

IN BRIEF

Ex-Greenberg Traurig Shareholders Launch LaPinta, Lesko & Miskiewicz

Former Greenberg Traurig shareholders and a noted Long Island criminal defense attorney have united to form the new firm of LaPinta, Lesko & Miskiewicz.

The firm, helmed by Anthony LaPinta, Mark Lesko and James Miskiewicz, will focus on civil and civil rights litigation, personal injury cases, environmental cases, and federal and state criminal defense.

"We've been friends and former trial adversaries for almost 25 years, and we've always had the utmost respect for each other," the trio said in a statement on Monday announcing the venture. "The opportunity to combine our talents and experiences to form a top-tier criminal defense and litigation law firm is extremely exciting."

LaPinta, Lesko & Miskiewicz will initially open locations in Hauppauge and Mineola, said the founding partners.

Lesko and Miskiewicz hail from Greenberg Traurig, where

for Economic Development at Hofstra University and Executive Director of Accelerate Long Island, a regional investment fund, and has served three terms as Brookhaven Town Supervisor.

Miskiewicz spent more than 25 years as an Assistant U.S. Attorney in the EDNY and a Trial Attorney in the DOJ's Environmental Crimes Section. While at the EDNY, he led the Organized Crime and Racketeering Section in Brooklyn, and spent several years as the Deputy Chief of the Long Island Criminal Division.

LaPinta has been a defense attorney in both state and federal court for 35 years. He is a past President of the Suffolk Criminal Bar Association and former Chairperson of the Ethics and Professionalism Committee of the Suffolk County Bar Association. LaPinta is a member of the New York State Grievance Committee for the Tenth Judicial District and is on the Board of Trustees for the Long Island Power Authority.

—Emily Saul

New York Lawmakers Poised To Pass Medical Aid In Dying Legislation After 10-Year Effort

New York—with endorsements from two bar associations—was poised to become the 12th U.S. state to allow for physician-assisted deaths for the terminally ill, backers said on Monday in Albany.

After 10 years of lobbying efforts, the Medical Aid in Dying Act, S138/A136, has enough support from the Senate Democratic majority — at least 33 of its 63 members — and a floor vote was expected later Monday.

The Assembly had already passed it by a slim margin, meaning it is certain to advance to Gov. Kathy Hochul, another Democrat.

The act would give mentally competent, terminally ill patients who are 18 or older access to prescription medications to hasten

» Page 4

Stonewall to Supreme Court: The Legal Evolution of LGBTQ+ Rights

» 3



Corey Klein being sworn in for a new judicial term that started on Jan. 1.

'Don't Try To Outlawyer Me': Judge Censured for Rude Comments to School Officials Who Bypassed His Son

BY BRIAN LEE

A LONG ISLAND judge who admitted he behaved rudely when he questioned local school officials' decision to bypass his son for valedictorian has agreed to be censured, New York's judicial watchdog said Monday.

The New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct's public discipline of Long Beach City Court Judge Corey E. Klein was also based on a determination that Klein unduly used his judicial influence to have a mechanical

boot removed from a professional acquaintance's car.

A city court judge since 2015—who started a new 10-year term in January—Klein and his attorney Deborah A. Scalise entered into an agreed statement of facts with the commission's administrator and counsel Robert H. Tembeckjian.

"It corrodes public confidence in the judiciary when a judge lends the prestige of judicial office to advance a private benefit," Tembeckjian said in a statement. "Doing so impulsively, in an unseemly public argument over

» Page 4

Blake Lively Gets 'It Ends With Us' Lawsuit Tossed

BY ALYSSA AQUINO

BLAKE Lively won a major battle in her closely watched legal fight with her "It Ends With Us" co-star, Justin Baldoni, convincing a Manhattan federal judge on Monday to dismiss claims that she and her team defamed the actor-director.

U.S. District Judge Lewis Liman of the Southern District of New York found that Baldoni hadn't adequately alleged that Lively had made any false statements to The New York Times, which ran a story in December on a harassment complaint that Lively filed against Baldoni in California's employment agency.

Liman found that Baldoni had alleged, at most, that Lively or a representative had given the Times an advanced copy of the complaint and related text messages. But the statements made in the complaint are covered by the fair report privilege, which protects the media for covering

statements made in an official proceeding, the judge said.

"The claim that the [California Civil Rights Department] painted a deceptively favorable picture in favor of Lively and took quotations out of context does not deprive Lively of the litigation privilege," Liman said in a 132-page opinion. "A litigant is not required to file a balanced pleading before an agency or in court; she is permitted to marshal the facts to tell her side of a contested story. And an adversary cannot invoke the law of defamation and defeat the privilege by contending that the allegations are untrue... he must respond in kind by telling his side of the story, as Baldoni has done."

For months, Lively and Baldoni have been embroiled in a bitter legal battle over the production and promotion of "It Ends With Us," which Baldoni directed and co-starred in.

Lively says that Baldoni harassed her on set and then orchestrated a smear

» Page 6

As SEC Rethinks Foreign Issuer Exemptions, Securities Lawyers Hope for Caution

BY DAN NOVAK

THE U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, in soliciting public comment Wednesday on its definition of a foreign private issuer, singled out Chinese companies incorporated in the Cayman Islands as benefiting from reporting exemptions despite being traded solely in American markets.

But as it considers new rules on foreign companies' disclosure requirements, the SEC risks placing onerous requirements on over-

seas businesses operating in good faith and already subject to strong securities oversight in their home countries, securities lawyers said Friday.

"I think there's a little bit of concern that it could have some collateral consequences to some jurisdictions that, for decades, have had issuers cross listed on U.S. exchanges," said Troutman Pepper Locke partner Tom Rose. "So we shouldn't throw the baby out with the bathwater. But there's clearly an intention to focus some efforts and energies on companies

Paul Weiss Sees More Partner Departures

BY CHRISTINE SIMMONS AND PATRICK SMITH

TWO more litigation partners have left Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison to join a spinoff firm, as well as several associates, in the wake of the firm's March 20 pro bono deal with President Trump.

Melissa Zappala, a litigation partner who joined Paul Weiss as counsel in 2020 and became a partner at the firm last year, was removed from the firm's website. A source familiar with her practice said she is joining Dunn Isaacson Rhee—the litigation boutique formed last month by Paul Weiss partners Karen Dunn, Bill Isaacson, Jessica Phillips, and Jeannie Rhee.

Meanwhile, Rush Atkinson, a former federal prosecutor who worked on the Mueller investigation, announced on LinkedIn on Sunday that he is also joining Dunn Isaacson Rhee.

Before them, Kyle Smith, another

Paul Weiss partner, also left to join the spinoff firm.

In all, at least seven partners from Paul Weiss are making the most to Dunn Isaacson Rhee, which partners have described on LinkedIn as a litigation boutique specializing in high-stakes trials, investigations and crisis management.

Three associates from Paul Weiss have joined them, according to LinkedIn posts, including Erica Spevack, Lyle Gruby, and Leah Hibbler. The firm is actively recruiting more associates, said the source, and it's expected that more lawyers will join the litigation boutique soon.

Smith and Zappala are the latest of a group of former Boies Schiller Flexner litigators who joined Paul Weiss around the same time as Dunn and Isaacson in 2020. All worked closely with Dunn and her team. Other lawyers who also made the move from Boies Schiller to Paul Weiss in 2020 remain on the firm's website as of

» Page 4



Nicole Mesard, new deputy presiding partner and Peter Furci, new presiding partner with Debevoise & Plimpton.

Debevoise Votes To Keep Lockstep Comp but Creates Nonequity Tier

BY PATRICK SMITH

DEBEVOISE & Plimpton has created a nonequity partnership tier, the firm confirmed to The American Lawyer, while also voting to keep its lockstep compensation model for partners.

Debevoise is one of the latest Am Law 100 firms to create a nonequity tier, after firms such as Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr; Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison; and Cravath, Swaine & Moore also did so in the last few years.

Debevoise announced a group of 11 partner promotions June 6, a group that includes some nonequity partners. The firm declined to say how many income partners Debevoise will have in the first year. The tier goes into effect at the same time as its partner promotions, July 1.

After close to a year of deliberations and discussions, the partnership voted in the spring "overwhelmingly" to reinforce its current lockstep model, firm presiding partner Peter Furci said in an interview, while at the same time creating a nonequity

» Page 4

DECISIONS OF INTEREST

Court of Claims

CIVIL RIGHTS: Summary judgment denied; issue of fact as to whether attack was foreseeable. *Harris v. State of New York*, Court of Claims, New York.

First Department

REAL ESTATE: Prior decision in related lawsuit did not bind current case, court rules. *Alonso v. 401 E. 74 Owners Corp.*, Supreme Court, New York.

CRIMINAL LAW: Charges dismissed; prosecution made no effort to obtain full records. *People v. Zachary L.*, Criminal Court, New York.

Second Department

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE: Late notice of claim alleging medical malpractice permitted. *Calnick v. New York City Health & Hospitals Corporation*, Supreme Court, Kings.

LITIGATION: Intervention granted to town pursuant to New York's highway laws. *DLV Quogue, LLC v. The Town of South Hampton*, Supreme Court, Suffolk.

Fourth Department

CRIMINAL LAW: Motion to dismiss

denied; prosecution handed over BOLO discovery. *People v. Williams*, Supreme Court, Erie.

U.S. Courts

IMMIGRATION LAW: Immigration agency properly relied on interview when making adverse credibility decision. *Singh v. Bondi*, 2d Cir.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW: State Department's seizure of passport, CRBA violated APA, due process. *Hadwan v. U.S. Dept of State*, 2d Cir.

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Court erred but case dismissed for failure to identify member of 'viable fetus' class. *Doe v. Hochul*, 2d Cir.

EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION: No evidence presented that differential treatment at work was racially based. *Byrne v. Am. Soc. for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals*, EDNY.

BANKRUPTCY: Bankruptcy petition was filed in 'bad faith'; its dismissal not an abuse of discretion. *Jesmeen v. Cortegiano*, EDNY.

DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17
FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, nylj.com

INSIDE LAW JOURNAL

Calendar of Events.....	7
Court Calendars.....	9
Court Notes.....	10
Decisions.....	17
Expert Analysis.....	3
Lawyer to Lawyer.....	3
Legal Notices.....	14
Outside Counsel.....	4
Perspective.....	6
Technology Today.....	5

See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

Click Listen Earn

Stay compliant from the comfort of your own home or office at CLECenter.com. With new, accredited content updated daily, seamless online tracking and 24/7 access, CLECenter.com makes compliance easy.

Visit CLECenter.com to click, listen, earn - anytime or Call a CLE Counselor Today at (800) 348-0466

VerdictSearch

Keep up with Verdict & Settlement Trends in Your State

Get exclusive access to news-breaking verdicts including:

- Insightful trends in your jurisdiction
- Detailed briefs
- Top weekly verdicts for your practice area

To get started, visit VerdictSearch.com/verdictnews or contact the VerdictSearch Sales Team at 1-800-445-6823

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at

info@blaikiegroupp.com

Appeals Supersedeas
 Discharge Lien Executor
 Guardian Lost Instrument

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE BLAIKIE GROUP

111 John St., 16th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Fayth Vasseur
Colette M. Blaikie Christine Harding

www.blaikiegroupp.com

New York Law Journal Inside

Artificial Intelligence »3

The Chaotic Collusion Of Machines vs. Courts
by Frances M. Green,
Raymond Sheh
and Katherine Heaney

Online

Court Calendars

Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now **available weeks in advance** at nylj.com. Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. **Only at nylj.com.**

Civil Rights »3

Stonewall to Supreme Court: The Legal Evolution of LGBTQ+ Rights
by Bhavleen Sabharwal

Outside Counsel »4

The Value of Marketing-Led Training for Lawyers
by Beth Huffman

Online

Today's Tip

View the special sections online and download today's paper at the Law Journal Download Center. **Only at nylj.com.**

TECHNOLOGY TODAY »5

Virtual Lawyering:

How Legal Intelligence Transforms Discovery and Risk
by Mark A. Berman
and Etia Rottman Frand

Online

More Technology Today columns are archived at nylj.com.



How BNY's Legal Department Proved Vital for Bank's Gen AI Development
by Benjamin Joyner

Perspective »6

Recent Amendments To the New York State Mental Hygiene Law
by Cheree Buggs

Calendar of Events »7

Online

Submit a legal notice for publication on nylj.com.

Pride Month 2025: Big Law's Silence Is Loud

BY MOLLY G. SMITH

AS PRIDE Month begins, the usual chorus of law firm support on LinkedIn and beyond is noticeably quiet. This year feels different.

Fewer rainbow logos. Fewer public declarations. And, according to insiders, far less happening behind firm walls too.

Research by Law.com International has found that of the global top 20 firms only five firms have so far taken to their social accounts to acknowledge Pride Month compared to 17 that posted to either Instagram or LinkedIn in 2024. Last year, most of those firms had made posts by this point in the month and most had done so in previous years as well.

Even firms known for strong LGBTQIA+ support have shifted their approach. Linklaters, which notably maintained clear and consistent DEI messaging on its website during periods of political backlash—such as when many firms retreated following Trump attacks—has not posted in the first few days of Pride Month this year, breaking a multi-year pattern. A person with knowledge of the firm said that posts are planned.

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Fiom, a firm known for its punctual Pride posts, has also gone quiet online despite posting in previous years, but a person with knowledge said that internal events are still happening despite the external

image being seemingly business as usual.

Other firms that usually post on the first few days of June but this year haven't, include Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, Morgan Lewis, Jones Day and Norton Rose Fulbright.

Kirkland & Ellis, typically quick to mark June 1, took a few days to refine its post, committing to it on June 4, after Law.com approached the firm for comment about its absence.

One person at DLA Piper said the firm will not be changing its LinkedIn logo to rainbow colours as it has done in previous years.

A&O Shearman, Dentons, Clifford Chance, HSF Kramer and DLA Piper will be sharing their Pride activities later in the month according to people with knowledge but are not deviating too much from their norm by posting later.

Muted Messaging

The change in public enthusiasm comes as several U.S. firms, under political pressure, have begun revising or scrubbing diversity language from policies and websites. Several firms removed references to diversity on their websites, and made diversity data harder to find, including Kirkland & Ellis. There are also several Hogan Lovells Pride posts from previous years where the original links no longer work.

One person with knowledge of the situation said the overall trend of retraction is mostly impacting

U.S. firms, but of the largest U.K.-based firms only one has so far acknowledged Pride Month online: Slaughter and May.

People at A&O Shearman have posted about events that have already taken place and an insider said that the firm is holding more events internally and is sponsoring Classical Pride, and Clifford Chance will continue its Arcus Pride Art Show featuring LGBTQ+ artists.

Of other notable U.K. firms CMS, Eversheds Sutherland and Pinsent Masons have all acknowledged Pride Month with a pride flag logo change on LinkedIn. CMS is also a partner of London Pride this year according to the organisers website.

Addleshaw Goddard also made the logo change and is marking Pride Month with events across offices, including brunches, dinners, and talks. The firm is sponsoring Leeds Pride and hosting a webinar on LGBTQ+ family building. In partnership with the LGBT Foundation, it's also celebrating 40 years of Manchester Pride.

A handful of firms—Weil, Cooley, Mintz, Bird & Bird and Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner among others—have also acknowledged Pride with posts or temporary logo changes.

Budgets Slashed

Behind the scenes, various people have told Law.com International that DEI budgets are shrinking fast or hard to access which is stifling the usual Pride

initiatives. One diversity specialist said: "It's not just that nothing is being posted. There's no money behind it anymore."

Tate Smith is an external DEI consultant and activist who has supported corporations including Clifford Chance and Anglo American in developing transgender policies. He has also worked with Linklaters, Arnold & Porter and Freshfields in the past.

He says demand for his services this year has plummeted and that during Pride Month he is usually fully booked for consultancy work. He has been told that this year there is either no budget for his services, or work is being handled 'internally'.

Smith said: "It's surprising to hear firms have no budget because my speaking rates are industry standard and law firms often pay thousands of pounds to book celebrities. My background is in law and I know they can pay it. The only thing that has changed is Trump's attack and the U.K. Supreme Court ruling in April. To say they can't afford me after months of negotiation is really disheartening."

According to Smith, some firms have to get budgets signed off by U.S. offices now, even for a London talk because it pertains to DEI.

He consults professional services as well as law firms, but usually during Pride Month works with around five firms. This year, he has one booking that was made last year before it became "controversial".



Activists carry a 1,000-foot rainbow pride flag during the WorldPride 2025 parade in Washington, D.C., on Saturday, June 7. This year marks the 50th Anniversary of Pride celebrations in Washington.

Smith added that he was booked to speak at a U.S. law firm in London in the Spring, and the firm told him it couldn't post on LinkedIn that he was there because they were worried about backlash. "I'm just too controversial to be posted about... I don't feel seen," he said.

A person familiar with the matter said a top U.K. firm had planned some video content for social media for Pride Month but has had second thoughts about posting it. "The firm is scared of getting an executive order from Trump" they said.

They explained that although the firm, internally, is still upholding its values, and is "one of the good ones" externally they are not prepared to risk being on Trump's radar. The person added that the same firm will also be scaling down its planned presence at London Pride.

Decisions Go to the Top

In past years, inclusion committees handled Pride planning. This year, decisions are going all the way to executive boards. "That's how cautious firms are being," one DEI expert said. "They're terrified of getting it wrong."

Many U.S.-headquartered firms require sign-off from U.S. leadership—where any public stance on LGBTQIA+ inclusion risks political or commercial blowback.

Not all firms are being so cautious. Patrick McCann, co-CEO of City Century and incoming CEO of the City of London Law Society, said that 17 firms are sending candidates on the LGBTQIA+ Legal Leaders Programme, which he heads up, but acknowledges that some firms' absences are noticeable. » Page 9

Supreme Court Hands DOGE Two Key Victories Over Data Access, Document Requests

BY JIMMY HOOVER

WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE U.S. Supreme Court issued a pair of orders Friday allowing President Donald Trump's Department of Government Efficiency to access sensitive databases at the Social Security Administration, while temporarily shielding DOGE from having to turn over internal documents in a transparency lawsuit.

In one case, the court said an internal DOGE team at the Social Security Administration could access "agency records" in order for members of the team to "do their work." In another, the court said a lower court allowed overly intrusive discovery into the U.S. DOGE Service, the executive branch's centralized hub for the Trump White House's massive cost-cutting initiative.

The Democratic-appointed justices on the court—Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagan and Ketanji Brown Jackson—dissented from the decisions.

The brainchild of now-former Trump confidant Elon Musk, DOGE took the place of a previous presidential advisory body, the U.S.

Digital Service. Since the start of Trump's second term, DOGE and its various components have been at the center of the administration's efforts to slash the federal workforce and shut down government programs.

While the Supreme Court did not rule on the legality of any of DOGE's activities, the orders will likely send a message to lower courts hearing such challenges.

The high court, for instance, said a District of Columbia federal judge had "not appropriately tailored" his requests for documents that DOGE would have to turn over in a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit filed by the nonprofit Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington. The court singled out the judge's requests that DOGE turn over its recommendations to other executive branch agencies.

"Furthermore, separation of powers concerns counsel judicial deference and restraint in the context of discovery regarding internal Executive Branch communications," the court said.

U.S. District Judge Christopher Cooper, who has yet to rule on whether DOGE is subject to the

transparency law, ordered the discovery at issue on April 15 to examine the extent of the department's authority and, in particular, whether it is exercising agency authority that would make it responsive to FOIA.

A lawyer for the Trump administration complained to the Supreme Court in last month's emergency appeal that the order effectively "granted respondent's FOIA requests—providing them with USDS's [U.S. DOGE Service's] documents—to resolve whether USDS is even an 'agency' subject to FOIA."

The Supreme Court did not discuss in its unsigned order whether the judge appropriately ordered the deposition of DOGE's acting administrator, Amy Gleason, instead sending the case back to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit for further proceedings.

The court's three liberal justices noted their dissents without further comment.

In the case of DOGE's access to sensitive Social Security Administration databases containing personal information about millions of American citizens, the Supreme

Court said the Trump administration had satisfied the traditional factors for emergency relief. Those include the likelihood of success on the merits, irreparable harm if relief is not granted and where the public interest lies.

"We conclude that, under the present circumstances, [the Social Security Administration] may proceed to afford members of the SSA DOGE Team access to the agency records in question in order for those members to do their work," the high court wrote in another unsigned order.

Jackson wrote a fiery dissent, joined by Sotomayor, criticizing the court for giving DOGE "unfettered access" to "the highly sensitive data of millions of Americans" before lower courts have decided whether such access is lawful.

"The Court is thereby, unfortunately, suggesting that what would be an extraordinary request for everyone else is nothing more than an ordinary day on the docket for this Administration," Jackson wrote.

"I would proceed without fear or favor to require DOGE and the Government to do what all other litigants must do to secure a stay



In a pair of orders Friday, the Supreme Court said Department of Government Efficiency teams could access sensitive data held by the Social Security Administration, while temporarily shielding DOGE from extensive document requests.

from this Court: comply with lower court orders constraining their behavior unless and until they establish that irreparable harm will result such that equity requires a different course," she added. "The Court opts instead to relieve the Government of the standard obligations, jettisoning careful judicial decisionmaking and creating grave privacy risks for millions of Americans in the process."

Kagan did not join the opinion, noting only that she "would deny the application."

Below, a Maryland federal judge blocked DOGE from accessing the

databases under the Privacy Act for federal records, as well as the Administrative Procedure Act, finding that two labor unions and a grassroots advocacy organization were likely to succeed in their challenge to the DOGE team's activities at the massive public benefits program. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit refused to stay the court's decision.

The cases are *SSA v. AFSCME*, No. 24A1063, and *U.S. DOGE Service v. CREW*, No. 24-1246.

Jimmy Hoover can be reached at jhoover@alm.com.

Trump Asks Supreme Court To Allow Mass Firings at Education Department

BY JIMMY HOOVER

WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE TRUMP administration urged the U.S. Supreme Court on Friday for permission to push through mass layoffs at the Department of Education, which a lower court judge said was designed to essentially cripple the federal agency.

U.S. Solicitor General D. John Sauer's request is the latest emergency application from the Trump administration asking the justices to lift a lower court order blocking the administration's efforts to vastly reduce the size of the federal workforce.

This time, Sauer is seeking a Supreme Court order that would permit a major "reduction in force" of more than 1,000 employees at the embattled Education Department, which has become a top target of the Trump administration. The emergency filing is one of



U.S. Solicitor General D. John Sauer said a Massachusetts federal judge improperly ordered the reinstatement of more than 1,000 employees at the embattled Department of Education, which President Donald Trump wants to close down.

about 20 that the U.S. Department of Justice has filed since President Donald Trump began his second

term, an unprecedented number of what had once been rare—and bold—procedural requests.

On May 22, a federal judge in Boston ordered the Trump administration to reinstate the employees, while barring the Education Department from taking steps to hand its duties to the states, pursuant to a recent Trump executive order.

"The record abundantly reveals that Defendants' true intention is to effectively dismantle the Department without an authorizing statute," U.S. District Judge Myong J. Joun of the District of Massachusetts wrote in her injunction.

Joun ordered the injunction at the request of a group of Democratic states, teachers' unions and school districts that had sued over the Trump administration's slashing of agency staff and programs.

"While it may be true that the President has the power to remove executive officers, Defendants cite to no case that this power includes the power to dismantle congressionally created departments and

programs through mass terminations," Joun said. "These actions violate the separation of powers by violating the executive's duties to take care to faithfully execute laws enacted by Congress, as well as its duties to expend funds that Congress has authorized it to appropriate."

Trump has made no secret of his distaste for the Education Department, promising to shutter the agency during his presidential campaign. On March 20, he signed an executive order instructing Education Secretary Linda McMahon to "take all necessary steps to facilitate the closure" of the agency and "return authority over education to the States and local communities."

Sauer told the high court that Joun had no authority to order the department to reinstate the fired employees.

Justice Ketanji Brown Jackson ordered the plaintiffs to respond by 4 p.m. June 13.

The case is docketed *McMahon v. New York*, No. 24A1203.

The Supreme Court previously lifted an earlier order from Joun requiring the Trump administration to reinstate millions of dollars in grants for teacher training programs. In a 5-4 vote, the court said the administration was "likely to succeed" in showing that Joun lacked legal authority to order the repayment of the funds.

Jimmy Hoover can be reached at jhoover@alm.com.

DECISIONS WANTED!

The editors of the New York Law Journal are eager to publish court rulings of interest to the bench and bar. Submissions must include a sentence or two on why the decision would be of significance to our readers. Also include contact information for each party's attorneys. E-mail decisions to decisions@alm.com.

Expert Analysis

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The Chaotic Collusion Of Machines vs. Courts

BY FRANCES M GREEN, RAYMOND SHEH AND KATHERINE HEANEY

As far back as the 1970s and 1980s, so-called Expert Systems were among the first forms of artificial intelligence (AI) software holding the promise of today's AI technology that would encapsulate human expert knowledge and make it available to the rest of us.

Today, we have advanced to Large Language Models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini, which promise to give us the answers to all our questions. There is agentic AI that can—with limited human input—reason, complete tasks, and solve logistical and other problems. But what does the AI know?

And most importantly, where does this knowledge come from? Is the knowledge that the AI absorbs trustworthy and legitimate? AI doesn't just point us to where we can obtain information, like a search engine. AI creates content from the knowledge it accrues and that knowledge, given its human training, can be biased. And when that knowledge is transformed into pleadings, briefs, motions, arguments and testimony, who sets the guardrails?

In a recent New York Law Journal article, "AI in the Courtroom: Judicial Scrutiny and Evidentiary Tripwires" — we noted that judges have criticized, cautioned against, and in some cases sanctioned the use of AI—especially when it "translates into hallucinated cases or citations that can mislead, mis-

inform, and/or ultimately waste judicial time and resources."

