in district court.

It remains to be seen what effect this new guidance will have on patents owned by Non-Practicing Entities (NPEs)—parties that obtain patents for the primary purpose of assertion and licensing, rather than practicing the patents themselves. Many IPR and PGR petitions are filed by large companies who are targeted by NPEs for patent infringement suits in district court. By their nature, NPEs have no manufacturing operations in or outside of the U.S. The March 2026 memorandum focused on preventing preferential treatment for large companies that have shifted their manufacturing overseas, but was silent on entities like NPEs that have never had a manufacturing presence

Parties may now be motivated to develop and present detailed evidence regarding manufacturing locations, component sourcing, and supply chain arrangements in discretionary briefing. While the USPTO’s new guidance has not established U.S.-based manufacturing as an express requirement for institution, the practical effect may be front-loaded evidentiary submissions on manufacturing footprint and investment in discretionary briefing.

War

« Continued from page 3

war such as when a member of the crew is injured or cargo is lost or damaged.

Although P&I Clubs have separate additional war risks policies available its members, many are canceling coverage for ships operating in the Strait of Hormuz and surrounding waters. See *Emily Chow and Jeslyn Lerh*, Marine Insurers Cancel War Risk Cover as Iran Conflict Escalates, Insurance Journal (March 2026).

The Society of Maritime Arbitrators (SMA), founded in 1963, is an internationally recognized association of experienced maritime professionals who regularly arbitrate and mediate maritime disputes,

including charter party disputes such as war risk.

Vessel owners can minimize exposure to risks by including clauses in charter party (lease) contracts, bills of lading, and crew contracts that disclaim liability for war risks. For example, charter parties will typically include a clause requiring the vessel owner to purchase war risk insurance, but the charterer is responsible for any additional war risk premium resulting from a charterer’s order to trade in an area where there is war:

Additional War Expenses

Basic war risk insurance is to be for owner’s account. Any extra expenses for additional war risk premium on hull and machinery

which is reasonably incurred by the owners as the consequence of Charterers’ orders to trade in areas where there is war (de facto or de Jure) where the areas

Vessel owners can minimize exposure to risks by including clauses in charter party (lease) contracts, bills of lading, and crew contracts that disclaim liability for war risks.

in question have been declared an *additional* risk premium area by the Vessel’s War Risk Insurers, shall be borne by the Charterers provided that before such expenses are incurred Charterers are given the opportunity to signify their approval... See *Midas Shipping Company Inc. and Claimant Pdusa Petroleo*, SMA No. 4153 (2011) (Before: John F. Ring, Jr.,

Thomas F. Fox and Donald B. Frost, Chairman).

In the SMA arbitration between *Imbar Maritima S.a. and Palm Shipping, Inc.*, SMA No. 2790 (1991),

able commercially”. Additionally, the charter party provided that if the vessel owner consents, charterer was responsible for paying the additional war risk insurance.

The SMA panel ruled that owners were in breach of charter, noting that it was widely known that war risks insurance was commercially available and owners were given one month’s notice of the intended voyage which was sufficient to have manned the vessel with a ‘willing crew’.

Moreover, if the charterer provides instructions to the ship owner to pick up cargo in a location that ends up in war conflict, the owner’s War Risk insurer will typically pay a covered claim and then subrogate against the charterers and its War Risk policy to recover the payment.

In view of the multiple issues surrounding such conflicts and marine insurance, it is clear that what happens at sea does not always stay at sea. Marine insurance plays a major role in whether or not ships take the ‘risk’ of a voyage and if so, for how much and who will pay for it. In such circumstances, a ‘willing crew’ as referred to in the *Imbar Maritima* arbitration, may be hard to find. But, like the ships themselves, the crew may have no choice but to wait it out with no safe exit. Thus, in times of conflict, it is the merchant vessels and crew that get caught in between. This demonstrates that it is not just a shot across the bow that will stop a ship; cancellation of insurance can have the same impact. Then the real battles begin!

Voting

« Continued from page 5

account recent changes that the Big Three have made in their own voting procedures. Essentially, these reforms would in some form pass through the vote in proxy contests to the index fund’s own beneficial holders and customers. Normally, the voting of shares in an institutional investor’s portfolio is done under the direction and supervision at its board of directors. But both BlackRock and Vanguard are experimenting with using multiple voting panels (each with a different voting philosophy) that their shareholders and customers would choose between. This gives real voting discretion to their shareholders and customers and seemingly protects the index fund against

any claim that its alleged “passivity” breached fiduciary duties. For example, a Big Three member could appoint one panel with strong environmental sympathies, another with pro-management leanings, and a third panel populated by former hedge fund activists. Given such a choice, a Big Three customer or shareholder could opt for a specific panel or do nothing; exercising the “do nothing” option would signal that the shareholder was basically content with the existing arrangements.

Finally, does the Big Three actually block AHFs from pursuing significant changes at target companies? Here, the Macey critique ignores what actually happens today when an AHF announces an intent to launch a proxy contest. In reality, an actual vote will result only in

a minority of cases. Instead, a period of intense negotiation begins between the AHF and the target. Generally, a compromise is reached (with the Big Three play-

The foregoing analysis does not, however, take into account recent changes that the Big Three have made in their own voting procedures.

ing no role in these negotiations). Possibly, a new director is added to the board (instead of the three or more sought by the AHF), and possibly some assets are sold and other financial changes are negotiated. The latest empirical data strongly supports this picture of negotiated change producing substantial results. According to a 2025 study by Diligent

Market Intelligence, activists won some 112 board seats at U.S.

based firms during the first half of 2025 (up from 104 seats a year earlier). Of these 112 board seats, however, 103 (or 92%), were achieved by negotiated agreement, and only

nine seats were won through contested votes. See Jason Booth, “Activist Finds Winning Strategy in Surprise First Half”, Harv. L. School Forum on Corporate Governance, Aug. 19, 2025.

Nor should it be thought that the Big Three uniformly oppose all nominees to the board submitted by AHFs. In fact, as I calculate the voting outcomes in activist-initiated proxy contests involving large U.S. public companies between July

1, 2015 and June 20, 2025, BlackRock, Inc. voted for at least one activist nominee in 21 such elections (or 36% of the cases) and Vanguard did so in 20 such elections (or 34% of the cases). See John Coffee, “*Activist*” versus “*Passive*” Investors: A Closer Look at Proxy Contests and the Contemporary Balance of Advantage, 81 The Business Lawyer 1, at Table 3. A mid-thirty percentage cannot be described as rare. Still, the fuller truth is that while they voted for one candidate on the activist’s slate, they almost never voted for the entire slate. The tolerance level of the Big Three for activist nominees appears to be about one director.

Nonetheless, when we combine the Big Three’s willingness to tolerate a single activist nominee on the board with the standard practice of AHFs in negotiating compromises with target companies, it seems a

sizable overstatement to view the Big Three as implacable opponents of activist proposals. They are probably better described as a force for compromise and moderation.

In contrast, proponents of “mirror voting” seem to be arguing that “if you try to slow us down, we will strip you of your voting rights.” Does that sound reasonable?

With their new voting procedures, the Big Three seem to be working hard at being accountable to their clients. An appropriate voting standard cannot be framed in terms of outcomes, but must look to whether the votes reflect the true preferences of the customers and beneficial owners that the Big Three serve. Democracy cannot be defined in terms of whether the best and brightest candidates win, but only whether the legitimate voters can choose their preferences.

Intel CLO

« Continued from page 5

Other big wins: In May, a U.S. appeals court overturned a \$2.18 billion patent-infringement verdict awarded to rival VLSI Technology, and in August a U.S. appeals court dismissed a long-running lawsuit alleging that company fiduciaries breached their duties of prudence and loyalty by investing retirement plan assets in high-risk, high-fee alternative investments like hedge funds and private equity.

In the proxy, the company’s board wrote that “Ms. Miller Boise helped achieve significant litigation wins, including dismissal of major securities and class-action cases, and led the organization through multiple significant transactions. She also strengthened our external standing through leadership in industry and governance forums.”

The bulk of Miller Boise’s 2025 compensation was in the form of stock awards totaling \$7.8 million, up 50% from the \$5.2 million she received a year earlier. She also received salary of \$750,000, up 4% from a year earlier, and cash incentive compensation of \$1.6 million, 177% higher than a year earlier.

Miller Boise joined Intel in 2022 from the Dublin-based power management company Eaton Corp., where she’d been legal chief since 2020. Before that, she was legal chief of the auto parts maker Meritor, plastics maker Avintiv and rubber-products-manufacturer Veyance.

She is well-known in the general counsel community for co-founding Black General Counsel 2025 in 2017, an initiative focused on increasing the number of Black legal chiefs at Fortune 1000 companies.

@ Michael Gennaro can be reached at michael.gennaro@alm.com.

Marcus

« Continued from page 5

Marcus has a bachelor’s degree from Binghamton University and a law degree from the University of Florida.

The leadership transition comes as Marriott Vacations Worldwide tries to rev up sales in a challenging environment, with consumers pulling back from large discretionary purchases.

In November, the Marriott Vacations Worldwide board ousted CEO John Geller after less than three years in the role, and replaced him with Matthew Avril, one of its independent directors.

The company in February reported 2025 revenue of \$5.3 billion, up 1.3% from a year earlier.

@ Michael Gennaro can be reached at michael.gennaro@alm.com.

Javice

« Continued from page 1

The judge denied any allegation that he somehow over-relied on his law clerks during the course of trial, and wrote: “The opposite is true. I oversaw pretrial motions and hearings beginning in 2023, six weeks of trial, and post-trial motions and sentencing in the regular course.”

“The proceedings began before the relevant law clerks arrived and

continued after they had left,” the judge said.

Hellerstein found there was no basis to conclude that denying the motions for a new trial would create injustice in other cases or risk undermining public confidence in the judicial process.

“The evidence in this case was strong, and there is no concern here ‘that an innocent person may have been convicted,’” he wrote, citing case law.

Neither JPMorgan Chase nor Davis Polk were charged in the case.

Prosecutors alleged Javice defrauded JPMorgan by claiming her start-up had more customers than it did. She and Amar were found guilty in March 2025 on charges including bank fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud. Hellerstein sentenced Javice to more than seven years in prison, while Amar received more than five years.

Both deny any wrongdoing and have filed appeals.

@ Emily Saul can be reached at emily.saul@alm.com. X: @emily_saul_

Disciplinary

« Continued from page 6

trast, maintains that the deposits represented capital contributions toward business ventures and that use of the funds was governed by agreements signed by X.Z. Resolution of this dispute would require the Court to assess credibility, interpret the parties’ contracts, and make findings as to the nature and scope of the underlying relationship. Such determinations are ordinarily reserved for disciplinary hearings and, in this matter, may also overlap with issues in the pending civil litigation between the parties. Accordingly, the X.Z. transactions do not presently constitute “uncontroverted evidence” of misconduct within the meaning of 22 NYCRR 1240.9(a)(5). Those issues will be more appropriately addressed after a full evidentiary record has been developed.

However, the record is materially different with respect to respondent’s handling of settlement proceeds belonging to A.C.

The AGC’s submission, including bank statements and respondent’s own testimony, establishes that (1) A.C.’s settlement proceeds were deposited into respondent’s

escrow account; (2) respondent thereafter improperly transferred all those funds into his business account; (3) the business account had a low balance, and the transferred escrow funds were used for personal and business expenditures unrelated to A.C.; and (4) when respondent later issued checks to and on behalf of A.C. to satisfy his share of the settlement, the funds used to make those payments were no longer traceable to the original settlement proceeds but instead derived from subsequent deposits to escrow made by X.Z.

These facts are established through contemporaneous banking records and are not meaningfully disputed. They reflect both (a) the dissipation of client escrow funds and (b) the later replenishment of that shortfall using money belonging to another client or third party. This constitutes conversion and/or misappropriation of client funds and demonstrates respondent’s failure to safeguard entrusted property and to maintain required escrow records.

Under long-standing precedent, such conduct satisfies 22 NYCRR 1240.9(a)(5) because it constitutes uncontroverted evidence of professional misconduct threatening

the public interest (see *Matter of Schwartz*, 214 AD3d 153 [1st Dept 2023] [interim suspension based on bank records showing invasion of escrow funds]; *Matter of Carlebach*, 156 AD3d 44 [1st Dept 2017]; *Matter of Kiss*, 152 AD3d 129 [1st Dept 2017]).

Because the A.C. transactions independently establish uncontroverted evidence of misappropriation/conversion, the Court need not reach the AGC’s alternative argument under 22 NYCRR 1240.9(a)(2) based on alleged admissions under oath. Interim suspension is fully supported under subdivision (a)(5), and addressing (a)(2) would not alter the result.

The AGC has demonstrated, through bank records and sworn statements, that respondent converted client escrow funds belonging to A.C. and later replenished them using X.Z.’s deposits. This constitutes uncontroverted evidence of professional misconduct posing an immediate threat to the public interest.

Accordingly, the motion should be granted to the extent of suspending respondent pursuant to 22 NYCRR 1240.9(a)(5), effective immediately and until further order of the Court.

All concur.

Build Your Legal Team.

Go to [lawjobs.com](https://www.lawjobs.com) and choose the most qualified candidates.

[lawjobs.com](https://www.lawjobs.com) Your hiring partner

LAW.COM

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION CALENDAR FOR THE MAY TERM

May 2026 will commence April 21, 2026. The Court will convene at 2:00 P.M. on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays, and at 10:00 A.M. on Fridays. Counsel who desire and are entitled to argument pursuant to Section 600.15(a) of the Court's rules but have commitments, including those of a religious nature, which will make them unavailable on particular dates during the term shall notify the Clerk in writing of such unavailable dates and reasons therefor, with copy to adversary, not later than 4 P.M. March 26, 2026. This information is essential at that time for consideration in preparation of the Day Calendars for the term. No change of calendar date can be made after the Day Calendars have been prepared.

Respondents' briefs are to be served and filed no later than March 25, 2026. Appellants' reply briefs are to be served and filed no later than April 03, 2026. The last day to file stipulations of adjournment and time requests for oral argument is March 26, 2026.

Cases are listed in alphabetical order, with civil cases appearing first.

Civil Cases

25/6093 186 Norfolk LLC v. NY State Division of Housing (NY 158325/2024)
25/5745 186 Norfolk LLC v. NYS Division of Housing (NY 158325/2024)
25/156213 West 23rd St. v. Crunch Holdings (NY 652882/2022)
25/24621st Mortgage Corporation v. McGregor (BX 800636/2023)
24/1896 251 W. 74 Owners v. NYS Division of Housing (NY 159165/2023)
26/87 57th & 6th Ground v. Carnegie House Tenants (NY 654326/2025)
24/6711 62 Wooster v. TML New York (NY 159314/2021)
25/1840 711 Street v. Frankel (NY 653482/2023)
25/3411 Acosta v. 22-12 Jackson Owner (BX 23090/2019)
25/23 Adams' a. v. Galaxy General Contracting (BX 802231/2023)
24/5957N Adler v. Troy (NY 805376/2021)
25/5802 Aleasco Preferred Funding v. ACP RE, Ltd. (NY 655881/2017)
25/1916 AI v. K & H Steinway LLC (BX 809646/2021)
25/1586 Almer v. Summit Glory Property (NY 154195/2019)
25/4576 Alshami v. State of NY (NY 14284)
25/636 Alter Domus (US) v. Lakeland Holdings (NY 651333/2025)
25/2251 Altieri v. Hyl (NY 157681/2021)
26/411 AMF Trust Ventures v. i80 Group (NY 653519/2023)
25/4813 Amirov v. Turtle Bay Tavern (BX 23467/2019)
25/5315N Amsterdam 140 v. Fruitopia LLC (NY 650513/2024)
25/5702 Anderson v. AKAM Associates (NY 159765/2021)
25/725 Andrade v. 1203 E New York Ave (NY 154137/2022)
25/1183 Anthony Partners LLC v. Mici (BX 813158/2024)
24/6340 Antonetti v. Academy Studio (BX 26678/2020)
25/3265 Aponte v. 298 East Village (BX 34327/2019)
25/1642 Apple Bank v. Prime Rok Real Estate (NY 653140/2023)
25/5839 Archdiocese of NY v. Eastern Indemnity Co. (NY 652825/2023)
25/4901 Arnold v. 4-6 Bleecker Street (NY 158541/2023)
25/5359N Aroch v. 391 Broadway LLC (NY 158856/2017)
25/1378 Astudillo v. Unity Construction (NY 150144/2022)
25/5499 Atkins v. 3314 SAS Equity (BX 807198/2021)
24/7297 Avail Holding v. ICM Funding (NY 656431/2022)
25/6774 Ayuso v. State of NY (NY 143421)
25/2221 B., Hector (BX N9469/2020)
25/4767 B., Victoria (BX N9766/2024)
25/1411 B., Yorgo v. Amy M. (NY V8594/2022)
25/4337 Backer v. Parsons (NY 100938/2023)
25/3534 Banasis v. Mount Sinai Health System (NY 153072/2023)
25/718N Bapaz NYC v. Assa Properties (NY 652456/2018)
25/6186 Batchilly v. 610 Eighth Avenue (BX 25213/2014)
25/6359 Bautista v. 1650 Corp (NY 157357/2020)
25/5253 Benhar v. 5 Star Legal Funding (NY 657390/2020)
25/4727 Berardi v. 900 Third Avenue (NY 156323/2021)
25/7061 Berneo v. Master Plumbing (NY 154471/2020)
25/0223 BFAM Asian Opportunities v. Glory Health Industry (NY 651863/2023)
25/6187 BLT Fund 9 Dayton's v. 601 Minnesota Investor (NY 650483/2024)
25/0293 Blue River v. Gross (NY 150883/2020)
24/7564 Blumenfeld v. Smith (NY 651069/2024)
19/192 Blumenfeld v. Stable 49 (NY 157117/2017)
24/7359 Board of Managers v. 56th and Park (NY 655617/2021)
25/2390 Bougouma v. AB 40th Street (BX 28965/2018)
25/5010 Brady v. NY District Attorney (NY 154496/2021)
25/3696 Brigade Cavalry Fund v. Chirio (NY 655678/2024)
25/2283 Broadway PT 1710 v. Kingdom Associates (NY 159783/2024)
25/2256 Brylski v. Simone Development (BX 35423/2019)
25/3730 Bunay v. One City Block (NY 152975/2019)
25/1525 Burch v. Isabella Geriatric Center (NY 152637/2022)
24/4788 C., Betsaida v. Mario C. (NY O48/2022)
25/5822 C., Children (BX N15099/2024)
25/1221 C., Christina (NY B76004/2019)
25/1024 C., Edwin (NY D249/2024)
25/3491 C., Steven v. Ayelet R. (NY O8416/2022)
25/5832 Cacciatore v. Tisch (NY 161781/2024)
25/5808 Caicaia v. NYC Dept. of Educ. (NY 158238/2023)
25/6373(2) Capitol Hill 505 v. Capital Hotel JV (NY 654052/2023)