But there is no doubt that utilization of AI in the courtroom by jurists and litigants alike appears to be on the upswing—not only in novel applications but in greater acceptance by the judiciary of AI-generated text and argument.

An AI Voice From the Grave

Christopher Pelkey died from a gunshot wound during a road-rage incident in 2021. But that did not stop him—or at least his likeness—from making an impact statement that played during the sentencing of his killer in an Arizona courtroom in 2025. Pelkey's "appearance" (or perhaps apparition) is believed to be the first time

AI tools, particularly large language models (LLMs), are often described as a black box: the internal decision-making process is not transparent, and it can be difficult to understand how the AI tool arrived at its output.

AI has been used in such a manner. As reported by Phoenix's ABC 15, Pelkey's sister used AI to create a video replica of her late brother using a photo that Pelkey had made while he was alive. She also wrote a script and fed images and audio files into AI tools to create the clone.

After viewing the video, the judge presiding over the case sentenced the defendant to 10-and-a-half years for manslaughter, one year more than prosecutors had asked for. Did the AI-generated image of the deceased sway the sentencing judge than if the relatives of Mr. Pelkey had petitioned on his behalf?

Interestingly, a June 2024 study found that personalized AI debaters were more persuasive than human debaters in 64.4 %

of debates. The study measured persuasiveness by how much an opponent's opinion or viewpoint shifted by the end of the debate. As a general proposition regarding AI use, the study noted that humans consider machines a more objective and confident source of information.

According to the study, humans assume that humans have an agenda, but that a machine is simply presenting "the facts" in an unbiased, neutral, and dispassionate manner. Further, if the AI's text output sounds assertive, humans are more likely to be persuaded.

Therefore, if we believe AI is unbiased and confident, we are more likely to accept its opinion as fact—even if it is a black box masquerading as a human, as in Pelkey's case.

AI Use by Judges: "Black Box" Legal Reasoning

AI tools, particularly large language models (LLMs), are often described as a black box: the internal decision-making process is not transparent, and it can be difficult to understand how the AI tool arrived at its output. As judges turn to AI to assist them in making their decisions, this black box problem is a key concern.

When judges issue legal decisions, they do not just announce an outcome; rather, they write an often lengthy decision, filled with legal precedent and walking through each step of their reasoning and decision making process.

How can appellate courts review decisions that were influenced by the output of an AI tool that cannot explain its reasoning? Should judges be able to rely on AI tools whereby the slight change in wording of a query can be outcome determinative?

It has not escaped notice that federal appellate judges have acknowledged and openly discussed their use of AI to shape their legal reasoning and opinions. In a concurring opinion in *Snell v. United Specialty Insurance Co.*, Judge Kevin Newsom of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the

FRANCES M. GREEN is counsel at Epstein Becker Green and a working group member of the AI Safety Institute Consortium of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Dr. RAYMOND SHEH is an associate research scientist at Johns Hopkins University and a Guest Researcher at NIST. KATHERINE HEANEY is an associate at Epstein Becker Green. ANN W. PARKS, an attorney with the firm, contributed to the preparation of this article.

CIVIL RIGHTS

Stonewall to Supreme Court: The Legal Evolution of LGBTQ+ Rights

The LGBTQ+ rights movement in the United States has never followed a linear path. It is a saga of unprecedented courage and resistance in the face of systemic erasure, criminalization, and brutal violence. Once a band of guerrilla revolutionaries has now evolved into a global movement that reshaped civil rights, legal precedent, and the nation's outlook on the LGBTQ+ community.

An epochal moment in the movement occurred on June 27th, 1969, when LGBTQ patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City protested after an arbitrary police raid. Over several nights, thousands took to the streets to fight back and voice their disapproval of the blatant discrimination the LGBTQ community was facing.

Activists like Marsha P. Johnson, a Black trans woman who declared "I got my civil rights!" as she threw a shot glass at a mirror, and Sylvia Rivera co-founded the Street Transvestite Action Revolutionaries (STAR) which provides shelter, food, and advocacy for trans youth and drag performers.

The first Gay Pride March, held a year later, commemorated the uprising and launched a tradition of honoring the past LGBTQ heroes and solidarity in promoting future progress.

But Stonewall was not the beginning. In August 1966, trans women and drag queens at Gene Compton's Cafeteria in San Francisco resisted police harassment by throwing coffee and rioting, marking one of the earliest organized acts of transgender resistance in American history.

That neighborhood still stands as the Transgender District, the world's first legally recognized cultural epicenter for trans people.

The fight continued on legal and medical fronts. In 1972, activist Barbara Gittings participated in a panel discussion with the American

By **Bhavleen Sabharwal**



Psychiatric Association, leading to the landmark decision a year later that declassified homosexuality as a mental illness.

In the meantime, ONE, Inc., a pro-gay news publication, fought government censorship all the way to the Supreme Court. The 1958 ruling affirmed gay-friendly literature as constitutionally protected speech under the First Amendment, establishing a crucial precedent for LGBTQ+ expression rights.

In 1970, Jack Baker and Michael McConnell became the first known

Critics describe this conservative philosophy as dangerous because of the real consequences these executive orders will have for the LGBTQ+ community.

same-sex couple to apply for a marriage license, and after being retroactively recognized in 2019, the marriage marked the first legally acknowledged same-sex marriage in US history.

Decades later, Edith Windsor fought for her marital rights in front of the Supreme Court. The federal government refused to recognize her union with her deceased wife for inheritance purposes.

However, in 2013, the Supreme Court held in favor of Windsor in *United States v. Windsor*, forcing federal recognition of same-sex marriages, and struck down the Defense of Marriage Act, paving the way for the 2015 *Obergefell v. Hodges* decision that legalized same-sex marriage nationwide.

Most recently, the Supreme Court, in *Bostock v. Clayton County* (2020), held that Title VII prohibits employment discrimination based

on sexual orientation or gender identity, recognizing discrimination against trans and gay individuals as a form of sex discrimination.

Justice Gorsuch's textualist interpretation established that discrimination "because of sex" necessarily encompasses LGBTQ+ status. These rulings have propelled LGBTQ+ rights tremendously and highlight how long it has taken for this community to be entitled to the same rights that cisgender heterosexual individuals have always been privy to.

Regardless, the movement has continued to press forward despite horrific retaliations. The 1998 murder of Matthew Shepard, a gay college student in Wyoming, brought national attention to the brutality of anti-LGBTQ hate crimes.

It led to the passage of the Matthew Shepard and James Byrd Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act in 2009, signed into law by President Obama, who also was responsible for repealing President Clinton's "Don't Ask, Don't Tell," finally allowing LGBTQ members to serve openly in the military for the first time.

What started as a few radical pioneers protesting has grown into Supreme Court victories and mass mobilizations. The LGBTQ+ rights movement is an unrelenting justice-driven machine that fights for the affirmation of lives and ensuring protected legal rights for all.

While there has been monumental progress such as the decriminalization of homosexuality to the nationwide legalization of same-sex marriage, threats from conservative organizations and the country's Executive Branch seek to cripple the movement's power and invalidate the achievements the movement has accomplished.

Today, a growing wave of political backlash aims to strip freedoms from LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly trans youth and queer people of color.

At the center of this conservative effort is the Make America Great Again (MAGA) movement, led by President Donald Trump with the support of evangelical Christian nationalists. This well-funded political campaign has

BHAVLEEN SABHARWAL is the managing partner of the Law Office of Bhavleen Sabharwal. JOSEPHINE THOMAS, a law student at Cardozo Law School, assisted in the preparation of this article.

BONDS

Contact us by phone or email at info@blaikiegroupp.com

Express Solutions Expressly for Bonding Problems Since 1933

THE **BLAIKIE** GROUP

- Appeals
- Discharge Lien
- Guardian
- Supersedeas
- Executor
- Lost Instrument

111 John St., 16th Floor, New York, New York 10038

212-962-BOND 212-267-8440

D. Nicholas Blaikie Colette M. Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding

www.blaikiegroupp.com

LAWYER TO LAWYER

FLORIDA ATTORNEY

LAW OFFICES OF RANDY C. BOTWINICK

Formerly of Pazer, Epstein, Jaffe & Fein

CONCENTRATING IN PERSONAL INJURY



RANDY C. BOTWINICK
34 Years Experience



- Car Accidents
- Slip & Falls
- Maritime
- Wrongful Death

- Defective Products
- Tire & Rollover Cases
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Construction Accidents

Co-Counsel and Participation Fees Paid



JAY HALPERN
39 Years Experience

Now associated with Halpern, Santos and Pinkert, we have obtained well over \$100,000,000 in awards for our clients during the last three decades. This combination of attorneys will surely provide the quality representation you seek for your Florida personal injury referrals.

MIAMI 150 Alhambra Circle Suite 1100, Coral Gables, FL 33134
P 305 895 5700 F 305 445 1169

PALM BEACH 2385 NW Executive Center Drive Suite 100, Boca Raton, FL 33431
P 561 995 5001 F 561 962 2710

Toll Free: **1-877-FLA-ATTY (352-2889)**

From Orlando to Miami... From Tampa to the Keys | www.personalinjurylawyer.ws

Reach your peers to generate referral business

LAWYER TO LAWYER

For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850 or email crobertson@alm.com

JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT & COMMERCIAL COLLECTIONS

ROSENTHAL & GOLDHABER P.C.

- NY Law Journal 4-Time Winner: Best Judicial Enforcement Provider
- Recovery Actions
- Post-Judgment Litigation
- NY, National & Int'l

R&G

Contact or Visit Us: 631-979-8500 robert@nycollections.com nycollections.com

Justice Isn't Complete Until It's Collected

GERSOWITZ LIBO & KOREK P.C.

New Jersey Office

Let Us Help With Your NJ Injury Litigation

- Over \$1 Billion Recovered on Behalf of Our Clients
- Michael A. Fruhling, ESQ: Board of Governors for the NJAJ
- Jeff S. Korek, ESQ: New Jersey Bar Member



39 Years Experience

Call 24/7: **866-450-4101**

157 Engle Street Englewood, NJ 07631 LAWYERTIME.COM

Strategic Merger Analysis

Diversity Insights

Events & Retreats Insights

Benchmark Financials

Emerging Legal News

Lateral Hires

Surveys & Reports

Legal Compass: The World's Best Source of Law Firm Data.

Delve deep into legal insights on Financials, Lateral Moves, Diversity, Office Trends... With proprietary, named data on 250,000+ Firms, Lawyers and Companies!

Request your **FREE DEMO** today at at.alm.com/LegalCompass

ALM. Intelligence | LEGAL COMPASS

Off the Front

Debevoise

«Continued from page 1

tier the firm believes will help in talent acquisition and retention.

“Obviously, it is key for us as a firm to have the ability to understand market developments and to evolve in response to them in the competition for talent,” he said. “But equally important was that we preserved what we value in our culture and our system.”

Very few firms have retained the lockstep model compensation model, in which partners are paid based only on seniority—even fewer than the number of remaining single-tier firms. Besides Debevoise, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz is said to be among the last remaining lockstep firms in the Am Law 100. The model has grown increasingly unpopular in the era of competitive lateral moves and partners with large books of business getting poached from rivals.

“In addition to approving the non-equity partnership, as part of that firmwide discussion, the partnership voted overwhelmingly to ratify our lockstep equity model and recommit to the team approach our clients appreciate so much,” Furci said. “We may be [one of] the last lockstep firms, but just because we are last doesn’t mean we are wrong. Clients see the benefits.”

Proponents of lockstep comp say the model promotes attorney collaboration for clients, with lawyers only working for the client’s interests, instead of fighting over credit for origination and client relationship credit.

Furci is aware of the potential compensation limits of that model, but he and the firm feel the benefits outweigh the costs. “Yes, there are limitations on top-end compensation in lockstep, but what’s more meaningful is that we’ve demonstrated the ability to attract and retain the best talent because of the enjoyment of practicing in a team culture where partners are all rooting for each other,” he said.

Nonequity Strategy

For Debevoise, a firm that sings the refrains of its culture often, creating a nonequity tier that

aligned with that culture was paramount. The two-tier structure has allowed firms to reserve profits only for revenue-generating partners, while allowing firms to build scale faster by adding and retaining more junior lawyers as nonequity partners.

“It is primarily a talent attraction and retention tool,” Furci said. “We do anticipate we will be able to recruit some lawyers who might not have come to us without a partner title and be able to build out certain capabilities that are beneficial to client services that we might not have had the ability to do with a single-tier structure.”

Other single-tier firms, including Ropes & Gray and Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, have also been in recent discussions to create nonequity partnership ranks, Law.com has reported.

Furci said Debevoise’s non-equity program is designed to be small. While he declined to specify how many will be in the tier, he stated it would be a “relatively small percentage of the overall partnership.” The firm had 151 partners last year, according to ALM data.

Deputy presiding partner Nicole Mesard echoed that statement. “Our conception of the NEP program is narrowly tailored,” she said via a statement. “Its sole purpose is to attract and retain exceptional lawyers in areas where our clients need and expect senior depth, and create additional development opportunities for those lawyers.”

Furci was adamant about the program being only for “attorneys who have shown excellence in their legal acumen and also show potential for further development.”

Just like many other big law firms, Debevoise will not publicly label who falls in the nonequity tier. “We are comfortable with that because of the tight nature of the program and the quality of the people that will be admitted to it,” he said.

As for the nonequity program’s future, Furci said the firm needs to “walk before it runs” and that the program is “flexible, with limits.”

“It’s important for us as an organization to get smart on how the program can work in a targeted way before having any

further partnership discussion about expansion or modification.”

Decision Process

Furci said the firm’s commitment to lockstep was reflective of its team-first system. And in deciding on whether to incorporate a nonequity tier, the firm took a more collective approach than most.

Instead of having a small committee work on the bulk of the issue and then present it to the partnership for an up or down vote, the firm engaged the full partnership from the beginning.

“Given our governance structure and our fundamental view that a key program will only be successful with broad buy-in, it was important to get the partnership involved early and iterate how the program makes sense for us instead of just waiting for a final vote,” he said.

Furci said that during those discussions, there were a variety of opinions on “risks versus benefits” for the nonequity program; in the end, there was alignment.

“And even at the end of the day, if the conclusion [to create the tier] was not what every partner wanted, there was alignment around making sure we did everything we could to be as successful as possible,” he said.

The partnership changes come after a year in which Debevoise & Plimpton had record financial growth. The firm, riding increased demand for private equity and technology offerings, saw a 19.7% increase in revenue to \$1.62 billion, while profits per equity partner shot up 32% year over year to \$5.34 million in a record year.

Even with a lockstep comp model—which critics say can stymie some growth—Debevoise has more than doubled its revenue since 2015 and has seen its head count increase by 50% (from 615 to 932 FTE) in that same time frame.

The firm’s Am Law 100 ranking (it was No. 33 this year) has floated in the low to high 30s over the last five years, showing the firm, while growing, is roughly in line with the growth rates for its peer firms.

Patrick Smith can be reached at psmith@alm.com. X: @nycpatrickd

Paul Weiss

«Continued from page 1

Monday morning.

For his part, Atkinson joined Paul Weiss in February 2024 after leaving the Justice Department. Along with Rhee, Atkinson was one of several prosecutors who worked with special counsel Robert Mueller to investigate Russian meddling in the 2016 presidential election.

Dunn declined to comment on the latest attorney moves.

A representative for Paul Weiss said the firm thanked Atkinson

and Zappala for their contributions and wished them well.

Trump in March announced a deal with Paul Weiss that said the firm agreed to provide pro bono work to causes that both Trump and the firm stand behind, while also agreeing to an audit of its employment practices. The deal, which rescinded an executive order against the firm, caused a large backlash among alumni and others protesting the decision. However, Paul Weiss chair Brad Karp, in an internal memo, said, “It was very likely that our firm would not be able to survive a protracted dispute with the

administration.”

So far, all the prominent partners who have left Paul Weiss in the wake of the deal have been litigators.

On Friday, another Paul Weiss partner, Damian Williams, former U.S. attorney for the Southern District of New York, confirmed he left to join Jenner & Block to lead its litigation department and investigations, compliance and defense practice.

Christine Simmons can be contacted at csimmons@alm.com. X: @chsimmons, Patrick Smith at psmith@alm.com. X: @nycpatrickd.

Klein

«Continued from page 1

who should be a high school’s honoree, or as a favor to a parking ticket scofflaw, is especially irresponsible.”

Scalise didn’t respond to an email message left by the Law Journal on Monday morning.

The watchdog said Klein attended a Board of Education meeting in April 2024. The public body forbids discussion about which staff and students’ due process rights could be violated, and Klein and his son had previously appealed the school superintendent’s denial of their request for the son to be named a valedictorian.

Klein began his statement by telling the board that his son had received an A+ in every class since eighth grade, prompting the district’s attorney to ask the superintendent, “Are we OK having this conversation?”

Klein responded by telling the school attorney, “Don’t try to out-lawyer me with the law,” insisting he be allowed to finish.

Klein later said, “Counsel, don’t

put yourself in a perilous position where you are going to give bad legal advice,” and insisted that the school attorney “refer to me ... as judge.”

The watchdog said Klein acknowledged it was improper for him to invoke his judicial office, even though he was appearing before the school board as a father and member of the public, rather than in a judicial capacity.

Klein also acknowledged “his conduct toward the district was discourteous,” his emotions influenced his conduct and he failed to promote a high standard of conduct required of judges on and off the bench.

The incident involving the police occurred in October 2022, days after Klein had sent a text message to the acquaintance, a public relations professional, asking for her help with fundraising strategies, to assist his son in building an adaptable surfboard for disabled children.

A few days later, the PR professional sent Klein a text message stating local authorities were about to put a boot on her car, and that she would now pay her parking tickets.

The judge, who was not in court at the time, called a special officer assigned to the traffic bureau, telling her to “Stop the boot.”

The watchdog said a police sergeant later had the boot removed from the woman’s car, on the mistaken belief that Klein had made the order in his judicial capacity, and that Klein was at Long Beach City Court preparing to adjudicate the vehicle’s unpaid tickets at the time of the call.

The watchdog said the PR professional didn’t have cases in connection with her unpaid tickets on the court calendar that day. She paid the \$465 she owed at the clerk’s office, but didn’t pay for boot-related fees, the watchdog said.

The commission said Klein recognizes that he improperly intervened on the woman’s behalf, and that he appeared to use his judicial office to get special treatment from the police for her.

The watchdog factored Klein’s cooperation and no prior disciplinary history.

Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com. X: @bleereporter

Outside Counsel

The Value of Marketing-Led Training For Lawyers

BY BETH HUFFMAN

In times of budget pressure, law firms are challenged to do more with less—especially when it comes to lawyer development. A study released in 2023 by ALM and The Tilt Institute titled “The Expanding Role of Professional Development in Law Firms” notes that firms spend on average between .8% and .9% of annual gross revenue on training and development. While traditional legal training or CLEs are crucial, attorneys today need much more—and most of it is not taught in law school.

This is where the marketing department and its outside vendors can deliver significant value. By partnering with the firm’s professional development or talent team, marketing and communications professionals can provide cost-effective in-house training on nonlegal skills that are critical to a lawyer’s success in today’s client-driven market. From leadership training to LinkedIn coaching and guidance on public speaking, networking and personal branding, marketers bring subject-matter expertise that complements legal training and enhances client-facing readiness.

Social Media Training: Elevating Lawyers’ Digital Presence and Personal Brand

Social media—particularly LinkedIn—has become a crucial channel for legal professionals, yet many lawyers still approach it with skepticism or uncertainty. They may worry about saying the wrong thing, struggle to find their voice or simply not know where to start.

How Marketing Adds Value

Marketing departments can demystify social media for lawyers

BETH HUFFMAN is a vice president at Postan Communications with over 40 years of experience in advising legal organizations with communications, media and marketing.

and help them build digital habits that enhance visibility and client connection. For example, White & Case’s social media team runs global training sessions to upskill the firm’s people on the use of its employee advocacy tool to grow their personal brand. Through the program, which is open to lawyers and business services professionals, the firm was able to sign up nearly 100 active users in the first six months, with an average of 6,000 posts made by the firm’s partnership per quarter. This free, valuable reach augments the firm’s broader social media approach to reach audiences of relevance.

Effective training at many firms emphasizing social media often includes:

Law firm marketing is no longer just about making the firm look good or producing the next brochure or pitch; it’s about making lawyers equipped, confident and capable of engaging the outside world effectively.

• **Profile optimization.** Lawyers are guided to create clear, compelling LinkedIn profiles that reflect their areas of focus, strengths and industry knowledge—all speaking to current events and evolving client needs. From a polished headshot to keyword-rich summaries, marketers help ensure profiles are not just complete but client-facing as well.

• **Content planning and posting strategy.** Marketers coach lawyers on what kinds of content to post (firm news, client alerts, third-party articles, personal insights), how often to post and how to repurpose existing material.

• **Engagement and network growth.** Lawyers learn how to use LinkedIn to stay connected with former clients, alumni,

referral sources and industry peers—turning passive followers into potential leads. Business development professionals show how engagement (liking, commenting, sharing) can amplify reach with various audiences with minimal effort.

• **Ethics and compliance guidelines.** Many lawyers hold back from posting due to concerns around confidentiality or professional conduct rules. Marketing teams clarify what’s permissible and help establish internal guidelines to manage risk.

To support and elevate Baker & Hostetler’s attorneys’ presence on LinkedIn, the firm’s digital marketing team launched the “LinkedIn for Lawyers” program in November 2023, reports digital marketing manager Rita Hechmer. “This initiative included two virtual training sessions titled “LinkedIn for Lawyers—Visibility, Reputation, Retention,” offering practical guidance on optimizing personal profiles, engaging effectively and aligning with the firm’s broader LinkedIn strategy. Following the sessions, a comprehensive LinkedIn toolkit—including FAQs, brand assets and best practices—was made available via the firm’s intranet. The digital marketing team continues to offer personalized LinkedIn training for both new hires and seasoned partners, many of whom have sought deeper, hands-on support. To further assist with content sharing, we provide the “Morning Momentum,” a daily digest summarizing firm content shared the previous day. Designed for quick scanning, it helps attorneys identify and share relevant updates with their networks—answering the common question, “What should I post?”

The Payoff

Lawyers who are active on LinkedIn often build stronger personal brands, generate inbound inquiries and reinforce the firm’s market position. Plus, the platform’s visibility tools make it easy for the world to see what a lawyer is known for—turning reputation into results.

» Page 9

IN BRIEF

«Continued from page 1

their death.

California, Colorado, Delaware, Hawaii, Maine, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon, Vermont, Washington state, and Washington, D.C., already allow for physician-assisted deaths.

Bill sponsor, Sen. Brad Hoylman-Sigal, D-Manhattan, chairman of the Senate Judiciary, took part in a celebratory news conference during which he mentioned support for the legislation from both the New York State Bar Association and Women’s Bar Association of the State of New York.

The NYSBA told the Law Journal it was reserving comment until the Senate took its formal vote.

The chairperson of a state bar task force previously told the Law Journal about the abundance of roles for lawyers to play if the measure were ever to be signed into law.

That’s because hospitals, nursing homes, medical schools and other healthcare and residential care providers, and physician practice groups will need counsel on implementing the law.

Corinne Carey, New York’s senior campaign director of Compassion & Choices, who’s also an attorney, said that during the course of the campaign, 28 advocates lost their lives while “begging lawmakers to approve this option and give them relief.”

Carey credited Dr. Jeremy Boal, who stepped down from his job as chief clinical officer of Mount Sinai Health System in 2023, after he was diagnosed with ALS.

The doctor highlighted the importance of equity, ensuring

that terminally ill New Yorkers wouldn’t have to move to another state, Carey said.

Hoylman-Sigal credited state Sen. Jessica Scarcella-Spanton, D-Staten Island, for her lobbying efforts, especially since the island is considered the most conservative corner of New York City. Hoylman-Sigal noted that as “a gay Jew from Manhattan,” he had combined efforts with “a Catholic girl from Staten Island.”

From a personal vantage point, Hoylman-Sigal said he was first alerted to the need for legislation as a gay man who represents Greenwich Village and Hells Kitchen, having missed, “by the grace of God, the aids crisis by months or maybe years.”

The New York State Catholic Conference remains strongly opposed to the legislation, as do some disability rights groups and doctors. In recent days, U.S. Rep. Elise Stefanik, R-New York, a prospective candidate for governor in 2026, called the bill “a shameful attack on the sanctity of life and a betrayal of our most vulnerable citizens.”

—Brian Lee

White & Case Sues Lyncamobile Claiming \$7.8 Million in Unpaid Fees

Am Law 100 firm White & Case has filed a lawsuit against Lyncamobile for what it claims is nearly \$7.8 million in unpaid legal fees plus interest in a New York court on June 5.

The suit, filed Thursday in state Supreme Court in Manhattan, claims that White & Case was contracted to provide legal services to Lyncamobile in 2022. The cell phone network opera-

tor retained White & Case after it was sued by T-Mobile on claims that it accidentally underbilled it for access to its network, and that Lyncamobile allowed the underpayments to persist instead of paying the full rate.

Lyncamobile settled with T-Mobile for an undisclosed sum by October 2024, but White & Case alleges that it still hasn’t been paid for its attorneys’ work on the case, which totaled over 10,780 hours, according to the complaint.

“Despite repeated demands, Lyncamobile has failed to pay what it owes,” White & Case’s lawsuit contends. The firm agreed to give Lyncamobile up to a 12.5% discount on its hourly rates in an engagement letter inked on Sept. 22, 2023—but if the payments were not made on time, the discount would be void, and the firm could charge a 9% interest rate on unpaid bills.

Lyncamobile did make partial payments on the fees owed to White & Case “between March 2024 and February 2025,” the complaint read. But the remaining balance Lyncamobile owes the firm is \$7.87 million, accounting for about \$511,420 in interest, and without a discount.

White & Case declined to comment beyond the allegations contained in the complaint.

Lyncamobile general counsel Roberta Kraus couldn’t immediately be reached for comment.

“Lyncamobile did not raise any questions or object to the fees due at the time the invoices were sent,” White & Case’s lawsuit read. The firm requested damages in the form of the unpaid fees, plus pre- and post-judgment costs and attorney’s fees.

—Samson Amore

Need a smart Expert Witness?

ALMExperts has leaders in every discipline.

www.almexperts.com

888-809-0133

ONE SOURCE that includes:

Over 15,000 top medical and technical experts in more than 4,000 areas of expertise, covering all 50 States.

Technology Today

VIRTUAL LAWYERING

How Legal Intelligence Transforms Discovery and Risk



By **Mark A. Berman**

And **Etia Rottman Frand**

Artificial intelligence (AI) in the legal field is most commonly associated with tools that streamline workflows, such as case law research, document summarization, and drafting support.

However, these applications only scratch the surface of what AI can contribute to legal practice. A growing category of AI-powered technologies is beginning to redefine how attorneys identify legal risk, discover harm or damage, and develop cases before violations become public or escalate into litigation.

These tools fall under the category of Legal Intelligence, and are especially relevant for attorneys and general counsel who must stay ahead of emerging threats and make sense of large volumes of unstructured data.

Defining Legal Intelligence

To understand Legal Intelligence, it is important to first define “intelligence.” Intelligence is the ability to gather and interpret information with the intention of uncovering patterns, anticipating risks, and informing strategic actions.

For example, in national security matters, intelligence officers analyze data like intercepted communications, travel patterns, and financial transactions to identify threats before they materialize. In criminal investigations, analysts might trace a series of thefts, phone records, and cash transfers to expose a larger organized crime syndicate.

MARK A. BERMAN is a commercial litigation partner at Bond, Schoenck & King and a member of the firm’s cybersecurity and data privacy practice group. ETIA ROTTMAN FRAND is the vice-president of Litigation Partnerships at Darrow.



GAMEFREL/ANDRE STOCK

In the legal context, one also looks to identify threats, detect wrongdoing, and surface digital footprints indicating harm. Legal Intelligence encompasses the use of web intelligence, data analysis, AI, and legal expertise to detect potential legal violations by examining complex, unstructured sources of data and information.

These may include government records, health and safety complaints, regulatory filings, online reviews, and public databases. Rather than responding to legal issues and concerns after the fact, Legal Intelligence offers a proactive approach to legal investigation, identifying legal risks before they escalate.

Reviewers, including lawyers, can anticipate and address violations early, and identify and remediate them, rather than react to them once the “harm” has occurred.

A Strategic Tool for Plaintiffs’ Law Firms

Thousands of potential legal violations go unnoticed each year. However, this is not because the issue is undocumented. More often than not, evidence indicating legal risk is scattered and located among many different publicly-available sources.

For example, if a company is receiving repeated complaints about a product across several regions, Legal Intelligence can help determine through internal data analysis and public information scanning, whether the issue reflects a broader trend.

This data is often difficult to obtain, process and/or interpret and its link to a legal problem or violation may not be self-evident without a “deep dive” into terabytes of data. This is

impossible to do without harnessing AI. Legal Intelligence creates an opportunity to uncover meritorious class actions and mass tort cases which would have otherwise been impossible to identify.

Using AI, lawyers can spot legal problems or violations not identifiable through traditional investigative work and data analysis, but rather can build cases proactively. Lawyers can validate theories using public data, support causation arguments with pattern analysis, and ensure that cases are grounded in strong evidence.

Two Examples

Take the dissemination of a herbicide containing a known carcinogen in several fields, along with a cluster of a specific cancer diagnosis in nearby towns, known to be linked to this substance.

Legal Intelligence not only can juxtapose these two data points and place them on a map to locate hotspots, but it can then analyze additional environmental data, such as wind and water flow patterns, along with medical reports and local complaints, to establish not only a connection, but an actual legal causation to the affected communities.

Similarly, in order to establish a potential ERISA violation alleging a breach of fiduciary duty claim, one must look at fund performance, and continuously compare its

» Page 7



PROPENSE.AI

The company, backed by Thomson Reuters Ventures among others, provides cross-selling recommendations to professional services firms.

Business-Development Startup Propense.ai Enters Legal Market With Help From AmLaw 200 Firms

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

ON WEDNESDAY, Propense.ai, a client need analysis and cross-selling recommendation provider, announced it was moving into the legal industry and launching an offering for law firms. Founded in 2023, the company has previously focused on serving accounting firms.

As part of the move into the legal industry, the company is working with three AmLaw 200 firms to beta test a version of its platform for legal clients. The beta testing period follows a series of more than 40 interviews with law firm leaders and consultants aimed at identifying firm needs and road blocks to business development.

The company is aiming to begin bringing in legal clients for general availability in Q4, with the aim of being fully launched in the legal industry by 2026. Propense.ai’s platform is built with a variety of technologies, including statistical, predictive and generative AI models.

Founder and CEO Timothy Keith told Legaltech News that he was inspired to start the company by working in an accounting firm, which made him aware of the challenges professional services firms face in presenting their full array of services to clients.

“I realized that these professionals are very, very highly trained and very, very good at their jobs, but they’re not natural sales people,”

» Page 7



COURTESY PHOTO

The BNY team’s extensive experience with internal AI use cases has also impacted how they engage with outside counsel.

How BNY’s Legal Department Proved Vital for Bank’s Gen AI Development

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

AI ADOPTION presents challenges to every legal department, which must ensure that any AI tools used by a company don’t pose IP, data, regulatory or privacy risks.

BNY’s legal department faced a distinct challenge, as the financial services company chose to largely build its own AI tools, requiring the legal department to craft internal safeguards and policies instead of evaluating those provided by vendors. The bank employs an enterprise-wide AI platform named Eliza, which contains internally-developed AI applications supporting dozens of use cases, including vendor contract review and contract negotiation tools and chatbots to query documents, among others.

BNY chose to develop its own AI tools after working with Microsoft on early versions of its Copilot software and seeing the early potential of generative AI. The bank has subsequently made Eliza available to over 50,000 employees, requiring robust governance structures.

General Counsel Kevin McCarthy told Legaltech News that the legal team’s involvement with the development of Eliza began at the earliest stages. The legal teams’ engagement was “instrumental in helping the tech development teams think about, ‘how do you experiment? How do you sandbox responsibly? How do you put things into production responsibly? What kinds of governance controls and approvals need to be in place so that we know we’re operationalizing things in a responsible way?’”

Evaluating Use Cases

The legal team’s role didn’t stop with the initial development of Eliza. At BNY, each AI use case must be approved by an internal governance body, the Enterprise AI Data Approval

» Page 7



Give Your Clients a Gift with Real Value.

Grant your clients unlimited access to award-winning legal news coverage with an ALM Gift Subscription.

Get Started
Visit at.law.com/gift

NewYorkLawJournal.com



Agentic AI 101: Decoding the Latest AI Buzzword for Legal Professionals

BY BENJAMIN JOYNER

‘AI AGENTS’ and ‘agentic AI’ are rapidly becoming the talk of legal tech, as the autonomous systems become an increasingly common part of legal work and daily life.

Legal tech vendors and law firms are announcing a steady stream of agentic workflows and AI agents, including Thomson Reuters’ addition of agentic AI capabilities to CoCounsel, Definitely’s launch of agentic AI contracting system Enhance, and Troutman Pepper Locke’s use of agentic AI workflows in its recent merger.

Below, Legaltech News explains what agentic AI is, how it differs from other tools, and what pros and cons it presents to law firms and in-house teams.

What Is Agentic AI?

Agentic AI is a type of generative AI that can autonomously plan and execute a multi-step project. For instance, an AI agent can write a report based on a data set, independently

» Page 8

Agentic AI Startup Flank Raises \$10M, Partners With Simmons & Simmons

BY ELLA SHERMAN

ON THURSDAY, Berlin-based legal tech startup Flank announced that it raised \$10 million in a funding round led by venture capital and private equity firm Insight Partners. The funding round also saw participation from HV Capital, 10x Founders and Gradient Partners.

Founded in 2019, Flank offers AI agents focused on supporting in-house legal teams. These agents look to answer compliance queries across departments and complete routine tasks independently such as end-to-end NDA and vendor contract drafting.

The startup aims to use the new funding to expand its commercial presence in Europe, the U.K. and U.S. This will also include expanding its consulting and engineering teams.

“We’ve already almost doubled the team in the last three months,” Flank co-founder

» Page 7



New York Law Journal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888



Official Publication for the First
And Second Judicial Departments

150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017

Gina Passarella,
Editor-in-Chief of Global Legal Brands

Joe Pavone,
Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solutions

Donald Chalphin,
Global Director of ALM Event Sales & Sponsorships

Andrew Denney,
Bureau Chief

Christine Simmons,
Deputy Bureau Chief

Submissions Editors:
Jade Lopez, Kristie Rearick

Reporters:
Alyssa Aquino, Brian Lee, Emily Saul

Art Department:
Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel,
Ryland West, photographer

Decisions:
Jason Ducena, William Thiess

Calendars:
Patricia Kane, editor

Production:
Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson,
Steve Warren

Web:
Lora Hollien

Copy Desk:
Sean Gossard

BOARD OF EDITORS

Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum,

Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton,

Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays,

Ruth S. Hochberger,

Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan,

Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston,

Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum,

Thomas Oliva, David Schulz,

Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo,

Mark C. Zauderer

EDITORS EMERITUS

Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen,

Robert B. Fiske Jr., Barry Kamins,

Charles G. Moerdler,

Herbert Rubin

ALM:

212-457-9400

800-888-8300

New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958

Legal Notices: 866-305-3058

ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Bill Carter, CEO

Richard Green, Chief Commercialization Officer

Mark Okean, Chief Financial Officer

Patrick Fuller, Chief Legal Industry Strategist

Erin Dziekan, Chief People Officer

Patrice Coughlin, Chief of Staff

Jimi Li, Chief Technology Officer

Richard Caruso, SVP, Product, Legal

Josh Gazes, SVP, Operations

Gina Passarella, SVP, Content, Legal

READER'S SERVICES

For subscriptions and to purchase
back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

For questions regarding reprints
and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382,
e-mail reprints@alm.com,
or visit almreprints.com.

Send decisions of interest to
decisions@alm.com

To access decisions and articles published
in the Law Journal, visit nyhj.com.

ALM.

The New York Law Journal
(ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020)
is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays
and legal holidays by ALM,
150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level,
New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at
New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals
pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b)
of the State Constitution.

Designated by the Appellate Divisions,
First and Second Departments,
pursuant to authority conferred on them
by Section 91(1) and (2) of the Judiciary Law.

Designated by the U.S. District Court
for the Southern and Eastern Districts

of New York as a newspaper of general
circulation for the publication of legal notices
in civil and admiralty causes.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the
New York Law Journal, 150 East 42nd Street,
Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available
on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request.

The New York Law Journal® is a registered
trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

Lively

«Continued from page 1»

campaign with his production company, Wayfarer Studios LLC, and other producers after she reported his alleged misconduct. Lively is represented by Manatt, Phelps & Phillips and Willkie Farr & Gallagher.

Baldoni and Wayfarer have vehemently denied Lively's claims and, with the backing of Meister Seelig & Fein and Liner Freedman Taitelman & Cooley, countersued for defamation. The fight has haled the Times, which was named as a co-defendant, into court; as well as Lively's husband, Ryan Reynolds and her publicist. In his Monday order, Liman freed

all co-defendants of the defamation claims.

In addition to defamation, Baldoni and Wayfarer accused Lively of civil extortion, saying the actress threatened to stop promoting "It Ends With Us" and to attack Baldoni in the press unless they gave her greater control over the film.

But Liman knocked back the extortion claims, saying Baldoni hadn't shown how any of Lively's alleged threats were more than just "legally permissible hard bargaining or renegotiation of working conditions."

The judge pointed out that Baldoni hadn't shown how Lively could have harmed him if she had followed through on her threats, as she wasn't required to promote

the film or act in it, regardless of the circumstances.

"A refusal to provide that which one has no obligation to provide is not a threat; it is a bargaining position," Liman said.

In dismissing the suit, Liman found that neither the defamation nor the civil extortion claims could be fixed, and denied Baldoni a chance to amend those claims. However, the judge said Baldoni could refile breach of the implied covenant of good faith and tortious inference claims against Lively.

Representatives for Baldoni and Lively didn't immediately respond to requests for comment.

④ Alyssa Aquino can be reached at
aaquino@alm.com.

SEC

«Continued from page 1»

common places for incorporation and headquarters for foreign issuers were Canada and Britain, respectively. But in 2023 the most common jurisdiction for foreign companies to incorporate was the Cayman Islands, with most companies headquartered in China.

Commission staff also found that more than half of foreign issuers registered with the SEC trade exclusively in American markets and not in their home countries.

"We allow these companies—in large part Chinese companies incorporated in the Caymans—the benefit of our markets, regardless of whether their home rules provide the meaningful transparency and accountability that had been historically envisioned in connection with our foreign issuer framework," Democratic SEC Commissioner Caroline Crenshaw said Wednesday.

Commission staff has proposed possible regulatory solutions, such as changing the foreign private issuer eligibility criteria, adding a requirement that a certain percentage of a foreign issuer's trading volume occur outside the

United States or requiring foreign issuers to be listed on a major foreign exchange.

Republican SEC Commissioner Hester Peirce derided as "particularly weak" a staff recommendation to mandate that foreign private issuers be incorporated in a country which has signed the International Organization of Securities Commissions Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding. Peirce said such a requirement would cede regulatory authority to an international organization.

Rose, of Troutman, said some SEC proposals would be significant changes and see pushback from market participants. But Troutman added he expects some "changes around the edges," especially to tamp down on foreign issuers who have bypassed their home country exchange and gone directly to U.S. markets.

The SEC should approach changes to the foreign private issuers definition "with caution," Rose said.

"You kind of have to sit and think about how these rules impact different issuers and different jurisdictions in different ways," he added. "It's certainly not uniform, and it can have unin-

tended consequences."

Sean Tate, managing partner of Tate Law Group, said any foreign company benefiting from U.S. markets and U.S. capital—regardless of jurisdiction—should be subject to the same reporting requirements as American companies.

But, he added, "I don't think it's going to have as big of an impact on the ones who have similar regulatory frameworks and funds that we do."

Dechert partner Anna Tomczyk said she could see the SEC adopting a structure where companies had to fit one of several criteria that commission staff proposed. Tomczyk added that she expects the commission to treat companies in home countries with strong regulators differently.

"I'm sure some of our foreign private issuer clients will not be happy if they end up not being foreign private issuers, but I would be not be surprised if the SEC is accommodating to good-faith foreign private issuers," Tomczyk said. "It's likely that some changes are going to happen so I do think that foreign private issuers should be aware of them."

④ Dan Novak can be reached at
dnovak@alm.com.

Perspective



Bellevue Hospital in Manhattan

Recent Amendments to the New York State Mental Hygiene Law

BY CHEREÉ BUGGS

On May 9, in conjunction with passage of the New York State budget, Gov. Kathy Hochul signed into law an omnibus bill addressing matters related to state's Mental Hygiene Law (MHL).

Of the various amendments to the MHL in the bill, much warranted attention has been given to an added definition to "likelihood to result in serious harm" or "likely to result in serious harm," currently defined in MHL §9.01 as:

A substantial risk of physical harm to the person as manifested by threats or attempts at suicide or serious bodily harm or other conduct demonstrating that the person is dangerous to himself or herself, or a substantial risk of physical harm to other persons as manifested by homicidal or other violent behavior by which others are placed in reasonable fear of serious physical harm."

With the amendment, MHL Article 9 adds the following definition: "Substantial risk of physical harm to the person due to an inability or refusal, as a result of their mental illness, to provide for their own essential needs such as food, clothing, necessary medical care, personal safety, or shelter."

In the landmark case *Boggs v. New York City Health and Hospitals Corp.*, 132 AD2d 340 (1st Dept, 1987), the Appellate Division, First Department reversed a Manhattan Supreme Court ruling (*Matter of Boggs*, 136 Misc2d 1082 [New York County, 1987]) which determined that the patient known as "Billie Boggs" should be released from involuntary retention in a psychiatric unit at Bellevue Hospital, despite expert testimony that she had a diagnosis of chronic schizophrenia, paranoid type, and lacked the capacity to understand the need for food, clothing and shelter. Evidence had been presented that while living on the street, Boggs urinated and defecated on herself; tore, burned, and urinated on paper currency; ran into oncoming traffic, saying that she had the right so do so; and chased passersby. The lower court found that Boggs did not have a mental illness which was likely to result in serious harm to herself or others.

In reversing that decision, the First Department found that the city and Bellevue Hospital met their burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that Boggs' involuntary hospitalization for treatment of her psychiatric illness should continue, as she was suffering from a mental illness "which if untreated is likely to result in serious harm to...[her-self]" (*Boggs* at 362).

The court said: "It is well-established in this State that a person may be involuntarily confined for care and treatment, where his or her mental illness manifests itself in neglect or refusal to care for themselves to such an extent that there is presented serious harm [to their own well-being]" (*Boggs* at 362 [internal citations omitted])."

On the strength of the *Boggs* precedent, New York State judges presiding over mental hygiene

retention and release matters under MHL Article 9 are within the bounds of established law in finding that a patient's mental illness "likely to result in serious harm" without a requirement that the hospital—which has the burden of proof even in cases in which the patient petitions for release—establish the existence of threats of serious bodily harm to self or risks of physical harm to others, suicidal, homicidal or other violent behavior. The added definition codifies the nearly four decades-old holding in *Boggs*, and clarifies that the patient's potential for serious harm to themselves need not

As with the recent amendments to the MHL discussed in this article, the executive and legislative branches of government work to find ways to make laws more effective both by amendments, and by allocating additional budgetary funds to implement any such enhancements in the law.

be established by evidence of an overt risk of bodily harm or danger, or suicide attempt for a court to find that continued retention is justified.

During the *Boggs* era and recently, civil libertarians have expressed concern about the legal authority granted to peace officers and police officers pursuant to MHL §9.41 to remove homeless people from the street who, in the judgment of those law enforcement officials, appear to be mentally ill. Pursuant to §9.41, peace officers or police officers "may take into custody any person who appears to be mentally ill and is conducting themselves in a manner which is likely to result in serious harm to the person or others." The expanded definition of "likely to result in serious harm" expressly broadens the authority of law enforcement officials to take into custody those "appear[ing] to be mentally ill," and who, at minimum, evidence an inability or unwillingness to provide for their basic needs. Ultimately, however, for those involuntarily retained as patients in hospital psychiatric units, it will, as always, rest with the courts to determine if the required standards for retention have been met—weighing the patient's need for care and treatment and, where relevant, public safety, with the patient's constitutional liberties.

No less worthy of attention are amendments and new budgetary allocations for assisted outpatient treatment, or treatment pursuant to what is often referred to as "Kendra's Law." Kendra's Law was signed in August 1999 after 32-year-old Kendra Webdale was pushed to her death in front of a subway train by Andrew Goldstein, a man with a history of psychiatric illness and hospitalizations, in January of that year.

The budget includes \$16.5 million to strengthen implementation of assisted outpatient treatment on the county level, and \$2 million to the Office of Mental Hygiene to increase staffing for those who oversee such treatment. Kendra's Law, codified under MHL §9.60, mandates that each county in the state establish a local treatment program (See MHL §§9.60 and 41.03). From my time presiding over mental hygiene cases in Queens, and before that, representing the Health and Hospitals Corporation and Jamaica Hospital in such matters, including assisted outpatient treatment cases, I know that the Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) Program has put forth its best efforts for a number of years in attempting to handle

high case loads with insufficient staffing; the additional budgetary allocation should be of tremendous assistance with the ACT Program's current duties, and any additional duties brought on by legislative amendments to §9.60.

One such amendment now permits the director of community services to file new petitions for assisted outpatient treatment within six months of the expiration of the previous order without the need for physician evaluation if: a) the outpatient has manifested an increase in mental illness symptoms impacting their ability to comply with recommended treatment, or b) they require "emergency observation, care and treatment" as result of lack of compliance with recommended treatment. As §9.60 (c) (4)(iii) exists currently, it provides:

"A person may be ordered to receive assisted outpatient treatment if the court finds that such person...: (4) has a history

of lack of compliance with treatment for mental illness that has: (iii) notwithstanding subparagraphs (i) and (ii) of this paragraph, resulted in the issuance of a court order for assisted outpatient treatment which has expired within the last six months, and since the expiration of the order, the person has experienced a substantial increase in symptoms of mental illness and such symptoms substantially interferes with or limits one or more major life activities as determined by a director or community services who previously was required to coordinate and monitor the care of any individual who was subject to such expired assisted outpatient treatment order. The applicable director of community services or their designee shall arrange for the individual to be evaluated by a physician. If the physician determines court ordered services are clinically necessary and the least restrictive option, the director of community services may initiate a court proceeding."

The amended section provides as follows:

"Notwithstanding subparagraphs (i) and (ii) of this paragraph, resulted in the issuance of a court order for assisted outpatient treatment that has expired within the last six months, and since the expiration of the order; (a) the person has experienced a substantial increase in symptoms of mental illness that substantially interferes with or limits the person's ability to comply with recommended treatment; or (b) the person, due to a lack of compliance with recommended treatment, has undergone emergency observation, care, and treatment or has been admitted for inpatient care or has been incarcerated."

Under the amended section of law, in the instance in which the outpatient is still in the community and experiencing decompensation affecting their ability to comply with treatment, as has been the case, it will be the "boots on the ground" members of each county's ACT teams who will need to relay that information to the director of community services. However, without the requirement for a physician evaluation, it would appear that more such

Have you recently published a Fiction or Non Fiction book?

If so, promote it to the world's largest legal market via New York's most respected legal publication - in print or online

To place an advertisement,
contact Shawn Phillips
212-457-9533 mkalb@alm.com

Hon. CHEREÉ A. BUGGS is a state Supreme Court Justice and an associate justice for the Appellate Term, 2nd, 11th, and 13th Judicial Districts

Technology Today / Perspective

Management

« Continued from page 5
alleged underperformance over similar funds and benchmarks. However, because funds often change their names and databases are complex and not always accurate, performance data is scattered and difficult to analyze.

Legal Intelligence can surface and uncover alleged violations by scanning and monitoring plans, matching fund names across public filings, normalizing performance data, and comparing returns against benchmarks. It can then connect alleged underperformance to specific plans and participants, overcome inconsistencies, and reveal patterns evidencing potential breaches of fiduciary duty.

A Risk Lens for General Counsel

General counsels face a different challenge. They must protect

organizations from legal exposure, while keeping pace with shifting regulatory environments and stakeholder expectations. Legal Intelligence can support this work by identifying early indicators of risk.

For example, if a company is receiving repeated complaints about a product across several regions, Legal Intelligence can help determine through internal data analysis and public information scanning, whether the issue reflects a broader trend.

It can reveal whether the company's public disclosures align with other public statements or information, whether a certain company has a track record of violations or other problems, or whether regulators are paying attention to similar issues with respect to other companies.

Legal Intelligence provides a way to contextualize legal risk within a wider landscape of data, allowing legal departments to take action and correct the problem

before an issue becomes public or reaches the courtroom. Surely, with respect to the issues described above as it relates to class actions and mass torts, general counsel would want to be ahead of the curve and to first identify and then to cure problems before they surface.

Changing the Legal Workflow

Like all AI tools, Legal Intelligence is not a substitute for legal expertise. It is a complementary tool that enhances attorneys' abilities to detect and evaluate problems and issues early, addressing a core challenge in modern legal work: identifying legal risks that have not yet come to light.

Rather than waiting for harm to escalate, attorneys now have a means to work upstream. They can bring, prosecute and defend cases that have strong legal foundations and social impact, informed by data and supported by context.

Propense.ai

« Continued from page 5
Keith said, adding that the variety of services provided by these firms makes it hard to expose clients to the full breadth of their offerings.

The platform is able to identify client needs and surface opportunities to cross-sell by analyzing internal records and external events.

“What we're able to do is cre-

ate recommendations on a couple different levels,” Keith said. One is on historical patterns, so basically looking at client clusters, looking at different models within your clients to find out propensity patterns of what you should serve your client next.”

“Second is market triggers, so things happening within the market against your clients or industry trends—to surface up this information at the right time for you to

better serve [clients],” he added.

The platform's data comes from several sources, including the billing records of client firms, publicly available market data, and information from Thomson Reuters, which invested in Propense.ai through Thomson Reuters Ventures. Other financial backers include Harlem Capital and Operator Collective.

Benjamin Joyner can be reached at bjoyner@alm.com.

AI Startup

« Continued from page 5
and CEO Lilian Breidenbach told Legaltech News. “We are primarily hiring engineers and then obviously consultants to actually help deploy this in global enterprise at scale.”

Although Flank primarily works with corporate legal teams, law firm Simmons & Simmons also

announced its partnership with the startup on Thursday. Simmons & Simmons is working with Flank to co-develop new AI agents to be used by the firm and the firm's clients. The AI agents will assist with complete automation of tailored NDA drafting for Simmons & Simmons clients, among other tasks.

The firm said it will use the agents to “take whole tasks away from lawyers altogether,” said Simmons & Simmons partner Lucy

Shurwood, who is helping lead the partnership at the firm.

Simmons & Simmons has also been active in developing its own gen AI tools with its internal team of engineers, such as Percy, a tool that assists lawyers at the firm with document summarization, analysis and can compare witness statements.

Ella Sherman can be reached at esherman@alm.com.