25/2807 Caraballo v. Century Star Fuel (BX 802031/2022)
24/6897 Casella v. Casella (NY 365119/2020)
25/8114 Castleman v. Interactive Brokers (NY 659407/2024)
23/5695 Cattani v. Rohner (NY 652468/2020)
25/6184 Certain Underwriters v. NU RIDE, Inc. (NY 659668/2024)
25/6399 Cha v. Ryder Truck Rental (BX 804102/2024)
25/6767 Chang v. 37 Plaza LLC (NY 153252/2020)
25/5820 Chiappa v. Port Authority of NY (BX 805328/2022)
25/3518 City of NY v. Crest Housing Co. (NY 452282/2022)
25/2883 City of NY v. Heritage Health & Housing (NY 451964/2023)
25/1843 CMB Export v. Motcomb Estates (NY 653821/2022)
25/1666 Cohen v. 458 Grand Broadway (NY 159191/2024)
25/1873N Collins v. 200 President St (BX 806769/2023)
25/3051 Collins v. Jersey National Cleaning Service (BX 813015/2021)
25/2300 Connolly v. City of NY (BX 813975/2024)
24/7942 Cotto v. 685 First Realty Company (NY 157456/2019)
25/7911 Criollo v. G&L Realty Delaware (NY 155696/2022)
25/1502 CSN Realty Corp. v. Moussaieff (NY 652522/2024)
25/3672 Cullinan v. NY University (NY 159762/2016)
26 Cura Foundation v. Shaya (NY 653944/2025)
24/7597 D., Eliyana (BX B10399/2020)
24/4667 De Souza v. El Sol Contracting (NY 151863/2021)
26/54 De Souza v. Hudson Yard Construction (NY 157354/2019)
25/3408 Delgado v. 27-51 Jackson Ave. (BX 817495/2022)
25/2175 Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President (NY 952333/2023)
25/1333 Delgado v. Donald J. Trump for President (NY 952333/2023)
25/5089 Demasio v. Demasio (NY 500010/2023)
25/2207 Denson v. Trump for President (NY 101616/2017)
25/5949 DePhillips v. Tishman Constructions (NY 157160/2021)
24/7745 DeRobertis v. City of NY (NY 157547/2016)
25/5992 Desai v. Ninety Five Wall Street (NY 653312/2024)
25/2133 Diamond Films v. TV Azteca (NY 655384/2020)
26/275 Diaz v. Boston Properties, Inc. (NY 151421/2022)
25/729 Diaz v. NYCHA (NY 15270/2024)
25/2834 Diaz v. Roseland Development (BX 25600/2017)
25/3132 Diaz v. Rotavele Elevator (NY 157664/2020)
25/4937 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY (NY 950260/2019)
24/1874 Doe v. Doe (NY 151110/2018)
25/3834N Doe v. Lynch (BX 70015/2019)
19/195N Dogwood Residential v. Stable 49 (NY 157621/2015)
25/4139 Doren v. Allstar Security & Consulting (NY 152450/2021)
25/1842 Dume v. City of NY (NY 155486/2023)
25/3305N Dunnington Bartholow & Miller v. Simon (NY 652835/2016)
25/6420 Dupree v. NY-Presbyterian Columbia (BX 816554/2023)
24/7390 E., Children (BX V31388/2021)
25/6872 E., Zarae (BX N13848/2025)
25/337N Eastern Effects v. 3911 Lennox (NY 652152/2022)
25/2881 Ellis v. State of NY (NY 135761)
23/5485 Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital (BX 302564/2016)
25/6787 Encarnacion v. St. Barnabas Hospital (BX 302564/2016)
25/1244N Espinosa v. Cape Church (NY 160747/2019)
25/2208 Estevez v. NY Police Department (NY 151851/2023)
25/3026 E.J.H. Realty Inc. v. NYC Loft Board (NY 157670/2024)
25/2482 Famula v. Kiewit-Weeks-Massman, AJV (BX 31087/2018)
25/325 Fidelity National v. Berkshire Abstract (NY 151658/2015)
25/796 Fidelity National v. Berkshire Abstract (NY 151658/2015)
25/989 Fifth & 106th Street v. Hunt (NY 652614/2022)
25/4179 Fifth Avenue Surgery Center v. JJS Group Inc. (NY 650796/2025)
23/3658N Fletcher v. The Dakota (NY 101289/2011)
25/2601 Fowler v. Board of Directors (NY 161537/2024)
25/6090 Francis v. NYSARC, Inc. (BX 810047/2023)
25/6178 Frantz v. XL Diamonds (NY 151672/2022)
25/3135 Freeland v. Chemtob (NY 160094/2021)
25/2184 Funaki v. Starbucks Corporation (NY 153275/2020)
25/2120 G Builders v. Eden Ballroom (NY 651203/2018)
25/3520 G., Children (NY N3604/2025)
25/3129 Gale v. Abramowitz (NY 159479/2024)
25/2973 Gantt v. City of NY (BX 22999/2017)
25/4865 Garcia v. 122-130 East 23rd (NY 153179/2019)
25/4669 Garcia v. Rennon Construction Corp. (NY 160509/2022)
25/7121 Gersic v. Kuehner (BX 27989/2020)
24/4534 GNHC 1703-518 v. Venari Partners (NY 651347/2022)
25/7865 Gomez v. Brookfield Properties One (NY 151809/2023)
25/71612N Gomez v. Fremont (BX 23727/2019)
25/4049 Gonzalez-Taveras v. City of NY (BX 21986/2015)
24/461 Gordon v. Peck (NY 652345/2023)
25/3028N Government of Saint Lucia v. 126 E 36th Street (NY 650681/2018)
24/3019 Graves v. Sava Serbian Orthodox (NY 157595/2019)
25/6773 Gur Associates v. Kassim (NY 654168/2023)
25/4298 Gusel v. King (NY 159824/2024)
25/6348N James Thomas Realty v. Chelietos (NY 651836/2016)
24/6648 James, AG SNY v. Leasing Expenses Co. (NY 452357/2020)
25/6664 Jefferies LLC v. Mountain State Energy Holdings (NY 650599/2025)
25/7085 John Doe XXVIII v. Archdiocese of NY (BX 70046/2020)

COURT NOTES

THE OFFICES OF THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Meeting of the Administrative Board To Be Held on March 30

Pursuant to the New York State Open Meetings Law (Public Officers Law Article 7, §104) you are hereby notified of the next meeting of the Administrative Board for the Offices of the Public Administrators (which is established pursuant to §1128 of the Surrogate's Court Procedure Act):
March 30, 2026
10:30 a.m.
New York City Bar Association
Hughes Room
42 West 44th Street
New York, NY 10036

FIRST DEPARTMENT

Appellate Term

Filing Dates for the May Term

The MAY 2026 Term of the Court will commence on May 4. The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before March 11.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before April 1.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before April 10.

NYS UNITED COURT SYSTEM

New Administrative Judge Named in the 3rd Judicial District

Hon. E. Danielle Jose-Decker has been appointed Administrative Judge in the Capital Region's Third Judicial District. The appointment was made in consultation with Chief Judge Rowan D. Wilson and Appellate Division, Third Department Presiding Justice Elizabeth A. Garry.

As Administrative Judge, Justice Jose-Decker will oversee trial court operations in the Third Judicial District, encompassing Albany, Columbia, Greene, Rensselaer, Schoharie, Sullivan, and Ulster counties. She succeeds Justice Christina L. Ryba, who was recently elevated to the Appellate Division, Third Department.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Southern District

Appointment of New Magistrate Judge Application Deadline is May 1

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is accepting applications for a full-time Magistrate Judge position at New York, NY. The duties of the position are demanding and wide ranging and will include: (1) conduct of preliminary proceedings in criminal cases; (2) trial and disposition of misdemeanor cases; (3) conduct of various pretrial matters, including settlement proceedings, and evidentiary proceedings on delegation from the judges of the district court; (4) trial and disposition of civil cases upon consent of the litigants; (5) inquests and reports and recommendations on dispositive motions and evidentiary matters on reference from the judges of the district court; and (6) assignment of additional duties not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States.

The basic jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge is specified in 28 U.S.C., Section 636. To be qualified for appointment, an applicant must: (a) be a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands for at least five years; (b) have been engaged in the active practice of law for a period of at least five years (with some substitutions authorized); (c) be competent to perform all the duties of the office, of good moral character, emotionally stable and mature, committed to equal justice under the law, in good health, patient and courteous, and capable of deliberation and decisiveness; (d) be less than 70 years old; and (e) not be related to a judge of the district court.

A Merit Selection Panel composed of attorneys and other members of the community will review all applications and recommend in confidence to the judges of the district court five persons whom it considers best qualified. The Court will make the appointment(s) following an FBI and IRS investigation of the appointee(s). Each individual selected must comply with the financial disclosure requirements of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 and the Courthouse Ethics Act (CETA) of 2021. The Court

25/3513N Hengal v. Oiknine (NY 365489/2024)
25/4533 Hermosa v. 13-17 Laight (NY 159899/2019)
25/6194 Hoeben v. FCA US LLC (NY 153682/2018)
25/2023 Hofstra University v. United Educators (NY 653697/2024)
24/2155 Holness v. Gold Crest (BX 804517/2022)
25/209N Howira v. NYC Health and Hospital (NY 850285/2014)
24/7071 HSBC Bank v. Keeling (BX 35472/2015)
25/1399 Hubshman v. 1010 Tenants Corp. (NY 157779/2024)
24/5722(4) IKB International v. Morgan Stanley (NY 653964/2012)
25/7567 IKB International v. U.S. Bank (NY 654442/2015)
26/346 In Re Avangrid, Inc. v. Galan (NY 659327/2024)
25/2662 J. R., an Infant v. City of NY (BX 21119/2019)
24/1845 Jackson v. Law Offices of Peter Sverd (NY 153586/2023)
25/2668 Jacober v. Madan (NY 655495/2024)
25/6348N James Thomas Realty v. Chelietos (NY 651836/2016)
24/6648 James, AG SNY v. Leasing Expenses Co. (NY 452357/2020)
25/6664 Jefferies LLC v. Mountain State Energy Holdings (NY 650599/2025)
25/7085 John Doe XXVIII v. Archdiocese of NY (BX 70046/2020)

25/3599 JPMorgan Chase v. Parmesar (BX 36680/2019)
25/7314 JPMorgan Chase v. Waletzky (NY 1623/1960)
24/7693 Juarez v. Primework Construction (NY 160728/2017)
25/4984 Judson v. Elliott Management Corporation (NY 652185/2021)
25/2348 K. C. v. Archdiocese of NY (NY 950100/2021)
24/2933 Kambia Chios Realty v. Ulica First Insurance (BX 803210/2021)
25/2075 Karsch v. Falcon Rappaport & Berkman (NY 655205/2023)
25/5364 Kattireifar v. NY-Presbyterian (NY 162653/2015)
25/4416 Kay v. Banchik (NY 654454/2023)
25/4571 Kaye v. Merchant Factors Corp. (NY 650974/2024)
25/3123 Khatskevich v. Victor (NY 151658/2014)
25/2291 Kingdon Associates v. WBC Services (NY 158068/2024)
25/3740 Knights v. City University of NY (NY 100716/2024)
24/7913 Kocaj v. Derosse Jr. (BX 803599/2022)
25/917 Krifca v. Bronx-Lebanon Special Center (BX 303938/2015)
25/4934 Kunz v. Cedric Y. (NY 530187/2025)
25/4504 Lafferty v. Avila (NY 805399/2022)
25/6213 Lapinski v. MIP One Wall Street (NY 150585/2022)
24/7215 Lara v. Mount Sinai Hospital (NY 805250/2020)

seeks a wide range of highly qualified candidates. The current salary of the position is \$229,908 per annum (effective 1/1/2026).

Candidates should submit hard copies of the applications to the physical address below and email a copy to: Magistrateapplications@nysdc.uscourts.gov.

Edward A. Friedland
District Court Executive
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 820
New York, NY 10007-1312

An original plus fifteen (15) copies of a cover letter, resume and application must be received by May 1, 2026.

Application forms are available on the Court's web site: www.nysdc.uscourts.gov.

NYS COURT OF APPEALS AND APPELLATE DIVISION DEPARTMENTS

Primary Election Appeals

The Clerks' Offices of the Court of Appeals and the Appellate Division Departments release the following joint scheduling announcement:

The Appellate Division Departments have scheduled and reserved the following dates to consider appeals related to the June 23, 2026 primary elections:

First Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 (May 13 if necessary)

Second Department: Wednesday, May 13, 2026

Third Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

Fourth Department: Tuesday, May 12, 2026

The Court of Appeals has scheduled Tuesday, May 19, 2026 to consider appeals and motions for leave to appeal related to primary election matters.

All parties, election boards, and affected courts are expected to proceed expeditiously with all phases of election matters so that the requirements of these special sessions and the Election Law can be met. Please consult with the respective Clerks' Offices for details about meeting the timing requirements of each Court.

Because there is limited time available between the primary election sessions of the Appellate Division Departments and the Court of Appeals, parties who seek to have matters placed upon the Court of Appeals motions or appeals calendars must contact the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office for filing instructions promptly (usually immediately upon release of the Appellate Division decision).

NEW YORK CITY BAR

Bar Announces Leadership Transition At Lawyer Assistance Program

The New York City Bar Association has announced that Eileen Travis, founding director of the City Bar's Lawyer Assistance Program (LAP), will retire after a quarter century of transformative service to the legal profession. Meredith Heller, a former chair of the City Bar's LAP Committee, has been named Travis's successor.

U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT JUDGES

Part 1 Assignments For After-Hours Emergency Relief

The assigned Part 1 Judge monitors requests for emergency relief after business hours that are submitted by using the below contact information.

The Part 1 Judge will respond as soon as available. Absent contacting the Part 1 Judge directly, an emergency application filed outside of business hours will not be reviewed until the next available business day.

Emergency application instructions may be found in Rule 13.19 of the ECF Rules.