BNY

« Continued from page 5
Board, which is staffed by members of the legal team and subject matter experts from across the organization.

Deputy general counsel and chief technology counsel Watt Wanapha said that setting up a permanent body instead of an ad hoc one allows its members to gain experience and substantive expertise in evaluating use cases.

“You want the practitioners who have encountered issues before, to have the muscle memory to be able to tackle the issue the same way, consistently,” he said.

The challenge of vetting AI use cases is complicated by the heavily regulated nature of the financial industry. While the bank has extensive data sources to fuel AI programs, much of that data has strict usage restrictions imposed by regulations and internal policies.

“We're filled with confidential information, material non-public information, privileged and confidential information, confidential supervisory information, all this kind of data that, at first blush, you really shouldn't be exposing to large language models,” McCarthy said. “How do you square that circle? ... It's been a huge challenge, but it's been very interesting, and we figured out ways to make that work.”

Initiatives like the governance body require resources, which can be a challenge for legal depart-

ments to source. McCarthy said that the legal department was helped by both demonstrating the return on investment of using AI tools and engaging with the topic early, as well as supportive corporate leadership.

“We recognized the need to resource and develop and put ourselves in a position to both advise the businesses, but also get smart on these issues and develop our own capabilities,” he said. “We were lucky enough to have a really good core of technology lawyers and [subject matter experts] in the department already that, frankly, had a natural curiosity and affinity for it.”

How the Legal Department Uses Gen AI

In addition to enabling AI adoption across the organization as a whole, like other business units the legal department is expected to adopt AI use cases internally. Existing use cases have largely concentrated in transactional workflows, including contracting and e-discovery, but the team also hopes to develop operational and advisory tools related to business intelligence and analysis of new legislation and regulations.

The legal team recently deployed a vendor contract review application, aimed at speeding the pre-approval process for new contracts. Given the highly-regulated nature of BNY's business, the legal team is required to fill out an extensive questionnaire to provide each contract with a risk rating before it can be executed. The new tool is

designed to automate completion of the questionnaire to ensure compliance with internal standards and best practices, and has produced both time savings and consistency between reviews.

Attorneys are also able to build their own agents within Eliza, allowing them to query documents and data sets while doing research, among other functions.

To support AI adoption within the legal team, the department appointed 30 legal AI ambassadors, spread across the transactional work, operational efficiency and advisory service practice areas. The ambassadors have identified roughly 80 potential AI use cases by consulting with colleagues about pain points in their workflows.

McCarthy and Wanapha noted that the BNY team's extensive experience with internal AI use cases has also impacted how they engage with outside counsel. Because BNY has preferred to develop its own software instead of sourcing tools from vendors, it looks for firms willing and able to take advantage of BNY's internal tools.

“If we have internal resources to use Eliza for [a] particular agent, how about we can actually onboard our law firm who knows how to use AI and expand that so not just our internal lawyers but our external counsel are forced to use this thing,” Wanapha said.

“If you're going to work with us, then you need to know how to do this. You need to know how to use it the same way we do.”

Benjamin Joyner can be reached at bjoyner@alm.com.

Amendments

« Continued from page 6
cases will be able to be referred sooner for new petitions after perhaps some additional level of ACT team monitoring, facilitated by additional State funding. While this will likely add to the court's caseload on mental hygiene calendars, it will likely allow for addressing lack of compliance sooner, possibly increasing the effectiveness of AOT.

Even with the well-considered amendments, there is still no mechanism in MHL §9.60 for the court to be informed of any compliance issues the outpatient experiences in the community prior to the expiration of an assisted outpatient treatment order. Although the statute does not expressly provide for compliance hearings, a judge may use their discretion in determining whether certain cases might need to be back on the court calendar prior to the order expiration, for greater oversight of the outpatient's progress. While such

hearings should be discretionary, an amendment could set forth certain standards, including the outpatient's continued right to counsel. MHL §9.60(g) provides for the right to counsel for the subject of an assisted outpatient treatment petition “at all stages of a proceeding commenced” pursuant to §9.60; amended language could clarify that such right continues even after the proceeding brought on by the petition is over.

It must also be noted that a new section, MHL §9.64, has been added, which provides that the director of any hospital in which a patient has been admitted “in a comprehensive psychiatric emergency program” make “reasonable efforts” to notify the community provider of mental health services for the patient. The clear intent of this requirement is to ensure that for patients who require emergency psychiatric treatment or hospitalization, that the designated community services entity overseeing the local assisted outpatient treatment program be made aware of any need for treatment necessi-

tating the removal of a monitored outpatient from the community.

In New York State, as in society overall, there are continued efforts to find ways to address mental illness in our communities. As with the recent amendments to the MHL discussed in this article, the executive and legislative branches of government work to find ways to make laws more effective both by amendments, and by allocating additional budgetary funds to implement any such enhancements in the law. However, in the final analysis, how any changes to the law practically play out in the courts, and what precedents are eventually set will determine how successful the amendments are, and whether any subsequent tweaking—or even additional state funds—will be required.

Daily columns in the Law Journal report developments in laws affecting medical malpractice, immigration, equal employment opportunity, pensions, personal-injury claims, communications and many other areas.

Calendar

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

NY State Bar
Proud to Connect 2025: LGBTQ+ Law Section Pride Mixer
<https://nysba.org/events/proud-to-connect-2025-lgbtq-law-section-pride-mixer/>
New York City

NYC Bar
Careers in Real Estate Law
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SS061025&mcode=NYLJ>
Location: Zoom/42 West 44th Street, New York, NY 10036
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Negotiating Real Estate Deals 2025
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/negotiating-real-estate-deals/
ESG 2025: What It Means for Boards, Management, and Counsel
9 a.m. - 5:15 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/esg-and-promoting-corporate-sustainability/

THURSDAY, JUNE 10

NYC Bar (CLE)
CLE Title: Latin American Summit
Time Day 1: 9 a.m. - 6 p.m.
Time Day 2: 9 a.m. - 6 p.m.
Both Days In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LAS061025B&mcode=NYLJ>
Day 1 In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LAS061025&mcode=NYLJ>
Day 2 In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LAS061125&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Health Care Technology 2025
1:30 p.m. - 5 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/health-care-technology/

WEDNESDAY JUNE 11

NY State Bar (CLE)
Bridging the Gap: June 2025
<https://nysba.org/events/bridging-the-gap-june-2025/>
16 CLE credits
Virtual

Practising Law Institute
Cybersecurity and Ethics for Civil Legal Services and Public Interest Attorneys 2025
2 p.m. - 4:15 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/cybersecurity-and-ethics-for-the-civil-legal-services-and-public-interest-attorney/
Ethics for the Negotiating Lawyer 2025
2:30 p.m. - 4:40 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/ethics-for-the-negotiating-lawyer/

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

NY State Bar
Creating Calm with Emotional Freedom Techniques
<https://nysba.org/events/creating-calm-with-emotional-freedom-techniques-session-3/>
Virtual

NYC Bar (CLE)
Tax Law Conference 2025
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
7 CLE credits
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/Institute/>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

From Book Bans to ByteDance
6:15 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.
1.5 CLE credits
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=BAN061225&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)
Lunch with Justice Andrea Masley
12:30 p.m. - 2 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LIT062625&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org
LGBTQ+ Pride Reception & Arthur S. Leonard Award Ceremony
7:30 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=LGBTQ61225&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, JUNE 13

NY State Bar
Mindful Moments Meditation Series
<https://nysba.org/events/6-13-25-mindful-moments-meditation-series/>
Virtual

NYC Bar
Senior Lawyers Chatroom
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
Webinar Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SEN061325&mcode=NYLJ>
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

MONDAY, JUNE 16

NYC Bar (CLE)
Artificial Intelligence Institute
9 a.m. - 5 p.m.
6 CLE credits
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/AllInstitute/>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)
Creating Pathways for the Next Generation of ADR Professionals
6 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=ADR061625&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

Practising Law Institute
Health Care Technology 2025
1:30 p.m. - 5 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/health-care-technology/

TUESDAY JUNE 17

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Being LGBTQ+ in the Legal Profession
<https://nysba.org/events/being-lgbtq-in-the-legal-profession/>
Informational Program
Virtual

NY State Bar (CLE)
New Horizons in Health Law: Accessibility and AI Innovation
<https://nysba.org/events/new-horizons-in-health-law-accessibility-and-ai-innovation/>
2 CLE credits
New York City
Demystifying Form 709: How to Effectively Prepare and Review Gift Tax Returns
<https://nysba.org/events/demystifying-form-709-how-to-effectively-prepare-and-review-gift-tax-returns/>
1 CLE credit; Virtual

TUESDAY, JUNE 17

Practising Law Institute
Acquiring or Selling the Privately Held Company 2025
9 a.m. - 5 p.m. (Both Days)
www.pli.edu/programs/acquiring-or-selling-the-privately-held-company/

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Burn Before Reading: State Book Banning Laws and Litigation
<https://nysba.org/events/burn-before-reading-state-book-banning-laws-and-litigation/>
Informational Program
Virtual

NYC Bar (CLE)
CLE Title: Ethical Challenges of Social Media in the Legal Profession
12 p.m. - 1 p.m.
CLE credits
New York: 1.0 Ethics; New Jersey: 1.1 Professional Responsibility; California: 1.0 Professional Responsibility; Pennsylvania: 1.0 Professional Responsibility; Connecticut: Available to Licensed Attorneys
Webinar Registration Link: https://services.nycbar.org/Members/Event_Display.aspx?WebsiteKey=f71e12f3-524e-4f8c-a5f7-0d16ce7b3314&EventKey=_WEB061825&mcode=NYLJ
Location: Zoom
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

NYC Bar (Non CLE)
Summer Associates & Interns Annual Reception 2025
6:30 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.
In-Person Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SAR061825&mcode=NYLJ>
42 West 44th Street, New York
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

FRIDAY, JUNE 20

Practising Law Institute
Fundamentals of Trademark Law in the Global Marketplace 2025
9 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/fundamentals-of-trademark-law-in-the-global-marketplace/

MONDAY JUNE 23

NY State Bar (CLE)
Mission, Fiduciary Duty and Immigration Law: New Challenges for Nonprofits
<https://nysba.org/events/mission-fiduciary-duty-and-immigration-law-new-challenges-for-nonprofits/>
1 CLE credit
Virtual
The Future of the Constitution
<https://nysba.org/events/the-future-of-the-constitution/>
1 CLE credit
Virtual

Practising Law Institute
International Arbitration 2025
9 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/international-arbitration/
The Future of Construction & Design 2025
1:30 p.m. - 5 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/the-future-of-construction--design/

TUESDAY JUNE 24

NY State Bar (CLE)
New York's New Virtual Court Appearance Platform - VCAP
<https://nysba.org/events/new-yorks-new-virtual-court-appearance-platform-vcap/>
1 CLE credit
Virtual

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Path to the Bench: How to Become a Judge
<https://nysba.org/events/path-to-the-bench-how-to-become-a-judge/>
Informational Program
Virtual

Practising Law Institute
Internal Investigations 2025
9 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/internal-investigations/

TUESDAY, JUNE 24

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25
NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Beyond the Billable Hour: Planning Your Financial Future with Intention
<https://nysba.org/events/beyond-the-billable-hour-planning-your-financial-future-with-intention/>
Informational Program
Virtual

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Taking Aim at Vertical Mergers - a Twin or King-Sized Problem?
<https://nysba.org/events/taking-aim-at-vertical-mergers-a-twin-or-king-sized-problem/>
Informational Program
Virtual

NYC Bar
Careers in Labor & Employment Law
6 p.m. - 8 p.m.
Registration Link: <https://services.nycbar.org/EventDetail?EventKey=SS062525&mcode=NYLJ>
Location: Zoom/42 West 44th Street, New York, NY 10036
Contact: Customer Relations Department, 212-382-6663 or customerrelations@nycbar.org

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25

Practising Law Institute
Ethics for In-House Corporate Counsel 2025
9 a.m. - 11:10 a.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/ethics-for-in-house-corporate-counsel/
Ethics in Discovery 2025
12 p.m. - 2:10 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/ethics-in-discovery/
Ethics Game Show 2025: A Review of Ethical Issues
3 p.m. - 5:10 p.m.
www.pli.edu/programs/the-ethics-game-show/

THURSDAY, JUNE 26

NY State Bar (Non CLE)
Creating Calm with Emotional Freedom Techniques
<https://nysba.org/events/creating-calm-with-emotional-freedom-techniques-session-4/>
Informational Program
Virtual

Have an event to list?
E-mail the details to pkane@alm.com

Have a Move to Announce?
E-mail pkane@alm.com

Expert Analysis / Technology Today

Collusion

« Continued from page 3

Eleventh Circuit discussed how AI played a role in helping him decide whether an in-ground trampoline was “landscaping” under an insurance policy.

While Newsom addressed the valid concerns surrounding the use of AI, he concluded that “LLMs have promise. At the very least, it no longer strikes me as ridiculous to think that an LLM like ChatGPT might have something useful to say about the common, everyday meaning of the words and phrases used in legal texts.”

In *Ross v. United States*, Judge John P. Howard III of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals discussed the court’s use of AI to determine whether leaving a dog in a hot car is animal cruelty. Judge Howard wrote that “AI tools are proliferating and we ignore them at our own peril.

Not only for the concerning capabilities they now give parties with ill intent, but for the great utility such tools could potentially bring in easing the strain on our increasingly overburdened courts.”

However, Howard warned that courts need to approach AI “cautiously,” and “a judicial officer or staff member should understand, among many other things, what data the AI tool collects and what the tool does with their data.”

Judge Howard was not wrong on the need for caution. In May, a county court judge in Florida reportedly found herself on the receiving end of ethics charges after allegedly providing a fabricated recording, “likely using computer manipulation or generative AI” to a newspaper editorial board—as support for the assertion, during the judge’s 2024 campaign, that there existed an image crisis in the state judiciary.

While the judge did not create the recording, she allegedly failed to exercise due diligence in forwarding it—owing that it originated with a former, terminated court employee who self-published an e-book denigrating the Ninth

Judicial Circuit and the judges in it.

The recording reportedly came from a web site linked to the former employee and contained the fabricated voices of judges, including the chief judge of Florida’s Ninth Circuit and those on the Florida Supreme Court, engaged in a derogatory conversation.

The county judge first allegedly cited the e-book to the editorial board—“despite not having read any of it”—and followed up by providing a link to the fabricated recording.

“[Y]our actions eroded public confidence by perpetuating a false perception of illegal, unethical, or immoral conduct by Justices of the Florida Supreme Court, a Chief Judge, and others working within the judicial branch,” the Notice of Formal Charges reads.

AI Use by Expert Witnesses: Whether Experts Meet Reliability Standards

In *Ferlito v. Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc.*, decided in April, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York was faced with the question of whether to exclude an expert witness, in part because he used ChatGPT in forming his expert opinion.

The plaintiff had brought suit alleging that the head of his maul (an axe for splitting wood) detached due to a design defect and sought to offer expert testimony from a consultant—who had experience working in engineering departments and with power tools, but who held no engineering degrees.

The consultant opined that the maul was defectively designed because the head was not securely affixed to the handle. Defendant moved to preclude the consultant’s testimony, arguing he was unqualified as an expert in part because, after completing his report, he asked ChatGPT about the best way to secure a hammer head to a handle. His question generated a response consistent with his report.

The *Ferlito* court looked to *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms.,*

Inc., where the Supreme Court provided a list of factors trial judges should use to evaluate the reliability of expert testimony: (1) whether a theory or technique can be (and has been) tested; (2) whether the theory or technique has been subjected to peer review and publication; (3) a technique’s “known or potential rate of error” and “the existence and maintenance of standards controlling the technique’s operation”; and (4) whether a particular technique or theory has gained “general acceptance” in the relevant scientific community.

The *Ferlito* court found the consultant’s use of ChatGPT likely did

The court noted there was no indication he used AI to generate a report with false authorities or that his use of AI rendered his testimony less reliable.

not diminish his judgment regarding proper methods for securing the head and handle. The record indicated he used ChatGPT only to confirm his findings after he had authored his report.

The court noted there was no indication he used AI to generate a report with false authorities or that his use of AI rendered his testimony less reliable. As a result, defendant’s motion to exclude the consultant as an expert witness was denied.

Incorrect Citations by AI in ‘Anthropic’

In the recent case of *Concord Music Group, Inc. v. Anthropic PBC*, an LLM hallucinated a source—and attorneys did not catch it.

In 2023, Concord Music Group, Inc., along with several other publishers filed a lawsuit against AI developer Anthropic PBC in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. The publishers asserted copyright claims against Anthropic relating to its Claude LLM chatbot. The case was later moved to the Northern District of California.

During the course of the litigation an Anthropic data scientist

was accused of citing a made-up academic report to support her argument after hallucinated sources appeared in the data scientist’s April 30, declaration.

But the data scientist was not responsible: Anthropic’s attorneys were. An associate asked the chatbot Claude to provide a properly formatted legal citation for the article, which resulted in the hallucinated citation. The citation included the correct link, volume, page numbers, and publication year, but Claude provided a false author and title.

U.S. Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen said it was “a very serious

and grave issue” and that there was “a world of a difference between a missed citation and hallucination generated by AI,” Reuters reported.

AI: The Persuasive Litigator

As noted in the above June 2024 study, AI-enhanced debaters can carry persuasive and winning arguments. Indeed, AI has been shown to be more persuasive than human debaters in 64.4 percent of arguments, with persuasiveness being measured by how much an opponent’s opinion or viewpoint shifted by the end of the debate.

Moreover, when GPT-4 had access to personal information about the debate participants, it created an 81.2 percent increase in the AI’s odds of persuading opponents, compared to human debaters. Human debaters are generally more rooted in their viewpoints, but personalized LLM debaters were able to penetrate typical resistance to persuasion.

The use of AI for increasing the odds of a favorable outcome raises significant, if not prohibitive, ethical concerns. Nonetheless, AI does have other competitive advantages over human attorneys in courtroom advocacy. For

example, agentic AI can search the entire web in real time, and read and analyze significant amounts of case law, statutes, and evidence in a short time.

While a human attorney can come well-prepared with thorough legal research, AI can quickly find and present new precedents, statutes, or evidence in support of motions and arguments throughout trial proceedings, while dynamically adapting to the judge and opposing counsel. Further, if the AI’s output sounds authoritative and well-reasoned, juries, at least, are more likely to be persuaded by it.

Quo Vadis?

On May 6, a U.K. law firm became the first AI-driven law firm to receive approval from a solicitor’s regulation authority—which has been seen as both a “big deal,” and “not a big deal,” owing to human oversight. How successful the initiative is remains to be seen.

Yet ultimately, all AI systems take in patterns (largely gleaned from the Internet), generate mathematical representations of these patterns, and provide a way to retrieve, transform, and act upon these patterns.

Different types of AI systems differ in how they can take examples and symbolic knowledge, represent the patterns internally, retrieve and manipulate them, and present and justify outputs to the user. Hallucinations can be seen as a side effect of the lack of symbolic knowledge in most current generative AI systems.

In the examples of texts that the AI models were trained on, text that look like citations are correlated with language that is looking to prove a point. Without symbolic knowledge about what citations mean in a legal context (or, for that matter, what proving a point means), it would be natural for a system to conclude that when asked to “prove a point,” it needs to include things that look like citations, without necessarily understanding that the citation

needs to match up with an appropriate real case.

Of course, one solution is to give the AI system a symbolic understanding of what it means to prove a point, what citations entail, and the mechanisms by which they can go out and find these citations. This symbolic knowledge can also be referenced by the AI system to provide true justifications or chains of thought, and to allow the AI system to understand when it doesn’t have the knowledge to answer the query.

AI systems that make use of, and reason about, symbolic knowledge are not new, indeed they were studied extensively as far back as the 1950s. We are starting to see hybrid and agentic systems being developed that combine LLMs with symbolic knowledge. While promising, this poses two challenges for the end-user.

The first is telling the difference between systems with such capabilities and those without, particularly in the current climate of AI marketing. The second is understanding the scope of these capabilities. Systems that are transparent about what they know and how they know it are just as essential as expert witnesses being clear about the extent of their knowledge and the content upon which the knowledge is based.

Like any tool, LLM-based systems have their role. Responsible use requires an understanding of what that role is. Trained on appropriate and ethically sourced data, LLMs provide access to an incredible corpus of knowledge. They are a fantastic way of exploring an idea, concept, or domain and developing new combinations of existing information.

They work well when it might be difficult or time-consuming for a human to come up with text as long as they can thoroughly fact-check the output. Lawyers and courts certainly acknowledge this fact as evidenced by the increasing use and acceptance of AI in the law firm, corporate legal departments and the courtroom. The rub is to know what AI knows and what it doesn’t!

Evolution

« Continued from page 3

inflicted harm to marginalized communities.

The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) has characterized this movement as a form of domestic religious extremism. Critics have pointed to efforts to restrict LGBTQ+ rights, limit reproductive freedoms, and challenge the constitutional separation of church and state.

Some commentators have expressed concern that the American government is not taking accountability for extremists affecting our democratic system. For example, in Oklahoma, a city employee and church leader has publicly called for the execution of LGBTQ+ people and remains in his government position.

States like Texas and Oklahoma have enacted restrictive legislation including abortion bans and limitations on LGBTQ+ curriculum in public schools. These efforts are transforming American society in ways that the LGBTQ+ rights movement has fought hard to prevent.

One of the most concerning examples is Trump’s 2025 executive order issued that has already threatened the lives of several marginalized communities. This order raises serious constitutional concerns, including equal protection questions under the Fourteenth Amendment and potential viola-

tions of the separation of powers doctrine.

The executive order bans gender-affirming medical care for trans youth nationwide including puberty blockers, hormone therapy, and surgery, while still allowing cisgender youth to access similar treatments. This underscores the discriminatory intent behind these actions.

Hospitals and other medical centers across the country have been forced to cancel care to many patients which has threatened the mental health and bodily autonomy of American youth. In response, the ACLU, alongside Lambda Legal and the ACLU of Maryland, has filed a lawsuit seeking to block this order on constitutional grounds.

Beyond these restrictions, MAGA proponents have advocated for banning trans individuals from military service, permitting government discrimination against trans employees, and more broadly, repealing workplace discrimination protections.

This restrictive mentality is reminiscent of conservative activist Anita Bryant’s nationwide campaign, “Save Our Children” to repeal LGBTQ+ protections by spreading accusations of pedophilia and grooming during the late 70s that led to banning gay individuals from teaching in public schools. Despite Bryant’s efforts, the LGBTQ+ community prevailed by boycotting Bryant’s brand sponsor, Florida orange juice.

However, those same assumptions are still voiced around the country as evidenced by Project 2025, a plan created by right-wing institutions to consolidate executive power, revoke civil right protections, and enforce a rigid, Christian nationalist system.

This plan includes nullifying the Affordable Care Act’s protections against sex discrimination in health care, undoing the 2024 Title IX rule that prohibited discrimina-

The executive order bans gender-affirming medical care for trans youth nationwide including puberty blockers, hormone therapy, and surgery, while still allowing cisgender youth to access similar treatments. This underscores the discriminatory intent behind these actions.

tion based on sexual orientation and gender identity, and cut off protections for LGBTQ+ individuals in regard to workplace discrimination under Title VII.

At the center of this current conflict is Donald Trump who has empowered evangelical leaders to influence American politics. For instance, he has openly supported Paula White, who preaches about “spiritual warfare,” and how sinful LGBTQ+ people, immigrants, and feminists are. Trump has provided authority to effectuate this religious doctrine on a federal level.

Trump has cultivated a substantial population to stand by him

through methods of fear, misinformation, and invocations of God. As a result, Trump has exercised control over bodies, identities, education, reproductive choices, and the narratives allowed in public discourse.

Critics describe this conservative philosophy as dangerous because of the real consequences these executive orders will have for the LGBTQ+ community.

We have passed hypothetical

This movement centers on liberation and as demonstrated by the history of this community, liberation requires resistance, solidarity, and persistence.

The LGBTQ+ community has withstood unimaginable hardships and has flourished into an even more expansive collection of identities who have accomplished even greater feats.

Take Action Now: Defend LGBTQ+ Rights

1. Speak Out: In the words of Vito Russo, founder of GLAAD, “No one ever asks what causes heterosexuality because no one is interested in stopping it.” His message brings to light the double standards prevalent in our society; LGBTQ+ people are constantly asked to justify their existence while cisgender heterosexuality has traditionally been unquestioned. This oppressive standard calls for us to speak up.

2. Use Your Platform: At the time when AIDS was an unknown puzzling plague, activists such as Pedro Zamora educated masses on the virus and preventative care available. He emphasized, “if you want to reach me as a young man - especially a young gay man of color - then you need to give me information in a language and vocabulary I

can understand and relate to.” Reflecting on that notion, it is vital we educate those who do not understand how their religious ideologies are depriving fellow citizens of civil liberties. It is pertinent that we not only promote visibility of these issues but the severity and imminence as well.

3. Honor LGBTQ+ History: While conservative forces aim to eradicate the activism of the LGBTQ+ community, it is our job to commemorate the pioneers who sacrificed their lives for this cause. From Compton’s Cafeteria to Obergefell, this community is filled with revolutionaries who deserve recognition. This can start with supporting local petitions to maintain LGBTQ+ literature in public schools or donating to organizations such as the ACLU, litigating on behalf of impacted LGBTQ+ members.

4. Join the Legal Battle: There is never enough support for organizations like the ACLU, Lambda Legal, Transgender Law Center, and GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders, who are all on the frontlines of fighting these discriminatory executive orders. It is necessary more than ever to volunteer your time, donate if able, or circulate notifications and statements made by these organizations.

AI 101

« Continued from page 5

review and detect patterns in datasets, and open, draft and edit documents, among other functions..