Weekdays 5 p.m. 8:30 a.m.;

Weekends;

Court holidays and closures

March 23-April 5: Koeltl, J., Room 14A; (212) 805-0222

April 6-April 7: Swain, L. T., Room 17C; (212) 805-0417

April 8-April 9: Liman, L., Room 15C; (212) 805-0226

April 10: Woods, G., Room 12C; (212) 805-0296

April 11-April 19: Swain, L. T., Room 17C; (212) 805-0417

25/1517 Molcomb Estates v. CMB Export Infrastructure (NY 653343/2024)
25/5067 MPOW Technology v. Amazon.com Services (BX 652815/2025)
25/5050 Mt. Arlington BH v. Mt. Arlington Equity (NY 653552/2024)
24/5337 Munoz v. Britt Realty (BX 29571/2018)
25/8345 Najera v. EIB Studio Square (BX 29753/2019)
23/6215 Napoli v. Bern (NY 159576/2014)
21/3777(2) Napoli v. Bern (NY 159576/2014)
25/2923 Nastahowski v. Ellozy (NY 805229/2020)
25/3191 National Football League v. US Specialty Insurance (NY 654411/2022)
25/3924 Nationstar Mortgage v. Gomez (BX 35757/2015)
25/5772 Neman v. Kone (BX 805184/2024)
25/739 Nichols v. Red Hawk (NY 15598/2018)
24/6485 Nochi Blue v. Board of Managers (NY 651571/2020)
25/3150 NY Concrete Corp. v. Contract Dispute Resolution (NY 155173/2024)
25/4975 NYC H and H Metropolitan v. Morezni (NY 531082/2025)
25/2763 Oasis Investments v. Mo (NY 652607/2023)
25/6103 OCFBrook Holdings v. Sprung (NY 154460/2024)
25/1708 O'Sullivan v. American Golf Corp. (BX 29772/2019)
25/2215 Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals (NY 160027/2022)
25/2216N Outlaw v. NYC Health & Hospitals (NY 155670/2023)
24/7851 P., Corby v. Deandra H. (BX V3526/2021)
25/2013 P., Julieann (NY N1392/2019)
25/1398 P., Solanyi v. Tirso B. (BX V2836/2017)
25/2287 Pabey v. Isabella Geriatric Center (NY 152540/2022)
25/7441 Pacheco v. Tishman Construction Corp. (BX 26440/2018)
25/6256 Palacios v. HSRE-EB York (NY 152167/2021)
25/9399 Pantoja v. Aviles (BX 806265/2024)
25/3827 Pantoja v. Rosario (BX 811284/2024)
25/3320 Parker v. 66 St. Nicholas Place (NY 161365/2020)
25/2612 Parker v. Simmons (NY 152852/2020)
25/7259 Paro Management Co. v. Allied World National (NY 655681/2021)
25/2187N Patterson, Belknap v. Marcus & Cinelli (NY 652711/2022)
25/8083 Peng v. Board of Managers of ACMOS (NY 652609/2024)
25/347 People of State NY v. National Rifle (NY 451625/2020)
25/6701 Perez v. Kew Gardens Dev (NY 453196/2017)
25/3498 Perez v. Parkside Terrace (BX 28976/2018)
25/4802 Perez v. Tanya Towers, Inc. (BX 285192/2020)
25/5821 Peribinder v. Peribinder (NY 151094/2024)
25/4371 Pettinato v. EOR-Rivertown (NY 159909/2016)
25/5213 Picard v. Cosby (NY 952063/2023)
25/967 ProSight Specialty Management Co. v. Altruus Group (NY 653775/2023)
25/2560 Pryor Cashman LLP v. Wiener (NY 653689/2024)
24/6664 Qi v. Famous Sichuan NY (NY 650984/2022)
24/4009 R. C., an Infant v. Jaffe (NY 805288/2018)
25/2350 R. G. v. Archdiocese of NY (NY 950014/2020)
25/2488 R.M., Children (BX N703/2025)
25/4801 Ramone v. Frey (NY 650309/2024)
25/1217 Raskin v. Related Management (NY 157814/2019)
25/6472 Reese v. City of NY (BX 32170/2018)
25/3405 Reid v. Veloz-Espinal (BX 809102/2021)
25/5492 Renatus Construction LLC v. WBL SPE III, LLC (BX 816374/2024)
25/3018 Richardson v. CE Solutions Group (NY 653369/2021)
25/7473 Rivadeneria Faula v. Auxiliary of Elmhurst Hospital (BX 816408/2024)
25/2961 Robbs v. Kanan Productions (BX 803251/2021)
25/108 Robinson v. Desouza (BX 21579/2020)
25/3078 Robles v. Fordham Valentine Associates (BX 816226/2021)
25/3651 Rodriguez v. Riverside Center Site (NY 157144/2017)
25/3318 Rohlfing v. 75 St. Nicholas Place (NY 150676/2020)
25/2829 Rojas v. 444-451 Orange Buildings (NY 158841/2019)
25/2897 Rosario v. C.C. Controlled Combustion (BX 816166/2021)
25/5770 Rosario v. Mani (BX 22538/2015)
25/2703 Royster v. City of NY (BX 26001/2016)
25/2887 Royster v. City of NY (BX 26001/2016)
25/2926 Rozof v. D. Karnofsky, Inc. (NY 657124/2017)
25/4489 Rubino v. HSBC Bank USA (NY 650001/2022)
25/3522 Rudzikewycz v. 164 West 79th Street Corp. (NY 152685/2018)<

25/2652 People v. Deizon Guithy (BX 74010/2023)
23/2003 People v. Demetrius Harvard (NY 1234/2020)
25/1910 People v. Deshante Page (BX 71715/2023)
20/1742 People v. Devon McNiell (BX 1510/2018)
23/6132 People v. Devon Pleasant (BX 425/2021)
24/5570 People v. Djiguiba Fofana (BX 70269/2022)
19/5378 People v. Efrain Martinez (BX 99057/2018)
18/1432 People v. Elgidio Lind (BX 3399/2012)
22/1886 People v. Elijah Feldman (NY 548/2018)
24/5883 People v. Emejanu Marable (BX 73397/2022)
23/5117 People v. Eric Fullwood (BX 74218/2022)
24/2013 People v. Eric Santiago (BX 70905/2023)
18/1408 People v. Erwin Canady (BX 2173/23)
22/5804 People v. Eugenia Pedraza (BX 58/2000)
24/5363 People v. Fransys Ramirez (BX 619/2021)
24/6555 People v. Freddy M. Ortega (BX 71227/2023)
17/2041 People v. Frederick Mendes (BX 2262/2011)
23/2928 People v. Frederick Then (BX 1453/2018)
25/4823 People v. George Hurtado (NY 75453/2023)
24/5364 People v. George Turner (NY 75453/2023)
24/2346 People v. Gerardo Gutierrez (BX 70828/2022)
17/138 People v. Horace Coleman (BX 2859/2012)
20/4864 People v. Issiac Daniel (BX 806/2018)
22/1162 People v. James Ingram (NY 3488/2019)
24/5572 People v. James Jackson (BX 205/2021)
18/4463 People v. James Williams (BX 1477/15)
18/291 People v. Jason Perez (NY 3961/2015)
18/2619 People v. Jason Polanco (BX 382/2015)
24/5229 People v. Jason Young (BX 803/2020)
18/4817 People v. Javid Dore (BX 2049/2013)
20/10-01624 People v. Javier Pantojas (BX 504/7)
19/3510 People v. Javon Segrede (NY 5066/16)
17/2093 People v. Jean Guillen-Beltre (BX 384/2015)
17/2864 People v. Jeffeth James (BX 15654/2012)
24/6778 People v. Jeremy Grant (BX 73722/2023)
24/6970 People v. Jermaine Vanderhorst (NY 70961/2023)
24/4053 People v. Joe Laubriel (BX 986/1997)
24/4888 People v. Jordan Muldrow (BX 70936/2022)
23/2579 People v. Jose Gonzalez (BX 680/2017)
24/5383 People v. Jose Prado (BX 336/2019)
24/1122 People v. Joshua Bosch (NY 542/2021)
20/3761 People v. Joshua Velez (BX 3130/2013)
19/892 People v. Juan Lantigua (NY 1211/18)
20/2150 People v. Julio Cuevas (BX 138/2019)
22/1179 People v. Julio Pena (BX 707/2019)
24/5257 People v. Karan Geist (NY 73296/2022)
23/5817 People v. Kawan Hernandez (BX 4771/2009)
24/5229 People v. Khalid Barrow (NY 70830/2022)
23/6275 People v. Khphruan Campbell (BX 481/2020)
24/583 People v. Kimani Williams (BX 70912/2022)
20/332 People v. Lavone Saleem (NY 2284/2018)
19/1868 People v. Lawrence Diliene (NY 1616/2017)
24/5633 People v. Leslie Farfan (NY 73417/2023)
22/4816 People v. Luis Gonzalez (BX 72224/2022)
24/3931 People v. Luis R. Nevarez (BX 72404/2023)
2012-03002 People v. Luis Torres (NY 5677/11)
19/2220 People v. Malachi Colon (NY 498/17)
23/44 People v. Malachi Reynolds (BX 73227/2022)
23/6658 People v. Marcus Jackson (BX 120/2020)
24/6006 People v. Marlon Alvarez (BX 679/2020)
25/2648 People v. Matthew Nicholas (BX 73555/2024)
25/2649 People v. Matthew Nicholas (BX 70869/2025)
24/1271 People v. Michael Antoine (BX 72119/2022)
19/5425 People v. Michael Brooks (NY 2094/2017)
25/156 People v. Michael King (BX 70954/2024)
22/274 People v. Michael Ross (BX 267/2018)
25/2633 People v. Michael Ross (BX 267/2018)
24/5633 People v. Mouhammed Doukore (BX 70794/2021)
20/1749 People v. Nakei Thomas (BX 1428/2018)
25/297 People v. Perin Jacobchuk (NY 74157/2022)
23/1399 People v. Phoenix Goines (NY 1186/2021)
18/1549 People v. Rasheed Davis (BX 99082/16)
24/4560 People v. Roderick Smith (NY 70862/2022)
23/5052 People v. Rolando Calderon (BX 705/2016)
22/5433 People v. Russell Smith (BX 130/16)
23/6220 People v. Samba Sall (BX 71317/2023)
23/4307 People v. Sean Santiful (BX 542/2021)
24/1560 People v. Shakiem Brunson (NY 72546/2023)
23/1967 People v. Shawn Soto (BX 73560/2022)
25/4821 People v. Skiboky Stora (NY 71945/2024)
25/5577 People v. Skiboky Stora (NY 71945/2024)
25/7770 People v. Skiboky Stora (NY 71945/2024)
24/266 People v. Steven Street (BX 70928/2023)
17/2961 People v. Taquan Johnson (NY 2380/15)
25/1312 People v. Terrell Williams (NY 74898/2023)
24/3325 People v. Timothy Brooks (BX 70717/2023)
19/1859 People v. Tyler Alvarez (NY 962/18)
25/2919 People v. Tyler Hibbert (NY 75379/2024)
25/2769 People v. Tyler Hibbert (NY 7238/2024)
24/5022 People v. Tyriek Anderson (BX 71407/2023)
22/2012 People v. Tyrone Adams (BX 781/2021)
24/5625 People v. William Coleman (BX 70275/2024)
24/2580 People v. Wilson Garcia (NY 73351/2022)
19/5331 People v. Loftus Letang (BX 2015/2017)
23/6595 People v. Kevin Bentley (NY 72475/2022)
19/3782 People v. Christopher Schiff (NY 165/2018)

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, J.J.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26 10 A.M.
150713/24 Szalkiewicz v. Liu 452967/24 Shona Mechanical v. Vanguard Construction
FRIDAY, MARCH 27 9 A.M.
154336/24 Barros v. Hertz Vehicles LLC
12 P.M.
30534/19 Wagner v. Robinson

APPELLATE TERM

60 Centre Street Room 401

10 A.M.

Commencing with the April 2026 Term, all oral arguments at the Appellate Term, Department will be in person. Counsel and pro se litigants also have the option to submit.

MONDAY, APRIL 6

Brigantti, J.P., Tisch, Alpert, J.J.,

23/083 People v. Torres, Jose 25/741/142 423 Madison Ave LLC v. 423 Madison Ave Inc.
26/001-530 Manhattan Ave. v. Situ, John; Situ, Hui
26/0377 Lex & Third v. Vargas, Rosa; et al
26/052 Ferreras, Angel v. Hpd

26/054 875 River View v. Marzullo, Joanna

26/055 Regency Towers, LLC v. Udis, Arline

26/056 Cri 11 Howard St. v. Soho Hotel Owner LLC

26/058 Clermont York v. Zgodny, EJ

26/060/061 Pejudo Realty Corp. v. Bryk, Danny; et al

The following cases are on for submission. No appearance is necessary.

MONDAY, APRIL 6

Brigantti, J.P., Tisch, Alpert, J.J.,

26/004 Chappelaar, Jennifer v. Jerry A. Lubliner, M.D.; et al

26/007 Delta Realty Group v. Jin, Lifeng; Shi, Shuang Long

26/026 Sintim/Danosa, Priscilla v. Prestige Travel and Tour

26/053 Levine, Catherine v. Xu/Kehrli, Lili

New York County

SUPREME COURT

Ex-Parte Motion Part And Special Term Part

Ex-Parte Motions Room 315, 9:30 A.M.

Special Term Proceedings Unsafe Buildings

Bellevue Psychiatric Center Kirby Psychiatric Center Metropolitan Hospital Manhattan Psychiatric Center

Bellevue Hospital

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition, listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers, Mediation, and Special Referees.

IAS PARTS

1 Silvera: 252 (60 Centre)

2 Sattler: 212 (60 Centre)

3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre)

4 Kim: 418 (60 Centre)

5 Marcus: 320 (80 Centre)

6 King: 351 (60 Centre)

7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre)

8 Koller: 278 (80 Centre)

9 Capitri: 355 (60 Centre)

11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre)

12 Stroth: 422 (60 Centre)

13 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)

14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre)

15 Johnson: 116 (60 Centre)

17 Headly: 122 (80 Centre)

18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas)

19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre)

20 Kaplan: 422 (60 Centre)

21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre)

22 Chin: 136 (80 Centre)

23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas)

24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)

25 Parker: 1254 (111 Centre)

26 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre)

27 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas)

28 Tingling: 543 (60 Centre)

30 Grieco: 623 (111 Centre)

31 Waterman-Marshall: 335 (60 Centre)

32 Kahn: 1127 (111 Centre)

34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)

35 Perry-Bond: 684 (111 Centre)

36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas)

37 Cantanzaro: 1127A (111 Centre)

38 Crawford: 1166 (111 Centre)

39 Clynes: 331 (60 Centre)

40 Adams: 252 (60 Centre)

41 Moynese: 327 (80 Centre)

42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)

43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)

44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)

45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)

46 Lantry: 103 (71 Thomas)

47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre)

48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)

49 Zellan: 307 (80 Centre)

50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)

51 Wilkofsky: 289 (80 Centre)

52 Sharp: 1045 (111 Centre)

53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)

54 Schacter: 228 (60 Centre)

55 Augustine: 210 (71 Thomas)

56 Kyles: 204 (71 Thomas)

57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)

58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas)

60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)

61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)

62 Chesler: 328 (80 Centre)

62 Chesler: 1127A (111 Centre)

65 Kingo: (80 Centre)

MFP Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre)

MMSLP-1: 1127B (111 Centre)

IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule:

13 Silvera: 300 (60 Centre)

13 Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante (60 Centre)
ESC 2 Wikfield 106 (80 Centre)
ESC 3 Sealy 122 (80 Centre)
ESC 4 Gelfand 114 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREEES

60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354

75R Burzio: Room 240

80R Edelman: Room 562

82R Wohl: Room 501B

83R Sambuco: Room 528

84R Feinberg: Room 641

88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324

Edleman: D 562

Edleman: L 158

Norton: 342

Liu: 620

Lundberg: 620

Riley: 240

SPECIAL REFEREE

71 Thomas Street

Cabrera: 300

SPECIAL REFEREE

71 Thomas Street

Cabrera: 300

SUPREME COURT Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M.

60 Centre Street

SUPREME COURT Motion Dispositions from Room 130

60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130)

show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By) Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper.

With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjusted to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130)

ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated

SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.

WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.

SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.

APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.

SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.

S—Stipulation.

C—Consent.

C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.

FINAL—Adjournment date is final

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

Submission

1 101359/25 Mehmeti v. Karlin

2 101423/25 Morris v. Portilla

FRIDAY, MARCH 27

Submission

1 101350/25 D'Arata v. Heap

2 100055/26 Dawn Pn v. The People of The Court

3 101061/25 Fitzgerald v. Fire Dept. of NY

4 101178/25 Harris v. Nypd Comm'r. Tisch

5 101482/25 Manosov v. Duque

6 100165/26 Panient v. N.Y.C. Dept. of Health And Mental Hygiene

Paperless Judge Part

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

652109/25 11th Hour Service LLC v. Clearmd LLC

8504/14/25 198 East 7 Venture LLC v. 198 East Tennis Corp.

650072/26 45th St. Bkt Restaurant LLC v. Hightgate 2 Hotel LLC Et Al

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

850233/18 938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffford Housing

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

850233/18 938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffford Housing

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

850233/18 938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffford Housing

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

850233/18 938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffford Housing

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

850233/18 938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffford Housing

151810/23 Adams v. Northern Manhattan Nursing Home Inc D/b/a Northern Manhattan Rehabilitation And Nursing Center Et Al

159023/2570 Battery Park LLC v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

Part 26
Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 38

Part 28
Justice Alja Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
365401/24 Diodati v. Baker-Caldwell
365222/24 Koban v. Hechler
322100/22 Ninua v. Ochigava

Motion
365401/24 Diodati v. Baker-Caldwell
322100/22 Ninua v. Ochigava
Part 30V
Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

Part 33
Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

Part 34
Justice Dakota D. Ramseur
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4370
Room 341

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
158828/25 American Express Nat. Bank v. Sami A Boustany
952254/23 De Novais v. Wiley
952362/23 Doe v. Wiley
659269/25 Manhattan Hotel Parking Consultants v. Icon Parking Hldgs.
650125/26 Ray v. Helping Hands Logistics LLC Et Al
154623/21 Roberts v. Barta Furniture Et Al

FRIDAY, MARCH 27
161104/20 Ben-Dor v. Alchemy Consultant LLC Et Al
652497/25 Laundrylux Distribution LLC Also Doing Business As Automated Laundry Systems v. One Stop Facilities Maint. Corp.
156177/21 Noel v. 336 E 95th Rty. LLC

Part 37 IAS Part
Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

Part 39
Justice James G. Clynes
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3619

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
154415/24324 East 14th St. LLC v. NYC Et Al
650072/26 45th St. Bkt Restaurant LLC v. Highgate 2 Hotel LLC Et Al
153849/18 Broadbent v. Seaview LLC
650599/26 Buckshot Minerals v. Argos Utah I
154189/21 Gopaul v. Afab Industrial Services, Inc. Et Al
154787/21 Jones v. Sound Pearl LLC Et Al
159322/25 M. v. Kolesnik
155891/20 Montalvo v. Robles Rty. 157421/20 O'Neil v. Park Hotels & Resorts Inc.
652852/24 Perfecfaire Co. Inc. v. G Builders LLC
150671/18 Pickett v. Ambiance Wine LLC D/b/a
158059/22 Sedick v. York Hill Housing, Inc. Et Al
164546/25 Toepfer v. 235 East 73rd St. Owners Corp NY Et Al
160376/20 Verizon NY Inc. v. Con Ed, Inc. Et Al
158146/21 Woupees v. Tf Cornerstone, Inc. Et Al

FRIDAY, MARCH 27
150832/21 601 West 136 St. Hdcf v. Tsiropoulos
659188/24 Cornerstone Land Abstract LLC v. Chetrit 1412 LLC Et Al
650774/26 Lsc West 36th & 39th St LLC v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank N.A.
659872/25 Resolution Economics v. Carnegie Dental
651825/23 Wjip 2018 v. Bomel San Diego Equities LLC Et Al

Part 43
Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
651082/26 Aeon Acquisition I Corp. v. Chardan Capital Markets
650995/23 Arena Special Opportunities Fund v. Arbor One Escrow, Inc. Et Al
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv. v. Johnson
651635/20 Centurylink Communications v. Adesta LLC
653623/22 Global Leadership Foundation v. Bank of Hope Et Al
652367/12 Sfr Hldgs. Ltd. v. Rice

Motion
650820/26 Belveron Partners Fund V Jv. v. Johnson
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
650995/23 Arena Special Opportunities Fund v. Arbor One Escrow, Inc. Et Al

Motion
650995/23 Arena Special Opportunities Fund v. Arbor One Escrow, Inc. Et Al

Part 40TR Judicial Mediation
Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
654214/23 150 Amsterdam Ave. Hldgs. LLC v. Lecerese
160505/21 Abiodun Awodein v. Israel Bolton, Ubrekifix Repair Parts Co., Et Al
151932/23 Ai. v. Hubert
805363/17 Almonte v. Shaukat Md
150502/16 Alvarez v. 471 West 144 LLC
153681/20 Baldwin v. Dd West 29th St. LLC.
159752/20 Bartolotta v. Long Island RR. Co.
151875/14 Belli v. Melohn Properties, Inc.
159714/18 Berkowitz v. NYC
158705/20 Cain v. Long Island RR.
650938/23 Cullen v. Board of Mgrs. Et Al
805258/20 D. v. NYCH&C And 157511/22 Dixon v. Mai
150572/23 Doe v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al
157440/14 Dorset v. 285 Madison Owner LLC
158752/12 Dowell v. 3920 Bwy. Rest. Inc.
161240/21 Goldman v. Riverview II Preservation
152895/21 Green v. NYC
159548/24 H. v. NYC Et Al
157799/20 Herrera-Mendez v. 125 Broad St. Condominium
151128/22 Hightower v. NYC Et Al
153464/21 Holmes v. Urban American Mgt. LLC Et Al
365059/18 Irish v. Triton Const. Co. 151502/24 Jenkins v. NYC Et Al
150336/23 Kelly v. Lawrence Brody 156492/18 Korzev v. Broad St. Plaza
156787/22 Marquez v. Animal Care And Control of NYC, Inc. D/b/a Animal Care Centers of NYC Et Al

156018/23 Mousiades v. NY Prop. Ins. Underwriting Assoc.
157677/18 Nicholas Industries v. Nasdi
161075/21 O'Connor v. Richter
157449/23 Pena v. NYC
159890/22 Petion Guzman v. Bsf
574 West 161 St. Hldg. LLC Et Al
162748/15 Price v. Riverside Radio Dispatcher, Inc. Et Al
150913/19 Quezada v. NYC
155220/24 R. v. NYC Et Al
159885/17 Ramos v. Ford Foundation
155096/23 Ripoli v. Choi
162317/19 Rizwan v. Nuwest Logistics LLC
152303/20 Rojas Artega v. Retail Grocers Group, Inc.
159969/19 Sanchez v. 181st St. Medical
158120/22 Smith v. NYC Et Al
150220/22 Staneski v. NYC
160906/19 Tsounis v. Henegan Const. Co., Inc.
656916/17 U.S. Bank Nat. v. Central Business Solutions
158450/15 West 63rd Empire Associates v. Consol. Edison Co.

City Cases
161075/21 O'Connor v. Richter
Part 44
Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3370
Room 321

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
656063/25 American Express Insurance Company v. Levron Inc
659370/25 Banman Lippelman v. Lippelman Partners
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
164582/25 Law Office of Jack Jaskaran v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al

Part 45 Commercial Div.
Justice Anar Rathod Patel
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3632
Room 428

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
650339/26 Newtech Investment Holdings v. Orgenesis Inc. Et Al
650403/26 Parikh v. Vice Capital Partners
650870/25 Sabby Volatility Warrant Master Fund Ltd. v. Srivaru Hldg. Ltd.
650581/25 Seenn Media Group v. Henderson
164661/25 Under Article Seven Mrl Tr Et Al v. Miller
654911/23 Universal Lending Depot v. Quontic Bank

FRIDAY, MARCH 27
154273/23 Lateral Investment Management v. Marcum
653775/22 Newpage Garden Grove v. Wells Fargo Bank
659538/24 Ria R Squared, Inc. v. Shinhan Securities Co., Ltd.

Part 48 Commercial Div.
Justice Andrea Masley
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3265
Room 242

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
654322/13 Gerasimowicz v. Aslanis
651789/24 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt

FRIDAY, MARCH 27
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
655777/19 Eian McMillan v. Out-Look Safety LLC
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
161281/24 Forethought Life Ins. Co. v. 3 East 54th NY LLC Et Al
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Motion
653261/24 Ai Amped I.v. Hanson
655341/23 Altamira Investments II v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
651696/19 Amit Doshi v. Besen
652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650086/26 Caplan v. Colaku
653221/24 Enterprise v. Shvo
653606/25 Heritage Rty. Services v. Amtad
653936/25 Jabil Inc. v. Mavener Systems, Inc.
655615/23 Metro. Partners Group Admin. v. Blue Apron Hldgs., Inc.
659877/24 Pattern Energy Group Lp v. Perillo
650625/26 Rir Hldg. Corp. Et Al v. Nichani
650621/25 Rubin v. Masrani
651072/22 Thompson v. Nelson
654432/24 White Rock Ins. (sac) Ltd v. China Const. Bank Corp. Et Al

Court Calendars

Part 54 Commercial Div.
Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

THURSDAY, MARCH 26
655831/2521 Cleveland LLC v. 21 Cleveland Pl. Corp.
659621/25 Arc Home v. Astar Home Capital, Inc. Et Al
651660/24 Bayonne B.v. Barrington Media Group
656442/25 Patriarch President Capital v. Erdos
650245/26 Rachel T. Young v. Young
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.
656004/25 The Board of Mgrs. of The 514 West 24th St. Condominium v. 514 West 24th Owner LLC Et Al
657060/21 The Travelers Indemnity Co. Et Al v. Fischbach
659917/25 Young v. Chou

Motion
655831/2521 Cleveland LLC v. 21 Cleveland Pl. Corp.
659621/25 Arc Home v. Astar Home Capital, Inc. Et Al
651660/24 Bayonne B.v. Barrington Media Group
656442/25 Patriarch President Capital v. Erdos
650245/26 Rachel T. Young v. Young
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.
656004/25 The Board of Mgrs. of The 514 West 24th St. Condominium v. 514 West 24th Owner LLC Et Al
657060/21 The Travelers Indemnity Co. Et Al v. Fischbach
659917/25 Young v. Chou

Motion
655831/2521 Cleveland LLC v. 21 Cleveland Pl. Corp.
659621/25 Arc Home v. Astar Home Capital, Inc. Et Al
651660/24 Bayonne B.v. Barrington Media Group
656442/25 Patriarch President Capital v. Erdos
650245/26 Rachel T. Young v. Young
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.
656004/25 The Board of Mgrs. of The 514 West 24th St. Condominium v. 514 West 24th Owner LLC Et Al
657060/21 The Travelers Indemnity Co. Et Al v. Fischbach
659917/25 Young v. Chou

Motion
655831/2521 Cleveland LLC v. 21 Cleveland Pl. Corp.
659621/25 Arc Home v. Astar Home Capital, Inc. Et Al
651660/24 Bayonne B.v. Barrington Media Group
656442/25 Patriarch President Capital v. Erdos
650245/26 Rachel T. Young v. Young
651073/24 Stolyarov v. G6 Materials Corp.
656004/25 The Board of Mgrs. of The 514 West 24th St. Condominium v. 51

816534/24 Arroyo-Falcon v. Mrijaj
804153/24 Blanco Mejia v. 551-565
West 190 Prop. LLC
816902/22 C.R. v. Con Ed
810958/21 Callejas v. Mostar Rlty.
LLC Et Al
808767/22 Canals v. Bolivar
Ventures II LLC
811695/23 Cordero v. 2707 Briggs
Rlty. LLC Et Al
28912/18 Francis v. Alam
807653/22 Gonzalez v. 1120 Wyatt
LLC Et Al
803482/23 Gonzalez v. Chestnut
Hdgs. of New York, Inc. Et Al
801012/22 Harrison v. 315 Eastco
LLC Et Al
26223/21 Jones v. Bronx Center For
805653/22 Liburd v. P.C. Richard &
Son
809764/25 Martinez v. 1344 Univ.
LLC Et Al
813399/24 Nieves v. West Farms
Preservation Housing Dev. Fund
Corp. (a N.Y. Not-For-Profit
Corp.) Et Al
821413/24 Ramirez v. M 1035 C G
LLC
20995/19 Reyes v. NYCHA
816268/22 Reynoso v. 2917 LLC
804154/23 Salaverria v. A & E
Surfaces Co. Inc.
801677/25 Sanchez Pelaez v.
Cadman Plaza North Inc.
25149/16 Santos v. Montefiore
Medical Center
809692/23 Thomas v. Fitness Int'l
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
811592/21 Varela v. Sky Zone
Trampoline Park Et Al
Part 9/33
Justice Myrna Socorro
Phone 718-618-1625
Room 708, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
823691/25 Abreu v. NYC Et Al
805792/23 Anzante v. NYCTA Et Al
30489/20 Bello v. NYCTA Et Al
802089/26 Belton v. NYC Et Al
801543/26 Campbell v. NYC Et Al
21896/18 Castellar v. NYC Et Al
802253/23 Castillo v. NYC Et Al
826158/25 Cedeno v. NYC Et Al
82648/25 Contreras v. NYC Et Al
825743/25 Dennis Jr. v. NYC Et Al
809610/25 Diabate v. NYC Et Al
24226 Dixon v. NYC
804803/25 Dollard v. NYC Et Al
805944/25 Figueroa v. NYC Et Al
826010/25 Ford v. NYC Et Al
813453/22 Foy v. NYC Et Al
815467/22 Gonzalez v. The Metro.
Transportation Auth. Et Al
811038/24 Grant v. Vets Transits
2981/25 Harnett v. NYC
809031/25 Henry v. NYC Et Al
824410/25 Hernandez v. NYC Et Al
809602/25 Hunter v. NYC Et Al
823246/25 Jacobs v. NYC Et Al
825654/25 Jimenez v. NYC Et Al
823248/25 Lopez v. NYC Et Al
803844/23 Mack v. NYC Et Al
823503/25 Matos v. NYC Et Al
807110/21 McCants v. NYC Et Al
826432/25 McClean v. NYC Et Al
806107/24 Mensah v. NYC Et Al
308225/25 Mil' chamot v. NYC Police
Dept.
25212/19 Miranda v. NYC
801435/25 Moreau v. NYCTA Et Al
811301/22 Orr v. NYCTA Et Al
815958/25 Pagan v. NYC
809586/25 Pena v. NYC Et Al
808612/25 Pessant v. NYC Et Al
809423/23 Pillich v. Garcia
822634/25 Quinones Hernandez v.
NYCTA Et Al
825924/25 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al
820762/24 Rodriguez v. NYCTA Et Al
8116140/21 Roman v. NYCTA Et Al
809278/25 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
825403/25 Rosario v. NYC Et Al
804805/25 Samuel v. NYC Et Al
808347/25 Sanchez v. NYC Et Al
800648/25 Santana-Feliz v. NYC Et
Al
819859/25 Schultz v. Ali
304695/13 Temour Beckett
And Yaniris Torres As
Co-Administrators of The Estate
of Shirley Scott v. NYC
821021/25 Shah v. NYC Et Al
26049/14 Sherrills v. NYC
817616/22 Simms v. NYC Et Al
25183/18 Simpson v. NYC
820236/23 Sonomahoro v. NYC
814240/23 Tartt v. NYC Et Al
27848/19 Torres v. NYC
818873/24 Torres v. NYC Et Al
808749/26 Underwood v. NYC Et Al
22813/15 Valcaceret v. NYC
8119947/24 Vargas Jr. v. NYC Et Al
820220/24 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
826172/25 Vasquez v. NYC Et Al
809611/25 White v. NYC Et Al
815497/24 Williams v. NYCTA Et Al
805765/25 Williams v. NYC Et Al
MONDAY, MARCH 30
808645/24 Aguado v. NYCTA
(NYCTA) Et Al
32226/18 Bachkar v. Manhattan And
Bronx Surface NYCTA Et Al
800036/21 Baidis v. NYC
20531/19 Berrios v. NYCTA
816027/24 Borman v. Avis Budget
Car Rental LLC Et Al
23690/14 Brown v. NYCTA
24058/19 Campbell v. NYCTA
301568/15 Cardona v. MARSTOA
809555/21 Carlo v. NYCTA Et Al
800236/21 Carroll v. Metro. NYCTA
Et Al
301301/14 Casanova Mejia v.
NYCTA
819049/23 Cherry v. NYC Et Al
20528/18 Choy v. NYCTA
815182/24 Christina Almanzal v.
The Metro. Transportation Auth.
Et Al
32862/19 Compton v. NYCTA
24134/20 Coss v. Adams
33058/20 Crespo v. Calix
802345/22 Dukuray v. Metro.
Transportation Auth. Et Al
808322/23 Duncan v. City
818004/24 Galicia v. NYCTA Et Al
816127/21 Gonzalez v. NYCTA
804222/22 Hamilton v. The NYCTA
Auth.. Et Al
805882/22 Hawkins v. NYCTA Et Al
818517/22 Henaov v. The NYCTA
Et Al
20344/17 Hernandez v. Zimmerman
802947/21 Hill v. Clark
801462/24 Hinds v. NYC Et Al
802302/22 Idefonso v. NYCTA Et Al
802185/22 Joseph v. Metro.
Transportation Auth. Et Al
30510/18 Lopez v. NYCTA
24332/16 M v. NYCTA
305326/13 Maldonado v. NYCTA
26853/17 Mariuena v. NYCTA Et Al
21449/18 Martin v. NYCTA
306649/14 Martins v. NYCTA
32817/20 Melo v. Ruiz
28242/20 Mendoza Galindo v.
NYCTA (NYCTA) Et Al
21623/20 Mitchell v. NYCTA
812908/22 Morales v. Spatola
815095/22 Morel v. NYCTA Et Al
802377/25 Ngakoutou v. NYCTA
(NYCTA) Et Al
801523/21 Nunez v. Mta Bus Co.
35684/20 Okolo v. John Doe Et Al
20422/18 Ouedraogo v. NYCTA
802842/21 Pagan Calderon v.
NYCTA
22082/20 Pena v. NYC Et Al
22551/14 Pereira v. NYCTA