While developers and deployers may use the term “agentic AI” to describe everything from automated workflows to comprehensive customer service platforms, a generative AI tool must be able to take action on its own to be deemed agentic AI.

“The most succinct definition I’ve seen for agentic AI is an artificial intelligence system that can accomplish a specific goal with limited supervision,” said Jason Lichter, principal at Troutman Pepper Locke’s e-discovery subsidiary Troutman eMerge.

AI agents “can make decisions about what to do next, use various tools that we give them together, things like information, and then iterate on its work until it achieves its desired outcome,” added Will Gaus, Troutman Pep-

per Locke’s chief knowledge and information officer.

How It’s Made

Agentic AI tools can also access external tools to help them automate tasks, decide what steps are necessary to complete a project, and re-run tasks to produce better results. They are built on the same large language model (LLM) technology that serves as the engine for other generative AI tools.

However, agentic AI systems combine LLMs with the tools and data access required to carry out given tasks or projects. There are multiple approaches to providing LLMs with the resources they need to complete tasks on behalf of users. Developers can either opt to build agentic systems using a publicly-available framework, or can integrate tools with an LLM.

An agentic AI framework is analogous to a completed, off the shelf bike. The LLM functions as

the bikeframe, and the framework is made up of all the other components the bike needs to function.

AI agents built using a commercially available framework come with the ability to access tools like search engines, data scrapers and programming software, just like a store-bought bike will come with a standard set of wheels, tires, gears and brakes.

Pre-built frameworks available for agentic AI developers include LangChain’s LangGraph and Amazon’s Bedrock AI Agent Framework, both of which allow users to choose among several LLMs.

Using pre-built frameworks to construct AI agents has obvious advantages; it’s quicker and easier to get up and running, and repairs are easier with standardized components. But because frameworks are built to be adaptable to a wide array of purposes, they may come with bells and whistles that are unnecessary for a given use case, adding needless cost and complexity.

Other developers opt to build

agents by connecting tools directly with an LLM via its application programming interface (API). In this case, the LLM still serves as the bike frame, and the user adds on only the tools they need for a given task; in the case of a bike, the wheels, brakes, and gearset they want, in the case of an AI agent, access to data sets and other software programs.

While building AI agents by integrating tools with an LLM directly can be slower and harder than using a framework, the resulting workflows are better tailored to the task at hand, and can be cheaper and faster to use.

Pros and Cons

Agentic AI offers a number of advantages over other generative AI delivery methods, most notably their ability to use tools and make decisions about how to manage complex tasks.

Whereas other gen AI chatbots require users to prompt each task individually, agentic systems allow

a user to provide the program with a top-line goal, leaving the decision of the best way to achieve it up to the agentic AI system.

“If you’re building an effective agentic AI system or solution, the paralegals and attorneys should have no idea what’s happening behind the scenes,” Gaus said. “The best, the most innovative products seem almost too simple and too easy to use.”

The downsides of agentic AI generally have less to do with the functionality of the end product than the expense in time and money required to build and run them.

“I think that we have to be mindful, especially given the token costs and other charges and the economics of using these models and multi-agent orchestration, that we don’t have to boil the ocean of agents and orchestration in every instance,” Lichter said.

Agentic AI models are also harder for deployers to test, given the vastly greater array of outputs they might produce.

“You can ask generative AI, any model, the same question 10 times, and you’re going to get potentially 10 different answers that could potentially be all correct,” Gaus said. “When you extend the model to things like multiple agents with multiple sets of tools and access to all sorts of different types of data sources, it’s tougher to declare ‘yes, this is an effective tool.’”

Still, Lichter noted that the agentic AI also offered the potential for individual users to create workflows adapted to their own preferences and working styles.

“There is the potential for enterprise scale systems and deployments you need to put in all of that upfront legwork and have all the requisite experts involved [for], but for kind of smaller scale agentic AI solutions, there is that DIY element that in certain circumstances may be suitable or may be appropriate.”

@ Benjamin Joyner can be reached at bjoyner@alm.com.

Outside Counsel / Off Page 2

Training

«Continued from page 4

Conference Preparation: Making Events Count

Attending an industry conference or client seminar can be a high-impact experience—or a missed opportunity. Without preparation, lawyers may attend a few panels, collect a few QR codes or business cards, and move on. With the right training, however, these events become platforms for strategic visibility, lead generation and professional credibility. “At Nelson Mullins, we believe that the ROI from attending conferences hinges on thorough preparation, strategic outreach and disciplined follow-up. That’s why we invest significant time in training our lawyers to research, plan meetings and engage boldly before, during and after every event,” offered Jona-

contacts on LinkedIn, identify leads worth nurturing and publicize the presentation topic via media relations or other channels.

The Payoff

Well-prepared lawyers come away from conferences with more than CLE credits—they return with leads, media mentions, deeper industry knowledge and stronger connections. Marketing-led training ensures these touchpoints support firm growth, not just individual attendance.

Media Training: Building Confident, Credible Spokespeople

When journalists seek expert commentary on breaking legal or regulatory issues, firms that can quickly provide confident, quotable lawyers are at an advantage.

require different preparation, tone and pacing.

• **Balance transparency and caution.** Lawyers are guided on how to maintain credibility while honoring confidentiality and avoiding speculative or inflammatory comments.

The Payoff

Media-trained lawyers elevate both their personal profiles and the firm’s visibility. They become trusted sources for journalists, which can lead to recurring opportunities for commentary. This visibility also enhances credibility with clients, who increasingly expect to see their outside counsel engaged in public discourse.

Integrating Training into Lawyer Development Programs

The key to success is not just offering training but embedding it into the rhythm of lawyer development. Here are a few best practices:

• **Make it part of onboarding and advancement.** Introduce training in social media, conferences and media engagement as part of the associate and partner development track. Normalize these skills as core—not ancillary.

• **Offer one-on-one coaching.** Group workshops are helpful, but one-on-one coaching yields the most behavioral change. Whether preparing for an upcoming interview or conference, lawyers benefit from individualized support.

• **Reinforce through real opportunities.** Tie training to actual events—a speaking engagement or conference opportunity, a press interview or a LinkedIn content push—to build confidence through action.

• **Track success and celebrate wins.** Show the value of the training by tracking press coverage, engagement rates or new leads – and share these wins with firm leadership to build buy-in. Debriefs following events and interviews are crucial to evaluating training and next steps.

Law firm marketing is no longer just about making the firm look good or producing the next brochure or pitch; it’s about making lawyers equipped, confident and capable of engaging the outside world effectively.

Social media visibility, conference readiness and media training may once have been considered extras, but today they’re essential to building the next generation of rainmakers, influencers and trusted advisers.

When marketing teams step into this developmental role, everyone benefits:

- Lawyers gain skills that differentiate them in a crowded field.
- Firms amplify their reach through stronger external voices.
- Clients see lawyers who are thoughtful, clear and connected.

In a world where reputation and relationships drive results, marketing-led training is not an add-on—it’s a core business investment.

Social media—particularly LinkedIn—has become a crucial channel for legal professionals, yet many lawyers still approach it with skepticism or uncertainty. They may worry about saying the wrong thing, struggle to find their voice or simply not know where to start.

than Gardner, Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough’s managing director of business development and client services.

How Marketing Adds Value

Marketing teams can provide conference coaching before, during and after the event that helps lawyers:

• **Set goals and target outcomes.** Lawyers are encouraged to identify specific objectives before attending an event, whether it’s meeting certain clients or reconnecting with former colleagues—not to mention learning about trends in a specific sector or meeting new folks.

• **Craft their elevator pitch.** Many lawyers struggle to succinctly explain what they do and who they help. Marketing training can help them develop a memorable “30-second pitch” that resonates with potential clients and peers.

• **Learn to “work the room.”** Marketers offer practical tips for networking: sample questions that can help to initiate conversations, proper cadence of listening versus speaking, and enter group settings and move on gracefully. They also teach strategies for maximizing limited time at receptions or breakout sessions, as well as visiting press rooms or setting up interviews while at the event

• **Leverage speaking engagements.** For lawyers presenting at a conference, marketing support can include slide design, messaging alignment and speaker coaching. Even panelists benefit from guidance on how to contribute strategically and stay on-message.

• **Follow up after the event.** A strong follow-up strategy is where event value is truly unlocked. Marketing teams can help lawyers draft follow-up emails, connect with new

But most lawyers aren’t trained to speak to the press—and those who aren’t prepared can unintentionally damage their credibility or the firm’s reputation.

This is where structured media training becomes essential. Robin Ireland, the director of communications at Ballard Spahr since 2009, has a stable of former reporters on her public relations team who routinely not only provide talking points but also work with lawyers on their interviewing skills and strategies in advance of meetings. Some might be surprised, but the best law firm leaders are never afraid to take message points, journalist backgrounders, media relations tips and more—for every interview they participate in.

How Marketing Adds Value

Marketing and communications professionals understand how the media works, what journalists want and how to position firm messages effectively. They can train lawyers to:

• **Speak in nonlegalese soundbites.** Lawyers are taught how to distill complex issues into concise, memorable statements that nonlawyers (and journalists) can understand.

• **Control the narrative.** Training includes how to stay “on message,” avoid tangents and steer conversations back to key themes. Every speaker should be armed with “messages” about saving side topics for later interviews.

• **Handle difficult questions.** Through mock interviews, lawyers learn how to navigate tough or unexpected questions with poise and professionalism. Training gives the tools to bridge or redirect when needed but to never leave a question unanswered.

• **Understand the interview context.** Marketing teams explain how different formats (live TV, podcasts, phone interviews, email responses)

Pride Month

«Continued from page 2

He said: “I am noticing a quietening from some law firms in relation to their Pride activities” but that his “sense is that firms are still doing good work, but broadcasting less”.

According to people working at the firms, the reasons behind this year’s shift vary widely. Some suggest firms are aiming to avoid appearing tokenistic or hypocritical; others say they want to share content with more substance, which takes time to develop.

A few acknowledge that the current political climate has likely influenced decisions. It’s also worth noting that some firms haven’t scaled back their Pride activity—because they never engaged with it in the first place. Meanwhile, some are beginning to question whether speaking—or staying silent—about Pride Month actually makes a tangible difference for LGBTQIA+ professionals and clients.

Still, others continue to voice their support. Baker McKenzie which has remained consistent in its approach to Pride Month said: “Pride Month is a time when we can spotlight our commitment to foster-

ing an inclusive environment where everyone is accepted, valued and feels they belong, and highlights the work that we do throughout the year at Baker McKenzie.” BCLP added the firm is “proudly committed to fostering a culture of inclusivity and belonging, where people of different backgrounds, perspectives and views feel valued and respected. This includes recognizing and celebrating Pride Month as well as supporting many initiatives associated with it, which the firm will continue to do this year as it has for many years.”

© Molly G. Smith can be reached at msmith@alm.com.

Court Calendars

First Department

APPELLATE DIVISION

The following cases have been scheduled for pre-argument conference on the dates and at the times indicated:

Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, J.J.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

10:30 A.M.

365148/21 Panos v. Panos

MONDAY, JUNE 16

10 A.M.

159048/24 Hubert-Ross v. Lane

12 P.M.

816082/21 Torres v. SAD Associates

2 P.M.

155660/20 Louis v. Hudson 36

TUESDAY, JUNE 17

2 P.M.

152481/15 Weyrauch v. City of NY

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18

10 A.M.

655057/23 Houlihan Lokey v. Charah Solutions

31833/19 Wray v. NYC Transit Authority

12 P.M.

65643/23 Olshan Frome LLP v. Triller Fight Club

150621/25 Pol v. Dy

2 P.M.

802031/22 Caraballo v. Century Star Fuel

FRIDAY, JUNE 20

10 A.M.

813946/21 Liu v. Consolidated Scuffolding Inc.

TUESDAY, JUNE 24

10 A.M.

952142/23 Bowman v. Cosby

1 P.M.

21323/19 Padilla v. Riverbay Corporation

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25

10 A.M.

800456/21 Toure v. 88 Wall Street

12 P.M.

157620/22 Thompson Holcombe LLC v. Huteau

FRIDAY, JULY 11

9:30 A.M.

650756/24 Cowen & Company v. ReKtGlobal Holding

12:30 P.M.

655910/24 ACM Zoomcar v. Zoomcar Holdings

3:30 P.M.

850031/25 Santander Bank v. 558 West 151st Street

APPELLATE DIVISION

41 Moyné: 327 (80 Centre)
42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre)
43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre)
44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre)
45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre)
46 Latin: 210 (71 Thomas)
47 Gote: 1021 (111 Centre)
48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre)
49 Chan: 252 (60 Centre)
50 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)
51 Chesler: 543 (60 Centre)
52 Johnson: 307 (80 Centre)
53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre)
54 Schechter: 228 (60 Centre)
55 d’Auguste: 103 (71 Thomas)
56 Kelly: 204 (71 Thomas)
57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre)
58 Cohen: D.: 305 (71 Thomas)
60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre)
61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre)
59 James, D.: 331 (60 Centre)
62 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre)

MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre)
MMSF-1: 1127B (111 Centre)
IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre)

PART 40TR

JUDICIAL MEDIATION

On Rotating Schedule

Adams 300 (60 Centre)

EARLY SETTLEMENT

ESC 1 Vigilante 106/80 Centre

ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre)

SPECIAL REFEREES

60 Centre Street

73R Santiago: Room 354

75R Burzio: Room 240

80R Edelman: Room 562

82R Wohl: Room 501B

83R Sambuco: Room 528

84R Feinberg: Room 641

88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324

JHO/SPECIAL REFEREES

80 Centre Street

81R Hewitt: Room 321

87R Burke: Room 238

89R Hoahng: Room 236

SPECIAL REFEREE

71 Thomas Street

Judicial Hearing Officers

Part 91 Hon. C. Ramos

Part 93 Hon. Marin

SUPREME COURT

Motion Calendars

Room 130, 9:30 A.M.

60 Centre Street

SUPREME COURT

Motion Dispositions

from Room 130

60 Centre Street

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By) Calendar setting a date for submission of a missing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Rate service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key:

ADJ—Adjudged to date indicated in Submission Courtroom (Room 130).

ARG—Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated.

SUB (PT #)—Motion was submitted to part noted.

WDN—Motion was withdrawn on calendar call.

SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated.

APB (All Papers By)—This motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for submission of papers.

SUBM 3—Adjudged to date indicated in Submission Court Room (Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.

S—Stipulation.

C—Consent.

C MOTION—Adjudged to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.

FINAL—Adjournment date is final

60 CENTRE STREET

Submissions Part

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

Submission

1 100144/25 Cobbs v. NYCPD Corp. Counsel

2 100913/24 Wright v. Henry

Paperless Judge Part

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

154325/25 1374 Third Ave., Inc. v. Ramirez

156542/25 140 Lex LLC v. Anb Rlty. Corp.

650739/25 1571-1573 Third Ave. LLC v. Taim Upper East LLC Et Al

651002/25 247 West 30th St. Associates LLC v. Juntikka

159700/21 3502 Partners LLC v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al

850372/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc. v. By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Ferguson

100320/24 Abello v. Nyp Hldgs., Inc. Et Al

654139/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Khalishkan Abraham Et Al

152096/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Rutland Medical

157054/23 Arthur-Smith v. 118 Third Ave. LLC Et Al

153709/23 Baker v. Urban Outfitters, Inc.

805235/23 Bedoya v. Kim M.D.

150235/23 Berger v. Rock Rink

654826/22 Best Work Hldgs. (new York) LLC v. Ma

151807/23 Biziou v. The Howard Hughes Corp. Et Al

154821/25 Break Point Public Affairs Inc. Et Al v. Sun

451549/25 Bklyn. Defender Services v. Records Access Officer

159518/23 Bryson v. 501 1/2 East 83 St. LLC Et Al

650576/25 Buchman v. 117 East 72nd St. Corp. Et Al

152556/21 Campuzano v. Aecom

652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al

652146/25 Chan v. The Creative Organization Et Al

151315/24 Chen v. Wilder

652927/24 Cheyne European Strategic Value Credit Raif - Cheyne European Special Situations Fund Et Al v. Hunkenmoller Int'l By Et Al

659349/24 Clark v. NYU Langone Health System

652482/24 Clear Blue Specialty Ins. Co. v. Madison Security Group, Inc. Et Al

654488/22 Cyberbit, Inc. v. Cloud Range Cyber

190001/25 Deljou Khademi v. Almay, Inc. Et Al

161289/24 Easyship Inc. v. Zeng

152088/23 F. v. NYC Et Al

151333/24 Farmer v. Cab East LLC. Et Al

156193/25 First Funds v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank

156265/24 Fora Financial Warehouse v. Ez Star Corp. Et Al

190184/22 Foster Jr. v. Aero Int'l, Inc. Et Al

650611/25 Frink-Hamlett Legal Solutions, Inc. v. Tellock

950335/20 Garcia v. Riverside Hawks A/a Riverside Et Al

154232/16 Gherardi v. Doly 1133 Properties II LLC

150754/19 Greene v. Lendlease (us) Const.

154387/20 Gustavo Matute v. Defalco Const. Inc.

650540/25 Hammi Bank v. Hsu & Associates Lip Et Al

155139/24 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Anoop

161262/23 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Martin

151967/25 Hertz Vehicles, LLC, And All of Its Affiliates And Subsidiaries, Including But Not Ltd. To The Hertz Corp. And Hertz Co. v. Azk NY Corp Et Al

159450/18 House v. Slow Food LLC

155075/25 Iceer of 129 Edgecombe LLC v. Tanya Faye

655585/24 Iria Ventures LLC v. The Fat Radish Thres LLC Et Al

156554/18 Jewelers Mutual Ins. v. Forty-Second Fifth Co.

160112/22 Ikar Enterprise LLC v. Miri Const. Group

850221/23 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Singh

850093/24 Jpmorgan Chase Bank v. Barkagan

805057/25 Lashley-Miller v. NYU Langone Hosps. Et Al

653184/24 Lettman's Delivery Services, Inc. v.

159268/21 Yudina v. Alamo Rental (us) LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JUNE 12
650060/25/23 R.P. Associates LLC v. Capital Dn
650331/25/290 Grand LLC v. The Grandaddy LLC Et Al
652924/25/6 East 66th St. Residence v. J.A.G. Custom Group Inc. Et Al
652224/23/62 Orchard Loft Corp. Et Al v. Gluck
805238/21 Ahmed v. Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al
659160/24 Alfaks v. Ashkenazie
151390/25 Ambleside Partners LLC v. NYC Water Board Et Al
659758/24 American Express Travel Related Services Co., Inc. v. Kessington
653131/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Amnm Inc
652963/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Athena Chiropractic Pc
653032/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Bklyn. Medical Practice
653045/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Citimed Complete Medical Care Pc
653078/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Englewood Orthopedic Group Pc
653082/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Erden Ortho Supply Ltd
652990/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fast Care Medical Diagnostics Plc
653023/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fast Care Medical Diagnostics Plc
653084/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Js Chiropactic Diadnositic Services Pc
653051/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Matech Ortho Inc.
653046/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. McCulloch Orthopaedic Surgical Services
653135/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Progressive Surgical Center
653048/25 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Unicorn Acupuncture
652006/25 Application of Moses & Singer Llp v. Correa
652193/25 Application of Moses & Singer Llp v. Enmark Int'l LLC
652659/23 Arius Urban LLC Et Al v. Twain Time, Inc.
161516/23 Aykac v. NYC
150677/23 Battaglia v. The City Univ. of NY Et Al
158327/23 Battaglia v. Amalie Oil Co. Et Al
655682/24 Beazley USA Ins. Services, Inc. v. Urbano
650371/25 Benjamin 589 Lessee LLC v. Kirna Zabette, Inc. Et Al
150777/25 Billingslea v. NYC Et Al
158031/19 Board of Mgrs. of 207-09 v. Dougan
150619/23 Boerke v. Nucor Const. Corp. Et Al
156191/25 Bravo v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal (dhr) Et Al
162100/24 Busy Bee Cleaning Service LLC v. Gina Gibney Dance, Inc.
152397/23 Cajamarca Ramon v. Pizzarotti
158141/21 Cannon v. Merino
653993/24 Canon Financial Services, Inc. v. L&G Capital Corp.
651129/25 Canon U.S.A., Inc. v. Advantage Global Resources LLC Et Al
141428/09 Canzoneri v. NYC
655924/24 Cigna Health And Life Ins. Co. Et Al v. Banco Bradesco Bbi S.A.
160584/21 Conza v. 4t5 II LLC Et Al
157696/17 Courtney v. McDonald
152896/22 Davis v. Target Corp. Et Al
652211/25 Delphi Cre Funding LLC v. Beaver
153378/22 Destaffan v. 174-176 1st Ave. Owner LLC Et Al
952370/23 Doe v. Bishop Aka Jamie Foxx
151605/25 Doe v. Combs
151606/25 Doe v. Combs
151604/25 Doe v. Combs
850313/24 East West Bank v. Hop Chong Trading Co., Inc. Et Al
161080/20 Ehm Dev. Co. v. 560 Seventh Ave. Owner
655108/23 Endurance American Ins. Co. v. Plaza Const. Group, Inc. Et Al
152392/25 Family Justice Law Center v. NYS Office of Court Admin.
153445/18 Feysid v. NYCTA
159739/18 Figueroa v. Empire Sewer & Water Inc.
156838/24 Fisher v. Hudson Hall LLC Et Al
152688/25 Fontanez v. Bon Tool Co.
155022/22 Frizalone v. Tishman Const. Corp. of NY Et Al
805265/22 Goldsmith v. Tewari
805261/24 Gorin v. Passion For Seniors of NY Inc Et Al
650681/18 Govt. of Saint Lucia v. 126 East 36th St. LLC
155682/25 Gpg 588 v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal
150144/23 Gurley v. NYC
150130/18 Hernandez v. Sanfo
161772/24 Hewitt v. NYC Et Al
805199/22 Hidalgo v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
156124/24 Hirakal v. Latacelo
153758/23 Hooks v. Azure Hldgs. II
152630/25 In The Matter of The Application of Saikh Rahman v. NYC Dept. of Correction Et Al
655560/21 J.C.S. Apparel Group Inc. v. Leng Enterprises Co. Et Al
650150/25 J.F. Fine Jewels And Gold LLC v. Kalish
15234/24 Kemper Direct Ins. Co. v. Beyer
154266/25 Kempley v. Loeb Jr.
161185/23 Kinsey v. Stake Center Locating, Inc.
158520/13 Krastnyal v. Korpenn LLC
154273/23 Laterral Investment Mgt. v. Marcum
152235/22 Lazo Leon v. G&S Port Chester Unit 2b
652485/25 Lsc 2235 Castor Ave LLC v. Ebrahimzadeh
154327/24 Maloney v. Crystals Garden Inc. Et Al
850012/25 Manhattan Lending Partners LLC v. Amsterdam Key Associates LLC Et Al
153376/18 Merchant v. NYC
659545/24 Mistry v. Mistry
659747/24 Moore v. Robertson
157401/22 Morales v. Rambles Real Estate
160508/20 Mouta v. Sherpa
652893/20 New Deal Rty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
158955/17 Nicotina v. Rcpj Landmark Properties
157568/20 NYCTL 2019-A Trust And The Bank of NY Mellon v. 611 East 6th St. LLC Et Al
154916/24 Odilov v. Alam
153228/22 Oliver v. NYC Et Al
653206/25 Orthogon Charter School Special Opportunities Lp Et Al v. Charter School Capital, Inc.
651051/24 Oxford Finance LLC v. Makesh
152167/21 Palacios v. Hsre-Eb York
654950/20 Paulmil Cafe, Inc. v. Evolver Hldgs. Corp.
653296/25 Peachy Corp. v. Snee
653036/25 Peachy Medical P.C. v. Abu-Hijhij
653037/25 Peachy Medical P.C. v. Grey
161055/24 Petronilio Da Silva v. Titanium Const. Services, Inc. Et Al
154344/23 Polanco v. Pr Richards Et Al

650212/24 Safety And Quality Plus, Inc. v. Battery Associates LLC Et Al
805067/22 Salter v. Lee
160022/21 Samhoun v. 372 Jericho Corp
850454/23 Santander Bank v. Neuman
850678/23 Sbt Advantage Bank v. Ma
153055/21 Shenouda v. Board of Mgrs. of The 220
151136/21 Smartmatic USA Corp. v. Fox Corp.
159864/24 Smith v. The North-Eastern Conference Corp. of Seventh-Day Adventists
155985/19 Soukoum v. Ean Hldgs.
162020/19 Spiegel v. 85th Estates Co.
450355/24 State of NY v. Santiago
158161/23 Suarez v. Aray
154875/24 Sunik v. Mariner Wealth Advisors
159416/24 Tenuto v. The Tjx Companies, Inc. Et Al
656060/23 The Burlington Ins. Co. v. Esplanade 99
159838/24 The Cincinnati Ins. Co. A/s/o 1450 B'way. LLC v. Watts Water Technologies, Inc. Et Al
161633/23 The City Et Al v. NYC Police Dept. Et Al
652119/25 Uniteddes Corp. v. Gofundance LLC D/b/a Go Fund Advance LLC
653958/24 Viz Cattle Corp. v. Wattacos Foods
159416/22 Williams v. Topbuild Corp. Et Al
651138/24 Xu v. Lu
161201/21 Yearwood v. Shop Fair Corp Et Al
157418/22 Zarkowski v. NYC Et Al

E-Filing Submission Part Adjournd for Working Copies Part

Part 1
Justice Adam Silvera
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, JUNE 10
154183/23 Gill v. NYC Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
157922/23 Becquer v. NYCHA
161073/21 Berry v. NYCHA
153425/21 Cyrus v. NYCHA
162031/24 Hyman Dancy v. NYCHA
156899/22 Mitchell v. The NYCHA
152078/24 Mitchell v. NYCHA