Court Calendars

806108/24 Hutchinson-Turner v.
Febbo
815461/23 James v. Wilson
822826/25 Leon Pinzon v. Mowatt
810355/21 Lopez v. Unifirst Corp. Et
Al
800682/22 Maldonado-Mestre v.
508-514 Willis Ave. Rlty. Corp. Et
Al
805537/23 Marino v. Cordero
22807/18 Mejia v. Vargas
804567/21 Manna v. Baldet
801746/22 Nana-Montgomery v.
Khalifa
822659/25 Nieves v. Nicefellow Rlty.
LLC Et Al
815084/23 Olea v. Marke
817706/23 Pervais v. Milea Leasing
Corp. Et Al
811401/23 Ramos Gutierrez v.
Smith
811216/23 Ramos v. Sobro Monroe
Court Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
805787/25 Rivera v. Med Trans LLC.
Et Al
807521/23 Roque Rosario Ortega v.
Lorinda Enterprises
802661/23 Santiago Hernandez v.
Rony Lino. Corp.
202326/18 Somoy Media Ltd. v. Star
Cable Na Inc.
801846/23 Taveras De Rosario v.
Medellin
806176/24 Vargas v. Keenan
803285/24 Villanueva v. Doe
Part 18
Justice Wanda Y. Negron
Phone 718-618-1203
Room 602, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
808608/24 Cheng v. Yan
817289/25 Cleary v. Cleary
1746/23 Harris v. Harris
4483/04 Martinez v. Martinez
802670/25 Williams v. Leiba Jr.
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
800895/25 Fajardo v. Atancuri
5603/24 King v. King
818505/24 Pelosi v. Pelosi
811461/24 Torres v. Torres
MONDAY, MARCH 30
819347/22 Aquasvivas v. Aquasvivas
820681/23 McLeod v. McLeod
Part 19
Justice Alicia Gerez
Phone 718-618-1377
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
70331/21 Doe v. Taylor
MONDAY, MARCH 30
23495/20 Jones v. NYC
Part 20
Justice Veronica G. Hummel
Phone 718-618-1240
Room 408, 9:30 A.M.
Part 21
Justice Matthew Parker-Raso
Phone 718-618-1435
Room 706, 9:30 A.M.
MONDAY, MARCH 30
30868/20 Almanzar Reyes v. Ywa-
Amsterdam LLC
29979/18 Arias Valerio v. Downtown
NYC Owner
30604/20 Arias v. Universal Mgt.
32730/20 Cabrera Sanchez v. 1435
Tenants Corp.
813184/23 Ceasay v. Carmine Ltd.
Et Al
820068/23 Ennis v. El Sol
Contracting And Const. Corp. Et
Al
2872/120 Gaytan Nicolas v. Tammuz
31140/19 Gikeneh v. 277 Park Ave.
204620/20 Ismael Maldonado Molina
v. Mlg 904 Housing Dev.
811577/23 James v. Jerome Heights
LLC
29809/20 Kastrati v. Cord Meyer
Dev. Co.
26413/20 Leon v. 1490 Southern
Housing
32408/18 Ling v. New
31858/18 Luniewski v. Unitec
Elevator Co.
818068/23 Murrillo v. Ppc
Residential LLC Et Al
817148/22 Nesbitt v. McWilliams
23819/19 Ogando v. Serviam
Heights Housing
33458/19 Ovando Zepeda v. 540
Fulton Owner LLC
81281/18 Pacheco v. Mayore Estates
LLC
31723/18 Pacheco v. 91 Central
Park West
301578/16 Payano v. McGowan
Builders, Inc.
20479/20 Podesta v. Procidia Const.
Corp.
24733/20 Ponce v. Victory One
Housing
805150/23 Rodriguez v. Centre
Point Developers LLC Et Al
32310/20 Rojas Castillo v. 1675
Westchester Ave.
802426/23 Sheppard v. McDonald's
Corp. Et Al
28785/20 Taylor v. Lendlease (us)
Const.
24970/19 Trochez Pineda v. 525
Sma Owner LLC
26935/16 Waggeh v. Barney's New
York, Inc.
Part 22
Justice Marissa Soto
Phone 718-618-1193
Room 709, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
802467/23 2400 Johnson Avenue
Owners v. Bennett
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
29729/18 Dennis v. NYC Et Al
MONDAY, MARCH 30
804218/26 Application of St.
Patrick's Home For The Aged
And Infirm v.
23673/20 C.S. v. 60 East 196 LLC Et
Al
Part 24
Justice Shawn T. Kelly
Phone 718-618-1248
Room 623, 9:30 A.M.
Part 25
Justice Mary Ann Briganiti
Phone 718-618-1252
Room 407, 9:30 A.M.
FRIDAY, MARCH 27
802180/26 Stardate Future v.
Prudential Assigned Settlement
Services Corp. Et Al
Part 26
Justice Paul L. Alpert
Phone 718-618-1617
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
817836/24 Acosta v. Lora Paez

809331/24 Avila v. Jsaf Capital LLC.
Et Al
30874/19 Aviles v. Concourse
Village, Inc.
815451/22 Bajaha v. Isaac
813462/22 Becerra v. Domino A
Partners LLC Et Al
808085/22 Bota v. Greene Hill
821986/24 Bushati v. 128 West 95
820148/23 Cachique v. Hp Atlantic
Plaza Towers Housing Dev. Fund
Co., Inc. Et Al
30059/19 Castillo v. 2420 LLC
811789/22 Charley v. Lux Credit
Consultants
803319/25 Chen v. Barst
28830/19 Chever v. Bronx
Boulevard Housing
316990/20 Cruz v. 2156 Units LLC
808062/21 Cruz v. NYCHA
802071/25 Cruz v. 235 West
Kingsbridge Road LLC Et Al
801192/24 De Garcia v. West 3
Equities Corp.
818990/24 De Los Santos v. Acharya
806625/23 Escotto v. NYCHA
800463/22 Escudero v. Belmont Ave
2321 LLC Et Al
801395/25 Freytes v. Daybreak
Independent Services, Inc. Et Al
812295/25 Fuentes v. Quezada-
Santos
805462/24 Fuerte v. Mena
814189/24 Garcia v. Leesel
Transportation Corp. II Et Al
808774/23 Gonzalez v. New Era
Estate Inc. Et Al
21166/15 Guzman v. Apollo Radio
Dispatch Inc
819466/24 Hernandez v. Hph Court
81927/22 Hollingsworth v.
Shprite of Bruckner Blvd Et Al
801760/22 Jordan v. Small
803500/22 La Patrona Restaurant
Corp. v. Matsia Properties Corp.
821530/24 Lopez v. Gvc II Inc. Et Al
809553/22 Lopez v. 2735-37 Marion
Ave LLC Et Al
80300/25 Martinez v. 3530
Equities LLC
806261/21 Martinez v. 2001 Story
Tower A
817457/22 Mejia v. 1 West LLC Et Al
807551/22 Mendez v. Huntington
Ave.
812595/23 Monteza Guevara v.
Chatterton Heights LLC Et Al
808584/24 Moreen Williams-Fagon
v. Conrad Hughes Et Al
803977/23 Nieves v. 2105 Walton
Ave. LLC
806622/25 Ortiz v. Britton Rlty. LLC
Et Al
811594/24 Perdomo v. Law Firm of
Nonna Shikh Esq. Et Al
808522/22 Perez v. 282 E. 169th
806456/22 Pimentel Mella v.
Newstart Rlty. Housing Dev.
Fund Co., Inc. Et Al
812312/25 Ramroop v. Mathew
801819/25 Restituyo Brito v. L.L.C.
Trucking Corp. Et Al
815837/23 Rivas Peraza v. Green
Point Landing Lot 6 LLC Et Al
803030/22 Rivera v. Queens
Ballpark Co. LLC
32566/18 Rivera v. Kingsbridge
Group LLC
809735/21 Sanchez v. Diego
Beekman Mutual Housing Assoc.
Housing Dev. Fund Corp.
23165/19 Sekosan v. A Bronx
Women's Medical
816531/21 Smith v. Dollar Tree
Stores, Inc. Et Al
810701/24 Solano Aquino v. Alpha-
Dynamics Ltd.
805333/21 Soler v. 76 West 86th St.
Corp.
813188/24 Souko v. Landron
811054/23 S11 Rlty. Co. v. Carroll
819582/23 Valdez v. 1489 Food Corp.
810206/23 Vigne v. Farrell
816880/23 Works v. Citigroup Inc.
D/b/a Citibank, N.A.
816967/25 Zapata v. Bella Bus Corp.
Et Al
816632/25 Zheng v. Degennaro
MONDAY, MARCH 30
25100/16 Ahsanuddin v. Carde
801383/23 Alox v. Unimac Developer
806435/22 Alim v. 2486 Morris
Ave. LLC
812600/21 Alvarado v. B'way.
Ventura LLC
803567/23 American Transit Ins.
Co. v. Colon
800394/23 American Transit Ins.
Co. v. Martinez
810007/23 American Transit Ins.
Co. v. Mendez
813462/24 Becerra v. Domino A
Partners LLC Et Al
3040520 Blumhagen v. 1465 Rlty.
806451/23 Brito v. Melkies
805249/23 Bush v. S & A Bainbridge
LLC Et Al
816795/25 Caccavallo v. Meta
Platforms, Inc.
804226/22 Campbell v. Pichardo
2230 Restaurant Corp. Et Al
801169/25 Cano Zapata v. 1380 Wpr
Partners
817157/23 Carlos Regalado
Pichardo v. Bp Assets 62 LLC Et
Al
817193/24 Castillo-Ferreira v. Calle
Avila
816145/24 Castro v. Alam
808696/22 Colon v. NYC Et Al
32820/20 D. v. Bx Dev. LLC
813767/24 De Jesus v. Masny
803240/23 Diaz v. NYCHA
821421/24 Encarnacion v. Poe
Affiliates L.P. Et Al
809037/24 English v. Dominguez
3910/23 Evans v. Village Care Max
32540/20 Figueroa v. U-Haul Co. of
NY And
820055/23 Galindez v. Qc Gerard
LLC
820146/25 Genao v. Google LLC
817634/23 Hernandez v. Soto
805134/25 James v. Singh
81757/24 Jimenez v. Brito
26826/20 K. v. West Fordham
Restaurant
807615/22 Martin v. Church Ave.
Rlty. Corp. Et Al
807115/24 Medina v. 1495 Morris
Ave Rlty. LLC Et Al
800498/24 Melendez v. NYCHA
801020/25 Molehuddin v. Elrac
801997/23 Mohtai v. 850
Jennings Ave. LLC Et Al
808601/24 Neville v. Ruane Deli of
Edgewater, Inc.
816216/22 Newkirk v. Williams
811105/21 Nunez v. Galaxy Storage
One
817596/23 Nunez v. Ann-Gur Rlty.
Corp.
31595/20 Oviedo-Alzate v.
Waterbridge Court Square
819176/22 Perez v. Cap 2291
Universe
814322/21 Perez v. 250 E. 178 St
Hsing De Rlty. LLC Et Al
816020/23 Post Road Plaza Nails &
Spa Inc v. 3552 E Tremont Ave
LLC Et Al
801619/23 Pujols De Los Santos v.
Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. Et
Al

817637/23 Sanchez-Thabit v.
Maisonet
803820/24 Santos v. Cunningham
802278/21 Shah v. Coulibaly
817980/22 Shuler v. Victor
801303/22 Simon v. American
United Transportation Inc. Et Al
805511/23 Skinner v. Diallo
807786/24 Soto v. Melendez
806898/23 Stevens v. Diallo
814876/23 Suarez v. Seniorcare
Emergency Medical Services,
Inc. Et Al
816528/23 Sumpter v. Venture
Leasing LLC. Et Al
819047/23 Tapia-Lucero v. NYCTA
Et Al
811268/24 Tarax v. Rodriguez
817472/23 Tejada v. Duran
803159/24 Torres v. Mojo Working
Systems L.L.C. Et Al
811146/23 Twumasi v. Aybaree
808681/24 V. v. Enterprise Rent A
Car Et Al
819033/23 Velez v. Oppong Asante
813658/23 Victoria Model v. Murillo
816963/23 Villafane v. Filco Carting
Corp. Et Al
808315/24 Wigfall v. Eyr Beverage
Corp. Et Al
820214/23 Williams v. Sarmiento
804401/24 Williams v. Muriqi
806337/23 Williams v. Logan Bus
Co. Et Al
CRIMINAL TERM
Part SCA
Justice Villegas
Phone 718-618-1378
265 East 161st Street
Room 390, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Karim Allah
Part IDV-SCT
Justice Flores
Phone 718-618-1067
265 East 161st Street
Room 420, 9:30 A.M.
Part JD/T
Justice Lieb
Phone 718-618-1097
265 East 161st Street
Room 320, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Lionel Baker
Torey Baker
Devere Barnhill
Christian Carattini
Eric Fleischer
Tyler Ford
Tyriek Gladney
Alexander Guerra Garcia
Alex Hussy
Joyce Johnson
Elhaj Keita
David Maldonado
Carlos Pujols
Carlos Robinson
Quashonn Stewart
Donya Strong
Vitali Tsaykov
Jovon Turner
Leonard Watson
Jaiquan White
Part TRP
Justice Yearwood
Phone 718-618-1103
265 East 161st Street
Room 340, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Joshua Burnside
Stephanie Castillo
Luis Delacruz
Kevin Hawse
Part 11
Justice Mitchell
Phone 718-618-1076
265 East 161st Street
Room 450, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Allan Algarin
Kennell Elvin
Jadore Jackson
Nayshon White
Part 12
Justice Michels
Phone 718-618-1016
265 East 161st Street
Room 660, 9:30 A.M.
Part 14
Justice Busching
Phone 718-618-1034
265 East 161st Street
Room 690, 9:30 A.M.
Part 15
Justice Park
Phone 718-618-1019
265 East 161st Street
Room 500, 9:30 A.M.
Part 17
Justice Bowen
Phone 718-618-1106
265 East 161st Street
Room 350, 9:30 A.M.
Part 18
Justice Fabrizio
Phone 718-618-1007
265 East 161st Street
Room 620, 9:30 A.M.
Part 19
Justice Collins
Phone 718-618-1025
265 East 161st Street
Room 680, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Reedly Ahmed
Emilio Berrios
George Burns
Miguel Cano Garcia
Elvin Carbonell
Luis A. Castillo
Daniel Cruz
Heuris Cruz
Jeremy Cruz
Jaime Drakes
Alejandro Gonzalez
Julius Graham
Kymase Holmes
Nasean Jackson
Todd Johnson
Kanye Lucas
Axel Martinez
Jahiem Ponce
Shawn Quiles
Khadir Quiles
Kelvin Woods
Part 21
Justice Powell
Phone 718-618-1133
265 East 161st Street
Room 650, 9:30 A.M.
Part 22
Justice McCormack
Phone 718-618-1001
265 East 161st Street
Room 600, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Yenfri Ramirez

Part 24
Justice Hornstein
Phone 718-618-1073
265 East 161st Street
Room 440, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Ysmael Acosta
Anthony Anon
January Bailey
Neswa N. Barlow
Rashawn Beason
Junior Bonilla Agramon
Juan S. Coste
Angel W. Cuevas
Dante Dennis
Varian Gatewood
Jaleel C. McDuffie
Wade Miller
Diamond Nicholls
Wilfredson Ramos
Edgar Rentas
Philip Ricardo
Tyrek Thompson
Joshua Torres
Desean Turner
Part 26
Justice An
Phone 718-618-1109
265 East 161st Street
Room 360, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Dharmy Rodriguez
Pedro Silva
Part 27 (DV)
Justice Stone
Phone 718-618-1031
265 East 161st Street
Room 590, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Mohamed S. Kamara
Joseph Martinez
Part 28
Justice Clancy
Phone 718-618-1049
265 East 161st Street
Room 360, 9:30 A.M.
Part 29
Justice Rodriguez-Morick
Phone 718-618-1118
265 East 161st Street
Room 430, 9:30 A.M.
Part 31
Justice Rosenbluth
Phone 718-618-1022
265 East 161st Street
Room 670, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Adonis Almonte
Sebastain E. Barrientos
Sebastian Barrientos
Donavon Bernard
Jahsiah Biscombe
Tyronne Butler
Kelvin Cacho
Christopher Campbell
Maurice Carter
Daquan English
Steven Evans
Wilfredo Febus
Wilredo Febus
Ruben Fernandez
Michael Findley
Justine Frederique
Jeremy Hearne
Tyronne Howard
Jahim Hudson
Jaelin Huger
Jose Lopez
Delfy Mateo Sanchez
Tysawn McClure
Eric J. Mcinnis
Nymy Mitchell
Ahmed Mussa
Demani Owen
Genao Polanco
Abinadel Ramos
Landerwy Reyes Encarnacion
Christopher Rodriguez
Felardy Rosario
Evan Russell
Marsid Sela
David Soto
Devonte Winstead
Iver Zuniga
Part 32
Justice Roberts
Phone 718-618-1019
265 East 161st Street
Room 500, 9:30 A.M.
Part 33
Justice Isales
Phone 718-618-1046
265 East 161st Street
Room 380,
Part 70
Justice Lewis
Phone 718-618-1094
265 East 161st Street
Room 330, 9:30 A.M.
Part 71
Justice Steed
Phone 718-618-1004
265 East 161st Street
Room 310, 9:30 A.M.
Part 75
Justice Bruce
Phone 718-618-1043
265 East 161st Street
Room 540, 9:30 A.M.
Part 77
Justice Goldberg
Phone 718-618-1058
265 East 161st Street
Room 550, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Savian Carino
Kamren Sanders
Part 96
Justice Morales
Phone 718-618-1082
265 East 161st Street
Room 460, 9:30 A.M.
THURSDAY, MARCH 26
Boussatha Abdelkarim
Tariq Abdul-Majid
Joel Agostinelli
Jeremiah Almonte
Alpha Barry
Ivan Cruz
Shariff Downing
Ali H. Fares
Jonathan Haskins
Christian Lopez
Dillanjah Reynolds
Amiin Royal
Amidu Said
Didie Senne
Asimere Thompson
Adryan Torres
Raafay Vasquez
James Woolridge
SURROGATE'S COURT
Surrogate
Nelidia Malave-Gonzalez
Phone 718-618-2350
Courtroom 406

Need a smart Expert Witness?

ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

www.almexperts.com

888-809-0133

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

Second Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

THURSDAY, MAR. 26

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Duffy, J.P., Dowling, Taylor and Love, JJ.

24/06152 People v. Ramsay, Orlando R. (N)
24/06156 People v. Ramsay, Orlando R. (N)
18/05323 People v. S. (Anonymous), Rakheem (K)
23/07651 People v. Iregui, Christopher (Q)
22/01304 People v. Novotny, Kyle S. (P)
23/02878 People v. Delgado, Jose (K)
24/02738 Matter of Xin Wang v. Zhiyong Duan (Q)
24/02741 Matter of Xin Wang v. Zhiyong Duan (Q)
24/04256 Matter of Volcy-Thelisma v. Nwabunor (S)
24/03313 Matter of Smith v. Munoz (K)
25/01956 Duran v. FLA Mortgage Capital 2 LLC (Q)
23/09027 Rogoff v. Long Island University (K)
25/04460 Reyes v. Chapman (S)
25/01740 Beale v. K Mart Corporation (S)
24/07836 Del Vecchio v. Gangi (N)
25/01377 McLeod v. Atlantis Operating LLC (K)
23/09200 Rivera v. M. GS Talukder (Q)
24/12980 Atlantic Adult Day Care Center Inc. v. Temple Beth El of Manhattan Beac (K)
25/03558 Atlantic Adult Day Care Center Inc. v. Temple Beth El of Manhattan Beac (K)
25/00593 Lee v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (Q)
24/00416 Matter of 11-19 Foam Place Holdings, LLC v. Niblack (Q)
25/01314 Matter of New York State Division of Human Rights v. Boston Market Corp (O)
24/09101 BH Sterling Realty LLC v. Longoria (K)

FRIDAY, MAR. 27

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Dillon, J.P., Wooten, Ventura and Ottley, JJ.

25/03549 Matter of Baldwin v. Peterkin (Q)
25/03552 Matter of Baldwin v. Peterkin (Q)
25/03956 Matter of Branch v. Lee (K)
23/08723 Matter of Skills v. Skills (Q)
22/07334 Matter of Deutschmann v. Deutschmann Partners, LLC (Q)
25/02208 Matter of Deutschmann v. Deutschmann Partners, LLC (Q)
22/09867 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Potenza (P)
24/12871 U.S. Bank National Association v. Iqbal (Q)
24/08064 Matter of VN Property Inc. v. Byrne (N)
25/06371 Marziliano v. Place to Beach (K)
25/01489 Kelly v. Magarie (S)
24/05911 J. S. Makar, N.A. v. Morrison (Q)
24/13474 Evaristo v. GH 441 1st Fee (K)
25/01776 U.S. Bank Trust National Association v. Khan (Q)
25/10288 GCM Funding LLC v. Pulse Nail Spa In Buford LLC (Q)
25/05553 Buitrage v. Cascade 553, LLC (K)
21/06572 Matter of Kinsella v. New York State Public Service Commission (K)
25/04702 Fung v. Exxon Mobil Oil Corporation (K)
25/01384 Perkins v. 34-215 St. LLC (Q)
22/00669 Rajpalasingh v. Hidden Pond at Old Westbury, LLC (Q)
25/04458 Innes v. Ignis Development Inc. (S)

MONDAY, MAR. 30

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Iannacci, J.P., Brathwaite Nelson, Landicino and Goldberg Velazquez, JJ.

25/03017 People ex rel. Larson, on behalf of Kirtan v. Spano
25/01047 People v. Latouche, Valery (RO)
23/07318 People v. Rivera, Luis (K)
20/03090 Hanlon v. Renwick (RO)
22/09480 O'Hanlon v. Renwick (RI)
21/03816 Cuautele-Rosas v. Caprio (K)
21/03818 Cuautele-Rosas v. Caprio (K)
24/19000 U.S. Bank National Association v. Ali (N)
24/01415 Matter of United Realty Five LLC v. Niblack (Q)
25/11510 Matter of American Transit Insurance Company v. Pfeiffer (K)
25/00322 Intriago v. 18th Highline Associates, L.L.C. (K)
25/13523 N. v. Sigma Delta Tau Society (N)
24/12625 Kramer v. Harkins (N)
25/02691 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company Americas v. Taveras (K)
24/12816 Douglas v. Benjamin (K)
25/01822 Douglas v. Benjamin (K)
25/00490 Ford v. Montclair Care Center, Inc. (N)
24/09243 Matter of American Transist Insurance Co. v. Bliss Acupuncture, P.C. (K)
25/00707 Mortgage Assets v. Robinson (K)
25/11943 Rodriguez v. Duffy (S)
25/01357 U.S. Bank, N.A. v. Vetri (S)
25/01358 U.S. Bank, N.A. v. Vetri (S)
25/08113 Montero v. Ravitz (S)

TUESDAY, MAR. 31

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Connolly, J.P., Christopher, Warhit and Golia, JJ.

26/02908 People ex rel. Bleecker, on behalf of Ekpo v. Imperati (K)
25/01095 Matter of Gantz v. Kadoch (K)
25/03136 Matter of Pan v. Chen (K)
25/09382 Rivera v. Thomas (O)
25/04527 Alam v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (Q)
24/05457 Bank of New York Mellon v. Cohen (RI)
25/05129 Ruiz v. Town of Southampton (S)
25/02511 Sherpa v. SRF Partnership (Q)
25/02836 Pruessen v. Richard Capobianco, Inc. (S)
25/00470 Matter of Nostrand Drugs Rx, Inc. v. Church Mutual Ins. Co. (K)
24/12167 Anderson v. Pfanner (K)
25/04456 Tymoshenko v. Levitsky (K)
25/05521 Mizrahi v. Saraya (K)
24/10324 21st Mortgage v. Rosenfeld (K)

24/05163 Matter of Lindo v. Ponte (Q)
25/13778 Infante v. Manolarakis (K)
25/04785 Burton v. Gurung (K)
25/01027 U.S. Bank, National Association v. Nektalov (Q)
25/02465 Magpantay v. New York City School Construction Authority (Q)
24/06105 Callahan v. Montefiore Medical Center (W)
25/02979 Callahan v. Montefiore Medical Center (W)
23/00705 Sosa v. Gonzaga (Q)

MONDAY, APR. 6

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Genovesi, J.P., Dowling, Wan and Quirk, JJ.

22/04880 People v. Clark, Hakeem (Q)
24/02585 People v. Jackson, Hakim J. (N)
19/10324 People v. Percinthe, Smith (K)
24/08929 Matter of T. (Anonymous), Sophia; T. (Anonymous), Ezra; Administration (Q)
24/08930 Matter of T. (Anonymous), Sophia; T. (Anonymous), Ezra; Administration (Q)
24/07006 Matter of H. (Anonymous) v. D. (Anonymous) (Q)
25/07393 Matter of Jones v. Stewart (K)
24/12693 Matter of Battipaglia v. Battipaglia (RI)
24/12695 Matter of Battipaglia v. Johnson (RI)
23/00234 Matter of Mortimer v. Mortimer (P)
25/04432 Kenny v. Helleman (K)
25/04962 Irish v. Territorial Emerald, LLC (K)
24/11481 Marin v. Reyes (S)
23/10690 Chassen-Allman v. D'Avanzo (Q)
24/00849 Chassen-Allman v. D'Avanzo (Q)
25/05017 Henshaw v. Chelsea DHC LLC (D)
25/08010 799 Crown Street, LLC v. Leblanc (K)
23/10584 Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Leder (S)
25/05717 UMB Bank, National Association v. 68 Colonial, LLC (N)
24/10367 Beach Mynan at Summer Shul, Inc. v. Booth (S)
25/03726 Knesees Israel of Sea-Gate v. Goldberg (K)
24/11838 D'Alonzo v. Kanj (N)
24/11889 MVP Realty Holdings, Inc. v. Salinas (K)

TUESDAY, APR. 7

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

LaSalle, P.J., Chambers, Taylor and Hom, JJ.

22/01178 People v. Brookings, Denard (K)
22/07827 People v. Owens, Corey M. (O)
24/13010 People of the State of New York v. Stewart (S)
24/02000 Matter of J.-E. (Anonymous), Kiyoshi; J.-E. (Anonymous), Brycen; Admi (Q)
24/02008 Matter of Jusinta J. (Anonymous)-E. (Anonymous), v. Administration for C (Q)
24/02165 Matter of J.-E. (Anonymous), Kiyoshi; J.-E. (Anonymous), Brycen; Admi (Q)
25/00436 Matter of D'Aleo v. Arlington Central School District (D)
25/02181 Eguozuwa v. Curb Mobility, LLC (Q)
24/04277 Midfirst Bank v. McKenzie (K)
25/06880 Midfirst v. McKenzie (K)
25/06883 Midfirst Bank v. McKenzie (K)
25/05038 Byalavets v. Cornerstone Group Management (K)
25/07714 Byalavets v. Cornerstone Group Management (K)
25/01071 Matter of Fernando v. Assessor of Village of Garden City (N)
23/10919 Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. v. Kumar (N)
24/01352 Citimortgage, Inc. v. Sergiadis (Q)
24/06725 Cherner v. Kronick (W)
24/02161 Matter of 23-25 Astoria Blvd LLC v. Niblack (Q)
25/08026 U.S. Bank National Association v. Diakhate (K)
25/05635 Matos-Matos v. City of Yonkers (W)
25/03061 Gomez v. Khatib (K)
22/06030 LaSalle Bank v. Blake (K)
25/05989 US Bank/LaSalle v. Blake (K)
23/09904 LaSalle v. Blake (K)
24/08195 LaSalle v. Blake (K)
24/03122 Wells Fargo v. Mutzen (K)

WEDNESDAY, APR. 8

10 A.M.

Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

Barros, J.P., Iannacci, Golia and Ottley, JJ.

21/07595 People v. Mejiachicas, Juber A. (S)
24/01449 Matter of Lord v. Carter (S)
25/02582 Kane v. Sevick (Q)
24/1129 Baier v. City of Long Beach (N)
24/04710 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Segall (R)
25/05875 Imitiaz v. Tapia (K)
23/07031 Ditech v. Holzman (K)
23/09975 MTGLQ Investors, LP v. Mazza (R)
23/10495 MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Mazza (R)
24/04602 MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Mazza (R)
24/10389 M. v. Andriotis (K)
24/08583 Perez v. Levister Redevelopment Company, LLC (K)

Kings County

SUPREME COURT

The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. All Justices, assigned parties and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions.

Please see the Justices' information sheets for further information regarding Uniform IAS practices and procedures.

Part Assignments/RJI

Intake Part

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1592
Room 282

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

505793/252274 Atlantic Ave. LLC v. Sakhawat Ullah
519757/2393 Ralph L.L.C. v. 91 Ralph LLC Et Al
500032/22 Adebowale v. Pv Hldg. Corp Et Al
533131/25 Adelaide Group LLC v. Wesco Ins. Co.
534204/25 Alparslan v. Castro Rojas 521615/24 Assad v. Medi Car Corp. Et Al
539167/25 Buriboev v. Kennedy 534083/25 Cabrera v. Prestigiacomo 532089/25 Chen v. Chen
518244/24 Chjen v. Ecny Electric, Inc. Et Al
506257/24 County Agency Inc. v. Cv Staffing Solutions
517339/25 David Weiss Accounting, Inc. v. Aus Inc. Et Al
535384/25 Davronov v. Henderson 517486/25 Delemons v. Alexander Rios
519708/25 Deutsch v. Bh 2145 58th St LLC Et Al
521804/25 Dos Santos v. Shulman 531507/25 Fenix Capital Funding v. South Florida Shells Inc./dba South Florida Shells Inc Et Al
525167/23 Fermisco v. Catechis 517283/24 Foremost Contracting & Bldg. v. 170 Marcy Ave.
51988/25 Forest Capital Group LLC v. Walley's Heating & Air Conditioning LLC Et Al
538069/25 Furino v. Teconic Engineering Consultants
519473/22 Goat Advence LLC v. Hawk Logistics 2.0 LLC Et Al
511667/25 Grunt v. Geo Master Inc 537143/25 Hasanov Capital LLC v. Samuel Hoffman
536408/25 Henry v. Robertson 540415/23 Hermenegildo v. Baltic Residence LLC Et Al
506304/25 Hoblastos v. Chatha 538992/25 Hoshaj v. Stein
514250/25 Ignacio v. Garcia 539109/25 James v. New Brownstone NYC Renovations Corp Et Al
505642/25 Janvier v. The Episcopal Diocese of Long Island Et Al
508736/24 Jerome v. Terzi 512626/25 Johnson v. Williams 538275/25 Kennedy v. 620 Maple St. LLC Et Al
505977/25 Lewars v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al
502026/22 Lincoln Hendricks v. Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation Inc.
538350/25 Mahlung v. Acquaye 528195/23 Mallin v. Carlier 521405/24 Marie C. Lemaire Revocable Trust v. Douglas Ellerman
531811/25 Martino v. Halikov 533775/25 Martorel v. Perniciario 504552/20 Melendez v. Tri-Med Home Care Services, Inc. Et Al
530119/24 Millan Sanchez v. Joy Const. Corp. Et Al
541486/25 Monahan v. Miller 539939/25 Nardelli v. Lee M.D. 526350/25 Pataud v. Kornfeld 516687/25 Pond v. Lyft Inc., Et Al
528528/23 Promska v. 68th St. LLC 535688/25 Quintal Contracting Corp. v. Arc Electrical & Mechanical Contractors Corp. Et Al
531956/25 Rojas Contrera v. A P Transport of Usa Corp Et Al
503310/23 Rue-King v. Park Terrace Care Center Inc. Et Al
543972/25 v. Foti
500732/23 Santos Vanegas v. 116 East 63rd St. Corp. Et Al
515287/25 Sarno v. Sam Rity. Group LLC
538244/25 Sarumeno Japa v. Advanced Disaster Recovery Inc. Et Al
521117/25 Sk Industries LLC v. Moore
508572/25 Soto v. Jenson Beverage Distributor Corp. Et Al
508953/25 Stokes v. M. And J. 1854 Nostrand Ave.
533426/24 Td Bank v. Aok Maint. Prods. Corp. Et Al
512952/25 Thrivefund Funding Group v. Kismet Enterprises
522898/25 Thrath Veavoda LLC v. 960 E3 LLC Et Al
521385/25 Tucker v. Sidibay 509610/25 Vargas v. Penn 1 LLC Et Al
517847/25 Virula v. Allstate Capitol LLC Et Al
541857/25 Webb v. 604 Wyona Plaza LLC
840/25 Whitefield v. Navy Fed. Credit Union
541511/25 Wynwood Capital Group LLC v. Lowell Martin Jr LLC Et Al
502319/26X Capital Group Corp. v. Hws Fab & Services LLC Et Al

Part ADR-COMM

Justice Richard Montelone

360 Adams Street
Phone 718-500-4012
Courtroom 574

Commercial Division

Part 4

Justice Lawrence Knipel

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

537587/22 Balarezo Teneasa v. 126 East 86 Street Development
502026/22 Lincoln Hendricks v. Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation Inc.
518967/22 Gugliemini-Generoso v. Carcarano
505038/25 Kai Funding LLC v. Horowitz

Commercial Division

Part 6

Justice Lawrence Knipel

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1630
Room 774

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

537587/22 Balarezo Teneasa v. 126 East 86 Street Development
538991/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1077 Rity. LLC
538986/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1114 Rity. Associates LLC
538987/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1388 Benson Rity. LLC
538989/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1656 Rity. LLC
538985/25 Flagstar Bank v. 2502 Rity. LLC
538984/25 Flagstar Bank v. 285 Hawthorne Rity. LLC
538978/25 Flagstar Bank v. 514 Rity. Associates LLC
502026/22 Lincoln Hendricks v. Center For Nursing And Rehabilitation Inc.
500695/26 Umb Bank v. 599 Lex 4 Max LLC
508009/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Lloyd Real Estate Services

Motion

522039/25 Athene Annuity And Life Co. v. 2920 Brighton LLC Et Al
510244/25 Cross County Savings Bank v. 528 Liberty LLC Et Al
509992/23 Forethought Life Ins. Co. v. Goldstein
517529/25 Golden Bridge R2 v. Leading Care LLC Et Al
508819/25 McCormick 101 v. 189 Schermerhorn Owners Co. LLC
523355/24 Ridgewood Savings Bank v. Redrock Properties LLC Et Al
517669/16 Schieber v. Huey
531530/24 Sig Cre 2023 Venture LLC v. 381 Marcy Lot LLC Et Al
525107/24 Spencer Savings Bank v. 230 President St.
503217/26 Trunorth Star Credit Acquisition 1 v. 497 Macon Wdcm LLC Et Al
521707/25 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Grove Rity. Inc.
534362/25 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. Ralph NYC LLC
522028/25 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Brown
507154/24 U.S. Bank Trust Co. v. Keyes Estates Inc.
530306/24 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Waterloo Equities LLC Et Al
512064/25 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Tower 91 Rity. LLC Et Al
530701/25 Wells Fargo Bank v. Belargo Jewelry LLC Et Al
525202/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Next Level Rity. LLC
509221/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 1646 46 LLC Et Al
537961/25 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. Royal Flatlands 7 LLC Et Al

FRIDAY, MARCH 27

530902/25 Abudshkriov v. Calderon
502253/26 An Infant By Her Parents And Natural Guardians v. Eva Creche Daycare Et Al
519442/24 Antoine v. Ryder Truck Rental LLC Et Al
540471/25 Balon v. Ahmed
543543/25 Bhuiya v. Atm World Corp Et Al
518632/25 Board of Mgrs. of The Absolute Condominium v. Namdar Myrie LLC Et Al
516147/25 Bobbie v. Prokopets
535317/25 Bristine v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC Et Al
519923/24 Bryan v. American United Transportation, Inc. Et Al
543625/25 Byers v. Danne Jr Md 531433/25 Cepeda v. 490 Myrtle Residential Owner LLC Et Al
501109/26 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Roco Solid Const. LLC Et Al
532817/24 Chen v. Tsinaide
533140/25 Clark v. NYCHA
543045/25 Congregation Damesek Eliezer Beth Torah v. Pupko
536787/25 Connolly Jr. v. Fix A Dent Inc (R)
532329/24 Coulon v. Bailey
530703/24 Daiz v. 11 Um Food Corp.
520162/25 Daniel v. Eagle
538575/25 Dileo v. Kashatus
539376/25 Dyer v. Bellgar Inc. Et Al
545440/25 East Hudson Capital LLC v. Standardlux Inc Et Al
523536/25 Etienne v. Target Corp. Et Al
537205/25 Flom v. Eggleston
524138/25 Francois v. Charitable 539121/25 Gandel v. Mainecon Lovers LLC Et Al
523703/23 Green Note Capital Partners Inc. D/b/a Green Valley v. Dsl Logistics
528277/25 Hall v. Eversley
538710/25 Harden v. Hamilton Park Multicare
527159/24 Hardy v. Doucoure
531175/25 He v. Code Const.
533546/25 He v. Kosumi
521079/25 Hossain v. City Liverty Leasing Et Al
527323/25 Jones v. Fabre
530356/25 Katsadea v. Khorganashvili
529959/25 Khasru v. Nasar
500687/26 Lau v. American United Transportation Inc Et Al
527131/25 Lora v. Ramelze
535569/25 Matuev v. Hatchell
527838/25 McGregor v. Morton Williams Supermarkets, Inc. Et Al
528755/25 McLeod v. Novella
526270/24 McMillian v. Walgreen Eastern Co., Inc. Et Al
542244/25 Meda v. Lopez
515525 Ming v. Cash
541530/25 Mirayes v. 930 Flushing Hldgs. W LLC
530326/25 Morris v. Ike
512157/25 Munroe v. NYCTA Et Al

506255/24 County Agency Inc. v. Match One Staffing
502312/14 Dollinger v. Landa
543384/25 In The Matter of The Application of The R. Kohanbashi Family Trust v. Panousopoulos
504086/15 Kopelevich & Feldsherova v. Geller Law Group
501587/25 Lentini v. Lentini
543759/25 Lentini v. Lentini
543770/25 Lentini v. Lentini
28225 McNish v. 458 Madison LLC
514654/21 Menotti Enterprises LLC v. Ray Builders, Inc. Et Al
506922/25 Reich v. Purslane LLC Et Al
507124/25 Salem v. City Practice Group of NY
501280/21 Shouela v. Shouela
544993/25 NYC v. Bikes By Olga LLC Et Al
514029/24 Yosef v. Hayat

Med Mal Early Settlement

Part 7

Justice Consuelo Mallafre

Melendez
360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9405
Courtroom 561

Default Judgment Motion Part

360 Adams Street
Courtroom TBA

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

Motion

535555/24 645 Bklyn Realty Llc v. Morton
535555/24 645 Bklyn Rity. LLC v. Morton
518652/24 Abrams v. 632 Vermont St. LLC
535067/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. 2 Feel Good Physical Therapy Et Al
528106/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Adult Health Np NYC PC Et Al
523182/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. All City Family Healthcare Center, Inc. Et Al
523317/25 Baror v. Morales
504803/22 Bastien v. 1101 Rity. LLC Et Al
525107/25 Bianchini v. Spicer
534700/22 Broadbrook Dev. Corp. v. The Crisis Bar
514307/02 Burekhovitch v. Tatarchuk
515982/24 Century Lefferts Pl. Partners LLC v. LeBert
518211/25 Chen v. Consol. Edition Et Al
510553/24 Cormier-Intsful v. Berkowitz
500452/25 Estate of Thomas G. Krupski v. Mas
528808/24 Estrada v. Persaud M.D.
513058/25 Guaman Romero v. Ll Const. Group Inc. Et Al
522369/25 Hernandez v. Run The King, Inc. Et Al
516397/25 Juan Spruill & Juan Spruill As Co-Administrator of The Estate of Joe Spruill v. Spruill
49/25 Krichevsky v. Matveev
528817/25 Mendez III v. Soalapha
520351/25 Monestime v. Ogunsanya
535343/24 Montosino v. Rameedier Houses Owner LLC Et Al
520429/25 Morris v. Santana Gomez
519345/25 Nunez v. Lipman
528431/24 Rosenwasser v. Tri State Kitchens Inc. Et Al
512729/25 Smart v. Smart
513695/25 State Farm Fire And Casualty v. Chavous
508822/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Johnson
510243/25 Swan v. Wright
461/25 Wilkins v. The Home Depot USA Inc.
529245/22 Williams v. Noteworthy Foreclosure LLC
514097/25 Yanez v. Drzewiecki

FRIDAY, MARCH 27

532380/21 Sauda v. Kaplan

Med Mal Trial Readiness

Part 7

Justice Ellen M. Spodek

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-296-1620
Room 723

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

520358/20 Mota v. Santiago Md

FRIDAY, MARCH 27

516300/21 Aguilar v. Girgis Md

1091815 Chaya v. Maimonides Medical Center

514602/21 Hayes-Riggins v. Oliver M.D.

500584/21 Hussain v. Hiram S. Cody

17596/10 Marsh v. Wyckoff Heights Medical

519341/24 Quishpi v. Singh M.D.

504919/21 Rosario v. Manuel M.D.

520676/22 Smith v. Witschneider M.D.

506390/23 Sylvia Winn v. Varshavsky M.D.

508943/19 Zakhary v. NYU Langone Hosp.

Med Mal Early Settlement

Part 5

320 Jay Street
Phone 347-296-1082
Courtroom 18.36

Med Mal Early Settlement

Part 6

Justice Genine D. Edwards

360 Adams Street
Phone 347-401-9799
Courtroom 775

THURSDAY, MARCH 26

522039/25 Athene Annuity And Life Co. v. 2920 Brighton LLC Et Al
503272/18 Camille v. Malloy
18924/12 Campbell v. Mukherji
502266/23 Choi v. Choicer
510244/25 Cross County Savings Bank v. 528 Liberty LLC Et Al
517214/19D. Rubio's Express v. Balanced Living Dev.
519274/16 Dominguez v. 372 Kosciuszko LLC
523896/20 Dwlitt v. One Edgewater Equities LLC
538991/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1077 Rity. LLC
538986/25 Flagstar Bank v. 1114 Rity. Associates LLC
538987/25 Flagstar Bank v.

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

#1 Global Legal Job Site

Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson

Phone: 212.457.7850

Email: crobertson@alm.com

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

THE INTIMACY ROOM MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPY PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/10/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 6247 NW 43rd Terrace, Boca Raton, FL 33496. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Marriage and Family Therapy. 21394 f19-Th m26

SYOSSET FAMILY DENTAL PLLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/13/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the PLLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the PLLC, 114 Colony Lane, Syosset, NY 11791. Purpose: For the practice of the profession of Dentistry. 22449 m12-Th a16

ALEXANDRA STEIN DMD, PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/27/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 1385 YORK AVE., APT #3B, NEW YORK, NY 10021. Purpose: Dentistry 22081 m5-Th a9

THE LAW OFFICE OF EDWARD COPELAND, PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/26/2026. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: P.O. BOX 150629, BROOKLYN, NY 11215. Purpose: LAW 22082 m5-Th a9

ELISABETH NAMDAR PSYCHOLOGY, PLLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/3/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC, 55 Watertown Lane, Great Neck, NY 11021. General Purposes. Any lawful activity. 22411 Mar12 th Apr16

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Root Cause Functional Medicine, PLLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 2/4/26. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in Texas (TX) on 8/1/25. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Name and address where applicable, the New York State license number of each professional within the foreign professional service limited liability company who is licensed to practice the profession or professions in New York State are available from SSNY. Cert. of Formation filed with TX Secy of State, POB 13697, Austin, TX 78711. Purpose: medicine. 21411 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Arlen Joy Caranay, NP in Psychiatry PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/30/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 53 E Grove St., Massachusetts, NY 11753. P/B/A: 17 State St, F1 40, New York, NY 10004. Purpose: any lawful act. 22351 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ACUPUNCTURE IN NEW YORK PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/2/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to P/B/A: 41 River Terrace, #1206, New York, NY 10282. Purpose: any lawful act. 19654 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Herbie Law PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/25/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 413 W 14th Street, Suite 200, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act. 22728 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Liam Reilly Psychology, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to P/B/A: 127 W 96th St, Suite 1B, New York, NY 10025. Purpose: any lawful act. 22644 M19 Th A23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

HUDSON POINT 575 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/11/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 484 East Shore Road, Kings Point, NY 11024. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 21396 f19-Th m26

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

LONG BEACH SOCCER CAMP LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/18/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 2950 Clark Ave, Oceanside, NY 11572. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 21434 f19-Th m26

MCD 135 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/10/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 155 Bryant Avenue, Roslyn Harbor, NY 11576. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 21390 f19-Th m26

ROMEO 575 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/11/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 484 East Shore Road, Kings Point, NY 11024. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 21397 f19-Th m26

SANDS 575 LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/11/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 1 Cedar Lane, Sands Point, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 21399 f19-Th m26

WS BT LLC Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 2/9/2026. Off. Loc.: NASSAU Co. SSNY design. As agt. upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 55 Watertown Lane, Great Neck, NY 11021. General Purposes. 21362 f19-Th m26

WS RR LLC Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 2/9/2026. Off. Loc.: NASSAU Co. SSNY design. As agt. upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 31 Court Ave, Floral Park, NY 11001. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22435 m12-Th a16

ALPHA TECHNICAL CONSULTING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/04/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 31 Court Ave, Floral Park, NY 11001. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22435 m12-Th a16

BAXT SURF AND SNOW LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/10/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 263 New York Ave, Long Beach, NY 11561. Reg Agent: Daniel Baxt, 263 New York Ave, Long Beach, NY 11561. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22441 m12-Th a16

GLOW & FLOW LLC Arts. of Org. filed with SSNY on 2/27/2026. Off. Loc.: NASSAU Co. SSNY design. As agt. upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 791 Main St., Farmingdale, NY 11735. General Purposes. 22370 m12-Th a16

GRISWOLD VFX LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/10/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Rosedale, Drapala & Sforza CPAs, 2001 Grove Street, Wantagh, NY 11783. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22437 m12-Th a16

HARMONY CARE MANAGEMENT SERVICES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/10/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Mohammad Aslam, 380 Merrick Ave, East Meadow, NY 11554. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22438 m12-Th a16

QUINCY BK. LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/09/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Trudiann Patrick, 471 N Broadway, 474, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22434 m12-Th a16

RCM CROSBY LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 2/24/2026. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Trudiann Patrick, 471 N Broadway, 474, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22434 m12-Th a16

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

RTTR HOLDINGS, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/10/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Thomas Scott Sippl, 19 Orchard Place, Bronxville, NY 10708. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22440 m12-Th a16

ARCHADIA GROUP, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/06/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 20 Vandeventer Avenue, Suite #100 East, Fort Washington, NY 11050. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 22817 m19-Th a23

RED LINE EQUITIES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/13/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 176 Mineola Boulevard, Mineola, NY 11501. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 22816 m19-Th a23

RUTHYS PEEK-A-BOO PLACE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/11/26. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 633 E 139th Street, Apartment #1, Bronx, NY 10454. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 22818 m19-Th a23

56 Inlet Road West LLC filed 10/20/26. Cty: New York. SSNY design. for process & shall mail to: Faith Hassan, 57 Vineyard Way, Aquebogue, NY 11931. Purp: any lawful. 22096 m5-Th a9

607 NP REALTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/25/26. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Pa-pagiannopoulos Law PLLC, 31-10 37th Avenue, Suite 301, Long Island City, NY 11101. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 22113 m5-Th a9

AMBT W 46TH LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/26/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 440 W. 44TH ST #1, NEW YORK, NY 10018. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22080 m5-Th a9

DUBICKI FARMS LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/04/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Tadeusz Dubicki, 52 Highland Rd., Glen Cove, NY 11542. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22088 m5-Th a9

EKAM ESTATE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/03/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Gagandeep Singh, 134 Cathedral Avenue, Hempstead, NY 11550. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22070 m5-Th a9

EKNOOR ESTATE LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/03/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Gagandeep Singh, 134 Cathedral Avenue, Hempstead, NY 11550. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22072 m5-Th a9

GOODNIGHT MOONBEAM LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/20/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: R. Seumarine, 234 Meyer Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22073 m5-Th a9

GWP EAST 34 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/02/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 220 WASHINGTON DR., WATCHUNG, NJ 07069. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22084 m5-Th a9

GWP EAST 40 LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/02/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 220 WASHINGTON DR., WATCHUNG, NJ 07069. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22086 m5-Th a9

SHANDAKEN HOMESTEAD LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/20/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: PO BOX 115, PHOENICIA, NY 12464. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22085 m5-Th a9

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

STUDIO CLUTTERBUG LLC. Filed with SSNY on 12/19/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 30 BROAD ST. 37TH FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10004. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 22063 m5-Th a9

1927 FULTON ST BRIDGE LLC. Filed 3/4/2026. Office: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 70 WEST 40TH STREET, FLOOR 11, NEW YORK, NY 10018. Purpose: General. 22419 Mar12 th Apr16

9 FRANKLIN STREET LLC. Filed 2/25/2026. Office: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 7507 AVENUE V, BROOKLYN NY 11234. Purpose: General. 22421 Mar12 th Apr16

CITYLOCK DEVELOPMENT, LLC. Filed 2/26/2026. Office: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 125 BROADWAY, HEWLETT, NY 11557. Purpose: General. 22420 Mar12 th Apr16

JAZMIN CASTRO LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/2/26. Office in Bronx Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC 554 Ellsworth Ave Bronx NY 10465. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22410 Mar12 th Apr16

MANHATTAN GLORY - WK LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/3/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC 205 W 78 St Apt 6C New York NY 10023. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22412 Mar12 th Apr16

WANDERING PARK PLACE LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/6/26. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. Agent of LLC upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to The LLC 3100 Buddy Owens Blvd #101 Mcallen TX 78504. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22413 Mar12 th Apr16

106 W105 Street LLC filed w/ SSNY 9/10/21. Off. in NY Co. Process served to SSNY - design. as agt. of LLC & mailed to the LLC, PO Box 1524, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632. Any lawful purpose. 21883 Mar5 th Apr9

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BRAND MYSTIQUE, LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 08/07/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 251 West 81st St, Ste 7E, New York, NY 10024. Purpose: any lawful act. 21414 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CellCare NYC LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/1/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 246 Grand Street, Suite 402, New York, NY 10002. Purpose: any lawful act. 21029 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ETERNAL JOB LOT LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/05/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 94 Chambers Street, Apt 5, New York, NY 10007. Purpose: any lawful act. 21235 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Goldie and Lulu LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/10/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 265 5th Avenue, suite 804, New York, NY 10016. Purpose: any lawful act. 21413 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HAMILTON PRODUCTIONS LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 10/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1249 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10029. Purpose: any lawful act. 18650 F26 Th A02

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 640 EVERGLADES ISLAND, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/13/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/02/14. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE agent of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808-1674. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22820 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of JX 34 LLC. Arts of Org. filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 2/3/26. Office location: New York County. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21409 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of OMI IPA, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/30/26. Office location: New York County. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 30 Montgomery St, Ste 1050, Jersey City, NJ 07302. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21410 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Press 4 Pets LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/20/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 63 Wall Street, New York, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful act. 21438 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SASSY BROTHERS HOLDINGS LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/11/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 329 South Oyster Bay Road, Plainville, NY 11803-3301. Purpose: Mobility Equipment Rentals. 21976 M05 Th A09