THURSDAY, JUNE 12
156005/16 Jp By Anita Diaz v. East Harlem Pilot Block

Part 2
Justice Lori S. Sattler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3852
Room 212

TUESDAY, JUNE 10
154275/23 135 East 57th Street v. Pierre Michel, Inc. D/b/a Pierre-Michel At 57, Inc.
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
159171/21 6448 Rty. Associates LLC v. Safari Murano Jewelry Corp. Et Al
650853/22 Bestguy Prop. Services LLC v. Donovan Prop. Service Inc. Et Al
160557/15 Board of Mgrs. of The v. 3 East 75a LLC
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
653031/22 Chaunsullit v. Zandy
654293/23 Cheng v. 50 Lex Dev. LLC Et Al
650608/23 City Nat. Bank v. D'Angelo
655722/20 Eskandari Stone NY Inc v. Tuscani Marble And Granite
151234/22 Esrt Empire State Bldg. v. Laquan Smith
653657/23 Et Crestview Leasing v. Maguire
652010/23 Flagstar Bank v. Zebian Int'l Facades Solutions New York, Inc. Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group LLC v. Royal Appliances Et Al
653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates
650991/22 Kmr v. Aminzadeh
153774/23 Maguire v. Et Crestview Leasing LLC
161002/22 Makarewicz Design Ltd. D/b/a Mdl Solutions v. Jdp Mechanical, Inc. Et Al
655863/23 Meir Electric D/b/a Meir Electric Co. v. El-Kam Rty. Co. Et Al
157325/23 Nofar Tribeca LLC v. Shably Hldgs.
654400/22 Secured Lending Investments LLC v. Aytug
651667/22 Sow Figueroa Organization, Inc. D/b/a Sow Figueroa Group v. Jbs Venture Corp.
160249/23 Talavera v. Olivville Co-Op. Corp. Et Al

Motion
651372/22 1350 LLC v. Financial Vision Group
652825/23 Century Indemnity Co., As Successor To Cci Ins. Co., As Successor To Ins. Co. of North America And As Successor To Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America Et Al v. The Archdiocese of NY Et Al
652962/22 Frydo Capital Group v. Park Rio East
155118/21 Gates v. Perennial Painting And
652532/14 Harriet Tubman Gardens v. H.T. Dev. Corp.
654214/22 In Group v. Datny
451143/23 Kalamata Capital Group

152930/25 Doe v. Alexander
155274/25 Doe v. Central Hatzalah, Inc., Et Al
151605/25 Doe v. Combs
151606/25 Doe v. Combs
151604/25 Doe v. Combs
15220/25 Doe v. Combs
650892/25 Epic Affordable Operator v. Haddock
152630/25 In The Matter of The Application of Saikh Rahman v. NYC Dept. of Correction Et Al
154702/25 Joe Sunshine Trading LLC v. Aimircon Co., Ltd. Et Al
160782/21 Quezada v. Ls-14 Ave 158948/19 Robin Gorman v. Myron Leo LLC
150125/22 Shenouda v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al
450355/24 State of NY v. Santiago
159416/24 Tenuto v. The Tjx Companies, Inc. Et Al
154949/24 Werzberger v. Zahler

Part 14

Justice Arlene P. Bluth
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3219
Room 432

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

654826/22 Best Work Hldgs. (New York) LLC v. Ma
653869/23 Caban v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.
154364/21 Kapassakis v. Sisters of Charity Health Care System Nursing Home, Inc. Et Al
653109/24 Nrcf 2gc LLC v. Azizov
152259/18 Weiss v. 800 Brady Parking, Inc.
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
850323/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Payne
850280/23 57th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Salazar
650249/24 Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's v. Southwest Marine And General Ins. Co.
850190/19 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust v. Morrow
158534/18 Hssen v. Ken Jones Photography
151328/20 Mercado v. 605 Third Ave. Fee
655556/21 Quinn v. Ubs Financial Services Inc. Et Al
653392/23 Tedford's Tenancy v. Horizons Investors Corp. Et Al
850498/23 Wells Fargo Bank v. 174 W 137 LLC Et Al
850427/23 Wilmington Savings Fund Society v. 414 East 115 LLC Et Al

Motion

655556/21 Quinn v. Ubs Financial Services Inc. Et Al
THURSDAY, JUNE 12
153376/18 Merchant v. NYC
652893/20 New Deal Rty. LLC v. 684 Owners Corp.
156083/22 Pecm Supply v. Jennie Mark Et Al
650212/24 Battery And Quality Plus, Inc. v. Saffery Associates LLC Et Al
153901/20 Williams v. NYCHA

Part 15

Justice Jeanine R. Johnson
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 116

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

365173/22 Chen v. Shen
365457/22 Dixon v. Dixon
365108/24 Lewis v. Lewis
150613/21 Marquez v. Lora
365012/21 Mitewa v. Tesco
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
303291/18 Carvajal-Hernandez v. Hernandez
150089/19 Demski v. NYC
365331/22 Dorosa v. Burke
365138/22 Perrone v. Perrone
365870/23 Quinnett v. Rist
365253/21 Scioutti v. Welton
365449/22 Sehgal v. Harpaz
365807/23 Whelan v. Whelan
365568/21 Wolfson-Moche v. Moche

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

350009/15 Hofmann v. Sender

Part 17

Justice Shlomo S. Hagler
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3283
Courtroom 335

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

153808/21 Automobile Ins. Co. v. Tudor Rly. Services Corp.
152647/20 Lubonno v. Related Companies
158570/20 Residential Board of v. Wong
156231/18 Werner v. Halcyon Const. Corp.
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
159851/20 Charles v. NYC Et Al
156207/19 Estevez v. Castle Village Owners Corp.
152689/22 Pisciotta v. Bop Se LLC Et Al
159831/19 Rodriguez v. Bp Prods. North America
154283/19 Walsh v. Zcwk Associates LP.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

160822/20 Persad v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al
160162/21 Todorovic v. Roosevelt Island Operating Corp.

Part 19

Justice Lisa A. Sokoloff
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3979
Room 540

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

157562/24 Galloway v. Reed
Motion
157562/24 Galloway v. Reed

Part 20

Justice Deborah A. Kaplan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3300
Courtroom 422

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

160143/22 Maybury v. Fantasia Industries Corp. Et Al

Part 24

Justice Michael L. Katz
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3285
Courtroom 325

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

157562/24 Galloway v. Reed
Motion
157562/24 Galloway v. Reed

Part 26

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4462
Room 438

Part 28

Justice Aija Tingling
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4372
Room 543

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

365802/23 Clark v. Rumble
365098/24 Finkelman v. Behar
320267/24 Kim v. Baldonado
365035/24 Rubado v. Rubado
Motion
365035/24 Rubado v. Rubado

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

365283/24 Bauer v. Bauer
365735/23 Dore-Almonor v. Almonor
365515/22 Irti v. Pava
321012/23 Malott v. Dupuy
365862/23 Wang v. Zhao
Motion
321012/23 Malott v. Dupuy

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

321700/24 Bahoric v. Taylor
365039/24 Brockway v. Brockway
365401/24 Diodati v. Baker-Caldwell
365222/24 Koban v. Hechler

Motion

365039/24 Brockway v. Brockway
365222/24 Koban v. Hechler

Part 30V

Justice Judith N. McMahon
60 Centre Street
646-386-3275

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

805298/22 Almanzan Gonzalez v. Bellevue Hosp. Et Al
805372/20 M. v. Hosp. For Special Surgery
805102/18 Morales v. Villagecare Rehabilitation
805366/19 Roman M. Shelepanov By The v. Mount Sinai Health System
805053/20 Settenbrino Davies v. Wetzl
805157/20 Sterling Ceballo v. Bannerman

Motion

805298/22 Almanzan Gonzalez v. Bellevue Hosp. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

805110/20 Condon v. Beth Israel Medical Center
805209/24 Cote v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
805218/24 Herman v. Bellevue Hosp. Center Et Al
805427/19 Moscoso Alvarado v. Stiles

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

805145/21 Agbontaen v. Preminger
805243/20 Flanagan v. Berenstein
155457/24 Joshua v. NYCH&HC Corp.
805395/19 Mattis v. Schroppe
805202/24 Romero v. Ferrari M.D.

Motion

805145/21 Agbontaen v. Preminger

Part 33

Justice Mary V. Rosado
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3894
Room 442

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

652281/24 Aesthetic Artistry, Inc. v. Macmillan
160163/21 Ali v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. Et Al
153517/25 Brooks v. Regan
452761/24 NYC v. Swing 46
156193/25 First Funds v. Jpmorgan Chase Bank
158985/20 Garces v. Barr & Barr, Inc.
161004/22 Hayani v. Bid2ride, Inc. Et Al
153919/22 Kennedy v. Pioneer Works Art Foundation Et Al
653000/21 Starr Indemnity & Liability Co. v. Clear Blue Specialty Ins. Co.

Motion

652281/24 Aesthetic Artistry, Inc. v. Macmillan
160163/21 Ali v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. Et Al
153517/25 Brooks v. Regan
452761/24 NYC v. Swing 46
158985/20 Garces v. Barr & Barr, Inc.
161004/22 Hayani v. Bid2ride, Inc. Et Al
153919/22 Kennedy v. Pioneer Works Art Foundation Et Al
653000/21 Starr Indemnity & Liability Co. v. Clear Blue Specialty Ins. Co.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

150841/25 Alvarez Rodriguez v. 55 Broad St. Owner LLC Et Al
651166/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Betances
652855/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Chenoa
651666/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Fisher
156138/22 Antino v. Vesta 17 Condominium Et Al
151456/22 Barrientos v. 196 Willoughby Owner Et Al
151103/23 Bolouvi v. Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. Et Al
154914/22 Burke v. 301 East 81st Ph 20 Inc. Et Al
156653/24 Chodorov v. Pinehurst LLC
156318/24 Davila v. 295 W 150 LLC Et Al
154908/21 Ehm Dev. Co., Ltd. v. Electronic & Souvenirs Outlet, Inc. Et Al
152965/24 Ebratt v. 110 East 1st St. LLC Et Al
158130/24 Elmer v. Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc.
161177/22 Espinal v. Mpi Mgt. LLC Et Al
152967/22 Estridge v. Rreef America Reit II Corp. Mnumm NY Et Al
652576/25 Everest Nat. Ins. Co. v. Gryphon
150704/24 Galvez Gonzales v. Restoring Communities Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al
157516/24 Garcia v. 1515 B'way. Owner LLC Et Al
154054/22 Gavriel v. Ls-14 Ave LLC Et Al
156963/23 Glynn v. Bop Se LLC Et Al
158428/24 Impellizzeri v. Lendlease (us) Const. Lmb Inc Et Al
158005/23 Kler v. Riaz
150728/24 Lara v. Tishman Speyer Et Al
155554/24 Lechar 1441 LLC Et Al v. Wellbuilt Const. Enterprises
155203/23 Leung v. Hach & Rose
659524/24 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Dennis

161231/20 Lizzette Vasquez v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
152854/21 McIntyre v. New York-Presbyterian Global Services
152617/24 Mora v. 188 St. Nick Associates
152584/24 Mosavi v. Alexander McQueen Trading Americas, Inc., D/b/a Alexander McQueen Et Al
160470/21 O'Connor v. Fourth Wall Restaurants
151303/23 Olmedo v. The Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al
15771/23 Pareja v. Andlinger
155475/22 Pinanez Dominguez v. Astor Kings LLC Et Al
160304/24 Podvorchan v. Bpp Parker Tower Prop. Owner LLC Et Al
151818/24 Quishpilema v. Forte Const. Corp.
155374/23 Ranniksson v. Shuvashree Inc. Et Al
651723/24 Record Press, Inc. v. Ikwowitz Plc Et Al
160006/23 Rojas Espinoza v. Efin Mgt. Corp. Et Al
151160/22 Smith v. Apt 286 Mad LLC Et Al
159319/24 Solano v. Glassman Dental Care
159684/24 Stark v. Lane Office Furniture Inc. D/b/a Lane Office Et Al
151132/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. 814 Undercliff Medical P.C. Et Al
159404/24 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. v. Gogituidze
151216/23 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Atlantic Medical & Diagnostic
150518/21 Tedesco v. First Lexington Corp. Et Al
154942/23 Toure v. Campbell Md
159831/23 Toure v. Campbell
151491/22 Vasquez v. Hup Etn North End LLC Et Al
152025/20 Vignola v. Jdm Washington St. LLC
155292/24 W. v. NYCHA Et Al
158784/23 Weeks v. Diana Bronxville Corp

COURT NOTES

OFFICES OF THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Meeting of the Administrative Board To Be Held on June 9

Pursuant to the New York State Open Meetings Law (Public Officers Law Article 7, §104) you are hereby notified of the next meeting of the Administrative Board for the Offices of the Public Administrators (which is established pursuant to §1128 of the Surrogate's Court Procedure Act):
June 9, 2025
10:30 a.m.
New York City Bar Association
Hughes Room
42 West 44th Street
New York, NY 10036

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Deadline for Amicus Curiae Motions in 'Onondaga County v. State of New York'

The Court has calendared appeals in 'Onondaga County v. State of New York' (APL 2025-00088) for argument on September 8, 2025. Appellants' briefs are due by June 12, 2025. Respondents' briefs are due by July 10, 2025. Appellants' reply briefs are due by July 24, 2025.
Motions for permission to file a brief amicus curiae must be served no later than August 5, 2025 and noticed for a return date no later than August 18, 2025. Questions may be directed to the Clerk's Office at (518) 455-7705.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Applications Being Accepted for Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of NY

Application Deadline Is Now June 13

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for the position of Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of New York. The term of office is four years, with potential for appointment to successive terms. The current authorized annual salary is \$195,200.

The Federal Public Defender, functioning under the authority of 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(g)(2)(A) and the Criminal Justice Act Plan for the Northern District of New York, provides criminal defense services to individuals unable to afford counsel. The Office of the Federal Public Defender for the Northern District of New York has offices in Albany and Syracuse. The Federal Public Defender supervises a staff of assistant federal defenders, federal capital appellate resource counsel, investigators, paralegals, a mitigation specialist, and support personnel.

The website for the office is: Office of the Public Defender Northern District of New York.

Applicants must satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) be a member in good standing in the bar of the state in which the candidate is admitted to practice;
- (2) have a minimum of five years criminal practice experience, preferably with significant federal criminal trial experience, which demonstrates an ability to provide zealous representation of consistently high quality to criminal defendants;
- (3) possess the ability to effectively administer the office, including the following management areas:
 - Budget, procurement, and travel
 - Human resources
 - Space, facilities, and property;
- (4) have a reputation for integrity; and
- (5) demonstrate a commitment to the representation of those unable to afford counsel.

As the chief executive of the Office of the Federal Public Defender, the Federal Public Defender holds ultimate responsibility for the administration of the Office. The Office serves as a resource center for all practicing federal defense attorneys in the District, providing regularly scheduled training programs as well as advice and counsel when needed. The Federal Public Defender works nationally with other federal defenders on evolving issues in federal criminal law and other areas of shared concern.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. A Merit Selection Committee will review all applications and interview the most qualified candidates. With consideration of the District Court's recommendation, the Committee will refer the best qualified candidate to the Court of Appeals for selection and appointment. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability. The selected nominee will be required to complete a background investigation prior to appointment. The Federal Public Defender may not engage in the private practice of law.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at <http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov>. Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than June 13, 2025.

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER OF THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Pursuant to article VI, § 28(c) of the New York State Constitution and section 211 of the Judiciary Law, upon consultation with the Administrative Board of the Courts, and with the approval of the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, I hereby amend, effective July 7, 2025, sections 24.6(g), (n) and 25.18 of the Rules of the Chief Judge, by adding the underlined material and removing the [bracketed] material, to read as follows:

PART 24. TIME AND LEAVE

Section 24.6. Other Leaves With Pay

(g) Conferences. Four days' leave per annum without charge to an employee's leave credits may be allowed to attend conferences of recognized professional organizations. Such conferences must be directly related to the employee's profession [of] or professional duties. This leave is subject to the prior approval of the administrative authority and to the staffing needs of the court or agency.

(n) The Chief Administrator of the Courts or [his or his] designee may grant leaves with pay for reasons not itemized in this Part.

PART 25. CAREER SERVICE

Section 25.18. Establishment of a Continuing Eligible List

The Chief Administrator of the Courts may establish a continuing eligible list for any class of positions for which [inadequate numbers of qualified persons are found available for recruitment or appointment] such lists are appropriate. The Chief Administrator may only establish continuing eligible lists for any class of positions filled through open competitive examination. Names of eligibles shall be inserted in such list from time to time as applicants are tested and found qualified in examinations held at such intervals as may be prescribed by the Chief Administrator. Such successive examinations shall, so far as practicable, be constructed and rated so as to be equivalent tests of the merit and fitness of candidates. The name of any candidate who passes any such examination and who is otherwise qualified shall be placed on the continuing eligible list in the rank corresponding to his or her final rating on such examination. The period of eligibility of successful candidates for certification and appointment from such continuing eligible list, as a result of any such examination, shall be fixed by the Chief Administrator but, except as a list may reach an announced terminal date, such period shall not be less than one year; nor shall such period of eligibility exceed four years, except as provided in section 25.17 of this Part. Subject to such conditions and limitations as the Chief Administrator may prescribe, a candidate may take more than one such examination; provided, however, that no such candidate shall be certified simultaneously with more than one rank on the continuing eligible list. With respect to any candidate who applies for and is granted additional credit in any such examination as a disabled or nondisabled veteran, and for the limited purpose of granting such additional credit, the eligible list shall be deemed to be established on the date on which his or her name is added thereto.

Chief Judge of the State of New York
Dated: May 14, 2025

FIRST DEPARTMENT APPELLATE TERM

Filing Dates for the September Term

The September 2025 Term of the Court will begin on Sept. 2, 2025.
The last dates for filing for that term are as follows: The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before July 8, 2025.
Respondent's Briefs must be filed on or before July 31, 2025.
Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before August 8, 2025.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

155223/22 Balazy v. Lincoln Center For The Performing Arts, Inc. Et Al
152378/23 Bonilla v. 176-177 Wadsworth Associates

Motion

155223/22 Balazy v. Lincoln Center For The Performing Arts, Inc. Et Al
152378/23 Bonilla v. 176-177 Wadsworth Associates

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

160584/21 Conza v. 4ts II LLC Et Al
152325/22 Lazo Leon v. G&S Port Chester Unit 2b
154128/23 Oakley v. Sma Equities 160022/21 Samboun v. 372 Jericho Corp
155570/23 Watman v. Christopher Bleecker Owner
Motion
154128/23 Oakley v. Sma Equities 155570/23 Watman v. Christopher Bleecker Owner

Part 37 IAS Part

Justice Arthur F. Engoron
60 Centre Street
646-386-3222
Room 418

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

151315/24 Chen v. Wilder
161289/24 Easyship Inc. v. Zeng
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
652364/18 Greenway Mews Realty v. Liberty Ins.
655558/24 Nationwide Registry And Security Ltd. v. Kim
651437/24 Park Lane LLC v. Core Services Group, Inc. Et Al v. 655980/23 Safeco Ins. Et Al v. Nozalis
161786/24 Tarhan v. NYS Dept. of Housing And Community Renewal

Motion

161786/24 Tarhan v. NYS Dept. of Housing And Community Renewal

Motion

650549/25 Universal Concrete Prods. Corp. v. United Derrickmen & Riggers Association

Part 43

Justice Robert R. Reed
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3238
Room 222

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

650449/23 Atalaya Special Purpose Investment Fund II Lp Et Al v. Sustainable Opportunities Acquisition Corp. I/v/a Tmc The Metals Co. Inc.
654027/13 Davis v. Scottish Re Group Ltd.
653903/20 Dmy Sponsor v. Carter Glatt And Captains
650794/21 Eversel Bags Corp. v. NYU Langone Hosps.
656639/21 Hogg v. Braverman
656506/17 Slice Wireless Services v. Yakubov

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

656146/19 Merchant Factors Corp. v. Crush Apparel & Accessories
650812/22 Sweetpea Ventures Inc. Et Al v. Goldenboc Eiseman Assor Bell & Pescoe Llp Et Al

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

651024/25 Eliassen Group v. Jackson
655585/20 Lanaras v. Premium Ocean
655241/21 Lateral Juscom Feeder, LLC As Assignee of Benchmark Builders, Inc. Et Al v. Reich
655623/21 Medrie Care v. Kireland-B
155397/25 Peary v. Dc Comics, Inc. Et Al
650748/22 Time Warner Cable Enterprises LLC v. Nokia of America Corp.

Motion

155397/25 Peary v. Dc Comics, Inc. Et Al
650748/22 Time Warner Cable Enterprises LLC v. Nokia of America Corp.

Part 40TR Judicial Mediation

Justice Suzanne J. Adams
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3722
Room 300

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

160913/22 140 East 56th Street Condominium v. Bosbom
652334/24 184 First Rty. LLC v. Capital Brands Group NY
153514/24 218 West 40th Associates LLC v. Long Island Business Institute, Inc. Et Al
161384/24 221 East 58th St. Corp. v. Daniels
652287/24 231/249 West 39 St. Associates v. Wong
651589/24 245 East 44th St. LLC v. The Metro Group, Inc. Et Al
159766/21 36th Hy LLC v. Zhen
650196/24 31 Lp v. Safety Shot, Inc.
158271/24 501 Fifth Ave. Co. LLC v. Sycamore Mgt., Inc. Et Al
659444/24 60 Ninth Ave. Group v. Delshah 60 Ninth
659432/24 722 Metro. LLC v. Akta LLC Et Al

Transportation

Court Calendars

Part 48 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrea Masley
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3265
Room 242

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650719/24 Rapper's Delight Ventures v. Peets

Motion

652051/20 Bangladesh Bank v. Rizal Commercial Banking
650719/24 Rapper's Delight Ventures v. Peets
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
655890/23 A-US Gal 1 v. Nat. Air Cargo, Inc.
650986/25 Castle Pl.ment v. Forex Express Corp. Et Al
651264/25 Pamela Love Consulting LLC v. The Bathing Club LLC Et Al

Motion

655890/23 A-US Gal 1 v. Nat. Air Cargo, Inc.
THURSDAY, JUNE 12
652411/22 Grupo Salinas Telecom v. Ai/T Mobility Hldgs. B.V. Et Al
651789/24 Vandeweghe v. Ac Investment Mgt.

Part 49 Commercial Div.

Justice Margaret A. Chan
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-4033
Room 252

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

650218/23 Broadst. NY Inc. v. L3 Capital Income Fund
651634/23 Dikoff v. Retinal Ambulatory Surgery Center of New York, Inc. Et Al
654165/24 Jefferys LLC v. Rubicon Technologies, Inc., As Successor in Interest To Founder Spac
850126/24 Valley Nat. Bank v. W29 534 Highline Owners LLC Et Al

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

650468/24 Hudson v. Allstate Vehicle & Prop. Ins. Co.

Part 53 Commercial Div.

Justice Andrew S. Borrok
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3304
Room 238

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

652233/22 Cihbank v. N/A
654488/22 Cyberbill, Inc. v. Cloud Range Cyber
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
160904/20 Kostyatnikov v. Hfz Capital Group LLC

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

652343/23 Kleinman v. Paper Ambition LLC Et Al
654972/22 New Circular Wireless Pcs v. 310 East 55th St. Tenants Corp. Et Al
653775/23 ProSight Specialty Mgt. Co., Inc. Et Al v. Altrius Group
158302/23 The Austin Schuster Group v. Extel Dev. Co. Et Al
651118/21 Yang v. Knights Genesis Group

Part 54 Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

653222/23 Big Real Estate Capital I. v. Abs Mgt. & Dev. Corp. Et Al
651101/22 Rita R Squared, Inc. v. D/W Partners
653292/23 Rothman v. Roivant Sciences
653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
654923/16 Young Adult Institute, Inc. v. Corporate Source, Inc.

Motion

653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
651660/24 Bayonne B v. Barrington Media Group
652015/20 Myron J. Berman v. Cohen
452378/19 People of The State of v. Allen
652864/23 Plymouth St. LLC v. Sitt Thyrud, Inc.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

656248/19 Atane Engineers v. Cdm Smith Inc.
655780/23 Chan v. Ho
653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Motion

653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Part 57

Justice Sabrina Kraus
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3195
Room 218

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

950360/21 B. v. NYC
125562/21 Campuzano v. Aecom
950300/20 Ci v. Archdiocese of NY
950369/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950341/21 F. v. NYC
950267/21 H. v. Archdiocese of NY
156554/18 Jewelers Mutual Ins. v. Forty Seventh Fidelity Co.
950011/21 Lee v. NYC
950322/21 M. v. Episcopal Diocese of New York
950257/21 S. v. NYC
950666/20 S. v. Jewish Child Care

Motion

950257/21 S. v. NYC
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
950065/19 Ark65 v. Archdiocese of NY
950062/20 Ark87 v. Archdiocese of NY
151855/20 Bonilla-Ulloa v. One Ten East End Associates
155351/20 Campos v. Gramercy 1860
950507/20 Davn Gaither v. Archdiocese of New York
950037/20 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950162/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950017/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950337/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950448/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950450/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950030/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950077/19 John Doe Whose Initials Are v. Archdiocese of NY
951172/21 Nurse v. NYC Et Al
950063/20 O. v. NYC
951451/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY
950257/21 S. v. NYC

950107/19 Schlenz v. Dominican Friars Province of
950023/21 Stelling v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950428/20 Taylor v. NYC Et Al

Motion

155351/20 Campos v. Gramercy 1860
950030/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950257/21 S. v. NYC

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

655392/21 Bd15 LLC v. Mlbh
950288/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950321/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950316/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
452332/22 Lamar v. The Children's Village Institute Et Al
950007/20 M. v. Christian Brothers of

Part 59

Justice Debra A. James
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3351
Room 331

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

152157/21 Galindo v. NYC Et Al
159450/18 House v. Slow Food LLC
450749/23 Mejia Hernandez v. Dornitory Auth. of The State of NY Et Al
153187/23 Pena v. Jp Morgan Chase & Co. Et Al
651083/20 Rich Buton Co. Ltd v. Its Worldwide LLC
152703/23 State Farm Fire And Casualty Co. As Subrogee of Peter Rosenthal v. Furnishare Inc. Et Al

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11

152810/23 Alilat v. Hy 38 Owner
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.
158829/22 Zambrano v. Toll NY II
152919/23 Ziomber v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al

Motion

152810/23 Alilat v. Hy 38 Owner
651310/20 Robert Moskowitz As Trustee v. Tishman Const.
158829/22 Zambrano v. Toll NY II
THURSDAY, JUNE 12
656875/19 Ast Engineering Corp. v. Agl Industries, Inc.
653117/21 Country-Wide Ins. Co. v. Nyrx Pharmacy Inc.
153758/23 Hooks v. Azure Hldgs. II
657109/20 Moses & Singer Lp v. Lagadeau
452229/20 NYCTA v. McCulloch Orthopaedic
152167/21 Palacios v. Hsre-Eb York
654927/19 Robins v. Wemm Ltd
654145/18 Treacy v. Ilan Ferder

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Melissa A. Crane
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3310
Room 248

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

655646/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
655648/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
653004/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653033/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653043/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653044/10 Benson v. Port Auth. of NY
153420/16 Dennis v. 44th Enterprises Corp.
159852/24 Drory v. Gold Esq.
159479/19 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration of

Motion

655646/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
655648/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
653004/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653033/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653043/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653044/10 Benson v. Port Auth. of NY
153420/16 Dennis v. 44th Enterprises Corp.
159852/24 Drory v. Gold Esq.
159479/19 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration of

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Nancy M. Bannon
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3169
Room 232

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

656507/22 Wgl Midstream Mp v. Meade Pipeline Investment
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
652392/24435 Central Condo Dev. Hldgs. LLC Et Al v. Midtown Oaks Jv Hldgs. LLC Et Al
650482/25 NY Marine And General Ins. Co. v. Millenia Assurance, Inc. Et Al
THURSDAY, JUNE 12
655151/23 Anderson v. Lubin
654816/21 Bdcasole LLC v. Pristine Pool Const. Corp. Et Al
114128/09 Canzoneri v. NYC
653256/24 Corazon Energy v. NYC
653256/24 Business Marketing LLC
651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versity Invest
653206/25 Orthogon Charter School Specialty Opportunities Lp Et Al v. Charter School Capital, Inc.
653484/22 Steinhard v. Elman Investors, Inc. Et Al

Motion

655151/23 Anderson v. Lubin
654816/21 Bdcasole LLC v. Pristine Pool Const. Corp. Et Al
114128/09 Canzoneri v. NYC
653256/24 Corazon Energy v. NYC
653256/24 Business Marketing LLC
651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versity Invest
653206/25 Orthogon Charter School Specialty Opportunities Lp Et Al v. Charter School Capital, Inc.
653484/22 Steinhard v. Elman Investors, Inc. Et Al

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Melissa A. Crane
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3310
Room 248

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

655646/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
655648/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
653004/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653033/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653043/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653044/10 Benson v. Port Auth. of NY
153420/16 Dennis v. 44th Enterprises Corp.
159852/24 Drory v. Gold Esq.
159479/19 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration of

Motion

655646/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
655648/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) As Trustee Et Al
653004/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653033/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653043/23 Alphacentric Income Opportunities Fund v. Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (and Any Predecessors Or Successors Therto) Et Al
653044/10 Benson v. Port Auth. of NY
153420/16 Dennis v. 44th Enterprises Corp.
159852/24 Drory v. Gold Esq.
159479/19 Flores v. Paul Davis Restoration of

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

653222/23 Big Real Estate Capital I. v. Abs Mgt. & Dev. Corp. Et Al
651101/22 Rita R Squared, Inc. v. D/W Partners
653292/23 Rothman v. Roivant Sciences
653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
654923/16 Young Adult Institute, Inc. v. Corporate Source, Inc.

Motion

653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
651660/24 Bayonne B v. Barrington Media Group
652015/20 Myron J. Berman v. Cohen
452378/19 People of The State of v. Allen
652864/23 Plymouth St. LLC v. Sitt Thyrud, Inc.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

656248/19 Atane Engineers v. Cdm Smith Inc.
655780/23 Chan v. Ho
653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Motion

653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Part 60 Commercial Div.

Justice Jennifer G. Schecter
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3362
Room 228

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

653222/23 Big Real Estate Capital I. v. Abs Mgt. & Dev. Corp. Et Al
651101/22 Rita R Squared, Inc. v. D/W Partners
653292/23 Rothman v. Roivant Sciences
653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
654923/16 Young Adult Institute, Inc. v. Corporate Source, Inc.

Motion

653187/25 Singer v. P.V. 1508 Cia LLC
652275/22 Si 4000 Connecticut LLC Et Al v. Chre, Inc.
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
651660/24 Bayonne B v. Barrington Media Group
652015/20 Myron J. Berman v. Cohen
452378/19 People of The State of v. Allen
652864/23 Plymouth St. LLC v. Sitt Thyrud, Inc.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

656248/19 Atane Engineers v. Cdm Smith Inc.
655780/23 Chan v. Ho
653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Motion

653401/23 Clover Private Credit Opportunities Origination (levered) II Lp v. Alhusseni
655353/21 Emus v. Healthplus Hp
654598/21 Tutor Perini Bldg. Corp. v. Slayton Ventures
655867/23 Xi Ins. America, Inc. v. Altice USA, Inc.

Part 57

Justice Sabrina Kraus
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-636-3195
Room 218

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

950360/21 B. v. NYC
125562/21 Campuzano v. Aecom
950300/20 Ci v. Archdiocese of NY
950369/21 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950341/21 F. v. NYC
950267/21 H. v. Archdiocese of NY
156554/18 Jewelers Mutual Ins. v. Forty Seventh Fidelity Co.
950011/21 Lee v. NYC
950322/21 M. v. Episcopal Diocese of New York
950257/21 S. v. NYC
950666/20 S. v. Jewish Child Care

Motion

950257/21 S. v. NYC
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
950065/19 Ark65 v. Archdiocese of NY
950062/20 Ark87 v. Archdiocese of NY
151855/20 Bonilla-Ulloa v. One Ten East End Associates
155351/20 Campos v. Gramercy 1860
950507/20 Davn Gaither v. Archdiocese of New York
950037/20 Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese
950162/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950017/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950337/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950448/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950450/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950030/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
950077/19 John Doe Whose Initials Are v. Archdiocese of NY
951172/21 Nurse v. NYC Et Al
950063/20 O. v. NYC
951451/21 R. v. Archdiocese of NY
950257/21 S. v. NYC

Motion

654547/23 Odeon Capital Group LLC v. Aeon Biopharma, Inc., Formerly Known As Privterra Acquisition Corp. Et Al

THURSDAY, JUNE 12

654008/24 Chabad of Gramercy Park v. Lesches
652359/22 Chan v. Havemeyer Hldgs. LLC
655924/24 Cigna Health And Life Ins. Co. Et Al v. Banco Bradesco Bbi S.A.
659459/24 Deutsche Bank Ag v. Rosenfeld
651253/25 Insured Advocacy Group v. Restoration Doctor
650855/19 Lis v. Lancaster
850040/23 Nw 128 East 28 Lender LLC v. 128 E28 LLC Et Al
651906/23 One Harbor Point Square LLC Et Al v. Birch Real Estate Services LLC
652155/23 Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. v. Terminal One Group Assoc.
651051/24 Oxford Finance LLC v. Makes
653656/24 Svalbard Hldgs. Ltd. v. Lava Games Dev. Ltd, D/b/a Lava Labs, Inc.

Motion

652359/22 Chan v. Havemeyer Holdings LLC
652359/22 Chan v. Havemeyer Hldgs. LLC

Part 61 Commercial Div.

Justice Nancy M. Bannon
60 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3169
Room 232

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

656507/22 Wgl Midstream Mp v. Meade Pipeline Investment
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11
652392/24435 Central Condo Dev. Hldgs. LLC Et Al v. Midtown Oaks Jv Hldgs. LLC Et Al
650482/25 NY Marine And General Ins. Co. v. Millenia Assurance, Inc. Et Al
THURSDAY, JUNE 12
655151/23 Anderson v. Lubin
654816/21 Bdcasole LLC v. Pristine Pool Const. Corp. Et Al
114128/09 Canzoneri v. NYC
653256/24 Corazon Energy v. NYC
653256/24 Business Marketing LLC
651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versity Invest
653206/25 Orthogon Charter School Specialty Opportunities Lp Et Al v. Charter School Capital, Inc.
653484/22 Steinhard v. Elman Investors, Inc. Et Al

Motion

655151/23 Anderson v. Lubin
654816/21 Bdcasole LLC v. Pristine Pool Const. Corp. Et Al
114128/09 Canzoneri v. NYC
653256/24 Corazon Energy v. NYC
653256/24 Business Marketing LLC
651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versity Invest
653206/25 Orthogon Charter School Specialty Opportunities Lp Et Al v. Charter School Capital, Inc.
653484/22 Steinhard v. Elman Investors, Inc. Et Al

Part 5 City Part

Justice Hase A. Kingo
80 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3374
Room 320

TUESDAY, JUNE 10

156315/20 Alexander v. NYC
NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
451975/20 Almodovar v. NYC
151546/16 Alston v. NYC
453056/21 Arjona v. NYC Et Al
156831/23 Baker v. NYC Et Al
154470/19 Butts v. NYC Et Al
151987/17 Cash v. Donald O'Sullivan D/b/a
159733/17 Chao v. NYC
154591/24 Demunn v. NYC Et Al
150453/17 Diallo v. NYC Et Al
161020/20 Engles v. 150 Hg Venture LLC
155363/12 Escalante-Paez v. NYC
152088/23 F. v. NYC Et Al
154667/21 Fayling Joan Lee v. NYC Et Al
151334/22 Felix v. NYC
153544/22 Ginzburg v. NYC Et Al
153062/22 Greenidge v. NYC Et Al
156123/18 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
153234/18 Groner v. NYC Et Al
152375/23 Hayes-Ward v. NYC Et Al
Cardona
450545/18 Sanoh v. Metro. Transportation Auth.
155807/23 Herrera v. NYC Et Al
155807/23 Hibbert v. NYC
159405/23 Holderness v. NYC Et Al
151232/20 Holtje v. NYC
155657/20 Jessey v. NYC
154467/20 Lonson v. NYC
158094/21 Lieberman v. NYC Et Al
154480/18 Liu v. NYC
154655/20 Logue v. NYC Et Al
160706/23 Lopez v. NYC Et Al
101214/19 Madden v. NYC
160526/19 Mathis v. NYC
161404/20 Mayer v. NYC
453361/21 Moreno Garcia v. Allora Jr.
155623/12 Olmo v. NYC
155584/22 Ortiz v. NYC Et Al
157354/20 Plana v. United Christian
157761/21 Robinson v. NYC Et Al
152552/23 Rosado v. NYC
155800/22 Rosenstein v. Permanent Mission of The Republic of Sierra Leone To The United Nations Et Al
158617/25 S. v. Success Academy Harlem School #4 Et Al
161962/18 Santos v. NYC
154064/20 Smith v. NYC
101416/17 Sorel v. NYC
153455/21 Thompson v. NYC Et Al
152529/19 Timmons v. NYC
156100/20 Trosztynik v. NYC
161325/20 V.P. v. NYC
160829/20 Valerio v. NYC
151497/24 Volland v. NYC Et Al
153133/21 Washon v. NYC
160283/23 Warsaw v. 525 Delaware LLC Et Al
152665/19 Wiggins v. NYC
152110/22 Zieback v. NYC

Motion

156315/20 Alexander v. NYC
NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al
451975/20 Almodovar v. NYC
151546/16 Alston v. NYC
453056/21 Arjona v. NYC Et Al
156831/23 Baker v. NYC Et Al
154

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

LAWJOBS.COM

When results matter

#1 Global Legal Job Site

Ranked by Alexa

Contact: Carol Robertson Phone: 212.457.7850 Email: croberson@alm.com

CITATIONS NY

ACCOUNTING CITATION
File No: 2022-688/A
SURROGATE'S COURT -
BRONX COUNTY C I T A T I O N
ON THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. By the Grace of God Free and Independent. TO: Richard Sozzi; Raymond Sozzi; Sandro Sozzi; Paolo; Robert F. Chielli; Ronald Chielli; Ciro William Colelli; James John Colelli; David Pasquale; Any Nicoletti; Jill Adams; Dolores Colelli Bordonaro; Maria Sozzi; Mazzella; Lawrence P. Chielli; Celeste Christine Colelli Cirigliano; Anne Dinuzzo; Grandelli & Eskenazi; Lousie Chielli Raimondi; NYC Department of Social Services; NY Department of Taxation and Finance; Attorney General of the State of New York; American Zurich Insurance Co.; and The unknown distributees, next of kin and heirs at law of DAVID A. BATTINELLI a/k/a DAVID BATTINELLI, deceased, if living, or if dead, to their respective distributees, next of kin, heirs at law, legatees, devisees, beneficiaries, fiduciaries, assignees, creditors or other successors in interest, whose names and post office addresses are unknown and cannot be ascertained after due diligence. THIS RETURN DATE IS A VIRTUAL COURT DATE. IN-PERSON APPEARANCES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED ON THE RETURN DATE UNLESS A PARTY NOTIFIES THE COURT THAT IT WISHES TO APPEAR IN PERSON BEFORE THE SCHEDULED COURT DATE. A Petition having been duly filed by the Public Administrator of the County of Bronx, having offices at the Grand Concourse, Room 336, Bronx, New York 10451; YOU ARE HEREBY CITED TO SHOW CAUSE by making a virtual appearance before the Surrogate's Court of the State of New York, Bronx County, at 406 Grand Concourse, Room 406, Bronx, New York 10451 on August 14, 2025 at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, why the Court should not grant the following relief: (1) that the account of the Public Administrator of the County of Bronx, a summary of which will be served therewith, as Administrator of the Estate of DAVID A. BATTINELLI a/k/a DAVID BATTINELLI, deceased, who at the time of his death was a domiciliary of the State of New York, Bronx, NY, be judicially settled; (2) that legal fees of \$101,097.51as listed in Schedule C-1 of the account, of which \$101,097.51was paid pursuant to the Supreme Court Decree of settlement and the remainder of \$0.00 will be paid upon the entry of a Decree herein, to Rodman & Campbell, P.C. as attorneys for the Public Administrator be allowed; (3) that the Administrator be allowed its statutory charges calculated pursuant to SCPA 1106(3); (4) that the commissions calculated pursuant to SCPA 2307 and 1106 (1) & (2) be allowed; (5) that the disbursements in the amount of \$15,340.00, listed in Schedule D-2, be allowed and paid to Grandelli & Eskenazi; (6) that the claim by American Zurich Insurance Co. for Worker's Compensation lien in the amount of \$105,847.92 be allowed and paid; (7) that the contingent and possible claim of Anne Dinuzzo for funeral expenses be denied for failure to present the same; (8) that, in the absence of anyone appearing and filing objections hereto, the entire net estate be paid to NYC Commissioner of Finance for the benefit of the decedent's unknown heirs; (9) that, in the event objections are filed herein, the Court hold a hearing to establish the identity of the decedent's distributees and grant pursuant to SCPA 2225 if appropriate; (10) that such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper be granted. PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (718) 618-2373 OR VIRTUALBRONXSURROGATECOURT@NYCOURTS.GOV FOR INFORMATION ON HOW TO APPEAR ON THE COURT'S VIRTUAL PLATFORM. HON. NELIDA MALAVE-GONZALEZ Surrogate ELIX MADERA-FLIEGELMAN, Chief Clerk Dated, Attested and Sealed, May 29, 2025 (Seal) Attorney for Petitioner(s): RODMAN AND CAMPBELL, P.C. Tel. No. (718) 882-2681 Address of Attorney: 1428 East Gun Hill Road, Bronx, New York 10469 Email: info@rodmanandcampbelllaw.com or hwc@rdmanandcampbelllaw.com This Citation is served upon you as required by law. You are not required to appear. If you fail to appear it will be assumed you do not object to the relief requested. You have a right to have an attorney-at-law appear for you, and you or your attorney may request a copy of the full account from the petitioner or petitioner's attorney. 10148 ju10-Tu jy1

FOUNDATIONS

The Annual return of the Yosef Foundation for the Bel Yosef Foundation for the calendar year ended August 31st, 2024 is available at its principal office located at 525 7th Ave., 4th Fl., New York, NY 10018 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Yosef Nazar. 10113 ju10

THE ANNUAL RETURN OF MAURICE I. PARISER FOUNDATION, INC. For the [calendar] year ended 2024 is available at its principal office located at 50 East 77th Street, Apt. 14A, New York, NY 10075 for the inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is Roland Plottel. 10136 ju10

The Annual Return of Middle Road Foundation. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2023 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Ste 2200 New York, NY 10001 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is ELIZABETH R. VARET 10154 ju10

The Annual Return of THE ABSTRACTION FUND. For the calendar year ended 12/31/2023 is available at its principal office located at 66 Hudson Blvd E, Ste 2200 New York, NY 10001 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof. Principal Manager of the Foundation is NINA ROSENWALD. 10155 ju10

LIQUOR LICENSES

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that license# NA-0340-25-115211 for liquor has been applied for by the undersigned to sell liquor at retail in a restaurant under the alcoholic beverage control law at 230 E 58th St, New York, New York 10022 in New York County for on-premises consumption. 886 Culinarians LLC, d/b/a JABA NYC RESTAURANT 230 E 58th St New York, New York 10022. 10163 ju10-ju17

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that license number NA-0340-25-116185 for liquor has been applied for by the undersigned to sell liquor at retail in a restaurant under the alcoholic beverage control law at 230 E 58th St, New York, New York 10022 in New York County for on-premises consumption. 886 Culinarians LLC, d/b/a JABA NYC RESTAURANT 230 E 58th St New York, New York 10022. 10163 ju10-ju17

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF LOTUS ACUPUNCTURE PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/16/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 1959 Forest St, Ste 405, East Meadow, NY 11554. Purpose: any lawful act. 6334 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF SALT ACUPUNCTURE PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/16/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 210 Canal St, Ste 307, New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act. 8074 My13 T J17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CORINNE AGMAN, NP IN FAMILY HEALTH, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/23/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 60 RIVERSIDE BLVD, STE 1211, NEW YORK, NY 10069. Purpose: Any Lawful 9919 ju3-Tu jy8

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF MARINA GABRIELA BRINK ARCHITECTS PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 200 Rector Place, 8N, New York, NY 10020. Purpose: any lawful act. 9150 J03 T Jy08

NAOMI ROSENBAACH PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES PLLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/07/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process and shall mail to: 104 HARDS LN, LAWRENCE, NY 11559. Purpose: Psychology 9807 ju3-Tu jy8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF DOMUS PRIMARY CARE, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to C T Corporation System, 28 Liberty St, New York, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful act. 9836 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF THERAPY WITH AB, MENTAL HEALTH COUNSELING, PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 875 6th Ave, Ste 2300, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 9197 My27 T Jy01

Bane Law, PLLC filed with SSNY on 05/07/2025. Office in: NASSAU County. SSNY design. agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 499 CHESTNUT STREET, SUITE 215, CEDARHURST, NY, 11516. Purpose: Law. 9049 My20 T J24

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF CORINNE AGMAN, NP IN FAMILY HEALTH, PLLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/23/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corinne Agman, NP in Family Health, PLLC, 290 Ninth Avenue, Apt 14J, New York, NY 10011. Purpose: to practice the profession of Nurse Practitioner in Family Health. 9005 my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Hummingbird Speech Therapy PLLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/27/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against PLLC to 235 E 95th St, Apt 32 J, New York, NY 10128. Purpose: any lawful act. 9962 J10 T Jy15

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

JERICHO TPKE. FLORAL PARK HOLDING LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/23/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail process to: Gary Schoer, Esq., 6800 Jericho Turnpike, Ste 108 W, Syosset, NY 11791. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9452 my27-Tu jy1

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF A GAME IN HAND LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 116 Bower New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act. 8794 My13 T J17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AKIBA BOWERY LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/5/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 65-16 78th St, Middle Village, NY 11379. P/B/A: 310 Meserole St, Brooklyn, NY 11206. Purpose: any lawful act. 10048 J10 T Jy15

HPNY 327 E 10TH STREET LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/14/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 358 FIFTH AVE, STE 1101, NEW YORK, NY 10001. Purpose: Any Lawful 9901 ju3-Tu jy8

KNDESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/14/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 60 RIVERSIDE BLVD, STE 1211, NEW YORK, NY 10069. Purpose: Any Lawful 9919 ju3-Tu jy8

450 TIMPSON REALTY GROUP LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/27/2025. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 3152 Albany Crescent, Bronx, NY 10463, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 8158 my6-Tu ju10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Strange Bird Podcast LLC. Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/01/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Strange Bird Podcast LLC, 26 Broadway, Suite 1301, New York, NY 10004. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9022 my20-Tu ju24

PUBLIC NOTICES

LEGAL NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION NOTICE OF PETITION

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF KINGS
In the matter of the Application of the UFT Charter School For an Order Directing the Disposition of Property Pursuant to Section 220 of the New York Education Law. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the Petition of the UFT Charter School, with exhibits attached thereto, the UFT Charter School, by its attorney Steppe LLP, will petition the Court at the Motion Submission Part Courtroom at the Kings County Supreme Court, 360 Adams Street, Brooklyn, New York, NY, 11201 on the 25th day of July, 2025, at 9:30 AM or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for an Order directing the disposition of any and all property of the UFT Charter School pursuant to Section 220 of the New York Education Law, and granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. Dated: June 6, 2025 Steppe LLP Attorneys for UFT Charter School Alan M. Klinger, Esq. 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 378-7677 10137 ju10-Tu jy1

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF KEROKEV IMAGES LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 1/9/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 954 Lexington Ave, PMB 3006, NY NY 10021. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 7786 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF The Banerjee Group LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/22/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to West 13th St, Apt 8C, New York, NY 10011. Purpose: any lawful act. 8447 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF TIGERTHORN 10C LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/2/25. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 50 West 30th St, Apt 10C, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 6339 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF A GAME IN HAND LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/15/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 116 Bower New York, NY 10013. Purpose: any lawful act. 8794 My13 T J17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF AKIBA BOWERY LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/5/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 65-16 78th St, Middle Village, NY 11379. P/B/A: 310 Meserole St, Brooklyn, NY 11206. Purpose: any lawful act. 10048 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF Dorsey Research LLC. Articles of Organization filed with the NY Department of State on April 29, 2025. Its office is located in New York County. The Secretary of State has been designated as agent of the Company upon whom process against it may be served, and a copy of any process shall be mailed to 225 East 35th Street, Apt. 21A, New York, NY 10016. Its purpose is any lawful business. 8365 My13 T J17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF BROKEN MIRROR PICTURES LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 12/29/2024. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 201 W 80th St, Apt 2W, New York, NY 10024. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 8203 My06 T J10

223 W 29TH OWNER LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 431 W 37th Street, #2A, New York, NY 10018. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8766 my13-Tu jy17

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

PHILLIP PETZOLD LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/22/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 2331 Adolfo Pl, Westbury, NY 11590. Ref Agent: Phillip David Petzold, 2331 Adolfo Pl, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9771 ju3-Tu jy8

RC ROYAL COURT LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful 9811 ju3-Tu jy8

ROSLYN STRATEGIC INSIGHTS LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 5/28/2025. Off. Loc.: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to United Corporation Services, Inc., 10 Bank Street, Suite 560, White Plains, NY 10606, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 9828 ju3-Tu jy8

SKOLRED CAP, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/28/2019. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2064 KIRKWOOD AVE, MERRICK, NY 11566. Purpose: Any Lawful 9814 ju3-Tu jy8

SKOLRED VENTURES, LLC. Filed with SSNY on 05/26/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 2064 KIRKWOOD AVE, MERRICK, NY 11566. Purpose: Any Lawful 9813 ju3-Tu jy8

THIRD PLACE BROOKLYN LLC Articles of Org. filed NY Sec. of State (SSNY) 3/20/25. Office in NY Co. SSNY design. agent of LLC whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to c/o Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP, Attn: Jason P. Reska, Esq., 800 Third Ave., 36th Fl., NY, NY 10022 which is also the principal business location. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9822 ju3-Tu jy8

VVMYD LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/28/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1 HOLLY LN, LAWRENCE, NY 11559. Purpose: Any Lawful 9806 ju3-Tu jy8

DEAN'S PROTECTIVE SERVICES LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/21/25. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 1223 E 235th Street, #1012, Bronx, NY 10466. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9742 ju3-W jy8

IBTAE LLC. Filed 5/23/2025. Office: Nassau Co. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 111 WILLOW BLVD WOODBURY, NY 11598. Purpose: General. 9538 Jun3 tu Jul8

Smallish Miniatures LLC. Art. of Org. filed with SSNY on 03/14/25. Office: Westchester County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail copy to: 27 Luzern Rd., Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522. Purpose: Any lawful. 8405 My06 T J10

174-176 1ST AVENUE OWNER LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/14/2018. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o Highpoint Property Group, 64 2nd Avenue, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8743 my13-Tu jy17

1975 LAFONTAINE LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 1/13/2025. Off. Loc.: Bronx Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 3152 Albany Crescent, Bronx, NY 10463, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 8753 my13-Tu jy17