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SASSY ENTERPRISES EAST 15 LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/1/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 55 W 17th St, Apt 304, New York, NY 10011. Purpose: any lawful act. 21155 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of S. Field Consulting LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/7/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2129 Brookside Avenue, Wantagh, NY 11793. Purpose: any lawful act. 21031 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SHEY DJF GROUP LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/29/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 55 Broad St, New York, NY 10004. Purpose: any lawful act. 21436 F19 Th M26

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LETTER LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/26/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 840 Grand Concourse, 3 NN, Bronx, NY 10451. Purpose: any lawful act. 21825 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Studio 258 LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/17/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 258 St. Nicholas Avenue #3C, New York, NY 10027. Purpose: any lawful act. 22423 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of EMPIRE STATE ADR LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/5/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Peter de Boisblanc, 1460 Broadway, NY, NY 10036. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 21829 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HUDSON RILEY CONSULTING, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 5 Schenck Ave, Apt 3E, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful act. 22750 m19-Th a23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BeFriend ASD LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 11/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S #942489, New York, NY 10003. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 21957 M05 Th A09

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BOOTH HOUSE REALTY HOLDINGS LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/01/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 277 Broadway, Suite 106, New York, NY 10007. Duration: perpetual. Purpose: any lawful act. 21944 M05 Th A09

Notice of formation of Scoot Along 1 Mobility Scooter Rental LLC. Articles of Organization filed with the Secretary of State of New York (SSNY) on January 20, 2026. Office located in Nassau County. SSNY has been designated for service of process. SSNY shall mail a copy of any process served against the LLC at 329 South Oyster Bay Road, Plainville, NY 11803-3301. Purpose: Mobility Equipment Rentals. 21976 M05 Th A09

NOTICE of formation of TMTNT Management LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/18/2026. Office location: Nassau. SSNY designated as agent of Limited Liability Company (LLC) upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Heights Real Estate Company at the princ. office of the LLC. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22813 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of AMBIANCE WELLNESS AND BEAUTY LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 201 Winston Dr., Unit 222, Cliffs Park, NJ 07010. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22802 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of BRSMelan LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 335 Madison Ave., 14th Fl., NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 221 Cliffs Park, NJ 07010. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22802 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ISABEL'S VARIETY LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/3/2026. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 840 Grand Concourse, 3 NN, Bronx, NY 10451. Purpose: any lawful act. 21825 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Studio 258 LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/17/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 258 St. Nicholas Avenue #3C, New York, NY 10027. Purpose: any lawful act. 22423 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of EMPIRE STATE ADR LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/5/2026. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Peter de Boisblanc, 1460 Broadway, NY, NY 10036. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 21829 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of HUDSON RILEY CONSULTING, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/12/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 5 Schenck Ave, Apt 3E, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: any lawful act. 22750 m19-Th a23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 640 EVERGLADES ISLAND, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/13/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 06/02/14. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE agent of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808-1674. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22789 m19-Th a23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of NYC Advisory Group LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/15/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 250 E 53rd St, Apt 2402, New York, NY 10022. Purpose: any lawful act. 22727 M19 Th A23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of DIETZ-MOSS PUBLISHING LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/2/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against LLC to 5 E 33rd St, Apt 4B, New York, NY 10065. P/B/A: 244 Fifth Ave, Ste A248, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 22681 M26 Th A30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of OCEAN'S AWAY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY

SALES

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT BRONX COUNTY RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2013-TT2 BY U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, BUT SOLELY AS LEGAL TITLE TRUSTEE, Plaintiff against ANDREA DAVIS, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Knuckles & Manfro, LLP, 120 White Plains Road, Suite 215, Yonkers, NY 10591 and, Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered July 31, 2017, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Bronx County Courthouse, Courtroom 711 at 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York on April 13, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 851 East 220th Street, Bronx, New York 10467. Block 4679 Lot 13. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Borough of and County of Bronx, City and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is \$658,107.47 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 322261/2009. Cash will not be accepted at the sale. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 12th Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and the Bronx County foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Ricardo Quenou, Esq., Referee File # 2600-000011 21834 m12-Th a2

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT BRONX COUNTY HSDI I BRONX, LLC, Plaintiff against PHILIP EQUITABLES, INC., et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Zegen & Fellenbaum, 488 Madison Avenue, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered February 5, 2026, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Bronx County Courthouse, Courtroom 711 at 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York on April 27, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 304 East 139th Street, Bronx, New York 10454. Block 231 Lot 21. All that certain plot, piece, or parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate, lying and being in the Borough of Bronx, City and County of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is \$2,136,485.49 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 809581/2023E. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 12th Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and the Bronx County foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Sergio Marquez, Esq., Referee File # 809581/2023E 22602 m26-Th a16

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT NEW YORK COUNTY THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE FOR CERTIFICATESHOLDERS OF CVALT 2005-J2, Plaintiff against KENNETH C. KAUFMAN, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Leopold & Associates, PLLC, 80 Business Park Drive, Suite 110, Armonk, NY 10504. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered July 26, 2019, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at Room 252 at the Supreme Court, New York County, 60 Centre Street, New York, New York on April 28, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 350 West 50th Street, Unit 5X and Storage Space ST25, New York, NY 10019. Block 1040-1212 Lot 1028. The Condominium Unit (the "Unit") known as Unit No. 5X in the premises known as The Residences at Worldwade Plaza and by the primary street numbers 393 West 49th Street and 350 West 50th Street, Borough of Manhattan, County of New York and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is \$561,291.40 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 850145/2016. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 1st Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Referee will only accept a certified bank check made payable to the referee, Thomas R. Kleinberger, Esq., Referee File # 7754799 22462 m26-Th a16

NOTICE OF SALE

SUPREME COURT BRONX COUNTY KIAVI FUNDING, INC., Plaintiff against WESTCHESTER 3148 LLC, A NEW YORK LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, et al Defendant(s) Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Kelley Kronenberg, 111 Broadway, Suite 1205, New York, NY 10006, (800) 484-4381. Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale entered July 8, 2025, I will sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Bronx County Courthouse, Courtroom 711 at 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York on April 13, 2026 at 2:15 PM. Premises known as 3148 Westchester Avenue, Bronx, New York 10461. Block 4237 Lot 5. All that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Borough and County of the Bronx, City and State of New York. Approximate Amount of Judgment is \$1,180,540.58 plus interest, fees, and costs. Premises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judgment Index No 802064/2023E. The foreclosure sale will be conducted in accordance with 12th Judicial District's Covid-19 Policies and the Bronx County foreclosure auction rules. The Referee shall enforce any rules in place regarding facial coverings and social distancing. Sergio Marquez, Esq., Referee File # 0220826 21993 m12-Th a2

LIQUOR LICENSES

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that Application ID Number NA-0415-25-109759 for an On-Premises Bottle Club license has been applied for by the undersigned to permit the service of beer, wine, and spirits in a bottle club under the Alcoholic Beverage Control Law at Boots & Beer LLC located at 105 Wooster Street, New York in New York County for on-premises consumption. Boots & Beer LLC, 105 Wooster Street, New York, NY 10012 23187 m26-Th a2

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

248 EAST 33 LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sec'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/22/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o Goldberg & Bokor, LLP, 505 Chestnut St., Cedarhurst, NY 11516. Purpose: any lawful act. 23213 m26-Th a30

926 ROUTE 35 EVACB LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, C/O Short Term Capital LLC, 255 Glen Cove Road, 2nd Fl, Carle Place, NY 11514. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23203 m26-Th a30

ARNNO LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/20/2026. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to: c/o Dunn Lamber LLC, Tae Y. Cho, Esq., 15 East Midland Ave, Suite 3D, Paramus, NY 07653, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity 23195 m26-Tha 30

BROWVALE 5247 LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sec'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 135 West 103rd St, Manhasset, NY 11030. Purpose: any lawful act. 23216 m26-Th a30

DG WINES LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/24/2026. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: 440 Van Brunt Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11231, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 23210 m26-Th a30

EMERALD CUT CAPITAL LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Praveesh Nigam, 69W 45th St, 6th Floor, NY, NY 10018. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23202 m26-Th a30

KARA KOHN-GARDNER LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Kara Kohn-Gardner, 301 West 108th St, Apt 4F, NY, NY 10025. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23200 m26-Th a30

MBRBI, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Michael S. Bernstein, 111 East 85th Street, Apt 8D, NY, NY 10028. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23199 m26-Th a30

Penta Dev.145th LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sec'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o The LLC, One Plaza Rd, Greenvale, NY 11548. Purpose: any lawful act. 23220 m26-Th a30

TerrApex Environmental LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Sec'y of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/29/2026. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: c/o The LLC, 544 Parker Ave, Levittown, NY 11756. Purpose: any lawful act. 23215 m26-Th a30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Angelic Star Entertainment LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/8/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 142 Laurel Hill Terrace, #1B, New York, NY 10040. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 22023 M05 Th A09

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of RMM GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22805 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 1481 BOSTON ROAD LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 6/24/2025. Office location: BX County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1074 Morris Park Ave, Bronx, NY 10461. Purpose: any lawful act. 15876 M26 Th A30

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LES PLANCHES NY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/09/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 229 West 60th St., NY, NY 10023. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22801 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of TH135 LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22828 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE 1908 NEW YORK LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 650 Fifth Ave., 15th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22821 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF TRACEY TOWERS PRESERVATION LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 116 E 27th St., 11th Fl., NY, NY 10016. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Real estate investment. 22811 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF FORMATION of FFL Advisory, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State (SSNY) on 1/15/26. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Mr. Charles F. Lowrey, Jr., 320 West End Ave, Apt. 15N, NY, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful activity. 23192 Mar26 th Apr30

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

GO IVY TOWER LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/25/2026. Formed in DE on 02/20/2026. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 80 5TH AVE STE 1201, NEW YORK, NY 10011. DE SOS: 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful 22079 m5-Th a9

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 84 FISHKILL OWNER LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/30/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 1/28/26. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21401 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Alban, L.L.C. The fictitious business name is Alban Group, L.L.C. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/23/2026. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 12/8/2025. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 375 Ninth Avenue, New York, NY 10001. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal Street, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is D. E. Shaw & Co., L.L.C., Attn: General Counsel, Two Manhattan West, 375 Ninth Avenue, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21402 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of AVB Westbury Investor, LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 2/4/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 3/26/26. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21403 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PMGC GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22806 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Escapology Operations, LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/20/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Florida (FL) on 7/11/22. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. FL address of LLC: 11951 International Drive, Unit 2A1, Orlando, FL 32821. Cert. of Formation filed with FL Div. of Corps., 2415 N. Monroe St., Ste. 410, Tallahassee, FL 32303. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21405 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of IPX Power USA, LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/27/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 1/21/26. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21408 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of UNIFI SECURITY SERVICES LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/30/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 8/14/25. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Dover, DE 19901. The name and address of the Reg. Agent is C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, NY, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21412 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of SmartViz Analytics LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 01/12/26. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in Wyoming (WY) on 11/22/24. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 68 Opal St, Elmont, NY 11003. Cert. of Form. filed with WY Secy of State, Herschler Bldg East, Ste 100 & 101, Cheyenne, WY 82002. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 21647 F26 Th A02

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of EC RENOSOLUTIONS LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 9/26/2025. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Massachusetts (MA) on 5/10/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 227 W 29th St, Ste 6F, NY, NY 10001. MA address of LLC: 140 Union St, Ste 4, Westfield, MA 01085. Cert. of LLC filed with Secy. of Commonwealth of MA at 1 Ashburton Pl Boston, MA 02108. Purpose: any lawful act or activity. 22403 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Elite Care Rx, LLC. Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/15/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Florida (FL) on 03/19/2014. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Parapara Incorporated, 2804 Gateway Oaks Dr, #100, Sacramento, CA 95833. Address required to be maintained in FL: 1305 City View Center, Oviedo, FL 32765. Arts of Org. filed with the Secretary of State, FL Dept. of State, R.A. Gray Building, 500 South Broadway St., Tallahassee, FL 32399 0250. Purpose: any lawful activities. 22448 m12-Th a16

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PERSIMMON PROPERTIES 10567, LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/22/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in NV on 1/12/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Parapara Incorporated, 2804 Gateway Oaks Dr, #100, Sacramento, CA 95833. Address required to be maintained in FL: 1305 City View Center, Oviedo, FL 32765. Arts of Org. filed with the Secretary of State, FL Dept. of State, R.A. Gray Building, 500 South Broadway St., Tallahassee, FL 32399 0250. Purpose: any lawful activity. 22365 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 40 WEST 25TH STREET OWNER LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 12/02/25. Princ. office of LLC: 100 Ave. of the Americas, 16th Fl., NY, NY 10021. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22804 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of C STREET ADVISORY GROUP, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 07/13/21. Princ. office of LLC: 205 Madison Ave., Ste. 1200, NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., #4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Strategic advisory and communications. 22812 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Notice of Qual. of 24 WEST INVESTOR LLC. Authority filed with the SSNY on 03/24/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 03/23/2026. SSNY is designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 450 Seventh Avenue Ste 1703, NY, NY 10123. Address required to be maintained in DE: 310 Alder Rd, Po Box 841, Dover, DE 1990. Cert of Formation filed with DE Div. of Corps., 401 Federal St., Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 23201 m26-Th a30

NOTICE OF QUAL. of S3 RE 42-08 35TH AVE FUNDING LLC. Auth. filed with SSNY on 3/10/2026. Office location: New York, LLC formed in DE on 3/6/2026. SSNY desg. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY mail process to: 535 MADISON AVE, 19TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10022. Arts. of Org. filed with DE SOS, 401 Federal St., Suite 3 Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose. 22415 Mar12 th Apr16

NOTICE OF QUAL. of S3 RE EDGECOMBE FUNDING LLC. Auth. filed with SSNY on 3/10/2026. Office location: New York, LLC formed in DE on 1/28/2026. SSNY desg. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY mail process to: 535 MADISON AVE, 19TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY, 10022. Arts. of Org. filed with DE SOS, 401 Federal St., Suite 3 Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose. 22439 Mar12 th Apr16

NOTICE OF QUAL. of S3 RE EDGECOMBE MEZZ FUNDING LLC. Auth. filed with SSNY on 3/10/2026. Office location: New York, LLC formed in DE on 1/28/2026. SSNY desg. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY mail process to: 535 MADISON AVE, 19TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY, 10022. Arts. of Org. filed with DE SOS, 401 Federal St., Suite 3 Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose. 22442 Mar12 th Apr16

NOTICE OF QUAL. of SECURED UNIFRANCHE PROGRAM, LLC. Auth. filed with SSNY on 3/10/2026. Office location: New York, LLC formed in DE on 1/7/2026. SSNY desg. as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY mail process to: 600 MAMARONECK AVENUE, #400, HARRISON, NY 10528. Arts. of Org. filed with DE SOS, 401 Federal St., Suite 3 Dover, DE 19901. Any lawful purpose. 22433 Mar12 th Apr16

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 575 5TH RETAIL OWNERS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/06/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Tarter Krinsk & Drogin LLP, Attn: Brian J. Beller, Esq., 1350 Broadway, NY, NY 10018. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22798 Mar19 th Apr23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of DELTA SIERRA INTELLIGENCE LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 11/14/25. Princ. office of LLC: 520 N Pkwy., Golden Beach, FL 33160. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22823 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of ETERNAL LEO PRODUCTIONS LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/05/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 02/28/23. Princ. office of LLC: 9336 W. Washington Blvd., Culver City, CA 90232. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: c/o CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State of the State of DE, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22799 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PIM GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22808 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of PERSIMMON PROPERTIES 10603, LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/22/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in NV on 1/12/2026. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to NCH Registered Agent, 42 Broadway, FL 12-200, New York, NY 10004-3892. Cert. of LLC filed with Secy. of State of Nevada: 401 N Carson St, Carson City, NV 89701-4201. Purpose: any lawful activity. 22295 M12 Th A16

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Insight to Impact Partners LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/14/2026. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 56 W 105th St, Apt 3C, New York, NY 10025. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 22829 M19 Th A23

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 63 MADISON DP PARTICIPANT LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/12/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/05/26. Princ. office of LLC: 1 Van derbit Ave., NY, NY 10017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22827 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BELVERON REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/10/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 08/28/06. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 550 California St., Ste. 620, San Francisco, CA 94104. DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22810 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of BMZ GREEN NEWCO LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/11/26. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 03/04/26. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of the State of DE, c/o Div. of Corps., John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 22807 Mar19 th Apr23

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of DMM CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC. Authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 1/22/26. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 1/28/26. SSNY is designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 131 E. 69th St, Apt 4A, NY, NY 10021. DE address of LLC: 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity. 21404 f19-Th m26

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of ANS GROWTH, LLC. Application for authority filed with NY Secy of State (SSNY) on 3/6/2026. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in DE on 3/25/2024. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 131 E. 69th St, Apt 4A, NY, NY 10021. DE address of LLC: 131 Continental Dr, Ste 305, Newark, New Castle, DE 19713. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity. 22790 M26 Th A30

HOW COMPETITIVE IS YOUR FOOTPRINT BY PRACTICES?

Ask Legal Compass:
at.alm.com/legalcompass