202 AVE A OWNER LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 11/8/2017. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o Drew N/A Popkin, 64 2nd Avenue, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8723 my13-Tu jy17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF FRASER TPECH CONSULTING LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/3/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S, PMB 335627, New York, NY 10003, R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 8357 My06 T J10

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

231 WEST 20TH STREET OWNER LLC Art. Of Filed Sec. of State of NY 3/17/2020. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o The Company, 211 East 31st Street, Suite 1, New York, NY 10003. USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8722 my13-Tu jy17

243 EAST 7TH STREET OWNER LLC Art. Of Filed Sec. of State of NY 4/10/2020. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o The Company, 211 East 31st Street, Suite 1, New York, NY 10016, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8721 my13-Tu jy17

268 EAST 7TH STREET OWNER LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 8/13/2019. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: 64 2nd Avenue, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8724 my13-Tu jy17

50 COURT STREET HOLDINGS LLC. Filed with SSNY on 04/24/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 553 PARK AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10065. Purpose: Any Lawful 8268 my13-Tu jy17

64 2ND AVENUE OWNER LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 12/8/2017. Off. Loc.: New York Co. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served & shall mail proc.: c/o Highpoint Property Group, Attn: Drew Popkin, 64 2nd Fl., New York, NY 10003, USA. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8738 my13-Tu jy17

AJM 17 CONSULTING LLC. Filed with SSNY on 02/27/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 1071 ST NICHOLAS AVE APT 24, NEW YORK, NY 10032. Purpose: Any Lawful 8267 my13-Tu jy17

AMEENADIA VENTURES LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/27/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 283 Ravine Ave, Unit B, Glen Cove, NY 11542. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8768 my13-Tu jy17

FUEL 4 LESS LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 10/6/2019. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 360 Westchester Ave Apt 503, Port Chester, NY 10573. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8770 my13-Tu jy17

MSR 1051 FRANKLIN FUND A LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/01/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 60 Riverstreet, Boulevard #2601, New York, NY 10069. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8749 my13-Tu jy17

30 COMMERCIAL CT LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/15/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 120 New Hyde Park Rd, Franklin Square, NY 11010. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9440 my27-Tu jy1

R & J 1623 LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/02/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 60 Riverstreet, Boulevard #2601, New York, NY 10069. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8749 my13-Tu jy17

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF LIFE UNLOCKED LLC Arts of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 79 Lighthouse St., NY, NY 10013. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9566 Jun3 tu Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF GREEN LORO MEDIA LLC. Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/3/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to Alejandra Ramirez, 1425 Amsterdam Ave, Unit 4D, New York, NY 10027. Purpose: any lawful act. 8363 My06 T J10

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

CRASHOUT STUDIOS LLC. Art of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY is designated as the agent of the LLC for service of process. Any legal documents served to the LLC through SSNY will be forwarded to LEGALCORP SOLUTIONS, LLC 11 BROADWAY SUITE 615, NEW YORK, NY 10004. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8756 My20 T J24

506WOCR LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/17/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 435 Maple Avenue, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9092 my20-Tu ju24

NIA ENTERPRISES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/09/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3000 Marcus Avenue, Suite 1W5, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9121 my20-Tu ju24

ROMEO 2362, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/09/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Karlyn Dietrich, 2918 Shore Road, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9091 my20-Tu ju24

THE LITERACY VIEW, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/08/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Karyn Dietrich, 2918 Shore Road, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9091 my20-Tu ju24

1148 44DR NY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/16/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Mitchell T. Borkowsky, Esq., 200 Broadhollow Road, Suite 207, Melville, NY 11747. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9093 my20-Tu ju24

155 WEST 70 STREET, 8F, LLC. Arts of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/14/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Michael I. Meltzer, Esq., 600 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 400, Harrison, NY 10528. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9439 my27-Tu jy1

196-52 45TH REALTY LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/28/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 154-36 23rd Avenue, Whitestone, NY 11357. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9446 my27-Tu jy1

1 CLARA LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/16/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 300 Marcus Avenue, Suite 1W5, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9443 my27-Tu jy1

24-7 PLAN B LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/16/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 418 Shore Road, Bellmore, NY 11710. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9436 my27-Tu jy1

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

FAMILY OFFICES GLOBAL CAPITAL LLC Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/12/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY is designated as the agent of the LLC for service of process. Any legal documents served to the LLC through SSNY will be for LEGACY CORP SOLUTIONS, LLC 11 BROADWAY SUITE 615, NEW YORK, NY 10004. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9496 J03 T Jy08

1086 ARNOW AVENUE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 245 Depew Street, Peekskill, NY 10567. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 10159 J10-Tu Jy15

245 DEPEW STREET LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/06/2025. Office loc: Westchester County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 245 Depew Street, Peekskill, NY 10567. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 10160 J10-Tu Jy15

SGLI OF NY CONTRACTING LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/02/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 53 Clearland Avenue, Carle Place, NY 11514. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 10166 J10-Tu Jy15

BITCOIN HOLDINGS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/22/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 3000 Marcus Avenue, Suite 3W07, Lake Success, NY 11042. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9740 J03-M Jy08

201W NY LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/29/2025. Office loc: NY County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Chandan Sengupta, 39-46 65th Place, Woodside, NY 11377. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9773 J03-Tu Jy08

2682 LLC, Filed with SSNY on 01/31/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 27 HICKORY DR, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9803 J03-Tu Jy08

348 NASSAU BLVD. LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/16/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 348 NASSAU BLVD, WEST HEMPSTEAD, NY 11552. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9816 J03-Tu Jy08

36 WEST VIEW LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9817 J03-Tu Jy08

46TH STREET UNIT 1802 LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9809 J03-Tu Jy08

46TH STREET UNIT 1803 LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/20/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 36 WEST VIEW DR, OYSTER BAY, NY 11771. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9810 J03-Tu Jy08

99-26 41ST LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/15/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 10 GLORIA DR, WOODBURY, NY 11797. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9802 J03-Tu Jy08

ARETE PROPERTIES LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/15/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 299 PARK AVE 16 FLR, NEW YORK, NY 10171. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9820 J03-Tu Jy08

FAVORITE HOME GROUP LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/13/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 67A CUTTERMILL RD, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9815 J03-Tu Jy08

GOLDEN KEY SD LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/07/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC, 51 Strathmore Road, Great Neck, NY 11023. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9739 J03-Tu Jy08

L&M 248 EAST 62 STREET LLC, Filed with SSNY on 05/27/2025. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 337 E 41ST ST, NEW YORK, NY 10017. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9821 J03-Tu Jy08

NASSAU PARKWAY LI LLC, Filed with SSNY on 11/01/2023. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 14 DEERING LN, EAST ROCKAWAY, NY 11518. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9812 J03-Tu Jy08

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

THE FRANKLIN MSR FUND B LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/01/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 466 Oceanpoint Ave, Cedarhurst, NY 11545. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8765 my13-Tu ju17

36 BAYBERRY LANE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/22/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Carlos F Lopez, 339 Nassau Rd, Suite B, Roosevelt, NY 11437. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9451 my27-Tu Jy1

CANG GROUP LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 11/26/24. Office: Bronx County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 132 East 237th Street, Bronx, NY 10470. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9437 my27-Tu Jy1

FA BELTS & ACCESSORIES LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/14/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 9 Sagamore Way North, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9433 my27-Tu Jy1

FA BELTS & ACCESSORIES LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/14/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 9 Sagamore Way North, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9433 my27-Tu Jy1

GVK BUILT LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/14/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Kim Russen, 515 W. 18th Street, Apartment 810, New York, NY 10011. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 9448 my27-Tu Jy1

KKR HOSPITALITY SOLUTIONS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/16/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o The LLC, 420 Great Neck Road, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8410 my6-Tu ju10

J&T GRAMERCY GROUP LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/27/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Joe H Yang, 121 East 17th Street, New York, NY 10003. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8420 my6-Tu ju10

LYNXC MEDIA LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 02/28/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Liu, Yiyi, 4357 Union Street, Unit 5C, Flushing, NY 11355. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8421 my6-Tu ju10

PERCEPTA AUTO LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Tuch & Cohen LLP, 1025 Old Country Road, Suite 411, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8416 my6-Tu ju10

RESERVED AUTO LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Tuch & Cohen LLP, 1025 Old Country Road, Suite 411, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8417 my6-Tu ju10

RYDER ROSE CONSULTING LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 12/14/24. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Knolls Ln, Manhasset, NY 11030. Registered Agent address c/o United States Corporation Agents, Inc., 7014 13th Avenue, Suite 202, Brooklyn, NY 11228. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8424 my6-Tu ju10

SMART RIDE AUTO LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Tuch & Cohen LLP, 1025 Old Country Road, Suite 411, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8418 my6-Tu ju10

STERN ROCK CAPITAL LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/30/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, PO Box 231192, Great Neck, NY 11025. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8408 my6-Tu ju10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF THE CURATED BREAST LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/19/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 515 Martin Blvd., Jersey City, NJ 07302. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Dora I Bonilla, 42 Leigh Street, Huntington, NY 11743. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8413 my6-Tu ju10

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF WEST 126 REALTY LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 515 Martin Blvd., Jersey City, NJ 07302. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9559 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION OF HARD SHADOW FILMS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/9/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 432 E 9th St, New York, NY 10009. Purpose: Any lawful act. 8252 My13 T J17

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

Chavi Vending LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/18/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 466 Oceanpoint Ave, Cedarhurst, NY 11516. Purpose: any lawful act. 8272 my6-Tu ju10

CuramX, LLC filed Arts. of Org. with the Secy of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/1/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail process to: The LLC, 1044 Broadway, Woodmere, NY 11498. Purpose: any lawful act. 8307 my6-Tu ju10

DEEPAK CHOPRA, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 420 Great Neck Road, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8423 my6-Tu ju10

JFK 34 PGH LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/30/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 420 Great Neck Road, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8411 my6-Tu ju10

JFK FIONA 34 PGH LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/30/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 420 Great Neck Road, Great Neck, NY 11021. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 8410 my6-Tu ju10

J&T GRAMERCY GROUP LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 01/27/25. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, c/o Joe H Yang, 121 East 17th Street, New York, NY 10003. Purpose: Any lawful act. 9331 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Foam Paires LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/14/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 223 W 38th St, 712, New York, NY 10018-496. P/B/A: 7014 13th Ave, #112A, Ofc 18, New York, NY 10001. Purpose: any lawful act. 9722 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of GNG STRATEGIC ADVISORS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/14/2025. Office location: Westchester County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 386 Ontario Ave, Mamaronck, NY 10543. Purpose: any lawful act. J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Shimmer Communications LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/21/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 2248 Broadway, #1094, New York, NY 10022. P/B/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 10020 J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 15 WEST 43RD STREET OWNER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 30 Hudson Yards, 72nd Fl., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9549 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of CYPRESS SKY, LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 222 Fifth Ave., NY, NY 10001. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Consulting services. 9568 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of RESCUE CESSPOOL LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 02/25/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 222 Fifth Ave., NY, NY 10001. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9750 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of QUANTFLOW TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/21/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 356 Bay Ridge Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11220. Purpose: any lawful act. 8623 My13 T J17

NOTICE OF FORMATION of RELATO STORE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/19/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 515 Martin Blvd., Jersey City, NJ 07302. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9556 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ARMG PRODUCTIONS, LLC, Articles of Organization filed with the Secretary of State of New York (SSNY) on 01/15/2025. Office located in New York, NY. SSNY is designated for service of process. SSNY shall mail a copy of any process served against the LLC to 10 TIMES SQUARE 3RD FLOOR, SUITE 3101, NEW YORK, NY, 10018, USA. Purpose: any lawful purpose. 3556 My13 T J17

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

WILD GINGER RVC LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/22/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 424 Sunrise Highway, Rockville Centre, NY 11570. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 8422 my6-Tu ju10

NOTICE OF FORMATION of ARIEGLOBAL CONSULTING LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 7/4/2024. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 416 Broadway, Ste N, Albany, NY 12207. P/B/A: 130 W 86th St, Apt 4B, New York, NY 10024. Purpose: any lawful act. 9494 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Bum Bum Bhole LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/29/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 42nd St, 712, New York, NY 10036. R/A: USA Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 9833 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Clay Bridges LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/17/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 601 W 149th St, #67, New York, NY 10031. Purpose: any lawful act. 9831 J03 T Jy08

NOTICE OF FORMATION of AIP GovCo LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9573 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 534 WEST 149TH STREET LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/3/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 8260 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION of AASAAN TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/4/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against it may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 311 E 75th St, 6C, New York, NY 10021. R/A: US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. 8108 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION of il bambina vintage LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/27/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 251 E 2nd St, Apt PHA, New York, NY 10009. Purpose: any lawful act. 7753 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Roger's Software Consulting LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/14/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S, #39777, New York, NY 10002. US Corp Agents, Inc. 7014 13th Ave, #202, BK, NY 11228. Purpose: any lawful act. My13 T J17

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 15 West 63rd LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/29/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/10/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Linda Meale, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts. of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal Street, Suite 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9003 my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of RANGER STATION NYC RETAIL, LLC, Application for authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/8/2025. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Tennessee (TN) on 1/24/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 5421 Highway 100, PO Box 50281, Nashville, TN 37205. Principal business address: 316 Bleecker St, Gnd Flr, New York, NY 10014. Arts. of Org. filed with the Secy. of State of TN: 312 Rosa L. Parks Ave, 6th Fl, Snodgrass Tower, Nashville, TN 37243. Purpose: any lawful activity. 8202 My06 T J10

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of COMPASS EXERT SERVICES, LLC Application for authority filed with NY Secy. of State (SSNY) on 3/6/25. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in DE on 2/3/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 146 Adams St, Garden City, NY 11530. Cert. of Formation filed with DE Secy of State, 401 Federal St, Ste 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activity. 7988 My20 T J24

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 701 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9572 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 707-709 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9570 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 711 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9574 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WHITE PLAINS 777 WESTCHESTER LLC Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. Princ. office of LLC: 13-15 W. 54th St., 1st Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the LLC at the addr. of its princ. office. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9574 Jun3 Tu Ju8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of THE LIMINA COLLECTIVE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/7/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 228 Park Ave S, #348253, New York, NY 10003. Purpose: any lawful act. 8842 My20 T J24

NOTICE OF FORMATION of MERCER COLLECTIVE, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/24/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: THE COMPANY, 135 CROSSWAYS PARK DR., STE. 300, WOODBURY, NY 11797. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9393 my27-Tu Jy1

NOTICE OF FORMATION of SHOMER7, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Delaney Corporate Services Ltd., 99 Washington Avenue, Suite 805A, Albany, NY 12210. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9432 my27-Tu Jy1

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Piouette America, LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 03/27/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the Company, 19 Woodland Drive, Plandome, NY 11030. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9019 my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF FORMATION of Rise Therapy Partners LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/29/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 310 W 72nd Street, Suite 1G, New York, NY 10023. Purpose: any lawful activities. 9021 my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF FORMATION of LOGIC LAB LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 3/28/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 95 Wall St, Apt 1020, New York, NY 10005. Purpose: any lawful act. My27 T Jy01

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 38 Fairview GN LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/06/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Address required to be maintained in NJ: 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Cert. of Formation filed with NJ Dept of Treasury, Div. of Revenue and Enterprise Services, 33 W. State St., #5th, Trenton, NJ 08608. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9772 ju3-Tu Jy8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 183 ENERGY STORAGE 2 LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 01/29/24. Princ. office of LLC: 7 Times Sq, Ste 3504, NY, NY 10036. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Address required to be maintained in NJ: 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Cert. of Formation filed with NJ Dept of Treasury, Div. of Revenue and Enterprise Services, 33 W. State St., #5th, Trenton, NJ 08608. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9772 ju3-Tu Jy8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of 183 ENERGY STORAGE 2 LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 01/29/24. Princ. office of LLC: 7 Times Sq, Ste 3504, NY, NY 10036. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Address required to be maintained in NJ: 365 West Passaic St., Ste 530, Rochelle Park, NJ 07662. Cert. of Formation filed with NJ Dept of Treasury, Div. of Revenue and Enterprise Services, 33 W. State St., #5th, Trenton, NJ 08608. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. 9772 ju3-Tu Jy8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of NORTH EAGLE STREET LAND OWNER LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/21/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/29/21. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9424 my27-Tu Jy1

NOTICE OF FORMATION of AROMAR REAL ESTATE LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/18/2025. Office location: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 3, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Any lawful activity. 9424 my27-Tu Jy1

NOTICE OF FORMATION of EDGEMINSIGHT TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 17 Cortland Ave

Court Calendars

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

860 EVERGREEN DR. LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/09/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 333 Jericho Tpke, Ste 122, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any lawful Purpose. jun10-Tu ju15

BG NYC MGMT LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 06/09/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 333 Jericho Tpke, Ste 122, Jericho, NY 11753. Purpose: Any lawful Purpose. jun10-Tu ju15

C&G TRAINING SOLUTIONS LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/12/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 1768 Seaman Drive, Merrick, NY 11566. Reg Agent: Susan Cronin, 1768 Seaman Drive, Merrick, NY 11566. Purpose: Any lawful Purpose. jun10-Tu ju15

OCR 534 LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/06/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 109 N Broadway, Hicksville, NY 11801. Reg Agent: Chris Coiro, 330 Motor Parkway, Suite 300 Hempstead, NY 11788. Purpose: Any lawful Purpose. jun10-Tu ju15

1443 OAKLEY ASSETS LLC, Filed with SSNY on 04/04/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent for process & shall mail to: 16 MIDDLE NECK RD, STE 150, GREAT NECK, NY 11021. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. jun3-Tu ju8

7 GERARD ST LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/24/25. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, c/o Long Drive Court, Dix Hills, NY 11746. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. my6-Tu ju10

TECH RIDE AUTO LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 04/25/2025. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, c/o 1025 Old Country Road, Suite 41, Westbury, NY 11590. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. my6-Tu ju10

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII (E&F) GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF PRIVATE EQUITY VII GP LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/15/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LLC: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

US GALAXY STAR LLC, Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 03/31/2025. Office loc: Nassau County. SSNY has been designated as agent upon whom process against the LLC may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: The LLC, 25 Park E, New Hyde Park, NY 11040. Purpose: Any Lawful Purpose. my6-Tu ju10

NOTICE OF FORMATION of East Coast VEA LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 5/13/2025. Office location: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 19 Commerce St, Apt 8, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act. J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WILLIAM HUGH LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/25/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 19 Commerce St, Apt 8, New York, NY 10014. Purpose: any lawful act. J10 T Jy15

NOTICE OF FORMATION of WithJaz, LLC, Arts of Org filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 2/4/2025. Office location: NY County. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served and shall mail copy of process against LLC to 1223 E 233rd St, Unit 136, Bronx, NY 10466. Purpose: any lawful act. J10 T Jy15

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF US OUTLET STORES EMPIRE OUTLETS LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/05/25. Princ. office of LLC: Empire Outlets, 35 Richmond Terrace, Ste. 0322A, Staten Island, NY 10301. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Corporation Service Co. (CSC), 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: CSC, 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Retail store. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Hempstead Preservation, LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/28/2025. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/21/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Hudson Valley Property Group, LLC, 200 Vesey Street, 24th Floor, New York, NY 10281. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Corporation Trust Center, Wilmington, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State of DE, 401 Federal St., Suite 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Kings Muay Thai Long Island LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/30/2025. Office location: Nassau County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/21/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: 209-11 36th Avenue, Bayside, NY 11361. Address required to be maintained in DE: Registered Agents Inc., 418 Broadway, Ste R, Albany, NY 12207. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my20-Tu ju24

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Gladatrix Productions LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/23/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Wyoming (WY) on 03/13/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o eResi dentAgent, Inc., Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 1204, New York, NY 10020, also the registered agent upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION of Record Store Life Management LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/07/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 04/29/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: Sandra Fung, Withers Bergman LLP, 430 Park Avenue, 10th Fl., New York, NY 10022. Address required to be maintained in DE: 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, DE 19801. Arts of Org. filed with the DE Secy. of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Bldg., 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF VOTIVE ADVISORY LLC Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/19/25. Office location: NY County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/16/25. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to Corporation Service Co., 80 State St., Albany, NY 12207-2543. DE addr. of LLC: 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with DE Secy. of State, Div. of Corps., P.O. Box 898, Dover, DE 19903. Purpose: Any lawful activity. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF AB RESEARCH LLC, Application for authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 4/4/2025. Office loc: NY County. LLC formed in OR on 11/28/2023. SSNY designated as agent upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to 1229 First Ave, New York, NY 10065. LLC address in OR: 18013 SE Blanton St, Portland, OR 97267. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State of OR, loc: 255 Capitol St., NE, Ste 151, Salem, OR 97310. Purpose: Any lawful activity. My06 T J10

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF MATOMATO, LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/06/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in California (CA) on 01/12/2017. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: National Registered Agents, Inc., 28 Liberty St., New York, NY 10005. Address required to be maintained in CA: 330 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 700, Glendale, CA 91203. Arts of Org. filed with the Secy. of State, 1500 11th St., 3rd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF TFI Bus Portfolio LLC, Authority filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/05/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/05/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Incorporating Services, Ltd, 3500 S Dupont Hwy, Dover, DE 19901. Address required to be maintained in DE: 3500 S Dupont Hwy, Dover, DE 19901. Arts of Org. filed with the Secretary of State, 401 Federal St., Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. my27-Tu ju1

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 205 MONTAGUE MEZZ INVESTORS, L.P. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. Latest date on which the LP may dissolve is 12/31/2099. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Lorimer Capital, 41 Madison Ave., Ste. #3122, NY, NY 10010. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. Purpose: Any lawful activity. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF FORMATION of 205 MONTAGUE MEZZ INVESTORS, L.P. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/14/25. Office location: NY County. Latest date on which the LP may dissolve is 12/31/2099. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Lorimer Capital, 41 Madison Ave., Ste. #3122, NY, NY 10010. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. Purpose: Any lawful activity. Jun3 to Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF BREP AMIDALA CO-INVESTMENT PARTNERS LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/05/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 10/29/24. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to the Partnership, c/o Blackstone Inc, 345 Park Ave, NY, NY 10154. Name and addr. of each general partner are available from SSNY. DE addr. of LP: Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 04/23/2025. Office location: New York County. LLC formed in Wyoming (WY) on 03/13/2025. SSNY designated as agent of LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o eResi dentAgent, Inc., Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 1204, New York, NY 10020, also the registered agent upon whom process may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: any lawful activities. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF BREP AMIDALA CO-INVESTMENT PARTNERS LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF BREP AMIDALA CO-INVESTMENT PARTNERS LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF BREP AMIDALA CO-INVESTMENT PARTNERS LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of Form. filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

NOTICE OF QUALIFICATION OF SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII LP, Appl. for Auth. filed with Secy. of State of NY (SSNY) on 05/16/25. Office location: NY County. LP formed in Delaware (DE) on 05/06/25. Princ. office of LP: 9 W. 57th St., 18th Fl., NY, NY 10019. NYS fictitious name: SRA PRIVATE EQUITY PORTFOLIO VII (E&F) LP. Duration of LP is Perpetual. SSNY designated as agent of LP upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail process to: c/o Summit Rock Advisors, LP at the princ. office of the LLC, DE addr. of LLC: c/o Corporation Service Co., 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808. Cert. of LP filed with Secy. of State, 401 Federal St., Ste. 4, Dover, DE 19901. Purpose: Investments. Jun3 to Jul8

New York County

Court Calendars Continued From Page 13

Integrated Domestic Violence Part
Justice Tandra L. Dawson
100 Centre Street
Phone 646-386-3868
Room 1604

CRIMINAL TERM

Part Tap A
Justice Biben
Phone 646-386-4107
100 Centre St.
Room 1100, 9:30 A.M.

Part Tap B
Justice Statsinger
Phone 646-346-4044
100 Centre St.
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22
Justice Mennin
Phone 646-386-4022
Fax 212-295-4890
111 Centre Street
Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

Part 23
Justice N. Ross
Phone 646-386-4023
Fax 212-295-4891
100 Centre Street
Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31
Justice D. Kiesel
Phone 646-386-4031
Fax 212-401-9260
100 Centre Street
Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32
Justice Carro
Phone 646-386-4032
Fax 212-401-9261
100 Centre Street
Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37
Justice Adlerberg
Phone 646-386-4037
100 Centre Street
Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41
Justice Dwyer
Phone 646-386-4041
Fax 212-401-9262
100 Centre Street
Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

Part 42
Justice Wiley
Phone 646-386-4042
Fax 212-401-9263
111 Centre Street
Room 733, 9:30 A.M.

Part 51
Justice Edwards
Phone 646-386-4051
Fax 212-401-9264
100 Centre Street
Room 1324, 9:30 A.M.

Part 52
Justice T. Farber
Phone 646-386-4052
Fax 212-401-9265
111 Centre Street
Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

Part 53
Justice Rodney
Phone 646-386-4053
100 Centre Street
Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

Part 54
Justice Antignani
Phone 646-386-4054
111 Centre Street
Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Part 56
Justice Drysdale
Phone 646-386-4056
111 Centre Street
Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

Part 59
Justice J. Merchan
Phone 646-386-4059
Fax 212-295-4932
100 Centre Street
Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

Part 61
Justice Clott
Phone 646-386-4061
Fax 212-401-9266
100 Centre Street
Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 62
Justice M. Jackson
Phone 646-386-4062
Fax 212-401-9267
100 Centre Street
Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

Part 63
Justice Hong
Phone 646-386-4063
111 Centre Street
Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

Part 66
Justice Pickholz
Phone 646-386-4066
Fax 212-401-9097
111 Centre Street
Room 1047, 9:30 A.M.

Part 71
Justice L. Ward
Phone 646-386-4071
Fax 212-401-9268
100 Centre Street
Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.